## University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Xiao Cheng Zeng Publications

Published Research - Department of Chemistry

2014

# Spontaneous Formation of One-Dimensional Hydrogen Gas Hydrate in Carbon Nanotubes

Wenhui Zhao University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei

Lu Wang University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei

Jaeil Bai University of Nebraska–Lincoln

Joseph S. Francisco Purdue University, frjoseph@sas.upenn.edu

Xiao Cheng Zeng University of Nebraska-Lincoln, xzeng1@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/chemzeng Part of the <u>Analytical Chemistry Commons</u>, <u>Materials Chemistry Commons</u>, and the <u>Physical</u> <u>Chemistry Commons</u>

Zhao, Wenhui; Wang, Lu; Bai, Jaeil; Francisco, Joseph S.; and Zeng, Xiao Cheng, "Spontaneous Formation of One-Dimensional Hydrogen Gas Hydrate in Carbon Nanotubes" (2014). *Xiao Cheng Zeng Publications*. 152. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/chemzeng/152

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Published Research - Department of Chemistry at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Xiao Cheng Zeng Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Published in *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 136 (2014), pp 10661–10668. doi 10.1021/ja5041539 Copyright © 2014 American Chemical Society. Used by permission. Submitted April 25, 2014; published June 2, 2014.

## **Spontaneous Formation of One-Dimensional Hydrogen Gas Hydrate in Carbon Nanotubes**

### Wenhui Zhao,<sup>1</sup> Lu Wang,<sup>1</sup> Jaeil Bai,<sup>2</sup> Joseph S. Francisco,<sup>3</sup> and Xiao Cheng Zeng<sup>1,2</sup>

1 Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

2 Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, United States,

3 Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

Corresponding authors — Joseph S. Francisco, francisc@purdue.edu ; Xiao Cheng Zeng, xzeng1@unl.edu

Author contributions — Wenhui Zhao, Lu Wang, and Jaeil Bai contribute equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.

#### Abstract

Introduction

vironment hazards.

We present molecular dynamics simulation evidence of spontaneous formation of quasione-dimensional (Q1D) hydrogen gas hydrates within single-walled carbon nanotubes (SW-CNTs) of nanometer-sized diameter (1–1.3 nm) near ambient temperature. Contrary to conventional 3D gas hydrates in which the guest molecules are typically contained in individual and isolated cages in the host lattice, the guest  $H_2$  molecules in the Q1D gas hydrates are contained within a 1D nanochannel in which the  $H_2$  molecules form a molecule wire. In particular, we show that in the (15,0) zigzag SW-CNT, the hexagonal  $H_2$  hydrate tends to form, with one  $H_2$  molecule per hexagonal prism, while in the (16,0) zigzag SW-CNT, the heptagonal  $H_2$  hydrate tends to form, with one  $H_2$  molecule per heptagonal prism. In contrast, in the (17,0) zigzag SW-CNT, the octagonal  $H_2$  hydrate can form, with either one  $H_2$  or two  $H_2$  molecules per pentagonal prism (single or double occupancy). Interestingly, in the hexagonal or heptagonal ice nanotube, the  $H_2$  wire is *solid-like* as the axial diffusion constant is very low (<5 × 10<sup>-10</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>/s), whereas in the octagonal ice nanotube, the  $H_2$  wire is *liquid-like* as its axial diffusion constant is comparable to  $10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s.

Gas hydrates belong to a class of host-guest compounds. The

host is typically a lattice of water that contains independent

cages in which each cage can accommodate one or a few guest

molecules, depending on the size of the guest molecule and diam-

eter of the cages.<sup>1</sup> To date, three crystalline structures of 3D gas

hydrates have been uncovered, namely, type I, II, and H clath-

rate structures. Gas hydrates have attracted considerable inter-

ests because of their significant energy and environmental im-

plications. In nature, methane hydrates in type I structure are predominantly present at ocean floor and polar region, while

gas hydrates with type II or H structure are found in the Gulf

of Mexico.<sup>2</sup> On the negative side, during the deepwater-oil/gas

production, plugging of pipelines by gas hydrates may cause en-

also been exploited for potential applications as gas-storage me-

dia, such as for storing  $CO_2$  (e.g., for carbon sequestration) or hydrogen (an energy carrier).<sup>3–9</sup> For a long time, hydrogen mole-

cules were thought too small to be capable of stabilizing the host

lattice of ice clathrates. However, in 2002, Mao et al. revealed

that H<sub>2</sub> hydrates can be stable at high pressures (>200 MPa) and

room temperature in which  $\rm H_2$  molecules can occupy both the small and large cages in the type II crystalline structure.<sup>3</sup> This

finding has prompted subsequent efforts in synthesizing gas hy-

drates as potential media for hydrogen storage. For example, to achieve the formation of  $H_2$  hydrates at near-ambient condition,

Besides being used as natural gas reserves, gas hydrates have

various promoter molecules such as tetrahydrofurn (THF) have been examined.<sup>10–13</sup> These previous studies indicate that water

cages may offer a safe and clean way to store hydrogen. To date, structural and thermodynamic properties of several  $3D H_2$  hydrates have been well characterized.<sup>14–20</sup> However, the formation mechanism of bulk H<sub>2</sub> hydrates remains less understood due in part to the inability to precisely monitoring the time frame and spatial domain of the crystallization process in the laboratory, or due to very long computing time needed in molecular dynamics simulations of bulk gas hydrate formation. <sup>21</sup> On the other hand, highly confined environment not only can disrupt the hydrogen-bonding network of ice framework thereby affecting kinetics of crystallization, but also allow spontaneous formation of some low-dimensional ice structures at nanosecond time scale.<sup>22-30</sup> Indeed, spontaneous formation of low-dimensional gas hydrates (e.g., argon and methane hydrates), as well as guest-free hydrates, was observed in previous molecular dynamics simulations.<sup>29-31</sup> Previous simulation and experimental studies have also shown that water molecules can enter into single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) without applying high hydrostatic pressure.<sup>32-35</sup> Liquid water inside a SW-CNT can diffuse along the axial direction at room temperature (with typical diffusion constant D of ~10<sup>-5</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), while far below the room temperature, the axial diffusion constant of quasi-one-dimensional (Q1D) solid water, e.g., ice nanotubes, is about  $10^{-10}$  cm<sup>2</sup>  $\mathrm{s}^{-1}.^{33,36}\,\mathrm{It}$  is known that ice nanotubes consist of polygonal water prisms. Can the polygonal water prisms trap one or more H<sub>2</sub>







