University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production

Animal Science Department

1986

GENETIC VARIABILITY AMONG CATTLE BREEDS FOR BEEF PRODUCTION

R. B. Thiessen AFRC Animal Breeding Research Organisation

C. S. Taylor AFRC Animal Breeding Research Organisation

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/wcgalp

Part of the Animal Sciences Commons

Thiessen, R. B. and Taylor, C. S., "GENETIC VARIABILITY AMONG CATTLE BREEDS FOR BEEF PRODUCTION" (1986). *3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production*. 42. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/wcgalp/42

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in 3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

GENETIC VARIABILITY AMONG CATTLE BREEDS FOR BEEF PRODUCTION

R.B. THIESSEN and ST. C.S. TAYLOR, U.K.

AFRC Animal Breeding Research Organisation, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JQ, U.K.

SUMMARY

Between-breed variation in body weight, food intake, carcass composition, milk yield, efficiency of food conversion during growth and maintenance efficiency in adult cattle was examined in a multibreed experiment at the AFRC Animal Breeding Research Organisation. Females from 11 beef, 8 dual-purpose and 6 dairy breeds were fed a complete pelleted diet (AA6) ad libitum from weaning at 12 weeks of age until the birth of their third calf. Females were mated by AI to produce both purebred and crossbred progeny which were reared under the same conditions and slaughtered at either 24, 48 or 72 weeks of age. In addition, nonpregnant, non-lactating mature females from two beef breeds, two dairy preeds and one dual purpose breed were fed for prolonged periods on fixed levels of the same AA6 diet until an equilibrium body weight had been attained. There was significant variation among breeds for body weight, cumulated intake and cumulated food efficiency over the age range of 12 to 72 weeks. At 72 weeks of age the between-breed variation as a proportion of the total (t^2) was 0.71 for body weight, 0.62 for cumulated intake and 0.15 for cumulated food efficiency. Breed and sex had significant effects on carcass composition at all three age of 24, 48 and 72 weeks. Heterosis in carcass composition although significant at 24 weeks declined at subsequent ages. Beef breeds when compared with dairy breeds had on average daily lactation yields that were half as high, total lactation yields that were 1/3 as high and lactation lengths that were 2/3 as long. Maintenance efficiency in mature cattle varied with potential milk yield, beef breeds being about 20% more efficient than dairy breeds.

INTRODUCTION

Mason's (1969) Dictionary of livestock breeds lists some 1000 different cattle breeds around the world. Many are specialist breeds devoted to either beef or dairy production, whilst others are used in a dual purpose role for meat and milk. In some countries where population density is high and agriculture systems are intensive, beef and dairy enterprises are closely linked and much of the beef produced derives from the dairy breeds and their crosses. In the UK it is estimated that 70% of the beef produced derives from dairy breeds. It is therefore appropriate to consider all three breed types when assessing their role for beef production. The purpose of this paper is to report estimates of some of the biological parameters for variation among beef, dairy and dual purpose breeds for growth, food intake, carcass composition, milk yield, efficiency of growth and efficiency of maintenance in mature adults.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A multibreed cattle experiment was established in 1970 at the AFRC Animal Breeding Research Organisation's farm at Blythbank in Sootland Twenty five British breeds were included which represented a wide range mature size and potential milk yield. The breeds could be broad classified according to Mason (1969) as beef, dual purpose and dairy. The beef breeds were Aberdeen Angus, Belted Galloway, Beef Shorthorn, British Charolais, Devon, Galloway, Hereford, Highland, Longhorn, Luing Sussex; the dual purpose breeds were British White, Dairy Shorthorn Dexter, Lincoln Red, Red Poll, South Devon, Shetland and Welsh Black; dairy breeds were Ayrshire, British Friesian, Guernsey, Jersey, Kern and Red and White Friesian.

