University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications

Agronomy and Horticulture Department

2013

Complete plastid genomes from *Ophioglossum californicum*, *Psilotum nudum*, and *Equisetum hyemale* reveal an ancestral land plant genome structure and resolve the position of Equisetales among monilophytes

Felix Grewe University of Nebraska-Lincoln, fgrewe@fieldmuseum.org

Wenhu Guo University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Emily A. Gubbels University of Nebraska-Lincoln

A Katie Hansen University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Jeffrey P. Mower Holiversity of Nebraskarlan colliking Mergy Migret Commons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub

Part of the Agricultural Science Commons, Agriculture Commons, Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons, Botany Commons, Horticulture Commons, Other Plant Sciences Commons, and the Plant Biology Commons

Grewe, Felix; Guo, Wenhu; Gubbels, Emily A.; Hansen, A Katie; and Mower, Jeffrey P., "Complete plastid genomes from *Ophioglossum californicum, Psilotum nudum,* and *Equisetum hyemale* reveal an ancestral land plant genome structure and resolve the position of Equisetales among monilophytes" (2013). *Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications.* 694. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub/694

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agronomy and Horticulture Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Complete plastid genomes from *Ophioglossum californicum*, *Psilotum nudum*, and *Equisetum hyemale* reveal an ancestral land plant genome structure and resolve the position of Equisetales among monilophytes

Felix Grewe^{1,2}, Wenhu Guo^{1,3}, Emily A Gubbels^{1,3}, A Katie Hansen^{3,4} and Jeffrey P Mower^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: Plastid genome structure and content is remarkably conserved in land plants. This widespread conservation has facilitated taxon-rich phylogenetic analyses that have resolved organismal relationships among many land plant groups. However, the relationships among major fern lineages, especially the placement of Equisetales, remain enigmatic.

Results: In order to understand the evolution of plastid genomes and to establish phylogenetic relationships among ferns, we sequenced the plastid genomes from three early diverging species: *Equisetum hyemale* (Equisetales), *Ophioglossum californicum* (Ophioglossales), and *Psilotum nudum* (Psilotales). A comparison of fern plastid genomes showed that some lineages have retained inverted repeat (IR) boundaries originating from the common ancestor of land plants, while other lineages have experienced multiple IR changes including expansions and inversions. Genome content has remained stable throughout ferns, except for a few lineage-specific losses of genes and introns. Notably, the losses of the *rps16* gene and the rps12i346 intron are shared among Psilotales, Ophioglossales, and Equisetales, while the gain of a mitochondrial *atp1* intron is shared between Marattiales and Polypodiopsida. These genomic structural changes support the placement of Equisetales as sister to Ophioglossales + Psilotales and Marattiales as sister to Polypodiopsida. This result is augmented by some molecular phylogenetic analyses that recover the same relationships, whereas others suggest a relationship between Equisetales and Polypodiopsida.

Conclusions: Although molecular analyses were inconsistent with respect to the position of Marattiales and Equisetales, several genomic structural changes have for the first time provided a clear placement of these lineages within the ferns. These results further demonstrate the power of using rare genomic structural changes in cases where molecular data fail to provide strong phylogenetic resolution.

* Correspondence: jpmower@unl.edu

¹Center for Plant Science Innovation, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA ²Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2013 Grewe et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background

The plastid genome has remained remarkably conserved throughout the evolution of land plants (reviewed in [1-3]). Genomes from diverse land plant lineages-including seed plants, ferns, lycophytes, hornworts, mosses, and liverworts -have a similar repertoire of genes that generally encode for proteins involved in photosynthesis or gene expression. The order of these plastid genes has remained consistent for most species, such that large syntenic tracks can be easily identified between genomes. Furthermore, most plastid genomes have a quadripartite structure involving a large single-copy (LSC) and a small single-copy (SSC) region separated by two copies of an inverted repeat (IR). Although these generalities apply to most land plants, exceptions certainly exist, such as the convergent loss of photosynthetic genes from parasitic plants [4-6] or ndh genes from several lineages [7,8], the highly rearranged genomes of some species [9-11], and the independent loss of one copy of the IR in several groups [8,11-13].

Because of the conserved structure and content of plastid genomes, its sequences have been favored targets for many plant phylogenetic analyses (e.g., [14,15]). Through extensive sequencing from phylogenetically diverse species, our understanding of the relationships between the major groups of land plants has greatly improved in recent years [15-19]. However, there are a few nodes whose position remains elusive, most notably that of the Gnetales [7,20] and the horsetails [16,18,21]. Horsetails (Equisetopsida) are particularly enigmatic because until recently [21] their morphology had been considered to be 'primitive' among vascular plants, and consequently they were grouped with the "fern allies" rather than with the "true" ferns. Recent molecular and morphological evidence now unequivocally support the inclusion of horsetails in ferns sensu lato (Monilophyta or Moniliformopses), which also encompasses whisk ferns and ophioglossoid ferns (Psilotopsida), marattioid ferns (Marattiopsida), and leptosporangiate ferns (Polypodiopsida) [16,18,21].

Despite this progress, the relationships among fern groups, especially horsetails, have been difficult to resolve with confidence. Many molecular phylogenetic analyses have suggested that horsetails are sister to marattioid ferns [16,21-23], while other analyses using different data sets and/or optimality criteria have suggested a position either with leptosporangiate ferns, with Psilotum, or as the sister group to all living monilophytes [3,18,21,24,25]. However, these various analyses rarely place Equisetum with strong statistical support. This phylogenetic uncertainty stems from at least two main issues. First, Equisetopsida is an ancient lineage dating back more than 300 million years, but extant (crown group) members are limited to Equisetum, which diversified only within the last 60 million years [26]. Second, substitution rates in the plastid (and mitochondrial) genome appear to be elevated in horsetails compared with other early diverging ferns (note the long branches in [21,22,25,27]). Consequently, molecular phylogenetic analyses produce a long evolutionary branch leading to *Equisetum*, a problem that can lead to long-branch attraction artifacts (reviewed in [28]).

In cases where molecular phylogenetic results are inconsistent, the use of rare genomic structural changes, such as large-scale inversions and the presence or absence of genes and introns, can provide independent indications of organismal relationships [29]. One notable example used the differential distribution of three mitochondrial introns to infer that liverworts were the earliest diverging land plant lineage [30]. Other studies have identified diagnostic inversions in the plastid genomes of euphyllophytes [31] and monilophytes [18]. Unfortunately, complete plastid genomes are currently lacking from several important fern clades, preventing a comprehensive study of the utility of plastid structural changes in resolving fern relationships.

In this study, we sequenced three additional fern plastid genomes: the ophioglossoid fern *Ophioglossum californicum*, the horsetail *Equisetum hyemale*, and the whisk fern *Psilotum nudum*. By sequencing the first ophioglossoid fern and a second horsetail (*E. hyemale* belongs to a different subgenus than the previously sequenced *E. arvense* [26,32]), we expected that this increased sampling would allow us to evaluate diversity in plastid genome structure and content and to resolve fern relationships using sequence and structural characters.

Results and discussion

Static vs. dynamic plastome structural evolution in monilophytes

The three chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) sequences from *Ophioglossum californicum, Psilotum nudum,* and *Equisetum hyemale* (Figure 1) have a typical circularly mapping structure containing the LSC and SSC separated by two IRs. All three genomes contain the large LSC inversion (from *psbM* to *ycf2*) found in euphyllophytes as well as the smaller LSC inversion (from *trnG*-GCC to *trnT*-GGU) that is specific to monilophytes (Figure 1; [18,31]).

We compared the general structural features of these three new genomes to other available monilophyte and lycophyte cpDNAs (Table 1). The 131,760 bp *E. hyemale* genome is the smallest sequenced to date, closest in size to that from *E. arvense* (133,309 bp). The *O. californicum* and *P. nudum* genomes are slightly larger, at 138,270 bp and 138,909 bp, respectively, whereas all other published monilophytes are >150 kb. The reduced genome sizes in *Equisetum, Ophioglossum,* and *Psilotum* are due to smaller SSCs and IRs compared to other species. Despite the similar genome sizes between *O. californicum* and *P. nudum,* the IR and SSC sizes in *O. californicum* are more similar to *Equisetum* than to *P. nudum.* GC content is quite variable



(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 1 Plastome maps for newly sequenced monilophytes. Boxes on the inside and outside of the outer circle represent genes transcribed clockwise and anti-clockwise, respectively. The inner circle displays the GC content represented by dark gray bars. The location of the IRs are marked on the inner circle and represented by a thicker black line in the outer circle. The large euphyllophyte LSC inversion and the small monilophyte LSC inversion are highlighted on the outer circle by blue and purple bars, respectively.

among monilophytes, ranging from 33% in *E. arvense* to 42% in *Ophioglossum* and *Angiopteris* (although the unlisted polypod *Cheilanthes lindheimeri* has 43% GC).

A close inspection of the IRs among the five major groups of monilophytes (Psilotales, Ophioglossales, Equisetales, Marattiales and Polypodiopsida) reveals a dichotomous evolutionary history involving boundary shifts and inversions in some lineages and stasis in other lineages (Figures 2 and 3). The IRs in *Ophioglossum* and in both *Equisetum* plastomes contain the same complement of genes encoding all four plastid rRNAs and five tRNAs. The IR boundaries are also similar among these three species, placing trnN-GUU adjacent to either ndhF or chlL at the IR/SSC borders and trnV-GAC next to either trnI-CAU or the 3'-half of rps12 at the IR/LSC borders. The exact border breakpoints differ slightly in each genome but generally terminate within the ndhF and/or chlL genes, creating a second fragmented copy of these genes. Interestingly, the gene adjacencies at the IR borders in Ophioglossum and Equisetum are virtually identical to those found outside the monilophytes, including the lycophyte Huperzia lucidula, the mosses Physcomitrella patens and Syntrichia ruralis, and the liverworts Aneura mirabilis, Marchantia polymorpha, and Ptilidium pulcherrimum (Figure 3). The similar IR borders among diverse vascular and non-vascular plants can be most parsimoniously explained by the plesiomorphic retention of this arrangement inherited from the land plant common ancestor.

