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#### Abstract

Inertial navigation is the problem of estimating the flight path of a moving object based on only acceleration measurements. This paper describes and compares two approaches for intertial navigation. Both approaches estimate the flght path of the moving object using cubic spline interpolation, but they find the coefficients of the cubic spline pieces by different methods. The first approach uses a tridiagonal matrix, while the second approach uses recurrence equations. They also require different boundary conditions. While both approaches work in $\mathbf{O ( n )}$ time where $n$ is the number of given acceleration measurements, the recurrence equation-based method can be easier updated when a new measurement data is obtained.


## I. Introduction

Inertial navigation is the problem of estimating the flight path of a moving object based on only acceleration measurements. With the wide-spread availability of GPS sensors, inertial navigation is still important when the GPS system is not accessible, for example, when the moving object is a submarine deep in the ocean or when the GPS system is deliberately disrupted in the course of combat. Understanding inertial navigation is also important for biology because several animal species, including different kinds of birds, seem to use inertial navigation to find they way.

The problem of inertial navigation is more challenging than the simpler problem of estimating the flight path of a moving object based on data on its position at either sporadic or regular periodic time intervals. This simpler problem may be solved using several interpolation methods. For example, the problem can be solved using cubic spline interpolation for functions of one time variable [2]. Cubic splines can be described as follows.

Let $f(t)$ be a function from $\mathcal{R}$ to $\mathcal{R}$. Suppose we know about $f$ only its value at locations $t_{0}<\ldots<t_{n}$. Let $f\left(t_{i}\right)=a_{i}$. Piecewise cubic spline interpolation of $f(t)$ is the problem of finding the $b_{i}, c_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ coefficients of the cubic polynomials $S_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ written in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}(t)=a_{i}+b_{i}\left(t-t_{i}\right)+c_{i}\left(t-t_{i}\right)^{2}+d_{i}\left(t-t_{i}\right)^{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each piece $S_{i}$ interpolates the interval $\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ and fits the adjacent pieces by satisfying certain smoothness con-
ditions. Taking once and twice the derivative of Equation (1) yields, respectively, the equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i}^{\prime}(t)=b_{i}+2 c_{i}\left(t-t_{i}\right)+3 d_{i}\left(t-t_{i}\right)^{2}  \tag{2}\\
S_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t)=2 c_{i}+6 d_{i}\left(t-t_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (1-3) imply that $S_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)=a_{i}, S_{i}^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=b_{i}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=2 c_{i}$. For a smooth fit between the adjacent pieces, the cubic spline interpolation requires that the following conditions hold for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{i}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=S_{i+1}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=a_{i+1}  \tag{4}\\
& S_{i}^{\prime}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=S_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=b_{i+1}  \tag{5}\\
& S_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=S_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i+1}\right)=2 c_{i+1} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the cubic splines interpolation method using the tridiagonal matrix approach. Section III describes an alternative recurrence equation-based approach. Section IV presents an example of cubic spline interpolation of a moving object and compares the two approaches. Section V describes the generalization of the two approaches to objects that move in 3D space. Finally, Section VI gives some conclusions and describes several open problems and future work.

## II. A Tridiagonal Matrix-Based Solution

In this section we present a cubic spline interpolation using a tridiagonal matrix-based approach. Let $h_{i}=t_{i+1}-t_{i}$. Substituting Equations (1-3) into Equations (4-6), respectively, yields:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i}+b_{i} h_{i}+c_{i} h_{i}^{2}+d_{i} h_{i}^{3}=a_{i+1}  \tag{7}\\
b_{i}+2 c_{i} h_{i}+3 d_{i} h_{i}^{2}=b_{i+1}  \tag{8}\\
c_{i}+3 d_{i} h_{i}=c_{i+1} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (9) yields a value for $d_{i}$, which we can substitute into Equations (7-8). Hence Equations (7-9) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i+1}-a_{i}=b_{i} h_{i}+\frac{2 c_{i}+c_{i+1}}{3} h_{i}^{2}  \tag{10}\\
b_{i+1}-b_{i}=\left(c_{i}+c_{i+1}\right) h_{i}  \tag{11}\\
d_{i}=\frac{1}{3 h_{i}}\left(c_{i+1}-c_{i}\right) \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving Equation (10) for $b_{i}$ yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}=\left(a_{i+1}-a_{i}\right) \frac{1}{h_{i}}-\frac{2 c_{i}+c_{i+1}}{3} h_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies for $j \leq n-3$ the condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i+1}=\left(a_{i+2}-a_{i+1}\right) \frac{1}{h_{i+1}}-\frac{2 c_{i+1}+c_{i+2}}{3} h_{i+1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting into Equation (11) the values for $b_{i}$ and $b_{i+1}$ from Equations (13-14) yields:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(a_{i+1}-a_{i}\right) \frac{1}{h_{i}}-\left(2 c_{i}+c_{i+1}\right) \frac{h_{i}}{3}+\left(c_{i}+c_{i+1}\right) h_{i}= \\
\left(a_{i+2}-a_{i+1}\right) \frac{1}{h_{i+1}}-\left(2 c_{i+1}+c_{i+2}\right) \frac{h_{i+1}}{3}
\end{array}
$$

