Practice and Technology, 14(2), pp. 297-310. https://doi.org/10.2166/wpt.2019.014 and is available at https://iwaponline.com/wpt/article/14/2/297/65983/Nanofibers-for-textile-waste-water-management

1	Nanofibers for textile waste water management
2	Joginder singh Paneysar ^a , Snehal Sawant ^a , Meng Hei Ip ^c , Sukhwinder kaur Bhullar ^{b,*,†} ,
3	Stephen Barton ^c , Premlata Ambre ^{a†} , Evans Coutinho ^a
4	
5	^a Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Bombay College of Pharmacy, Mumbai 400 098,
6	India.
7	^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bursa Technical University, Bursa 16190, Turkey.
8	^c School of Life Sciences, Pharmacy and Chemistry, Kingston University, Kingston-upon-
9	Thames, KT1 2EE, UK
10	
11	* Current address: Sukhwinder K Bhullar
12	St. Boniface Hospital, Albrechtsen Research Centre, Winnipeg, MB, Canada
13	
14	[†] Authors for correspondence
15	Tel: (022) 26670871 Extn. 241
16	E-mail: premlata.ambre@bcp.edu.in
17	E-mail: <u>sbhullar@sbrc.ca</u>
18	

19 Abstract

Currently, textile wastewater management focusses on dye removal efficiency and operating costs. Dual 20 responsive polymers are choice materials because they can extract diverse organic compounds from 21 22 water at their phase transition point. They are copolymers of the acrylamide class, and have been fully 23 characterized by FT-IR, ¹H-NMR, DSC, GPC and surface area analysis. Of the five dual responsive 24 polymers, the copolymer of NIPAAM and DMAEMA (CoP-1) offers the best extraction of acidic and basic dyes from wastewater. All copolymers investigated can achieve better than 90% dye removal 25 when used at 4 mg/ml concentration. This dye-scavenging efficiency increases to almost 99% at 3 26 27 mg/ml, on conversion of the copolymers to nanofibers in 300 to 500 nm size. Langmuir and Freundlich 28 isotherms were constructed to study the mechanism of dye adsorption. The nanofibers have been shown 29 to be reusable for removal of dyes from water, suggesting that such systems may add benefit to current dye removal methods from textile industry wastewater. 30

31 Keywords

- 32 Adsorption isotherm, dual responsive polymers, smart nanofibers, textile dyes, textile water
- 33 management

35 Introduction

36 Water shortages and stringent regulatory strictures in recent years have encouraged the development of 37 novel systems for water reuse. Providing potable water at a rate to match increase in population with 38 decrease in water quality, available resources and climate change is a great challenge (Robinson et al., 39 2001). The textile industry is a prime culprit in water pollution, posing risk to human and aquatic life. 40 The industry produces around 80 million tonnes of fibers per year (mt/a) and total dye consumption for 41 these fibers exceeds 1 mt/a. Textile dye concentrations are usually between 10 and 200 mg/L, and about 42 10 to 15% of the dye is lost in the process (Liang et al., 2014). Further processing, including washing, 43 releases more dye, which is drained as effluent. These dyes increase the turbidity of water, and make it look and smell bad, additionally inhibiting the penetration of sunlight necessary for photosynthesis. 44 Dissolved oxygen is essential for life in water and its depletion is the most serious effect of textile waste 45 46 discharge, which also hinders natural purification processes.

47 Stringent laws have been proposed that limit the amounts and kinds of waste that can be released as 48 effluent. The extensive release of textile dyes with adverse effects on the environment and public health, 49 means that serious efforts are required to reduce pollution. This can be achieved using efficient effluent 50 treatment systems at textile industry sites. Various methods have been devised for treatment of dye 51 wastewaters which can be broadly classified as physical, chemical and/or biological, depending on the 52 application. Physical methods include adsorption, membrane filtration, irradiation, coagulation, reverse osmosis, ultra-filtration and nanofiltration. Of all these technologies, adsorption is the simplest and is 53 effective as it offers high removal efficiency for a wide spectrum of dye-types (Elmoubarki et al., 2015). 54 No additional materials are needed to operate these processes, thereby offering high efficiency, and 55 56 helping to preserve available water resources by both increased efficiency and ease of operation.

57 Nanotechnology is used in adsorption in various forms like nanoparticles, nanotubes and nanofibers, 58 and has the potential to remove metal ions, dyes, and various organic and inorganic species. In 59 particular, polymeric nanofibers have become popular in the past few years for removal of contaminants 60 from water. The non-woven material produced by electrospinning has several attractive features 61 including diameter below 500 nm, large surface area, high porosity, high gas permeability and small pore size. Swaminathan et al (2015) report on use of an electrospun nanofibrous composite mat prepared
from polyacrylonitrile (PAN) yarn waste and graphene oxide for removal of methylene blue from water.
Electrospun polyvinylalcohol/titanium oxide (PVA)/TiO₂ composite membranes followed by
photocatalysis have also been reported to remove methylene blue from water (Ismaya *et al.*, 2017).
Chen et al (2016) report dye removal using cellulose-based graphene oxide fibres, demonstrating the
extensive capability of polymers.

