University of Nebraska - Lincoln [DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fndor%2F194&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Nebraska Department of Transportation Research](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ndor?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fndor%2F194&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Reports](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ndor?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fndor%2F194&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Nebraska LTAP](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ltap?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fndor%2F194&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

3-2016

Active Traffic Management Case Study: Phase 1

Anuj Sharma *Iowa State University*, anujs@iastate.edu

Shuo Wang *University of Nebraska-Lincoln*

Aemal Khattak *University of Nebraska-Lincoln*, khattak@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ndor](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ndor?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fndor%2F194&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Transportation Engineering Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1329?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fndor%2F194&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Sharma, Anuj; Wang, Shuo; and Khattak, Aemal, "Active Traffic Management Case Study: Phase 1" (2016). *Nebraska Department of Transportation Research Reports*. 194. [http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ndor/194](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ndor/194?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fndor%2F194&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Nebraska LTAP at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Nebraska Department of Transportation Research Reports by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Active Traffic Management Case Study: Phase 1 Final Report

Technical Report Documentation Page

The results from the real-time crash risk prediction models developed for the studied road section are promising. A sensitivity analysis was conducted on different models and different temporal and spatial windows to estimate/predict crash risk. An adaptive boosting (AdaBoost) model using a 10 minute historical window of speeds obtained from 0.25 miles downstream and 0.75 miles upstream was found to be the most accurate estimator of crash risk.

Active Traffic Management Case Study: Phase 1

Final Report

Anuj Sharma, PhD Research Scientist and Associate Professor Center for Transportation Research and Education Institute for Transportation Iowa State University

Shuo Wang, MS Graduate Research Assistant Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering Iowa State University

Aemal Khattak, PhD Associate Professor Civil Engineering University of Nebraska-Lincoln

A Report on Research Sponsored by

Nebraska Department of Roads

March 2016

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Disclaimer

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Nebraska Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Nebraska Department of Roads. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

Executive Summary

This report documents a study of active traffic management on an urban freeway system in Omaha, Nebraska. The objective of this study was twofold: (1) evaluate the benefits and costs of ramp metering in terms of alleviating traffic congestion and reducing delay and crash risk and (2) report the feasibility of crash risk estimation and prediction using real-time speed information.

This study demonstrates a systematic way to use multiple data sources for traffic condition monitoring and operations decision support. A comprehensive database was built by integrating traffic speed data from radar sensors, weather information from roadside weather stations, and crash reports from the police department. An automatic data visualization program was created to easily display traffic conditions with archived speed data in multiple time intervals and distance ranges. Various traffic performance measures were developed to help understand the traffic conditions across different road segments or different time periods and to identify bottlenecks in the urban freeway network. The data visualization program can also be used with a real-time data feed to monitor and analyze current traffic conditions.

With the comprehensive database, this study performed two levels of analysis for crash risk assessment. The aggregate-level analysis was used to identify the crash contributing factors. Statistical hypothesis tests were used to examine the relationships between crash occurrence and potential contributing factors such as traffic speed and weather conditions (e.g., precipitation). A binomial probit model was built and a sensitivity analysis was conducted to quantify the impacts of the crash contributing factors. The model found that a one mile per hour increase in traffic speed is associated with 7.5% decrease in crash risk.

A comprehensive analysis of five urban freeway segments was also performed. A 6.3 mile long segment on I-80 eastbound near the downtown area was identified as a bottleneck. This segment was used as a study subject for implementing ramp metering strategy. A costbenefit analysis focusing on the impact of ramp metering on travel time and crash risk indicated that the ramp metering strategy was cost-effective.

The crash risk estimation and prediction models used disaggregate-level analysis. Multiple data mining methods were applied and compared. Related issues were considered, including attribute selection, sampling, ensemble learning, and performance metrics. The disaggregate-level analysis showed that using a combination of speed data from a series of time intervals for both the upstream and downstream segments and the target road segment can capture the details of traffic conditions and predict crash risk reasonably well. Also, it is possible to increase the buffer time to improve the reaction time of operations by moving the time window earlier. However, as a trade-off to acquiring more time to respond, the model prediction accuracy could degenerate.

Some good insights can be drawn from this study. The integrated database, data processing platform, and data visualization methods developed in this study were found to be very helpful for understanding the traffic problem from a comprehensive perspective. The proposed real-time crash prediction mechanism is ready for real-world implementation in subsequent phases of the study.

1. Introduction

While traffic congestion tends to increase continuously, the growth of transportation infrastructures is limited by the availability of financial and land resources, especially in urban areas. This has led to the use of intelligent transportation systems (ITS) to efficiently manage the existing capacities of transportation systems. Active traffic management (ATM) is a method of smoothing traffic flows on busy urban freeway segments based on real-time traffic conditions so that better traffic system performance can be achieved. ATM is different from the conventional passive traffic management because ATM can actively respond to traffic, weather, and other available information in real-time to increase traffic safety and operational reliability. Some of the most notable ATM strategies include ramp metering, speed harmonization, temporary shoulder use, junction control, and dynamic signing and rerouting.

In Nebraska, the main traffic management methods passively react to traffic conditions. These traditional management methods may not be capable of handling the increased travel demands during peak periods. Therefore, advanced traffic management methods like ATM are desired to provide a better understanding of current traffic conditions, predict short-term trends, and proactively apply optimal control strategies. For any effective traffic management system such as ATM, the basic requirement is a reliable, spatially dense network of traffic detectors that can obtain essential data. The Nebraska Department of Roads (NDOR) has been investing significant resources in ITS infrastructure such as sensors, dynamic message signs, and roadside weather stations. The existing traffic surveillance systems in NDOR's jurisdiction collect an enormous amount of data in real-time. This data collection lays the foundation for implementing an advanced traffic management system and provides the data sources necessary for monitoring and identifying high crash risk locations.

Crash prediction can be treated as a classification problem in the field of data mining. Observed instances (labeled as crash or non-crash) are used to build a classifier that can best distinguish crashes from non-crash cases using traffic sensor information. The likelihood of a crash has to be estimated on a real-time basis because the likelihood is significantly affected by short-term turbulence in the traffic flow. Therefore, high-resolution data, that is, data collected in short time intervals, are required. The high-resolution data for real-time crash prediction can be extremely imbalanced. For example, in a one-year data set aggregated in five-minute intervals for a road segment with 10 crashes, the ratio of crash instances to non-crash instances is as low as 10:105,110 (every five-minute interval is treated as an instance, and there are only 10 crash cases out of a total of 105,120 instances in one year). Consequently, real-time crash prediction can be seen as a classification problem with an extremely imbalanced class distribution. Here, "non-crash" is the major class and "crash" is the minor class.

The size of the data set, along with the extreme imbalance, could become an issue due to the computational capacity of the hardware used to process the data. To address this concern, H2O, a big data tool, was introduced. This tool uses a batch processing technique that allows the training of a model on a large data set. Both imbalance and data size issues are carefully addressed in this report.

To analyze the effect of a ramp metering strategy, FREEVAL (FREway EVALuation), a computational engine provided in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) by the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy of Sciences, was used as the tool to study the travel time reduction after the implementation of ramp metering.

This report is organized as follows. A literature review summarizing previous related studies is provided in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 presents the data used in this report and discusses the integrated database and data processing platform. The details of the data visualization procedure and its findings are described in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 presents the binomial probit model for identifying the crash contributing factors. Chapter 6 discusses the identification of traffic bottlenecks. The performance of ramp metering is evaluated in Chapter 7. Chapter 8 discusses real-time crash prediction comprehensively and explains some potential applications. Chapter 9 concludes with the findings of this study and discusses future recommendations.

2. Literature Review

This chapter provides a review of the literature on traffic crash prediction. The crash prediction techniques can be classified into two categories: aggregate level and disaggregate level. Aggregate-level models link crash statistics (such as number of crashes, crash rate, etc.) to potential effective factors and quantify the impact of each factor by estimating the coefficient for it. Disaggregate-level models focus on each individual crash or non-crash case. These models classify crash and non-crash cases based on observable factors and predict crashes in the shortterm future. Traffic crash data are extremely imbalanced, which highly influences the performance of disaggregate-level crash prediction models. Research related to imbalanced data is discussed in this chapter.

2.1 Aggregate-Level Crash Model

Most commonly, for aggregate models a crash performance function is built using regression models to grade the parameters of geometric and traffic characteristics according to their contribution to crash potential. Besides the regression models, some black-box algorithms such as artificial neural networks are used for constructing the crash performance function. [Figure 2.1](#page-15-2) summarizes some of the aggregate crash analysis models previously used.

Figure 2.1 Previously used aggregate-level crash analysis models

The models' advantages and disadvantages are provided in [Table 2.1.](#page-16-1)

Model/Method	Advantage	Disadvantage
Poisson and negative binomial (NB)	Easy estimation	Poisson model cannot handle over- and under-dispersion, while NB can only deal with over-dispersed data
Zero-inflated and random effect negative binomial	Able to deal with all kinds of data	Requires a specified functional form
Classification and regression tree (CART)	Does not require a specified functional form	Has the risk of over-fitting and cannot handling the interactions between risk factors
Artificial neural network (ANN)	Does not require a specified functional form and can handle the interactions between the predictors	Difficult to perform elasticity and elasticity analyses, which is important to provide the marginal effects of the variables on crash frequency
Full Bayesian (FB)	Capable of accounting for	
hierarchical approach	uncertainty	

Table 2.1 Comparison of aggregate-level models

2.2 Disaggregate Level Crash Model

Geometric and general traffic characteristics are analyzed as predictor variables while crash frequencies are treated as the response to identify the factors that contribute to high crash potential. Aggregate-level approaches are not an appropriate fit for the real-time crash prediction problem, but using them in a preliminary analysis helps to understand the impact of potential predictive factors and supports attribute selection. The aggregate-level preliminary crash analysis for this study is presented in Chapter 5.

For the real-time crash prediction problem, a disaggregate-level approach attempts to classify individual cases (e.g., a five-minute interval) as a "crash" case (i.e., a crash occurred within the five-minute interval) or "non-crash" case (i.e., no crash occurred within the fiveminute interval). For such analyses, previous studies have usually built models using data that contain predictors in a certain time interval prior to the occurrence of the accident and the corresponding crash data. The models are then used to predict crash occurrence in the near future. The major predictors used in disaggregate-level models are traffic-related characteristics such as speed, volume, and occupancy. The level of aggregation and the locations of traffic data collection can significantly influence the models' performance. Some of the previous models used in disaggregate-level analysis and the most significant predictors are summarized in Figures 2.2 and 2.3.

Figure 2.2 Previously used disaggregate-level crash analysis models

20min before 15min bef. 10min bef. 5min bef. Crash Occurrence

Figure 2.3 Best predictors found in the literature

As shown in [Figure 2.4,](#page-18-0) previous studies have chosen predictors in different time domains.