Each breed was represented by about 12 females from 6 sires Calves were purchased at a few weeks of age over a ten year period. were housed throughout the experimental period. Young calves were fee whole milk in proportion to body weight up till weaning at 12 weeks of are They were then fed ad libitum on a complete pelleted diet (AA6) which had digestibility of 660g/kg, a metabolisable energy of 10.0 MJ/kg and a cruce protein of 137g/kg in the dry matter. The dry matter was 860g/kg Animals were fed through a system of Calan-Broadbent electronic gates that individual food intake could be measured. Body weight and foor intake were recorded at two-weekly intervals. Females were mated by and each purebred foundation female was scheduled to produce four calves one purebred and three crossbred. The breeding programme was a particular 3x3x3 factorial diallel design where each breed was allocated to one of three levels for body weight, growth rate and milk yield. A description of the crossing design has been given by Taylor (1976). At a later stars the number of crossbred types scheduled from breeds of intermediate group rate categories were reduced and eventually 56 different crossbred types with 48 reciprocal crosses and 25 purebreds were produced. The Charolais breed as a later addition was included only as a purebred. The progen were reared under the same experimental system up to a specified slaupter age of 24. 48 or 72 weeks. After slaughter one side of each carcass was dissected into its component tissues of muscle, fat and bone. The number of animals in each slaughter group is given in Table 1. All females regardless of breed type were machine milked through a herringbone parlow and milk yield and composition were estimated weekly. A full description of the experiment is given by Thiessen, Hnizdo, Maxwell, Gibson and Taylor (1984).

An ancillary study on efficiency of maintenance was carried out with two beef breeds (Hereford and Angus), two dairy breeds (Friesian and Jersey) and one dual purpose breed (Dexter). Each breed was represented by four unrelated adult animals that were non-pregnant and non-lactating One animal from each breed was assigned to a specified feeding level of Addiet so as to reach specified equilibrium body weights that were 0.7, 0.4 1.1 and 1.3 times a standard adult body weight (A). Standard body weight was taken as being the mature weight of an animal containing an estimate 20% lipid in the body. The specified equilibrium body weights were associated with target condition scores of 0.5, 2.0, 3.5 and 5.0 as given by Lowman. Scott and Somerville (1976).

To attain equilibrium body weights, animals were brought to their to about the fed at constant levels from 6 months to a year to that animals had reached a true equilibrium. The constant for the feat animals had reached a true equilibrium. target weights and had reached a true equilibrium. The feeding levels ensure that animate the equilibrium. The feeding levels maintenance (f_m) were initially based on Taylor and Young's (1968) for usely size-scaled formula of for maintenance (im/ nor e initially ba

$$f_{\rm m} = E_{\rm m}^{-1} W_{\rm T} A^{-0.27}$$

the maintenance requirement, E_m^{-1} , the reciprocal of maintenance was taken to be 0.70 MJ per unit body weight (W_T) scaled by weight A to the power - 0.27. When it became approach that the day M_{relative} at the power - 0.27. When it became apparent that this weight A to the power - 0.27. When it became apparent that this dult weight did not lead to equilibrium body weights across all breeds, a relationship adient was introduced to allow for a differential maintenance requirement between beef and dairy breeds. The study on maintenance requirements been described in more detail by Taylor, Thiessen and Murray (1986).

TABLE 1

Number of purebred and crossbred animals slaughtered at each age.

e foi i ta second		50 ()	the level	1.15
Туре	24	Age 48	(weeks) 72	Total
Purebreds	40	45	57	142
Crossbreds	72	89	94	255
Total	112	134	151	397

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

fronth, food intake and food efficiency

Data were analysed over the rapid growth phase from 12 to 72 weeks Isimilar in age range to an 18-month beef production system). The overall Mean body weight curve was slightly sigmoid in form with growth rate reaching its maximum between 6 and 9 months of age. Daily food intake increased rapidly up to about 30 weeks of age but thereafter at a Frogressively slower rate. Food efficiency declined continuously with increasing age and weight.

Breed mean curves for body weight and cumulated intake were markably regular and ranked in approximately the same order. The tween-breed inter-age correlations of body weight with body weight, cumulated intake with cumulated intake and body weight with cumulated intake were all very high (Thiessen, 1985) so that breed rankings could be readily predicted at later ages from measurements taken at young ages.

Variation among breeds as a proportion of the total variation estimated as the intraclass correlation (t^2) and is given in Table 2 body weight, cumulated intake and cumulated efficiency. For both weight and cumulated intake most of the variation was among breeds and proportion increased with age up to about one year, but thereas gradually plateaued. Genetic changes in body weight and food intake would therefore be most readily made by breed substitution and this would be assessed by comparisons beyond one year of age.

TABLE 2

The intraclass correlation $(t^2)^+$ estimating between-breed variation as a proportion of the total variation for body weight, cumulated intake and cumulated efficiency from 12 to 72 weeks of age.

