## University of Nebraska - Lincoln

# DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production

Animal Science Department

1986

# Characterization of Milk Constituents of *Bos Taurus* and *Bos Indicus* × *Bos Taurus* Breed Types

Donald R. Daley University of Nevada - Reno

Anne McCuskey University of Nevada - Reno

Curtis M. Bailey University of Nevada - Reno

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/wcgalp

Part of the Animal Sciences Commons

Daley, Donald R.; McCuskey, Anne; and Bailey, Curtis M., "Characterization of Milk Constituents of *Bos Taurus* and *Bos Indicus* × *Bos Taurus* Breed Types" (1986). *3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production*. 37. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/wcgalp/37

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in 3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

### CHARACTERIZATION OF MILK CONSTITUENTS OF BOS TAURUS AND BOS INDICUS X BOS TAURUS BREED TYPES

DONALD R. DALEY<sup>1</sup>, ANNE MCCUSKEY<sup>1</sup>, and CURTISS M. BAILEY<sup>1</sup>, USA

#### SUMMARY

Milk from eight <u>Bos taurus</u> and <u>Bos indicus X Bos</u> <u>taurus</u> breed (n=128) was evaluated for percentage butterfat, protein, <u>lactose</u> and <u>solution</u> non-fat. Milk samples were collected at approximately 60, 105, and after the onset of lactation by hand-milking the left front quarter follow a 30-IU injection of oxytocin. Breed type variation was significant for milk component traits at each stage of lactation. Brahman X Angus dams creased in component yields (kg) as lactation progressed; production <u>level</u> other breed types remained approximately the same or declined. Sex of influenced (P<.05) yield (kg) of protein, butterfat and solids-non-fat lo5 d only. Mastitis effects caused a reduction (P<.01) in percentage weaning weight were all positive and significant.

#### INTRODUCTION

Research with beef cattle of European origin has demonstrated the tance of breed variation in milk yield of dams, and that the amount of produced at various stages of lactation has a strong influence on calf rate during the preweaning period (Neville, 1962, Notter et al., 1977) Mondragon et al., 1983). Although zebu-cross cattle are used extensively the United States and in other countries throughout the world, there paucity of information concerning milk composition of zebu-type dams. Data milk characteristics of zebu-cross dams are needed to develop breeding states gies for commercial beef herds. The objectives of the present study were compare butterfat, protein, lactose and solids-non-fat content of milk divergent beef breed types, including <u>Bos indicus</u> crosses, and to determine the effect of these components on calf weaning weight.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at the University of Nevada Main Station Field Laboratory, Reno as a part of a long-term, complete life-cycle experiment Eight dam breed types, including Hereford, Red Poll, Hereford X Red Poll, Mereford, Angus X Hereford, Angus X Charolais, Brahman X Hereford, Brahman X Angus were evaluated. Four cow age groups (7 to 10 yr) represent the eight breed types were used. All cows were bred to Limousin sizes of calved from late February to mid-April, 1985. A complete description of the genetic background of these dams and management practices was presented by an et al., (1982).

Milk samples were collected during the months of May, July, and Aura-1985, at approximately 60, 105, and 150 d, respectively, after the onset lactation. Sampling times were identified as Cycle I, II, and III respecively. Cows were separated from their calves for 4.5 to 7 h following colletion of milk yield data by the weigh-suckle-weigh method. Samples were lected by hand-milking the left front quarter completely after a 30-IV intermuscular injection of oxytocin.

Department of Animal Science, University of Nevada-Reno, Reno, NV 89557-554

202

Cer Mil A s Dai mil

int cyc bre ind

cyc. Angi Here mill

were high that and

and Brah dail

and

posi

yield non-i calve tein

prote

solid tein Brahm

solid

the s

howev (PC.0

calf .