Figure 2.4 Predictor selection by Abdel-Aty et al. [\(2\)](#page-63-1)

For example, Abdel-Aty and Pande [\(0\)](#page-63-2) found that the logarithm of the coefficient of variance (CV) of speed 10 to 15 minutes prior to the crash occurrence can significantly affect crash potential, and the authors used it as the only predictor.

[Figure](#page-17-2) 2.3 shows that some studies used a short time interval immediately before the study's time point as the time domain of the crash prediction model. In practice, this kind of attribute selection strategy leaves no time for the operation system to respond to the predicted crash. A model built on such strategy is more likely to be a quick crash identification model. Other studies (such as the bottom five studies in Figure 2.3) used a time interval to identify crash propensity that is prior to (e.g., 10 to 15 minutes before) the study time point. This kind of attribute selection could be appropriate for practical use in traffic operations because it provides some time to take action to avoid the predicted crash. In addition to different time domains, different distance domains were also considered in the previous studies shown in Figure 2.3. The crash likelihood on a certain road segment may be influenced by the traffic conditions on adjacent segments. For example, the congestion at a certain location might influence the likelihood of rear-end crashes in the upstream segment, or a high-volume merging location may increase the likelihood of side-swipe crashes in the downstream segment because of the high lane change frequency. Therefore, to determine the influenced range, the study of predictors in different distance domains is critical. Abdel-Aty et al. [\(2\)](#page-63-1) conducted a comprehensive case study of analysis window selection in both the time and distance domains. The researchers divided the time domain into six slices by five-minute increments (i.e., the first slice is zero to five minutes prior to study time point, the second slice is five to ten minutes prior to study time point, and so on). The distance to the study location was divided into eight segments according to the location of traffic detectors. The detectors are labeled A through H, from upstream to downstream, in Figure 2.4. For each time and location combination, six independent variables (AV: average

volume, SV: standard deviation of volume, AS: average speed, logCVS: logarithm of coefficient of variation of speed, logAO: logarithm of average occupancy, SO: standard deviation of occupancy) were used as predictor variables so that a total of 288 ($6 \times 8 \times 6$) variables were tested for each case. The crashes were also classified into low-speed and high-speed crashes and modeled separately. Figure 2.4 shows the significant predictor variables, and the point of the study target is marked as a red X. The most significant slots were located within the nearest two detectors both upstream and downstream and from 5 to 15 minutes prior to the study point. The optimal slots varied by target location.

In Chapter 8 of this report, different data mining methods are used to develop a disaggregate-level crash prediction model, and the selection of the analysis window is carefully examined.

2.3 Classification with Imbalanced Data

Previous work has pointed out that class imbalance can significantly limit the performance attainable by most standard classifier learning algorithms [\(3\)](#page-63-3). Most standard classifiers assume equal class distribution and equal misclassification costs, which does not hold true in most classification problems with an imbalanced data set. In using the standard classification approach in a real-time crash prediction problem, the crash cases tend to be ignored while the overall prediction accuracy can be close to 100% for non-crash cases (e.g., for 10 crashes out of $105,120$ cases, the accuracy is $105110/105120 = 0.9999905$ if all cases are predicted as non-crash cases). Sun et al. [\(4\)](#page-63-4) comprehensively reviewed solutions to address the problems of performing classification with imbalanced data and categorized the solutions into the data-level approach and the algorithm-level approach.

For the data-level approach, the objective is to rebalance the class distribution by resampling the data space, including oversampling instances of the minor class and undersampling instances of the major class. Padmaja et al. [\(5\)](#page-63-5) applied two data-level approaches to a highly overlapped and imbalanced data set of automobile insurance information to resample the fraud and non-fraud cases: (1) a hybrid sampling technique that is a combination of oversampling the minority data and undersampling the majority data and (2) an extreme outlier elimination data cleaning method for eliminating extreme outliers in minority regions. On using the resampled data set in analysis, improved performance of the classifier was reported.

For the algorithm-level approach, the solution is to adapt existing classifier learning algorithms to create a bias towards the minor class. Ensemble learning techniques, such as adaptive boosting (AdaBoost), and cost-sensitive learning are the two main approaches. Sun et al. [\(6\)](#page-63-6) introduced cost items into the learning framework of AdaBoost. On investigating the effect of this cost-sensitive meta-learning technique on most classifier learning algorithms, better results were found in most of the experiments.

Rather than working specifically on either data-level or algorithm-level approaches, most previous studies combined the resampling method with a minor class–adapted algorithm to improve classification performance. Phua et al. [\(7\)](#page-63-7) proposed an innovative fraud detection method named stacking-bagging that combined minor class oversampling with a meta-learning technique. Seiffert et al. [\(8\)](#page-63-8) introduced random undersampling into AdaBoost. Tang et al. [\(9\)](#page-63-9) applied both oversampling and undersampling and cost-sensitive items to support vector machine–based strategies. Khalilia et al. [\(10\)](#page-63-10) combined repeated random subsampling with the

random forest method. The performance of these hybrid methods match or surpass most standard classifiers.

As to real-time crash prediction, although most studies work on both the data level and the algorithm level to build a crash classifier, few studies have emphasized or focused on the data imbalance problem, which greatly hinders the performance of standard data mining algorithms. This data imbalance problem is a relative rarity rather than an absolute rarity [\(3\)](#page-63-3) because a large amount of traffic surveillance and crash data are available. The simplest method to tackle relative rarity is to undersample the non-crash cases. This method is used in most models at the data level. Another reason for undersampling the non-crash cases is because the size of the data set for real-time crash prediction is usually too large to be handled by normal analytic tools.

Other than randomly undersampling the non-crash cases, the most common method used is the matched case-control method, which has proven to be an efficient method for studying rare events in the field of epidemiology [\(11\)](#page-63-11). For either randomly undersampling or matched casecontrol methods, the key issue is to determine the optimal class distribution. Weiss and Provost [\(12\)](#page-63-12) implemented a thorough experimental study on the effect of a training set's class distribution on a classifier's performance using artificial data sets. The findings indicated that a balanced class distribution (a class size ratio of 1:1) performed relatively well but is not necessarily optimal. Optimal class distributions vary by the data used. Abdel-Aty et al. [\(13\)](#page-63-13) used matched case-control sampling with a control-to-case ratio of 5:1, while Zheng et al. [\(14\)](#page-63-14) and Xu et al. [\(15\)](#page-64-0) used a control-to-case ratio of 4:1. Pande and Abdel-Aty [\(16\)](#page-64-1) studied 49 lane change– related collisions along with 1,096 randomly selected non-crash cases. Another study by these authors [\(17\)](#page-64-2) used a distribution of 2,179 crashes and 150,000 (0.04% of a total of 362,862,720 cases) randomly selected non-crashes. Data sets with different class distributions have been documented in the literature, but few studies have investigated the impact of class distribution on model performance for real-time crash prediction.

In most of the previous research on algorithm-level models, logistic regression or classifiers based on probabilistic neural networks (PNN) have been applied with little concern for the data imbalance problem. Abdel-Aty and Pande [\(18\)](#page-64-3) and Oh et al. [\(19\)](#page-64-4) applied PNN to real-time crash prediction in 2004. Abdel-Aty et al. [\(13\)](#page-63-13) then conducted an analysis using a logistic regression model in 2005. Xu et al. [\(20\)](#page-64-5) built a sequential logit model to predict crashes with severity in 2012. In 2013, Hossain and Muromachi [\(21\)](#page-64-6) introduced an ensemble learning method, which is recognized as an effective approach for tackling the imbalanced classification problem. In their study, a random multinomial logit model (RMNL), a random forest of logit models, was applied to very high-resolution traffic data (eight-millisecond raw data grouped into five-minute aggregate data) collected in Tokyo for real-time crash prediction; good prediction performance was reported.

Another issue that can be identified in studies on real-time crash prediction models is inappropriate or unclear model performance metrics. Because the cost of ignoring a crash case (positive) is much higher than misclassifying a non-crash case (negative), the overall accuracy is not as important as the true positive rate (or sensitivity or recall) and the false positive rate (or false alarm rate). A high true positive rate and a low false alarm rate are desired, but there is a trade-off between the two. A higher true positive rate can always be achieved by increasing the classification cut-off threshold, but the false positive rate can also rise as a result. The optimal threshold for the performance metric varies across models. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and the total area under the curve (AUC) are the most appropriate performance

metrics for classifiers [\(12\)](#page-63-12). Most of the aforementioned studies provided the model performance metric at the threshold with highest overall accuracy, which makes it difficult to compare the performance of the model across different studies.

This chapter summarized previous studies on urban freeway crash analysis. At the aggregate level, statistical models and long time-scaled data (e.g., data aggregated monthly) have been used to examine the impact of factors contributing to crash risk. At the disaggregate level, short time-scaled data (e.g., data aggregated every 20 seconds) have been used to develop crash prediction models. In order to improve the accuracy of crash prediction models in a way that has more practical value, both data-level and algorithm-level approaches were studied. In this study, both aggregate- and disaggregate-level crash analyses were conducted using traffic speed data collected by field sensors, and this report includes a comprehensive discussion on ways to improve crash prediction accuracy. The following chapter describes the data and the data processing platform.

3. Database Development

Good data sources and high-quality data are essential for any analysis. This chapter describes the data sources as well as the integrated database and automated data processing platform used in this study.

3.1 Road Network

This study focuses on urban freeways and major arterials in the Omaha, Nebraska, area. NDOR has installed permanent remote traffic microwave sensors (RTMS) on five routes in Omaha, including I-80, I-480, I-680, US-75, and West Dodge Street. Figure 3.1 shows the geolocations of the RTMS. The sensors on I-80, I-480, I-680, US-75, and West Dodge Street are colored in red, yellow, pink, violet, and green, respectively. The studied road segments are those covered by the sensors shown in [Figure 3.1.](#page-22-3)

Figure 3.1 RTMS locations in the Omaha area

3.2 Data Description

Three data sources were available for this study:

- Traffic speed data: The RTMS vendor, Speed Info, provides one-minute speed data for each sensor from 2008 to 2014. These speed data were obtained from NDOR's Microsoft SQL Server database.
- Weather data: The temperature and precipitation data collected from roadside sensors were also obtained from NDOR's Microsoft SQL Server database. Due to the low

quality and incompleteness of the data, additional weather data (in CSV format) were downloaded from MesoWest (http://mesowest.utah.edu/) for validation purposes.

• Crash data: The crash records (in CSV format) of Douglas County and Sarpy County from 1997 to 2012 were provided by the Omaha Police Department.

3.3 Data Integration

In this study, data integration is defined as the process of storing all the related data from different sources in a single database with the same format. This method of data processing ensures consistency throughout the database and makes the data processing procedure easier to automate. For crash prediction purposes, data integration and data processing automation are important because the data processing system should be able to quickly handle a large amount of data.

Because the majority of the data for this study were stored in Microsoft SQL Server, data in all other formats were integrated into a Microsoft SQL Server research database to ensure easy access to the data and to allow querying and editing of the data in one place. This integration made it easy to query and process the data by linking the Microsoft SQL Server database to MATLAB.

