University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Biological Systems Engineering: Papers and Publications

Biological Systems Engineering

5-11-2017

Supraspinatus tendon micromorphology in individuals with subacromial pain syndrome

Federico Pozzi Division of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy, University of Southern California, pozzi@usc.edu

Amee L. Seitz Department of Physical Therapy and Human Movement Sciences, Feinberg School of Medicine Northwestern University

Hillary A. Plummer Division of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy, University of Southern California

Kira Chow Department of Radiology, West Los Angeles Veterans Administration Medical Center & Department of Radiology, David Geffen UCLA School of Medicine

Gregory R. Bashford Department of Biological Systems Engineering, University of Nebraska, gbashford2@unl.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/biosysengfacpub Part of the <u>Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering Commons</u>, <u>Environmental Engineering</u> <u>Commons</u>, and the <u>Other Civil and Environmental Engineering Commons</u>

Pozzi, Federico; Seitz, Amee L.; Plummer, Hillary A.; Chow, Kira; Bashford, Gregory R.; and Michener, Lori A., "Supraspinatus tendon micromorphology in individuals with subacromial pain syndrome" (2017). *Biological Systems Engineering: Papers and Publications*. 569.

http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/biosysengfacpub/569

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biological Systems Engineering at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Systems Engineering: Papers and Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Federico Pozzi, Amee L. Seitz, Hillary A. Plummer, Kira Chow, Gregory R. Bashford, and Lori A. Michener

This article is available at Digital Commons @University of Nebraska - Lincoln: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/biosysengfacpub/569 to the common state of the common

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Hand Therapy

journal homepage: www.jhandtherapy.org

JHT READ FOR CREDIT ARTICLE #479. Scientific/Clinical Article

Supraspinatus tendon micromorphology in individuals with subacromial pain syndrome



Journal of Hand Therap

Federico Pozzi PhD, PT^{a,*}, Amee L. Seitz PhD, PT, DPT, OCS^b, Hillary A. Plummer PhD, ATC^a, Kira Chow MD^{c,d}, Gregory R. Bashford PhD, PE^e, Lori A. Michener PhD, PT, ATC, SCS^a

^a Division of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^b Department of Physical Therapy and Human Movement Sciences, Feinberg School of Medicine Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

^c Department of Radiology, West Los Angeles Veterans Administration Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^d Department of Radiology, David Geffen UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^e Department of Biological Systems Engineering, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 December 2016 Received in revised form 14 March 2017 Accepted 11 April 2017 Available online 11 May 2017

Key words: Tendinopathy Shoulder strength Peak spatial frequency radius Ultrasound

ABSTRACT

Study Design: Cross-sectional cohort.

Introduction: Tendon collagen organization can be estimated by peak spatial frequency radius (PSFR) on ultrasound images. Characterizing PSFR can define the contribution of collagen disruption to shoulder symptoms.

Purpose of the Study: The purpose of this was to characterize the (1) supraspinatus tendon PSFR in participants with subacromial pain syndrome (SPS) and healthy controls; (2) PSFR between participants grouped on a tendon visual quality score; and (3) relationship between PSFR with patient-reported pain, function, and shoulder strength.

Methods: Participants with SPS (n = 20) and age, sex, and arm-dominance-matched healthy controls (n = 20) completed strength testing in scaption and external rotation, and patient-reported pain, and functional outcomes. Supraspinatus tendon ultrasound images were acquired, and PSFR was calculated for a region of interest 15 mm medial to the supraspinatus footprint. PSFR was compared between groups using an independent *t*-test and an analysis of variance to compare between 3 groups for visually qualitatively rated tendon abnormalities. Relationships between PSFR with pain, function, and strength were assessed using Pearson correlation coefficient.

Results: Supraspinatus tendon PSFR was not different between groups (P = .190) or tendon qualitative ratings (P = .556). No relationship was found between PSFR and pain, functional loss, and strength (P > .05).

Conclusions: Collagen disruption (PSFR) measured via ultrasound images of the supraspinatus tendon was not different between participants with SPS or in those with visually rated tendon defects. PSFR is not related to shoulder pain, function, and strength, suggesting that supraspinatus tendon collagen disorganization may not be a contributing factor to shoulder SPS. However, collagen disruption may not be isolated to a single region of interest.

Level of Evidence: 3b: case-control study.

