

Socioeconomic status, health inequalities and non-communicable diseases: a systematic review

Abstract

Aim: A comprehensive approach to health highlights its close relationship with social and economic conditions, physical environment and individual lifestyle. However, this relationship is not exempt from methodological problems that may bias the establishment of direct effects between the variables studied. Thus, further research is necessary to investigate the role of socioeconomic variables, its composition and its distribution on health status, particularly on non-communicable diseases.

Subject and Methods: To shed light on this field, here a systematic review is performed in PubMed, Cochrane Library and Web of Science. A 7-year retrospective horizon was considered until 21 July 2017.

Results: 26 papers were obtained from the database search. Additionally, results from “hand searching” were also included, where a wider horizon is considered. 5 of the 26 studies analysed, use aggregated data, compared to 21 using individual data. 11 of them consider income as a variable of study, while 17 analyse the effect of income inequality on health status (two of the studies consider both the absolute level of income and the distribution of income). The indicator of inequality most used in the literature is the Gini index.

Conclusion: Although different types of analysis produce very different results on the role of health determinants, the general conclusion is that income distribution is related to health where it represents a measure of the differences in social class in the society. The effect of income inequality is to increase the gap between social classes or to widen differences in status.

Keywords Socioeconomic status, Health inequalities, Non-communicable diseases, Systematic review.

Introduction

From a broad point of view, an individual’s health is considered not only as an absence of disease, but as a fundamental human right (WHO 1986). A comprehensive approach to health highlights its close relationship with social and economic conditions, physical environment and individual lifestyles. According to the Commission on Social Determinants of Health, we can consider health inequalities to be the result of the cumulative impact of decades of exposure to health risks of those who live in socioeconomically less advantaged circumstances (WHO 2008).

If we focus on all the socioeconomic variables, the relationship between income (understood as a measure of socioeconomic status) and health is probably the most complicated (Fuchs 2004). The correlation coefficient, obtained from the crudest associations, can range from highly positive to slightly negative, depending on the context and the aggregation level. Even when the positive correlation is strong and stable, causal interpretations may include income influencing health, health influencing income and/or “third variables” affecting both indicators in the same direction and at the same time. For this reason, Gross Domestic Product-GDP is related to some health-outcomes indicators (Kanavos and Mossialos 1996). However, there are exceptions. For example, some Southern countries of the European

Union that are relatively poor have a life expectancy indicator greater than that of the rich countries of Northern Europe. Also, we can observe that the United States, one of the world's richest countries in terms of GDP per capita, has infant mortality rates similar to those of poorer countries (Starfield 2000).

In addition, there is a large and growing body of literature in which the effects of income on health are examined because of the importance of these effects in the development of appropriate economic policies (Gravelle et al. 2002). Many studies have shown a negative association between income and mortality (Lutter and Morrall 1994; McCarron et al. 1994; Viscusi 1994; Sing and Siahpush 2002; Shaw et al. 2005; Pearce and Dorling 2006; Leyland et al. 2007; Ezzati et al. 2008; Thomas et al. 2010). These empirical findings suggest that individual health is a function of individual income – *the absolute income hypothesis*. In relation to income inequality, the *relative income–health hypothesis* suggests that income inequality has a detrimental effect on population health because it is an individual's relative, rather than absolute, income that is important for health (Marmot et al. 1991; Wilkinson 1997 and 1998; Wildman 2001 and 2003; Lopez I Casasnovas and Rivera 2002; Gravelle et al. 2002; Eberstadt and Satel 2004). Income inequality may therefore be a health risk (Le Grand 1987; Wilkinson 1992 and 1996). Thus, life expectancy and population mortality have been used as key indicators of economic and social development (Van Doorslaer and Koolman 2002; Cantarero et al. 2005).

Although previous empirical literature presents different interpretations of the evidence, most analyses report that average health is worse in more unequal societies. However, this relationship is not perfect, since there are several determinants that can **affect** it. There is clear evidence indicating that a nonlinear, typically concave, relationship between health and income at an individual level will generate an aggregate relationship in which average health will depend negatively on the degree of inequality in the income distribution (Duleep 1995; Wilkinson 1996; Mackenbach et al. 2005; Mackenbach 2012). Hence, income redistribution from the rich to disadvantaged groups may improve some health indicators (Kawachi and Kennedy 1999). Also, some authors have suggested the existence of conceptual difficulties in studying the relationship between income and individual health when aggregated data are used, because revenues have a diminishing marginal effect on health (Deaton and Muellbauer 1980). This is because if income inequality increases, it tends to reduce average health but improve the health of “the rich”, although this latter effect is less significant than the reduction in overall health.

Methods

A systematic literature search was performed in PubMed, Cochrane Library and Web of Science (until 21 July 2017) to identify the most relevant published evidence regarding the relationship between income and health. In all databases, terms related to “health”, “income” and “inequalities” were combined. See Appendix 1 for search strategy/ search terms used. The searches were confined to papers published in the English language since 2010, to limit the scope of this review to the most recent data and the state of the art. In other words, we considered this retrospective horizon, from the beginning of this century up to date, to be enough.

Results

After finding publications in the electronic searches, duplicate records were removed. The selection of

papers was ultimately based on the following eligibility criterion: an applied study with a focus on one or more OECD countries (included the European Union and other developed countries). Additionally, the results of “hand searching” are also included in the following pages, where a wider horizon is considered. Fig. 1 is a diagram of the paper selection process.

[Insert Fig. 1]

The literature search located 381 publications in the databases under consideration, and 17 papers published between 2010 and 2017 were identified through “hand searching”. A total of 11 duplicates were removed, resulting in 387 “unique papers”. After screening the titles against the eligibility criteria, 105 papers were selected. Of these, 68 articles were excluded as they did not fit with the previous criteria. So, a final set of 37 selected studies have been taken into account in this review. In any case, further papers are finally considered to have a robust overview. The following Table 1 focuses on the 26 papers found through the database search.