The above can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{3}{h_{i}} a_{i}-\left(\frac{3}{h_{i}}+\frac{3}{h_{i+1}}\right) a_{i+1}+\frac{3}{h_{i+1}} a_{i+2}= \\
h_{i} c_{i}+2\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right) c_{i+1}+h_{i+1} c_{i+2}
\end{array}
$$

The above holds for $0 \leq i \leq n-3$. However, changing the index downward by one the following holds for $1 \leq j \leq n-2$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{3}{h_{i-1}} a_{i-1}-\left(\frac{3}{h_{i-1}}+\frac{3}{h_{i}}\right) a_{i}+\frac{3}{h_{i}} a_{i+1} \\
h_{i-1} c_{i-1}+2\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i}\right) c_{i}+h_{i} c_{i+1}= \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

The above is a system of $n-1$ linear equations for the unknown position values $a_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ in terms of the measured acceleration values $2 c_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. By Equation (3) $S_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=2 c_{0}$ and by extending Equation (6) to $i=n-1, S_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=2 c_{n}$.

The cubic spline interpolation allows us to specify several possible boundary conditions regarding the values of $a_{0}$ and $a_{n}$. A commonly used boundary condition, called a natural cubic spline, assumes that $a_{0}=a_{n}=0$, which is equivalent to saying that the moving object starts at position 0 and returns to it at the end of its flight. This is a natural condition because birds can be expected to return to their nests and airplanes can
be expected to return to their hangars. Hence this is used as a common default condition when there is no better boundary value available. However, we can assume any boundary value for $f\left(t_{0}\right)=a_{0}$ and $f\left(t_{n}\right)=a_{n}$ if they are known.

In solving a cubic spline, a uniform sampling is also commonly assumed to be available. This is natural to assume because accelerometers can send a signal every few seconds. In that case each $h_{i}$ has the same constant value $h$. Then multiplying Equation (15) by $h / 3$ yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i-1}-2 a_{i}+a_{i+1}=\frac{h^{2}}{3}\left(c_{i-1}+4 c_{i}+c_{i+1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the values of $c_{i}$ are known, the values of $a_{i}$ can be found by solving a particular tridiagonal matrix-vector equation $A x=B$. The matrices can be represented as follows:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

the vector of unknowns is:
$x=\left[\begin{array}{c}a_{0} \\ a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n}\end{array}\right]$
and the vector of known constants is:
$B=\left[\begin{array}{c}f\left(t_{0}\right) \\ \frac{h^{2}}{3}\left(c_{0}+4 c_{1}+c_{2}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{h^{2}}{3}\left(c_{n-2}+4 c_{n-1}+c_{n}\right) \\ f\left(t_{n}\right)\end{array}\right]$.
The above describes a system of $n+1$ linear equations with $n+1$ unknowns. Such a system normally yields a unique solution except in some special cases. Moreover, such a tridiagonal matrix system can be solved in $O(n)$ time. Once the $a_{i}$ values are found, the $d_{i}$ and the $b_{i}$ values also can be found by Equations (12) and (13), respectively. Computating the $b_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ coefficients can be done also within $O(n)$ time.

The above solution to the inertial navigation problem seems new, although the reverse problem of finding the acceleration values given the position values is a straightforward cubic spline problem. The novelty of the above approach is in Equation (16), which highlights that three consecutive $a$ variables
could be considered the unknowns and can be expressed by three consecutive $c$ constants.