© 2017 Hanley & Belfus, an imprint of Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Shoulder pain affects approximately 16 per 1000 persons per year,¹ and the 1-year prevalence of shoulder pain has been estimated to be between 7% and 27% in adults younger than 70 years.²

* Corresponding author: Division of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy, University of Southern California, 1540 E Alcazar St, CHP 155, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.

Shoulder pain can significantly impact the ability to work and perform recreational activity and the overall quality of life.³ Injuries to the rotator cuff tendons within the subacromial space can give rise to shoulder pain. Specifically, subacromial pain syndrome (SPS) and rotator cuff dysfunction are frequently diagnosed conditions in individuals with shoulder pain.^{4,5}

Tendons are organized as a parallel array of collagen bundles. In ultrasound imaging, this organization is manifested as a speckle pattern that shows a banded pattern and directional dependency, commonly described as fibrillar echotexture on ultrasound. Tendon



E-mail address: pozzi@usc.edu (F. Pozzi).

^{0894-1130/\$ -} see front matter © 2017 Hanley & Belfus, an imprint of Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jht.2017.04.001

injuries can alter the collagen fibers distribution and organization, resulting in a more isotropic and disorganized speckle pattern (ie, the pattern contains less of a banded structure).⁶⁻⁸ Qualified clinicians qualitatively interpret ultrasound images for the assessment and diagnosis of tendon disorders.⁹ In recent years, computational analysis of ultrasound images has been used to objectively quantify the degree of collagen fiber organization. Bashford et al.⁸ analyzed Achilles tendon ultrasound images using parameters derived from the Fast Fourier transform. When applied to an image, this analysis mathematically converts the original domain of the image (space) to a 2-dimensional (2D) spatial frequency spectrum. Healthy participants have a 2D spatial frequency spectrum characterized by a pair of bright spots, which correspond to the dominant spatial frequencies in the image. The brightness (magnitude) and size (spatial bandwidth) of these spots have been shown to correlate to healthy tissue.^{7,8} The location of the bright spot indicates the dominant spacing of the speckle bands. The distance from the spatial frequency origin to the location of the peak spatial frequency was denoted the peak spatial frequency radius (PSFR). Greater PSFR values indicate a more structured and organized speckle pattern (and thus healthier tendon tissue), up to the spatial resolution limit of the imaging system. In contrast, pathological and painful tendons exhibit smaller PSFR along with a less-bright and broader pair of spots in the spatial frequency spectrum, suggesting disorganization of the speckle pattern and thus disorganized collagen fibers.⁶ In pathological Achilles tendons, PSFR is related to mechanical properties with higher PSFR associated with greater tendon stiffness and elastic modulus.⁷

Prior research using ultrasound imaging has shown macromorphology changes in the supraspinatus tendon of greater cross-sectional thickness in individuals with SPS compared with controls.¹⁰⁻¹² Tendon thickening at the shoulder may be problematic, as the supraspinatus tendon in individuals with SPS¹⁰ and manual wheelchair users¹³ has been shown to occupy a greater proportion of the subacromial space. Mechanistically, tendon thickening may lead to the development of shoulder pain and tendon degeneration via compression in the subacromial space. Another means of injury is abnormal tendon loading that contributes to tendon degeneration and tears,^{4,14} which may be the predominant mechanism for most supraspinatus tears that occurs on the articular side of the tendon.¹⁵ Micromorphological analysis via spatial frequency analvsis of the supraspinatus tendon may provide a quantitative measure of tendon degeneration by assessing alterations of the collagen fiber distribution and organization of the supraspinatus tendon. In addition, it is not clear whether shoulder pain and tendon degeneration (as measured using PSFR) are related to pain, functional loss, and weakness, which are commonly reported impairments of patients with SPS. This foundational knowledge is necessary to determine the clinical utility of PSFR. Should spatial frequency analysis serve as a marker of supraspinatus tendon degeneration, it would have great potential to be used in the clinic to guide treatment dosage, prognosis, and monitor tendon recovery for return to sport or activity participation decisions.

The purpose of this study was to (1) characterize the micromorphological structure of the supraspinatus tendon in participants with SPS and healthy controls using the PSFR; (2) characterize the PSFR between participants grouped on a tendon visual quality score; and (3) assess the relationship between shoulder pain and PSFR of the supraspinatus tendon with participant reported function and shoulder strength. We hypothesized that (1) participants with SPS would have lower PSFR (greater collagen disorganization) compared with healthy controls; (2) participants with visually altered tendon quality would have lower supraspinatus PSFR compared with participants with no visual alterations in tendon quality; and (3) greater shoulder pain and lower supraspinatus PSFR would be related to greater loss of shoulder function and weakness.

