University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology

Entomology, Department of

1999

Median Lethal Concentration and Efficacy of Bacillus Thuringiensis Against Banded Sunflower Moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)

Jawahar L. Jyoti North Dakota State University, jawahar.jyoti@ndsu.edu

Gary J. Brewer University of Nebraska-Lincoln, gbrewer2@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub



Part of the Entomology Commons

Jyoti, Jawahar L. and Brewer, Gary J., "Median Lethal Concentration and Efficacy of Bacillus Thuringiensis Against Banded Sunflower Moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)" (1999). Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology. 222.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub/222

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Entomology, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Median Lethal Concentration and Efficacy of *Bacillus Thuringiensis*Against Banded Sunflower Moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)

JAWAHAR L. JYOTI AND GARY J. BREWER

Department of Entomology, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58105

J. Econ. Entomol. 92(6): 1289-1291 (1999)

ABSTRACT This study was conducted to determine dose-mortality response of the banded sunflower moth, *Cochylis hospes* Walsingham, to *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner and its effect on sunflower seed damage and yield. Of *B. thuringiensis* products tested, Cutlass AF and Javelin WG had the lowest LC₅₀ values and highest slopes and relative potencies for *C. hospes*. Javelin WG was superior to the other *B. thuringiensis* materials tested and to Asana XL in preventing seed damage. Sunflower heads sprayed with Javelin WG had higher yields than sunflower heads sprayed with Asana XL or the other *B. thuringiensis* products tested. Asana XL is a standard chemical insecticide and is considered to give good control of banded sunflower moth. As an alternative to Asana XL, any of the *B. thuringiensis* products tested could be employed for control of *C. hospes* larvae and to reduce the impact on beneficials in sunflower fields.

KEY WORDS Cochylis hospes, Helianthus annuus, Bacillus thuringiensis

THE BANDED SUNFLOWER MOTH, Cochylis hospes Walsingham, is an important economic pest of cultivated sunflower, Helianthus annuus L., in North America (Charlet et al. 1997). Early instars feed primarily on sunflower pollen and floral tissues, and 3rd and later instars cause most of the economic damage by feeding on seeds (Rogers 1978, Charlet and Gross 1990). Although insecticides are commonly used for sunflower insect pest management, their use can adversely affect natural enemies and pollinating insects (Rogers and Kreitner 1983). Bacillus thuringiensis Berliner variety kurstaki is a possible alternative to synthetic insecticides for controlling lepidopterous pests on sunflower. It is safe for predators and other nontarget insects (Lüthy et al. 1982) and does not cause environmental pollution. B. thuringiensis has been successfully used to control lepidopterous pests on a variety of crops (Falcon 1971, pp. 67–90), including sunflower moth, Homoeosoma electellum (Hulst) (Brewer 1991).

Reynolds (1960) urged the development of baseline dose-mortality curves for promising insecticides against pest species of significant economic importance before those species develop insecticide resistance. To determine median lethal concentrations (LC_{50}) of an insecticide against a susceptible insect population, probit units of percent mortality are customarily plotted against a logarithmic scale of dosages. This approach was first proposed by Bliss (1935).

The purpose of this study was to determine the median lethal concentration values (LC_{50}) of 7 B. thuringiensis products and to evaluate their efficacy compared with a chemical insecticide registered for control of the banded sunflower moth.

Materials and Methods

Bioassay Experiments. Banded sunflower moth larvae were obtained from a colony maintained in the USDA-ARS Bioscience Research Laboratory, Fargo, ND. The rearing procedure used to maintain the parental stock culture in the laboratory was described by Barker (1988). Seven commercial formulations of *B. thuringiensis* were tested. See Table 1 for the products and concentrations used.

The dose-mortality response of each *B. thuringiensis* formulation was tested by topically applying 150-µl aliquots of each concentration to the surface of artificial diet in 29.6 ml cups. Controls were treated with 150 μ l of distilled water. The solution was spread evenly over the diet surface (600 mm²). Diet was prepared as described by Vanderzant et al. (1962) and modified by Barker (1988). After the treated diet had air-dried, a single 1-d-old larva was placed in the center of each cup. Each concentration and the control were tested using 30 larvae. After the cups were closed, they were placed into plastic trays and held in a growth chamber at $28.5 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and a photoperiod of 14:10 (L:D) h. Larvae were allowed to feed on the treated diet for 72 h. On day 3, larval mortality was recorded. The complete series of tests were done

Field Experiments. Field trials were conducted at the North Dakota State University Research Farm Site, near Prosper, ND, during the sunflower growing seasons of 1995 and 1996. A four-row mechanical planter was used to plant sunflower hybrid '894' during the 4th wk of May. Plots were 4 rows wide by 10 m long, with 76.2 cm between rows. The plants were thinned at the two-leaf stage to ≈ 25 –30 cm apart