In contrast to the static arrangement discussed above, the IRs among *Psilotum, Angiopteris*, and Polypodiopsida are

more variable (Figures 2 and 3). The 19 kb IR in *P. nudum* includes nine additional genes due to expansion into one end of the SSC (gaining *ndhF*, *rpl21*, *rpl32*, *trnP*-GGG, and

trnL-UAG) and into one end of the LSC (gaining rps12, rps7, ndhB, and trnL-CAA). The A. evecta IR exhibits intermediate characteristics: the IR/SSC border has retained the general ancestral position after trnN-GUU, but the IR has expanded twice into the LSC, adding rps12, rps7, ndhB, and trnL-CAA from one end of the LSC (similar to Psilotum) and trnI-CAU from the other end (unique to A. evecta). IRs among Polypodiopsida are more complex in origin, involving at least three major changes relative to the vascular plant ancestor. The unique gene orders within the IR and LSC can be most easily explained by an expansion of the IR to trnL-CAA (similar to Psilotum and Angiop*teris*), followed by two overlapping inversions (Figure 2; [33]). The first inversion appears to have involved a section from *ndhB* in the IR to *psbA* in the LSC. The second inversion spanned trnR-ACG through the inverted ycf2 gene, which also included the previously inverted *psbA* and trnH-GUG genes but not the inverted pseudo-trnL-CAA or ndhB genes.

Limited gene and intron content variation among monilophytes

A comparison of gene and intron content among representative monilophye and lycophyte plastomes indicates a conservative evolutionary history involving no gains and few losses (Tables 1 and 2). Some of the differences in total

Table 1 General features of cpDNA from selected lycophytes and monilophytes

| | Lycopod | liophyta | Psilotop | sida | Equise | topsida | Marattiopsida | | Polypodiopsida | 1 |
|----------------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | Isoetes | Huperzia | Ophioglossum | Psilotum | Equisetum | Equisetum | Angiopteris | Alsophila | Adiantum | Pteridium |
| | flaccida | lucidula | californicum | nudum | hyemale | arvense | evecta | spinulosa | capillus-veneris | aquilinum |
| Accession | GU191333 | AY660566 | KC117178 | KC117179 | KC117177 | GU191334 | DQ821119 | FJ556581 | AY178864 | HM535629 |
| Size (bp) | 145303 | 154373 | 138270 | 138909 | 131760 | 133309 | 153901 | 156661 | 150568 | 152362 |
| LSC (bp) | 91862 | 104088 | 99058 | 84674 | 92580 | 93542 | 89709 | 86308 | 82282 | 84335 |
| SSC (bp) | 27205 | 19657 | 19662 | 16329 | 18994 | 19469 | 22086 | 21623 | 21392 | 21259 |
| IRs (bp) | 13118 | 15314 | 9775 | 18953 | 10093 | 10149 | 21053 | 24365 | 23447 | 23384 |
| G/C (%) | 37.9 | 36.3 | 42.2 | 36.0 | 33.7 | 33.4 | 35.5 | 40.4 | 42.0 | 41.5 |
| Genes | 118 | 121 | 120 | 118 | 121 | 121 | 122 | 117 | 116 | 116 |
| tRNAs | 32 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| rRNAs | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Protein coding | 82 | 86 | 84 | 81 | 84 | 84 | 85 | 85 | 84 | 84 |
| Introns | 21 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 20 |



direction of transcription to the right or the left, respectively. The IR is marked by gray boxes, inferred IR extensions are shown by red arrows, and inferred inversions leading to the specific gene arrangement in Polypodiopsida are denoted by black bars. Molecular apomorphies based on gene and intron losses are highlighted by vertical gray lines. Maps are drawn approximately to scale. Color coding of genes corresponds to the legend shown in Figure 1.



Figure 3 Evolution of inverted repeat borders in selected land plants. Species names are abbreviated in circles. Vertical lines depict the borders of the IR relative to the detailed gene map from *E. arvense* shown at bottom. Thick, solid vertical lines in dark blue mark the putative ancestral IR borders. Thin, dashed vertical lines and circles indicate the IR borders in species that deviate from the ancestral position. Horizontal arrows indicate the extent and direction of IR expansion. Numbers at the arrow tails define the order of successive expansions. All non-seed plant cpDNAs were included, except for *Isoetes, Selaginella*, and Polypodiopsida because their genomes have gene order rearrangements that make an alignment impossible. Included species: *Cycas taitungensis* (Cta), *Angiopteris evecta* (Aev), *Psilotum nudum* (Pnu), *Equisetum arvense* (Ear), *Equisetum hyemale* (Ehy), *Ophioglossum californicum* (Oca), *Huperzia lucidula* (Hlu), *Anthoceros formosae* (Afo), *Physcomitrella patens* (Ppa), *Syntrichia ruralis* (Sru), *Aneura mirabilis* (Ami), *Marchantia polymorpha* (Mpo), *Ptilidium pulcherrimum* (Ppu). Higher group names: seed plants (SP), monilophytes (MP), lycophytes (LP), hornworts (HW), mosses (MS), liverworts (LW).

gene and intron numbers among species are due to differential duplication of a few genes after IR expansion in several lineages (Figure 2). Counting duplicated genes only once, the number of plastid-encoded genes varies from 116 to 122 due to minor changes in the set of tRNAs or protein-coding genes, while the number of introns ranges from 17 to 22 (Table 1).

For plastid-encoded RNAs, all four rRNA genes (*rrn4.5*, *rrn5*, *rrn16* and *rrn23*) are duplicated within the IR regions, whereas tRNA content varies among monilophytes for five genes (Table 2). The *trnT*-UGU gene was lost from *Ophioglossum* and all completely sequenced Polypodiopsida. The remaining tRNA variation has occurred within Polypodiopsida. This includes the loss of *trnK*-UUU (but not the intron-encoded *matK*) after the divergence of Osmundales [34], the loss of *trnS*-CGA, the fragmentation of *trnL*-CAA which is still intact in *Gleichenia* (HM021798), and the fragmentation and subsequent loss of *trnV*-GAC (Table 2; Figure 2).

The *trnR*-CCG, while present in all leptosporangiate ferns, has undergone several sequential anticodon changes in this group (Additional File 1: Figure S1). The first mutation created a UCG anticodon sequence that is seen in A. spinulosa and P. aquilinum, which might be corrected by tRNA editing or tolerated by wobble-base pairing. In A. capillus-veneris and Cheilanthes lindheimeri, a second mutation changed the anticodon into UCA, which would be expected to match UGA stop codons. It is possible that this tRNA is a recent pseudogene [35,36], which is also supported by two mis-pairings in the pseudouridine loop. However, because the Adiantum gene is still expressed, Wolf and colleagues suggested it is a functional trnSeC-UCA that allows read-through of premature UGA stop codons by inserting selenocysteine [35,36]. Alternatively, we suggest this tRNA still carries arginine as it did ancestrally, only now it recognizes internal UGA stop codons. Thus, this putative *trnR*-UCA may act as a novel failsafe mechanism to ensure arginine is correctly inserted into the protein at any internal UGA codons that were not properly converted by U-to-C RNA editing into CGA (which also codes for arginine). Different mutations have occurred in the anticodon of this tRNA for several other Polypodiales. More work is needed to understand the functional significance of these anticodon shifts.

The set of protein-coding genes in the plastid genome differs for only seven genes among the examined monilophytes (Table 2). The three chlorophyll biosynthesis genes (*chlB, chlL, chlN*) were lost from the cpDNA of *P. nudum*. These genes were also lost from angiosperm plastid genomes in parallel [37] but not from any of the other completely sequenced monilophyte cpDNAs. The *psaM* gene was lost from the sequenced polypods, including *Adiantum, Pteridium,* and *Cheilanthes lindheimeri*. The *ycf1* gene in *A. evecta* contains a frameshift mutation that may render it nonfunctional, or it may retain functionality as a split gene with two protein products [18]. Contrary to the conserved presence of most genes, the ycf66 gene is highly unstable among monilophytes. This gene is intact and likely functional in A. evecta and the two lycophytes. However, it is a fragmented pseudogene in Equisetales and A. spinosa and it was completely lost from Ophioglossum, Psilotum, Adiantum, and Pteridium. A more in-depth study showed that Botrychium strictum (another ophioglossoid fern) and several other leptosporangiate ferns have retained an intact gene, indicating that ycf66 has been independently lost at least four times in monilophyte evolution [38]. The *rpl16* gene also shows a sporadic distribution. It is a pseudogene in the lycophyte I. flaccida and completely absent from several fern lineages, including P. nudum, O. californicum, E. hyemale and E. arvense.