Methods

Participants

This study is a secondary analysis of data collected for a cross-sectional study of participants with SPS (shoulder pain group, n = 20) and without shoulder pain (control group, n = 20) who were matched by age (± 5 years), sex, and laterality of arm tested (Table 1).¹⁰ Participants with SPS were recruited from local physician and physical therapy clinics with a diagnosis consistent with SPS. Participants with SPS also had to have 3/5 positive findings of impingement: positive Neer or Hawkins-Kennedy test, painful arc during active arm elevation, and pain or weakness with resisted isometric external rotation, or resisted scapular plane abduction with humeral internal rotation (empty can test).¹⁶ Participants in the control group were recruited from the local community and had: (1) no complaints of shoulder or spine pain within 6 months and (2) no known shoulder pathology. Exclusion criteria for both groups included (1) the history of upper arm fracture; (2) systemic musculoskeletal disease; (3) shoulder pain with active or passive cervical spine motion; (4) previous shoulder surgery; (5) positive apprehension test; (6) evidence of adhesive capsulitis as indicated by passive range of motion loss \geq 50% in 2 planes of shoulder motion; and (7) evidence of a full-thickness rotator cuff tear detected during ultrasound imaging. All participants completed the informed consent, which was approved by the Virginia Commonwealth University Institutional Review Board.

Procedures

Each participant completed the Pennsylvania Shoulder Score (PENN), which is a valid and reliable questionnaire developed to

Table 1

Demographic characteristics, patient-reported outcome, and strength value for the control and shoulder pain group

	Control group	Shoulder pain group	Mean difference	95% confidence interval	P value
	Mean (SD)			Lower bound to Upper bound	
Age, y	45.20 (11.13)	44.50 (11.12)	0.75	-6.37 to 7.87	.844
Height, m	1.72 (0.07)	1.70 (0.10)	0.02	-0.04 to 0.07	.496
Weight, kg	72.47 (15.71)	81.49 (17.37)	-9.00	-19.61 to 1.61	.093
PENN pain, 0-30 (30, no pain)	29.30 (1.34)	19.45 (4.36)	9.85	7.79 to 11.91	<.001
PENN satisfaction, 0-10 (10, completely satisfied)	9.70 (1.13)	4.95 (2.54)	4.75	3.49 to 6.01	<.001
PENN function, 0-60 (60, full function)	59.24 (2.82)	39.47 (8.57)	19.76	15.68 to 23.85	<.001
PENN total, 0-100 (100, no deficits)	98.24 (4.91)	63.87 (13.97)	34.36	27.66 to 41.07	<.001
Scaption strength, %BM	0.10 (0.03)	0.06 (0.02)	0.04	0.02 to 0.06	<.001
External rotation strength, %BM	0.11 (0.04)	0.08 (0.03)	0.03	0.01 to 0.05	.002

BM = body mass; PENN = Pennsylvania Shoulder Score; SD = standard deviation.

measure shoulder pain, satisfaction with shoulder use, and function.¹⁷ Each domain is scored separately and added to obtain a total score (0-100; 100: no pain, maximum satisfaction, and full function).

Shoulder strength was measured using a MicroFet2 (Hoggan Health Industries Inc., West Jordan, UT) handheld dynamometer. To limit variability in the strength measurements, the handheld dynamometer was attached to a stabilization device mounted on a door frame. The participant was positioned seated in a chair for all strength measurements. Shoulder strength in scaption and external rotation were assessed. Scaption was performed with the arm elevated on the scapular plane (40° anterior to the frontal plane) at 90° of shoulder flexion with the dynamometer aligned on the radial styloid. External rotation was assessed with the shoulder at 0° of abduction, neutral rotation of the forearm, and the elbow flexed to 90°. A rolled towel was placed under the elbow to maintain proper shoulder positioning and to limit abduction. Participants performed 2 trials of a maximal isometric contraction against the dynamometer for 5 seconds. Strength was measured in kgf and normalized to body mass (kg) and reported as a percentage of body mass.

A diagnostic ultrasound unit, LOGIQe (GE Healthcare, Wauwatosa, WI) with a 4-12 MHz linear array transducer was used to acquire B-mode images of the supraspinatus tendon. The ultrasound acquisition parameters remained constant between participants and included: transducer center frequency 8 MHz, depth 5cm, and 1 transmit focus point. An investigator with advanced training in musculoskeletal ultrasound obtained all the images.

