Consumption of Fish Is Not Associated with Risk of Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) Study

Raul Zamora-Ros,[1] Jazmín Castañeda,[1] Sabina Rinaldi,[2] Valerie Cayssials,[1] Nadia Slimani,[2] Elisabete Weiderpass,[3–6] Konstantinos K Tsilidis,[7,8] Marie-Christine Boutron-Ruault,[9,10] Kim Overvad,[11] Anne K Eriksen,[12] Anne Tjønneland,[12] Tilman Kühn,[13] Verena Katzke,[13] Heiner Boeing,[14] Antonia Trichopoulou,[15,16] Carlo La Vecchia,[15,17] Anastasia Kotanidou,[15,18] Domenico Palli,[19] Sara Grioni,[20] Amalia Mattiello,[21] Rosario Tumino,[22] Veronica Sciannameo,[23] Eiliv Lund,[3] Susana Merino,[24] Elena Salamanca-Fernández,[25,26] Pilar Amiano,[26,27] José María Huerta,[26,28] Aurelio Barricarte,[26,29,30] Ulrika Ericson,[31] Martin Almquist,[32,33] Joakim Hennings,[34] Maria Sandström,[35] H Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita,[8,36,37] Petra H Peeters,[8,38] Kay-Tee Khaw,[39] Nicholas J Wareham,[40] Julie A Schmidt,[41] Amanda J Cross,[8] Elio Riboli,[8] Augustin Scalbert,[2] Isabelle Romieu,[2] Antonio Agudo,[1] and Silvia Franceschi[2]

[1]Unit of Nutrition and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Program, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Barcelona, Spain; [2]International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France; [3]Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT, The Artic University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway; [4]Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Institute of Population-Based Cancer Research, Oslo, Norway; [5]Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; [6]Genetic Epidemiology Group, Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland; [7]Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Ioannina School of Medicine, Ioannina, Greece; [8]School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; [9]Université Paris-Saclay, Université Paris-Sud, University of Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines (UVSQ), Center for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health (CESP), National Institute of Health and Medical Research (INSERM), Villejuif, France; [10]Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; [11]Department of Public Health, Section of Epidemiology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; [12]Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark; [13]Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany; [14]Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Nuthetal, Germany; [15]Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece; [16]WHO Collaborating Center for Nutrition and Health, Unit of Nutritional Epidemiology and Nutrition in Public Health, Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology, and Medical Statistics, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece; [17]Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy; [18]First Department of Critical Care Medicine and Pulmonary Services, University of Athens Medical School, Evangelismos Hospital, Athens, Greece; [19]Cancer Risk Factors and Lifestyle Epidemiology Unit, Cancer Research and Prevention Institute (ISPO), Florence, Italy; [20]Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, IRCCS Foundation National Institute of Tumors, Milan, Italy; [21]Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Federico II University, Naples, Italy; [22]Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, "Civic MP Arezzo" Hospital, ASP Ragusa, Italy; [23]Unit of Epidemiology, Regional Health Service ASL TO3, Grugliasco (TO), Turin, Italy; [24]Public Health Directorate, Asturias, Spain; [25]Andalusian School of Public Health, Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs. Granada, University Hospitals of Granada/University of Granada, Granada, Spain; [26]Biomedical Research Center Network for Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain; [27]Public Health Division of Gipuzkoa, Regional Government of the Basque Country, Bilbao, Spain; [28]Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Regional Health Council, Murciano Institute for Biosanitary Research (IMIB)-Arrixaca, Murcia, Spain; [29]Navarra Public Health Institute, Pamplona, Spain; [30]Navarra Institute for Health Research (IdiSNA), Pamplona, Spain; [31]Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden; [32]Department of Surgery, University Hospital Lund, Lund, Sweden; [33]Malmö Diet and Cancer Study, University Hospital Malmö, Malmö, Sweden; Departments of [34]Surgical and Perioperative Sciences and [35]Radiation Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; [36]Department of Determinants of Chronic Diseases, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, Netherlands; [37]Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; [38]Department of Epidemiology, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands; [39]Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; [40]Medical Research Center Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge, United Kingdom; and [41]Cancer Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

Abstract

Background: Differentiated thyroid cancer (TC) is the most common endocrine cancer. Fish can be an important source of iodine and other micronutrients and contaminants that may affect the thyroid gland and TC risk.