68 Dual responsive polymers are a class of materials that exhibit different properties at different 69 temperature and pH ranges. Dual responsive polymeric microgel-based assemblies have already 70 established efficiency for removal of organic dyes from water (Parasuraman and Serpe, 2011). With 71 respect to temperature response, these polymers are soluble in water at room temperature but precipitate 72 at higher temperatures. Likewise, pH responsive polymers are completely soluble in water over a certain 73 pH range but precipitate just outside it. The transition point from soluble to insoluble, triggered by 74 temperature or pH, is termed the cloud point (CP) and defined as the first appearance of turbidity for a 75 clear polymeric solution. Lower critical solution temperature (LCST) is used in relation to temperature responsive polymers and is the point above which the polymer chains start orienting themselves in such 76 77 a manner that hydrogen bonding efficiency is reduced, and the chains become hydrophobic. This 78 hydrophobic state is responsible for the adsorption of most organic contaminants from water (Paneysar et al., 2017). The temperature responsive polymers include the acrylamide class - e.g., poly(N-79 80 isopropylacrylamide) [PNIPAAM], poly(N,N-diethylacrylamide) [PNNDEA], poly(N-81 vinylcaprolactum) [PNVCL], poly(N-vinyl isobutyramide) [PNVIB], etc – whereas the pH responsive 82 polymers include polyvinylpyrrolidone [PVP], polyacrylic acid [PAA], polymethacrylic acid [PMA], 83 polyethylacrylic acid [PEA], poly propylacrylic acid [PPA], etc. The monomers from the two 84 categories, when copolymerized, yield polymers that respond to both temperature and pH at the phase 85 transition point (Liang et al., 2015), and are classified as dual responsive polymers. They include poly 86 dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate (PDMAEMA) and poly diethylaminoethyl methacrylate 87 (PDEAEMA), both available commercially. Dual responsive polymers have reportedly been used for 88 peptide (Aguilar et al., 2007), DNA (Hinrichs et al., 1999), transdermal (Samah and Heard, 2013), and

drug delivery systems (Zheng *et al.*, 2017) for anticancer therapy (Zhu *et al.*, 2010), as well as dye
removal from wastewater (Marques *et al.*, 2015).

This study focuses on the development of dual responsive polymers and evaluation of their application in textile wastewater treatment. Water discharged by the textile industry is usually at higher than ambient temperature and with varied pH, so the properties of dual responsive polymers could be appropriate under these conditions. These polymers and their nano-fabricated products – nanofibers – were evaluated in the study. Since textile wastewater has dyes as the primary component, the aim was their removal with maximum efficiency.

97 Materials and Methods

98 Materials

99 The monomer N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAAM) was obtained as a gift sample from SLN Pharma 100 chem (Mumbai, India), and dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate (DMAEMA) was a gift sample from Ess 101 Emm chemicals (Mumbai, India), diethylacrylamide (DEA) was procured from TCI chemicals 102 (Chennai, India) and vinylpyrolidone (VP) from Sigma-Aldrich (Mumbai, India). The free radical 103 initiator azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was purchased from Spectrochem Pvt. Ltd (Mumbai, India) and 104 dialysis membrane from HiMedia (Mumbai, India).

105 Methods

106 Synthesis of smart dual responsive polymers

The monomers were combined and dissolved in 5 ml ethanol in different weights and ratios – see Table 108 1. The initiator (AIBN) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction was carried out at 70 109 °C to initiate polymerization. The ethanol in the medium was removed under vacuum using a rotary 110 evaporator, after which the viscous solution was poured into hexane and the precipitate dissolved in 111 ethyl acetate. This was repeated 2 or 3 times to remove starting materials and reactants, and the crude 112 copolymer was dried under vacuum. The copolymer was purified by dialysis against distilled water for 113 3 days in a membrane with a molecular weight cut-off of 12,000 to 14,000 Da. After dialysis the solutions were lyophilized, giving free-flowing powders. Table 1 gives details of the monomer andagent ratios used for copolymerization, and Figure 1 shows the general copolymerization scheme.