Age (weeks)	Body weight	Cumulated intake	Cumulated efficiency
12	0.44		Section 1
24	0.47	0.25	0.10
36	0.59	0.44	0.11
48	0.68	0.54	0.22
60	0.70	0.58	0.22
72	0.71	0.62	0.15

+ Standard errors were about 0.07

The proportion of variation among breeds for food efficiency we considerably less, being at most about 20%. However, breed differences in food efficiency were significant and as genetic variation among breeds is more accessible than genetic variation within breeds, between-breed selection would be of value prior to within-breed selection as discussed Thiessen, Taylor and Murray (1985). When breeds were grouped by type into dairy, dual purpose and beef there were no significant differences in these sample groupings for body weight or cumulated intake over successive intervals from 12 to 72 weeks of age (Table 3). For cumulated efficiency there were significant differences among breed type from 12 to 60 weeks of age but for cun more ef

> Leas body age

Body Dair Dual Beef

Cumul: Dair; Dual

Beef

Cumul Dair Dual

Beef

Π.

been fr

two dan breed o level

Gregor

compar

weight

in the

points. in this

animal:

but not over other intervals. The trend of the least squares means for cumulated food efficiency (Table 3) suggests that beef breeds may be for efficient for live weight gain than dairy or dual purpose breeds.

TABLE 3

Least squares means⁺ of dairy, dual purpose and beef breeds for body weight, cumulated intake and cumulated efficiency over the age range of 12 to 72 weeks.

			A G IBA I			
	Age (weeks)					
11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.	12	24	36	48	60	72
Body weight (kg) Dairy Dual Purpose Beef	75 81 79	130 138 131	206 215 206	273 282 277	330 341 340	387 399 395
Cumulated intake (kg) Dairy Dual purpose Beef		302 313 298	857 870 831	1523 1534 1476	2233 2267 2189	2969 3008 2939
Cumulated efficiency (%) Dairy Dual purpose Beef		18.3 18.3 17.5	15.2 15.5 15.4	13.0 13.2 13.5	11.4 11.5 12.0	10.4 10.5 10.8

* Standard errors were approximately 5.6%, 4.6% and 1.8% of the means for body weight, cumulated intake and cumulated efficiency respectively

Other studies comparing breeds for food efficiency have mainly been from crossbred progeny from a number of sire breeds crossed to one or two dam breeds. Southgate, Cook and Kempster (1982 a and b) reported sire reed differences in food conversion efficiency when compared at a constant level of subcutaneous fat in the carcass. Smith, Laster, Cundiff and Gregory (1976) and Cundiff, Koch, Gregory and Smith (1981) compared a unber of sire breeds crossed to Hereford and Angus dams. Their comparisons of food efficiency in the progeny were made over a constant wight gain interval, at a constant age, and at a constant level of fatness is the carcass. There were sire breed differences at all three end monts, but the minimum variation was at a constant age, the criterion used in this study, when the number of days maintenance was equivalent for all minute.

Carcass composition

An analysis of variance of the partial factorial diallel designs showed highly significant breed and sex effects on the proportion muscle, fat and bone at all three ages of 24, 48 and 72 weeks (Table There was also a significant heterosis effect on the proportion of musc and bone at 24 weeks of age and on the proportion of bone at 48 weeks age. Parity showed a significant effect on composition at 24 and 48 we but this may have been due in part to some confounding with breed effect The interaction terms in heterosis and in reciprocal differences were significant and have not been included in the ANOVA table of mean square

near

was

simi

be b

30 22 200

TABLE 4

ANOVA mean squares and degrees of freedom for carcass traits at three ages.

295 366 (Marco) / 395 306	Sex	Parity	Breed	Heterosis	Residual
df	1	3	24	1	23+
	1985 198	0.0	313		
Age 24 weeks Muscle (%)	97***	17.8*	16.5***	40.0**	1. 6
Fat (%)	208***	6.8	14.3**	1.1	4.6
	200***	3.0	5.4	26.0**	4.5
Bone (%)	22*	3.0	5.4	20.0**	2.9
Age 48 weeks					
Muscle (%)	1500***	8.2	19.3**	8.6	7.3
Fat (%)	2277***	30.8**	29.4***	31.9	8.7
Bone (%)	76***	6.8**	4.0***	7.7*	1.4
20110 ();;	MR P Long	WALL TYA A	a fa tu a fi an		
Age 72 weeks					
Muscle (%)	2869***	1.5	50.2***	6.3	12.0
Fat (%)	4393***	1.9	77.7***	27.9	16.9
Bone (%)	158***	0.8	6.6***	7.2	1.9
20110 (10)			the second had		

+ Residual df were 29 at age 48 weeks and 42 at 72 weeks.