Ultrasound images of the supraspinatus were captured according to standardized procedures established by the European Society of Musculoskeletal Radiology.¹⁸ Participants were seated with neutral trunk posture and head facing forward for all measurements. Participants were then asked to place their involved hand on the ipsilateral posterior hip with the humerus in extension and external rotation (modified Crass position). The ultrasound transducer was placed on the anterior aspect of the shoulder to capture longitudinal and transverse views of the supraspinatus tendon. For the longitudinal view, the transducer was placed parallel to the supraspinatus tendon to capture the shape of the tendon below the acromion to the footprint on the greater tuberosity of the humerus. For the transverse view, the transducer was oriented perpendicular to the supraspinatus tendon to collect the cross-sectional aspect of the tendon and the landmark of the biceps tendon. Two cine loops of 5 seconds were saved in both cross-sectional and longitudinal views and were used for analysis.

Data analysis

A musculoskeletal radiologist with expertise in musculoskeletal ultrasound of the rotator cuff qualitatively assessed the supraspinatus tendon structure in both cross-sectional and longitudinal cine loops. The radiologist was blinded to the group assignments. Each supraspinatus tendon was scored based on the echogenicity appearance. Specifically, the tendon score 0 = normal, if there was normal architecture comprised convex contour and echogenic fibrillar pattern; 1 = diffuse abnormality if (1) irregular tendon contour and (2) heterogeneous echogenicity/loss of fibrillar echotexture and 2 = focal anechoic defect indicative of partial thickness tear with these defects not differentiated based on the size or location.

For the spatial frequency analysis, 3 static longitudinal images were extracted for each participant. These images were processed using a custom-made MATLAB program (Mathworks, Natick, MA) for the PSFR analysis. First, the supraspinatus tendon area was identified by measuring 15 mm medial to the most lateral visible of the supraspinatus footprint on the superior aspect of the greater tubercle of the humerus (Fig. 1). Within this region, a 32×32 pixel kernel, which correspondeds to a square with 3.21 mm sides, defined the region of interest. This square was placed in the most visually echogenic area of the tendon, and the PSFR was then calculated. The kernel was zero padded to 128×128 samples to increase frequency resolution. A 2D high-pass filter (-3dB cutoff about 1.0 mm⁻¹) was then applied to attenuate low-spatial frequency artifacts. The PSFR (mm⁻¹) obtained in the 3 images was then averaged and used for further analysis. The investigator who conducted the PSFR analysis was blinded to group assignment.

Statistical analysis

Intrarater reliability of the method used to calculate the PSFR was assessed by analyzing the same image from 10 random participants in the study (irrespective of group assignment). Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC_{3,3}) and pooled standard deviations were calculated for the PSFR measurement. These variables were then used to calculate the standard error of the measurement (*SEM* = pooled standard deviation × \sqrt{ICC}) and the minimal detectable change at the 95% confidence interval (MDC₉₅) (*MDC*₉₅ = *SEM* × $\sqrt{2}$ × 1.96) for PSFR.

The radiologist was asked to assign a score twice to the supraspinatus tendon of 10 randomly participants (irrespective of group assignment). Supraspinatus cine loops were coded differently and graded at least 3 days apart. Cohen's κ coefficient was then calculated to establish reliability of the qualitatively grading.

Demographic information (age, height, weight, and body mass index), patient-reported outcomes (PENN pain, function, satisfaction, and total score), and strength were compared between groups using an independent sample *t*-test. Average PSFR was compared between groups using an independent sample, 1-tailed *t*-test. Participants were also stratified based on the musculoskeletal radiologist supraspinatus qualitative assessment (0, 1, 2), and average PSFR was then compared between these groups using a 1-way analysis of variance. In case of a significant group main effect, Tukey post hoc test was used to assess between-group differences. In the SPS group, the relationship between PENN pain and function subscales, supraspinatus average PSFR, and shoulder scaption and external rotation strength was assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficient (directional, 1-tail). Alpha level was set at 0.05 for all analyses.



Fig. 1. Methods used to identify the region of interest (*yellow square*) used for the calculation of the PSFR of the supraspinatus. The most lateral visible point of the supraspinatus footprint on the greater tubercle (*A*) was identified. The *red line* represents the 15-mm medial distance from point A. The region of interest (*yellow square*) was placed in the most echogenic area within the supraspinatus tendon area (*green outline*). This picture is not scaled to actual measurements. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Results

Groups were similar in terms of age, height, and weight (Table 1). In the SPS group, 4 participants reported symptoms of shoulder pain and loss of function lasting between 6 and 12 weeks, whereas 16 participants reported symptoms lasting more than 12 weeks. Participants with SPS had lower PENN subscales and total scores (P < .001) than the control group. Participants with SPS had weaker scaption (P < .001) and external rotation (P = .002) strength than the control group.