Code	Copolymer	Monomers				Initiator	Solvent	Time	LCST	Cloud point
Coue	Coporymer	NIPAAM	DEA	VP	DMAEMA	(AIBN)	(Ethanol)	(Hrs.)	(°C)	(pH)
CoP-1	NIPAAM- DMAEMA	1.1 Gm (10 mmol)		-	118 µl	10 mg	5 ml	24	37	10.0
	(14:1)			(0.7 mmol)						
CoP-2	DEA-DMAEMA	-	700 µ1		60 µ1	10 mg	5 ml	10	28	9.5
	(1:14)		(5.76 mmol)	-	(0.41 mmol)					
	NIPAAM-VP	0.425 gm (3.86 mmol)		0.55 ml		- 10 mg	5 ml	09	30	12.0
CoP-3	(1:1.5)		-	(5.1 mmol)	-					
	DEA-VP		700 µ1	60 µl						
CoP-4	(10:1)	-	(5.76 mmol	(0.56 mmol)	-	- 10 mg	mg 5 ml	24	45	10.5
CoP-5	DMAEMA-VP			770 µl	210 µ1		5 ml	4		9.0
	(5:1)	-	-	(6.55 mmol)	(1.27 mmol)	10 mg			50	

Table 1. Quantities of reactants and time taken for copolymerization, and respective polymer cloud points

120 Electrospinning

121 CoP-1 (0.6 gm); CoP-2 (0.15 gm); CoP-4 (0.7 gm) and undiluted polycaprolactone (PCL) (0.8 gm) 122 were individually dissolved in chloroform to obtain a 10% w/v polymer solution for fabrication of 123 nanofibers NF-1, NF- 2, NF- 4 and PCL respectively. Electrospinning was carried out at 30 kV and 124 0.25 ml/min flow rate, using an Inovenso Nanospinner24. Nanofibers were collected on a drum covered 125 with aluminium foil rotating at 100 rpm. The collector/needle distance was 10 cm and 10 ml of solution 126 was used completely for each sample to spin the same amount of fibre each time. The nanofibers were 127 dried in a fume hood for 24 hours at room temperature.

128 Determination of CP/LCST of the copolymer under the influence of temperature and pH

LCST was determined by the cloud point method – visual examination – by increasing the temperature
linearly of a 2.5% solution of copolymer from 20 to 40°C. The temperature at which the solution turned
turbid was noted as the CP (the temperature at which the polymer precipitated), and expressed as the
LCST. The CP was confirmed using a Mettler (Toledo) DSC 822e unit. Similarly, the pH of the polymer
solutions was varied from 2 to 13 and the point of first appearance of turbidity was noted.

134 FT-IR analysis

Potassium bromide (KBr) discs with the copolymers were prepared using an electrically operated
Techno Search Instruments KBr press model HP-15 (Mumbai, India). IR spectra were recorded on a
Jasco FTIR-5300 Fourier transform spectrophotometer with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

138 ¹H-NMR characterization

NMR spectra of the copolymers were recorded using a Brüker Avance III 800 MHz FT-NMR
spectrometer. The NMR samples were each made in solution comprising 0.9 ml H₂O and 0.1 ml D₂O.

141 Molecular weight determination

142 Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)

143GPC was performed with a Varian Pro Star 210 solvent delivery module and a Phenomonex Yarra 3u

144 SEC-4000 aqueous GPC column (column size 300 x 7.8 mm). Data collection was driven by Galaxie

145 Chromatography Software; 100 mM Na₂HPO₄ buffer (pH 6.8) was used as the mobile phase at a 146 constant flow rate of 1 ml/min. All polymer samples were detected by UV at 280 nm. GPC standards 147 were used to calibrate the instrument prior to sample analysis. Twenty μ l of the calibration standard 148 solution (protein mixture and uridine) was injected in each case and the analysis run for 20 minutes.

149 Surface area determination

Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area analysis and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) pore size and volume analysis were performed on a Belsorp Mini II (Metrohm). Nitrogen adsorption and desorption by the polymer were studied. The measuring range of the instrument for surface area was 0.01 m²/g and pore size 0.35 to 200 nm. A weighed sample was loaded into a glass tube and, as pre-treatment, was degassed for 3 hours at 110 °C and 10⁻² kPa pressure. The sample was then rechecked to obtain the actual weight and the sample cell (glass tube) loaded into the instrument for analysis.

156 Nanofiber characterization

The surface morphology of the nanofibers was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The average nanofiber diameter was measured from images captured with a ZEISS EVO 40 microscope and sputter-coating was done with BAL-TEC SCD 005. The samples were prepared on a base coating of gold-palladium (60% : 40%) to make them appropriate for electron sample interaction. The sample was coated for 100 to 200 seconds to a thickness between 5 and 30 nm. After coating, the samples were kept in the SEM chamber and the system was subjected to high vacuum while imaging.

164 Evaluation and optimization of adsorption potential

Dyes are released daily as effluents by the textile industry, and may be classified as acidic, basic, direct, mordant, vat, reactive, disperse, azo and/or sulphur dyes. Methylene blue and crystal violet were selected as representative basic dyes, whereas Congo red, methyl orange, and indigo carmine were selected to represent acidic dye species for the study. The proportional dye removal by the co-polymers was evaluated by UV-visible spectroscopy.