The data sources were processed as follows:

- Traffic speed: The Microsoft SQL Server tables remained unchanged.
- Weather data: The original data in the Microsoft SQL Server tables remained unchanged. The CSV tables of weather data from MesoWest were imported into the Microsoft SQL Server database through the Microsoft SQL Server import wizard.
- Crash data: The crash-related data, including crash-level description data, vehiclelevel description data, and traffic crash coordinates, were stored in large Microsoft Excel files with more than half a million rows on each sheet. Due to the large file size, the Microsoft SQL Server import wizard could not process the crash data files. Therefore, MATLAB was used to create the data set. A Java database connectivity (JDBC) connection was created between the MATLAB and Microsoft SQL server programs. A program was developed in MATLAB to read crash data from CSV files and insert them into SQL tables line by line.

In addition to the data sources mentioned above, the geo-locations of speed sensors and weather sensors were also uploaded into the Microsoft SQL Server database. The integrated data in the Microsoft SQL Server research database could be accessed from any machine on the same network as the research database.

3.4 Data Processing Platform

After the Microsoft SQL Server database was created, MATLAB was used as a processing platform to query and edit the data and perform data analytics. The workflow of the MATLAB- Microsoft SQL Server data processing platform is described below:

- All the data processing code was written and run in MATLAB.
- A JDBC connection was established between MATLAB and Microsoft SQL Server.
- When a data processing job was requested, a query to retrieve the needed data was sent by MATLAB and executed in Microsoft SQL Server.
- When a data table was generated by Microsoft SQL Server as a query result, it was returned to the MATLAB workspace as a MAT-file.
- All necessary analytics were performed on the MAT-file in MATLAB. Appropriate graphics were generated as needed.
- Any updates to the database were also executed through SQL commands run using MATLAB.

The data processing procedure was semi-automated using computer programming, as described above. With the integrated database and the data processing platform, it was possible to quickly generate performance measures or compute crash likelihood between different sensor groups or between different time periods. Also, with this platform new crash-related data could be easily added into the existing database for analysis.

In summary, this chapter provided a description of the study road network, the data, and the design of the data processing platform. This platform can be of great use when the data processing procedures are automated. The following chapter introduces data visualization methods that take advantage of the capability of this data processing platform for both singlelayer information (traffic speed) and multi-layer information (traffic speed, weather, and crashes).

4. Exploratory Data Analysis

A clear visualization of the traffic data is usually the best way to understand the actual traffic conditions in the field. This chapter describes the visualization methods that were used in this study, which were powered by the aforementioned data processing platform.

4.1 Data Visualization – Traffic Speed Heat Maps

It is always desirable to visualize traffic speed data in order to understand traffic conditions. A traffic speed heat map is a good way to display speed information in both the temporal and spatial domains. An example of a speed heat map is shown in Figure 4.1.

Figure 4.1 Annual average speed of I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008

In a two-dimensional speed heat map, the two axes are scaled by time and distance, respectively. Each pixel, representing a certain time and distance interval, is colored depending on the speed value. The heat map provides an overview of traffic conditions on a roadway segment at different distances from a reference point during a certain time period. From the heat maps, the times and locations with high congestion or low speeds can also be easily identified. The heat maps presented in this chapter have time of day on the horizontal axis and distance on the vertical axis. The speed is colored from green to red with decreasing values of speed, with green representing high speed and red representing low speed.

The speed sensors are usually not equally distanced along the road. In this study, to scale the vertical axis by the actual distance, the speeds on the segment between any two adjacent sensors were linearly interpolated by 0.1 mile along the direction of traffic. The speed data are aggregated into five-minute intervals. To deal with the missing data, the following assumptions were made for data interpolation:

- First, for each plotted time interval, the percentage of missing data was verified. If the percentage of missing data exceeded a predetermined threshold, and thus not enough data were available to make a meaningful interpolation, the entire time interval was omitted. (For example, in Figure 4.2, all sensors are treated as having missing data around 3 p.m. on December 2.)
- If enough data were available for interpolation, the algorithm only interpolated speeds between two points with known speeds and never extrapolated. (For example, in Figure 4.2, speed data recorded around 6 p.m. on December 28 are reported for the segments between 2 to 12 miles from the start point.)

Heat maps were plotted for both annual average speed and daily average speed for all studied roads. Figure 4.1 shows the annual average speed heat map of I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008. Each pixel is colored based on the average speed over the entire year for the corresponding location at a specific time of day. The vertical axis is ticked at each actual sensor location along the direction of traffic. On this segment, vehicles travel from the east end (bottom of the vertical axis) to the west end (top of the vertical axis). The lowest speed was observed on the segment within two miles from the east end, which is roughly in the downtown area. On average, the most congested time appeared to be from 5 p.m. to 6 p.m. and the evening peak hours were more congested than the morning peak hours. The general trend of traffic conditions on I-80 westbound in Omaha can be easily determined by reading this annual average speed heat map.

Note: blue stars represent mainline crashes, blue Xs represent on-ramp crashes, and blue plus signs represent off-ramp crashes

Figure 4.2 Daily speed heat map of I-80 westbound in Omaha in December 2008

To investigate the finer details, daily speed heat maps were created. Figure 4.2 displays the historical traffic speed of I-80 in December 2008. Each subplot in this figure is a one-day speed heat map. The daily heat maps are arranged in calendar order, with Sundays in the left column. From Figure 4.2, December 16, 18, and 19 appear to be the most congested days in that month. Heavy congestion is evident during the morning peak hours on December 19, while continuous low speeds can be observed from 6 p.m. to midnight on December 18. Slow traffic can be seen to have lasted throughout the daytime on December 16, which could be related to a traffic incident or a special event on that day, such as a crash or severe weather. As expected, the congestion subsides during the Christmas holiday, with more people staying at home. These daily traffic heat maps show the general trend of traffic conditions and are very useful to determine if there is any recurring congestion. For example, Figure 4.2 shows that there was no clearly evident recurring congestion on I-80 westbound in Omaha in December 2008. This finding could be attributed to variable time schedules or the absence of office traffic due to the December holiday season. As a comparison, Figure 4.3 gives the daily speed heat maps for I-80 eastbound in Omaha in September 2013. Distinct recurring congestion was observed during the morning peak hours, evident in the repeating red pattern on every weekday morning, and the highest congestion was observed on Thursday mornings.

Figure 4.3 Daily speed heat map of eastbound I-80 in Omaha in September 2013

As discussed in Chapter 3, the data processing platform makes it possible to automate the data visualization procedure. Heat maps can therefore be easily and quickly created for all studied segments. Due to limited space, however, not all heat maps have been included in this report.

4.2 Speed, Weather, and Crashes

Taking advantage of the integrated database described in the previous chapter, data visualization can combine information from multiple data sources to reveal the underlying correlations among speed, weather, and crashes. In Figure 4.2, the crashes that occurred in December 2008 on I-80 westbound in Omaha are marked on the heat maps according to the crash time and location information. Blue stars on the maps represent mainline crashes, blue Xs represent on-ramp crashes, and blue plus signs represent off-ramp crashes. Most crashes occurred during peak traffic hours and were associated with low traffic speeds. Several

crashes occurred on December 16, in parallel with one of the worst congestion periods observed for the segment in the month of December.

Weather information such as rain or snow events can also be included in the speed heat maps. To make the maps easy to read, speed data were made more brief and concise, and only clustered low-speed events (speeds lower than or equal to 45 mph) are displayed. Figure 4.4 shows the clustered daily low-speed events overlaid with crashes and precipitation for I-80 westbound in Omaha in December 2008.

Note: light blue: rain, dark blue: snow, *: mainline crash, +: on-ramp crash, ×: off-ramp crash

Figure 4.4 Clustered daily congestion overlaid with crashes and precipitation

The red points represent low-speed clusters. This figure shows that some correlation exists among crashes, low-speed events, and precipitation. The summary statistics for speed, crashes, and precipitation for the studied segment on I-80 westbound in 2008 are listed in Table 4.1.

Variables	Value		
Mean speed (mph)	62.05		
Standard deviation of speed (mph)	5.54		
Number of crashes	257		
Total hours of rain (hour)	647.4		
Total hours of snow (hour)	45.7		

Table 4.1 Data summary statistics of I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008

The model developed to investigate the relationship between crashes and contributing factors will be discussed in Chapter 5. Furthermore, if certain patterns of speed events and precipitation are frequently observed before the occurrence of a crash, some identification mechanism for the hazardous condition could be developed for crash prediction. This topic will be discussed in Chapter 8.

This chapter demonstrated several data visualization methods. The annual average speed heat map reveals the trends in traffic speed by time of day. The daily average speed heat maps, plotted in calendar order, display the traffic speeds of a certain segment in detail, and speed trends can be identified by different measures (day of the week, month, mile marker, etc.). In addition, through the integrated database and data processing platform, speed, weather, and crash data can be displayed together to show the correlation among these data. To verify and quantify this correlation, the impacts of different factors on crash risk based on aggregate-level crash analysis are studied in the next chapter

5. Crash Risk Assessment

Safety is an important aspect of traffic management. Crash risk assessment can reveal the relationships between crashes and contributing factors and can help to quantify the benefits of traffic operation strategies in improving safety. In this chapter, preliminary hypothesis tests were conducted to analyze the correlations among traffic speeds, ambient weather conditions, and crash occurrences. A binomial probit model was developed to identify the crash contributing factors. A sensitivity analysis of these factors was conducted and is discussed in this chapter.

5.1 Correlation among Crashes, Speed, and Weather Conditions

Using the MATLAB-Microsoft SQL Server data processing platform presented in Chapter 4, descriptive statistics were obtained and a preliminary data analysis was conducted for the traffic, weather, and crash data. Table 5.1 summarizes the crash occurrences by traffic and weather conditions on I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008.

			Weather Condition			
Crash Summary			Clear	Rain	Snow	
Traffic Condition	$Speed > 50$ mph	Crash counts	187	28	Ω	
		Crash percentage	72.76%	10.89%	0.00%	
		Time percentage	92.09%	5.84%	0.29%	
	Speed \leq 50mph	Crash counts	26	16	Ω	
		Crash percentage	10.12%	6.23%	0.00%	
		Time percentage	0.020%	1.53%	0.23%	

Table 5.1 Crash summary for I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008

A threshold of 50 mph divides the traffic conditions into two categories: uncongested conditions (speeds greater than 50 mph) and congested conditions (speeds less than or equal to 50 mph). This threshold was selected based on the traffic characteristics of the studied road segment. The weather conditions were categorized into clear, rain, and snow. A total of 257 crashes were observed on this road segment. The crash percentage was calculated by dividing the crash counts in each category by the total number of crashes. The time percentage is the time duration of a certain combination of traffic and weather conditions out of the entire study period. For 92% of the time in 2008, this road segment was uncongested and in clear weather, and 73% of the crashes in that year occurred in this combination of traffic and weather conditions. The segment was congested and in clear weather for 0.02% of the time in 2008, and 10% of the total crashes occurred in such conditions. The relationships implied by the data in Table 5.1 are as follows: (1) the conditional probability of a low-speed event given different weather conditions varied significantly, which indicated a potential correlation between weather and traffic speed, and (2) the conditional probability of a crash given different traffic and weather conditions differed, which indicated the impact of speed and weather on crash occurrence.