Objective: We prospectively evaluated the relations between the consumption of total fish and different fish types and shellfish and TC risk in the EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) study.

Methods: EPIC is a cohort of >500,000 men and women, mostly aged 35–70 y, who were recruited in 10 European countries. After a mean follow-up of 14 y, 748 primary differentiated TC cases were diagnosed; 666 were in women and

601 were papillary TC. Data on intakes of lean fish, fatty fish, fish products, and shellfish were collected by using country-specific validated dietary questionnaires at recruitment. Multivariable Cox regression was used to calculate HRs and 95% CIs adjusted for many potential confounders, including dietary and nondietary factors.

Results: No significant association was observed between total fish consumption and differentiated TC risk for the highest compared with the lowest quartile (HR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.81, 1.32; P-trend = 0.67). Likewise, no significant association was observed with the intake of any specific type of fish, fish product, or shellfish. No significant heterogeneity was found by TC subtype (papillary or follicular tumors), by sex, or between countries with low and high TC incidence.

Conclusion: This large study shows that the intake of fish and shellfish was not associated with differentiated TC risk in Europe, a region in which iodine deficiency or excess is rare.   *J Nutr* 2017;147:1366–73.

Introduction

Approximately 230,000 new cases of thyroid cancer (TC) were estimated in 2012 among women and 70,000 among men worldwide, with a large variability in incidence rates in different parts of the world (1). The traditional classification of TC is based on morphologic and clinical features: differentiated [including papillary (~80% of all TC cases) and follicular (10–20%) tumors], medullary (5–10%), anaplastic tumors (<5%), and other rare tumors (e.g., thyroid lymphoma and sarcoma) (2).

To date, the only 3 well-established risk factors for TC are exposure to ionizing radiation (3), previous benign thyroid hyperplasia (including goiter and thyroid nodules) (4), and high body mass (5, 6). Among dietary exposures (7–9), potential associations with TC have been suggested with intakes of iodine-rich seafood (10, 11), goitrogenic vegetables (12, 13), PUFAs (14), and alcohol (15).

Fish and fish products are considered healthy foods in several dietary patterns [e.g., Mediterranean diet (16), traditional Japanese diet (17), and Healthy Nordic Food Index (18)], because they are rich in essential nutrients, such as protein of high biological value and minerals (e.g., iodine, calcium, iron, and zinc) (19, 20). Fatty fish are also a good source of n–3 PUFAs (EPA and DHA) and lipid-soluble vitamins (retinol, vitamin D, and vitamin E). However, fish can also contain some contaminants such as toxic heavy metals and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (21–23).

A current review found no association between fish consumption and TC risk in data from a pooled analysis of 15 case-control studies (5) and 1 US-based cohort (24). However, further prospective studies, in larger number of cases, are needed to investigate the associations between the intakes of fish and fish subtypes and TC etiology, especially by subtypes of TC. The aim of the current study was to prospectively evaluate the relations between the consumption of total fish, and fish subtypes and the risk of developing differentiated TC in the EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) study. EPIC is one of the largest cohorts worldwide, with a large number of differentiated TC cases and substantial heterogeneity in fish consumption among participants from 10 European countries (19) and therefore constitutes an ideal setting to investigate this association.

Methods

Study population. EPIC is a multicenter cohort that was designed to principally investigate the role of dietary, environmental, and genetic factors in the risk of developing cancer. This cohort has 23 centers located in 10 European countries: Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. Briefly, the EPIC cohort includes 521,324 participants (70.1% women), mostly aged between 35 and 70 y, recruited between 1992 and 2000, primarily from the general population, with the exception of France (women who were health insurance members), Utrecht and Florence (women attending breast cancer screening), Oxford (mostly health-conscious volunteers including a large proportion of vegetarians), and some centers in Spain and Italy (where the participants were mostly blood donors) (25). All of the participants gave written informed consent, and the project was approved by ethics review boards of the International Agency for Research on Cancer and the local participating centers.

Dietary and lifestyle data. At baseline, dietary data were collected with different dietary assessment instruments (e.g., quantitative or semiquantitative FFQs, diet histories, or a dietary questionnaire combined with a 7-d food record) that were developed and locally validated in EPIC previously (25, 26). Face-to-face interviews were conducted in Greece, Ragusa and Naples (Italy), and Spain, whereas questionnaires were

self-administered in all other centers. Total energy and nutrient intakes were estimated by using the dietary questionnaires and the standardized EPIC Nutrient Database (27).