The radiologist demonstrated substantial reliability in qualitatively grading the ultrasound images ($\kappa = 0.661$). Furthermore, the method of calculating PSFR demonstrated good intrarater reliability (ICC_{3,3} = 0.977; 95% CI: 0.914-0.994). Variability between measurements was calculated with a pooled standard deviation of 0.38 peak/mm, and the error metrics were calculated as the standard error of the measurement = 0.06 peak/mm and a MDC₉₅ = 0.18 peak/mm.

The musculoskeletal radiologist assigned a score of 2 to n = 10 tendons, a score of 1 to n = 19 tendons, and a score of 0 to n = 11 tendons. The between-group distribution of the supraspinatus tendon quality scores is reported in Table 2.

PSFR was not significantly different between groups: SPS group = 1.08 ± 0.15 and control group = 1.12 ± 0.16 (mean difference = 0.04; 95% CI: -0.05 to 0.15; P = 0.19), depicted in Figure 2. PSFR was also not significantly different between the 3 tendon quality rankings, irrespective of group assignment (P = .556) depicted in Figure 3.

In participants with SPS, there was a significant positive linear relationship between the PENN pain subscale and PENN functional subscale (r = 0.805, P < .001), and shoulder strength in both scaption (r = 0.578, P = .004), and external rotation (r = 0.623, P = .002). Greater scores on the PENN pain subscale (less shoulder pain) was related to greater perceived function as measured using the PENN functional subscale and greater shoulder strength. PSFR of the supraspinatus tendon was not significantly related to the PENN pain (r = -0.012, P = .480) and function subscale (r = -0.022, P = .463), and scaption (r = 0.098, P = .341), and external rotation strength (r = -0.329, P = .078).

Discussion

The overall goal of this study was to examine the supraspinatus tendon collagen organization via ultrasound-based PSFR measures and visually qualitative supraspinatus tendon structure, and the potential contributions to patient-reported shoulder function and pain in individuals with SPS. Our findings indicate that despite pain and functional loss, supraspinatus collagen organization (PSFR) was similar between the supraspinatus tendons of participants with SPS and healthy controls. Moreover, tendons visually rated with tendon focal or diffuse abnormalities presented similar PSFR, regardless of participant group. In our study, PSFR and tendon visual quality do not appear to be associated with the presence of SPS. In addition, shoulder pain is related to perceived function and strength in participants with shoulder pain, but supraspinatus PSFR does not appear to be related to shoulder pain, functional loss, and weakness.

Table 2

Between-group distribution of supraspinatus tendon qualitative score

	Normal (0)	Diffuse abnormalities (1)	Focal defects (2)
Control	8	7	5
Shoulder pain	3	12	5
Total	11	19	10



Fig. 2. Average peak spatial frequency radius for the control group (*dark gray bar*) and shoulder pain group (*light gray bar*). Error bars represent 1 standard deviation.

The pathological processes in the Achilles and patellar tendinopathy appear to disrupt the collagen fiber distribution, and this is reflected by a lower PSFR.^{6,7} Furthermore, spatial frequency parameters have classified the degree of collagen organization with an 80% accuracy in differentiating patients with tendinopathy from healthy controls⁸ and reaching 95% when using advanced discrimination techniques such as neural networks.¹⁹ The pathological process in the rotator cuff tendons alters the histological and molecular characteristics of the tendons,²⁰ which generates isotropic changes on ultrasound images. Tendinopathy can also be associated with structural tendon changes.²¹ Thickening of the supraspinatus tendon has been demonstrated in those with SPS.¹⁰⁻¹³ Despite the presence of pain and structural tendon changes in participants with SPS enrolled in the current study, collagen disruption, as measured by the PSFR of the supraspinatus tendon, was not significantly different from healthy control participants. The PSFR difference between matched pairs only exceeded the MDC₉₅ in 7/20 (35%) of the sample. Finally, the high rate of supraspinatus abnormalities found in the control group suggests that imaging findings should not be used in isolation without



Fig. 3. Average peak spatial frequency radius based on tendon qualitative grading (normal, *black bar*; diffuse abnormalities, *dark gray bar*; focal defects, *light gray bar*). *Error bars* represent 1 standard deviation.

taking into consideration the participant's clinical presentation, as imaging may not discriminate between individuals with and without SPS.