For the interrelationship between weather and traffic conditions, if these two factors are independent, the probability of a combined traffic and weather event should be equal to the product of the individual probabilities of the corresponding traffic event and weather event. The hypothesis that the traffic and weather conditions are independent was tested by a chi-square test using a contingency table. The test results are shown in Table 5.2. Because some of the expected counts of events were lower than five, Fisher's exact test was appropriate. The null hypothesis was rejected at a 95% confidence level, and there was no evidence that the traffic and weather conditions were independent of each other. The highest chi-square values were observed for low speed with rain and low speed with snow, which shows that rain and snow significantly increased the chance of congestion.

For the crash likelihood, if the crash occurrence has an equal likelihood across different combinations of traffic and weather conditions, the crash percentage values presented in Table 5.2 should follow the distribution of time percentage.

		Weather				
		Clear	Rain	Snow	Marginal Total	
Speed > 50mph	Total %	92.09	5.84	0.29		
	Expected %	90.4704	7.238	0.5107	98.22	
	Chi-square	0.0290	0.2703	0.0954		
	Total %	0.02	1.53	0.23		
Speed \leq 50mph	Expected %	1.6395	0.1312	0.0092	1.78	
	Chi-square	1.5998	14.9153	5.2645		
Marginal Total		92.11	7.37	0.52	100.00	
Test Results						
Log likelihood		4.6249				
R square		0.1567				
p -value	Pearson		0.0098			
	Likelihood Ratio		< 0.0001			
	Fisher's Exact Test		0.0007			

Table 5.2 Contingency analysis of weather conditions by traffic speed

Note: A small p-value indicates that the null hypothesis should be rejected

This hypothesis that crash percentage and time percentage have the same distribution was tested by a nonparametric chi-square test. The results are shown in Table 5.3.

Conditions	Crash	Observed		Hypothesis		
(speed-weather)	Counts	Probability		Probability		
High-clear	187	0.7275		0.9209		
High-rain	28	0.1089		0.0584		
High-snow	$\mathbf{\Omega}$	0.00004		0.0029		
Low-clear	26	0.1012		0.0002		
Low-rain	16	0.0622		0.0153		
Low-snow	$\mathbf{\Omega}$	0.00004		0.0023		
Total	257	1.0000		1.0000		
Test Results						
	chi-square			p-value		
Likelihood Ratio	315.2836			< 0.0001		
Pearson	13,158.78			< 0.0001		

Table 5.3 Nonparametric chi-square test results for crash distribution

Note: A small *p-value* indicates that the null hypothesis should be rejected

The null hypothesis was rejected at a 95% confidence level, which indicates that the likelihood of a crash varies by traffic and weather conditions. From Table 5.3, the observed probabilities of high speed and rain, low speed and clear weather, and low speed and rain are much higher than the hypothesized values, which provides evidence that these three conditions increase the likelihood of a crash. A high crash likelihood was usually associated with low speed and rain. Rain is an important causal factor for low speed and high crash likelihood. Although the causal relationship between low speed and crash occurrence was difficult to determine for each individual case, the interaction was statistically detected.

5.2 Crash Risk Modeling and Sensitivity Analysis

After the preliminary hypothesis tests, a binomial probit model was built to identify the crash contributing factors, and the data for I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008 were used. The descriptive statistics of the model variables are provided in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4 Descriptive statistics of variables

Besides speed and weather conditions, the time of day, day of the week, and location on the road were also considered in the model. Indicators were created for each day of the week. The time of day was classified into nine intervals (12 a.m. to 6 a.m., 6 a.m. to 8 a.m., 8 a.m. to 10 a.m., 10 a.m. to 12 p.m., 12 p.m. to 2 p.m., 2 p.m. to 4 p.m., 4 p.m. to 6 p.m., 6 p.m. to 8 p.m., and 8 p.m. to 12 a.m.). The 18 mile long freeway was divided into six equal-length segments (0: east end, 18 miles: west end), and indicators were created for modeling. The period of 8 p.m. to 12 a.m. and the location between 15 and 18 miles on Monday on this segment was used as the baseline to evaluate the impacts of the other variables.

Using the indicator of crash occurrence as a dependent variable, a binomial probit model was built. The estimated coefficients of the independent variables are shown in Table 5.5.

Independent Variable	Coefficient	<i>t</i> -statistic	Confidence Level
Constant	-0.1153	-0.37	
speed (mph)	-0.0451	-11.79	***
indicator of weekend	-0.3057	-3.02	***
indicator of Tuesday	-0.0253	-0.25	
indicator of Wednesday	-0.0575	-0.55	
indicator of Thursday	0.0172	0.18	
indicator of Friday	-0.1639	-1.53	
indicator of 12am-6am	-0.1075	-0.95	
indicator of 6am-8am	0.4436	3.84	***
indicator of 8am-10am	0.1599	1.19	
indicator of 10am-12pm	0.3206	2.50	**
indicator of 12pm-2pm	0.2764	2.18	**
indicator of 2pm-4pm	0.1887	1.41	
indicator of 4pm-6pm	0.5746	5.26	***
indicator of 6pm-8pm	0.2257	1.73	\ast
indicator of 0 mile - 3 mile	0.7483	3.88	***
indicator of 3 mile - 6 mile	1.3577	7.23	***
indicator of 6 mile - 9 mile	0.6375	3.21	***
indicator of 9 mile - 12 mile	0.5220	2.53	$***$
indicator of 12 mile - 15 mile	0.1976	0.90	
indicator of rain	0.1572	1.47	
indicator of snow	0.3504	1.68	\ast
Model performance			
Log Likelihood	-1028.6419		
Restricted log likelihood	-1303.0108		
R-squared	0.2106		

Table 5.5 Estimated parameters by the binomial probit model

Note: ***, **, and * indicate confidence at a 99%, 95%, and 90% level, respectively

The model results are summarized in the following:

- The crash risk is higher on this road when the road is operated at a lower traffic speed.
- The crash risk was consistent on different weekdays but significantly lower on weekends.
- During the 6 a.m. to 8 a.m., 10 a.m. to 2 p.m., and 4 p.m. to 6 p.m. intervals, the crash risk was higher than at other times of day. The highest crash risk appeared to be between the 6 a.m. to 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. to 6 p.m. intervals.
- The western 12 miles had a higher crash risk than the rest of the road, and the hot spot appeared to be 3 to 6 miles toward the western end.
- Rain and snow did not have a significant impact on crash risk at a 95% confidence level. However, there was some evidence that the impact of snow was larger than that of rain. To better quantify the impacts of contributing factors on crash risk, a sensitivity analysis

was conducted. The estimated elasticities are shown in Table 5.6.

Elasticity	<i>t</i> -statistic	Confidence Level
-0.0750	11.16	***
-0.7762	3.32	***
0.9887	5.04	***
1.1879	7.88	***
1.7899	28.90	***
0.3984	1.55	
0.8134	2.04	$***$

Table 5.6 Sensitivity analysis: Estimated elasticity

Note: ***, **, and * indicate confidence at a 99%, 95%, and 90% level, respectively

These elasticity results show the following:

- Every one mile per hour increase in speed was associated with a 7.5% decrease in crash risk.
- The crash risk on weekends was 77.6% lower than on weekdays.
- The crash risk approximately doubled during morning peak hours (6 a.m. to 8 a.m.) and afternoon peak hours (4 p.m. to 6 p.m.) compared to the rest of the day.
- The crash risk on the segment three to six miles toward the western end of the road was much higher than on all other segments.
- Rain did not have a statistically significant impact on crash risk, while snow could increase the crash risk by 81%.

This chapter analyzed the impact of speed, weather, time, and location on crash occurrence at the aggregate level. A binomial probit model for predicting crash count was developed to identify the contributing factors, and a sensitivity analysis was conducted to quantify their impacts. The crash analysis found that a one mile per hour increase in speed was associated with a 7.5% decrease in crash risk. The crash risk on weekends was 77.6% lower than the crash risk on weekdays. The rate of crash risk during the peak hours (6 a.m. to 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. to 6 p.m.) was approximately twice the rate of the crash risk during the rest of a given day. The crash risk was also affected by the location of the road. Rain, observed during the analysis period, was found to have no significant impact on crash risk, while snow could increase the crash risk by 81%. These results are used in the cost-benefit analysis of ramp metering discussed in Chapter 7. The following chapter introduces speed-based performance measures, identifies the bottleneck locations on the Omaha urban freeway system, and prioritizes these locations for potential ramp metering implementation.
6. Traffic Performance Measure and Bottleneck Identification

In Chapter 5, traffic speed, snow, certain time periods of the day, and certain segments on the road were identified as statistically significant factors impacting the expected number of crashes per year. Among these factors, traffic speed is the topic of focus for this study because it is most commonly used as the control variable in active traffic management strategies. As described in this chapter, several traffic speed–based performance measures were developed and used to identify the bottlenecks on the Omaha urban freeway system.

6.1 Speed Based Performance Measure

To quantify and compare the traffic conditions across different locations and time periods, a series of performance measures was developed in this study. In this study, congestion is defined as traffic speeds lower than a threshold of 40 mph. A colored three-dimensional (3D) bar chart was developed to show the congestion at different times and locations. Figure 6.1 shows congestion counts and illustrates the congestion conditions on weekdays on an 18 mile road segment of I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008.

Figure 6.1 Congestion counts for I-80 westbound in Omaha on weekdays in 2008

Data were aggregated in five-minute intervals for each 0.1 mile section along this segment. The x and y axes represent the time of day and location on the road, respectively. Each bar represents a grid of 0.1 mile \times five minutes, and the height of the bar represents congestion count, that is, the number of days that congestion was observed on a 0.1 mile segment (specified by location axis) during a five-minute period (specified by the time of day axis). A higher value for congestion count implies a higher frequency or higher likelihood of congestion. The congestion count is also color coded. Dark blue represents no congestion, and yellow and red

represent a medium to high likelihood of congestion. For the studied road on I-80 westbound in Omaha, the heaviest congestion was observed on the segment between one to six miles from the eastern end during the period from 4 p.m. to 7 p.m. Similar bar charts can be created for each day of the week or weekend to show the congestion distribution patterns on different days of the week.

The 3D bar chart of congestion counts treats speed as a categorical variable (congestion or non-congestion) and can be a good illustration of congestion frequency. However, the chart is unable to accurately quantify the severity of congestion. Travel time delay can be used as a performance measure to capture the severity of congestion. A colored 3D bar chart of travel time delay was developed, as shown in Figure 6.2, and is similar to the chart of congestion counts.

