




brought to you by TCORE

ENTOMOLOGIA HELLENICA

Vol. 18, 2009



Wolbachia-induced reproductive parasitism and applications

Saridaki A. Department of Environmental

> and Natural Resources Management, University of

Ioannina

Bourtzis K. Department of Environmental

> and Natural Resources Management, University of

Ioannina

https://doi.org/10.12681/eh.11597

Copyright © 2017 A. Saridaki, K. Bourtzis



To cite this article:

Saridaki, A., & Bourtzis, K. (2009). Wolbachia-induced reproductive parasitism and applications. ENTOMOLOGIA HELLENICA, 18, 3-16. doi: https://doi.org/10.12681/eh.11597

Wolbachia-induced reproductive parasitism and applications

A. SARIDAKI AND K. BOURTZIS*

Department of Environmental and Natural Resources Management, University of Ioannina, 2 Seferi st., 30100 Agrinio, Greece

ABSTRACT

Insects have been reported to be associated with a broad variety of microorganisms, affecting the host biology in many different ways. Among them, *Wolbachia*, an obligatory intracellular and maternally-inherited symbiont, has recently attracted a lot of attention. Beside insects, *Wolbachia* are found in association with a wide variety of other invertebrate species, including mites, scorpions, spiders, crustaceans, filarial nematodes. Several surveys have indicated that *Wolbachia* may be symbiont of up to 70% of all insect species, rendering *Wolbachia* the most ubiquitous intracellular symbiotic organism on Earth. *Wolbachia*-host interactions range from many forms of reproductive parasitism to mutualistic symbioses. Different *Wolbachia* strains have been found to induce a number of reproductive alterations such as feminization, parthenogenesis, male-killing or cytoplasmic incompatibility. Despite their common occurrence and major effects on host biology, speciation and ecological diversity, little is known on the molecular mechanisms that mediate *Wolbachia*-host interactions. Recent studies focus on the potential of *Wolbachia*-based methods for the biological control of insect pests and disease vectors of agricultural, environmental and medical importance.

KEYWORDS: insect symbiosis, *Wolbachia*, parthenogenesis, feminization, male-killing, cytoplasmic incompatibility, incompatible insect technique.

Introduction on Insect Symbiosis

Several types of insect-microbe associations are present in nature, many of which are accountable to a more or lesser extent for the evolutionary success of insects. Microbes are ubiquitous both inside and outside the insect bodies, inside or outside the insect cells and interact with their host in a broad variety of relationships that range from mutualism, which is beneficial to the host, to parasitism, where the symbiont has a negative impact on host's biology (Ishikawa 2003). The most intimate association is intracellular symbiosis, with

the symbiont being vertically transmitted among generations. Intracellular symbionts of insects are divided into two groups. The first one, the primary symbionts, covers symbiotic microbes that usually supply hosts with nutrients and are harboured by the host bacteriocyte, a special cell differentiated for this purpose (Buchner 1965, Ishikawa 1989). Secondary symbionts, also known as "guest microbes", are not restricted to a particular cell type, but are present in many cell types of the host insect. Unlike primary symbionts, guest microbes can colonize naive hosts through horizontal transmission among individuals and species. These associations

^{*}Corresponding author, e-mail: kbourtz@uoi.gr

typically facultative from the perspective of the host and can be deleterious the host (parasitism), to beneficial only to the symbiont (commensalism) or beneficial to both parties (mutualism). During the last three decades, a novel type of symbiosis has been described for bacteria like Wolbachia, Cardinium, Rickettsia, Spiroplasma and Arsenophonus, that manipulate the host reproduction system to their advantage (reproductive parasitism). Wolbachia, the best-studied symbiont of this group, will be the focus of this review.

Introduction on Wolbachia

Collaborative studies between Marshall Hertig, an entomologist, and Samuel Wolbach, a pathologist, on the presence and of microorganisms identification arthropods, resulted in the discovery of Wolbachia in the gonads of the Culex pipientis mosquito in 1924 (Hertig and Wolbach 1924); however, the complete description of this symbiotic association was published in 1936 (Hertig 1936). For decades, Wolbachia was known only from mosquitoes; the development of PCR-based screening methods clearly indicated that Wolbachia is widespread in nature (O'Neill et al. 1992). It has been demonstrated that Wolbachia infects up to 70% of insect species, a large number of other arthropods (including spiders, scorpions, springtails, terrestrial isopods) as well as filarial nematodes (Werren et al. 1995, Bandi et al. 1998, Javaprakash and Hov 2000. Werren and Windsor Hilgenboecker et al. 2008, Werren et al. 2008). These studies place Wolbachia among the most common intracellular bacteria known, with estimates of several million infected species (Werren et al. 1995, Jayaprakash and Hoy 2000, Werren and

Windsor 2000, Hilgenboecker et al. 2008, Werren et al. 2008).

Molecular phylogenetic analysis based on the 16S rRNA gene indicated that Wolbachia belongs to α-Proteobacteria, being evolutionary related to intracellular bacterial species of the genera Anaplasma, Ehrlichia and Rickettsia (Breeuwer et al. 1992, O'Neill et al. 1992, Rousset et al. 1992). A significant amount of Wolbachia genomic information is available since the genome of four Wolbachia strains (wMel, wRi, wPip and wBm) has been completed (Wu et al. 2004, Foster et al. 2005, Klasson et al. 2008, Klasson et al. 2009). The available genomic information allowed the development of two multi locus sequencing typing (MLST) systems which can be used for the genotyping of any given Wolbachia strain (Baldo et al. 2006, Paraskevopoulos et al. 2006); they have also facilitated the classification of the Wolbachia strains into 10 major phylogenetic clades which have been named 'supergroups' (Werren et al. 1995, Bandi et al. 1998, Zhou et al. 1998, Lo et al. 2002, 2007, Rowley et al. 2004, Bordenstein and Rosengaus 2005, Ros et al. 2008. Bordenstein et al. 2009).