The PSFR was calculated in the region of interest of a single 10.3 mm² area of the tendon. The absence of PSFR differences between groups may indicate that even in healthy shoulders, some areas of the supraspinatus may present with abnormal collagen fiber distribution. Animal model of rotator cuff tears have also shown that histopathological characteristics of the tendon are dependent upon the region sampled, as the tear produces area of increased and decreased stress within the tendon.²² Therefore, increasing the size of the region of interest or examining multiple areas of the tendon may potentially provide different PSFR results. Previous research reported that volleyball players with anterior knee pain demonstrated lower PSFR only in the proximal portion of the patellar tendon but not in the distal portion.⁶ The absence of PSFR differences when participants were grouped based on the qualitative scoring of tendon appearance by the musculoskeletal radiologist further support that the PSFR results may not be extended outside of the specific region of interest that was analyzed. Qualitative scoring was based on analysis of both crosssectional and longitudinal cine loops, which reflects the diagnostic methodology used in clinical practice. Therefore, this assessment was not limited to the same specific small region of the supraspinatus tendon as the PSFR analysis.

The PSFRs of the supraspinatus tendon in the present study were approximately 35% lower in the shoulder pain group and 50% lower in the control group compared with previously reported values of tendons of the lower extremity.^{7,8} The differences between the lower extremity literature and the results of the present study may be indicative that both groups presented with collagen disorganization at the supraspinatus, whereas these differences did not occur in the lower extremity. Although participants that reported shoulder pain within 6 months of enrollment were excluded from the control group, 60% of the tendons in the control group were qualitatively classified as having abnormalities. It is possible that previous shoulder injury may have contributed to the lower PSFR measured in the control group compared with previous study in the lower extremity. The anatomy of the supraspinatus tendon may have also contributed to the difference in our results as compared with prior results from tendons of the lower extremity. As the distal supraspinatus approaches the footprint attachment, the tendon curves inferiorly along its lateral most and anterior most aspects. This curved shape differs from tendons like the patellar and Achilles tendons, whose straight course lends themselves more readily to analysis using placement of a single region of interest. In addition, the supraspinatus is characterized by as many as 5 different histologic layers, with the second and third layer being the thickest lavers.^{23,24} Closely packed, parallel, large bundles of tendon fibers characterize the second layer. In contrast, the bundles of tendon fibers included in the third layer appear smaller, lack homogeneous orientation, and cross each other at a 45° angle.²³ Not all the supraspinatus tendon fibers are oriented parallel to the ultrasound transducer, and it is likely that the region of interest for the PSFR calculation included bundles from both the second and third layers, which potentially affected the calculation of the supraspinatus PSFR. Finally, ultrasound images were acquired with a frequency of 8 MHz and a depth of 5 cm, which are appropriate settings to measure thickness changes of the supraspinatus. However, ultrasound images acquired with these parameters may lack the spatial resolution necessary to measure PSFR. Because the supraspinatus is a fairly superficial anatomical structure, using higher acquisition frequency and lower depth (between 3 and 4 cm) may be more appropriate for future studies.

Self-reported functional loss and shoulder weakness are common complaints of patients with SPS. In this study, greater shoulder pain (lower PENN pain subscale score) was related to greater loss of function (lower PENN function subscale score) and greater weakness in both scaption and external rotation. In contrast, PSFR of the supraspinatus was not significantly related to any of these measures. Therefore, other factors including the presence of shoulder pain or muscle function, rather than supraspinatus tendon degeneration, may be the primary contributors to the impairments of patients with SPS. Furthermore, pain relieving injection (lidocaine and bupivacaine solution) in the subacromial space increased shoulder abduction and external rotation strength in patients with subacromial impingement,²⁵ whereas pain provoking injection (saline solution) in the subacromial space reduced infraspinatus activation and external rotation strength in healthy control.²⁶ Miller et al.²⁷ found that pain was associated with abduction strength loss in patients with shoulder pain and concomitant supraspinatus tear. In contrast, no associations were found between shoulder abduction strength and several supraspinatus muscle-related or tendon-related variables, such as tear size, fatty infiltration, and muscle atrophy. Therefore, shoulder pain may be the primary contributor to strength deficits. Tendon-related properties may be more prominent contributor of shoulder strength deficits at later stages of the degenerative process, as suggested by McCabe et al.²⁸ who reported that only participants with large and massive rotator cuff tears exhibit strength deficits compared with participants with no or smaller size tears.