A fixed concentration of each dye with absorbance within the linear range of the Beer-Lambert law was selected, and the solutions treated with the co-polymers and nanofibers. The conditions for adsorption were optimised by varying the copolymer concentration and the contact time above the respective CPs. Two copolymer concentrations, 1 and 4 mg/ml, were added to the dye solution and heated above the CP for various times. The solutions were then filtered, the precipitate removed and the absorbance of the final solution measured by UV. The proportional dye removal was calculated using Equation (1):

176
$$proportional \, dye \, removal = \frac{Co - Ce}{Co} \times 100$$
177 (1)

where, Co: dye concentration before treatment, and Ce: dye concentration after equilibrium andtreatment

180 All the proportional removal or adsorption analysis studies were performed in triplicate.

181 Adsorption parameter optimization

182 The copolymers are highly soluble in water and precipitate only above the cloud point (CP). Precipitation is caused by transformation of the copolymer into hydrophobic coiled chains that are 183 184 responsible for absorption of the dye. To determine the polymers' maximum adsorption ability initially, 185 a low concentration (1 mg/ml) of copolymer was added to a solution containing a fixed concentration 186 of dye (methylene blue - 3mg/L). The solution temperature and pH were increased steadily, and then 187 kept constant above the individual polymers' CPs for a range of times. The proportional adsorption was calculated for various times to determine the maximum dye adsorption. In a second study, the polymer 188 189 concentration was kept at 4 mg/ml and the adsorption time for equilibrium determined.

Since, the adsorption of impurities depends largely on the polymer's specific surface area, it was decided to test the polymers' power when fabricated as nanofibers. Different weights of nanofibers were used for removal of dye from water above the LCST and pH responsive CP . The difference between the UV-visible absorbance of the dye solution before (inlet) and after (outlet) passing through the nanofibers was calculated to determine the amount of dye adsorbed. Since all nanofibers were blended with PCL for fabrication, blank PCL nanofibers were also evaluated for removal of dye from water.

196 Optimization of adsorption potential using nanofibers

Between 5 and 50 mg of nanofibers were taken, in 5 mg intervals, and tested for dye removal. Proportional removal of dye was at a maximum with 30 mg of nanofiber (3 mg/ml) for 10 ml methylene blue solution(3 mg/L), and this concentration was then fixed with the optimised contact time at respective temperature and pH to evaluate removal by nanofibers. Similarly, adsorption by PCL nanofibers was also studied at the same concentration and it was found that these 'blank' nanofibers could also adsorb dyes from water.

203 Determination of particle size of copolymer at CP

To measure the particle size at LCST and CP, copolymer CoP-1 was dissolved in water to prepare two solutions each of 2.5% concentration. The temperature and pH of the solutions were increased slowly, and the particle size beyond the CP was measured with a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS.

207 Adsorption isotherm determination (Akl and Abou-Elanwar, 2015)

208 Sorption isotherm studies were used to determine and explain the relationship between *Ceq*, the 209 equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate (the copolymers), and the amount adsorbed at the surface. 210 The Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models were used to analyse the copolymers above their CPs 211 at the optimised temperatures, pHs and contact times.

212 Langmuir adsorption isotherm

The Langmuir model exhibits a linear relationship for the amount of dye adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent copolymer. It is distinguished by three factors – adsorption, desorption and kinetic rates – combined with the total number of free sites available on the surface. The collective surface concentration of dye is denoted by q. Equation (2) is the linear form of the Langmuir equation:

218
$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m K_L} + \frac{C_e}{q_m}$$
(2)

where, $q_e(mg/g)$ is the amount of dye adsorbed per unit mass of copolymer (sorbent), $C_e(mg/l)$ the final concentration of unadsorbed dye in solution, $q_m(mg/g)$ the monolayer adsorption capacity and $K_L(l/mg)$ the Langmuir equilibrium constant.

222 q_e is expressed as,

223
$$q_e = \frac{(C_i - C_f)V}{mass}$$
(3)

where, C_i is the initial concentration, C_f the final concentration, and V the volume of dye solution. The volume of dye and mass of polymer remain constant for a given set of analyses.

226 A graph of
$$\frac{C_e}{q_e}$$
 against C_e gives the Langmuir isotherm

227 Freundlich adsorption isotherm

This isotherm was developed using the assumption that the adsorbent has a heterogeneous surface withnumerous adsorption sites.