[Insert Table 1]

We also reviewed the works obtained from “hand searching”, where the results are almost all based on economic criteria. Specifically, we can highlight that there is also a large amount of evidence about the effect of income on health status for different socioeconomic groups. References are listed at the end of this article, and we have included references in journals and cited books.

Table 1 focuses on the 26 papers obtained from the database search. The first group of studies explore the fact that people who live in areas of high inequalities tend to report themselves as both objective (having a shorter life expectancy and high adult mortality) and subjective health status, and that this tendency increases over time (Allanson et al. 2010; Elgar 2010; Huijts et al. 2010; Idrovo et al. 2010; Islam et al. 2010; Karlsson et al., 2010 Oshio and Kobayashi 2010; Petrie et al. 2011). Moreover, the following group of studies developed various econometric approaches (multilevel regression, bivariate and count data or logit models) in order to consider geographic, socioeconomic and poverty-related issues (Chen and Crawford 2012; Hosseinpoor et al., 2012; Karlsdotter et al. 2012; Martinson 2012; Allanson and Petrie 2013; Ásgeirsdóttir and Ragnarsdóttir 2014; Wilson et al. 2017). The health concentration index and its corrections have been employed in recent studies (Siegel, Vogt and Sundmacher 2014; Vallejo-Torres et al. 2014; Siegel, Mielck and Maier 2015). As is described in Table 1 and Fig. 2, the relative income–health hypothesis is also analysed by Hu and Van Lenthe (2015) that conclude that in European countries income inequality does not have an independent effect on mortality.

[Insert Fig. 2]

Moreover, it seems logical that there would be a difference between rich and poor countries in how income distribution would affect health status (Waldman 1992; Rodgers 1979; Deaton 2001b). Wilkinson and Pickett (2006) performed a review of the literature analysing the association between income distribution and the health of the population. The general conclusion is that income distribution is related to health where it represents a measure of the differences in social class in the society.

Among the studies that conduct their analysis on individual data, Ettner (1996) estimates the effects of income on a set of individual health proxies. The results show a strong positive effect of income on health. Dahl et al. (2006) analyse the degree to which contextual income inequality affects the health of Norwegian regions, results differ from previous studies in suggesting that in Norway a comparatively

egalitarian income distribution interferes with the emergence of regional-level income inequality effects on mortality. From another point of view, a study developed in Spain (Regidor et al. 2014) show that inequality in the distribution of provincial income declined during the four decades covered by the study. More recently, Pickett and Wilkinson (2015) conducted a new review of the literature on the subject, [exploring the causal role of wider income differences on health](#). Authors highlight that the effect of income inequality is to increase the gap between social classes or to widen differences in socioeconomic status.

As Table 2 shows in relation to *health indicators*, measuring the health status of a population is problematic because there is no complete and comparable health index among countries or regions.

[Insert Table 2]

Data aggregation, used in numerous studies examining the health status of the population in different countries and its relationship to the level of income, can also present problems from a methodological point of view. A first problem is the availability of comparable data for long periods of time. The observations are often measures at national or regional level, in contrast to individual panel data for which there are a large number of observations of cross-sectional measurements at very few points in time. Therefore, the problems differ depending on the observation unit adopted: the individual or an aggregate geographical area.

Causality of the variables that are considered in the analysis of the relationship between income and health is another methodological aspect that is particularly relevant (Fuchs 2004). Although numerous studies indicate a positive relationship between health and income, few of them analyse the causality of this association. The stability of income inequality over time in most countries makes this causality difficult to test (Babones 2008). This author points out that although there exist a “strong, consistent and statistically significant correlation between national income inequality and population health”, there is also evidence indicating that this correlation is causal.

McKeown (2009) that describes changing patterns of population age distributions, mortality, fertility, life expectancy, and causes of death. However, within countries, differences in living standards establish a social order in the population. Among the papers that raise the issue of income distribution as a possible reason for inequalities in individuals’ health, that published by Deaton (1999) is notable. Furthermore, Deaton and Paxson (2001) develop a similar analysis in order to examine the relationship between income inequality and mortality. The results show that neither the trends in the level of income nor the inequalities in income explain the adjusted mortality rates by age. Besides, Wagstaff and Van Doorslaer (2000) review a large body of literature on the effects of income inequality on population health. The literature review shows that the individual level studies considered to be relevant provide strong support for the absolute income hypothesis, no support for the relative income hypothesis and little or no support for the income inequality hypothesis. In relation to this we can think about the countries of Eastern Europe, where, despite their egalitarian distribution of income, there are high mortality rates. Contoyannis and Foster (1999) found that it is absolute income that has a significant effect on health, and not relative income. Along the same lines, we can point to the paper of Van Doorslaer et al. (1997), whose results support the idea that health inequalities cannot be definitively attributed to income inequalities.

Also, as Table 3 describes, the trajectories of social mobility over the life course (U-shaped) and the

variations in patterns of social mobility mean that it is very important to study inequalities in health and socioeconomic status because they are present early in life (Currie and Madrian 1999; Bengtsson and Mineau 2009; Almond and Currie 2011a and 2011b; De Ree and Alessie 2011; Lundborg et al. 2014).

[Insert Table 3]

Furthermore, it would be interesting to take into account the existing links between parental socioeconomic status (measured by education, income or labour status) and child health, and therefore between the health of a child today and his or her health and status in the future (its derived results in education, income, and/or adult occupation) (Currie and Madrian 1999; Aizer and Currie 2014; Fletcher 2014; Flores and Kalwij 2014; Flores et al. 2015).

Discussion

In this paper we analyze the literature that studies the determinants of health with special attention to the relationship between socioeconomic status and health status. The socioeconomic status will be approached through different indicators, mainly income. To do so, we first discuss relevant articles in this field, which are among the most cited by literature, and then focus on a systematic literature review of recent years. In the revised literature 5 of the 26 studies analyzed use aggregated data (19%), compared to 21 using individual data (81%). Most of them analyze the effect of income inequality on health status (17) in comparison with the 11 studies that consider income as a main variable of study (two of the studies consider both the absolute level of income and the distribution of income). The indicator of inequality most used in the literature is the Gini index.