Several studies have shown that Wolbachia is mainly localized in the reproductive tissues of arthropods and is responsible for the induction of a number of reproductive alterations including feminization, thelytokous parthenogenesis, male-killing and cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) (Werren 1997, Bourtzis and O'Neill 1998, Bourtzis and Braig 1999, Stouthamer et al. 1999, Werren et al. 2008). The widespread distribution of Wolbachia as well as the manipulation of host's reproductive system places this symbiont among the most promising targets for disease/ pest control. The aim of this review is to present the Wolbachia-induced reproductive manipulations

emphasis on how this symbiont could be used for the control of insect pests and disease vectors.

Wolbachia-induced Phenotypes

Wolbachia is maternally inherited and has evolved a number of strategies to ensure transmission by manipulating the host reproductive system (Werren et al. 2008). These strategies include: a) feminization, the conversion of genetic males into females, b) parthenogenesis, the production of diploid offspring in the absence of sexual reproduction, c) male killing, the killing of infected males to the benefit of infected siblings and d) cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), the inability of infected males to successfully fertilize eggs from either uninfected females or from females infected with different Wolbachia types. Each of these phenotypes increases the frequency of infected females in the host population and therefore they represent bacterial adaptations to increase transmission of the microorganisms. Such parasite effects on hosts are commonly referred to as "reproductive parasitism" (Bandi et al. 2001).

Feminization is the most obviously beneficial strategy for a maternally inherited such as Wolbachia. bacterium conversion of genetic male offspring into females doubles the potential Wolbachia transmission to the following generation. However, the Wolbachia-induced feminization is the most infrequently described of the Wolbachia-induced phenotypes, reported most commonly in several species of terrestrial isopods (Bouchon et al. 1998, Rigaud et al. 1999a, Michel-Salzat et al. 2001). In these isopod hosts, Wolbachia within genetic males inhibits the development of the androgenic gland and the production of the androgenic hormone (Azzouna et al. 2004). These "feminized" males may however suffer a fitness disadvantage compared to genetic females, with males preferring to mate with genetic females (Moreau et al. 2001). A feminizing Wolbachia infection with complete penetrance would eliminate phenotypic males and lead to the extinction of both the host population as well as the symbiont. Such events, although difficult to be observed, may occur in nature. On the other hand, populations that do persist take advantage of imperfect transmission of feminizing Wolbachia strains (Rigaud et al. 1999b) or constrain the ability of Wolbachia to spread by exploiting the local scarcity of males (Moreau and Rigaud, 2003). Recent studies suggest that Wolbachia can also induce feminization in insect species as reported for the leafhopper Zyginidia pullula (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and the butterfly Eurema hecabe (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) (Negri et al. 2006, Narita et al. 2007).

Parthenogenesis, the production of female offspring in the absence of sperm fertilization offers an obvious advantage to a maternally inherited microorganism. If a 100% occurrence is assumed, parthenogenesis as well as feminization doubles the potential transmission of Wolbachia to the offspring. Interestingly, all currently documented cases of Wolbachiainduced parthenogenesis are found only within haplodiploid species belonging to Thysanoptera (Arakaki et al. 2001), Acari (Weeks and Breeuwer 2001) Hymenoptera (Stouthamer et al. 1993, Zchori-Fein et al. 1995). Haplodiploidy describes the development of (diploid) females from fertilized eggs, (haploid) males develop from unfertilized eggs. In this particular sex determination system, parthenogenesis may occur either by complete suppression of meiosis (apomixis) or by restoration of diploidy upon meiosis (automixis). Wolbachiainduced parthenogenesis has been found to be apomictic in mites (Weeks and Breeuwer 2001) and automictic in wasps (Zchori-Fein et al. 1995).

The killing of genetic males by Wolbachia has been described in four different Arthropod orders namely Diptera (Hurst et al. 2000, Dyer and Jaenike 2004), Coleoptera (Fialho and Stevens 2000; Majerus et al. 2000), Lepidoptera (Jiggins et al. 2000) and Arachnida (Zeh et al. 2005). Male killing may be advantageous under conditions, limited where resource reallocation from dead males to female siblings increases the fitness of infected females (Hurst 1991, Hurst et al. 2003). In all cases detected, the Wolbachia-induced male killing meets the above criterion. Another predicted benefit would be the resulting avoidance of the inbreeding (Werren 1987).

Despite the fact that cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) is the most commonly described reproductive abnormality induced by Wolbachia, the underlying mechanism still remains under investigation. CI has been described in many different arthropod orders: Diptera (Yen and Barr 1973), Coleoptera (Wade and Stevens 1985), Acari (Breuuwer and Jacobs 1996), Isopoda (Moret et al. 2001), Lepidoptera (Brower 1976), Hymenoptera (Reed and Werren 1995), Homoptera (Hoshizaki and Shimada 1995) and Orthoptera (Kamoda et al. 2000). As shown in Figure 1, CI can be unidirectional or bidirectional, depending on the number of Wolbachia strains involved in the phenotype (Breeuwer and Werren 1990, O'Neill and Karr 1990, Bourtzis et al. 1998, Bourtzis et al. 2003). Unidirectional CI describes the embryonic lethality observed when a Wolbachia-infected male mates with an uninfected female. All the other possible crosses are fully compatible, favoring the relative fitness of infected females and the spread of Wolbachia. Bidirectional CI

occurs between populations infected with different *Wolbachia* strains, when an infected male mates with a female lacking the same *Wolbachia* strain. The second type of incompatibility reproductively isolates two populations and may contribute to speciation (Werren 1998, Bordenstein 2003, Telschow et al. 2005).