Table 1. B. thuringiensis products tested and concentrations used

B. thuringiensis products	Concentrations, $\mu g/\mu l$
Dipel 2X WP	$0.67 \times 10^{-2}, 0.61 \times 10^{-3}, 0.16 \times$
(wettable powder, Abbott,	10^{-3} , 0.55×10^{-4} , $0.21 \times$
North Chicago, IL)	10^{-4} , 0.81×10^{-5}
Javelin WG	0.67×10^{-2} , 0.61×10^{-3} , $0.16 \times$
(wettable granule, Sandoz,	10^{-3} , 0.55×10^{-4} , $0.21 \times$
Des Plaines, IL)	10^{-4} , 0.81×10^{-5}
Xen Tari	$0.1 \times 10^{1}, 0.91 \times 10^{-3}, 0.24 \times$
(water dispensable	10^{-3} , 0.83×10^{-4} , $0.31 \times$
granule, Abbott, Des	10^{-4} , 0.12×10^{-4}
Plaines, IL)	
Cutlass WP	0.87×10^{-2} , 0.79×10^{-3} , $0.21 \times$
(wettable powder,	10^{-3} , 0.72×10^{-4} , $0.27 \times$
Ecogen, Langhorne, PA)	10^{-4} , 0.11×10^{-4}
Thuricide AF	0.53×10^{1} , 0.48×10^{-2} , $0.13 \times$
(aqueous flowable,	10^{-2} , 0.44×10^{-3} , $0.17 \times$
Voluntary, Bonham, TX)	10^{-3} , 0.64×10^{-4}
Condor OF	0.87×10^{-2} , 0.79×10^{-3} , $0.21 \times$
(flowable, Ecogen,	10^{-3} , 0.72×10^{-4} , $0.27 \times$
Langhorne, PA)	10^{-4} , 0.11×10^{-4}
Cutlass AF	$0.21 \times 10^{-3}, 0.19 \times 10^{-2}, 0.52 \times$
(aqueous flowable,	10^{-3} , 0.18×10^{-3} , $0.67 \times$
Ecogen, Langhorne, PA)	10^{-4} , 0.26×10^{-4}

within the rows. The plots were equivalent to 47,000 plants per hectare. There was a 2.5-m fallow area between plots and 3.5-m fallow areas between blocks. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with 4 replications. Standard cultivation practices for this location were used to maintain the plants. When sunflower capitula were at bloom stage (R5.1–5.3, Schneiter and Miller 1981), insecticide treatments were applied using a tractor-mounted boom sprayer (40 psi, S-11004 nozzles, 1,700 rpm, 117 liters of $\rm H_2O/ha$).

At physiological maturity (R9), sunflower heads from 5.3 m of the 2 center rows of each plot were hand-harvested, oven-dried, hand-threshed, and the seeds were hand-cleaned by manually removing debris. Ten samples (100 seed each) were selected randomly from each plot and were evaluated for the percentage of banded sunflower moth damaged seeds. In addition, 10 samples (100 seed each) were selected randomly from each of the 4 replicates and weighed to determine seed yields per treatment.

Statistical Analysis. Dose-mortality response data from the bioassays were tested by probit analysis (LeOra Software 1987). POLO-PC uses Abbott's formula to incorporate control mortality (Abbott 1925). The chi-square value was used to measure the goodness-of-fit of the probit regression line to the points.

PROC univariate, residual analysis was used to check if the variables for the field data met assumptions of analysis of variance. Data were analyzed using the general linear model (SAS Institute 1995), and means were compared by using least significance difference least significant difference (P < 0.05).

Results and Discussion

Bioassay Experiments. Banded sunflower moth dose-mortality responses to the tested *B. thuringiensis* products are summarized in Table 2. The dose-mortality relationship of an insect to a toxin is typically expressed as an LC50 value, which is the toxin concentration required to kill 50% of the population in a specified period. The lower the LC₅₀ value, the greater the toxicity. Cutlass AF and Javelin WG (wettable granules) had the lowest LC₅₀ values, suggesting that they were more toxic to *C. hospes* larvae than Cutlass WP (wettable powder, Thuricide AF, and Condor OF with the highest LC₅₀ values. However, the LC₅₀ alone does not reveal an accurate picture of the total pathogenic effect. Insects respond to increasing doses of pathogenic organisms by increased infection and mortality, just as they respond to increased doses of insecticides (Metcalf and Luckman 1994). Therefore, the mortality effect of an insect pathogen on its host can be expressed as an LC_{50} value and can also be characterized by the slope of the log-probit curve.