Revised literature show that people who live in areas of high inequalities tend to have a shorter life expectancy and high adult mortality, and that this tendency increases over time. Among the studies that conduct their analysis on individual data results show a strong positive effect of income on health. The effect is particularly relevant in in areas of high inequalities, and its influence can be observed from different socioeconomic measures (education, income, labour status).

Findings vary according to the type of study if the individual age is considered. In this sense, we find articles that show that individuals are statistically more likely to report poorer health if they were more unequally distributed during the first years of their lives than at an advanced age. However, other studies find that the magnitude of health inequalities is not consistent across age groups. In the case of the level of income most of the results find that the major driver of the disequalising effects of mortality was the positive association between old age and poverty.

~~Among countries with more of a tradition of this type of study, we can find Great Britain and its “Black Report” (Black et al. 1988), which was updated with “The Health Divide” (Whitehead 1992). Furthermore, the “Acheson Report” is a continuation of previous studies, from the perspective of the wide differences in the United Kingdom between those at the low and those at the high end of the social scale (Acheson et al 1998). These differences were observed in periods of prosperity and, at the same time, periods when there were reductions in the mortality rate across the country, considered at an aggregated level.~~

There is also an interest in solving the apparent paradox that income appears to be related to health within countries but not between them. The explanation relies on the fact that in developed countries,

which have already achieved a certain standard of living, increases in per capita GDP make little difference to the levels of health because of the *epidemiological transition* (understood under the fourth proposition by Omran (1971, 1982): “The shifts in health and disease patterns that characterize the epidemiologic transition are closely associated with the demographic and socioeconomic transition that constitute the modernization complex”), as in addition to epidemiological changes or changes in health conditions, the health transition also incorporates related social changes as a health care transition, as it has been seen, i.e., by Karlsson et al. (2010); Petrie et al. (2011); Hosseinpoor et al. (2012) or Siegel, Vogt and Sundmacher (2014).

However, population health would also help to explain differences in income levels between individuals and between countries. The importance of investment in health has been re-emphasized by the theories of human capital. Improvements in health diminish productivity losses caused by disease in the workforce, reducing disability, weakness and the number of days off work. Also, they increase assistance to schools and the learning capacity of school children. One could also point to the decline of family disruption and other undesirable social issues as well as the reduction of negative externalities, for example in the case of caring for the sick.

The effects of productivity gains in workers are particularly great for countries with a low level of development. Poor people have a higher risk of illness and their income depends exclusively on their physical work. Investment in health would therefore be a productive investment, since it would increase income. It would be an important part of development and would help to reduce the income gap between rich and poor countries. Testing this relationship may lead to inconsistencies because of the causality between the two variables. This reverse causality could bias the results and make it difficult to draw inferences about the structural effect of income on health.

Finally, there are some limitations to this review we should consider. Firstly, the literature search was limited to the main (three) databases. Future systematic reviews could also include other relevant sources. Secondly, findings were not weighted for sample size.

Conclusion

The published health economics literature on socioeconomic status, health and non-communicable diseases is characterized by a large number of papers that show the complexity of those relationships. Improving this information is crucial if we are to capture the value of socioeconomic measures fully, and to discover the most relevant determinants of health and non-communicable diseases.

From the analysis of the literature we can conclude that income inequality was associated with worse average health. These results remain practically coincident regardless of the health indicator considered. The main conclusion of the studies analysing the temporal evolution of both variables is that income inequalities in health increase over time to the detriment of the economically disadvantaged.

What is true is that different types of analysis produce very different results on the role of health determinants. Thus, the individual conception of health provides a different framework of research from a social analysis. The differences are relevant when the results are presented in terms of effectiveness in health policies and welfare (Wildman 2003). Although the determinants of health identified in individual studies are important variables in an aggregate analysis, there are specific factors that **affect** social groups.

In this sense, for example, a better health status derived from a greater level of education may be the result of an education variable directly influencing the individual's health or may be because of an improvement in social class due to a better education.

Lastly, further research is necessary to investigate the role of income level, its composition and its distribution on health status and the labour market. To help with this, perhaps we can highlight the greater potential of individual studies, with the new databases available, for analysing hypotheses about a more detailed relationship between socioeconomic status, health and non-communicable diseases.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

'Not applicable'.

Availability of data and material

'Not applicable'.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Authors' contribution

All authors developed the idea for the systematic review and contributed to the concept and design. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

MATERIALS ARE NOT SUBMITTED TO OTHER JOURNAL.

Acknowledgements

'Not applicable'.

References

~~Acheson SD (1998) Independent inquiry into inequalities in health report. Stationery Office.~~

Adler NE, Boyce WT, Chesney MA, Folkman S, Syme SL (1993) Socioeconomic inequalities in health: no easy solution. *JAMA* 269(24):3140-5

Aizer A, Currie J (2014) The intergenerational transmission of inequality: Maternal disadvantage and health at birth. *Science* 344(6186):856-861

Almond D, Currie J (2011) Killing me softly: The fetal origins hypothesis. *J Econ Perspect* 25(3):153-72

Allanson P, Gerdtham UG, Petrie D (2010) Longitudinal analysis of income-related health inequality. *J Health Econ* 29(1):78-86

Allanson P, Petrie D (2013) Longitudinal methods to investigate the role of health determinants in the dynamics of income-related health inequality. *J Health Econ* 32(5):922-37

Ásgeirsdóttir TL, Ragnarsdóttir DÓ (2014) Health-income inequality: the effects of the Icelandic economic collapse. *Int J Equity Health* 13(1):50

Babones SJ (2008) Income inequality and population health: correlation and causality. *Soc Sci Med* 66(7):1614-26

Bengtsson T, Mineau GP (2009) Early-life effects on socio-economic performance and mortality in later life: A full life-course approach using contemporary and historical sources. *Soc Sci Med* 68(9):1561-

~~Black D, J Morris C, Smith P, Townsend, P. (1980) The Black Report. In: Townsend P, Davidson N. (eds.) Inequalities in Health. London: Penguin Books~~