The types of the incompatible crosses lead to the assumption that there are at least two distinct functions involved in CI, the "modification" and the "rescue" function (Werren 1997, Bourtzis et al. 1998, Merçot and Poinsot 1998). When the female lacks the "rescue" function, the "modification" function of the male results in embryonic lethality. Although the exact mechanism the remains unclear, incompatible phenotype is associated with an asynchrony in the development of the male and female pronuclei probably due to impaired histone deposition in the male pronucleus (Lassy and Karr 1996, Tram and Sullivan 2002, Landmann et al. 2009).

In addition to the above mentioned reproductive abnormalities, Wolbachia can positively or negatively influence other aspects of host fitness. In Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae), fitness benefits resulting from Wolbachia infection affect both fecundity and longevity (Dobson et al. 2002). Both single and doubly infected females produce more eggs and live longer than uninfected females: no effect on males has been observed. It should be noted that similar observations were recently reported Drosophila simulans (Diptera: Drosophilidae) (Weeks et al. 2007). Negative effects of Wolbachia in host longevity have been well documented due to wMelpop strain (Min and Benzer 1997). Flies bearing wMelpop suffer significant reduction in longevity, most likely due to overproliferation of the symbiont in the neuronal tissue (Min and Benzer 1997,




FIG. 1. Schematical representation of unidirectional (A) and bidirectional (B) cytoplasmic incompatibility. Insects bearing incompatible *Wolbachia* strains are marked with red or black.

McGraw et al. 2002, McMeniman et al. 2009).

Although Wolbachia successfully evades the host immune system and does not induce the normal antibacterial response (Bourtzis et al. 2000), Wolbachia infection has been shown to be a key player in host immunity. In at least one host-parasitoid system, the presence of Wolbachia decreases fitness in both the host and the parasitoid (Fytrou et al. 2006). D. simulans infected with Wolbachia is less effective in killing the eggs laid by the parasitoid Leptopilina heterotoma (Hymenoptera: Eucoilidae). Similarly, Wolbachia infection of L. heterotoma makes the parasitoid more vulnerable to the host defenses. The exact nature of these interactions is currently unknown. On the other hand, recent reports suggest that Wolbachia infections provide protection in insect hosts (Hedges et al. 2008, Teixeira et al. 2008).

Practical Applications

The widespread distributions as well as the manipulation of host's reproductive system

render *Wolbachia* as key-player in pest control management (Table 1). *Wolbachia*'s potential as novel environmentally friendly bio-control agent has already attracted a lot of attention (Beard et al. 1993, Sinkins et al. 1997, Bourtzis and Braig 1999, Sinkins and O'Neill 2000, Aksoy et al. 2001). Several strategies have been proposed, most of which take advantage of the induction of cytoplasmic incompatibility (Bourtzis, 2008).

Despite the global distribution of Wolbachia, many important agricultural pests (e.g. Bactrocera oleae) and disease vectors (Aedes aegypti, *Anopheles* gambiae) are not naturally Wolbachiainfected. However, many studies have shown that Wolbachia can be transferred and established into a naive host resulting the expression of the expected reproductive phenotype (Boyle et al. 1993, Braig et al. 1994, Poinsot et al. 1998, Sasaki and Ishikawa 2000, McGraw et al. 2001, Zabalou et al. 2004a, b, Xi et al. 2005). Based on these observations, Wolbachia may serve as an important tool for the "Incompatible Insect Technique", of symbiont-associated the use a

Application	Wolbachia-induced phenotype	Wolbachia strain / host
Incompatible Insect Technique	Cytoplasmic Incompatibility	wCer2 / Ceratitis capitata
(pest population control)		(Zabalou et al. 2004a, 2009)
Drive strategies	Cytoplasmic Incompatibility	wAlbB / Aedes aegypti
		(Xi et al. 2005)
Control of vector-borne	Shortening of host lifespan	wMelPop / Aedes aegypti
diseases		(McMeniman et al. 2009)

TABLE 1. Synopsis of Wolbachia-based applications.

reproductive incompatibility as for the control of insect pests and disease vectors (Bourtzis and Robinson 2006).

successful example of stable transinfection of a Wolbachia-free agricultural pest has been reported for Ceratitis capitata (Diptera: Tephritidae) (Zabalou et al. 2004b). Wolbachia strains from the host Rhagoletis cerasi (Diptera: Tephritidae) have been used to stably infect Mediterranean fruit the fly through embryonic injection. Crosses between uninfected females and Wolbachia-infected males resulted in 100% egg mortality, while crosses between fly lines bearing different Wolbachia strains were 100% bidirectionally incompatible. The major advantage of the Wolbachia-based Incompatible Insect Technique over the Sterile Insect Technique lies on the fact that the insects do not have to be irradiated before release. However, the necessity of employing an effective sexing strain of the insect pest, so that only infected males are released, still remains (Bourtzis Robinson 2006). Zabalou et al. (2009) described a Wolbachia-infected line of the VIENNA 8 genetic sexing strain of the medfly that carried the selectable marker temperature sensitive lethal (tsl). Transferred Wolbachia induced high levels of CI even after the temperature treatment required for the male-only production.