For the current analysis, we used the following categories of fish and shellfish intake: lean fish and lean fish product intake [e.g., cod, haddock, and plaice (fat content ≤4 g/100 g)], fatty fish and fatty fish product intake [e.g., salmon, tuna, and trout (fat content between 4 and 14 g/100 g)], fish and fish product intake (sum of lean and fatty fish and fish products), shellfish intake (including seafood such as prawn, crab, and mussels), and total fish and shellfish intake, which was defined as the sum of fish, fish products, and shellfish (19).

Information on sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics, such as educational level, tobacco and alcohol consumption, physical activity, and medical history, was self-reported at recruitment through standardized questionnaires (25). At baseline, anthropometric data were measured by trained staff in all centers, except in Oxford (United Kingdom), Norway, and France, where self-reported data were obtained.

Follow-up and case ascertainment. Incident cancer cases were identified through population-based cancer registries or active follow-up (directly from study participants or next of kin) and confirmed by a combination of methods including health insurance records and cancer and pathology registries, depending on the center. Complete follow-up censoring dates varied among centers, ranging between December 2010 and December 2014. Cases were defined as participants with a first primary TC (code C73 according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision) during follow-up.

Of the 857 TC cases, anaplastic ($n = 9$), medullary ($n = 37$), and TC defined as lymphoma ($n = 1$) or "other morphologies" ($n = 5$) were excluded. We also excluded 29,332 participants (including 45 differentiated TC cases) with missing or null follow-up time or prevalent cancer other than nonmelanomatous skin cancer, 1277 participants with incomplete information on lifestyle, and 14,555 participants (including 12 differentiated TC cases) for whom dietary information was unavailable or considered to be implausible (i.e., participants who were in the top or the bottom 1% of the distribution of the ratio of total energy intake to energy requirement). A total of 476,108 men and women and 6,639,459 person-years of observation (mean follow-up time of 14.0 y) were included in this analysis. In this study, we had a total of 748 primary differentiated TC cases, including 601 papillary, 109 follicular, and 38 not-otherwise-specified TC, most likely to also be papillary TC.

Statistical analyses. Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate HRs and 95% CIs for the association between fish intake and TC risk. Age was used as the underlying primary dependent time variable, with entry time defined as the participant's age at recruitment and exit time as age at TC diagnosis, death, or censoring date (lost to or end of follow-up), whichever occurred first. Tests and graphs based on Schoenfeld residuals were used to assess proportional hazards assumptions, which were satisfied. Model 1 was stratified by center, age at baseline (1-y interval), and sex. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for the following potential confounders: BMI, smoking status, educational level, physical activity, and total energy and alcohol intakes. In women, model 2 was also adjusted for menopausal status and type, oral contraceptive use, and infertility problems, because these were TC risk factors in this study (28). Because fish is a source of iodine and contaminants, absolute fish intakes could be as important as intakes adjusted for total energy. We conducted model 2 with and without adjustment for total energy and the results were identical; therefore, we only present the results including total energy in model 2.

The intake of fish, overall and by fish type, was assessed by cohort-wide quartiles or BMI-, age-, or sex-specific quartile in stratified analyses. For shellfish consumption, because of the high number of nonconsumers (33.6%), instead of quartiles, 3 groups were created: nonconsumers and those below and above the median of consumers. Tests for linear trend were performed by assigning the median of each quartile as scores. Fish and shellfish consumption was also evaluated as a continuous variable per 10 and 1 g/d, respectively. Possible interactions with sex, smoking status (never, former, or current smokers), alcohol

intake (0, >0–15, >15–29.9, or ≥30 g/d), physical activity (inactive, active, or unknown, according to the Cambridge Physical Activity Index) (29), and BMI (in kg/m^2; <25 or ≥25) were examined by including the interaction terms in the most-adjusted models. Separate sex-specific models were fitted, because borderline significant heterogeneity between sex and total fish and shellfish consumption and differentiated TC risk was detected. Similar models were defined to assess the risk of TC by subtype (papillary and follicular). Separate models were also computed to check the variability between countries with a high compared with low TC incidence. EPIC countries with TC incidence rates of >5/10,000 in women (i.e., France, Germany, Greece, Italy, and Spain) were considered to have high TC incidence. The Wald test was used to evaluate the heterogeneity of risk between sexes and TC subtypes. Two types of sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding the following participants from the analyses: *1*) 67,391 women from the French component of EPIC (248 cases of differentiated TC), because French women represented 37.2% of TC cases in women, and *2*) 77 cases who were diagnosed with TC within the first 2 y of follow-up, because some participants may have modified their diet during the early prediagnostic period of the disease.