Figure 6.2 Average travel time delay for I-80 westbound in Omaha on weekdays in 2008

The horizontal axis represents the grid of the time of day and the location on the road. Travel time delay was calculated for each grid (1 mile \times 15 minutes). The vertical axis represents travel time delay, or travel time deficiency. Travel time delay is the additional time required to travel through a road segment at an observed speed compared to traveling at a reference speed. In this study, the posted speed limit was used as the reference speed. The height of each bar in Figure 6.2 represents the amount of travel time delay for the location and time period specified by the horizontal axis. The colors of the bars also indicate the congestion levels, with dark blue representing no congestion and red representing high congestion levels. As seen in Figure 6.2, the location and time period with the highest travel time delay was similar to the location and time period with the highest congestion frequency identified in Figure 6.1.

On average, the segment between one to two miles from the eastern end of the road experienced longer delays than all other road segments throughout the day.

Two new performance charts, travel time profile and speed profile, were designed to visualize and compare the temporal and spatial trends in traffic conditions. Travel time profiles provide a description of expected travel time and travel time reliability along the road by time of day. The travel time profiles can be created for a wide range of analysis periods. Figure 6.3 shows the monthly travel time profile for an 18 mile segment on I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008. The black line in the figure represents the median travel time at a particular time of day, and the red line marks the 85th percentile travel time. The difference between the median and the 85th percentile travel time is used as a measure of travel time reliability. In Figure 6.3, all of the missing data were replaced by the mean; therefore, the accuracy of this travel time profile depends greatly on the quality of the sensor data. The data quality could be improved by using additional data sources, such as INRIX (INRIX, Inc. [http://inrix.com/\)](http://inrix.com/) data. INRIX provides better data completeness and provides segment speed instead of point speed from roadside sensors. The percentage of missing data is shown on top of each subplot in Figure 6.3.

Figure 6.3 Monthly travel time profile for I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008

There were relatively higher percentages of missing data during February and July, and hence, in these cases, the travel time profiles would fail to capture parts of the real variation. Overall, the average travel time on this road was stable. There were more variations during the morning peak hours and afternoon peak hours, specifically during the afternoon peak hours. The highest travel times observed were during the afternoon traffic peak hours in the months of January, September, October, and December.

A travel speed profile illustrates the number of hours during the analysis period that the operational speed on a segment is within a predefined range. Figure 6.4 shows the monthly speed profile for the aforementioned road on I-80 westbound in 2008.

Figure 6.4 Monthly traffic speed profile for I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008

The speed profile is a stacked bar chart drawn horizontally. The colors from dark brown to green represent speed ranges from lowest to highest, respectively. The vertical axis is scaled by 0.l mile increments and labeled by mile marker. Due to space limitations, only the top 100 hours with the lowest operational speeds are shown for each month. The locations near mile marker 452.5 (at the eastern end of the road) had longer travel times with lower operational speeds, and December was found to be the month with the most frequent observations of low speeds.

The charts for travel time profile and speed profile are also useful for comparing the traffic characteristics between different travel directions along a corridor or among different roadways. Benefiting from the integrated database and data processing platform, the data visualization methods and performance measure charts can be easily applied to any road segment in the database for a wide range of analysis periods. This technique provides the ability to quickly investigate traffic conditions on multiple corridors and display key findings.

6.2 Bottlenecks on the Omaha Urban Freeway System

This part of the analysis used data collected for the years 2008 and 2009 on five major corridors in Omaha, including I-80, I-480, I-680, US-6, and US-75. To identify the traffic bottlenecks, daily traffic speed heat maps were created for the entire two years in both travel directions of these five corridors. These heat maps include a total of 7,310 subplots (731 days \times 5 corridors \times 2 directions). All of the heat maps used a uniform color scale for easy comparison. The findings from the daily heat maps are summarized below:

 I-80: For the westbound direction, recurring congestion during the afternoon (PM) peak hours was observed, while there was no congestion observed during the morning (AM) peak hours. For the eastbound direction, recurring congestion was observed during both the AM and PM peak hours on weekdays, specifically during the PM peak hours on Fridays. It was also observed that the congested locations were different between the AM and PM peak hours. During the PM peak hours, the most congested area was near the eastern end near downtown (mile markers 450 to 454), while during the AM peak hours the most congested area was near the western end (mile markers 445 to 448).

- I-480: The average speed was slightly lower than that on I-80. The eastbound direction of I-480 experienced recurring congestion during the AM peak hours, and the westbound direction experienced congestion during the PM peak hours.
- I-680: The overall speed was above the speed limit (60 mph). There was some recurring congestion during the AM and PM peak hours on I-680 northbound, but no obvious issues were observed for the southbound traffic.
- US-6: The speed sensors on both directions of US-6 were not in good working condition, and hence there was a significant amount of missing data. However, the data showed some evidence that US-6 eastbound experienced congestion during the AM peak hours, and minor congestion was observed during the PM peak hours for the westbound traffic.
- US-75: US-75 had similar patterns to I-680 and US-6. The northbound traffic experienced congestion during the AM peak hours, and the southbound traffic was slightly worse during the PM peak hours than the AM peak hours.

Overall, the traffic on the analyzed freeways in 2008 and 2009 demonstrated some similar patterns: the roads going into the Omaha central district experienced recurring congestion during the AM peak hours, and those roads going out of the Omaha central district experienced severe congestion during the PM peak hours. The recurring congestion could most likely be attributed to commuter traffic. The most congested segments are shown in Figure 6.5.

Figure 6.5 Summary of AM and PM congestion in 2008 and 2009

The yellow arrows in the top two windows represent the congested areas during the AM peak hours. The red arrows in the bottom two windows represent the congested areas during the PM peak hours. The left two windows show the congested areas in 2008, and the right two windows show the traffic in 2009. The traffic direction is indicated by the direction of the arrow, and the congestion level is indicated by the width of the arrow. The traffic in the years 2008 and 2009 had similar congestion patterns. Out of the five studied corridors, I-80 experienced the highest congestion and was selected for further analysis.

Three-dimensional charts for travel time delay were created for I-80 westbound and are shown in Figure 6.6. Similar charts for I-80 eastbound are shown in Figure 6.7. The corresponding AM and PM congestion locations are marked on the map below each bar chart by a yellow circle and a red circle, respectively.

Left: 2008, Right: 2009

Figure 6.6 Cumulative travel time delay on I-80 westbound in 2008 and 2009

Left: 2008, Right: 2009

Figure 6.7 Cumulative travel time delay on I-80 eastbound in 2008 and 2009

To better visualize the bar charts, a 180 degree rotated view is provided for both 2008 and 2009 in Figure 6.7. The traffic patterns for travel time delay are consistent with the findings from the daily heat maps. The travel delay slightly decreased in 2009, but the patterns remain the same. Comparing the travel time delay shown in Figures 6.6 and 6.7, it is clear that the eastbound traffic on the studied segment experienced higher travel delay than the westbound traffic and that several miles near the western end performed consistently well. Therefore, a ramp metering cost-benefit analysis was conducted for the segment on I-80 eastbound from the I-680 interchange to the eastern end.

The average daily traffic condition on I-80 eastbound is shown on the heat map in Figure 6.8.

Figure 6.8 Average daily traffic condition on I-80 eastbound in 2008

The vertical axis of the map shows sensor ID. Widespread congestion was observed during the PM peak hours. To further investigate the speed distribution of the eastbound traffic on this road, daily traffic speed heat maps were plotted for the entire year of 2008. The daily traffic conditions in August 2008 are illustrated in Figure 6.9.

Figure 6.9 Daily traffic conditions on I-80 eastbound in August 2008

Recurring congestion was identified during the PM peak hours, and the most severe congestion occurred on Fridays. Figure 6.10 shows the average daily traffic conditions on Fridays from May 1 to September 30, 2008.

Based on data from May 1 to September 30, 2008

Figure 6.10 Average traffic conditions on I-80 eastbound on Fridays

Comparing Figures 6.8 and 6.10, it can be seen that the congestion on Fridays was the main cause of the low speed trend during the PM peak hours. The most congested period was between 3 p.m. and 8 p.m. on Fridays along the road from sensor 590 to sensor 612, and the congestion lasted for about four hours.

The analysis above ultimately identified a 6.3 mile segment on I-80 eastbound as the main recurring bottleneck among the five major corridors in Omaha. This segment is shown in Figure 6.11.

Figure 6.11 Bottleneck on I-80 eastbound near downtown Omaha

This segment was covered by nine sensors (IDs 590 to 612) and included four interchanges near the Omaha downtown district. The heaviest congestion occurred from 3 p.m. to 8 p.m. on Fridays. This segment was selected as a potential location for ramp meter installation. The analysis of ramp metering is discussed in Chapter 7.

Because the bottleneck analysis used traffic data from 2008 and 2009, which is six years before this study was conducted, there was a concern that the data may not reflect the current traffic conditions. To check this issue, daily speed heat maps similar to those in Figure 6.9 were plotted using INRIX data for both travel directions on the five studied corridors in 2013 and 2014. These daily heat maps are included in the Appendix. There were work zones in Council Bluffs during 2013 and 2014, which potentially influenced the eastbound traffic from Nebraska to Iowa. The heat maps show that the overall traffic conditions on I-80 eastbound in 2013 and 2014 were better than those in 2008 and 2009, but several heavy congestion areas were still observed near the western end of I-80 eastbound during the PM peak hours on Fridays.

This chapter introduced several speed-based traffic performance measures, including 3D bar charts of congestion counts, 3D bar charts of travel time deficiency, monthly travel time profiles, and monthly traffic speed profiles. After comparing the performance measures as well as the speed heat maps of different roadway segments, a 6.3 mile segment on I-80 eastbound (from sensor 590 to sensor 612) was identified as the main recurring bottleneck among the five major corridors in Omaha. The following chapter delves into the costs and benefits of providing a ramp metering solution for the section of the road identified as having the most critical recurring congestion.

7. Evaluating the Impacts of Ramp Metering

This chapter presents a case study that evaluates the costs and benefits of implementing a ramp metering solution for the congested corridor identified in the previous chapter. The change in travel speed after applying the ramp metering strategy was analyzed using FREEVAL. FREEVAL requires traffic volume as one of the inputs, but the radar sensors on the corridor only provide speed measurements. Due to the lack of traffic volume data, several assumptions were made to estimate the volume from the speed data. The following two sections describe in detail the modeling of the bottleneck segment and the assumptions made for the calculation of the traffic volumes. The benefits obtained from ramp metering were quantified in dollar values and are reported in subsequent sections.

7.1 Modeling the Bottleneck Segment

A 6.3 mile segment on I-80 eastbound was selected for ramp metering analysis. A total of nine speed sensors were installed along this segment, as shown in Figure 7.1.

The segment included eight on-ramps and five off-ramps, which are indicated by arrows in Figure 7.2 along with the locations of the speed sensors.