**Figure 1.** Axial views (top panels) and side views (bottom panels) of the inherent structures of single-walled ice nanotubes (INTs): (A) hollow tetragonal INT formed in (13, 0) SW-CNT; (B) hollow pentagonal INT formed in (14, 0) SW-CNT; (C) hollow hexagonal INT formed in (15, 0) SW-CNT; (D) core-sheath heptagonal INT formed in (16, 0) SW-CNT; (E) core-sheath octagonal INT formed in (17, 0) SW-CNT. Red, white, and gray spheres represent oxygen, hydrogen, and carbon atoms, respectively. Light blue dotted lines denote hydrogen bonds. Blue spheres in (D) and (E) represent oxygen atoms in water wire encapsulated inside the heptagonal and octagonal INTs.

molecules to form  $\rm H_2$  clathrate structures? Here, we show simulation evidence of spontaneous formations of Q1D  $\rm H_2$  hydrates inside SW-CNTs of nanometer-sized diameter. Stability of the predicted  $\rm H_2$  hydrate structures are further confirmed by density-functional theory optimization and ab initio molecular dynamics simulations.

#### **Computational Methods**

Classical Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Independent MD simulations are performed using the Gromacs 4.5 software package for water encapsulated in SW-CNT systems.<sup>37</sup> Five zigzag SW-CNTs with index (13, 0), (14, 0), (15, 0), (16, 0), and (17,0) are considered. Here, carbon atoms are modeled as uncharged Lennard-Jones (LJ) particles with graphite parameters of  $\varepsilon_{\rm C} = 0.2328$ kJ/mol and  $\sigma_{\rm C} = 0.34$  nm.<sup>38</sup> TIP3P model of water are used in most MD simulations,<sup>39</sup> while several other three-site, four-site, and fivesite water models (SPC, SPC/E, TIP4P, and TIP5P) are also examined for test simulations which give the same qualitative results of the Q1D H<sub>2</sub> hydrate formation as with the TIP3P water model. H<sub>2</sub> molecules are described by a rigid two-center LJ model with a bond length of 0.074 nm and with the LJ parameters of  $e_{\rm H}$  = 0.1039 kJ/mol and  $\sigma_{\rm H}$  = 0.259 nm.<sup>40</sup> LJ potential parameters for heterogeneous atoms are given by Lorentz–Berthelot rule. In addition to the LJ potential for H<sub>2</sub>, more accurate exp-6-8-10 potentials for the H<sub>2</sub>- $H_2$  and  $H_2$ -C interactions<sup>41-43</sup> are also considered. A test simulation shows that the results of Q1D H<sub>2</sub> hydrate formation are very close to those obtained based on the LJ potential (see Figure S1 in Supporting Information), indicating that the H<sub>2</sub> hydrate formation is not very sensitive to the selected  $H_2$  and  $H_2-C$  potentials (developed by different groups). The cutoff length for all interparticle interactions is set to be 2.0 nm. The periodic boundary condition is applied in all three dimensions. In the axial direction, the supercell (or CNT) has a fixed length of 5.112 nm. Such a fixed length of CNT is selected based on our previous MD simulations of the formation of polygonal ice nanotube within CNTs.<sup>36</sup> Test simulations show that the CNTs can accommodate 18 layers of polygonal water rings, i.e., one layer per 0.284 nm, close to the typical distance of a hydrogen-bonding pair of oxygen atoms. In the two lateral directions, the supercell lengths are 5.0 nm, respectively, which are large enough to neglect interaction among periodic images. The temperature is controlled by using the velocityscaling method.<sup>44</sup>

Density-Functional Theory Calculations and ab Initio Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations and ab initio MD (AIMD) simulations are performed by using QUICKSTEP module implemented in the CP2K package.<sup>45</sup> Molecules are described with the hybrid Gaussian and plane-wave method. The ion-valence electron interactions are represented by norm-conserving pseudopotentials developed by Goedecker and co-workers, 46,47 and the charge density cutoff of 280 Ry is used for the auxiliary basis set. The  $BLYP^{48,49}$  exchange-correlation functional is used together with Grimme dispersion correction (D3).<sup>50</sup> We select the BLYP-D3 functional because it can properly describe systems with weak hydrogenbonding interactions.<sup>51-53</sup> A previous AIMD simulation study using BLYP-D3 also showed good agreement between the theoretical and experimental results of the electronic absorption spectrum of liquid water.<sup>54</sup> In addition to the BLYP-D3 functional, the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange-correlation functional<sup>55</sup> with Grimme dispersion correction (D3)<sup>50</sup> is also considered for geometric optimization. Nearly the same optimized geometric structures are obtained as those based on the BLYP-D3 functional.