The slope of the dose-mortality curve is a measure of variability in response to treatment within the insect population tested. As the value of the slope increases, mortality associated with changes in concentration increases. Conversely, as the value of slope decreases, less change in mortality is seen per unit change in concentration of the mortality agent. Insect pathogens, such as polyhedrosis viruses, have slopes of 0.86 or less, whereas insecticides, such as DDT, have slopes of 5.5 or greater (Metcalf and Luckman 1994). Insect pathogens that produce toxins, such as B. thuringiensis, are usually characterized by intermediate slopes of ≈2.58 (Bucher 1960, Burges and Thomson 1971). The slopes of the dose-mortality curves for Cutlass AF and Javelin WG were 2.02 and 1.07, respectively, suggesting that these products have some toxicity to the banded sunflower moth and do not rely, at least solely, on infection to cause mortality.

Relative potencies are used to compare the degree of effectiveness of various *B. thuringiensis* materials against a standard. We compared all the *B. thuringien*-

Table 2. Slopes, median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) values with fiducial limits (FL), and relative potency of B. thuringiensis products against 1st-instar C. hospes larvae (n=60)

B. thuringiensis products	Slope \pm SE	LC_{50} (95% FL), $\mu g/\mu l$	Relative potency
Dipel 2X WP	1.0572 ± 0.1565	$0.29 \times 10^{-3} \ (0.14 \times 10^{-3} - 0.58 \times 10^{-3})$	1.000
Javelin WG	1.0789 ± 0.1190	$0.08 \times 10^{-3} (0.04 \times 10^{-3} - 0.14 \times 10^{-3})$	3.748
Xen Tari	1.1849 ± 0.1312	$0.18 \times 10^{-3} \ (0.11 \times 10^{-3} - 0.29 \times 10^{-3})$	1.562
Cutlass WP	0.7506 ± 0.1024	$0.53 \times 10^{-3} \ (0.10 \times 10^{-3} - 0.92 \times 10^{-3})$	0.636
Thuricide AF	1.1079 ± 0.1210	$0.42 \times 10^{-3} (0.23 \times 10^{-3} - 0.76 \times 10^{-3})$	0.694
Condor OF	0.6388 ± 0.1622	$0.33 \times 10^{-3} \ (0.16 \times 10^{-3} - 0.67 \times 10^{-3})$	0.882
Cutlass AF	2.0259 ± 0.2550	$0.06 \times 10^{-3} \ (0.03 \times 10^{-3} - 0.11 \times 10^{-3})$	4.976

Table 3. Comparison of an insecticide and several commercial *B. thuringiensis* products tested against banded sunflower moth larvae for percent of damaged seed, seed weight, and seed yield in sunflower, Prosper, ND

Insecticides	Application rate (kg/ha)	% damaged seeds		1,000 seed wt, g		Seed yield (g/0.0025 ha)	
		1995	1996	1995	1996	1995	1996
Dipel 2X WP	1.1 kg/ha	9.1 ± 1.6b	$3.5 \pm 0.3d$	$36.2 \pm 0.2a$	$51.5 \pm 1.7a$	999.1 ± 65.7a	1,908.5 ± 135.9a
Javelin WG	1.7 kg/ha	$7.2 \pm 1.2b$	$3.1 \pm 0.4d$	$37.1 \pm 0.1a$	$52.6 \pm 3.4a$	$1,217.9 \pm 39.3a$	$2,403.2 \pm 338.7a$
Xen Tari TM	1.1 kg/ha	$8.6 \pm 0.9 b$	$5.8 \pm 0.5 bc$	$36.0 \pm 0.2a$	$54.0 \pm 3.2a$	$1.258.6 \pm 31.6a$	$1.912.2 \pm 97.5a$
Thuricide AF	4.2 liters/ha	$7.6 \pm 1.0 b$	$5.7 \pm 0.4 bc$	$36.7 \pm 0.2a$	$50.1 \pm 3.0a$	$1,124.8 \pm 39.6a$	$1,867.7 \pm 100.7a$
Condor OF	3.8 liters/ha	$7.3 \pm 1.0b$	$7.3 \pm 1.1b$	$33.3 \pm 0.1a$	$49.9 \pm 1.5a$	$1,055.3 \pm 24.3a$	$1,825.7 \pm 114.9a$
Asana XL	0.4 liters/ha	$7.1 \pm 1.3b$	4.6 ± 0.4 cd	$35.1 \pm 0.2a$	$51.9 \pm 2.5a$	$1.185.5 \pm 93.6a$	$1.926.2 \pm 172.8a$
Control	-	$14.3\pm0.8a$	$13.8\pm0.3a$	$39.5\pm0.2a$	$53.1 \pm 2.6a$	$1,172.5 \pm 99.7a$	$1,688.7 \pm 207.1a$

Column means followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P \le 0.05$, LSD).

sis formulations against Dipel WP 2X. A relative potency >1 indicates the product was more toxic to 1st-instar banded sunflower moth larvae than was Dipel WP 2X. Cutlass AF and Javelin WG had the highest relative potencies and were the most efficacious materials tested against *C. hospes* larvae.