Figure 7.2 Ramps and sensor locations on the studied roadway

The studied road was further divided into 18 segments based on the on-ramp and offramp locations. Segments included basic, merging, diverging, and weaving sections and were classified according to the criteria in the *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM) 2010. These segments included eight basic freeway segments, five merging segments, two diverging

segments, and three weaving segments. The characteristics of each segment are presented in Table 7.1.

total number of segments = 18;																		
total length = 6.3 miles;																		
total number of interchanges = 5;																		
ltotal number of detectors =9																		
lsegment ID			з		5	6		я	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
segment type	B	м	w	в	м	м	R	D	в	м	w	В	D	R	w	в	м	В
l detecor ID	590			614			586		578			581	625		598	622		612
segment length (ft)	1900	920	2270		2060 1480 1500					1750 1500 1670 1090 2490			1160 1500	2850 1450		4320 1500		1850
Inumber of lanes			5			5			5	b	6	з						
free flow speed (mph)	165	70	70	65	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	65	65	65	65	65	65	65
on ramp number of lanes																		

Table 7.1 Summary of characteristics for the 18 studied segments

The length and number of lanes on each segment were checked using Google Earth. The free flow speed was determined using the HCM 2010 methodology. Seven out of the nine speed sensors were located on basic freeway segments, while sensors 625 and 598 were located on a diverging segment and a weaving segment, respectively. Generally, the traffic conditions on the basic freeway segments were more stable, and the detectors performed more reliably. The data for the 18 segments were used for ramp metering analysis in FREEVAL.

7.2 Speed to Volume Conversion and Model Validation

While FREEVAL requires traffic volume data to conduct the analysis, only speed data were available from the radar sensors deployed on the study corridor. The HCM speed-flow curves, shown in Figure 7.3, were used to estimate traffic volume from the observed speed data.

Figure 7.3 Speed-flow curves from HCM 2010

The average daily speed data on all Fridays between May 1 and September 30, 2008 were used to calculate the average traffic volume on Fridays for the studied segments. The data were aggregated in 15 minute intervals. After estimating the traffic volume from the speed data, the estimated speed-flow curve was created, as shown in Figure 7.4.

Figure 7.4 Speed-flow curves for the study segments

Three regimes are shown in this figure. Regime 1 indicates an uncongested condition and corresponds to the straight horizontal line in Figure 7.3. To be conservative, the highest uncongested flow rate was used, and any speed greater than or equal to the free flow speed was mapped to this volume. Regime 2 indicates an undersaturated congested condition and corresponds to the curved lines in Figure 7.3. In this regime, speed and flow rate are highly correlated; thus, the volume can be estimated at a much higher confidence level compared to the other regimes. Regime 3 indicates an oversaturated condition, and all the speeds in this regime were mapped to the capacity flow rate. Most of the points were located in regimes 2 and 3, which reflects the congestion observed on the study segment.

The average speed and converted volume between 12:00 p.m. and 1:00 p.m. on Fridays were used as the validation samples. The reason for choosing this sample is that all the data points for this period were in regime 2, and it is therefore reasonable to assume that the traffic behavior during this hour would be similar to that during the upcoming PM peak hours. The converted volumes were first calculated for the nine segments with speed sensors. The ramp volume was then estimated. To estimate the ramp volume, two assumptions were made due to the lack of information: (1) the on-ramps have the same volume in each lane and (2) the minimum ramp flow rate is 100 passenger cars per hour per lane (pc/hour/lane). After the ramp volume was obtained, the speed data were simulated in FREEVAL based on the converted volume. The simulated speed data were compared back to the sensor data for validation. The validation results are listed in Table 7.2.

					Calculated		
			Observed	Converted	Ramp Volume		Simulated
	Segment	Detector	Speed	Volume	(veh. /hour)		Speed
Segment	Type	ID	(mph)	(veh. /hour)	on	off	(mph)
1	B	590	57.56	7,657			61.25
$\overline{2}$	M				1,256		
3	W				1,257	2,084	
$\overline{4}$	B	614	60.68	8,086			59.53
5	M				1,256		
6	M				1,257		
$\overline{7}$	B	586	59.05	10,599			60.20
8	D					100	
9	B	578	58.98	10,582			61.38
10	M				1,256		
11	W				1,257	7,129	
12	B	581	63.41	5,966			61.29
13	D	625	62.32	5,966		2,117	61.29
14	\bf{B}						
15	W	598	62.24	6,362	2,513	2,277	31.32
16	B	622	61.07	4,085			63.13
17	M				2,458		
18	\bf{B}	612	62.71	6,542			61.59

Table 7.2 Model validation summary

B: basic freeway segment; M: merging segment; D: diverging segment; W: weaving segment

The differences between the observed speed and simulated speed were acceptable, except for segment 15. Segment 15 is a small weaving segment in the simulation, and a potential explanation for the speed difference is that the sensor might be situated beyond the weaving effect.

7.3 Ramp Metering Evaluation

In order to simulate the over-congested condition, the inflow volume from the mainline and the volumes on all the ramps in the study segments were increased based on the calibration case using the following assumptions:

- The inflow volume from the mainline segment upstream of the study segments was assumed to be at capacity.
- The increases of all ramp volumes were in proportion to the increase of inflow volume from the mainline segment upstream of the study segments.

The results of the ramp metering evaluation are subject to the accuracy of these assumptions. However, the methodology used here can easily be transferred to another study if the actual volumes are measured in the field.

Four 15 minute periods were modeled. Figure 7.5 shows the speed profiles for the Friday p.m. peak hours without ramp metering. The average travel time on the 6.3 mile long segment was 9.3 minutes.

Figure 7.5 Speed profile for the studied segment without ramp metering

Alternatively, if ramp meters were used on all eight on-ramps along the studied road and the ramp metering flow rate was set at 1,000 pc/hour/lane (one vehicle per 3.6 seconds), the average travel time could be reduced from 9.3 minutes to 8.1 minutes. The speed profile with ramp metering is shown in Figure 7.6.

Figure 7.6 Speed profile for the study segment with ramp metering

7.4 Cost-Benefit Analysis

The analysis in Section 7.3 shows that after ramp metering was implemented, the travel time saved was 1.2 minutes per vehicle for the eastbound traffic on the studied segment. The average travel time was reduced by 14.8%, and the average speed was increased by 14% (from 40.7 mph to 46.7 mph). Some studies conducted in other states have also identified the benefits of ramp metering in terms of speed and travel time improvements [\(22\)](#page-64-0):

- 9% increase in speed Long Island, NY
- 7.5% increase in speed Minneapolis, MN
- 16.3% increase in speed Denver, CO
- 11.5 to 22 minute decrease in average travel time Seattle, WA

The sensitivity analysis of the effect of speed on crash risk presented in Section 5.2 found that a one mile per hour increase in speed was associated with a 7.5% decrease in crash risk. After applying ramp metering, a speed increase of 6 mph was obtained; consequently, the crash risk is expected to decrease to 62.5% of the crash risk before the implementation of ramp metering, resulting in a 37.5% reduction in crash risk. The results of this study are comparable with similar findings reported in the literature, listed below (22) :

- 26% reduction in collisions during the peak period Minneapolis, MN
- 34% reduction in the collision rate Seattle, WA
- 50% reduction in rear-end and sideswipe collisions Denver, CO
- 50% reduction in total collisions Detroit, MI
- 43% reduction in collisions Portland, OR
- \bullet 15% reduction in the collision rate Long Island, NY

A cost-benefit analysis was conducted using the estimated benefits of the mobility and safety improvements. These benefits include the travel time savings and crash cost savings.

Some assumptions were made for the cost-benefit analysis:

- The volume on the studied segment was assumed to be 10,000 vehicles per hour (assume five lanes, with each lane operating at a capacity of 2,000 vehicles per hour) during congestion hours.
- The average congestion duration was assumed to be four hours every week.
- The value of time was assumed to be \$20.45 per hour (average Nebraska hourly wage in 2015, according to the Nebraska Department of Labor).

The total travel time savings can be estimated using the equation below:

Total travel time savings on mainline freeway

$$
= 4 \frac{hour}{week} \times 52 \frac{week}{year} \times 10,000 \frac{veh}{hour} \times 1.2 \frac{minute}{veh} \times \frac{hour}{60 minute} \times \frac{$20.45}{hour}
$$

= \$850,720/year (7-1)

The crash cost savings were estimated based on the crash risk reduction due to the increased speed. The crash cost was estimated using the capital monetary losses related to emergency services, medical care, property damage, and lost productivity. Table 7.3 lists the cost estimates for motor vehicle crashes in Nebraska 2013 provided by the Nebraska Office of Highway Safety [\(http://www.transportation.nebraska.gov/nohs/pdf/trcostest.pdf\)](http://www.transportation.nebraska.gov/nohs/pdf/trcostest.pdf).

Type of Crash	Cost per each type of Crash	Number of each type of Crash	Total cost
Death	\$1,500,000	225	\$337,500,000
Injury	\$80,700	1,620	\$130,734,000
Property damage crash (including minor injuries)	\$9,300	35,350	\$328,755,000
Total	\$21,427	37,195	\$796,989,000

Table 7.3 Nebraska cost estimate for motor vehicle crashes in 2013

The average cost per crash in Nebraska in 2013 was \$21,427. (Because the average cost of Death and Injury crashes indicate the average cost per person and not per crash, the actual average cost per crash should be higher than \$21,427.) This number was used for the following safety benefit analysis.

According to the crash statistics in Table 4.1, a total of 257 crashes occurred in one direction of I-80 in Omaha (Table 4.1 gives the westbound crashes, and we assume the number of crashes in both directions is equal). By applying ramp metering, the number of crashes could be reduced by 37.5%. The cost savings of reducing 96 crashes is calculated as follows:

Total crash savings on mainline freeway per year

 $= 96 \times $21,427 = $2,056,992(7-2)$

The total benefit from using ramp metering was calculated by obtaining the sum of travel time savings and crash cost savings. For the studied segment on I-80 eastbound, the total benefit was \$2,907,712 per year.

The cost of using ramp metering was determined based on the cost estimates of ramp metering projects in other states. The cost of installing a ramp meter ranged from \$10,000 to \$100,000 per site. Assuming that the cost for each ramp metering installation is \$50,000 and one ramp meter is needed for each of the eight ramps on the studied road, the total cost of ramp metering would be \$400,000. This cost can be easily recovered by the travel time savings and crash cost savings in one year. Therefore, the ramp metering strategy is recommended for traffic operations during peak hours on the identified bottleneck segment.

In this chapter, FREEVAL was used to analyze the impact of ramp metering on the selected 6.3 mile long segment. The characteristics and traffic volumes of each subsegment were carefully modeled and calibrated. The simulation results show that the mainline travel time on the 6.3 mile segment can be reduced from 9.3 minutes to 8.1 minutes and that the crash risk can be reduced by 37.5% after applying a ramp metering strategy. The cost-benefit analysis shows that the benefits from travel time savings and crash cost savings can easily offset the cost of implementing ramp metering. The following chapter discusses the feasibility of real-time crash risk prediction as an active traffic management approach.