Genetic manipulation that reduces the fitness of a pest population would provide a

useful tool to complement current control strategies. Drive systems are an important component of population replacement strategies that provide mechanisms for the spread autonomous of desired genotypes/transgenes into the targeted population (Dobson 2003). Besides autonomous transposons, primary candidates for drive strategies are bacterial symbionts used as expression vehicles (Curtis and Sinkins 1998, Turelli and Hoffmann 1999). The reproductive advantage afforded by CI to Wolbachiainfected females promotes the spread of the maternally inherited Wolbachia infection. Thus, desired genotypes / transgenes linked to a Wolbachia infection would be expected to spread into a targeted population following the seeding of the targeted population with proper Wolbachia-infected females. Xi et al. (2005) demonstrated the ability of wAlbB to spread into an A. aegypti population after seeding of an uninfected population with infected females, reaching infection fixation within seven generations in laboratory cage tests.

Age is a critical determinant of the ability of most insect vectors to transmit a range of human pathogens. This is due to the fact that most pathogens require a period of extrinsic incubation in the insect host before pathogen transmission can occur. This developmental period for the pathogen often comprises a significant proportion of the expected lifespan of the

vector. As such, only a small proportion of the population that is oldest contributes to pathogen transmission (Cook et al. 2008). Given this, strategies that target vector age would be expected to obtain the most significant reductions in the capacity of a vector population to transmit disease. The identification of insect symbionts that shorten the host lifespan would offer new tools for the control of vector-borne diseases (Sinkins and O'Neill 2000). McMeniman et al. (2009) reported the successful transfer of wMelpop, a life-shortening strain of Wolbachia, into the major mosquito vector Aedes aegypti of dengue, (Diptera: Culicidae). The association halved host life span under laboratory conditions and the symbiont induced complete cytoplasmic incompatibility, which should facilitate its invasion into natural field populations.

Concluding Remarks

During the last decades, insect symbiosis gained a lot of attention as a widespread phenomenon affecting the host biology in many ways. Among the bacteria related to insects in a positive or negative aspect, *Wolbachia* is doubtless the most ubiquitous. Causing a broad range of reproductive phenotypes to the hosts, *Wolbachia* is a key player with biological, ecological and evolutionary significance. Due to its unique properties, *Wolbachia* offers the potential for development of novel and environment friendly biotechnological strategies for the control of insect pests and disease vectors.

Acknowledgments

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Prof Michael Majerus†. This work was supported by grants from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme CSA-SA_REGPROT-2007-1 under grant agreement n° 203590 and

intramural funding from University of Ioannina to K.B.

References

- Aksoy, S., I. Maudlin, C. Dale, A.S. Robinson and S.L. O'Neill. 2001. Prospects for control of African trypanosomiasis by tsetse vector manipulation. Trends Parasitol. 17: 29-35
- Arakaki, N., T. Miyoshi and H. Noda. 2001. *Wolbachia*-mediated parthenogenesis in the predatory thrips *Franklinothrips vespiformis* (Thysanoptera: Insecta). Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 268: 1011-1016.
- Azzouna, A., P. Greve and G. Martin. 2004. Sexual differentiation traits in functional males with female genital apertures (male symbol *fga*) in the woodlice *Armadillidium vulgare Latr*. (Isopoda, Crustacea). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 138: 42-49.
- Baldo, L., J.C. Dunning Hotopp, K.A.
 Jolley, S.R. Bordenstein, S.A. Biber,
 R.R. Choudhury, C. Hayashi, M.C.
 Maiden, H. Tettelin and J.H. Werren.
 2006. Multilocus sequence typing
 system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia*pipientis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:
 7098-7110.
- Bandi, C., T.J.C. Anderson, C. Genchi and M.L. Blaxter. 1998. Phylogeny of Wolbachia in filarial nematodes. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 265: 2407-2413.
- Bandi, C., A.M. Dunn, G.D. Hurst and T. Rigaud. 2001. Inherited microorganisms, sex-specific virulence and reproductive parasitism. Trends Parasitol. 17: 88-94.
- Beard, C.B., S.L. O'Neill, R.B. Tesh, F.F. Richards and S. Aksoy. 1993. Modification of arthropod vector

- competence via symbiotic bacteria. Parasitol. Today 9: 179-183.
- Bordenstein, S.R. 2003. Symbiosis and the origin of species. In: Insect symbiosis, vol. 1; eds. K. Bourtzis and T.A. Miller. CRC Press, pp. 283-304.
- Bordenstein, S. and R.B. Rosengaus. 2005. Discovery of a novel *Wolbachia* super group in Isoptera. Curr. Microbiol. 51(6): 393-398.
- Bordenstein, S.R., C. Paraskevopoulos, J.C. Hotopp, P. Sapountzis, N. Lo, C. Bandi, H. Tettelin, J.H. Werren and K. Bourtzis. 2009. Parasitism and mutualism in *Wolbachia*: what the phylogenomic trees can and cannot say. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26: 231-241.
- Bouchon, D., T. Rigaud and P. Juchault. 1998. Evidence for widespread *Wolbachia* infection in isopod crustaceans: molecular identification and host feminization. Proc. Biol. Sci. 265: 1081-1090.
- Bourtzis, K. and S.L. O'Neill. 1998. Wolbachia infections and arthropod reproduction – Wolbachia can cause cytoplasmic incompatibility, parthenogenesis and feminization in many arthropods. Bioscience. 48: 287-293.
- Bourtzis, K., S.L. Dobson, H.R. Braig and S.L. O'Neill. 1998. Rescuing *Wolbachia* have been overlooked. Nature. 391: 852-853.
- Bourtzis, K. and H.R. Braig. 1999. The many faces of *Wolbachia*. In: *Rickettsiae* and rickettsial diseases at the turn of the third millenium; eds. D. Raoult and P. Brouqui. Elsevier, Paris. pp.199-219.
- Bourtzis, K., M.M. Pettigrew and S.L. O'Neill. 2000. *Wolbachia* neither induces nor suppresses transcripts encoding antimicrobial peptides. Insect Mol. Biol. 9: 635-639.
- Bourtzis, K., H.R. Braig and T.L. Karr. 2003. Cytoplasmic incompatibility. In:

- Insect symbiosis, vol. 1; eds. K. Bourtzis and T.A. Miller. CRC Press, pp. 217-246.
- Bourtzis, K. and A.S. Robinson. 2006. Insect pest control using *Wolbachia* and/or radiation. In: Insect Symbiosis, vol. 2; eds. K. Bourtzis and T.A. Miller. CRC Press, pp. 225-246.
- Bourtzis, K. 2008. *Wolbachia*-based technologies for insect pest population control. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 627: 104-113
- Boyle, L., S.L. O'Neill, H.M. Robertson and T.L. Karr. 1993. Interspecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of Wolbachia in Drosophila. Science 260: 1796-1799.
- Braig, H.R., H. Guzman, R.B. Tesh and S.L. O'Neill. 1994. Replacement of the natural *Wolbachia* symbiont of *Drosophila simulans* with a mosquito counterpart. Nature 367: 453-455.
- Breeuwer, J.A. and J.H. Werren. 1990.

 Microorganisms associated with chromosome destruction and reproductive isolation between two insect species. Nature 346: 558-560.
- Breeuwer, J.A., R. Stouthamer, S.M. Barns, D.A. Pelletier, W.G. Weisburg and J.H. Werren. 1992. Phylogeny of cytoplasmic incompatibility microorganisms in the parasitoid wasp genus *Nasonia* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) based on 16S ribosomal DNA sequences. Insect Mol. Biol. 1: 25-36.
- Breeuwer, J.A. and G. Jacobs. 1996. *Wolbachia*: intracellular manipulators of mite reproduction. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 20: 421-434.
- Brower, J.H. 1976. Cytoplasmic incompatibility: occurrence in a stored-product pest *Ephestia cautella*. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 69: 1011-1015.
- Buchner, P. 1965. Endosymbiosis of animals with plant microorganisms.

- Interscience Publishers. New York. 909 pp.
- Cook, P.E., C.J. McMeniman and S.L. O'Neill. 2008. Modifying insect population age structure to control vector-borne disease. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 627: 126-140.
- Curtis, C.F. and S.P. Sinkins. 1998. *Wolbachia* as a possible means of driving genes into populations. Parasitology 116: S111–S115.
- Dobson, S.L., E.J. Marsland and W. Rattanadechakul. 2002. Mutualistic *Wolbachia* infection in *Aedes albopictus*: accelerating cytoplasmic drive. Genetics 160: 1087-1094.
- Dobson, S.L. 2003. Reversing *Wolbachia*-based population replacement. Trends Parasitol. 19: 128-133.
- Dyer, K.A. and J. Jaenike. 2004. Evolutionarily stable infection by a male-killing endosymbiont in *Drosophila innubila*: molecular evidence from the host and parasite genomes. Genetics 168: 1443-1455.
- Fialho, R.F. and L. Stevens. 2000. Male-killing *Wolbachia* in a flour beetle. Proc. Biol. Sci. 267: 1469-1473.
- Foster, J., M. Ganatra, I. Kamal, J. Ware, K. Makarova, N. Ivanova, Bhattacharyya, V. Kapatral, S. Kumar, J. Posfai, T. Vincze, J. Ingram, L. Moran, A. Lapidus, M. Omelchenko, Kyrpides, E. Ghedin, S. Wang, E. Goltsman, V. Joukov, O. Ostrovskaya, K. Tsukerman, M. Mazur, D. Comb, E. Koonin and B. Slatko. 2005. The Wolbachia genome of Brugia malayi: endosymbiont evolution within a human pathogenic nematode. PLoS Biol. 3: e121.
- Fytrou, A., P.G. Schofield, A.R. Kraaijeveld and S.F. Hubbard. 2006. *Wolbachia* infection suppresses both host defence and parasitoid counter-defence. Proc. Biol. Sci. 273: 791-796.

- Hedges, L.M., J.C. Brownlie, S.L. O'Neill and K.N. Johnson. 2008. *Wolbachia* and virus protection in insects. Science 322: 702
- Hertig, M. and S.B. Wolbach. 1924. Studies on rickettsia-like micro-organisms in insects. J. Med. Res. 44: 329-374.
- Hertig, M. 1936. The rickettsia, *Wolbachia* pipientis (Gen. et Sp. Nov.) and associated inclusions of the mosquito, *Culex pipiens*. Parasitology 28: 453-486
- Hilgenboecker, K., P. Hammerstein, P. Schlattmann, A. Telschow and J.H. Werren. 2008. How many species are infected with *Wolbachia*?--A statistical analysis of current data. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 281: 215-220.
- Hoshizaki, S. and T. Shimada. 1995. PCR-based detection of *Wolbachia*, cytoplasmic incompatibility microorganisms, infected in natural populations of *Laodelphax striatellus* (Homoptera: Delphacidae) in central Japan: has the distribution of *Wolbachia* spread recently? Insect Mol. Biol. 4: 237-243.
- Hurst, G.D. 1991. The incidences and evolution of cytoplasmic male killers. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 244: 91-99.
- Hurst, G.D., A.P. Johnson, J.H. Schulenburg and Y. Fuyama. 2000. Male-killing *Wolbachia* in *Drosophila*: a temperature-sensitive trait with a threshold bacterial density. Genetics 156: 699-709.
- Hurst, G.D., F.M. Jiggins and M.E.N. Majerus. 2003. Inherited microorganisms that selectively kill male hosts: the hidden players of insect evolution? In: Insect symbiosis, vol. 1; eds. K. Bourtzis and T.A. Miller. CRC Press, pp. 177-197.
- Ishikawa, H. 1989. Biochemical and molecular aspects of endosymbiosis in insects. Int. Rev. Cytol. 116: 1-45.