Kohn-Sham orbitals are expanded into a double- $\zeta$  valence polarized basis set (DZVP). We adopt a strict convergence criterion for the electronic gradient  $1\times 10^{-7}\,\mathrm{eV}$  with the orbital transformation method. A tetragonal supercell  $(50 \times 50 \times 8.52 \text{ Å})$  is undertaken for all the structures with the axis of the CNT being along the z-direction. Convergence criterion for the maximum force is set to 0.01 eV/Åin all the geometry optimizations. Vibrational analysis is carried out to examine dynamic stability of the optimized periodic structures. No imaginary frequencies are found from the vibrational analysis, suggesting the optimized structures are local energy minima. Basis set superposition error (BSSE) is corrected to obtain the interaction energy  $E_i$  between a hydrogen molecule and inner surface of the ice nanotubes (enclosed in a SW-CNT), which is defined as  $E_i = E(A + E_i)$ B) – E(A) - E(B), where E(A + B) represents the total energy of the whole system, E(A) and E(B) represent the BSSE-corrected total energies of the (A) hydrogen molecules and (B) an ice nanotube enclosed in the SW-CNT. For Born-Oppenheimer AIMD simulations, all the AIMD trajectories (10 ps for each system) are generated in the constant volume and constant temperature ensemble, with the Nóse-Hoover chain method used for controlling the temperature (fixed at 250 K). The length of SW-CNT is always fixed at 0.852 nm. The time step of 1.0 fs is used, which has been proven to yield sufficient energy conservation for water systems.52-54

#### **Results and Discussion**

The first series of classical MD simulations involve five SW-CNT/ water systems to identify candidate ice-nanotube structures below the freezing point. The five zigzag SWCNTs with the indexes (13, 0), (14, 0), (15, 0), (16, 0), and (17, 0) have the diameter of 10.18, 10.96, 11.74, 12.53, and 13.31 Å, respectively. On the basis of previous MD simulation results,  $^{36}$  we place  $18 \times n$  (n = 4, 5, or 6) water molecules in the three narrower SW-CNTs, respectively, and  $18 \times (n + 1)$  (n = 7 or 8) in the two wider SW-CNTs to form perfect Q1D ice nanotubes. Different numbers of water molecules are also tested, and the spontaneous formation of ice nanotube structures (with some defects) is still observed (see Figure S2). All the five CNT/water systems are initially equilibrated at T = 1000 K and then subjected to an instant quench to T = 250K. After 20 ns equilibration, the hollow single-walled tetragonal, pentagonal and hexagonal ice nanotubes are formed in the (13, 0), (14, 0) and (15, 0) SW-CNTs, respectively, whereas the coresheath heptagonal and octagonal ice nanotubes with a 1D water wire in the interior region are observed in the (16, 0) and (17, 0)SW-CNTs (see Figure 1).

Clearly, to form Q1D hydrogen hydrates in these CNT/water systems, the H<sub>2</sub> molecules have to either occupy the hollow space within narrower ice nanotubes or displace the interior water wire within the core-sheath ice-nanotubes. Our second series of MD simulations are aimed to examine these possibilities. We initially place various mixtures of water and H<sub>2</sub> molecules within the five SW-CNTs and run the MD simulations to let the systems reach equilibrium at T = 1000 K. Next, the systems are subjected to an instant quench to T = 250 K, following by another 20 ns MD simulations. Results after 20 ns equilibration are summarized below:

(1) In the (13, 0) SW-CNT system, the supercell initially contains 72 ( $18 \times n$ , n = 4) water molecules and  $18 H_2$  molecules (i.e.,  $\rm H_2O/\rm H_2$  ratio is 4:1). During the 20 ns equilibration run at 250 K, a pentagonal composite ice nanotube is formed spontaneously, where some H<sub>2</sub> molecules occupy the wall of the ice nanotube rather than the hollow space (see Figure 2A). When the number of water molecules is reduced from 72 to 54  $(H_2O/H_2)$  ratio 3:1), phase-separated structures, i.e., a tetragonal ice nanotube together with a high-density  $\mathrm{H_2}\,\mathrm{gas},$  are observed (see Figure 2B). Despite of repeated cooling/heating and long-time simulation, the Q1D tetragonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate is not observed in the (13, 0) SW-CNT.

In addition, an independent AIMD starting with a perfect Q1D tetragonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (with 12 water molecules and 3 H<sub>2</sub> molecules) is performed. As shown in Supporting Information Movie S1, the AIMD trajectory of H<sub>2</sub> molecules in the tetragonal ice nanotube confirms that the 1D tetragonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate is unstable in the (13, 0) SW-CNT. We also compute the interaction energy between a H<sub>2</sub> and the inner wall of tetragonal ice nanotube based on a DFT method with inclusion of BSSE correction and dispersion correction. The positive interaction energy (0.5)eV) indicates that the interaction is repulsive. Hence, both the AIMD simulation and DFT calculation show that 1D tetragonal  $H_2$  hydrate cannot form within the (13, 0) SW-CNT. In other words,  $H_2$  molecules cannot occupy the interior space of a tetragonal ice nanotube.

(2) In the (14, 0) SW-CNT system, the numbers of water molecules and H<sub>2</sub> molecules are chosen to be 90 ( $18 \times n$ , n = 5) and 18 (i.e., H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio is 5:1). Spontaneous formation of the pentagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (Movie S2) is observed. The ice framework of pentagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate is identical to that of the pentagonal ice nanotube (other than a few defects). In other words, H<sub>2</sub> molecules can be enclosed in the interior nanochannel of the pentagonal ice nanotube (see Figure 2C). Moreover, we can obtain

Figure 2. Axial views (top panels) and side views (bottom panels) of the inherent structures of binary mixtures of water and H<sub>2</sub> molecules. The inherent structures are generated from the final snapshot of independent classical MD simulations at 250 K. (A) Pentagonal-like composite ice nanotube formed in (13, 0) SW-CNT (H<sub>2</sub>O/ H<sub>2</sub> ratio 4:1); (B) phase-separated INT and H<sub>2</sub> gas in (13, 0) SW-CNT

(H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 3:1); (C) pentagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate formed in (14, 0) SW-

CNT ( $H_2O/H_2$  ratio 5:1); (D) hexagonal  $H_2$  hydrate formed in (15, 0)

SWCNT (H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 6:1). Green spheres represent H<sub>2</sub> molecules.