Milk samples were sent in refrigerated containers to the California Milk samples A Laboratory where they were analysed by an Infra-red Fause Valley DHIA Laboratory nere they were analysed by an Infra-red Fause for butterfat, protein, lactose, and solids-pon-fet Valley putt fat, protein, lactose, and solids-non-fat percentage. Nilko Scan for buckets was performed to determine which cows had mastitis. A scenaric cell-count yields were estimated by multiplying the 24-h corrected mathematic component. were analysed by least-second by least-second

yield by the readysed by least-squares procedures (Harvey, 1979). Data were analysed separately. Terms in the model worse day, 1979). Cycle steractions were significant in profiling analyses; hence, data from each external e sex of calf and mastitis; calf age was included as a continuous, breed, sex of calle. Results of preliminary analyses indicated that firstrefer interactions between these effects were not significant.

#### RESULTS

CYCLE I. Table 1 shows mean squares for milk component traits for each Daily lactose production differed significantly among breed types. true X Hereford dams were highest (P<.05) for this component, while Brahman X reford and Brahman X Angus were lowest (table 3). Breed effects for other tilk traits were nonsignificant.

Sex effects were not important (P>.05) for any of the milk traits that were evaluated in the first cycle. Mastitis effects, however, proved to be highly significant for percentage of lactose, butterfat and protein. Dams that had mastitis in the tested quarter had a higher percentage of butterfat protein in their milk but percentage of lactose decreased. Calf age had a positive effect (P<.05) upon percentage of lactose.

Breed effects were highly significant for percentage protein CYCLE II. mi solids-non-fat, and for kg of lactose. Hereford, Brahman X Hereford, and trahman X Angus dams had the highest percentage of butterfat but the lowest ally yield. These three breed types also excelled in percentage of protein and solids-non-fat (table 2). Angus X Charolais dams had the highest daily wield in lactose; Brahman X Hereford were the lowest.

Dams with bull calves produced more (P<.05) total butterfat and solidsnon-fat per day but less protein when compared with cows that raised heifer calves. Mastitis was a significant source of variation in percentage of protwin and lactose, and kg of protein. Dams with mastitis yielded (kg) more protein in their milk but lactose percentage decreased. Calf age was dimificant for percentage of solids-non-fat having a positive effect.

CYCLE III. Breed effects were significant for kg protein, kg lactose and solids-non-fat. Brahman X Angus and Angus X Charolais yielded the most protein (kg), while Hereford dams produced the least amount of protein per day. Brahman X Angus and Angus X Charolais also produced the most kg lactose and kg milds-non-fat on a daily basis. Hereford and Brahman X Hereford dams yielded the smallest amount of these components per day.

Sex of calf did not affect any of the milk traits in the last cycle; beever, dams that had mastitis in the tested quarter produced less lactose (P<.01). Percentage of protein and solids-non-fat increased (P<.05) with talf age but yield (kg) of lactose decreased.

#### DISCUSSION

Orcl

Cycle

-

Overall butterfat percentages for the three cycles were higher than estimated by Chenette and Frahm (1981) and Jeffery and Berg (1971). The be due to differences between experiments in breed types, milk sampling dures, stage of lactation, and (or) seasonal effects. Cows in the study were separated from their calves for 4.5 to 7 h after a weigh weigh test that followed a 14-h separation. Chenette and Frahm reported that the estimates for all milk traits decreased as the lengt time of separation increased.

time of separation increased. Protein percentages were lower than estimates given for similar types by Butson and Berg (1984), but similar to estimates of Chenette Frahm (1981) for kg of protein. Lactose was similar in percentage but in kg yield than values reported by Butson and Berg in 1984.

in kg yield than values reported by an array of the same level, or decreased in component yields as lactation gressed, and they had the highest yield (kg) in the final cycle for all traits. Component yields for dams of other breed types remained at array mately the same level, or decreased at later stages of lactation.

Residual correlations between percentages of milk constituents weaning weight were not significant except for solids-non-fat in Cycle Daily yields (kg) of milk components, however, were significantly correlawith weaning weight, with correlation coeficients ranging from .21 to These estimates were slightly higher than those observed by Chenette and (1981), and indicate that some of the variation in calf weaning weight and accounted for by differences in milk component yields.