The overall least squares means adjusted to a male Hereford first parity carcass are given for carcass composition traits at three ages Table 5. Muscle and bone as a proportion of the carcass declined with increasing age and weight, while the proportion of fat increase correspondingly. Males had significantly more muscle and bone and less fat than females and these sex differences increased with age.

266

There was considerable variation amongst the estimated breed At 72 weeks of age the range was from 67% to 52% muscle with a At 72 weeks of 16% to 13% bone and 17% to 36% fat. The variation considerable within each of the three yield types which had us also overall means. There was a trend for the muscle to bone ratio to similar overall means.

TABLE 5

Least squares means for sex and heterosis effects on carcass traits at 24, 48 and 72 weeks of age.

1035 201	Overall+ mean	se	Sex M-F	se	Heterosis	se
Age 24 weeks Muscle (%) Fat (%) Bone (%)	61.6 15.2 22.4	1.7 1.7 1.4	2.4 -3.0 0.6		1.5 -0.2 -1.2	0.6 0.5 0.4
Age 48 weeks Muscle (%) Fat (%) Bone (%)	61.4 21.0 17.2	1.6 1.9 0.7	6.4 -8.1 1.6	0.5 0.6 0.2	-0.6 1.2 -0.6	0.6 0.7 0.3
Age 72 weeks Muscle (%) Fat (%) Bone (%)	57.9 27.6 14.7	2.0 2.5 0.9	8.8 -10.8 2.0	0.5 0.7 0.2	-0.5 1.0 -0.5	0.5 0.7 0.3

* Adjusted to first parity Hereford male.

Lastation traits

Dairy, dual purpose and beef breeds were compared over one to three lactations for lactation length and measures of yield and milk composition. Only lactations over 100 days were included and data for actations longer than 301 days were truncated at that length. Least wares means for the three yield types are given in Table 6. Dairy reeds on average lactated for 50% longer than beef breeds. They had index three times as high with average daily yields and peak yields about the as high. Dual purpose breeds were intermediate between beef and any types for these traits. Peak yields for all three types were about the at about 7.5 weeks, two weeks later than dual purpose or beef breeds. Intere yield types were on average similar in percentage protein but the stended to have a higher percentage of fat.

TABLE 6

Least squares means for lactation traits in dairy, dual purpose and beef breeds.

A Card		4-44514 - 13-5					
a PARING Stad China ang baya	es estates	ang tri		-			
(iii) czosow – spo – ¹⁰	5 8308	Dairy	se	Dual Purpose	se	Beef	se
Length (weeks)		39	1.6	32	1.4	26	1.5
Yield (kg)		3248	280	1963	251	1035	258
Yield/day (kg)		11.7	0.9	8.2	0.8	5.6	0.8
Peak yield/day	(kg)	18.1	1.3	13.0	1.1	9.2	1.2
Time of peak yield (weeks)		7.5	0.5	5.5	0.5	5.5	0.5
Fat (%)		4.4	0.1	4.1	0.1	4.2	0.1
Protein (%)		3.4	0.1	3.4	0.1	3.5	0.1

+ Lactations less than 14 weeks were excluded and those greater than 43 weeks truncated.

Maintenance efficiency in mature cattle

A least squares analysis of maintenace efficiency for the five breeds and four feeding levels showed highly significant breed effects but only marginal significance for feeding level. The two beef breeds. Hereford and Angus had a higher maintenance efficiency than the Deter which was more efficient than the two dairy breeds, Friesian and Jerser (Table 7). The breed differences were consistent across feeding levels. The feeding level differences did not show a clear trend and it appeared that the longer the animals were at equilibrium weights and food intakes the lower were the deviations due to feeding level.

Maintenance efficiency was then examined in relation to the genetic potential of a breed for milk yield taken as Y/A, where Y is the lactation yield of energy corrected milk and A the standard mature weight of the breed. The respective estimates of Y/A for the Hereford, Aberder Angus, Dexter, British Friesian and Jersey were 1.2, 1.6, 6.5, 7.8 and 8.5 The regression of breed maintenance efficiency, E_{m} , on the genetic potential of a breed for milk yield, Y/A was highly significant.

resret

where

en Y/I

Thus :

predi

OV

ef

over

Heat

1.56

yiel

comp expent to en be ef

main

patt agre to s

Furt

vari

ERC.e

repression equation was

$$E_m = 1.78 - 0.043$$
 Y/A

the standard error of the regression coefficient was ± 0.007 and the more than of variation explained by breed differences in Y/A was 0.71.