8. Crash Estimation/Prediction and Potential Applications

The analyses in previous chapters have shown that traffic speed is an important indicator of crash risk. Compared to a statistical analysis of the relationship between speed and crash risk, crash estimation/prediction using real-time traffic speed data is a relatively new research topic and is of more practical value in traffic operations. This chapter describes a comprehensive study of real-time crash estimation/prediction. A method of crash estimation/prediction based on traffic speed was developed and is described, and potential applications are discussed.

8.1 Crash Estimation/Prediction Using a Single Speed Variable

The original data set used for this part of the analysis was described in detail in Section 5.2 (data for I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008) and consists of 10,000 non-crash instances and 285 crash instances. Two data sets were generated from the original one: in the "No Discretization" data set, the original numeric attributes were kept unchanged, and in the "Discretization" data set, the numeric attributes were converted into categorical attributes by the minimum description length discretization algorithm. The impact of different input data formats can be investigated by comparing the model outputs for the two data sets. The indicator of crash occurrence was used as the response variable for the crash estimation/prediction model, and the predictors included traffic speeds, weather conditions, time of day, day of the week, and road location.

Both data sets, each with 10,000 non-crash instances and 285 crash instances, were split into two subsets: a training set and a test set. A large portion of each data set, including 9,000 non-crash instances and 256 crash instances, was used to form a training set, and a smaller portion, with 1,000 non-crash instances and 29 crash instances, was preserved as a test set. Considering the imbalanced ratio of crash to non-crash instances, a resampling method combining bootstrap oversampling of the minority class (crash instances) and random undersampling of the majority class (non-crash instances) was applied to the larger subset (9,000 non-crash and 256 crash instances) to form a training set with balanced data. The final training set included 4,644 non-crash instances and 4,612 crash instances.

Several data mining algorithms were investigated in the modeling, including Bayesnet, J48 decision tree, random tree, and random forest. The widely used ensemble learning techniques, bagging and AdaBoost, were also applied to check whether they could improve model performance. All of the models were developed in Weka [\(23\)](#page-64-1). The model results are summarized in Table 8.1.

Table 8.1 Summary of model results

All of the attributes in the "No Discretization" column in Table 8.1 remained in their original data format. In the "Discretization" column, "Time," "Mileage," and "Speed" were binned into five, eight, and four groups, respectively, using the minimum description length discretization algorithm. For the "No Discretization" group, the total precision was above 92%, and the accuracy could be raised up to near 95% by ensemble learning. However, the best prediction accuracy for the minority class (marked by colored cells in Table 8.1) among all models was 55%, which was still much lower than the prediction accuracy for the majority class. For the "Discretization" group, the models provided a more balanced prediction accuracy between the minority class and the majority class, though the total precision is lower than that of the "No Discretization" group. Compared to the "No Discretization" group, the overall accuracy decreased to 85% while the accuracy for the minority class rose to 68%.

Based on the analysis discussed above, none of the classification models produced a perfect prediction result. There appeared to be a trade-off between the prediction accuracies of the majority class and minority class. One possible explanation could be that the distinction between crash cases and non-crash cases in the training set was not strong enough to be captured by the models. In the models presented in Table 8.1, speed was the only variable related to traffic characteristics, and this one variable may not be able to capture the detailed traffic fluctuations causing the crashes. Multiple speed variables are recommended to increase the distinction between crash and non-crash cases.

8.2 Crash Estimation Using Multiple Speed Variables

This section presents a comprehensive study of real-time crash estimation. Different related issues are discussed, including attribute selection, sampling, ensemble learning, and performance metrics.

8.2.1 Data Structure, Window Selection, and Distinction

The essential data-level feature influencing model prediction accuracy is the distinction between classes. All data-level approaches focus on increasing this distinction. Therefore, the distinction defined within the original data set can be critical.

In this analysis, an 11.7 mile segment on I-80 eastbound from mile marker 442.9 to mile marker 454.6 in the metropolitan area of Omaha, Nebraska, was studied. The data set consisted of archived traffic speed data and crash data from 2008 with 313 crashes. Figure 8.1 shows the daily traffic speed heat maps overlaid with crashes for the study segment in September 2008.

Figure 8.1 Daily speed heat maps overlaid with crashes for the studied segment

Each pixel on the heat maps is a five-minute by 0.1 mile grid. Each grid is colored based on speed and marked based on crash history (i.e., no "×" marker means no crashes). As evident in these heat maps, a large portion of crashes occurred when the traffic speed was low. If crash occurrence is predictable by speed, a normal speed pattern and a certain speed pattern as a precursor to crash occurrence could be classified; in other words, the speed pattern captured by a small window on the heat map should be classifiable into normal and hazardous conditions.

Three window selection strategies (the three squares identified by the labels 1, 2, and 3) are illustrated in Figure 8.2.

Figure 8.2 Attribute selection for crash likelihood prediction

In this figure, the target study point where the crash risk will be estimated for a given time is marked as "Current" in the grid and is colored red. On the vertical axis, the "+" marker indicates the downstream direction and the "-" marker indicates upstream. Intuitively, the downstream traffic should have a greater impact on the current study point than the upstream traffic. Large speed differences resulting from the low speed of downstream traffic could possibly lead to a higher risk of rear-end crashes. In the real-time crash prediction problem, Window 2 in Figure 8.2 represents a "crash prediction" scenario because there is a buffer time (five minutes in this case) between speed measurement and the target interval (the red box). Both Window 1 and Window 3 represent "crash risk estimation" scenarios because the target interval is included in the measurement frame. A model based on Window 1 or Window 3 might be more reliable because the traffic condition immediately before the crash occurrence is most predictive. However, a model based on Window 2 might have more practical value because it leaves a buffer time for traffic operations. The window size is also critical. If the window is too small, it is possible that important information might be missed; if the window is too large, unrelated information could decrease the model's accuracy.

In this analysis, Window 1 was first selected. This window is reasonably large enough to ensure that no critical information is missing and can be broken down into smaller windows for further analysis. The speed heat maps for 14 randomly selected samples are shown in Figure 8.3, which includes seven non-crash cases in the top row and seven crash cases in the bottom row.

Figure 8.3 Speed heat maps for randomly selected non-crash cases (top row) and crash cases (bottom row)

For four of the seven crash cases in Figure 8.3, the speed pattern in the window is significantly different from the speed pattern for the corresponding non-crash cases. The other three crash cases have speed patterns similar to the speed patterns of their corresponding noncrash cases and therefore would not be easy to differentiate from non-crash cases. In real-time crash prediction modeling, the risk of crash occurrence at a certain study point is explained by the traffic speed pattern in each grid within the selected window.

The distributions of traffic speeds at the target intervals for both crash and non-crash cases are shown in Figure 8.4.

Figure 8.4 Distribution of speed for crash and non-crash cases

In each of the left two subplots of this figure, the blue bars represent the speed distribution and the red curve is the fitted normal distribution. The two fitted normal distributions are displayed together in the subplot on the right, with crash cases in red and non-crash cases in blue. At the target interval, the speed distributions for crash and non-crash cases had a large overlap, and the distinction between the two cases was not very good.

The scenario described above is a one-grid window case. If more grids are introduced, the combination of speeds may enhance the classification accuracy. Hence, it is essential to select the right window for maximizing the distinction of data.

8.2.2 Resampling

Data resampling is the most widely used data-level approach for dealing with imbalanced data. The idea behind data resampling is to create a new training set with a more balanced class rate from the raw data to better fit the modeling algorithm. Commonly used resampling methods include oversampling the minority class, undersampling the majority class, and a combination of both. In this analysis, a base data set was created using the matched case control method, and seven training sets with different crash to non-crash ratios were generated using resampling methods. Two "bad" training sets with very small crash to non-crash ratios were also created for comparison purposes. These sets were created by intentionally selecting crash and non-crash cases from the overlaid regime.

8.2.3 Modeling Algorithms and AdaBoost

Two approaches are often used to handle imbalanced data. One approach is to introduce extra cost-sensitive factors to make the individual modeling algorithm robust for the data with an unbalanced class rate. The other is to develop a robust model by combining several non-robust individual models together. The AdaBoost ensemble learning algorithm represents the latter approach. In the following sections of this chapter, four different common classification models are tested with and without AdaBoost on all the training sets.

8.2.4 Model Performance Metric

Because the cost of ignoring a crash case (positive) is much higher than misclassifying a non-crash case (negative), the overall accuracy of crash prediction is not as important as the true positive rate (or sensitivity or recall) or the false positive rate (or false alarm rate). A high true positive rate and a low false alarm rate are desired, but there is trade-off between these two. A higher true positive rate can always be achieved by increasing the classification cut-off threshold, but the false positive rate will rise in response. The optimal classification cut-off threshold varies by application. In most cases, the ROC curve and the AUC are more appropriate performance metrics for classifiers than a confusion matrix using 0.5 as the cut-off threshold. Figure 8.5 shows two similar ROC curves from two different models.

Figure 8.5 ROC curve colored according to cut-off threshold

The vertical and horizontal axes of a ROC curve are the true positive rate and false positive rate, respectively. On a ROC curve, the point closest to the upper left corner is usually the best choice for the cut-off threshold. If a value of 0.5 is used as the threshold, the model shown on the left of Figure 8.5 will classify most of the data as negative (i.e., ignore most of the positive cases), and both the true positive rate and false positive rate will be close to zero; conversely, the model on the right will classify most of the data as positive, and both the true positive rate and false positive rate will be close to one. Under this performance metric, neither of the two models is desired. The two ROC curves have similar shapes. If a threshold of 0.3 is used for the model on the left and a threshold of 0.7 is used for the model on the right, both models can achieve a high true positive rate with a low false positive rate.

8.2.5 Model Comparison

Using the nine training sets described in Section 8.2 and the eight algorithms introduced in Section 8.3, a total of 72 classification models were developed. The performance of the models is shown in Figure 8.6 with the AUC as a performance criterion.

Figure 8.6 AUC of different classifiers on nine training sets

The classifiers with the AdaBoost algorithm are shown in blue in Figure 8.6, and the other single classifiers are shown in red. Overall, the AdaBoost algorithm improved the models' performance in handling imbalanced data, and the algorithm was not very sensitive to the ratio of crash to non-crash cases in the data set. As expected, the two "bad" training sets resulted in bad model performance. This demonstrates to some degree the importance of data distinction. The Adaboost_J48 decision tree classifier was found to be the best model, especially when the training set has a large ratio of non-crash to crash cases.

8.2.6 Window Selection Strategy Comparison

The Naive Bayes algorithm, although simple, was shown to perform reasonably well in Section 8.2.5. This algorithm has good scalability and is time-efficient for processing huge data sets. Here, the Naive Bayes algorithm was selected to compare different window selection strategies. Eleven training sets were created using different window selection strategies. These eleven windows are shown in Figure 8.7.