## III. Alternative Recurrence Equation Solution

Instead of using a tridiagonal matrix, in this section we give a more direct and effective method for solving the problem of interpolating the location of a moving object described by a function $f(t)$ when we know only the acceleration of the object at times $t_{0}<\ldots<t_{n}$. The measured acceleration value at any time $t_{i}$ is twice the value of the corresponding constant $c_{i}$, that is, $f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=2 c_{i}$. Hence in this case we need to find a piecewise cubic spline interpolation of $f(t)$ by finding the $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ coefficients of the cubic polynomials $S_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ written in the form of Equation (1). At first note that Equation (11) implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}=b_{i-1}+\left(c_{i-1}+c_{i}\right) h_{i-1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above can be used to express any $b_{i}$ for $i>0$ in terms of the initial velocity $b_{0}$ and the $c_{i}$ coefficients, the known constants, as follows:

$$
b_{i}=b_{0}+\sum_{1 \leq k \leq i}\left(b_{k}-b_{k-1}\right)=b_{0}+\sum_{1 \leq k \leq i}\left(c_{k-1}+c_{k}\right) h_{k-1}
$$

Further, we can rewrite Equation (10) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=a_{i-1}+b_{i-1} h_{i-1}+\frac{2 c_{i-1}+c_{i}}{3} h_{i-1}^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above can be used to express each $a_{i}$ for $i>0$ in terms of the $b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ constants as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{i}=a_{0}+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i}\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right)= \\
a_{0}+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i}\left(b_{j-1} h_{j-1}+\frac{2 c_{j-1}+c_{j}}{3} h_{j-1}^{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

Clearly, we can find first all the $b_{i}$ in $O(n)$ time, and then we can compute all the $a_{i}$ also in $O(n)$ time. All the $d_{i}$ can be also computed in $O(n)$ time using Equation (12). Hence in this case also the piecewise cubic interpolation can be found in $O(n)$ time.

## IV. Example of An Object in Free Fall

Suppose that an object is released from a height of 400 feet and falls to the ground in five seconds. Suppose also that we measure the object's acceleration at every second until five seconds after release to be always $-32 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ due to the gravitational pull of the earth. Find a cubic spline approximation for the object's position at all times from the release to five seconds after.

As the object falls to the earth, its elevation is decreasing. Hence the gravitational force is considered with a negative sign. The cubic polynomials we need to find for the intervals $[0,1],[1,2],[2,3],[3,4]$ and $[4,5]$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{0}(t)=a_{0}+b_{0} t+c_{0} t^{2}+d_{0} t^{3} \\
S_{1}(t)=a_{1}+b_{1}(t-1)+c_{1}(t-1)^{2}+d_{1}(t-1)^{3} \\
S_{2}(t)=a_{2}+b_{2}(t-2)+c_{2}(t-2)^{2}+d_{2}(t-2)^{3} \\
S_{3}(t)=a_{3}+b_{3}(t-3)+c_{3}(t-3)^{2}+d_{3}(t-3)^{3} \\
S_{4}(t)=a_{4}+b_{4}(t-4)+c_{4}(t-4)^{2}+d_{3}(t-4)^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have $n=6, c_{0}=-16, c_{1}=-16, c_{2}=-16, c_{3}=-16$ $c_{4}=-16 c_{5}=-16$ and the uniform time step size is $h=1$ second. By our assumption $f(0)=400$ and $f(4)=0$. Hence matrix $A$ and the vectors $x$ and $B$ are:
$A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$,
$x=\left[\begin{array}{l}a_{0} \\ a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \\ a_{4} \\ a_{5}\end{array}\right]$
and
$B=\left[\begin{array}{c}400 \\ \frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{c}16+4(-16)-16) \\ \frac{1}{3}(-16+4(-16)-16) \\ \mathbf{1}_{3}^{3}(-16+4(-16)-16 \\ -16\end{array}\right)=-32 \\ \frac{1}{3}(-16+4(-16)-16) \\ 0\end{array}\right]$

