University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

The George Eliot Review

English, Department of

1988

Review of The Prison of Womanhood. Four Provincial in Nineteenth Century Fiction.

Elizabeth Jane Macmillian

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ger Part of the <u>Comparative Literature Commons</u>, <u>Literature in English</u>, <u>British Isles Commons</u>, and the <u>Women's Studies Commons</u>

Macmillian, Elizabeth Jane, "Review of The Prison of Womanhood. Four Provincial in Nineteenth Century Fiction." (1988). *The George Eliot Review*. 99. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ger/99

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the English, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in The George Eliot Review by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

The George Eliot Review 19 (1988) Published by The George Eliot Fellowship, http://georgeeliot.org/

The Prison of Womanhood. Four Provincial Heroines in Nineteenth Century Fiction. By Elizabeth Jane Sabiston. Macmillan Press 1987.

This is an interesting but relatively obvious analysis of four female characters of major significance in 19th century fiction. Miss Sabiston is seeking to establish whether or not there is a 'feminine creative sensibility'. She notes the heroines' similarities, and as her analysis deepens, she maintains comparison and perspective by cross-reference. Thus Emma Bovary requires change of location for her romance, whereas Emma is manifestly tied by duty to her own area and rejects any idea of moving away. The sections devoted to Dorothea Brooke advance what seems to me to be very obvious arguments. The chapter, as with the others, consists of a series of short essays which preclude detailed investigation: there is also a tendency to be speculative, for example, to consider what Dorothea might have been had she lived in the second half of this century. There is some good close analysis throughout, particularly on the importance of interiors, but the overall effect is one of fragmentation rather than of independent and integrated analysis. There is a neat and deft touch with some of the essays, but one gets the feeling that segments of summary and quotation are no substitute for demonstrably sensitive critical investigation at depth.