This study has some limitations. It must be noted that the images were acquired using standardized settings and are system dependent. Direct comparison is only possible within the same acquisition parameters. The lack of differences in PSFR in this study as compared to prior study by Kulig et al.^{6,7} may be explained by the differences in ultrasound parameters during image acquisition, especially potential lack of axial resolution in the current study. Furthermore, scanning a convex tendon, such as the supraspinatus, is challenging, and the quality of the images depends on the sonographer's ability to maintain the longitudinal alignment between the ultrasound probe and tendon fibers. Ultrasound images are 2D and have inherent limitations when applied to 3D anatomical structures. The mechanistic nature of the study and novelty of the analysis limit the direct clinical applicability. Future studies are needed to further develop the methodology of the micromorphology analysis as there is strong potential for clinical applications. Finally, ultrasound is a highly operator-dependent modality, and there can be tremendous variation between ultrasound technicians, interpreting radiologists and even ultrasound systems. Development of a standardized protocol for image acquisition and data analysis is a necessary step to decrease variation and advance widespread applications of musculoskeletal ultrasound.

Conclusion

In this study, PSFR of the supraspinatus tendon did not show significant difference between participants with SPS and healthy controls. When qualitatively assessing supraspinatus tendon as normal, diffusely abnormal, or having focal defects, similar results were observed between participants with SPS and healthy controls. These results may indicate that supraspinatus collagen organization and tendon quality are not contributing factors to shoulder pain in individuals with SPS, as healthy individuals exhibit similar tendon degeneration but do not report shoulder pain. However, further study with optimized scanning parameters for spatial frequency quantitation is needed to confirm this. Although shoulder pain is related to patient-reported outcome of function and shoulder strength, PSFR has not yet been shown as a discriminator of differences. The results of this study implicates that treatments aimed at resolving pain may improve function and strength despite the presence of tendon degeneration.

References

- Feleus A, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, Miedema HS, Bernsen RMD, Verhaar JAN, Koes BW. Incidence of non-traumatic complaints of arm, neck and shoulder in general practice. *Man Ther.* 2008;13(5):426–433.
- Luime JJ, Koes BW, Hendriksen IJM, et al. Prevalence and incidence of shoulder pain in the general population; a systematic review. *Scand J Rheumatol.* 2004;33(2):73–81.
- MacDermid JC, Solomon P, Prkachin K. The Shoulder Pain and Disability Index demonstrates factor, construct and longitudinal validity. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2006;7(1):12.
- Lewis JS. Rotator cuff tendinopathy/subacromial impingement syndrome: is it time for a new method of assessment? Br J Sports Med. 2009;43(4):259–264.
- Seitz AL, McClure PW, Finucane S, Boardman ND, Michener LA. Mechanisms of rotator cuff tendinopathy: intrinsic, extrinsic, or both? *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*. 2011;26(1):1–12.
- Kulig K, Landel R, Chang YJ, et al. Patellar tendon morphology in volleyball athletes with and without patellar tendinopathy. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2013;23(2):81–88.
- Kulig K, Chang YJ, Winiarski S, Bashford GR. Ultrasound-based tendon micromorphology predicts mechanical characteristics of degenerated tendons. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2016;42(3):664–673.
- Bashford GR, Tomsen N, Arya S, Burnfield JM, Kulig K. Tendinopathy discrimination by use of spatial frequency parameters in ultrasound b-mode images. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2008;27(5):608–615.
- de Jesus JO, Parker L, Frangos AJ, Nazarian LN. Accuracy of MRI, MR arthrography, and ultrasound in the diagnosis of rotator cuff tears: a meta-analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2009;192(6):1701–1707.
- Michener LA, Subasi Yesilyaprak SS, Seitz AL, Timmons MK, Walsworth MK. Supraspinatus tendon and subacromial space parameters measured on ultrasonographic imaging in subacromial impingement syndrome. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2015;23(2):363–369.
- Joensen J, Couppe C, Bjordal JM. Increased palpation tenderness and muscle strength deficit in the prediction of tendon hypertrophy in symptomatic unilateral shoulder tendinopathy: an ultrasonographic study. *Physiotherapy*. 2009;95(2):83–93.
- 12. Leong HT, Tsui S, Ying M, Leung VY, Fu SN. Ultrasound measurements on acromio-humeral distance and supraspinatus tendon thickness: test-retest reliability and correlations with shoulder rotational strengths. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2012;15(4):284–291.