Calibration of dietary data. A single 24-h dietary recall was also taken from an 8% random sample of the cohort (36,994 participants) by using a detailed computerized 24-h recall method (30) to calibrate dietary measurements of fish, fish products, and shellfish intake across countries and to correct for systematic overestimation or underestimation of dietary intakes (31). The 24-h recall estimates for fish, fish products, and shellfish of the participants with these data were regressed on the values for these foods estimated from the main dietary questionnaire values. Age at recruitment, center, and total energy intake were included as covariates; and data were weighted by day of the week and season of the year during which the 24-h recall was collected. Zero consumption values in the main dietary questionnaires were excluded in the regression calibration models, and a 0 was directly imputed as a corrected value. Country- and sex-specific calibration models were used to obtain individual predicted values of dietary exposure for all participants. Cox regression models were then conducted by using the predicted (calibrated) values for each individual on a continuous scale. The SE of the calibrated coefficient was estimated with bootstrap sampling in the calibration and disease models and repeated 300 times (31). *P* values <0.05 were considered significant. Statistical analyses were conducted by using SAS, version 9.3, software (SAS Institute).

Results

In our study, women represented 70.1% of the total population and the vast majority of differentiated TC cases (89.0%). Differentiated TC was ~3 times more common in women than in men. The most common subtype of differentiated TC was papillary (80.3%), followed by follicular (14.6%) and not otherwise specified (5.1%) for both sexes (**Table 1**). The median intake of total fish and shellfish in men (27.7 g/d) and women (28.0 g/d) was similar. The highest consumption of total fish and shellfish in men and women was in Spain and Norway, respectively.

Overall, men and women in the highest quartile of total fish and shellfish intake were older and more physically active, had a higher BMI and waist circumference, reported a higher total energy intake, were more likely to be a current smoker and to have a secondary education, and had a higher prevalence of diabetes than those in the lowest quartile (**Supplemental Table 1**). Women in the highest fish-intake quartile reported more infertility problems than did those in the bottom quartile (Supplemental Table 1).

No significant association was observed between total fish consumption and differentiated TC risk for the highest

TABLE 1 Number of differentiated thyroid cancer cases and medians (25th–75th percentiles) of total fish and shellfish intake by sex and country in the EPIC study[1]

| | Women (n = 333,876) | | | | | | Men (n = 142,232) | | | | | |
| | | Cancer cases, n | | | | Total fish and | | Cancer cases, n | | | | Total fish and |
Country	All, n	Thyroid	Papillary	Follicular	NOS	shellfish intake, g/d	All, n	Thyroid	Papillary	Follicular	NOS	shellfish intake, g/d
Denmark	28,714	26	18	8	0	36.0 (24.2–51.5)	26,291	13	10	3	0	42.6 (28.6–60.9)
France[2]	67,391	248	227	19	2	31.0 (18.6–49.7)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Germany	27,373	67	47	18	2	15.8 (7.0–25.6)	21,178	15	11	3	1	20.5 (9.8–31.2)
Greece	15,229	28	22	1	5	18.3 (12.6–27.1)	10,815	8	6	0	2	20.7 (13.6–32.2)
Italy	30,511	106	81	16	9	24.9 (14.2–40.6)	14,032	21	16	3	2	24.9 (14.4–38.5)
Norway[2]	33,972	36	31	4	1	79.7 (53.0–115.6)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spain	24,846	74	62	11	1	47.1 (29.3–70.8)	15,138	6	4	2	0	68.7 (43.1–101.6)
Sweden	26,365	29	20	4	5	21.7 (10.9–39.4)	22,301	10	5	3	2	20.8 (10.4–41.6)
Netherlands	26,910	13	10	3	0	8.1 (3.3–15.6)	9627	4	2	1	1	8.3 (3.8–15.5)
United Kingdom	52,565	39	24	10	5	26.1 (0–43.6)	22,850	5	5	0	0	26.5 (8.0–42.5)
Total	333,876	666	542	94	30	28.0 (13.7–50.0)	142,232	82	59	15	8	27.7 (13.8–48.5)