The plastome intron content varies for six introns among monilophytes (Table 2). In this study, we use the Dombrovska-Qiu intron nomenclature [39], which names introns based on their nucleotide position within a reference gene (usually from Marchantia polymorpha). This nomenclature provides a unified framework to facilitate discussion of orthologous introns, especially when intron content is variable among species as seen here in ferns. The trnK-UUUi37, rps16i40, and ycf66i106 introns were lost from several species due to the loss of the genes that contained them. Like rps16i40, the rps12i346 intron is also absent from Psilotum, Ophioglossum, and Equisetales, although in this case the transspliced rps12 gene was retained. This shared loss was verified by comparing rps12 sequences covering this intron region from 40 representative taxa of every major monilophyte group (Figure 4). The intron was found to be absent from the rps12 gene of all species belonging to Psilotopsida and Equisetopsida, whereas it is still present in all species from Marattiopsida and Polypodiopsida. Finally, both Equisetales cpDNAs have lost the second *clpP* intron (clpPi363), while the loss of rpl16i9 is specific to the newly sequenced E. hyemale genome.

Molecular phylogenetic analyses with additional taxa remain inconclusive regarding monilophyte relationships

Phylogenetic analyses were performed using maximum likelihood (ML) with a GTR+G model in RAxML and Bayesian inference (BI) with a CAT-GTR+G model in PhyloBayes (Figure 5). We used the CAT-GTR+G model for Bayesian analyses because it was recently shown to be less susceptible to artifacts caused by long-branch attraction and substitutional saturation [40,41]. At the broadest level, the results were congruent with previous estimates of relationships for the major groups of vascular plants [15,16,18,20,21], including the monophyly ofangiosperms, gymnosperms, and ferns *sensu lato* (monilophytes). Among ferns, our analyses grouped

| Page | 8 | of | 16 |
|------|---|----|----|
|------|---|----|----|

Table 2 Comparison of gene and intron content of cpDNAs from selected lycophytes and monilophytes^(a)

| | Gene/intron | lf | HI | Oc | Pn | Eh | Ea | Ae | As | Ac | Ра | I | Gene/ intron | lf | HI | Oc | Pn | Eh | Ea | Ae | As | Ac | Pa |
|-----------|----------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Transfer | trnA-UGC | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | АТР | atpA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| RNAs | trnAUGCi38 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | synthase | atpB | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnC-GCA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | atpE | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnD-GUC | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | atpF | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnE-UUC | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | atpFi145 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnF-GAA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | atpH | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnfM-CAU | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | atpl | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnG-GCC | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Chlorophyll | chIB | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnG-UCC | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | biosynthesis | chlL | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnGUCCi23 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | chlN | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnH-GUG | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | NADH | ndhA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnl-CAU | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | dehydrogenase | ndhAi556 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnl-GAU | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ndhB | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnlGAUi37 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ndhBi726 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnK-UUU | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | | ndhC | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnKUUUi37 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | | ndhD | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnL-CAA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Ψ | Ψ | Ψ | | ndhE | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnL-UAA ^(b) | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ndhF | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnLUAAi35 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ndhG | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnL-UAG | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ndhH | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnM-CAU | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ndhl | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnN-GUU | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ndhJ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnP-GGG | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ndhK | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnP-UGG | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Ribosomal | rpl2 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnQ-UUG | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | proteins | rpl2i397 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnR-ACG | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpl14 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnR-CCG ^(c, d) | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpl16 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnR-UCU | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpl16i9 | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnS-CGA | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | | rpl20 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnS-GCU | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpl21 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnS-GGA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpl22 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnS-UGA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpl23 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnT-GGU | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpl32 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnT-UGU | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | | rpl33 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnV-GAC | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Ψ | - | - | | rpl36 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnV-UAC | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps2 | Ψ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnVUACi37 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps3 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnW-CCA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps4 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | trnY-GUA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps7 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Ribosomal | rrn4.5 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps8 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

| Rubisco | rbcL | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|---------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----|---|---|-----|
| | petN | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ycf66i106 | + | + | - | - | Ψ | ? | + | Ψ | - | - |
| | petL | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ycf66 | + | + | - | - | Ψ | Ψ | + | Ψ | - | - |
| | petG | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ycf12 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | | petDi8 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ycf4 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | petD | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ycf3i354 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | | petBi6 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ycf3i124 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | petB | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | ycf3 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Cytochrome | petA | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | proteins | ycf2 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbZ | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Hypothetical | ycf1 ^(f) | + | + | + | + | + | + | Ψ | + | + | + |
| | psbT | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | cemA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbN | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | accD | Ψ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbM | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | cplPi363 | + | + | + | + | - | - | + | + | + | + |
| | psbL | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | clpPi71 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbK | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | clpP | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbJ | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | matK | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbl | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | proteins | ccsA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbH | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | Miscellaneous | infA | Ψ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbF | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpoC2 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbE | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpoC1i432 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbD | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rpoC1 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbC | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | polymerase | rpoB | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psbR | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | RNA | rpoA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Photosystem II | nshA | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps19 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | psau | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | 1ps 10140 | Ψ | + | | | | | + | + | + | + |
| | psai | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps16:40 | Ψ | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + |
| | psac | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps15 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | рѕар | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps14 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Photosystem I | psaA | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps121346 | + | + | | - | - | - | + | + | + | + |
| | rrn23 | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps12i114 (C) | t . | t | t | t | t | t | t . | t | t | t . |
| | rrn16 | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | rps12 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Table 2 Comparison of gene and intron content of courses from selected lycophytes and monilophytes (CON |
|---|
|---|

a) Species: Isoetes flaccida (If), Huperzia lucidula (HI), Ophioglossum californicum (Oc), Psilotum nudum (Pn), Equisetum hyemale (Eh), Equisetum arvense (Ea),

Angiopteris evecta (Ae), Alsophila spinulosa (As), Adiantum capillus-veneris (Ac) and Pteridium aquilinum (Pa).

b) CAA anticodon of *trnL*-UAA in *Adiantum capillus-veneris* (Ac) is subjected to partial C-to-U RNA editing [35] and is potentially edited in *Alsophila spinulosa* (As). c) anticodon of *trnR*-CCG in *Isoetes flaccida* (If) is assumed to be subjected to U-to-C RNA editing [18].

d) Mutations in anticodon of trnR-CCG created a UCG anticodon in Alsophila spinulosa (As) and Pteridium aquilinum (Pa) and a UCA anticodon in Adiantum capillus-veneris (Ac).

e) rps12i114 intron is *trans*-spliced (t).

f) ycf1 in Angiopteris evecta (Ae) may retain functionality as a split gene with two protein products [18].

Ophioglossum and *Psilotum* with strong posterior probability (PP=1.0) and bootstrap support (BS=100) to form a monophyletic Psilotopsida clade, as previously indicated based on analyses of several genes [16,21,22] and large-scale plastome analyses [3,18,25]. In addition, the two *Equisetum* species form a clear monophyletic group (PP=1.0, BS=100), as do the four Polypodiopsida species

(PP=1.0, BS=100). Most importantly, both analyses provide evidence (albeit weakly in the ML results) for a sister relationship between Equisetales and Psilotopsida (BS=52, PP=0.99) and between Marattiales and Polypodiopsida (BS=70, PP=1.0), a result that was also recovered in other recent phylogenetic analyses of plastid genes [3,18].