- Cantarero D, Pascual M, Sarabia JM (2005) Effects of income inequality on population health: new evidence from the European Community Household Panel. *Appl Econ* 37(1):87-91
- Chauvel L, Leist AK (2015) Socioeconomic hierarchy and health gradient in Europe: the role of income inequality and of social origins. *Int J Equity Health* 14(1):1
- Chen Z, Crawford CA (2012) The role of geographic scale in testing the income inequality hypothesis as an explanation of health disparities. *Soc Sci Med* 75(6):1022-31
- Contoyannis P, Foster, M (1999) The distribution of health and income: a theoretical framework. *J Health Econ* 8:605-62
- Currie J. (2009) Healthy, wealthy, and wise: Socioeconomic status, poor health in childhood, and human capital development. *J Econ Lit* 47(1):87-122
- Currie J, Almond D (2011) Human capital development before age five. *Handbook of labor Economics* 4:1315-486
- Currie J, Madrian BC (1999) Health, health insurance and the labor market. *Handbook of labor Economics* 31;3:3309-416
- Dahl E, Elstad JI, Hofoss D, Martin-Mollard M (2006) For whom is income inequality most harmful? A multi-level analysis of income inequality and mortality in Norway. *Soc Sci Med* 63(10):2562-74
- De Ree J, Alessie R (2011) Life satisfaction and age: Dealing with underidentification in age-period-cohort models. *Soc Sci Med* 73(1):177-82
- Deaton A (1999) Inequalities in Income and Inequalities in Health. NBER Working Paper W7141
- Deaton A (2001a) Relative Deprivation, Inequality, and Mortality. NBER Working Paper W8099
- Deaton A (2001b). Health, Inequality, and Economic Development. NBER Working Paper W8318
- Deaton A, Lubotsky D (2001) Mortality, Inequality and Race in American Cities and States. NBER Working Paper W8370
- Deaton A, Muellbauer J (1980) *Economics and Consumer Behaviour*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Deaton A, Paxson C (2001) Mortality, Income, and Income inequality over time in Britain and the United States. NBER Working Paper W7140
- Duleep JO (1995) Mortality and income inequality among economically developed countries. *Soc Secur Bull* 58(2):35-40
- Eberstadt N, Satel SM (2004) *Health and the income inequality hypothesis. A doctrine in search of data*. Washington, D.C.: The American Enterprise Institute Press
- Elgar FJ (2010) Income inequality, trust, and population health in 33 countries. *Am J Public Health* 100(11):2311-5
- Ettner SL (1996) New evidence on the relationship between income and health. *J Health Econ* 15: 67-85
- Ezzati M, Friedman AB, Kulkarni SC, Murray CJL (2008) The reversal of fortunes: trends in county mortality and cross-county mortality disparities in the United States. *PLoS Med* 5:e66
- Flores M, Garcia-Gomez P, Kalwij A (2015) Early life circumstances and life cycle labor market outcomes. Tinbergen Institute DP:04/V

- Flores M, Kalwij A (2014) The associations between early life circumstances and later life health and employment in Europe. *Empir Econ* 47(4):1251-82
- Fletcher JM (2014) The effects of childhood ADHD on adult labor market outcomes. *Health Econ* 23(2):159-81
- Fuchs V (1974) Some economic aspects of mortality in developed countries. In: Perlman (ed.) *The economics of health and medical care*. M Stockton Press
- Fuchs V (2004) Reflections on the socio-economic correlates of health. *J Health Econ* 23:653-61
- Gravelle H, Wildman J, Sutton M (2002) Income, income inequality and health: What can we learn from aggregate data? *Soc Sci Med* 54:577-89
- Hosseinpour AR, Bergen N, Kunst A, Harper S, Guthold R, Rekve D, d'Espaignet ET, Naidoo N, Chatterji S (2012) Socioeconomic inequalities in risk factors for non communicable diseases in low-income and middle-income countries: results from the World Health Survey. *BMC Public Health* 12(1):1
- Hu Y, van Lenthe FJ, Mackenbach JP (2015) Income inequality, life expectancy and cause-specific mortality in 43 European countries, 1987–2008: a fixed effects study. *Eur J Epidemiol* 30(8): 615-25
- Huijts T, Eikemo TA, Skalická V (2010) Income-related health inequalities in the Nordic countries: examining the role of education, occupational class, and age. *Soc Sci Med* 71(11):1964-72
- Idrovo AJ, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Manzano-Patiño AP (2010) Beyond the income inequality hypothesis and human health: a worldwide exploration. *Rev Saude Publica* 44(4):695-702
- Islam MK, Gerdtam UG, Clarke P, Burström K (2010) Does income-related health inequality change as the population ages? Evidence from Swedish panel data. *Health Econ* 19(3):334-49
- Jutz R (2015) The role of income inequality and social policies on income-related health inequalities in Europe. *Int J Equity Health* 14(1):1
- Kanavos P, Mossialos E (1996) *The methodology of international comparisons of health care expenditures: any lessons for health policy?* LSE Health Discussion Paper 3, London
- Kaplan GA, Pamuk ER, Lynch JW, Cohen RD, Balfour JL (1996) Inequality in income and mortality in the United States: analysis of mortality and potential pathways. *BMJ* 312(7037):999-1003
- Karlsdotter K, Martín JJ, del Amo González MP (2012) Multilevel analysis of income, income inequalities and health in Spain. *Soc Sci Med* 74(7):1099-106
- Karlsson M, Nilsson T, Lyttkens CH, Leeson G (2011) Income inequality and health: Importance of a cross-country perspective. *Soc Sci Med* 70(6):875-85
- Kawachi I, Kennedy BP (1999) Income inequality and health: pathways and mechanisms. *Health Serv Res* 34:215-27
- Kennedy BP, Kawachi I, Prothrow-Stith D (1996) Income distribution and mortality: cross sectional ecological study of the Robin Hood index in the United States. *BMJ* 312(7037):1004-7
- Kim D (2016) [The associations between US state and local social spending, income inequality, and individual all-cause and cause-specific mortality: the National Longitudinal Mortality Study](#). *Prev Med* 84:62-8
- Le Grand J (1987) Inequalities in Health: some international comparisons. *Eur Econ Rev* 31:182-91
- Leyland AH, Dundas R, McLoone P, Boddy FA (2007) Cause-specific inequalities in mortality in