[1] EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; NOS, not otherwise specified.
[2] Only women were recruited in France and Norway.

compared with the lowest quartile in either model 1 (HR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.81, 1.32; P-trend = 0.67) or the multivariable model 2 (HR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.81, 1.34; P-trend = 0.62) analysis (**Table 2**). No significant association was found with total intakes of fish and fish products or with lean fish, fatty fish, or shellfish separately. Separate analyses of papillary or follicular TC also showed no significant associations with the intake of any type of fish or shellfish, and no evidence of heterogeneity in findings by TC histologic subtypes.

A borderline significant interaction in the association between the intake of total fish and differentiated TC risk with sex was found (P-interaction = 0.07), and therefore results divided by sex are presented (**Table 3**). In women, no significant association with total fish or fish subtypes was observed. In men, a borderline significant inverse trend between total fish and shellfish intake and differentiated TC risk was detected according to model 2 (P-trend = 0.05), although the associations for the observed continuous variable (HR: 0.95; 95% CI: 0.87, 1.05), the calibrated continuous variable (HR: 0.95; 95% CI: 0.81, 1.11) (Table 3), or the extreme quartiles (HR: 0.43; 95% CI: 0.17, 1.05; with a low number of TC cases, e.g., 9 cases in the fourth quartile) were null (data not shown). No significant interaction was observed with BMI status, smoking status, physical activity, or educational level (P-interaction = 0.12, 0.81, 0.45, and 0.73, respectively). A similar lack of heterogeneity was observed in the association of fish intake with TC risk between countries with low and high TC incidence rates (**Table 4**). In the sensitivity analyses that excluded either the large French EPIC component or TC cases who had been diagnosed within the first 2 y of follow-up, the results for any fish intake and TC risk were almost identical to those of the entire cohort (data not shown).

Discussion

In the current study, the largest prospective investigation so far on fish intake and differentiated TC risk to our knowledge, no associations with total fish or shellfish intake were observed. The lack of associations is especially convincing for papillary carcinomas and women, which represented the vast majority of TC cases in EPIC. The intake of fish and shellfish was also unrelated to TC risk in all follicular TC and in both low- and high-TC-incidence countries.

Our results are in concordance with the previous cohort study (24), a systematic review (5), a meta-analysis (8), and a pooled analysis of case-control studies from the United States, Europe, Japan, and China (11). However, a high fish intake was associated with a significantly lower risk of TC (OR: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.48, 0.88) in studies conducted in areas with a history of goiter endemicity, such as Italy and certain parts of Sweden (11). A few additional small case-control studies suggested a protective association between fish and shellfish consumption and TC risk (10, 13, 32).

Saltwater fish and shellfish are a rich source of iodine, which is known to play a role in the onset of goiter (33). However, the possible association with TC risk is complex. A bimodal risk effect of iodine on the pathogenesis of TC has been suspected for a long time (11) and was recently shown in a study from South Korea (34) in which both insufficient and extremely high iodine intakes were associated with an increased risk of benign nodules and TC (97.5% papillary tumors). A Danish ecological study evaluated the incidence before and after iodine supplementation and found that the incidence of TC increased after supplementation with iodine (35). This trend can, however, be explained by the increased ability to detect thyroid nodules and TC after the introduction of ultrasonography (36). The lack of influence of iodine from fish is not surprising because there are currently few mildly iodine-deficient areas in Europe and iodized salt is widely available (37). Likewise, extremely high iodine intakes, like those reported in Japan and other Pacific countries where the intake of seafood and seaweeds is high, are very rare in Europe (10).

Fatty fish is a rich source of PUFAs, particularly n–3 PUFAs (EPA and DHA), that have anti-inflammatory properties through their impact on prostaglandin synthesis and have been observed to be a protective factor in some types of cancers (38), possibly including TC (21, 39). A study of long-chain serum FAs and the risk of TC in Norway (40) showed an inverse association between combinations of arachidonic acid (20:4n−6), EPA, and DHA serum concentrations and the risk of developing papillary TC. A similar inverse association between PUFA intake and TC risk was reported in the EPIC study (14). The protection, however, may derive from food sources other than fish (e.g., vegetable oils and nuts).