Field Experiments. In general, the microbial and chemical insecticides were equally effective in limiting seed damage (Table 3). The one exception was sunflower treated with Condor OF in 1996, which had significantly more seed damage than the insecticide check, Asana XL. Insecticide treatments did not result in significant differences in seed weight and seed yields (Table 3) compared with controls for either vear. This may be caused by the relatively low infestation levels both years. However, in 1996, but not 1995, Javelin WG had higher, although not significantly higher, yields than either Asana XL or the other B. thuringiensis products tested. Asana XL, a chemical insecticide, is a standard insecticide used on sunflower and is considered to give good control of banded sunflower moth. Although as an alternative to Asana XL, any of the B. thuringiensis insecticides tested could be used, those with lowest LC50 values, and highest slopes and relative potencies would probably be most effective. The additional benefit from using *B. thurin*giensis is the absence of any adverse impact on natural enemies and insect pollinators.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate Laurence D. Charlet and Denise L. Olson for reviewing the earlier drafts of the manuscript. We thank Gene W. Schmidt for assisting with the laboratory and field experiments. This research was supported in part by USDA Grant No. 94-34133–0017.

References Cited

Abbott, W. S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18: 265–267.

Barker, J. F. 1988. Laboratory rearing of the banded sunflower moth, *Cochylis hospes* (Lepidoptera: Cochylidae). J. Kans. Entomol. Soc. 61: 350–352.

Bliss, C. I. 1935. The calculation of dose-mortality curve. Ann. Appl. Biol. 22: 134–167.

Brewer, G. J. 1991. Resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* subs. *kurstaki* in the sunflower moth (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Environ. Entomol. 20: 316–322. Bucher, G. E. 1960. Potential bacterial pathogens of insects and their characteristics. J. Insect Pathol. 2: 172–195.

Burges, H. D., and E. M. Thomson. 1971. Standardization and assay of microbial insecticides. In H. D. Burges and N. W. Hussey, [eds.], Microbial control of insects and mites. Academic, New York.

Charlet, L. D., and T. A. Gross. 1990. Bionomics and seasonal abundance of the banded sunflower moth (Lepidoptera: Cochylidae) on cultivated sunflower in the northern Great Plains. J. Econ. Entomol. 83: 135–141.

Charlet, L. D., G. J. Brewer, and B. A. Franzmann. 1997. Insect pests, pp. 183–261. In A. A. Schneiter [ed.] Sunflower technology and production. Agronomy Series 35. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.

Falcon, L. A. 1971. Use of bacteria for microbial control of insects. pp. 67–90. In H. D. Burges and N. W. Hussey [eds.], microbial control of insects and mites. Academic, New York.

LeOra Software. 1987. POLO-PC a user's guide to probit or logit analysis. LeOra Software, Berkeley, CA.

Lüthy, P., J.-L. Cordier, and H.-M. Fischer. 1982. Bacillus thuringiensis as a bacterial insecticide: basic considerations and application, pp. 35–74. In E. Kurstak [ed.], Microbial and viral pesticides. Marcel Dekker, New York.

Metcalf, R. L., and W. H. Luckman. 1994. Introduction to insect pest management, 3rd ed. Wiley, New York.

Reynolds, H. T. 1960. Standardized laboratory detection methods for resistance determination in agricultural pests. Misc. Publ. Entomol. Soc. Am. 1: 9-14.

Rogers, C. E. 1978. Entomology of indigenous *Helianthus* species and cultivated sunflowers, pp. 1–38. *In* M. K. Harris and C. E. Rogers [ed.], The entomology of indigenous and naturalized systems in agriculture. Westview, Boulder, CO.

Rogers, C. F., and G. L. Kreitner. 1983. Phytomelanin of sunflower achenes: a mechanism for pericarp resistance to abrasion by larvae of the sunflower moth (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Environ. Entomol. 12:277–285.

SAS Institute. 1995. SAS/STAT user's guide, version 6, 4th ed., vol. 2. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.

Schneiter, A. A., and J. F. Miller. 1981. Description of sunflower growth stages. Crop Sci. 21: 901–903.

Vanderzant, E. S., C. D. Richardson, and S. W. Fort, Jr. 1962. Rearing of the bollworm on artificial diet. J. Econ. Entomol. 55: 140.

Received for publication 1 March 1999; accepted 22 July 1999.