- Scotland: two decades of change. A population-based study. *BMC Public Health* 7(1):112
- Lillard DR, Burkhauser RV, Hahn MH, Wilkins R (2015) Does early-life income inequality predict self-reported health in later life? Evidence from the United States. *Soc Sci Med* 128:347-55
- López I Casasnovas G, Rivera B (2002) Las políticas de equidad en salud y las relaciones entre renta y salud. *Hacienda Pública Española* 161:99-126
- López DB, Loehrer AP, Chang DC (2016) Impact of Income Inequality on the Nation's Health. *J Am Coll Surg* 223(4):587-94
- Lundborg P, Nystedt P, Rooth DO (2014) Height and earnings: The role of cognitive and noncognitive skills. *J Hum Resour* 49(1):141-66
- Lutter R, Morrall J (1994) Health-Health Analysis: A new way to evaluate health and safety regulation. *J Risk Uncertain* 8(1):43-66.
- Mackenbach JP, Martikainen P, Looman CW, Dalstra JA, Kunst AE, Lahelma E, SEDHA Working Group (2005) The shape of the relationship between income and self-assessed health: an international study. *Int J Epidemiol* 34:286-93
- Mackenbach JP (2012) The persistence of health inequalities in modern welfare states: the explanation of a paradox. *Soc Sci Med* 75(4):761-9
- McKeown RE (2009) The epidemiologic transition: changing patterns of mortality and population dynamics. *Am J Lifestyle Med* 3:19S-26S
- McCarron PG, Davey Smith G, Womersley J (1994) Deprivation and mortality in Glasgow: increasing differentials from 1980 to 1992. *BMJ* 309:1481-82
- Marmot M, Smith G, Stansfield S, Patel Ch, Nort F, Head J, White I, Brunner E, Feeny A (1991) Health Inequalities Among British Civil Servants: The Whitehall II Study. *Lancet* 337(8754):1387-93
- Martinson ML (2012) Income inequality in health at all ages: a comparison of the United States and England. *Am J Public Health* 102(11):2049-56
- Omran AR (1971) The epidemiologic transition: a theory of the epidemiology of population change. *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 29: 509-38
- Omran AR (1982) Epidemiologic transition. In: Ross JA, ed., *International encyclopedia of population*. London, The Free Press: 172–83
- Oshio T, Kobayashi M (2010) Income inequality, perceived happiness, and self-rated health: Evidence from nationwide surveys in Japan. *Soc Sci Med*;70(9):1358-66
- Parkin D, McGuire A, Yule B (1987) Aggregate health care expenditures and national income: is health care a luxury good? *J Health Econ* 6(2):109-27
- Pearce J, Dorling D (2006) Increasing geographical inequalities in health in New Zealand 1980-2001. *Int J Epidemiol* 35:597-603
- Petrie D, Allanson P, Gerdtham UG (2011) Accounting for the dead in the longitudinal analysis of income-related health inequalities. *J Health Econ* 30(5):1113-23
- Pritchett L., Summers LH (1996) Wealthier is healthier. *J Hum Resour*: 841-868
- Pickett KE, Wilkinson RG (2015). Income inequality and health: a causal review. *Soc Sci Med* 128:316-26
- Preston S (1975) The changing relation between mortality and level of economic development. *Popul*

Stud 29:231-48

Quon EC, McGrath JJ (2015) Province-Level Income Inequality and Health Outcomes in Canadian Adolescents. *J Pediatr Psychol* 40(2):251-61

Rambotti S (2015) Recalibrating the spirit level: An analysis of the interaction of income inequality and poverty and its effect on health. *Soc Sci Med* 139:123-31

Regidor E, Santos JM, Ortega P, Calle ME, Astasio P, Martínez D (2014) Decreasing income inequality and emergence of the association between income and premature mortality: Spain, 1970–2010. *Health Place* 27:30-7

Rico A, Ramos Morilla X, Borell C (2002) Distribución de la renta, pobreza y esperanza de vida en España. *Invertir para la salud: prioridades en salud pública: informe SESPAS*, 67-73

Rodgers GB (1979) Income and inequality as determinants of mortality: an international cross-section analysis. *Popul Stud* 33(2):343-51

Shaw M, Davey Smith G, Dorling D. (2005) Health inequalities and new labour: how the promises compare with real progress. *BMJ* 30:1016-21

Siegel M, Mielck A, Maier W (2015) Individual Income, Area Deprivation, and Health: Do Income-Related Health Inequalities Vary by Small Area Deprivation? *Health Econ* 24(11):1523-30

Siegel M, Vogt V, Sundmacher L (2014) From a conservative to a liberal welfare state: Decomposing changes in income-related health inequalities in Germany, 1994–2011. *Soc Sci Med* 108:10-9

Singh GK, Siahpush M (2002) Increasing inequalities in all-cause and cardiovascular mortality among US adults aged 25–64 years by area socioeconomic status, 1969–1998. *I Int J Epidemiol* 31(3), 600-13

Starfield B (2000) Is US health really the best in the world? *JAMA* 284:483-85

Thomas B, Dorling D, Davey Smith G (2010) Inequalities in premature mortality in Britain: observational study from 1921 to 2007. *BMJ* 41:C3639

Torre R, Myrskylä M (2014) Income inequality and population health: an analysis of panel data for 21 developed countries, 1975–2006. *Popul Stud* 68(1):1-13

Vallejo-Torres L, Hale D, Morris S, Viner RM (2014) Income-related inequality in health and health-related behaviour: exploring the equalisation hypothesis. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 68(7):615-21

Van Doorslaer EV, Koolman X (2004) Explaining the differences in income-related health inequalities across European countries. *Health Econ* 13(7):609-28

Van Doorslaer E, Wagstaff A, Bleichrodt H, Calonge S, Gerdtham UG, Gerfin M, Geurts J, Gross L, Häkkinen U, Leu RE, O'Donnell O (1997) Income-related inequalities in health: some international comparisons. *J Health Econ* 16:93-112

Viscusi K (1994) Risk-Risk Analysis. *J Risk Uncertain* 8(1): 5-17

Waldmann RJ (1992) Income distribution and infant mortality. *Q J Econ*. 107(4):1283-1302

Wagstaff A, Van Doorslaer E. *Income Inequality and Health: What Does the Literature Tell Us?* *Annu Rev Public Health* 1:543-67

Whitehead M (1992) *The Health Divide. In: Inequalities in Health, England: Penguin Books*

WHO (1986). *First International Conference on Health Promotion*. WHO. Ottawa.