Blue spheres represent oxygen atoms of H<sub>2</sub>O molecules in the core

region.

a nearly perfect pentagonal  $H_2$  hydrate via repeated cooling/ heating, followed by longer simulation and tuning the numbers of water molecules and H<sub>2</sub> molecules. An independent AIMD trajectory starting with a perfect pentagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (containing 15 water molecules and 3  $\rm H_2$  molecules) shows that the pentagonal  $\rm H_2$  hydrate is stable in the (14, 0) SW-CNT (Movie S3). However, the computed BSSE-corrected interaction energy between the H<sub>2</sub> molecule and pentagonal ice nanotube is about 0.1 eV. This positive binding energy indicates that H<sub>2</sub> molecules may be easily released from the pentagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate if the CNT system is an open-end system. Higher pressures are needed to trap H<sub>2</sub> molecules within the pentagonal ice nanotube.

(3) In the (15, 0) SW-CNT system, the numbers of water molecules and  $H_2$  molecules are chosen to be 108 (18 × n, n = 6) and 18 (i.e.,  $H_2O/H_2$  ratio is 6:1). Spontaneous formation of a nearly perfect hexagonal  $\rm H_2$  hydrate (Movie S4) is observed. Like Q1D pentagonal  $\rm H_2$  hydrate, the  $\rm H_2$  molecules are enclosed in the interior nanochannel of the hexagonal ice nanotube (see Figure 2D). Within the hexagonal ice nanotube, each hexagonal prism is singly occupied by a H<sub>2</sub> molecule. Thus, the molecular ratio between number of  $H_2$  molecules and water molecules is 1:6. Contrary to the 1D pentagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate, the BSSE-corrected interaction energy between H<sub>2</sub> molecule and hexagonal ice nanotube is about -0.09 eV, suggesting that it is energetically favorable for H<sub>2</sub> molecules to be trapped inside the hexagonal ice nanotube. Furthermore, an independent AIMD trajectory starting with a perfect hexagonal  $\mathrm{H}_2$ hydrate (containing 18 water molecules and  $3 H_2$  molecules) shows that the hexagonal  ${\rm H_2}\,{\rm hydrate}$  is stable in the (15, 0) SW-CNT at 250 K (Movie S5).

(4) In the (16, 0) SW-CNT system, the numbers of  $126 (18 \times n, 100)$ n = 7) water molecules and 18 H<sub>2</sub> molecules are chosen (i.e., H<sub>2</sub>O/ H<sub>2</sub> ratio is 7:1). After 20 ns equilibration at 250 K, a heptagonal







**Figure 3.** Axial views (top panels) and side views (bottom panels) of the inherent structures of the Q1D H<sub>2</sub> hydrates formed in (16, 0) SWCNT. The inherent structures are generated from the final snapshot of independent classical MD simulations at 250 K (or 280 K). (A) Heptagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 7:1); (B) a composite ice/H<sub>2</sub> nanotube structure (H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 3:1); (C) a heptagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate together with a phase-separated H<sub>2</sub> gas (from snapshot of classical MD at 280 K) (H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 3:1).

H<sub>2</sub> hydrate with some defects is observed, as shown in Figure 3Å (also see Movie S6). Here, the original interior water wire inside the core/sheath heptagonal ice nanotube is now replaced by the  $H_2$  wire. Within the heptagonal  $H_2$  hydrate each heptagonal prism contains a single H<sub>2</sub> molecule. Since the interior space of the heptagonal prism is larger than of the hexagonal prism, one may ask the question whether each heptagonal prism could be doubly occupied by two H<sub>2</sub> molecules. To explore this possibility, we reduce the number of water molecules to 108 and increase the number of  $H_2$  molecules to 36 (i.e.,  $H_2O/H_2$  ratio is 3:1). After 20 ns equilibration at 250 K (starting from an initial configuration obtained at 1000 K), a composite ice/H<sub>2</sub> nanotube structure is observed, where the H<sub>2</sub> molecules replace two neighboring water chains on the wall of the core/sheath heptagonal ice nanotube, as shown in Figure 3B (also see Movie S7). By heating the composite ice/H<sub>2</sub> nanotube system in three steps to 280 K (20 ns per step), the heptagonal ice hydrate is formed where some  $H_2$  molecules are encapsulated in the interior nanochannel of the heptagonal ice nanotube, indicating the composite ice/H<sub>2</sub> nanotube is a metastable structure. However, the occupancy of each heptagonal prism is still one, as shown in Figure 3C (also see Movie S8), indicating that the singly occupied heptagonal  $H_{2}$  hydrate is thermodynamically more favorable.

Although the double occupancy for the heptagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate is not observed in the MD simulation, the AIMD trajectories starting with a perfect heptagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (containing 21 water molecules and 3 or 6 H<sub>2</sub> molecules) suggest that 1D heptagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrates with either single or double occupancy per heptagon prism are stable in the (16, 0) SW-CNT (see Movies S9 and S10). The computed BSSE-corrected interaction energy between the first H<sub>2</sub> molecule and heptagonal ice nanotube of the doubly occupied heptagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrates is about -0.12 eV. However, the interaction energy between the second H<sub>2</sub> molecule and ice nanotube is positive, suggesting that the double occupancy is energetically unfavorable.