#### REFERENCES

Butson, S. and R. T. Berg. 1984. Lactation performance of me beef and dairy-beef cows. <u>Can. J. Anim. Sci. 64</u>:253.

Butson, S. and R. T. Berg. 1984. Factors influencing latter performance of range beef and dairy-beef cows. <u>Can. J. Anim. Sci. 64</u> Chenette, C. G. and R. R. Frahm. 1981. Yield and composition of mill for various two-breed cross cows. <u>J. Anim. Sci. 52</u>:483.

Dow, J. S., J. D. Moore, C. M. Bailey and W. D. Foote. 1982. Onset of puber

in heifers of diverse beef breeds and crosses. J. Anim. Sci. 5511 Harvey, W. R. 1979. Least-squares analysis of data with unequal subconumbers. USDA, AR, SEA.

Jeffery, H. B. and R. T. Berg. 1971. Evaluation of milk variables measures of milk effect on preweaning performance of beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 51:21.

Mondragon, I., J. W. Wilton, O. B. Allen and H. Song. 1983. Stage of late tion effects, repeatabilities and influences on weaning weights, of run and composition in beef cattle. <u>Can. J. Anim. Sci.</u> 63:751.

Neville, W. E., Jr. 1962. Influence of dam's milk production and other for tors on 120-and 240-day weight of Hereford calves. J. Anim. Sci. 2007

Notter, D. R., L. V. Cundiff, G. M. Smith, D. B. Laster and K. E. Greger 1978. Characterization of biological types of cattle. VII. Milk protetion in young cows and transmitted and maternal effects on prevent growth of progeny. J. Anim. Sci. 46:908.

210

	==========					=======
***************	Year	Dam Breed	Calf Sex	Mastitis	Calf Age F	Residual
Item	ICur	al approx	a mar		1.15	
Cycle 1 TBFat TProtein TLactose TSNF kg BFat kg Protein kg Lactose kg SNF <sup>a</sup>	9.851** 0.803* 1.132** 0.408 0.797 0.300** 0.174 0.988	3.090 0.276 0.074 0.416 0.616 0.060 0.378* 0.804	0.849 0.232 0.016 0.516 0.398 0.048 0.277 0.682	8.458* 0.665* 6.087** 0.129 0.711 0.107 0.383 0.011	$1.590 \\ 0.349 \\ 0.822 \\ 0.004 \\ 0.181 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.010 \\ 0.032$	1.839 0.136 0.177 0.203 0.354 0.047 0.154 0.390
Cycle 2 TBFat TProtein TLactose TSNF kg BFat kg Protein kg Lactose kg SNF <sup>a</sup>	5.032* 0.824** 0.207 0.859** 2.042** 0.372** 0.844** 2.654**	2.901 0.306** 0.043 0.456** 0.068 0.332* 0.664	0.866 0.030 0.142 0.012 1.231* 0.215* 0.427 1.670*	0.727 1.018** 4.263** 0.000 0.833 0.231* 0.067 0.359	$\begin{array}{c} 3.367 \\ 1.008 \\ 0.024 \\ 1.572** \\ 0.243 \\ 0.041 \\ 0.027 \\ 0.020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.505 \\ 0.100 \\ 0.100 \\ 0.147 \\ 0.304 \\ 0.044 \\ 0.139 \\ 0.363 \end{array}$
Cycle 3 IBFat IProtein ILactose ISNF kg BFat kg Protein kg Lactose kg SNF <sup>a</sup>	2.363 0.587** 0.160 1.359** 0.072 0.042 0.145 0.324	0.699 0.150 0.147 0.312 0.434 0.190* 0.533** 1.479**	3.208 0.018 0.003 0.022 0.351 0.003 0.003 0.002	0.201 0.334 2.875** 0.168 0.162 0.026 0.110 0.000	1.553 0.532* 0.033 1.120* 0.496 0.100 0.678* 1.417	1.864 0.109 0.147 0.182 0.330 0.074 0.166 0.520