| | <exon2< th=""><th>n rng'</th><th>12:</th><th>346</th></exon2<> | n rng' | 12: | 346 |
|---------------------------------|--|--------|------|---|
| Pteridium HM535629 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA | 570 | bp | -GACTAACTGGTGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAG |
| Adiantum AV178864 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCCAGTGCGTTGCAGAGCATTGTCGCAA- | 561 | hn | -CACTAACCGGTGGATCCACTCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGACCGAAATAG |
| Saccoloma EU558498 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA- | 574 | hn | -GACTCACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGAGCCAAAATAA |
| Thelynterig EU558501 | AAAAAGGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCATAA. | 597 1 | hn | - CACTA ACCCCTCACCCCTACAATATCCACCCCAAAAATAC |
| Blechnum EU558480 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA- | 578 | hn | -GACTAGCCGGTGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAAAAAGCCAAAGTAG |
| Asplenium EU558479 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCATAA- | 593 | hn | - ATCGAATCGGTGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGGGTGAAAAAAGCCGAAATAG |
| Rolypodium EU558497 | AAAAAAGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAAAACATTGTCACAA. | 588 | bp | -GACTAACTGGTGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAAAAA |
| Dryopteris EU558488 | AAGAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCACAA- | 577 | hn | -GACTAACTGGTGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGAAGCCGAAATAG |
| Vittaria EU558503 | AAAAAGGGGCGTTCCAGTGCGTCGCAGAGCATTGTCACAA- | 550 | hn | -CACTAACCGGTGGATCCACTCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAAATAG |
| Ceratopteris EU558481 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCACAA- | 613 | hn | - AATTAACCGGTGGATCCACTCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGAAGCCAAAATAG |
| Dennstaedtia EU558484 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCCAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA- | 579 | bp | -GACTAACCGGTGGATCCACCCTACAATACGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAG |
| Lochitis EU558492 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCACAA- | 581 | bp | -GACTAACCGGGGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Cheilanthes HM778032 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCCAGTGCGTCGCAGAGCATTGTCACAA- | 563 | hn | -CACTAACCGGCGGATCCACTCTACAATATGGAGTAAAGAAGCCAAAATAG |
| Lindsaea EU558491 | AAAAAGGGGCGTTCCAGTGCGTTGCAGAACCTTATCGCAA- | 568 | hn | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Plagiogyria EU558496 | AAGAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA- | 573 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Cvathea EU558483 | AAGAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA- | 564 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Alsophila FJ556581 | AAGAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA- | 564 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Dicksonia EU558485 | AAGAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA- | 564 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Salvinia EU558499 | AAGAAAGGGCGTCCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAGCATTGTCGCAA- | 586 | bp | -GACTAATCGGCAGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Marsilea EU558494 | AGGAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGCAA- | 574 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Lygodium EU558493 | AAGAAAGGGCGTCCTAGTGCGTTGCACAACACGGTCGTGA- | 559 | bp | -AACTAGCCGGAAGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTCAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Schizaea EU558500 | AAGAAGGGGCGTCCTAGTGCGTTGCATAACACAGTCGTAA- | 579 | bp | -TAAAATCTGGGAGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTCAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Dipteris EU558487 | AAGAAGGGGCGTTCCAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGTAA- | 551 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAAAAGCCCAAATAA |
| Dicranopteris EU558486 | AAGAAGGGGCGTCCTAGTGCGTTGCATAACACAGTCGTAA- | 579 | bp | -TAAAATCTGGGAGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTCAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Cheiropleuria EU558482 | AAGAAGGGGCGTTCCAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGTAA- | 551 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAAAGGCCAAAATAA |
| Matonia EU558495 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGCAGAACATTGTCGTAA- | 566 | bp | -GCCTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAAAAGCCGAAATAA |
| Hymenophyllum EU558489 | AAAAAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGTAGAGCATTGTCGTAA- | 560 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Vandenboschia EU558502 | AAAAAGGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGTAGAGCATTGTCATAA- | 572 | bp | -GACTAACCGGCGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAAGAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Leptopteris EU558490 | AAACAAGGGCGTTCCAGTGCGTTGTATAATATTGTCGCAA- | 526 | bp | -TACAAATTAACGGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTAAAAAAGCCGAAATAG |
| Danaea EU558473 | AAACAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGTATAATACTATCTACC- | 558 | bp | -TAGGATCCGAAAGATCCACCCTACAATATGGGGGTGAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Marattia EU558476 | AAACAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGTATAACATTATCTACC- | 550 | bp | -TAGAATCTGAAAGATCCACCCTACAATATGGGGGTGAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Angiopteris DQ821119 | AAACAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGTATAATATTATCTACC- | 550 | bp | -TAGAATCTGAAAGATCCACCCTACAATATGGGGGTGAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Equisetum x ferrissii EU558474 | AAACAGGGACGTTCCA | o int: | ron | AATATGGAGTAAAAAAGCCTAAATAA |
| Equisetum arvense GU191334 | AAACAGGGACGTTCAA | o int: | ron | AATATGGAGTAAAAAAGCCTAAATAA |
| Equisetum hyemale | AAACAGGGACGTTCCA | o int: | ron | AATATGGAGTAAAAAAGCCTAAATAA |
| Ophioglossum californicum | AAGCAGGGTCGTTCTA | o int: | ron | AGTATGGCGCGAAAAAGCCGAAAATAA |
| Ophioglossum retic. EU558477 | AAGCAGGGTCGTTCTA | o int: | ron | AGTATGGCGCGAAAAAGCCGAAAATAA |
| Helminthostachys EU558475 | AGACAGGGTCGTTCCA | o int: | ron | AGTATGGTGCGAAGAAGCAGAAATGA |
| Tmesipteris EU558478 | AAGCAGGGGCGTTCCA | o int: | ron | AATATGGGGTGAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Psilotum | AAGCAGGGGCGTTCCA | o int: | ron | GATATGGGGTGAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Isoetes GU191333 | CAACAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGTATTATTATCTAAGAC- | 509 | bp | -ACTTGAATGAATGATCCACCCTACAATATGGAGTGAGAAGGCCGAAATGA |
| Lycopodium EU558472 | CAACAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGTATATCATTATCTAGG- | 567 | bp | - CATGAATCGAAGGATCCACCCTACAATACGGAGTAAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Huperzia AY660566 | CAACAAGGGCGTTCTAGTGCGTTGTATATCATTATCTAGG- | 568 1 | bp | -AAATAGCAGCGGGATCCACCCTACAATACGGAGTGAAAAAGCCAAAATAA |
| Figure 4 Distribution of intron | rps12i346 in monilophytes. All available lycc | phyte | e ar | nd monilophyte plastid <i>rps12</i> genes were aligned, and |

rigure 4 Distribution of intron rps 121346 in monilophytes. All available lycophyte and monilophyte plastid rps 12 genes were aligned, and excerpts of the alignment covering the rps 12i346 intron sequences and adjacent rps 12 exons are shown. Numbers display the total size of the intron if present in the respective taxon.

To examine the robustness of these findings, we performed additional RAxML and PhyloBayes analyses on four modified data sets: 1) first and second positions only, 2) third positions only, 3) a reduced sampling of 18 taxa after removal of several fast-evolving seed plants and lycophytes, and 4) translated amino acid sequences for the reduced data set (Additional File 1: Figure S2). Several of these additional RAxML and PhyloBayes analyses corroborated a sister relationship between Equisetum and Psilotopsida, while others instead suggested that Equisetum is sister to Polypodiopsida, although few results were strongly supported (Table 3). We also reevaluated all five data sets using MrBayes with a GTR+G nucleotide model or CpRev+G amino acid model (Table 3; Additional File 1: Figure S2). The MrBayes results directly parallel the ML results, but with stronger support (PP>0.95) for Equisetum + Psilotopsida using the full nucleotide data set and for Equisetum + Polypodiopsida using the first and second or AA data sets. In contrast, the PhyloBayes results with the more advanced CAT-GTR+G model do not provide strong support for *Equisetum* with Polypodiopsida in any analysis.

In summary, it is clear that the relationship among ferns is highly dependent upon choice of model and data when using plastid sequences. The main incongruence among the molecular phylogenetic analyses presented here and previously centers on the enigmatic placement of *Equisetum*. The difficulty in resolving *Equisetum*'s relationship within ferns is likely due to lineage-specific rate heterogeneity and substitutional saturation resulting from a combination of an accelerated substitution rate and a lack of close relatives to *Equisetum*, factors which can lead to phylogenetic inconsistency due to long-branch attraction artifacts.

Genomic structural changes help resolve relationships among major monilophyte groups

Given the inconsistent results among molecular phylogenetic analyses, we assessed whether rare genomic structural changes could provide further insight into fern relationships. Indeed, the phylogenetic distribution of genomic structural changes in ferns (Figure 6) provides additional support for the ML and BI topologies recovered in Figure 5. Most interestingly, several structural changes provide new support that help define the position of horsetails and marattioid ferns within monilophytes. The *rps16* gene and the rps12i346 intron are present in the plastid genomes of many land plants, including *Angiopteris* and all examined



leptosporangiate ferns (Table 2; Figure 4), indicating that they were probably present in the fern common ancestor. However, *rps16* and rps12i346 are notably absent from all examined ophioglossoid ferns, whisk ferns, and horsetails (Table 2; Figure 4), which is consistent with a single loss for each sequence if *Equisetum* is sister to Psilotopsida (Figure 6). In contrast, at least two independent losses for each sequence would be required if *Equisetum* is more closely related to any other fern group.

Supporting the position of marattioid ferns with leptosporangiate ferns is a novel intron in the mitochondrial *atp1* gene (atp1i361) that is present in both groups but not in any ophioglossoid ferns, whisk ferns, or horsetails (Figure 6; [23]). This distribution, which was previously confusing, can now be explained by a single gain in the common ancestor of leptosporangiate ferns and marattioid ferns. The IR expansion that captured the 3'-rps12, rps7, ndhB, and trnL-CAA genes may also be a synapomorphy for these two groups, but further sampling from early diverging leptosporangiate ferns will be necessary to tease apart the timing of this expansion and the two inversions within this group. A similar IR expansion is also found in the Psilotum plastid genome, although this is almost certainly a homoplasious event given its absence in *Ophioglossum* and the strong phylogenetic support for a close relationship between these two taxa in all other studies.

Many of the other changes shown in Figure 6 confirm or even presaged relationships that are well established today, such as two previously reported inversions in the LSC that characterize euphyllophytes and monilophytes [18,31]. Similarly, the multiple inversions and tRNA losses shared by all completely sequenced Polypodiopsida species provide further support for their monophyly, and the loss of clpPi363 appears synapormorphic for the genus *Equisetum* (given that species from the two *Equisetum* subgenera lack this intron).

Conclusions

We sequenced the plastid genomes of three diverse monilophytes: *Equisetum hyemale* (Equisetales), *Ophioglossum californicum* (Ophioglossales), and *Psilotum nudum* (Psilotales). These new genomes revealed limited change in gene and intron content during monilophyte evolution.

| | RAxML | PhyloBayes | MrBayes | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Data set | GTR+G/LG+G | CAT-GTR+G | GTR+G/CpRev+G | | | |
| Nt: All Positions | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | | | |
| | BS=52 | PP=0.99 | PP=0.97 | | | |
| Nt: 1st+2nd Position | Equisetum + Polypodiopsida | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | Equisetum + Polypodiopsida | | | |
| | BS=58 | PP=0.68 | PP=0.99 | | | |
| Nt: 3rd Position | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | | | |
| | BS=32 | PP=0.61 | BS=0.49 | | | |
| Nt: Reduced | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | Equisetum + Psilotopsida | | | |
| | BS=44 | PP=0.99 | PP=0.68 | | | |
| AA: Reduced | Equisetum + Polypodiopsida | Equisetum + Polypodiopsida | Equisetum + Polypodiopsida | | | |
| | BS=80 | PP=0.65 | PP=1.0 | | | |

Table 3 Statistical support for the phylogenetic position of Equisetum among ferns

The structure of the genome is also extremely conserved in *E. hyemale* and *O. californicum*, whose IR boundaries are nearly identical to those in the lycophyte *H. lucidula* and most non-vascular plants. The stability of the IR boundary strongly suggests the retention of this arrangement from the common ancestor of land plants, vascular plants, and ferns *sensu lato*. In contrast, the IR boundaries in *P. nudum*, *Angiopteris evecta*, and leptosporangiate ferns have undergone several expansions to capture genes ancestrally present in the SSC or LSC.