(5) Lastly, in the (17, 0) SW-CNT system, the numbers of water and H<sub>2</sub> molecules are chosen to be 144 ( $18 \times n$ , n = 8) and 18 (i.e., H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio is 8:1). After 20 ns equilibration at 250 K, a nearly perfect singly occupied octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate is formed spontaneously, as shown in Figure 4A (also see Movie S11). To examine possibility of the double occupancy for the octagonal  $H_2$  hydrate, we increase the number of  $H_2$  molecules to 27 (i.e.,  $H_2O/H_2$  ratio is 16:3). Interestingly, spontaneous formation of a nearly perfect octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate at 250 K with both single and double occupancy is observed, as shown in Figure 4B (also see Movie S12). Furthermore, when the number of  $H_{2}$  molecules is increased to 36 (i.e., H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio is 4:1), a full doubly occupied octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate with some defects is formed at 250 K (see Figure 4C and Movie S13). Subsequent simulations by increasing the temperature to 260 K for 20 ns, 270 K for 20 ns and then to 280 K for 20 ns also yield a nearly perfect octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate with double occupancy per octagonal prism (see Figure 4D and Movie S14). In addition, the computed BSSEcorrected interaction energy between the H2 molecules and octagonal ice nanotube is about -0.05 eV. Thus, the octagonal H<sub> $_{2}$ </sub> hydrate with double occupancy per octagonal prism is also energetically favorable. AIMD trajectories starting with a perfect octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (containing 24 water molecules and 3 or 6  $\mathrm{H}_2$  molecules) also show that the octagonal  $\mathrm{H}_2$  hydrates with either single or double occupancy per octagon prism in the (17, 0) SW-CNT are stable at 250 K (see Movies S15 and S16). Hence, H<sub>2</sub> molecules can stabilize the octagonal ice nanotube to form Q1D H<sub>2</sub> hydrates through either single or double occupancy, for which the molecular ratio of H2 molecules and water molecules ranges from 1:8 to 1:4.

Both the classical and ab initio MD simulations demonstrate that the *n*-gonal  $H_{2}$  hydrates (n = 5-8) can be formed in corresponding (14, 0), (15, 0), (16, 0), and (17, 0) SW-CNTs. The SW-CNTs with a supercell length of 5.112 nm can accommodate 18 n-gonal prisms with each prism hosting at least one H<sub>2</sub> molecule (an octagonal prism can host two  $\mathrm{H}_2$  molecules). Thus, to achieve perfect  $n\text{-gonal}\;\mathbf{H}_2$  hydrate structures, we place  $18\times n$ water and 18 H<sub>2</sub> molecules initially in the supercell. If the number of water and H<sub>2</sub> molecules does not follow the ideal ratio, some defects would be created in the n-gonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (see Figure S3). Moreover, Figure S3 shows that slightly excessive water molecules in the supercell will block the formation of a perfect H<sub>2</sub> chain in the hydrate since the extra water tend to occupy the center of hydrate, whereas insufficient number of the water molecules in the supercell will tend to induce some vacancies in the ice-nanotubes. Alternatively, with slightly deficient number of water molecules from the ideal H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio (i.e., H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio < 8:1), a mixed 8-/7-gonal Q1D  $\rm H_2$  hydrate is formed in (17, 0) SW-CNT (see Figure S3A) rather than the 8-gonal Q1D  $\rm H_2$ hydrate. If  $N_{\rm w}$  = 18 × 7 and  $N_{\rm H2}$  = 18 (i.e., H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio = 7:1), the hydrate ends up to a 7-gonal Q1D hydrate. Likewise, with slightly deficient number of water molecules (i.e., H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio < 7:1), a mixed 7-/6-gonal  $H_2$  hydrate is formed in the (16, 0) SWCNT, and if  $N_{\rm w} = 18 \times 6$ , i.e.,  $H_2O/H_2$  ratio = 6:1, the 6-gonal



**Figure 4.** Axial views (top panels) and side views (bottom panels) of the inherent structures of the Q1D octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrates formed in (17, 0) SW-CNT. The inherent structures are generated from the final snapshot of independent classical MD simulations at 250 K (or 280 K). (A) Singly occupied (per prism) octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 8:1); (B) a nearly perfect octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate with both single and double occupancy (H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 16:3); (C) doubly occupied (per prism) octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (from snapshot of MD at 280 K) (H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 4:1); (D) a nearly perfect doubly occupied octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate (from snapshot of MD at 280 K) (H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio 4:1).

hydrate is formed (see Figure S3B). In stark contrast, with (15, 0) SW-CNT and slightly deficient number of water molecules (i.e.,  $\rm H_2O/H_2$  ratio < 6:1), a perfect 6-gonal  $\rm H_2$  hydrate and a phase-separated  $\rm H_2$  gas are formed, rather than a mixed 6-/5-gonal  $\rm H_2$  hydrate (see Figure S3C).

In Table 1, we summarize structures of the Q1D  $\rm H_2$  hydrates, together with corresponding  $\rm H_2O/H_2$  ratio, occupancy of  $\rm H_2$  in every polygonal prism, hydrogen weight percentage (wt %), and the collapse temperature ( $T_{\rm collapse}$ ) of the hydrates. The result that  $T_{\rm collapse}$  of the pentagonal hydrate is much lower than that of other Q1D hydrates suggests that  $\rm H_2$  molecules can be easily released from the pentagonal  $\rm H_2$ , consistent with the computed BSSE-corrected interaction energy. Also,  $T_{\rm collapse}$  of doubly occupied octagonal hydrate is much higher than that of singly and/ or mixed singly/doubly occupied octagonal hydrate, indicating the octagonal hydrate with double occupancy is the most stable.