# TABLE 1. MEAN SQUARES OF MILK COMPONENTS

<sup>a</sup>Mean Squares are multiplied by 10. \*P<.05. \*\*P<.01.</pre>

Item	Mu	Hereford	Red Poll	Х	Red Poll X Hereford	X	Angus X Charolais	Brahman X Hereford	Brahman X Angus
Butterfat									
Cycle 1	6.21	6.60	6.16	5.80	6.38	6.49	6.02	6.80	5.44
Cycle 2	5.63	6.23	5.36	5.25	5.37	5.40	5.21	6.32	5.91
Cycle 3	5.94	6.14	5.93	5.96	5.93	5.65	5.81	6.35	5.76
Protein									
Cycle 1	3.12	3.19	3.01	3.02	3.14	2.92	3.13	3.34	3.20
Cycle 2	2.97	3.20	2.77	2.95	3.01	2.81	2.84	3.09	3.05
Cycle 3	3.39	3.49	3.22	3.42	3.52	3.27	3.37	3.42	3.40
Lactose									
Cycle 1	5.00	5.08	5.09	4.96	5.00	5.05	5.00	4.98	4.88
Cycle 2	5.15	5.10	5.24	5.19	5.12	5.17	5.11	5.18	5.10
Cycle 3	5.04	4.96	5.15	5.09	5.06	4.84	5.09	5.07	5.08
SNF									
Cycle 1	8.89	9.04	8.80	8.70	8.95	8.73	8.87	9.19	8.83
Cycle 2	8.76	9.03	8.57	8.74	8.77	8.59	8.56	8.98	8.84
Cycle 3	9.19	9.26	9.07	9.26	9.34	8.91	9.18	9.32	9.22

TABLE 2. LEAST-SQUARES MEANS FOR MILK COMPONENT PERCENTAGES ACCORDING TO BREED TYPE

Item	Mu	Hereford	Red Poll	Х	Red Poll X Hereford	x	Angus X Charolais	Brahman X Hereford	Brahman X Angus
T COM THU	nororord	Red Toll	neu rorr	nereroru	mercroru	Shar Ordin	nerciord	mgus	
Butterfat									
Cycle 1	0.538	0.530	0.552	0.504	0.614	0.625	0.550	0.496	0.433
Cycle 2	0.508	0.512	0.506	0.515	0.524	0.475	0.543	0.492	0.500
Cycle 3	0.482	0.375	0.517	0.496	0.501	0.428	0.528	0.476	0.534
Ductoin									
Protein	0.267	0 250	0 267	0.262	0 205	0.20/	0 200	0 005	0 250
Cycle 1	0.267	0.258	0.267	0.263	0.295	0.284	0.286	0.235	0.250
Cycle 2	0.263	0.258	0.254	0.287	0.282	0.243	0.288	0.235	0.255
Cycle 3	0.267	0.205	0.285	0.279	0.285	0.238	0.299	0.238	0.309
Lactose									
Cycle 1	0.430	0.412	0.450	0.433	0.467	0.498	0.454	0.346	0.377
Cycle 2	0.458	0.406	0.483	0.499	0.485	0.452	0.523	0.387	0.430
Cycle 3	0.401	0.290	0.454	0.414	0.406	0.372	0.458	0.351	0.465
ONE									
SNF	0 744	0 700	0 700	0 700	0.0/1	0.054	0.000	0 (17	0 (07
Cycle 1	0.764	0.732	0.782	0.762	0.841	0.854	0.809	0.647	0.687
Cycle 2	0.779	0.725	0.790	0.845	0.829	0.749	0.872	0.680	0.742
Cycle 3	0.728	0.544	0.797	0.757	0.755	0.664	0.821	0.650	0.840

TABLE 3. LEAST-SQUARES MEANS FOR MILK COMPONENT YIELD (KG) ACCORDING TO BREED TYPE