By expanding taxon sampling to include the first ophioglossoid fern and a second representative from *Equisetum*, we hoped to provide more definitive resolution of taxonomic relationships among the major groups of ferns. While the results of the phylogenetic analyses provided generally weak and inconsistent support for the positions of *Equisetum* and *Angiopteris*, their phylogenetic affinities were revealed by mapping rare genomic structural changes in a phylogenetic context: the presence of a unique mitochondrial *atp1* intron argues strongly for a sister relationship between Polypodiopsida and Marattiopsida, and the absence of the *rps16* gene and the rps12i346 intron from *Equisetum*, *Psilotum*, and *Ophioglossum* indicates that Equisetopsida is sister to Psilotopsida.

Further plastome sequencing of marattioid ferns and early diverging leptosporangiate ferns will likely be necessary to solidify the sister relationship between these two lineages, but the position of *Equisetum* is unlikely to be resolvable with more plastome data. This is due to unavoidable long-branch artifacts for Equisetopsida caused by the increased plastid sequence diversity in this group and by the lack of any close, living relatives of *Equisetum*. Expanded sequencing from mitochondrial and nuclear genomes may prove to be more useful, although this remains to be tested.

Methods

Source of plants

Ophioglossum californicum plants and a single *Psilotum nudum* plant were obtained from the living collection at

the Beadle Center Greenhouse (University of Nebraska– Lincoln). *Equisetum hyemale* plants were ordered from Bonnie's Plants (Newton, NC, USA) and grown to maturity in the Beadle Center Greenhouse.

DNA extraction and sequencing

For each plant, a mixed organelle fraction was prepared by differential centrifugation using buffers and techniques described previously [42,43]. Mature, above-ground tissue (50–100 g) was homogenized in a Waring blender, filtered through four layers of cheesecloth, and then filtered through one layer of Miracloth. The filtrate was centrifuged at 2,500 × g in a Sorvall RC 6+ centrifuge for 15 min to remove nuclei, most plastids, and cellular debris. The supernatant was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 20 min to pellet mitochondria and remaining plastids.

Organelle-enriched DNA was isolated from the mixed organelle fraction using a simplified version of the hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) procedure described previously [44]. Briefly, the mixed organelle fraction was placed in isolation buffer for 30 min at 65°C with occasional mixing. The solution was centrifuged for 3 min and the supernatant was treated twice with an equal volume of 24:1 chloroform:isoamyl alcohol. DNA was precipitated with 0.6 volume isopropanol overnight at -20°C, pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 10,000 x g, washed twice with 70% ethanol, and then resuspended in DNasefree H₂O. A quantitative PCR assay [43] using speciesspecific primers targeting nuclear, mitochondrial, and plastid genes confirmed that the organelle-enriched DNA contained similar copy numbers of mitochondrial and plastid genomes and greatly reduced levels of nuclear genomic DNA (data not shown).

Organelle-enriched DNAs were sequenced using the Illumina platform at the BGI Corporation (for *E. hyemale* and *P. nudum*) or at the University of Illinois Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center (for *O. californicum*). For each species, ~20 million paired-end sequence reads of 100 bp were generated from sequencing libraries with median insert



sizes of 760 bp to 910 bp (Additional File 1: Table S1). In addition, *O. californicum* organelle-enriched DNA was sent to the University of Nebraska Core for Applied Genomics and Ecology for 454 sequencing on the Roche-454 GS FLX platform using Titanium reagents, which produced ~270,000 single-pass reads with average length of 316 bp (Additional File 1: Table S1).

Genome assembly

The organelle-enriched Illumina sequencing reads from *O. californicum, P. nudum,* and *E. hyemale* were assembled with Velvet [45] using a large range of parameters, and the best results were individually chosen. The scaffolding option of Velvet was usually used to combine contigs into larger scaffolds based on the paired-end information of the sequence libraries. Nuclear contamination in the sequence data resulted in scaffolds with low coverage, which were discarded. Remaining scaffolds with high coverage were used for blastn searches against the cpDNA of *P. nudum* (NC_003386) or *E. arvense* (NC_014699) to identify scaffolds containing plastid DNA.

To assemble the *O. californicum* plastid genome, we used Velvet with a kmer length of 57 bp, resulting in a maximum scaffold size of 123,523 bp that spanned most of the LSC and SSC and the entire IR. The IR had double the coverage compared with the remaining scaffold and was used twice in the complete cpDNA sequence. An additional scaffold of 4,684 bp was identified covering the remaining part of the SSC. To finish the genome, all gaps between and within scaffolds were eliminated using a draft assembly of the 454 sequencing data put together by Roche's GS de novo Assembler v2.3 ("Newbler") with default parameters.

The cpDNA of *P. nudum* was assembled from five overlapping cpDNA contigs identified in two Velvet assemblies using either a kmer length of 75 bp with scaffolding or a kmer length of 67 bp without scaffolding. The size of the scaffolds varied from 1,687 bp to 84,740 bp. One of these scaffolds with a size of 18,935 bp had twice the coverage and exactly covered the IR region. This scaffold was used twice when all contigs were adjusted according to their overlapping end regions. No further gap filling was necessary to finish the genome. We used Velvet with a kmer length of 37 bp without scaffolding to assemble the cpDNA of *E. hyemale.* Scaffolding was done by SSPACE [46] since it was able to connect more contigs into larger scaffolds than using Velvet with the scaffolding option. Three scaffolds produced by SSPACE covered most of the plastid genome. These contigs were arranged by aligning them to the *E. arvense* database entry (NC_014699). The first 10,093 bp of one contig covered the IR region and was used twice in the completed sequence. To finish this genome, gaps between or within the three scaffold sequences were closed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using GoTaq DNA polymerase according to the manufacturer's protocol (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA).

To evaluate assembly quality and accuracy, Illumina sequencing reads were mapped onto the three finished cpDNA sequences with Bowtie 2.0.0 [47]. The mapped reads provided an average coverage of 344x, 188x, and 450x for the genomes of *E. hyemale*, *O. californicum*, and *P. nudum*, respectively (Additional File 1: Figure S3). All parts of the genome were covered at roughly equal depth suggesting the finished genomes were assembled accurately and completely. However, there were a few nucleotides where the consensus sequence constructed by velvet and/or SSPACE disagreed with the majority of mapped reads. At these positions, we used the mapped read sequences to correct the consensus genome sequence.

Genome annotation

The location of O. californicum protein-coding, rRNA, and tRNA genes were initially determined using DOGMA annotation software [48]. Existing GenBank entries of complete cpDNAs were used as a template for a preliminary annotation of the complete plastid sequences of P. nudum and E. hyemale sequenced in this study. For any tRNA gene annotations in these three genomes that conflicted with annotations in previously sequenced ferns, we manually examined their secondary structures and anticodons to assess identity and functionality. Finally, to ensure annotation consistency among the lycophyte and monilophyte cpDNAs compared here, gene and intron presence was individually re-evaluated using blastn and blastx searches. The annotated genomic sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KC117177 (E. hyemale), KC117178 (O. californicum), and KC117179 (P. nudum).

Phylogenetic analysis

We downloaded the data set from Karol et al. [18] and made the following modifications: 1) removed all ten bryophyte and green algal species, which are distantly related to ferns, to avoid complications with distant outgroups, 2) removed nine angiosperms from the densely sampled eudicot and monocot lineages to speed up analyses, 3) added four new ferns (Cheilanthes lindheimeri, E. hyemale, O. californicum, Pteridium aquilinum) to improve fern sampling, 4) added three new Coniferales (Cephalotaxus wilsoniana, Cryptomeria japonica, and Taiwania cryptomeroides) to improve gymnosperm sampling, 5) added Calycanthus floridus to improve magnoliid sampling in angiosperms, 6) replaced the P. nudum sequences obtained from an unpublished genome with data from our newly sequenced P. nudum plastome, and 7) replaced the Adiantum cDNA sequences with genomic DNA sequences to avoid mixing of DNA and cDNA in the phylogenetic analyses. All genes were aligned in Geneious [49] and matrices were SequenceMatrix concatenated in [50]. Aligned sequences were manually adjusted when necessary, and poorly aligned regions were removed using Gblocks [51] in codon mode with relaxed parameters ($b_2 = half$ +1, b4 = 5, b5 = half). The final data set contained 49 plastid genes from 32 taxa totaling 32,547 bp. Additional data sets were constructed that included 1st and 2nd codon positions only, 3rd codon positions only, a reduced sampling of 18 taxa after eliminating the fastest evolving seed plants and lycophytes, or an amino acid translation of the reduced data set. GenBank accession numbers for data used in the alignment are provided in (Additional File 1: Table S2), and the data set was deposited in treeBASE (Study ID 13741).