- Fournier Belley A, Gagnon DH, Routhier F, Roy JS. Ultrasonographic measures of the acromiohumeral distance and supraspinatus tendon thickness in manual wheelchair users with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2017;98(3): 517–524.
- Fukuda H. The management of partial-thickness tears of the rotator cuff. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2003;85(1):3–11.
- Payne LZ, Altchek DW, Craig EV, Warren RF. Arthroscopic treatment of partial rotator cuff tears in young athletes. *Am J Sports Med.* 1997;25(3): 299–305.
- Michener LA, Walsworth MK, Doukas WC, Murphy KP. Reliability and diagnostic accuracy of 5 physical examination tests and combination of tests for subacromial impingement. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2009;90(11):1898–1903.
- Leggin BG, Michener LA, Shaffer MA, Brenneman SK, Iannotti JP, Williams GR. The Penn Shoulder Score: reliability and validity. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2006;36(3):138–151.
- Beggs I, Bianchi S, Bueno A, et al. Musculoskeletal Ultrasound Technical Guidelines I. Shoulder. European Society of MusculoSkeletal Radiology. Available at: https://essr.org/content-essr/uploads/2016/10/shoulder.pdf. Accessed November 10, 2015.
- Song P, Linstrom K, Boye A, Kulig K, Burnfield J, Bashford G. Tendinopathy discrimination using spatial frequency parameters and artificial neural networks. In: *IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium Proceedings*. 2009: 1902–1905.
- Dean BJF, Franklin SL, Carr AJ. A systematic review of the histological and molecular changes in rotator cuff disease. *Bone Joint Res.* 2012;1(7):158–166.
- Cook JL, Purdam CR. Is tendon pathology a continuum? A pathology model to explain the clinical presentation of load-induced tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43(6):409–416.
- Smith MM, Sakurai G, Smith SM, et al. Modulation of aggrecan and ADAMTS expression in ovine tendinopathy induced by altered strain. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2008;58(4):1055–1066.
- Clark JM, Harryman 2nd DT. Tendons, ligaments, and capsule of the rotator cuff. Gross and microscopic anatomy. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1992;74(5):713–725.
- Ward SR, Sarver JJ, Eng CM, et al. Plasticity of muscle architecture after supraspinatus tears. J Orthop Sport Phys Ther. 2010;40(11):729-735.
- Ben-Yishay A, Zuckerman JD, Gallagher M, Cuomo F. Pain inhibition of shoulder strength in patients with impingement syndrome. *Orthopedics*. 1994;17(8): 685–688.
- Stackhouse SK, Eisennagel A, Eisennagel J, Lenker H, Sweitzer BA, McClure PW. Experimental pain inhibits infraspinatus activation during isometric external rotation. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2013;22(4):478–484.
- Miller JE, Higgins LD, Dong Y, et al. Association of strength measurement with rotator cuff tear in patients with shoulder pain. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2016;95(1):47–56.
- McCabe RA, Nicholas SJ, Montgomery KD, Finneran JJ, McHugh MP. The effect of rotator cuff tear size on shoulder strength and range of motion. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2005;35(3):130–135.

JHT Read for Credit Quiz: #479

Record your answers on the Return Answer Form found on the tear-out coupon at the back of this issue or to complete online and use a credit card, go to *JHTReadforCredit.com*. There is only one best answer for each question.

- #1. The study design is best described as
 - a. qualitative
 - b. RCTs
 - c. a case series
 - d. a cross sectional cohort
- #2. Tendinous collagen organization was studied by
 - a. MRI
 - b. biopsy
 - c. estimates of PSFR utilizing an ultrasonic technique
 - d. determining the PRSO with an electronic microscopic technique

- #3. Strength testing was performed in
 - a. scaption and external rotation
 - b. elevation and internal rotation
 - c. external rotation with the arm at the side
 - d. internal rotation with the arm behind the back
- #4. Statistical analysis included
 - a. three ANOVAs
 - b. an ANOVA and a Pearson correlation coefficient
 - c. a student t-test and two standard deviations
 - d. none of the above
- #5. There was no significant correlation between pain and PSFR a. false
 - b. true

When submitting to the HTCC for re-certification, please batch your JHT RFC certificates in groups of 3 or more to get full credit.