To gain more insight into the structural features of these polygonal ice nanotubes and H<sub>2</sub> hydrates, we compute the radial and axial density profiles, as shown in Figures 5, 6 and S4. The radial density profiles confirm that the tetragonal, pentagonal and hexagonal ice nanotubes are hollow, while the heptagonal and octagonal ice nanotubes are core-sheath, i.e., containing a water wire in the interior nanochannel (Figure 5A). Figure 5B-F shows that all ice nanotubes exhibit periodically layered structures in the axial direction. Interestingly, it can be seen from Figure 5E,F that the heptagonal and octagonal ice nanotubes exhibit notably different density distribution in the axial direction, even though both ice nanotubes encapsulate a water wire. For the heptagonal core-sheath nanotube, there is a low peak between every two neighboring high peaks and the density values at valleys are always close to 0, suggesting that the water molecules in the water wire are entrapped in the center of each heptagonal prism and their migration rate is very slow (the axial diffusion

**Table 1.** Structures of Q1D  $H_2$  Hydrates,  $H_2O/H_2$  Molecular Ratio, Occupancies of  $H_2$  per Polygonal Prism, Hydrogen Weight Percentage (wt %), and the Collapse Temperature of Hydrates

SWCNTs	H <sub>2</sub> hydrate	$H_2O/H_2$ ratio	occupancy	wt % of H <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>collapse</sub> (K) <sup>a</sup>
(14,0)	5-gonal	5:1	single	0.37	290
(15,0)	6-gonal phase-	6:1 <6:1 d	single	0.34	390
(16,0)	7-gonal Mixed 7-gonal/ 6-gonal	7:1 (6:1, 7:1)	single single	0.32 (0.32, 0.33)	400
	6-gonal	6:1	single	0.33	300
(17,0)	7-gonal Mixed 8-gonal/ 7-gonal	7:1 (7:1, 8:1)	single single	0.30 (0.29, 0.30)	330
	8-gonal	8:1 (8:1, 8:2)	single single/ double	0.29 (0.29, 0.58)	330
		8:2	double	0.58	410

a.  $T_{\text{collapse}}$  is the temperature at which 50% clathrate cages are collapsed, computed by heating the clathrates from 250 in 10 K temperature step (20 ns per temperature step). The initial configurations are the perfect hydrate structures to ignore effects of defects.

constant is  $3 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s). As such, the water wire is solidlike. However, for the octagonal core–sheath nanotube, no low peak is seen between every two neighboring high peaks and the valley values are still appreciable, suggesting that water molecules in the water wire can pass through each octagonal water rings



**Figure 5.** (A) Radial density profiles of polygonal INTs. Axial density profiles of (B) tetragonal, (C) pentagonal, (D), hexagonal, (E) heptagonal, and (F) octagonal INTs.



**Figure 6.** Radial density profiles of (A) pentagonal, (B), hexagonal, (C) heptagonal, and (D) octagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrates.

easily (the axial diffusion constant is  $1\times10^{-6}\,{\rm cm^2/~s}).$  Hence, the water wire is liquid-like, consistent with the experimental result of "nanotube water".  $^{56}$ 

The radial density profiles of polygonal  $H_2$  hydrates confirm that  $H_2$  molecules are trapped in the interior nanochannel of corresponding polygonal ice nanotubes. As the diameter of SW-CNT increases, the trapped  $H_2$  molecules tend to be located off the center (see Figure 6). Figure S4 shows a common feature for all polygonal  $H_2$  hydrates, that is, each density peak of  $H_2$  appears between neighboring water density peaks, indicating that  $H_2$  molecules prefer to be located at the center of each polygonal prism. However, there is a notable distinction between octagonal  $H_2$  hydrate and other ones, namely, the density distributions of  $H_2$  are still appreciable even at center of the water octagonal rings whereas those in other polygonal  $H_2$  hydrates are nearly zero. This means that the probability of  $H_2$  molecules located at water ring is very small in heptagonal or narrower  $H_2$  hydrates in heptagonal H<sub>2</sub> hydrate can easily pass through the heptagonal rings (see Movie S9)), but the probability is much larger in octagonal ice nanotube. Therefore, the rate of H<sub>2</sub> migration through octagonal rings can be much higher than that in the H<sub>2</sub> hydrates with smaller diameter. Indeed, the axial diffusion constant of H<sub>2</sub> in octagonal hydrates is about  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s (liquid-like, Figure 7D–F), whereas those in narrower hydrates are less than  $5 \times$  $10^{-10}\,\mathrm{cm^{2}\!/s}$  (250 K, Figure 7A–C). With increasing temperature, the axial diffusion constants of  $H_2$  molecules are of the same magnitude as those of water in pentagonal and hexagonal hydrates below the collapse temperature, suggesting that H<sub>2</sub> molecule can hardly pass through the pentagonal and hexagonal water rings (Figure 7A,B). It can be also seen from Figure 7C that the  $H_{2}$ axial diffusion constant is about an order of magnitude greater than that of water in heptagonal clathrate at 390 K, indicating that H<sub>2</sub> molecule can pass through the heptagonal water rings.

Note that the H<sub>2</sub> diffusivity within a hydrate having defects is dependent on the type of defects. For example, the axial diffusion constant of H<sub>2</sub> in a metastable composite ice/H<sub>2</sub> hexagonal nanotube in (16, 0) SW-CNT at 250 K is about  $7 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s, suggesting the H<sub>2</sub> molecules in the composite ice/ H<sub>2</sub> nanotube can move more freely along the axial direction (Figure 3B). When the heptagonal ice hydrate is formed by heating the composite ice/ H<sub>2</sub> nanotube to 280 K (Figure 3C), the axial diffusion constant of H<sub>2</sub> is reduced to about  $8 \times 10^{-8}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s, about 2 orders of magnitude lower. In this case, the heptagonal water rings can block diffusion of H<sub>2</sub> molecules in the axial direction.

Finally, we perform additional classical MD simulations to demonstrate that the Q1D  $H_2$  clathrates can be also formed spontaneously near ambient pressure. Toward this end, we first place a finite-size SW-CNT into a dilute  $H_2$  aqueous solution. The solution is initially equilibrated at 300 K and 1 atm, followed by stepwise cooling in a temperature step of 10 K (see the Supporting Information for computational details). At 250 K, the spontaneous formation of heptagonal  $H_2$  hydrates is observed in (17, 0) SW-CNT (Figure 8A and Movies S17), while octagonal  $H_2$  hydrate is formed spontaneously in (18, 0) SW-CNT at 220 K (see Figure 8B and Movies S18).