Phylogenetic analyses were performed using maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI). ML trees were estimated with RAxML [52] using the GTR +G model for nucleotide data sets and the LG+G model for the amino acid data set. For each analysis, 1000 bootstrap replicates were performed using the fast bootstrapping option [53]. BI was performed with PhyloBayes [41] using the GTR-CAT+G4 model for all data sets, which was recently shown to outperform all other models during Bayesian analyses and to be less influenced by long-branch attraction and substitutional saturation artifacts [40,41]. For each data set, two independent chains were run until the maximum discrepancy between bipartitions was <0.1 (minimum 75,000 generations). The first 200 sampled trees were discarded as the burn-in. BI was also performed with MrBayes [54]. For each analysis, two runs with 4 chains were performed in parallel, and the first 25% of all sampled trees were discarded as the burn-in. Nucleotide data sets used the GTR+G model and were run for 500,000 generations with trees sampled every 500 generations. The amino acid data set used the CpRev+G model and was run for 100,000 generations with trees sampled every 100 generations. All ML and BI trees were rooted on lycophytes.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. DNA sequencing information. Table S2. Genome sequences used in this study. Figure S1. Alignment of plastid trnR-CCG in monilophytes. Selected trnR-CCG sequences from representative monilophyte taxa were aligned to the sequences from the lycophytes Huperzia lucidula and Isoetes flaccida. Alignment positions with >70% identity among sequences are shaded in grey. Predicted tRNA secondary structure is depicted in dot-bracket format above and below the alignment. The tRNA anticodon position is indicated by "AAA" and highlighted in yellow. A deletion in the Cryptogramma gene is indicated by dashes, whereas two insertion sequences (the first in the top five Polypodiopsida species and the second in *Polybotrya* only) are boxed in red with a red bar indicating their position within the gene sequences. Figure S2. Additional phylogenetic analyses. A) Nt - all positions for MrBayes (RAxML and PhyloBayes results shown in Figure 5). B) Nt - 1st and 2nd positions. C) Nt - 3rd positions. D) Nt - reduced taxon sampling. E) AA - reduced taxon sampling. Figure S3. Depth of sequencing coverage for fern plastomes. Illumina sequencing reads were mapped onto the finished genomes using Bowtie 2.0.0 [47]. Depth of coverage was estimated using a window size of 100 and a step size of 10; it is reported on a logarithmic base 2 scale. Mean coverage for each genome is indicated by the dashed horizontal line. Genome position is given in kilobases.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

FG and JPM designed the study. FG performed most analyses and prepared most figures and tables. WG, AKH, and JPM performed some computational analyses and prepared some figures and tables. EAG performed some experimental analyses. FG, WG, AKH, and JPM analyzed results and contributed to the writing. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Yizhong Zhang for extracting organelle-enriched DNA, Derek Schmidt for early work to assess extraction procedures to enrich for organellar DNA, Amy Hilske and Samantha Link for procuring and caring for plants in the Beadle Center Greenhouse, and members of the Mower lab and the Sally Mackenzie lab for helpful discussions. We also thank the two anonymous reviewers and the associate editor for their comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. This work was supported in part by start-up funds from the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and by National Science Foundation awards IOS-1027529 and MCB-1125386 (JPM).

Author details

¹Center for Plant Science Innovation, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA. ²Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA. ³School of Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA. ⁴Present address: College of Natural Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA.

Received: 3 September 2012 Accepted: 7 January 2013 Published: 11 January 2013

References

- Wicke S, Schneeweiss GM, DePamphilis CW, Muller KF, Quandt D: The evolution of the plastid chromosome in land plants: gene content, gene order, gene function. *Plant Mol Biol* 2011, 76:273–297.
- Jansen RK, Ruhlman TA: Plastid genomes of seed plants. In *Genomics of* Chloroplasts and Mitochondria. 35th edition. Edited by Bock R, Knoop V. Netherlands: Springer; 2012:103–126.
- Wolf PG, Karol KG: Plastomes of bryophytes, lycophytes and ferns. In Genomics of Chloroplasts and Mitochondria. 35th edition. Edited by Bock R, Knoop V. Springer Netherlands: Springer; 2012:89–102.
- Wolfe KH, Morden CW, Palmer JD: Function and evolution of a minimal plastid genome from a nonphotosynthetic parasitic plant. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992, 89:10648–10652.

- Wickett NJ, Zhang Y, Hansen SK, Roper JM, Kuehl JV, Plock SA, Wolf PG, DePamphilis CW, Boore JL, Goffinet B: Functional gene losses occur with minimal size reduction in the plastid genome of the parasitic liverwort *Aneura mirabilis.* Mol Biol Evol 2008, 25:393–401.
- Delannoy E, Fujii S, Colas Des Francs Small C, Brundrett M, Small I: Rampant gene loss in the underground orchid *Rhizanthella gardneri* highlights evolutionary constraints on plastid genomes. *Mol Biol Evol* 2011, 28:2077–2086.
- Braukmann TW, Kuzmina M, Stefanovic S: Loss of all plastid *ndh* genes in Gnetales and conifers: extent and evolutionary significance for the seed plant phylogeny. *Curr Genet* 2009, 55:323–337.
- 8. Blazier CJ, Guisinger MM, Jansen RK: **Recent loss of plastid-encoded** *ndh* **genes within** *Erodium* (Geraniaceae). *Plant Mol Biol* 2011, **76**:263–272.
- Haberle RC, Fourcade HM, Boore JL, Jansen RK: Extensive rearrangements in the chloroplast genome of *Trachelium caeruleum* are associated with repeats and tRNA genes. J Mol Evol 2008, 66:350–361.
- Cai Z, Guisinger M, Kim HG, Ruck E, Blazier JC, McMurtry V, Kuehl JV, Boore J, Jansen RK: Extensive reorganization of the plastid genome of *Trifolium subterraneum* (Fabaceae) is associated with numerous repeated sequences and novel DNA insertions. J Mol Evol 2008, 67:696–704.
- Guisinger MM, Kuehl JV, Boore JL, Jansen RK: Extreme reconfiguration of plastid genomes in the angiosperm family Geraniaceae: rearrangements, repeats, and codon usage. *Mol Biol Evol* 2011, 28:583–600.
- Wojciechowski MF, Lavin M, Sanderson MJ: A phylogeny of legumes (Leguminosae) based on analysis of the plastid *matK* gene resolves many well-supported subclades within the family. *Am J Bot* 2004, 91:1846–1862.
- Wu CS, Wang YN, Hsu CY, Lin CP, Chaw SM: Loss of different inverted repeat copies from the chloroplast genomes of Pinaceae and cupressophytes and influence of heterotachy on the evaluation of gymnosperm phylogeny. *Genome Biol Evol* 2011, 3:1284–1295.
- Chase MW, Soltis DE, Olmstead RG, Morgan D, Les DH, Mishler BD, Duvall MR, Price RA, Hills HG, Qiu Y-L, Kron KA, Rettig JH, Conti E, Palmer JD, Manhart JR, Sytsma KJ, Michaels HJ, Kress WJ, Karol KG, Clark WD, Hedren M, Brandon SG, Jansen RK, Kim K-J, Wimpee CF, Smith JF, Furnier GR, Strauss SH, Xiang Q-Y, Plunkett GM, et al: Phylogenetics of seed plants: an analysis of nucleotide sequences from the plastid gene *rbcL*. Ann Mo Bot Gard 1993, 80:528–580.
- Jansen RK, Cai Z, Raubeson LA, Daniell H, Depamphilis CW, Leebens-Mack J, Muller KF, Guisinger-Bellian M, Haberle RC, Hansen AK, Chumley TW, Lee SB, Peery R, McNeal JR, Kuehl JV, Boore JL: Analysis of 81 genes from 64 plastid genomes resolves relationships in angiosperms and identifies genome-scale evolutionary patterns. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007, 104:19369–19374.
- Qiu YL, Li L, Wang B, Chen Z, Knoop V, Groth-Malonek M, Dombrovska O, Lee J, Kent L, Rest J, Estabrook GF, Hendry TA, Taylor DW, Testa CM, Ambros M, Crandall-Stotler B, Duff RJ, Stech M, Frey W, Quandt D, Davis CC: The deepest divergences in land plants inferred from phylogenomic evidence. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103:15511–15516.
- Moore MJ, Bell CD, Soltis PS, Soltis DE: Using plastid genome-scale data to resolve enigmatic relationships among basal angiosperms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007, 104:19363–19368.
- Karol KG, Arumuganathan K, Boore JL, Duffy AM, Everett KD, Hall JD, Hansen SK, Kuehl JV, Mandoli DF, Mishler BD, Olmstead RG, Renzaglia KS, Wolf PG: Complete plastome sequences of *Equisetum arvense* and *Isoetes flaccida:* implications for phylogeny and plastid genome evolution of early land plant lineages. *BMC Evol Biol* 2010, **10**:321.
- Soltis DE, Smith SA, Cellinese N, Wurdack KJ, Tank DC, Brockington SF, Refulio-Rodriguez NF, Walker JB, Moore MJ, Carlsward BS, Bell CD, Latvis M, Crawley S, Black C, Diouf D, Xi Z, Rushworth CA, Gitzendanner MA, Sytsma KJ, Qiu YL, Hilu KW, Davis CC, Sanderson MJ, Beaman RS, Olmstead RG, Judd WS, Donoghue MJ, Soltis PS: Angiosperm phylogeny: 17 genes, 640 taxa. *Am J Bot* 2011, 98:704–730.
- Zhong B, Yonezawa T, Zhong Y, Hasegawa M: The position of Gnetales among seed plants: overcoming pitfalls of chloroplast phylogenomics. *Mol Biol Evol* 2010, 27:2855–2863.
- Pryer KM, Schneider H, Smith AR, Cranfill R, Wolf PG, Hunt JS, Sipes SD: Horsetails and ferns are a monophyletic group and the closest living relatives to seed plants. *Nature* 2001, 409:618–622.
- Pryer KM, Schuettpelz E, Wolf PG, Schneider H, Smith AR, Cranfill R: Phylogeny and evolution of ferns (monilophytes) with a focus on the early leptosporangiate divergences. *Am J Bot* 2004, 91:1582–1598.