- WHO (2008) Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Final Report: Closing the Gap in a Generation: Health Equity Trough Action on the Social Determinants of Health. WHO. Geneva
- Wildman J (2001) The impact of income inequality on individual and societal health: absolute income, relative income and statistical artefact. *Health Econ* 10: 357-61
- Wildman J (2003) Modelling health, income and income inequality: the impact of income inequality on health and health inequality. *J Health Econ* 22:521-38
- Wildman J, Gravelle H. Sutton M (2003) Health and income inequality: attempting to avoid the aggregation problem. *Appl Econ* 35:999-1004
- Wilkinson RG (1992) Income distribution and life expectancy. *BMJ*,304(6820):165
- Wilkinson RG (1996) *Unhealthy societies: the afflictions of inequality*. London: Routledge
- Wilkinson RG (1997) Socioeconomic determinants of health. Health inequalities: relative or absolute material standards? *BMJ* 314(7080):591.
- Wilkinson RG (1998) Mortality and distribution of income. Low relative income affects mortality. *BMJ* (Clinical Research ed.),316(7144):1611
- Wilkinson RG, Pickett KE (2006) Income inequality and population health: a review and explanation of the evidence. *Soc Sci Med* 62(7):1768-84
- Wilson KB, Thorpe RJ, LaVeist TA (2017) Dollar for dollar: racial and ethnic inequalities in health and health-related outcomes among persons with very high income. *Prev Med* 96:149-53

Tables and Figures

Table 1 Characteristics of the studies included in the review (omitting those found by “hand searching”)

Study	Year	Country	Methodology	Main result
Allanson et al. (2010)	1991-1999	England	Index of “income-related health mobility”.	There has been a fall in income-related health inequality.
Elgar (2010)	2005-2008	33 countries	2-level linear model with variances.	Income inequality might contribute to short life expectancy and adult mortality in part because of societal differences in trust. [Income inequality correlated with country differences in trust ($r = -0.51$), health expenditures ($r = -0.45$), life expectancy ($r = -0.74$), and mortality ($r = 0.55$). Trust correlated with life expectancy ($r = 0.48$) and mortality ($r = -0.47$) and partly mediated their relations to income inequality. Health expenditures did not correlate with life expectancy and mortality, and health expenditures did not mediate links between inequality and health].
Huijts et al. (2010)	2002, 2004, 2006	Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden	Binomial logistic regression models.	Income gradient. People reported significantly better health and were less likely to suffer from long-running illnesses if they had a higher income.
Idrovo et al. (2010)	2002-2004	110 countries	Path analysis of cross-sectional ecological data.	Income inequality and social capital have direct effects on life expectancy at birth. [The correlations between the variables studied were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) and displayed the desired tendency. Where LEB was lower, income inequality, political rights and civil liberties or ethnic fractionalization were greater. In addition, LEB was higher when less corruption was perceived and where there are more generalized trust. The Gini coefficient was greater where ethnic fractionalization was greater and lower where there was less perceived corruption. The Gini coefficient was negatively correlated with generalized trust and the CPI 2004, and it was positively correlated with ethnic fractionalization and the Index of Freedom. The correlations between the CPI and the Index

of Freedom or ethnic fractionalization were negative, indicating that fractionalization and political rights and civil liberties were greater, where less corruption was perceived. Ethnic fractionalization was positively correlated with the Index of Freedom and negatively correlated with generalized confidence. Finally, the Index of Freedom was negatively correlated with generalized trust].

Islam et al. (2010)	1980-1981, 1988-1989, 1996-1997	Sweden	Concentration Index, by fixed effect model.	Conventional unstandardized and standardized (by age and gender) CIs (Concentration Indexes) increase over time. [contribution of income to health inequality decreases over time (from about 19% in wave 1 to 9% in wave 3) with suggests population aging weakens the relative importance of income on total health inequality].
Karlsson et al. (2010)	2006	21 countries	Ordered probit model.	There is evidence of a negative relationship between income inequality and individual health in high-income countries.
Oshio and Kobayashi (2010)	2001, 2004, 2009; 2000, 2003, 2006.	Japan	ANOVA and ordered bivariate probit models.	Individuals who live in areas of high inequality tend to report themselves as both unhappy and unhealthy.
Petrie et al. (2011)	1999-2004	Scotland, England and Wales	Decomposition method in order to account explicitly for mortality in the longitudinal analysis of income-related health inequalities.	Accounting for deaths in the decomposition analysis shows that the relative health changes for both regions and genders between 1999 and 2004 were significantly regressive, such that initially poor people experienced a greater share of health losses compared to their initial state of health. [Income-related health mobility, expressed as a proportion of final health inequality, was 11.5% in the case of CI^U and 15.9% for CI , with the latter value being 35.3% larger than the former where this ratio is also given by the ratio of the corresponding P values].
Chen and Crawford (2012)	2000	United States	Multilevel regression models.	Income inequalities measured at different geographic scales have different interpretations and relate to societal factors at different levels. A rejection of the IIH (Income Inequality Hypothesis) at one geographic

level cannot negate positive evidence at another level.