#### Conclusion

We have shown that polygonal ice nanotubes and Q1D H<sub>2</sub> hydrates can be formed spontaneously inside SW-CNTs of nanometer-size diameter near ambient temperature. In relatively wider SW-CNT, e.g., (16,0) and (17,0), the Q1D H<sub>2</sub> hydrates exhibit core-sheath structures, i.e., H<sub>2</sub> molecules occupy the interior core (by replacing the original interior water wire) of the either heptagonal or octagonal ice nanotubes, forming a H<sub>2</sub> wire. Notably, in the octagonal ice nanotube, liquid-like transport of H<sub>2</sub> molecules in the axial direction can be observed. In the relatively narrow SW-CNT, e.g, (15, 0), the Q1D hydrate also exhibits the core–sheath structure with  $H_2$  molecules occupying the interior core of the hexagonal ice nanotube, forming a solid-like H<sub>2</sub> wire. Further DFT calculations indicate that the interaction energy between a H<sub>2</sub> and the inner wall of these ice nanotubes is attractive and thus energetically favorable at single occupancy. Ab initio molecular dynamics simulation suggests that these H<sub>2</sub> hydrates are stable at 250 K within the SW-CNTs. Unlike conventional bulk gas hydrates (with type I, II or H structure) where the guest molecules are typically contained in isolated cages in the ice framework, the H<sub>2</sub> molecules in the Q1D gas hydrates are contained within a 1D nanochannel and form a either solidlike or liquid-like molecule wire. If confirmed in the laboratory, these new forms of Q1D H<sub>2</sub> hydrates not only will enrich the gashydrate family, but also may offer a clean and safe way to store large-quantity hydrogen near the ambient condition. Although



**Figure 7.** Calculated mean square displacement (MSD) in the axial direction for  $H_2$  and water molecules in the center of Q1D  $H_2$  hydrates (formed in SW-CNTs).



**Figure 8.** Snapshots of (A) heptagonal [at 250 K and 1 atm, in flexible (17,0) SW-CNT] and (B) octagonal [at 220 K and 1 atm, in flexible (18,0) SW-CNT] H<sub>2</sub> hydrates formed inside finite-size SW-CNTs. The SW-CNTs are always immersed in a water-H<sub>2</sub> solution where the H<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub> ratio is approximately 188:1.

the gravimetric density of Q1D hexagonal  $\rm H_2$  hydrates is relatively low for automobile applications, the hydrates can provide a very safe way for on-demand hydrogen release without separation issues (for example, carbon-monoxide free) for portable electronic device applications.

#### **Supporting Information**

Classical MD simulations with the Silvera-Goldman (exp-6-8-10) potential for  $H_2-H_2$  and  $H_2-C$  interactions; the structures formed by changing the number of water and  $H_2$  molecules; the axial density profiles in hydrates; *NPT* classical MD simulations of finite-size SW-CNTs immersed in water- $H_2$  mixture; and movies of classical MD and AIMD trajectories of Q1D  $H_2$  gas hydrates confined in SW-CNTs. This material (19 mpeg and 1 pdf) is available at <u>http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/ja5041539</u> or attached to the repository cover page for this article.

Acknowledgments — J.B. is supported by a grant from UNL Research Council. X.C.Z. is supported by grants from the NSF (CBET-1066947, CHE-1306326), ARL (W911NF1020099), UNL Holland Computing Center, and a grant from USTC for (1000 Talents Plan) Qianren-B summer research. W.H.Z. is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities WK2060030012). W.H.Z. and X.C.Z. are also supported by a grant (1401042004) from Bureau of Science & Technology of Anhui Province. The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### References

 Sloan, E. D.; Koh, C. Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gases; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2007.