230 The linear form of the Freundlich equation is (4):

$$q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n} \tag{4}$$

where n is the Freundlich exponent and K_f the Freundlich constant, which measures heterogeneity; the higher K_{f} , the more heterogeneous the adsorbent. To determine the Freundlich isotherm a plot of log q_e against log C_e gives a slope equal to 1/n and an intercept at log K_f . The slope 1/n represents a collective value of the relative magnitude of adsorption intensity for a certain sorption process.

$$\log q_e = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \tag{5}$$

To determine the applicability and suitability of a specific isotherm model to the experimental data, regression coefficient (R^2) values were calculated from the plot of log $q_e v/s \log k_f$. Comparison of the two models is based on the value of R^2 . The Langmuir constants are obtained from the slope (q_m) and intercept (K_L), and the model's characteristics can be expressed in terms of R_L , a dimensionless constant for the separation factor to depict whether the adsorption is favourable or unfavourable – Equation (6):

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_i} \tag{6}$$

where, C_i is initial concentration. The characteristics of R_L for favourable adsorption are $0 < R_L < 1$, for unfavourable adsorption $R_L > 1$, for linear adsorption $R_L = 1$, and for irreversible adsorption $R_L = 0$.

245 Reusability of nanofibers

The reusability was determined by measuring the number of times an optimised weight of nanofiber 246 247 could be used to lower dye concentration from a fresh solution to 50% of its initial value, each time. To do this the optimised weight of nanofiber was added to a 10 ml aliquot of 3 mg/L methylene blue 248 249 solution at the optimised temperature and time. After treatment, the amount of dye remaining in solution 250 was determined by colorimetry. Subsequently a fresh aliquot of dye solution was treated using the nanofibers from the previous test and the proportional dye removal calculated. The cycle was repeated 251 252 until the proportional removal of dye was only 50%. In each cycle to evaluate reusability, the proportional desorption was also evaluated to gauge the nanofibers' uptake and thus determine their 253 254 reusability. After adsorption the copolymer was re-dissolved in distilled water and the dye leaching 255 from the polymer was studied to calculate desorption – Equation (7):

256
$$\% desorption = \frac{Conc \ of \ dye \ leached}{Conc \ of \ dye \ adsorbed} \times 100$$
 (7)

257 Results and discussion

258 Determination of LCST of the copolymer

The LCST values obtained from the CP measurements were confirmed using a differential scanningcalorimeter. Figure 2a shows the thermogram for CoP-1 and Table 2 summarises the DSC events for

the other copolymers. The LCSTs of the homopolymers NIPAAM and DMAEMA are 32 and 49 °C respectively. It is noted that the LCST of the copolymers differs from those of the individual homopolymers, indicating that the LCST variation is due to increase/decrease of the hydrophilic properties of the copolymer owing to addition or change of functional groups.

265 FT-IR analysis

The FT-IR spectrum of copolymer CoP-1 confirms its structure as seen in Figure 2b. The absorption at 1726.5 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the ester C=O stretch, while the peak at 1622.84 cm⁻¹ is the amide group C=O. The presence of the ester and amide groups is reinforced by the absorption at 1430.6 cm⁻¹, caused by the C-N and C-O stretches. The FT-IR spectra of the other copolymers reveal similar characteristics of successful copolymerization.

271 ¹H-NMR characterization

In the ¹H-NMR spectrum of CoP-1 (Figure 2c), the strong signal at 1.10 ppm is assigned to the -272 273 $CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ methyl from the isopropyl group in NIPAAM as is that at 3.90 ppm, while the resonance at 274 2.10 ppm is the methyl resonance of the $-N(CH_3)_2$ dimethyl group in DMAEMA.. The adjacent peaks at 3.00 and 3.30 ppm are from the (-N-CH₂-CH₂-O-) ethyl groups in DMAEMA. The signal at 1.60 275 276 ppm is attributed to the methylene group (-CH₂-CH-) from the NIPAAM backbone and that at 1.72 ppm 277 is due to the methylene (-CH₂-C-) from the DMAEMA backbone. The peak at 1.4 ppm is from the 278 methyl group (CH₃-C-) in DMAEMA. The resonance at 2.00 ppm is due to the methyne (-CH-CH₂) in the NIPAAM backbone. The signal at 8.00 ppm is due to the (-NH-C=O) amide group in NIPAAM. 279 The NMR spectrum thus confirms the presence of both NIPAAM and DMAEMA in the final 280 281 copolymer. (The signal strengths show that NIPAAM is present in large excess over DMAEMA.)



285 Figure 2. (a) DSC thermogram for CoP-1 (b) FT-IR spectrum of CoP-1 (c) ¹H-NMR spectrum

286 of CoP-1

287 Molecular weight determination

288 Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)

289 The number average (M_n) , average molecular weight (M_w) and dispersity (D) of the various 290 thermoresponsive copolymers are given in Table 2. The uniform distribution of molecular weight in all 291 three samples is confirmed because the D values are all close to unity.