- Ishikawa, H. 2003. Insect symbiosis: an introduction. In: Insect symbiosis, vol. 1; eds. K. Bourtzis and T.A. Miller. CRC Press, pp. 1-21.
- Jayaprakash, A. and M.A. Hoy. 2000. Long PCR improves *Wolbachia* DNA amplification: *wsp* sequences found in 76% of sixty three arthropod species. Insect Mol. Biol. 9: 393-405.
- Jiggins, F.M., G.D. Hurst and M.E. Majerus. 2000. Sex-ratio-distorting *Wolbachia* causes sex-role reversal in its butterfly host. Proc. Biol. Sci. 267: 69-73.
- Kamoda, S., S. Masui, H. Ishikawa and T. Sasaki. 2000. *Wolbachia* infection and cytoplasmic incompatibility in the cricket *Teleogryllus taiwanemma*. J. Exp. Biol. 203(Pt 16): 2503-2509.
- Klasson, L., T. Walker, M. Sebaihia, M.J. Sanders, M.A. Quail, A. Lord, S. Sanders, J. Earl, S.L. O'Neill, N. Thomson, S.P. Sinkins and J. Parkhill. 2008. Genome evolution of *Wolbachia* strain *w*Pip from the *Culex pipiens* group. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25: 1877-1887.
- Klasson, L., J. Westberg, P. Sapountzis, K. Näslund, Y. Lutnaes, A.C. Darby, Z. Veneti, L. Chen, H.R. Braig, R. Garrett, K. Bourtzis and S.G. Andersson. 2009. The mosaic genome structure of the *Wolbachia wRi* strain infecting *Drosophila simulans*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106: 5725-5730.
- Landmann, F., G.A. Orsi, B. Loppin and W. Sullivan. 2009. *Wolbachia*-mediated cytoplasmic incompatibility is associated with impaired histone deposition in the male pronucleus. PLoS Pathog. 5: e1000343.
- Lassy, C.W. and T.L. Karr. 1996. Cytological analysis of fertilization and early embryonic development in incompatible crosses of *Drosophila simulans*. Mech. Dev. 57: 47-58.
- Lo, N., M. Casiraghi, E. Salati, C. Bazzocchi and C. Bandi. 2002. How

- many *Wolbachia* supergroups exist? Mol. Biol. Evol. 19: 341-346.
- Lo, N., C. Paraskevopoulos, K. Bourtzis, S.L. O'Neill, J.H. Werren, S.R. Bordenstein and C. Bandi. 2007. Taxonomic status of the intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis*. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Micr. 57: 654-657.
- Majerus, M.E., J. Hinrich, G.V. Schulenburg and I.A. Zakharov. 2000. Multiple causes of male-killing in a single sample of the two-spot ladybird, *Adalia bipunctata* (Coleoptera: coccinellidae) from Moscow. Heredity 84: 605-609.
- McGraw, E.A., D.J. Merritt, J.N. Droller and S.L. O'Neill. 2001. *Wolbachia*-mediated sperm modification is dependent on the host genotype in *Drosophila*. Proc. Biol. Sci. 268: 2565-2570.
- McGraw, E.A., D.J. Merritt, J.N. Droller and S.L. O'Neill. 2002. *Wolbachia* density and virulence attenuation after transfer into a novel host. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 99: 2918-2923.
- McMeniman, C.J., R.V. Lane, B.N. Cass, A.W. Fong, M. Sidhu, Y.F. Wang and S.L. O'Neill. 2009. Stable introduction of a life-shortening *Wolbachia* infection into the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Science 323: 141-144.
- Merçot H. and D. Poinsot. 1998. Rescuing *Wolbachia* have been overlooked and discovered on Mount Kilimanjaro. Nature 391: 853.
- Michel-Salzat, A., R. Cordaux and D. Bouchon. 2001. *Wolbachia* diversity in the *Porcellionides pruinosus* complex of species (Crustacea: Oniscidea): evidence for host-dependent patterns of infection. Heredity 87: 428-434.
- Min, K.T. and S. Benzer. 1997. *Wolbachia*, normally a symbiont of *Drosophila*, can be virulent, causing degeneration and