TABLE 2 HRs (95% CIs) for differentiated TC and subtypes, according to quartile of intake of total fish and shellfish and shellfish and subtypes in the EPIC study[1]

	Differentiated TC			Papillary TC			Follicular TC			
Intake, g/d	Cases, n	Model 1	Model 2	Cases, n	Model 1	Model 2	Cases, n	Model 1	Model 2	P-heterogeneity
Total fish and shellfish										
Quartile 1 <13.7	158	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	124	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	26	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2 13.7–27.9	196	0.98 (0.79, 1.22)	0.99 (0.80, 1.23)	161	1.01 (0.78, 1.27)	1.03 (0.78, 1.27)	27	0.90 (0.52, 1.57)	0.92 (0.52, 1.60)	
Quartile 3 28.0–49.7	216	1.21 (0.90, 1.39)	1.13 (0.90, 1.41)	168	1.06 (0.83, 1.36)	1.06 (0.83, 1.36)	32	1.11 (0.63, 1.95)	1.17 (0.66, 2.06)	
Quartile 4 >49.7	178	1.03 (0.81, 1.32)	1.05 (0.81, 1.34)	148	1.04 (0.79, 1.36)	1.03 (0.78, 1.36)	24	0.97 (0.51, 1.84)	1.03 (0.53, 1.99)	
P-trend		0.67	0.62		0.73	0.79		0.97	0.81	
Observed continuous (by 10 g/d)		1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)		1.01 (0.98, 1.39)	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)		0.97 (0.90, 1.05)	0.98 (0.90, 1.06)	
Calibrated continuous (by 10 g/d)		1.02 (0.95, 1.09)	1.01 (0.95, 1.08)		1.04 (0.96, 1.11)	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)		0.92 (0.76, 1.10)	0.92 (0.76, 1.10)	0.17
Fish + fish products										
Quartile 1 <12.2	156	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	121	1 (ref)	(ref) 1	27	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2 12.3–24.8	195	0.94 (0.75, 1.17)	0.95 (0.76, 1.18)	153	0.91 (0.71, 1.17)	0.91 (0.71, 1.17)	31	0.98 (0.57, 1.67)	0.99 (0.58, 1.70)	
Quartile 3 24.9–44.2	214	1.06 (0.85, 1.32)	1.07 (0.85, 1.33)	175	1.06 (0.82, 1.36)	1.06 (0.82, 1.36)	26	0.82 (0.46, 1.47)	0.86 (0.48, 1.54)	
Quartile 4 >44.2	183	1.08 (0.84, 1.38)	1.09 (0.85, 1.39)	152	1.09 (0.83, 1.43)	1.08 (0.82, 1.43)	25	0.92 (0.49, 1.74)	0.97 (0.51, 1.86)	
P-trend		0.33	0.32		0.27	0.32		0.78	0.91	
Observed continuous (by 10 g/d)		1.01 (0.98, 1.03)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)		1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)		0.96 (0.89, 1.05)	0.97 (0.89, 1.05)	
Calibrated continuous (by 10 g/d)		1.03 (0.95, 1.10)	1.02 (0.95, 1.10)		1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)		0.97 (0.89, 1.05)	0.91 (0.76, 1.11)	0.13
Lean fish + lean fish products										
Quartile 1 <1.6	186	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	147	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	32	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2 1.6–10.1	202	1.18 (0.95, 1.47)	1.18 (0.95, 1.47)	156	1.14 (0.89, 1.45)	1.14 (0.89, 1.45)	32	1.14 (0.66, 1.97)	1.15 (0.67, 2.00)	