- 23. Wikstrom N, Pryer KM: Incongruence between primary sequence data and the distribution of a mitochondrial *atp1* group II intron among ferns and horsetails. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2005, **36**:484–493.
- Nickrent DL, Parkinson CL, Palmer JD, Duff RJ: Multigene phylogeny of land plants with special reference to bryophytes and the earliest land plants. *Mol Biol Evol* 2000, 17:1885–1895.
- Rai HS, Graham SW: Utility of a large, multigene plastid data set in inferring higher-order relationships in ferns and relatives (monilophytes). *Am J Bot* 2010, 97:1444–1456.
- 26. Des Marais DL, Smith AR, Britton DM, Pryer KM: Phylogenetic relationships and evolution of extant horsetails, Equisetum, based on chloroplast DNA sequence data (*rbcL* and *trnL-F*). *Int J Plant Sci* 2003, **164**:737–751.
- Mower JP, Touzet P, Gummow JS, Delph LF, Palmer JD: Extensive variation in synonymous substitution rates in mitochondrial genes of seed plants. BMC Evol Biol 2007, 7:135.
- 28. Bergsten J: A review of long-branch attraction. Cladistics 2005, 21:163–193.
- 29. Rokas A, Holland PW: Rare genomic changes as a tool for phylogenetics. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2000, **15**:454–459.
- Qiu YL, Cho Y, Cox JC, Palmer JD: The gain of three mitochondrial introns identifies liverworts as the earliest land plants. *Nature* 1998, 394:671–674.
- 31. Raubeson LA, Jansen RK: Chloroplast DNA evidence on the ancient evolutionary split in vascular land plants. *Science* 1992, 255:1697–1699.
- 32. Guillon JM: Molecular phylogeny of horsetails (*Equisetum*) including chloroplast *atpB* sequences. J Plant Res 2007, **120**:569–574.
- Raubeson LA, Stein DB: Insights into fern evolution from mapping chloroplast genomes. Am Fern J 1995, 85:193–204.
- Kuo LY, Li FW, Chiou WL, Wang CN: First insights into fern matK phylogeny. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2011, 59:556–566.
- Wolf PG, Rowe CA, Hasebe M: High levels of RNA editing in a vascular plant chloroplast genome: analysis of transcripts from the fern Adiantum capillus-veneris. Gene 2004, 339:89–97.
- Wolf PG, Rowe CA, Sinclair RB, Hasebe M: Complete nucleotide sequence of the chloroplast genome from a leptosporangiate fern, Adiantum capillus-veneris L. DNA Res 2003, 10:59–65.
- Chaw SM, Chang CC, Chen HL, Li WH: Dating the monocot-dicot divergence and the origin of core eudicots using whole chloroplast genomes. J Mol Evol 2004, 58:424–441.
- Gao L, Zhou Y, Wang ZW, Su YJ, Wang T: Evolution of the *rpoB-psbZ* region in fern plastid genomes: notable structural rearrangements and highly variable intergenic spacers. *BMC Plant Biol* 2011, 11:64.
- Dombrovska O, Qiu Y-L: Distribution of introns in the mitochondrial gene nad1 in land plants: phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary implications. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2004, 32:246–263.
- Chiari Y, Cahais V, Galtier N, Delsuc F: Phylogenomic analyses support the position of turtles as the sister group of birds and crocodiles (Archosauria). *BMC Biol* 2012. 10:65.
- Lartillot N, Lepage T, Blanquart S: PhyloBayes 3: a Bayesian software package for phylogenetic reconstruction and molecular dating. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25:2286–2288.
- Palmer JD: Organelle DNA isolation and RFLP analysis. In Plant Genomes: Methods for Genetic and Physical Mapping. Edited by Osborn TC, Beckmann JS. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic; 1992:35–53.
- Mower JP, Stefanović S, Hao W, Gummow JS, Jain K, Ahmed D, Palmer JD: Horizontal acquisition of multiple mitochondrial genes from a parasitic plant followed by gene conversion with host mitochondrial genes. *BMC Biol* 2010, 8:150.
- 44. Doyle JJ, Doyle JL: A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem Bull* 1987, **19:**11–15.
- 45. Zerbino DR, Birney E: Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 2008, **18**:821–829.
- Boetzer M, Henkel CV, Jansen HJ, Butler D, Pirovano W: Scaffolding pre-assembled contigs using SSPACE. Bioinformatics 2011, 27:578–579.
- 47. Langmead B, Salzberg SL: Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 2012, 9:357–359.
- Wyman SK, Jansen RK, Boore JL: Automatic annotation of organellar genomes with DOGMA. *Bioinformatics* 2004, 20:3252–3255.
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A: Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2012, 28:1647–1649.

- 50. Vaidya G, Lohman DJ, Meier R: SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. *Cladistics* 2011, **27**:171–180.
- 51. Castresana J: Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol* 2000, **17**:540–552.
- Stamatakis A: RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 2006, 22:2688–2690.
- Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J: A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML Web servers. Syst Biol 2008, 57:758–771.
- 54. Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F: MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 2001, 17:754–755.

doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-8

Cite this article as: Grewe *et al.*: Complete plastid genomes from *Ophioglossum californicum, Psilotum nudum,* and *Equisetum hyemale* reveal an ancestral land plant genome structure and resolve the position of Equisetales among monilophytes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 2013 **13**:8.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

Supplementary Table 1. DNA sequencing information.

| | Equisetum | Ophioglossum 1 | Ophioglossum 2 | Psilotum |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| Sequencing facility | BGI Americas (bgiamericas.com) | RJ Carver Biotechnology Center, U. Illinois (www.biotech.uiuc.edu) | Core for Applied Genomics and Ecology, U. Nebraska (cage.unl.edu) | BGI Americas (bgiamericas.com) |
| Platform | Illumina HiSeq2000 | Illumina HiSeq2000 | 454 GS FLX | Illumina HiSeq2000 |
| Seq. type | paired-end llumina | paired-end llumina | single pass 454 | paired-end llumina |
| No. reads | 21.0 M | 17.9 M | 0.268 M | 23.1 M |
| Read len. | 100 bp | 100 bp | 316 bp (mean) | 100 bp |
| Total data | 2100 M | 1790 M | 84.3 M | 2310 M |
| Library size | 762 bp (median) | 910 bp (median) | N/A | 760 bp (median) |

Supplementary Table 2. Genome sequences used in this study.

| Species | GenBank Acc No | Usage |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Angiosperms | | |
| Acorus americanus | DQ069409 | Figure 4 |
| Agrostis stolonifera | EF115543 | Figure 4 |
| Amborella trichopoda | AJ506156 | Figure 4 |
| Calycanthus floridus | AJ428413 | Figure 4 |
| Chloranthus spicatus | EF380352 | Figure 4 |
| Helianthus annuus | DQ383815 | Figure 4 |
| Illicium oligandrum | EF380354 | Figure 4 |
| Liriodendron tulipifera | DQ899947 | Figure 4 |
| Nymphaea alba | AJ627251 | Figure 4 |
| Vitis vinifera | DQ424856 | Figure 4 |
| Gymnosperms | | |
| Cephalotaxus wilsoniana | AP012265 | Figure 4 |
| Cryptomeria japonica | AP009377 | Figure 4 |
| Cycas taitungensis | AP009339 | Figures 3 and 4 |
| Ephedra equisetina | AP010819 | Figure 4 |
| Ginkgo biloba | AB684440 | Figure 4 |
| Gnetum parvifolium | AP009569 | Figure 4 |
| Pinus thunbergii | D17510 | Figure 4 |
| Taiwania cryptomerioides | AP012266 | Figure 4 |
| Welwitschia mirabilis | EU342371 | Figure 4 |
| Ferns | | |
| Adiantum capillus-veneris | AY178864 | Figure 4 |
| Alsophila spinulosa | FJ556581 | Figure 4 |
| Angiopteris evecta | DQ821119 | Figures 3 and 4 |
| Cheilanthes lindheimeri | HM778032 | Figure 4 |
| Equisetum arvense | GU191334 | Figures 3 and 4 |
| Equisetum hyemale | KC117177 | Figures 3 and 4 |
| Ophioglossum californicum | KC117178 | Figures 3 and 4 |
| Psilotum nudum | KC117179 | Figures 3 and 4 |
| Pteridium aquilinum | HM535629 | Figure 4 |
| Lycophytes | | |
| Huperzia lucidula | AY660566 | Figures 3 and 4 |
| Isoetes flaccida | GU191333 | Figure 4 |
| Selaginella moellendorffii | FJ755183 | Figure 4 |
| Selaginella uncinata | AB197035 | Figure 4 |
| Hornworts | | |
| Anthoceros formosae | AB086179 | Figure 3 |
| Mosses | | |
| Physcomitrella patens | AP005672 | Figure 3 |
| Svntrichia ruralis | FJ546412 | Figure 3 |
| Liverworts | | J |
| Aneura mirabilis | EU043314 | Figure 3 |
| Marchantia polymorpha | X04465 | Figure 3 |
| | | Figure 2 |
| Paliaium puicherrimum | HIVI222519 | rigure 3 |