Hosseinpour et al. (2012)	2002-2004	48 countries	Bivariate and count data models.	Prevalence of non-communicable disease risk factors demonstrates different patterns for varying degrees of socioeconomic inequality across low- and middle-income settings. [In both sexes, current daily smoking and low fruit and vegetable consumption were more prevalent in the poorest wealth quintile than in the richest, and regular inequality in both absolute and relative terms was found after controlling for respondents' age and country of residence (Model 1). The highest absolute inequality across the entire distribution of wealth was related to smoking among men living in LICs (prevalence difference: 23.0%, 95% CI: 19.6, 26.4). The absolute difference of low fruit and vegetable consumption prevalence between poorest and richest adults of the study LIC group was near 10% (Men: 9.7%, 95% CI: 6.2, 13.2; Women: 9.5%, 95% CI: 6.5, 12.4). SII values for smoking and low fruit and vegetable consumption were not significantly different in LIC and MIC study groups, except smoking in men, which demonstrated significantly higher absolute inequality in the LIC group. On the contrary, physical inactivity illustrated reverse inequality, with elevated prevalence in populations of high socioeconomic status. Reverse inequality was pronounced in the LIC group. Inadequate physical activity among the poorest adults in LICs was about half as prevalent as among the richest (prevalence ratio: (Men) 0.46, 95% CI: 0.33, 0.64; (Women) 0.52, 95% CI: 0.42, 0.65). Heavy episodic alcohol drinking demonstrated mixed patterns of inequality. For instance, women in LIC and MIC groups showed regular and reverse inequality, respectively (prevalence ratio: (LICs) 2.51, 95% CI: 1.68, 3.74; (MICs) 0.65, 95% CI: 0.47, 0.90), although the absolute
---------------------------	-----------	--------------	----------------------------------	--

inequality in both groups was very low (about 1 percentage point). No inequality was reported by men of the MIC group, and regular inequality was weakly demonstrated by men of the LIC group (prevalence ratio: 1.41, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.93)].

Karlsdotter et al. (2012)	2007	Spain	Logit model.	Support for the absolute income hypothesis: “a higher level of personal income is correlated with a lower probability of negative health outcomes”.
Martinson (2012)	1999-2006; 2003-2006	United States and England	Weighted prevalence rates and risk ratios by income level for different health risk factors or conditions (obesity, hypertension, diabetes, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high cholesterol ratio, heart attack or angina, stroke, and asthma).	Income gradients in health are very similar across age, gender, and numerous health conditions, and are robust to adjustments for race/ethnicity, health behaviours, body mass index, and health insurance.
Allanson and Petrie (2013)	1999-2004	Great Britain	Dynamic health function modelling framework (two-part model). Changes in IRHI (Income Related Health Inequality) through both morbidity changes and mortality. Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) as health measure.	Major driver of the no equalising effects of mortality is the positive association between age and poverty, with other significant contributors including initial health status, advanced levels of educational attainment, gender and smoking.
Ásgeirsdóttir and Ragnarsdóttir (2014)	2007, 2009	Iceland	Health concentration index.	Cyclical income-related health distributions. [For males education also contributed somewhat to inequality, or around 5% for high education in 2007 and low education in 2009. The largest increase in contributions to male inequality between years is from being a student, with a 22.96 percentage point increase in contributions when individual income was the income measure, 7.08 percentage points when household income was the income measure and 8.28 percentage points when equalized household income was the income measure. For females being a student reduced inequality between years, but mainly when individual income was the income measure, with a

5.91 percentage point change. For males the contribution of being single increased by 4.18 percentage points between years using individual income as the income measure. For males the contribution of being retired decreased by around 5–6 percentage points between years as well as the contribution of being unemployed, which decreased substantially using all income measures. The largest decrease was when individual income was used, or 20.69 percentage points. The contributions of being a male business owner increased by 4.38 percentage points between years when individual income was the income measure and being disabled by 5.76 percentage points. The contribution of being a male former smoker increased by 4.75 percentage points between years when individual income was the income measure while the contribution of smoking daily increased by 2–3 percentage points between years for females, using all income measures. For males the contribution of age increased by 10.99 percentage points when household income was the income measure and 5.90 percentage points when equalized household income was the income measure, while it decreased slightly between years for females. The change in contribution of equalized household income to health inequality for males between years was 19.37 percentage points. For females the contribution of individual income reduced by 7.26 percentage points between years]

The degree of deprivation-specific income-related inequality in the three health outcomes exhibits only small variations across different levels of multiple deprivation for both sexes. [Health inequalities with respect to household income are considerably stronger than those with respect to small area deprivation. All differences are

Siegel, Mielck and Maier (2015)

2002, 2006

Germany

Semiparametric extension of Wagstaff's corrected concentration index.

					highly significant ($p < 0.01$) in the female sample. In the male sample, the differences are statistically significant at the 99% level for income and obesity and at the 95% level for diabetes. no income-related gradient in the distribution of obesity is observed within the most deprived 10% of the communes among males, income-related inequalities of hypertension among males hardly exist in the worse-off 50% of communes, and the income-related inequality of diabetes among males varies around ≈ -1]
Siegel, Vogt and Sundmacher (2014)	1994-2011	Germany	Health index.	concentration	Income-related health inequalities have roughly doubled over time, to the disadvantage of the economically deprived.
Vallejo-Torres et al. (2014)	2006-2010	England	Health index.	concentration	Inequalities occur across the life-course but for some health issues there may be a period of equalisation in late adolescence and early adulthood. [The income effect among children and young adolescents was not significantly different, but the results showed in some cases a different impact between those aged 12–15 years and those aged 16–19. The impact of income on health among late adolescents (aged 16–19 years) and young adults (aged 20–24 years) was not significantly different, but the impact in mid-adults (25–44 years) was significantly different to that in young adults in some instances, especially among females. Among males the income effect was not statistically significantly different until comparing 25–44 year and 45–64 year age groups for most indicators. For both genders and in almost every health indicator, the impact of income was significantly different in the elderly compared with older adults].
Torre and Myrskylä (2014)	1975-2006	21 developed countries		Time series.	Income inequality is positively associated with mortality of males and females between the ages of 1 and 14 years and 15 and 49 years, and with mortality of females between the ages of 65 and 89, albeit less strongly than for younger age groups.