Quartile 3 10.2–21.9	175	1.09 (0.86, 1.37)	1.09 (0.86, 1.37)	150	1.14 (0.88, 1.47)	1.14 (0.88, 1.47)	18	0.74 (0.38, 1.45)	0.76 (0.39, 1.50)	
Quartile 4 >21.9	185	1.23 (0.96, 1.57)	1.22 (0.96, 1.56)	148	1.18 (0.90, 1.55)	1.17 (0.89, 1.54)	27	1.24 (0.64, 2.37)	1.25 (0.64, 2.41)	
P-trend		0.19	0.21		0.31	0.37		0.56	0.55	
Observed continuous (by 10 g/d)		1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	1.00 (0.96, 1.05)		1.01 (0.96, 1.05)	1.01 (0.96, 1.05)		1.00 (0.89, 1.12)	1.00 (0.89, 1.12)	
Calibrated continuous (by 10 g/d)		1.03 (0.94, 1.12)	1.02 (0.93, 1.12)		1.03 (0.94, 1.14)	1.03 (0.93, 1.14)		0.96 (0.75, 1.23)	0.95 (0.75, 1.21)	0.48
Fatty fish + fatty fish products										
Quartile 1 <1.6	144	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	115	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	23	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Quartile 2 1.6–7.8	240	1.07 (0.86, 1.33)	1.08 (0.87, 1.34)	191	1.01 (0.79, 1.28)	1.01 (0.79, 1.29)	34	1.20 (0.69, 2.09)	1.23 (0.71, 2.15)	
Quartile 3 7.9–16.0	181	0.97 (0.77, 1.22)	0.98 (0.78, 1.24)	146	0.92 (0.71, 1.19)	0.92 (0.71, 1.19)	26	1.08 (0.59, 1.98)	1.14 (0.62, 2.08)	
Quartile 4 >16.0	183	1.04 (0.82, 1.32)	1.06 (0.83, 1.34)	149	1.01 (0.78, 1.32)	1.02 (0.78, 1.33)	26	1.03 (0.55, 1.92)	1.10 (0.59, 2.07)	
P-trend		0.88	0.88		0.87	0.89		0.87	0.98	
Observed continuous (by 10 g/d)		0.99 (0.93, 1.05)	0.99 (0.93, 1.05)		1.01 (0.95, 1.08)	1.01 (0.95, 1.08)		0.86 (0.71, 1.04)	0.87 (0.72, 1.05)	
Calibrated continuous (by 10 g/d)		1.01 (0.89, 1.14)	1.01 (0.90, 1.14)		1.04 (0.91, 1.19)	1.04 (0.91, 1.19)		0.86 (0.64, 1.16)	0.88 (0.65, 1.19)	0.20
Shellfish										
Group 1 0.8	291	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	238	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	41	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
Group 2 >0.8–4.1	209	0.93 (0.76, 1.14)	0.94 (0.75, 1.17)	169	0.95 (0.75, 1.21)	0.97 (0.76, 1.23)	29	1.07 (0.55, 2.07)	1.11 (0.57, 2.15)	
Group 3 >4.1	248	1.15 (0.93, 1.43)	0.87 (0.71, 1.07)	194	0.82 (0.66, 1.02)	0.84 (0.67, 1.04)	39	1.32 (0.73, 2.38)	1.42 (0.78, 2.59)	
P-trend		0.31	0.41		0.14	0.16		0.51	0.41	
Observed continuous (by 1 g/d)		0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)		0.98 (0.97, 1.00)	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)		1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	1.03 (0.98, 1.04)	
Calibrated continuous (by 1 g/d)		0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)		0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)		1.03 (0.96, 1.10)	1.03 (0.96, 1.10)	0.17