- early death. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94: 10792-10796.
- Moreau, J., A. Bertin, Y. Caubet and T. Rigaud. 2001. Sexual selection in an isopod with *Wolbachia*-induced sex reversal: males prefer real females. J. Evol. Biol. 14: 388-394.
- Moreau, J. and T. Rigaud. 2003. Variable male potential rate of reproduction: high male mating capacity as an adaptation to parasite-induced excess of females? Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 270: 1535-1540.
- Moret, Y., P. Juchault and T. Rigaud. 2001. *Wolbachia* endosymbiont responsible for cytoplasmic incompatibility in a terrestrial crustacean: effects in natural and foreign hosts. Heredity 86(Pt 3): 325-332.
- Narita, S., D. Kageyama, M. Nomura and T. Fukatsu. 2007. Unexpected mechanism of symbiont-induced reversal of insect sex: feminizing *Wolbachia* continuously acts on the butterfly *Eurema hecabe* during larval development. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73: 4332-4341.
- Negri, I., M. Pellecchia, P.J. Mazzoglio, A. Patetta and A. Alma. 2006. Feminizing Wolbachia in Zyginidia pullula (Insecta, Hemiptera), a leafhopper with an XX/XO sex-determination system. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 273: 2409-2416.
- O'Neill, S.L. and T.L. Karr. 1990. Bidirectional incompatibility between conspecific populations of *Drosophila simulans*. Nature 348: 178-180.
- O'Neill, S.L., R. Giordano, A.M. Colbert, T.L. Karr and H.M. Robertson. 1992. 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 89: 2699-2702.
- Paraskevopoulos, C., S.R. Bordenstein, J.J. Wernegreen, J.H. Werren and K.

- Bourtzis. 2006. Toward a *Wolbachia* multilocus sequence typing system: discrimination of *Wolbachia* strains present in *Drosophila* species. Curr. Microbiol. 53: 388-395.
- Poinsot, D., K. Bourtzis, G. Markakis, C. Savakis and H. Merçot. 1998. Wolbachia transfer from Drosophila melanogaster into D. simulans: Host effect and cytoplasmic incompatibility relationships. Genetics 150: 227-237.
- Reed, K.M. and J.H. Werren. 1995. Induction of paternal genome loss by the paternal-sex-ratio chromosome and cytoplasmic incompatibility bacteria (*Wolbachia*): a comparative study of early embryonic events. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 40: 408-418.
- Rigaud, T., J. Moreau and P. Juchault. 1999a. *Wolbachia* infection in the terrestrial isopod *Oniscus asellus*: sex ratio distortion and effect on fecundity. Heredity 83: 469-475.
- Rigaud, T., D. Bouchon, C. Souty-Grosset and R. Raimond. 1999b. Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism, sex ratio distorters and population genetics in the isopod *Armadillidium vulgare*. Genetics 152: 1669-1677.
- Ros, V.I., V.M. Fleming, E.J. Feil and J.A. Breeuwer. 2008. How diverse is the genus *Wolbachia*? Multiple-gene sequencing reveals a putatively new *Wolbachia* supergroup recovered from spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75: 1036-1043.
- Rousset, F., D. Vautrin and M. Solignac. 1992. Molecular identification of *Wolbachia*, the agent of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*, and variability in relation with host mitochondrial types. Proc. Biol. Sci. 247: 163-168.
- Rowley, S.M., R.J. Raven and E.A. McGraw. 2004. *Wolbachia pipientis* in

- Australian spiders. Curr. Microbiol. 49: 208-214.
- Sasaki, T. and H. Ishikawa. 2000. Transinfection of *Wolbachia* in the mediterranean flour moth, *Ephestia kuehniella*, by embryonic microinjection. Heredity 85 (Pt 2): 130-135.
- Sinkins, S.P., C.F. Curtis and S.L. O'Neill. 1997. In: Influential passengers: inherited microorganisms and arthropod reproduction; eds. S.L. O'Neill, A.A. Hoffmann and J.H. Werren. Oxford Univ. Press, New York. pp. 155–175.
- Sinkins, S.P. and S.L. O'Neill. 2000. *Wolbachia* as a vehicle to modify insect populations. In: Insect transgenesis. Methods and applications; eds. A.M. Handler and A.A. James. CRC Press. pp. 271-287.
- Stouthamer, R., J.A. Breeuwert, R.F. Luck and J.H. Werren. 1993. Molecular identification of microorganisms associated with parthenogenesis. Nature 361: 66-68.
- Stouthamer, R., J.A. Breeuwer and G.D. Hurst. 1999. *Wolbachia pipientis*: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 53: 71-102.
- Teixeira, L., A. Ferreira and M. Ashburner. 2008. The bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* induces resistance to RNA viral infections in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS Biol. 6: e2.
- Telschow, A., P. Hammerstein and J.H. Werren. 2005. The effect of *Wolbachia* versus genetic incompatibilities on reinforcement and speciation. Evolution 59: 1607-1619.
- Tram, U. and W. Sullivan. 2002. Role of delayed nuclear envelope breakdown and mitosis in *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility. Science 296: 1124-1126.
- Turelli, M. and A.A. Hoffmann. 1999. Microbe-induced cytoplasmic

- incompatibility as a mechanism for introducing transgenes into arthropod populations. Insect Mol. Biol. 8: 243–255
- Wade, M.J. and L. Stevens. 1985. Microorganism mediated reproductive isolation in flour beetles (genus *Tribolium*). Science 227: 527-528.
- Weeks, A.R. and J.A. Breeuwer. 2001. *Wolbachia*-induced parthenogenesis in a genus of phytophagous mites. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 268: 2245-2251.
- Weeks, A.R., M. Turelli, W.R. Harcombe, K.T. Reynolds and A.A. Hoffmann. 2007. From parasite to mutualist: rapid evolution of *Wolbachia* in natural populations of *Drosophila*. PLoS Biol. 5: e114.
- Werren, J.H. 1987. The coevolution of autosomal and cytoplasmic sex ratio factors. J. Theor. Biol. 124: 317-334.
- Werren, J.H., D.M. Windsor and L. Guo. 1995. Distribution of *Wolbachia* among neotropical arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 262: 197-204.
- Werren, J.H. 1997. Biology of *Wolbachia*. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 42: 587-609.
- Werren, J.H. 1998. *Wolbachia* and speciation. In: Endless forms: species and speciation, eds. D.J. Howard and S.H. Berlocher. Oxford University Press, New York. pp.245-260.
- Werren, J.H. and D.M. Windsor. 2000. *Wolbachia* infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 267: 1277-1285.
- Werren, J.H., L. Baldo and M.E. Clark. 2008. *Wolbachia*: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6: 741-751.
- Wu, M., L.V. Sun, J. Vamathevan, M. Riegler, R. Deboy, J.C. Brownlie, E.A. McGraw, W. Martin, C. Esser, N. Ahmadinejad, C. Wiegand, R. Madupu,