	Endoth	nermic event	ts (°C)	Mole	nts	
Copolymer	Onset (LCST)	Peak	Endset	$M_{n}\left(D ight)$	$M_{w}\left(\mathbf{D} ight)$	D
CoP-1	37.5	62.5	86	71,460	71,893	1.01
CoP-2	25.6	39.5	53.7	25,171	31,091	1.23
CoP-4	44.2	65.1	90	30,026	32,490	1.08

292

Table 2. Endothermic events by DSC and molecular weights by GPC for copolymers

293 Surface area and porosity

The surface area and porosity of CoP-1 were calculated from the adsorption isotherm obtained by 294 measuring the amount of gas adsorbed across a wide range of relative pressures from 10 to 70 Kpa at 295 296 constant temperature (liquid nitrogen 77 K) in triplicate. The amount of gas adsorbed is correlated to 297 the total surface area of the particles including pores in the surface. The BET specific surface of CoP-1 was determined as 0.824 m²/g, while the BJH plot shows the pore specific surface as 0.901 m²/g. 298 Although the copolymer has a relatively small surface area compared to conventional adsorbents -e.g., 299 300 activated charcoal $(3,000 \text{ m}^2/\text{g})$ (Dillon Jr *et al.*, 1989) – it still exhibits effective adsorbent properties 301 due to its high specificity at LCST, when the positions of the hydrophilic and hydrophobic groups are 302 reversed in its regular structural scaffold. The presence of hydrophobic groups on the polymers' 303 (adsorbate) surface results in low/no hydrogen bonding interaction with water molecules, thereby 304 increasing the adsorbing surface, and thus the adsorption power and selectivity.

The BJH plot indicates pore volume and radius of $0.0073 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ and 1.2 nm, respectively. The copolymer pore widths are between 2 and 10 nm, as observed in many adsorbents like zeolites. Thus

- the copolymers can be classified as mesoporous according to the IUPAC classification (Dąbrowski,
 2001). The pore width of 2.4 nm of the copolymer CoP-1 suggests that the area available for adsorption
- 309 is the same as for standard adsorbents.

310 Copolymer particle size at CP

- 311 The particle size, measured when the polymer precipitates at the responsive temperature, is 606 nm,
- 312 compared to 650 nm when measured at the responsive pH. Precipitation of the polymer as nanosize is
- 313 largely responsible for the efficient dye adsorption above the CP.

314 SEM analysis

Figure 3 shows SEM images of the nanofibers of two copolymers,. The nanofibers are seen to be distributed randomly in the composite. The diameter of the nanofibers was calculated from the SEM images. The average diameter (AFD), determined by measuring about one hundred fibers, is between 300 and 500 nm, confirming the nanofiber structure.





Figure 3. SEM images for (a) NF-1 (b) NF-2 (c) PCL

321

322 Adsorption parameter optimization

Dual responsive polymers extract dyes effectively at the defined concentrations as shown by the decrease in absorbance with increasing contact time. Treatment continued until the solution reached equilibrium; which was attained more rapidly as the polymer concentration was increased in solution (Figure 4). The optimal copolymer concentrations and contact times were obtained for fixed dye concentrations above the respective CPs (temperature and pH) at which adsorption was studied. The optimised parameters for the copolymers treating a dye concentration of 3 mg/L are shown in Table 3.



332	Figure 4. Optimization of equilibrium contact time for maximum adsorption by various co-
333	polymers at concentrations and responsive conditions as: (a) 1 mg/ml at LCST (b) 2 mg/ml at
334	LCST (c) 4 mg/ml at LCST (d) 1 mg/ml at pH cloud point (e) 2 mg/ml at pH cloud point (f) 4
335	mg/ml at pH cloud point. (Values are with mean± s.d. of 0.95-1.66)
336	
337	
338	
339	

Co-	Eff	fect of temperation	ure	Effect of pH				
polymer (4 mg/ml)	proportional removal Temperatur efficiency		Equilibriumproportionalcontactremovaltime (mins)efficiency		рН	Equilibrium contact time (mins)		
CoP-1	92.77	40°C	40	93.84	10.5	30		
CoP-2	90.15	35°C	40	88.26	10.5	50		
CoP-3	91.32	35°C	50	90.17	12.5	40		
CoP-4	92.11	50°C	60	93.38	11	40		
CoP-5	90.49	55°C	40	89.91	10	50		

Proportional dye removal (methylene blue – 3 mg/mL) (%)

340 341

Table 3. Optimised conditions and maximum methylene blue removal efficiency by dual responsive polymers

342 Evaluation of adsorption potential under optimised conditions

For a polymer concentration of 4 mg/ml, the optimal temperature, pH, contact times to clear a dye (e.g. 343 methylene blue at a concentration of 3 mg/L) was studied and the results are presented in Table 4. All 344 345 of the dual responsive polymers tested exhibited similar extraction efficiencies for the various dyes. However, CoP-1 showed maximum dye removal in the shortest contact time under the respective 346 temperature and pH CP conditions. Since both cationic and anionic dyes were tested, this suggests that 347 extraction is independent of the dye's charge and solely due to physical adsorption, extending the 348 349 spectrum of applicability of the copolymers to a wide range of dyes.