The corresponding regression equation of maintenance requirement

$$E_m^{-1} = 0.561 + 0.017Y/A$$

it appears that the maintenance requirement of any breed can be redicted from estimates of its mature size and potential milk yield.

TABLE 7

Overall mean and breed deviations in equilibrium maintenance efficiency (kg body weight maintained per MJ of ME per metabolic day) of mature cattle.

Overall Mean	Hereford	Aberdeen Angus	Dexter	British Friesian	Jersey	
1.56±0.05	0.15±.04	0.14±0.05	0.03 <u>+</u> 0.05	-0.18 <u>+</u> 0.04	-0.15±0.05	

A review of the literature by Taylor, Thiessen and Murray (1986) pelded a wide scatter of estimates of maintenance efficiency (or intenance requirement). When these were examined more closely and comparisons made among mature animals within the same type of feeding periment, it was found that estimates among dairy breeds were similar to each other but were different from those for beef breeds, while dairy x ter crossbreds were intermediate. Comparisons of estimates of intenance efficiency in growing cattle were also seen to follow a similar term. The overall estimate from the literature was in very good rement with the present estimate. Beef cattle would therefore appear that an themselves about 20% more efficiently than dairy cattle. There analysis of published estimates suggested that most of the set of the efficiency (k) with which breeds utilized their term anintenance.

REFERENCES

- CUNDIFF, L.V., KOCH, R.M., GREGORY, K.E. and SMITH, Characterisation of biological types of cattle. Postweaning growth and feed efficiency of steers. 53: 332-346.
- LOW MAN, B.G., SCOTT, N. and SOMERVILLE, S. 1976. Condition scoring of cattle. <u>Revised ed. Bull. E. Scotl. Coll. Agric. No. 6</u>.
- MASON, I.L. 1969. A world dictionary of livestock breeds, types and commonwelath Agricultural Bureaux 268pp.

RO

100

10

fel

bet 10 3)

20

88

CR RN RI

31

27

21

- SMITH, G.M., LASTER, D.B., CUNDIFF, L.V. and GREGORY, K.E. 1976. Characterisation of biologiogical types of cattle. In Postweaning growth and feed efficiency of steers. J. Anim. Sci 43: 37-47.
- SOUTHGATE, J.R., COOK, G.L. and KEMPSTER, A.J. 1982a. A comaprison of the progeny of British Friesian dams and different sire breeds in 16- and 24-month beef production systems. 1. Live-weight gain and efficiency of food utilisation. <u>Anim.Prod.</u> 34: 155-166.
- SOUTHGATE, J.R., COOK, G.L. and KEMPSTER, A.J. 1982b. A comparison of different breeds and crosses from the suckler herd. 2. Liveweight growth and efficiency of food utilisation. <u>Anim. Prod.</u> 3: 87-98.
- TAYLOR, ST. C.S. 1976. Crossbreeding design of ABRO's multibreed experiment. In Crossbreeding Experiments and Strategy of Beer Utilisation to increase Beef Production (Ed. I.L. Mason and Ma Pabst) pp. 377-398. Commission of the European Communities Luxembourg.
- TAYLOR, ST. C.S., THIESSEN, R.B. and MURRAY, J. 1986. Inter-breed relationship of maintenance efficiency to milk yield in cattle. <u>Anim. Prod.</u> In press.
- TAYLOR, ST. C.S. and YOUNG, G.B. 1968. Equilibrium weight in relation to food intake and genotype in twin cattle. <u>Anim. Prod.</u> 10: 393-412.
- THIESSEN, R.B. 1985. Inter-age correlations of body weight, weight gain and food intake within and between breeds of cattle. Anim Prod. 40: 23-32.
- THIESSEN, R.B., HNIZDO, EVA, MAXWELL, D.A.G., GIBSON, D. and TAYLOR, St. C.S. 1984. Multibreed comparisons of British cattle. Variation in body weight, growth rate and food intake. Anim Prod. 38: 323-340.
- THIESSEN, R.B., TAYLOR, ST. C.S. and MURRAY, J. 1985. Multibreed comparisons of British cattle. Variation in relative growth rate, relative food intake and food conversion efficiency. Anim Prod. 41: 193-199.