- (3) Mao, W. L.; Mao, H.-k.; Goncharov, A. F.; Struzhkin, V. V.; Guo, Q.; Hu, J.; Shu, J.; Hemley, R. J.; Somayazulu, M.; Zhao, Y. Science 2002, 297, 2247–2249.
- (4) Patchkovskii, S.; Tse, J. S. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2003, 100, 14645–14650.
- (5) Mao, W. L.; Mao, H. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2004, 101, 708-710.
- (6) Lee, H.; Lee, J.-w.; Kim, D. Y.; Park, J.; Seo, Y.-T.; Zeng, H.; Moudrakovski, I. L.; Ratcliffe, C. I.; Ripmeester, J. A. *Nature* 2005, 434, 743–746.
- (7) Struzhkin, V. V.; Militzer, B.; Mao, W. L.; Mao, H.; Hemley, R. J. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4133–4151.
- (8) Hakim, L.; Koga, K.; Tanaka, H. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2010, 104, 115701.
- (9) Smirnov, G. S. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2013, 4, 3560-3564.
- (10) Florusse, L. J.; Peters, C. J.; Schoonman, J.; Hester, K. C.; Koh, C. A.; Dec, S. F.; March, K. N.; Sloan, E. D. Science 2004, 306, 469–471.
- (11) Chapoy, A.; Anderson, R.; Tohidi, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 746–747.
- (12) Prasad, P. S. R.; Sugahara, T.; Sum, A. K.; Sloan, E. D.; Koh, C. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2009, 113, 6540–6543.
- (13) Sugahara, T.; Haag, J. C.; Prasad, P. S. R.; Warntjes, A. A.; Sloan, E. D.; Sum, A. K.; Koh, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14616–14617.
- (14) Vos, W. L.; Funger, L. W.; Hemley, R. J.; Mao, H. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1993, 71, 3150–3153.
- (15) Duarte, A. R. C.; Shariati, A.; Rovetto, L. J.; Peters, C. J. J. Phys. Chem. B 2008, 112, 1888–1889.
- (16) Alavi, S.; Ripmeester, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6102–6105.
- (17) Hakim, L.; Koga, K.; Tanaka, H. Phys. Rev. B 2010, 82, 144105.
- (18) Hirai, H.; Kagawa, S.; Tanaka, T.; Matsuoka, T.; Yagi, T.; Ohishi, Y.; Nakano, S.; Tamamoto, Y.; Irifune, T. J. Chem. *Phys.* 2012, *137*, 074505.
- (19) Koh, D.-Y.; Kang, H.; Jeon, J.; Ahn, Y.-H.; Park, Y.; Kim, H.; Lee, H. J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 3324–3330.
- (20) Plattner, N.; Meuwly, M. J. Chem. Phys. 2014, 140, 024311.
- (21) Walsh, M. R.; Koh, C. A.; Sloan, E. D.; Sum, A. K.; Wu, D. T. Science 2009, 326, 1095–1098.
- (22) (a) Koga, K.; Zeng, X. C.; Tanaka, H. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1997, 79, 5262–5265. (b) Bai, J.; Zeng, X. C.; Koga, K.; Tanaka, H. Molecular Simulation 2003, 29, 619–626. (c) Kaneko, T.; Bai, J.; Yasuoka, K.; Mitsutake, A.; Zeng, X. C. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2013, 9, 3299–3310. (d) Kaneko, T.; Bai, J.; Yasuoka, K.; Mitsutake, A.; Zeng, X. C. J. Chem. Phys. 2014, 140, 184507.
- (23) Zangi, R.; Mark, A. E. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 119, 1694-1700.
- (24) (a) Kumar, P.; Buldyrev, S. V.; Starr, F. W.; Giovambattista, N.; Stanley, H. E. *Phys. Rev. E* 2005, *72*, 051503. (b) Giovambattista, N.; Rossky, P. J.; Debenedetti, P. G. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2009, *102*, 050603.
- (25) (a) Koga, K.; Gao, G. T.; Tanaka, H.; Zeng, X. C. *Physica A* 2002, 314, 462. (b) Bai, J.; Wang, J.; Zeng, X. C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 19664–19667.
- (26) Johnston, J. C.; Kastelowitz, N.; Molinero, V. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 133, 154516.
- (27) Han, S.; Choi, M. Y.; Kumar, P.; Stanley, H. E. Nat. Phys. 2010, 6, 685–689.

- (28) Zhao, W.-H.; Bai, J.; Yuan, L.-F.; Yang, J.; Zeng, X. C. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 1757–1764.
- (29) Bai, J.; Angell, C. A.; Zeng, X. C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2010, 107, 5718–5722.
- (30) Bai, J.; Zeng, X. C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012, 109, 21240–21245.
- (31) Tanaka, H.; Koga, K. J. Chem. Phys. 2005, 123, 094706.
- (32) Hummer, G.; Rasaiah, J. C.; Noworyta, J. P. Nature 2001, 414, 188–190.
- (33) Koga, K.; Gao, G. T.; Tanaka, H.; Zeng, X. C. Nature 2001, 412, 802–805.
- (34) Maniwa, Y.; Matsuda, K.; Kyakuno, H.; Ogasawara, S.; Hibi, T.; Kadowaki, H.; Suzuki, S.; Achiba, Y.; Kataura, H. Nat. Mater. 2007, 6, 135–141.
- (35) Byl, O.; Liu, J.-C.; Wang, Y.; Yim, W.-L.; Johnson, J. K.; Yates, J. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12090–12097.
- (36) Luo, C.; Fa, W.; Zhou, J.; Dong, J.; Zeng, X. C. Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 2607.
- (37) Hess, B.; Kutzner, C.; van der Spoel, D.; Lindahl, E. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2008, 4, 435–447.
- (38) Zhao, W.-H.; Shang, B.; Du, S. P.; Yuan, L.-F.; Yang, J.; Zeng, X. C. J. Chem. Phys. 2012, 137, 034501.
- (39) Jorgensen, W. J.; Chandrasekhar, J. D.; Madura, J. D.; Impey, R. W.; Klein, M. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 79, 926.
- (40) Cracknell, R. F. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2001, 3, 2091-2097.
- (41) Silvera, I. F.; Goldman, V. V. J. Chem. Phys. 1978, 69, 4209-4213.
- (42) Sun, D. Y.; Liu, J. W.; Gong, X. G.; Liu, Z.-F. Phys. Rev. B 2007, 75, 075424.
- (43) Chen, H. Y.; Sun, D. Y.; Gong, X. G.; Liu, Z.-F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1973–1976.
- (44) Bussi, G.; Donadio, D.; Parrinello, M. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 126, 014101.
- (45) VandeVondele, J.; Krack, M.; Mohamed, F.; Parrinello, M.; Chassaing, T.; Hutter, J. Comput. Phys. Commun. 2005, 167, 103–128.
- (46) Goedecker, S.; Teter, M.; Hutter, J. Phys. Rev. B 1996, 54, 1703.
- (47) Hartwigsen, C.; Goedecker, S.; Hutter, J. Phys. Rev. B 1998, 58, 3641.
- (48) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098.
- (49) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785.
- (50) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.
- (51) Hujo, W.; Grimme, S. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2011, 13, 13942–13950.
- (52) Mareš, J.; Liimatainen, H.; Laasonen, K.; Vaara, J. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7, 2937–2946.
- (53) Zhao, Y.; Li, H.; Zeng, X. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15549–15558.
- (54) Martiniano, H. F. M. C.; Galamba, N.; Cabral, B. J. C. J. Chem. Phys. 2014, 140, 164511.
- (55) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865.
- (56) Kolesnikov, A. I.; Zabotti, J.-M.; Loong, C.-K.; Thiyagarajan, P.; Moravsky, A. P.; Loutfy, R. O.; Burnham, C. J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2004, 93, 035503.