- M.J. Beanan, L.M. Brinkac, S.C. Daugherty, A.S. Durkin, J.F. Kolonay, W.C. Nelson, Y. Mohamoud, P. Lee, K. Berry, M.B. Young, T. Utterback, J. Weidman, W.C. Nierman, I.T. Paulsen, K.E. Nelson, H. Tettelin, S.L. O'Neill and J.A. Eisen. 2004. Phylogenomics of the reproductive parasite *Wolbachia pipientis w*Mel: a streamlined genome overrun by mobile genetic elements. PLoS Biol. 2: 327-341.
- Xi, Z., C.C.H. Khoo and S.L. Dobson. 2005. *Wolbachia* establishment and invasion in an *Aedes aegypti* laboratory population. Science 310: 326-328.
- Yen, J.H. and A.R. Barr. 1973. The etiological agent of cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Culex pipiens*. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 22: 242-250.
- S., M. Riegler. Zabalou. M. Theodorakopoulou, Stauffer, C. C. Savakis and K. Bourtzis. 2004a. Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility as a means for insect pest population control. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101: 15042-15045.
- Zabalou, S., S. Charlat, A. Nirgianaki, D. Lachaise, H. Merçot and K. Bourtzis.

- 2004b. Natural *Wolbachia* infections in the *Drosophila yakuba* species complex do not induce cytoplasmic incompatibility but fully rescue the *w*Ri modification. Genetics 167: 827-834.
- Zabalou, S. A. Apostolaki, I. Livadaras, G. Franz, A. S. Robinson, C. Savakis and K. Bourtzis. 2009. Incompatible Insect Technique: incompatible males from a *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) genetic sexing strain. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 132: 232-240.
- Zchori-Fein, E., O. Faktor, M. Zeidan, Y. Gottlieb, H. Czosnek and D. Rosen. 1995. Parthenogenesis-inducing microorganisms in *Aphytis* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Insect Mol. Biol. 4: 173-178.
- Zeh, D.W., J.A. Zeh and M.M. Bonilla. 2005. *Wolbachia*, sex ratio bias and apparent male killing in the harlequin beetle riding pseudoscorpion. Heredity 95: 41-49.
- Zhou, W. F. Rousset and S. O'Neill. 1998. Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of *Wolbachia* strains using *wsp* gene sequences. Proc. Biol. Sci. 265: 509-515.

Wolbachia – Επαγόμενος αναπαραγωγικός παρασιτισμός και εφαρμογές

Α. ΣΑΡΙΔΑΚΗ ΚΑΙ Κ. ΜΠΟΥΡΤΖΗΣ

Τμήμα Διαχείρισης Περιβάλλοντος και Φυσικών Πόρων, Πανεπιστήμιο Ιωαννίνων, Σεφέρη 2, 30 100 Αγρίνιο, Ελλάδα

ПЕРІЛНЧН

Οι συμβιωτικές σχέσεις εντόμων-μικροοργανισμών (και ιδιαίτερα βακτηρίων) είναι ένα ευρέως διαδεδομένο φαινόμενο με ποικίλες συνέπειες στη βιολογία του ξενιστή, θετικές ή αρνητικές. Ένα από τα πιο διαδεδομένα και καλά μελετημένα βακτήρια είναι το βακτήριο Wolbachia. Το βακτήριο Wolbachia είναι ένα υποχρεωτικά ενδοκυττάριο και μητρικά κληρονομούμενο βακτήριο που συμβιώνει με ένα μεγάλο εύρος ειδών ασπόνδυλων όπως ακάρεα, αράχνες, ισόποδα, νηματώδεις της φιλαρίασης και κυρίως έντομα. Μελέτες έχουν δείξει ότι το βακτήριο Wolbachia πιθανώς συμβιώνει με το 70% όλων των ειδών των εντόμων, καθιστώντας το ως τον πιο διαδεδομένο συμβιωτικό οργανισμό του πλανήτη. Οι αλληλεπιδράσεις του βακτηρίου με τον ξενιστή κυμαίνονται μεταξύ της αμοιβαίας συμβίωσης και της επαγωγής αναπαραγωγικών ανωμαλιών όπως θηλυκοποίηση, παρθενογένεση, θανάτωση των αρσενικών ατόμων ή κυτταροπλασματική ασυμβατότητα. Παρά την ευρεία εξάπλωση και τις επιδράσεις του βακτηρίου στη βιολογία του ξενιστή, στην ειδογένεση και στην οικολογική ποικιλότητα, λίγα είναι γνωστά για τους μοριακούς μηχανισμούς της αλληλεπίδρασης του ξενιστή με το συμβιωτικό αυτό βακτήριο. Πρόσφατες μελέτες εστιάζουν δυνατότητα ανάπτυξης μεθόδων βιολογικού ελέγχου εντόμων γεωργικής, περιβαλλοντικής ή ιατρικής σημασίας, οι οποίες βασίζονται στις ιδιότητες του βακτηρίου Wolbachia.