We can solve the above tridiagonal linear system to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0} & =400 \\
a_{1} & =384 \\
a_{2} & =336 \\
a_{3} & =256 \\
a_{4} & =144 \\
a_{5} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for the $b_{i}$ coefficients by Equation (13) gives:
$b_{0}=\frac{1}{1}(384-400)-\frac{1}{3}(-16-32)=0$
$b_{1}=\frac{1}{1}(336-384)-\frac{1}{3}(-16-32)=-32$
$b_{2}=\frac{1}{1}(256-336)-\frac{1}{3}(-16-32)=-64$
$b_{3}=\frac{1}{1}(144-256)-\frac{1}{3}(-16-32)=-96$
$b_{4}=\frac{1}{1}(0-144)-\frac{1}{3}(-16-32)=--128$

Solving for the $d_{i}$ coefficients by Equation (12) gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{0}=\frac{1}{3}(-16-(-16))=0 \\
& d_{1}=\frac{1}{3}(-16-(-16))=0 \\
& d_{2}=\frac{1}{3}(-16-(-16))=0 \\
& d_{3}=\frac{1}{3}(-16-(-16))=0 \\
& d_{4}=\frac{1}{3}(-16-(-16))=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The above values show that an object in free fall travels a quadratically increasing distance. Using the calculated values, we can now describe the cubic spine interpolation as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{0}(x)=400-16 t^{2} \\
S_{1}(x)=384-32(t-1)-16(t-1)^{2} \\
S_{2}(x)=336-64(t-2)-16(t-2)^{2} \\
S_{3}(x)=256-96(t-3)-16(t-3)^{2} \\
S_{4}(x)=144-128(t-4)-16(t-4)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It can be calculated that in each piece the cubic spline interpolation can be simplified to $400-16 t^{2}$, which agrees with the physics equation for the position of a free falling object that starts with zero velocity from an elevation of 400 feet above the surface of the earth.

Let us next consider the calculation of the same problem using the alternative method. Since the initial velocity is $b_{0}=$ 0, we can calculate by Equation (17) that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}=0+(-16+(-16))=-32 \\
& b_{2}=-32+(-16+(-16))=-64 \\
& b_{3}=-64+(-16+(-16))=-96 \\
& b_{4}=-96+(-16+(-16))=-128
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly to the previous approach, Equation (12) can be used to calculate the $d_{i}$ constants. Hence we get the same solution as with the previous method.

In comparing the two approaches, we see that they require different boundary conditions. For the first method, the tridiagonal system required only the initial and the final position of the moving object. The second method required the initial position and the initial velocity. While both methods work in $O(n)$ time where $n$ is the number of past acceleration measurements, the recurrence equation-based method can be updated easier when a new measurement data is obtained. Hence it may be more practical in time-critical applications.

## V. Objects Moving in 3D Space

A moving object, such as an airplane, can fly in 3dimensional space along latitude, longitude as well as elevation. To model the flight of the airplane, it is possible to describe its movement by a parametric solution consisting of separate functions $f_{x}(t), f_{y}(t)$ and $f_{z}(t)$ for the movement along the $x$, the $y$ and the $z$-axis, respectively. Accelerometers signal separately the movement along these three dimensions. Hence it is possible to find a separate cubic spline interpolation for the the functions $f_{x}(t), f_{y}(t)$ and $f_{z}(t)$. Moreover, it is possible to use different kinds of boundary conditions for each of the separate interpolations. For example, to interpolate the elevation function $f_{z}(t)$, one may use the initial conditions $f_{z}\left(t_{0}\right)=f_{z}\left(t_{n}\right)=0$ when an object is expected to start and finish its movement on the ground, while for $f_{x}(t)$ an initial position different from zero and some initial velocity may be used.

## VI. CONCLUSION

Inertial navigation relies heavily on the accuracy of accelerometers that need to signal at periodic time intervals the acceleration values in all three dimensions. Another problem is speed. Even an $O(n)$ method is too slow when the object is traveling at very high speeds. In that case, we need a solution that can be easily updated with each new accelerometer measurement. The balancing of computational efficiency with computational accuracy is a challenging problem. We are currently developing methods that describe a trade-off in these two variables. A related problem is to find the flight path of moving objects given their speeds at regular time intervals instead of their accelerations. We also developed some approaches to that problem.

We also implemented the cubic spline interpolation method in the MLPQ constraint database system [6]. The advantage of the implementation is that the moving object representation can be queried using constraint query languages [4], which are extensions of SQL and Datalog. This approach was used successfully in dealing with other interpolation data, such as real estate prices [5] and other moving objects [1], [3]. The MLPQ system also provides a convenient user-friendly graphical user interface that enables animation and other visualizations of moving objects.
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