

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Court Review: The Journal of the American  
Judges Association

American Judges Association

---

2010

## Editor's Note

Steve Leben

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ajacourtreview>

---

Leben, Steve, "Editor's Note" (2010). *Court Review: The Journal of the American Judges Association*. 311.  
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ajacourtreview/311>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the American Judges Association at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Court Review: The Journal of the American Judges Association by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Court Review

THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN JUDGES ASSOCIATION  
Volume 46, Issue 3 2009-2010

## EDITOR'S NOTE


The lead article in this issue is Professor Charles Weisselberg's annual review of the key criminal-law cases decided by the United States Supreme Court during the past Term of the Court (the Term that began in October 2009 and ended with the last decisions on June 30, 2010). This is the third year we've had the benefit of Professor Weisselberg's analysis, and I hope you will take advantage of it if you need to keep up-to-date on criminal-law developments in the United States.

Prof. Weisselberg not only summarizes the key cases, but also notes where things may go from here or ways in which judges may need to react. For example, in addition to noting the Court's holding in *Padilla v. Kentucky* that a criminal-defense lawyer must advise the defendant when the deportation consequences of a conviction are clear, Prof. Weisselberg also notes that trial judges may want to add to their scripts in plea hearings a question about whether the defendant has consulted with counsel about the possible immigration consequences of the plea and conviction.

Next in the issue is an American Judges Association White Paper. AJA President Mary Celeste took on the task of putting all of the debates over judicial-selection systems in context—a context both of American history and of recent United States Supreme Court decisions. Her goal was to identify challenges judges may face no matter the selection system, especially in light of recent developments in and out of court.

If you have even a passing interest in the debate over judicial-selection methods—or if you have wondered how the recent United States Supreme Court opinions on the limits on judicial speech or judicial-recusal standards may affect you—this White Paper will serve as an excellent overview for you. After you've read it, if you want to go further, take a look at the special issue on judicial-selection recently published by the Litigation Section of the State Bar of Texas (available on the web—see page 112). And then wait for the next issue of *Court Review*, in which we expect to have an update on recent developments by Georgetown law professor Roy Schotland, a leading expert on judicial-selection systems and election law.

Our issue concludes with a winning essay from the AJA's law-student writing competition. Jessica Wang, a UCLA law student, reviews the admissibility questions that arise from remote-electronic traffic devices that take still photos or video of what's taking place on the roadways.—Steve Leben



*Court Review*, the quarterly journal of the American Judges Association, invites the submission of unsolicited, original articles, essays, and book reviews. *Court Review* seeks to provide practical, useful information to the working judges of the United States and Canada. In each issue, we hope to provide information that will be of use to judges in their everyday work, whether in highlighting new procedures or methods of trial, court, or case management, providing substantive information regarding an area of law likely to be encountered by many judges, or by providing background information (such as psychology or other social science research) that can be used by judges in their work. Guidelines for the submission of manuscripts for *Court Review* are set forth on page 110. *Court Review* reserves the right to edit, condense, or reject material submitted for publication.

Advertising: *Court Review* accepts advertising for products and services of interest to judges. For information, contact January Serda at (757) 259-1864.

Photo credit: Mary Watkins (mayrswatkinsphoto@earthlink.net). The cover photo is the United States Court House in Jefferson City, Missouri, which was completed in 1934 and remains in use by the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

©2011, American Judges Association, printed in the United States. *Court Review* is published quarterly by the American Judges Association (AJA). AJA members receive a subscription to *Court Review*. Non-member subscriptions are available for \$35 per volume (four issues per volume). Subscriptions are terminable at the end of any volume upon notice given to the publisher. Prices are subject to change without notice. Second-class postage paid at Williamsburg, Virginia, and additional mailing offices. Address all correspondence about subscriptions, undeliverable copies, and change of address to Association Services, National Center for State Courts, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185-4147. Points of view or opinions expressed in *Court Review* are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the positions of the National Center for State Courts or the American Judges Association. ISSN: 0011-0647.

Cite as: 46 Ct. Rev. \_\_\_\_ (2009-2010).