Figure 8.7 Eleven windows used for building a big data model

The AUC values for Windows 1 to 9 in Figure 8.7 are shown in the bar chart on the left of Figure 8.8.

Figure 8.8 Impact of window size and location on model performance

The bar chart is arranged by window size. This chart indicates that adding more information from old time slices would reduce model performance. Also, adding information from downstream segments could make the mode more predictive. The ROC curves for Windows 9, 10, and 11 are displayed together on the right of Figure 8.8. These curves show that when the window was moved to an earlier period, the speed information became less predictive. However, the performance deterioration was not very significant. Therefore, in practice it is possible to perform both crash risk estimation and crash risk prediction for up to 10 minutes with reasonable accuracy.

8.3 Potential Applications

The analyses in this chapter have shown that using a combination of speeds from a series of time intervals from both the upstream and downstream segments of the target segment captures the details of traffic conditions and improves the accuracy of crash risk predictions. The combination of speeds can be represented as a rectangular window frame on a speed heat map. An appropriate window selection strategy can enhance the model's performance. A pre-trained model can continue predicting crash risk based on the window of speed (time and location) specified by the user. The real-time data feed can be obtained from either field sensor data or probe data provided by a company such as INRIX. With this kind of real-time application, proactive traffic management can be deployed against predicted upcoming high crash risk events; for example, appropriate geo-sensitive information could be provided to the drivers entering the area with an elevated crash risk. Furthermore, based on this real-time crash risk prediction system, more applications, such as rerouting and coordinated dynamic message signs, can be developed.

This chapter explored real-time crash estimation and prediction using high-resolution traffic speed data from field sensors. The first section developed several preliminary crash prediction models and illustrated the challenge of achieving prediction accuracy by comparing the model results. The second section discussed modeling issues, including attribute selection, sampling, ensemble learning, and performance metrics. The Adaboost_J48 decision tree classifier was found to be the best model, and more buffer time to improve the reaction time of operations can be gained by trading some model prediction accuracy. The last section recommended several useful applications that can be developed to improve traffic operations based upon real-time crash estimation and prediction.

9. Conclusions and Recommendations

9.1 Findings

This report described a comprehensive study of active traffic management on the urban freeway system in Omaha, Nebraska. The study presented a systematic way to use data and provide support for understanding traffic conditions and making effective operational decisions.

The use of an integrated database and the developed data processing platform demonstrated the advantages of automating data processing procedures, developing data visualization applications, speeding up data reduction, and reducing calculation errors. Built upon this data platform, a speed heat map–based data visualization system was developed to provide an overview of traffic conditions on selected roadway segments during specified time periods. General traffic trends and the exact ranges of congestion were easily identified using traffic speed heat maps. In addition, crash occurrences, weather conditions, and other related information were overlaid together on the heat maps to reveal potential traffic problems and causal factors by relating multiple layers of information both temporally and spatially. The traffic speed heat map visualization system is a good use of traffic sensor data and has high practical value in daily traffic operations. Another advantage of the integrated database and data processing platform is that the system is flexible enough to build new features onto it. For example, programs for fusing new data sources or calculating new performance measures can be coded and embedded into the existing systems. This system is a sustainable way to handle large amounts of traffic data and to gain knowledge from integrating multiple data sources.

Based on the data for a segment on I-80 westbound in Omaha in 2008, a crash risk analysis was conducted using traffic, weather, and crash data. A binomial probit model was developed to identify the crash contributing factors, and a sensitivity analysis was conducted to quantify those factors. The crash analysis found that a one mile per hour increase in speed was associated with a 7.5% decrease in crash risk. The crash risk on weekends was 77.6% lower than the crash risk on weekdays. The rate of crash risk during the peak hours (6 a.m. to 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. to 6 p.m.) was approximately twice the rate of crash risk during the rest of a day. Crash risk was also affected by location of the road. Rain was found to have no significant impact on crash risk, while snow could increase crash risk by 81%. These results were used in the cost-benefit analysis to evaluate the ramp metering strategy.

Using the proposed visualization methods and travel time–related performance measures, the traffic conditions on five major freeways in Omaha were scanned, and a 6.3 mile segment on I-80 eastbound was identified as the main recurring bottleneck. The FREEVAL software provided with the HCM 2010 was used to analyze the impact of ramp metering. The results showed that the mainline travel time on the 6.3 mile segment can be decreased from 9.3 minutes to 8.1 minutes and that crash risk can be reduced by 37.5% after applying a ramp metering strategy. The cost-benefit analysis showed that the benefits from travel time savings and crash cost savings can easily offset the cost of implementing ramp metering.

A comprehensive study on real-time crash estimation and prediction was described in this report. Modeling issues, including attribute selection, sampling, ensemble learning, and performance metrics, were discussed. The Adaboost_J48 decision tree classifier was found to be the best model, and more buffer time to improve the reaction time of operations can be gained by trading some model prediction accuracy. This study also recommended several useful

applications that can be developed to improve traffic operations based upon real-time crash prediction.

9.2 Limitations and Future Work

There are some limitations of this study. The data available for this study were collected during the years of 2008 and 2009, and traffic conditions may have changed drastically over the intervening six to seven years. Near-term history data are preferred for this type of study. Although some of the results related to the descriptive traffic conditions, such as the bottleneck location, may not hold true today, the analysis methods are completely transferable.

In future studies, efforts should be made to develop the capability of the data processing platform for processing and visualizing traffic and road weather sensor data in real-time. Other real-time applications like real-time crash prediction and rerouting driver guidance systems could be built on the real-time data processing platform.

References

- 1. Abdel-Aty, Mohamed, and Anurag Pande. "Predicting Traffic Crashes Using Real-Time Traffic Speed Patterns." Paper presented at the 10th World Conference on Transport Research, Istanbul, Turkey (2004): 16.
- 2. Abdel-Aty, Mohamed, Nizam Uddin, and Anurag Pande. "Split models for predicting multivehicle crashes during high-speed and low-speed operating conditions on freeways." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 1908 (2005): 51-58.
- 3. Weiss, Gary M. "Mining with rarity: a unifying framework." *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter* 6, no. 1 (2004): 7-19.
- 4. Sun, Yanmin, Andrew KC Wong, and Mohamed S. Kamel. "Classification of imbalanced data: A review." *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence* 23, no. 04 (2009): 687-719.
- 5. Padmaja, T. Maruthi, Narendra Dhulipalla, Raju S. Bapi, and P. Radha Krishna. "Unbalanced data classification using extreme outlier elimination and sampling techniques for fraud detection." Paper presented at the International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communications (ADCOM), 2007*.* (2007): 511-516.
- 6. Sun, Yanmin, Mohamed S. Kamel, Andrew KC Wong, and Yang Wang. "Cost-sensitive boosting for classification of imbalanced data." *Pattern Recognition* 40, no. 12 (2007): 3358- 3378.
- 7. Phua, Clifton, Damminda Alahakoon, and Vincent Lee. "Minority report in fraud detection: classification of skewed data." *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter* 6, no. 1 (2004): 50- 59.
- 8. Seiffert, Chris, Taghi M. Khoshgoftaar, Jason Van Hulse, and Amri Napolitano. "RUSBoost: Improving classification performance when training data is skewed." Paper presented at the *19th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), 2008*. (2008): 1-4.
- 9. Tang, Yuchun, Yan-Qing Zhang, Nitesh V. Chawla, and Sven Krasser. "SVMs modeling for highly imbalanced classification." *Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on* 39, no. 1 (2009): 281-288.
- 10.Khalilia, Mohammed, Sounak Chakraborty, and Mihail Popescu. "Predicting disease risks from highly imbalanced data using random forest." *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 11, no. 1 (2011): 51.
- 11.Schlesselman, J. J., and P. D. Stolley. "Sources of bias." *Case-Control Studies Design, Conduct, Analysis.* New York: Oxford (1982): 124-143.
- 12.Weiss, Gary M., and Foster Provost. "Learning when training data are costly: the effect of class distribution on tree induction." *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* (2003): 315- 354.
- 13.Abdel-Aty, Mohamed, Nizam Uddin, Anurag Pande, Fathy Abdalla, and Liang Hsia. "Predicting freeway crashes from loop detector data by matched case-control logistic regression." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 1897 (2004): 88-95.
- 14.Zheng, Zuduo, Soyoung Ahn, and Christopher M. Monsere. "Impact of traffic oscillations on freeway crash occurrences." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 42, no. 2 (2010): 626-636.
- 15.Xu, Chengcheng, Pan Liu, Wei Wang, and Zhibin Li. "Evaluation of the impacts of traffic states on crash risks on freeways." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 47 (2012): 162-171.
- 16.Pande, Anurag, and Mohamed Abdel-Aty. "Assessment of freeway traffic parameters leading to lane-change related collisions." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 38, no. 5 (2006): 936-948.
- 17.Pande, Anurag, and Mohamed Abdel-Aty. "Comprehensive analysis of the relationship between real-time traffic surveillance data and rear-end crashes on freeways." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 1953 (2006): 31-40.
- 18.Abdel-Aty, Mohamed, and Anurag Pande. "Identifying crash propensity using specific traffic speed conditions." *Journal of Safety Research* 36, no. 1 (2005): 97-108.
- 19.Oh, Cheol, Jun-Seok Oh, and Stephen G. Ritchie. "Real-time hazardous traffic condition warning system: framework and evaluation." *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 6, no. 3 (2005): 265-272.
- 20.Xu, Chengcheng, Andrew P. Tarko, Wei Wang, and Pan Liu. "Predicting crash likelihood and severity on freeways with real-time loop detector data." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 57 (2013): 30-39.
- 21.Hossain, Moinul, and Yasunori Muromachi. "Understanding crash mechanism on urban expressways using high-resolution traffic data." *Accident Analysis & Prevention* 57 (2013): 17-29.
- 22.Jacobson, Leslie, Jason Stribiak, Lisa Nelson, and Doug Sallman. *Ramp Management and Control Handbook*, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC (2006).
- 23.The University of Waikato. n.d. Weka 3: Data Mining Software in Java. http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/. Last accessed February 4, 2016.

Appendix: Daily Speed Heat Maps 2013–2014

A. 1 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in January 2013

A. 2 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in February 2013 02-Mar-2013

A. 3 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in March 2013

A. 4 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in April 2013

A. 5 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in May 2013

A. 6 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in June 2013

A. 9 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in September 2013

A. 10 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in October 2013

A. 11 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in November 2013

A. 12 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in December 2013

A. 14 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in February 2014

01-Mar-2014

A. 15 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in March 2014

A. 18 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in June 2014

A. 19 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in July 2014

A. 20 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in August 2014

A. 21 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in September 2014

A. 22 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in October 2014

A. 24 Daily speed heat maps of I-80 eastbound Omaha in December 2014