Supplementary Figure 1 – Alignment of plastid *trnR*-CCG in monilophytes. Selected *trnR*-CCG sequences from representative monilophyte taxa were aligned to the sequences from the lycophytes *Huperzia lucidula* and *Isoetes flaccida*. Alignment positions with >70% identity among sequences are shaded in grey. Predicted tRNA secondary structure is depicted in dot-bracket format above and below the alignment. The tRNA anticodon position is indicated by "AAA" and highlighted in yellow. A deletion in the *Cryptogramma* gene is indicated by dashes, whereas two insertion sequences (the first in the top five Polypodiopsida species and the second in *Polybotrya* only) are boxed in red with a red bar indicating their position within the gene sequences.

| | : | 10 | 20 |) | 30 | 40 |) | 50 | 60 | 70 |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | | . | | | | <mark> </mark> | | | . | . |
| secondary cons. structure | >>>>>. | .>>>>. | | ~~~ | .>>>> | <mark>aaa</mark> < | <<<< . | >>>>> | > | ·<<<<< |
| | | | GAAA | CTR | CCACAT | AGT | | | | |
| Polybotrya alfredii | AGGTTGGT | TAATCA | ATGATC | AGAAACA | ACATAGIC | TCGAAA | TGTGA | ATCCGAGG(| GTTC <mark>A</mark> AATCCC | CTACCAACCTT |
| Dryopteris sparsa | AGGTTGGT | TAATCA | ATGATG | GGAAACI | ACATAGTA | TTGAAA | TGTGA | ATCTGGGGG | GTTC <mark>A</mark> AATCCC | CTACCAACTCA |
| Polystichum munitum | AGGTTGGT | TAATCA | ATGATG | GGAAACA | ACATAGTC | TCGAAA | TGTGA | ATCCGGGGG | GTTC <mark>A</mark> AATCCC | CTACCAACTCG |
| Phanerophlebia nobilis | AGGTTGGT | TAATCA | ATGATG | GGAAAC | ACATAGTC | TCGAAA | TGTGA | ATCCGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTACCAACTCG |
| Arachniodes denticulata | AGGTTGGT | TAATCA | ATGATG | GGAAAC | ACGTAGTC | TTAAAA | TGTGA | ACCCGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTACCAACTCA |
| Cryptogramma crispa | AGGTTGGT | GGCTAA(| GTGAAG | GGAAGC | ACGTG <mark>T</mark> CT | TTTT | G | ATCCGAGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CACCAATTCA |
| Pteridium aquilinum | AGGTTGGT | GCTCA | ATGATG | GGAAGC | GCGTGGTC | TCGAAC | CGCGG | ATCCGAGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTACCAATTCG |
| Cheilanthes lindheimeri | AGATTGGT | GGCTAA | ATGAAG | GAAAGC | GCGTG <mark>T</mark> TT | TCAAA1 | CGCAA | ATCCGAGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CACCAATTCA |
| Adiantum capillus-veneris | AGGTTGGT | GGCTAA | ATAAGG | GTAAGC | GCGTG <mark>T</mark> AT | TCAAAA | ACACAG | ATCCGAGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CACCAATTCA |
| Llavea cordifolia | AGGTTGGT | GGCTAA(| 3 TGA AG | GGAAGC | GCGTG <mark>TC</mark> T | TTAAAC | CGGAG | ATCCGAGG | GTTC <mark>A</mark> AATCCC | CACCAATTCA |
| Alsophila spinulosa | GGGTTGGT | GCTCA | GTGGAT | GAGAGT | ACATGGTT | TCGAGC | CGTGG | ATCCGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTACCAATTCA |
| Dicksonia gigantea | GAGTTGGT | GCTCA | TGGA T | GGGAGC | ACATGGTT | TCGAAC | CGTGG | ATCCGGGGG | GTTC <mark>A</mark> AATCCC | CTACCAATTCA |
| Cyathea walkerae | GGGTTGGT | F GCTCA(| TGGAT | GAGAGC | ACATGGTT | TCGAGC | CGTGG | ATCCGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTACCAATTCA |
| Sphaeropteris albifrons | GGGTTGGT | FGCTCA(| F GGAT | GAGAGC | GCATGGTT | TCGAGC | CGTGG | ATCCGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTACCAACTCA |
| Marsilea mutica | GGGCTTGT | AGCTCA | GTGGAT | TAGAGC | ACGTGGCT | ACGAAC | CACGG | rgrcgggg | GTTCGAATCCC | CTCCCTGCCCA |
| Gleichenella pectinata | GAGTTGGT | AGCTCA | 3CGGA <mark>1</mark> | CAGAGC | ACGTGGTT | CCGAAC | CACGG | AGTCGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTCCCAATTCG |
| Diplopterygium bancroftii | GAGTTGGT | AGCTCA | GCGGA I | CAGAGC | ACGTGGTT | CCGAAC | CACGG | AGTCAGGG | GTTCGAGTCCC | CTTCCAATTCG |
| Dipteris conjugata | GAGTTGGT | AGCTCA | SCGGA I | GAGAGC | ACATGGTT | CCGATC | CATGG | GGACGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CCCCCAATTTG |
| Hymenophyllum nitidulum | GAATTGGT | AGCTCA | TGGAT | AAGAGC | ACATGGTT | CCGAAC | CATGG | AGCCGAGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTCCCAATTCA |
| Cardiomanes reniforme | GAATTGGT | AGCTCA | GTGGAT | AAGAGC | ACATGGTT | CCGAAC | CATGT | AGCCGAGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTCCCGATTCG |
| Trichomanes tamarisciforme | GGATTGGT | AGCTCA | GCGGA I | AAGAGC | ACATGGTT | CCGAAC | CATGG | AGCCGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTCCCAATTCG |
| Sphaerocionium pilosissimum | GAATTGGT | AGCTCA | 3CGGA <mark>1</mark> | AAGAGC | ACATGGTT | CCGAAC | CATGG | AGCCGGGGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTCCCGATTCG |
| Osmunda claytoniana | GGGTTGGT | AGCTCA | 3CGGA <mark>1</mark> | AAGAGT | ATATGGTT | CCGAAC | CATAG | AGTCAAGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTTCCAGCCCG |
| Todea barbara | GGGTTGGT | AGCTCA | 3CGGA <mark>1</mark> | AAGAGTI | A <mark>TA</mark> TGGTT | CCGAAC | CATAG | AG <mark>T</mark> CAAGG(| GTTCGAATCCC | CTTCTAGCCCG |
| Leptopteris wilkesiana | GGGTTGGT | AGCTCA | 3CGGA <mark>1</mark> | AAGAGT | A <mark>TA</mark> TGGTT | CCGAAC | CATAG | AG <mark>T</mark> CAAGG(| GTTCGAATCCC | CTTCTAGCCCG |
| Angiopteris evecta | GGGTTGGT | AACTCA | F GGAT | CAGAGT | A <mark>TA</mark> TGGTT | CCGAAC | CATGA | AG <mark>T</mark> CAAGG(| GTTC <mark>A</mark> AATCCC | CTTCTAGCCCA |
| Ophioglossum californicum | GGGTTGGT | AACTCA | GCGGA I | CAGAGT | C <mark>TA</mark> TGGTT | CCGAAC | CATGA | AGCCGAGG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTCCTAGCCCA |
| Psilotum nudum | GGGTTGGT | AACTCA | TGGAT | CAGAGT | ATATGGTT | CCGAAC | CATGA | AGTCAAGG | GTTCAAATCCC | CTTCTAACCCA |
| Equisetum hyemale | GGGTTGGT | AACTCA | 3 A GGA <mark>T</mark> | CAGAGT | TTA TG T TT | CCGAAC | CATAA | AG <mark>T</mark> CAGGG | GTTC <mark>A</mark> AATCCC | CCTCCAACTCA |
| Equisetum arvense | GAGTTGGT | AACTCA | GAGGAT | CAGAGT | PTATGGTT | CCGAAC | CATAA | AGTCAGGG | GTTC <mark>A</mark> AATCCC | CCTCCAACTCA |
| Huperzia lucidula | GGGTCTGT | AGCTCA | 3CGGA <mark>1</mark> | TAGAGC | GCGTGGTT | CCGAA1 | CATGA | AGTCAAAG | GTTCGAATCCC | CTTCTGACCCA |
| Isoetes flaccida | GGGTTTGC | AGCTC-0 | GTGGAT | CCGAGC | CATGGTT | CTGAAC | CATGA | AGTCAAGG | GTTCAAACCCC | CTTCTAGCCCA |
| secondary cons. structure | >>>>>. | .>>>>. | | <<<< | .>>>> | AAA < | ····· | >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> | > | ~~~~~ |

Supplementary Figure 2 – Additional phylogenetic analyses. A) Nt - all positions for
MrBayes (RAxML and PhyloBayes results shown in Figure 5). B) Nt - 1st and 2nd positions.
C) Nt - 3rd positions. D) Nt - reduced taxon sampling. E) AA - reduced taxon sampling.



A Nt - All Positions

MrBayes (GTR+G)

B Nt - 1st + 2nd Position



D Nt - Reduced



E AA - Reduced



Supplementary Figure 3 – Depth of sequencing coverage for fern plastomes. Illumina sequencing reads were mapped onto the finished genomes using Bowtie 2.0.0 (Langmead et al 2012). Depth of coverage was estimated using a window size of 100 and a step size of 10; it is reported on a logarithmic base 2 scale. Mean coverage for each genome is indicated by the dashed horizontal line. Genome position is given in kilobases.