[The coefficient 0.055 for men suggests that a 1% increase in GDP per head leads to a 0.055% increase in life expectancy. Among women, the coefficients are positive for all age intervals but significant at $p=0.05$ level only up to age 15 and with $p=0.10$ level up to age 50. For higher ages the coefficients are statistically insignificant. The coefficient 0.47 for infant mortality (both men and women) suggests that a 1% increase in income inequality would increase infant mortality by 0.47%. e for an increase in the Gini index, a 43% increase in income inequality would correspond to 14% ($1.43^{0.373}$) increase in child mortality for men and 16% ($1.43^{0.424}$) increase for women. However, since mortality rate at ages 1-14 is lower for women than for men (in our data, on average 25% lower), the absolute effect is greater for men. At ages 15-49, the male mortality coefficient for Gini index is still positive (0.285) and highly significant ($p<0.10$) However, for women aged 15-49 the coefficient size is 40% smaller than for men (0.171), and significant only at the $p<0.10$. For the GDP per head predictor, for both men and women, the coefficient - 0.30 at ages 1-14 suggests that a doubling of GDP per head would decrease child mortality by 30%].

Chauvel and Leist (2015)	2005, 2011	18 countries	Multilevel models.	Linear health gradients increase. Intergenerational transmission of status gains in importance in countries with higher income inequality. [The stratification variables were moderately but not overly correlated (Pearson's correlation of logit rank transformed social origins and income $r_P = 0.20, p < 0.001$; Spearman's correlation of education and occupation $r_S = -0.54, p > 0.001$; Spearman's correlations of education and occupation, respectively, with logit rank transformed social origins and income $-0.37 < r_S < 0.36, p < 0.001$)]
Jutz (2015)	2008-2009	42 European	Two-step hierarchical	Income inequality has more

		countries	estimation approach.	impact on health inequalities than do social policies. [The relation between social protection expenditures and health inequalities could not be confirmed. As expected, SPE and health inequalities are negatively related (-.25), but the relation does not reach significance. The Gini index was positively related to health inequalities (.39, $p < .05$), i.e., higher income inequality was linked to higher health inequalities].
Lillard et al. (2015)	1913-2009, 1984-2009	United States	Ordered probit models.	Exposure to income inequality in early life is related to worse health in later life.
Quon, and McGrath (2015)	1994-1995	Canada	Multilevel modeling.	Income inequality is associated with injuries, general physical symptoms, and limiting conditions, but not associated with most adolescent health outcomes/behaviors. Income inequality has a moderating effect on family socioeconomic status for limiting conditions.
Rambotti (2015)	1999	United States (plus international comparisons)	Bivariate and cross-sectional associations.	Poverty has a significant and adverse effect.
Kim (2016)	1980s and 1990s	United States	Linear probability models.	Linkages were identified between state-level spending on welfare and education and lower individual risks of dying, particularly from coronary heart diseases.
López et al. (2016)	1998-2011	United States	Multivariable linear and Poisson regressions.	Income inequality is independently associated with higher health care expenditures and more health care use.
Wilson et al. (2017)	2000-2011	United States	Logistic regressions.	Racial disparities in health outcomes exist. Race/ethnic disparities are not merely a result of income.

Table 2 Health indicators, Data aggregation and Causality of variables (income and health)

<i>Health indicators</i>	There is no complete and comparable health index for all countries. The indicators commonly used are mortality rates (infant and adult) and life expectancy. However, these indicators are not sensitive to improvements in quality of life (Parkin et al. 1987). Data at the individual level are recommended (Wagstaff and Van Doorslaer 2000).
<i>Data aggregation</i>	This presents problems from a methodological point of view. The availability of comparable data for long periods of time is a problem, and individual conditions of linearity are required, while the evidence suggests that relationships in this regard are configured in a nonlinear way (Preston 1975; Rodgers 1979; Duleep 1995; Ettner 1996; Deaton 2001a and 2001b; Gravelle et al. 2002; Mackenbach et al. 2005).
<i>Causality of the variables</i>	Population health would also help explain differences in income levels among individuals and countries. The effect could bias results and make any inferences about the structural effect of income on health difficult (Fuchs 1974 and 2004; Ettner 1996).

Table 3 Social mobility over the life course: some findings

Recent papers	Currie and Madrian (1999), Bengtsson and Mineau (2009), Almond and Currie (2011), De Ree and Alessie (2011), Lundborg et al. (2014), Flores et al. (2015).
Some empirical findings	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Inequalities in health and socioeconomic status are present early in life.- Childhood circumstances have direct and indirect impacts (through mediating determinants) on health in later life and on outcomes related to socioeconomic status (mainly understood as employment (or educational level) and wages).- The most efficient way (universal vs. group-specific interventions) to solve life cycle inequalities in health and socioeconomic status is an open question.- Alternative specifications should be used for the model, or long panels should be used to follow the same individuals over a period of time, as their age could help to understand the impact of health on socioeconomic status and to predict future health and the expenditure required.




Fig. 1 Flow diagram of paper selection process

The flow diagram depicts the different phases (identification-screening-eligibility-included) of the systematic review. It maps out the number of records in each phase and shows how many studies have been included or excluded, respectively.




Fig.2 Relative and absolute income–health hypotheses.

Appendix 1

Table 4 Search strategy: PubMed, Cochrane library and Web of Science

#	Search term
<i>PubMed</i>	
#1.	Health [Title/Abstract]
#2.	Income [Title/Abstract]
#3.	Inequality [Title/Abstract]
#4.	Limit to: journal article; year of publication >= 2010; English and Spanish; Humans subjects, free-full text.
<i>Cochrane Library</i>	
#1.	Health [Title/Abstract]
#2.	Income [Title/Abstract]
#3.	Inequality [Title/Abstract]
#4.	Limit to: year of publication >= 2010.
<i>Web of Science</i>	
#1.	Health [Topic]; [Title]
#2.	Income [Topic]; [Title]
#3.	Inequality [Topic]; [Title]
#4.	Limit to: journal article; year of publication >= 2010; English and Spanish; Public Environmental Occupational Health “or” Social Issues “or” Health Care Sciences Services.