350 Evaluation of adsorption potential using nanofibers

351 Studies were carried to determine the amount of nanofibers necessary to adsorb dye solutions of varying 352 concentrations. This study established that 3 mg/ml nanofiber suspensions offer the maximum 353 proportional adsorption of dye under the influence of respective trigger and contact time (Table 4). All three nanofibers – NF-1, NF-2 and NF-3 – showed slightly better dye adsorption efficiencies than the 354 dual responsive copolymers (Table 3). PCL nanofibers also showed significant dye removal efficiency 355

and, as the copolymers are blended with PCL for nanofabrication, the combination tends to increase

357	their efficiency	when	converted	to	nanofibers.
-----	------------------	------	-----------	----	-------------

	Proportional dye removal (%)										
	Methylene blue (3 mg/L)		Methyl	orange	Indigo		Conge	o red	Crystal violet		
Adsorbent			(3 mg/L)		carmine		(20 mg/L)		(6 mg/L)		
					(12 mg/L)						
	Temp*	pH#	Temp*	pH#	Temp*	pH#	Temp*	pH#	Temp*	pH#	
CoP-1	92.77	93.84	91.26	90.97	92.60	91.24	90.28	89.86	91.20	90.41	
CoP-2	90.15	88.26	89.94	89.01	90.51	89.64	88.52	87.27	90.11	88.47	
CoP-3	91.32	90.17	92.16	90.43	90.57	88.63	89.74	88.02	92.20	90.18	
CoP-4	92.11	93.38	90.53	89.87	91.74	90.3	90.67	89.79	90.29	89.64	
CoP-5	90.49	89.91	90.12	89.16	89.65	89.12	88.2	88.47	88.95	87.88	
NF-1	99.01	96.21	98.12	94.98	98.52	97.13	96.85	95.24	97.59	96.48	
NF-2	97.05	95.67	95.87	94.06	96.89	95.89	95.07	93.17	95.91	96.13	
NF-3	97.89	96.19	95.03	94.17	96.71	94.89	94.18	92.54	93.95	94.37	
PCL	54.51	53.24	49.12	51.36	53.96	51.79	56.32	55.14	50.26	49.85	
Blank											
Table 4. Proportional removal of dyes by dual responsive polymers (4 mg/ml) and nanofibers (3											
mg/ml) under optimised conditions. [*45°C, #12.0]											
Adsorption isotherm analysis											

The CoP-1 and fiber NF-1 were studied using the Langmuir and Freundlich models. The models' terms were calculated and R^2 values obtained for both isotherms (Figure 5). The value of R_L shows that NF-1

363 adsorbs the dyes better than CoP-1; in other words, converting CoP-1 to the nanofiber form NF-1

364 increases dye adsorption efficiency.

358

359

Table 5 shows the constants for the two isotherm models and it is evident that the Langmuir model provides a better fit than the Freundlich one for both CoP-1 ($R^2 > 0.990$) and NF-1 ($R^2 > 0.98$), indicating monolayer adsorption on the adsorbent surfaces.





Figure 5. Dye adsorption isotherm model onto copolymer and nanofiber substrates.



(a) Langmuir and (b) Freundlich.

Adsorbent		Langmuir co	onstants	Freundlich constants				
	q _m (mg/g)	K _L (L/mg)	R ²	R _L	K _F	1/n	R ²	
CoP-1	14	3.078	0.9921	0.03	0.022	0.0965	0.8034	
NF-1	19	1.20	0.9856	0.076	0.012	0.2987	0.9182	

Table 5. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm constants for dye adsorption by CoP-1 and nanofiber
NF-1.

373 Nanofiber reusability

This study was carried out at the optimised temperature, pH and time frame for maximum efficiency. As shown in Figure 6, NF-1 has slightly better dye removal efficiency of the three nanofibers tested when used repeatedly with fresh dye solution stock. All three nanofibers lose more than 50% of their efficiency after 3 cycles of reuse.



379 380

Figure 6. Reusability of nanofibers

381 Conclusions

The primary objective of the study was to develop dual responsive polymers that exhibit smart behaviour for dye removal from simulated textile water. The polymers used exhibited good adsorption efficiencies at various temperatures and pH levels. To improve the dye removal efficiency of the polymers, they were converted to nanofibers by electrospinning. Due to their high specific surface and sensitivity, nanofibers were found to be more effective for dye removal than polymer solutions.

387 Acknowledgements

- 388 The authors would like to thank (i) University Grants Commission (UGC), India [File No.43/489 (SR)]
- 389 for providing financial assistance for this project. (ii) SLN pharmachem and ESS-EMM for the
- 390 monomer gift samples. (iii) Kingston University, London for polymer sample analysis. (iv) Metrohm
- 391 India PVT. LTD for the surface area and porosity determinations.

392 References

- 393 Aguliar M.R., Elvira C., Gallardo A., Vazquez B., Roman J.S. 2007 Smart polymers and their
- applications as biomaterials. Topics in Tissue Engineering, Vol. 3. Eds. Ashammakhi N, Reis R,
- 395 Chiellini E
- 396 Akl, M.A., Abou-Elanwar, A.M. 2015 Adsorption studies of cd (II) from water by acid modified
- multiwalled carbon nanotubes. Journal of Nanomedicine & Nanotechnology, 6(6), 327-336.
- 398 Chen, L., Li, Y., Hu, S., Sun, J., Du, Q., Yang, X., Ji, Q., Wang, Z., Wang, D., Xia, Y. 2016 Removal
- 399 of methylene blue from water by cellulose/graphene oxide fibress. Journal of Experimental
- 400 Nanoscience, **11**, 1156-1170.

- 401 Dąbrowski, A. 2001 Adsorption—from theory to practice. Advances in colloid and interface science,
 402 93, 135-224.
- Dillon Jr, E.C., Wilton, J.H., Barlow, J.C., Watson, W.A. 1989 Large surface area activated charcoal
 and the inhibition of aspirin absorption. Annals of emergency medicine, 18, 547-552.
- 405 Elmoubarki, R., Mahjoubi, F., Tounsadi, H., Moustadraf, J., Abdennouri, M., Zouhri, A., El Albani, A.,
- 406 Barka, N. 2015 Adsorption of textile dyes on raw and decanted Moroccan clays: Kinetics, equilibrium
- 407 and thermodynamics. Water Resources and Industry, **9**, 16-29.
- 408 Hinrichs, W., Schuurmans-Nieuwenbroek, N., Van De Wetering, P., Hennink, W. 1999
- 409 Thermosensitive polymers as carriers for DNA delivery. Journal of controlled release, **60**, 249-259.
- 410 Ismaya, E., Diantoro, M., Kusumaatmaja, A., Triyana, K. 2017 Preparation of PVA/TiO2 Composites
- 411 Nanofibers by using Electrospinning Method for Photocatalytic Degradation. IOP Conference Series:
- 412 Materials Science and Engineering, 202, 1-6.
- 413 Liang, C.-Z., Sun, S.-P., Li, F.-Y., Ong, Y.-K., Chung, T.-S. 2014 Treatment of highly concentrated
- 414 wastewater containing multiple synthetic dyes by a combined process of coagulation/flocculation and
- 415 nanofiltration. Journal of Membrane Science, **469**, 306-315.
- Liang, M., Yang, T.-M., Chang, H.-P., Wang, Y.-M. 2015 Dual-responsive polymer–drug nanoparticles
- 417 for drug delivery. Reactive and Functional Polymers, **86**, 27-36.
- 418 Marques, N.d.N., Maia, A.M.d.S., Balaban, R.d.C. 2015 Development of dual-sensitive smart polymers
- 419 by grafting chitosan with poly (N-isopropylacrylamide): an overview. Polímeros, 25, 237-246.
- 420 Paneysar, J.S., Barton, S., Chandra, S., Ambre, P., Coutinho, E. 2017 Novel thermoresponsive
- 421 assemblies of co-grafted natural and synthetic polymers for water purification. Water Science and
- 422 Technology, **75** (5),1084-1097.
- 423 Parasuraman, D., Serpe, M.J. 2011 Poly (N-isopropylacrylamide) microgels for organic dye removal
- 424 from water. ACS applied materials & interfaces, **3**, 2732-2737.
- 425 Robinson, T., McMullan, G., Marchant, R., Nigam, P. 2001 Remediation of dyes in textile effluent: a
- 426 critical review on current treatment technologies with a proposed alternative. Bioresource technology,
 427 77, 247-255.
- 428 Samah, N.H.A., Heard, C.M. 2013 Enhanced in vitro transdermal delivery of caffeine using a
- 429 temperature-and pH-sensitive nanogel, poly (NIPAM-co-AAc). International journal of pharmaceutics,
- **430 453**, 630-640.
- 431 Swaminathan, S., Muthumanickkam, A., Imayathamizhan, N. 2015 An effective removal of methylene
- 432 blue dye using polyacrylonitrile yarn waste/graphene oxide nanofibrous composite. International
- 433 journal of environmental science and technology, **12**, 3499-3508.
- 434 Zheng, Y., Wang, L., Lu, L., Wang, Q., Benicewicz, B.C. 2017 pH and Thermal Dual-Responsive
- 435 Nanoparticles for Controlled Drug Delivery with High Loading Content. ACS Omega, 2, 3399-3405.

- 436 Zhu, C., Jung, S., Luo, S., Meng, F., Zhu, X., Park, T.G., Zhong, Z. 2010 Co-delivery of siRNA and
- 437 paclitaxel into cancer cells by biodegradable cationic micelles based on PDMAEMA-PCL-
- 438 PDMAEMA triblock copolymers. Biomaterials, **31**, 2408-2416.