[1] Model 1 was stratified by center, age at baseline (1-y interval), and sex. Model 2 was additionally adjusted for BMI, smoking status, educational level, physical activity, and total energy and alcohol intakes and, in women, also adjusted for menopausal status and type, oral contraceptive use, and infertility problems. EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; ref, reference; TC, thyroid cancer.

TABLE 3 HRs (95% CIs) for differentiated TC stratified by sex according to intake of total fish and shellfish and subtypes in the EPIC study[1]

	Women (666 TC cases)		Men (82 TC cases)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	P-heterogeneity
Total fish and shellfish (by 10 g/d)					
Observed	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.94 (0.85, 1.03)	0.95 (0.87, 1.05)	
Calibrated	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	0.95 (0.81, 1.11)	0.95 (0.81, 1.11)	0.30
Fish + fish products (by 10 g/d)					
Observed	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	1.01 (0.98, 1.04)	0.96 (0.87, 1.05)	0.97 (0.88, 1.07)	
Calibrated	1.04 (0.96, 1.13)	1.04 (0.95, 1.13)	0.97 (0.82, 1.14)	0.97 (0.82, 1.14)	0.42
Lean fish + lean fish products (by 10 g/d)					
Observed	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	0.93 (0.79, 1.11)	0.95 (0.80, 1.12)	
Calibrated	1.04 (0.94, 1.15)	1.03 (0.94, 1.14)	0.93 (0.72, 1.21)	0.94 (0.72, 1.22)	0.41
Fatty fish + fatty fish products (by 10 g/d)					
Observed	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)	0.97 (0.82, 1.15)	0.99 (0.85, 1.16)	
Calibrated	0.96 (0.84, 1.11)	0.96 (0.84, 1.11)	1.12 (0.93, 1.35)	1.12 (0.93, 1.34)	0.20
Shellfish (by 1 g/d)					
Observed	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	0.94 (0.87, 1.01)	0.94 (0.88, 1.01)	
Calibrated	0.99 (0.87, 1.10)	0.99 (0.87, 1.01)	0.97 (0.91, 1.03)	0.97 (0.91, 1.04)	0.56

[1] Model 1 was stratified by center and age at baseline (1-y interval). Model 2 was additionally adjusted for BMI, smoking status, educational level, physical activity, and total energy and alcohol intakes and, in women, also adjusted for menopausal status and type, oral contraceptive use, and infertility problems. EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; TC, thyroid cancer.

Fish can also contain different amounts of metals, such as copper and cobalt and toxic heavy metals such as arsenic, molybdenum, lead, mercury, and cadmium (41, 42), and of PCBs (39). A study on trace elements suggested that an excess in the concentrations of heavy metals (mercury, cobalt, and iodine) and low serum concentrations of selenium increase the frequency of goiter and TC (43). In addition, a Korean study suggested that the accumulation of cadmium in thyroid tissue may be an important etiologic factor of TC progression and aggravation in Korean women (44). Fish consumption, with possible PCB contamination, did not appear to increase TC risk in New York anglers (39). Given the absence of association, the role of any of these contaminants is unlikely to be relevant in the population studied.

The strengths of this study are its prospective design, the inclusion of a large number of TC cases, and the use of country-specific validated dietary questionnaires in a study that showed substantial variations in fish intakes across centers (26). The influence of prevalent TC on the null associations is unlikely, because the exclusion of cases diagnosed within the first 2 y of

TABLE 4 HRs (95% CIs) for differentiated TC according to the intake of total fish and shellfish and subtypes by high- and low-TC-incidence countries in the EPIC study[1]

	Low-TC-incidence countries (175 TC cases)		High-TC-incidence countries[2] (573 TC cases)		
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	P-heterogeneity
Total fish and shellfish (by 10 g/d)					
Observed	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	
Calibrated	0.97 (0.88, 1.08)	0.97 (0.88, 1.08)	1.05 (0.96, 1.15)	1.05 (0.96, 1.15)	0.27
Fish + fish products (by 10 g/d)					
Observed	1.00 (0.96, 1.04)	0.99 (0.96, 1.04)	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	
Calibrated	0.98 (0.89, 1.09)	0.98 (0.89, 1.08)	1.08 (0.97, 1.20)	1.07 (0.97, 1.19)	0.20
Lean fish + lean fish products (by 10 g/d)					
Observed	0.98 (0.93, 1.04)	0.98 (0.93, 1.04)	1.07 (0.99, 1.14)	1.06 (0.99, 1.14)	
Calibrated	0.96 (0.84, 1.10)	0.96 (0.84, 1.09)	1.09 (0.96, 1.24)	1.09 (0.96, 1.24)	0.15
Fatty fish + fatty fish products (by 10 g/d)					
Observed	0.97 (0.89, 1.05)	0.97 (0.89, 1.06)	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	1.02 (0.94, 1.12)	
Calibrated	0.99 (0.84, 1.17)	1.00 (0.85, 1.17)	1.03 (0.85, 1.23)	1.02 (0.85, 1.23)	0.85
Shellfish (by 1 g/d)					
Observed	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	
Calibrated	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	0.98 (0.95, 1.00)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.16

[1] Model 1 was stratified by center and age at baseline (1-y interval). Model 2 was additionally adjusted for BMI, smoking status, educational level, physical activity, and total energy and alcohol intakes and, in women, also adjusted for menopausal status and type, oral contraceptive use, and infertility problems. EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; TC, thyroid cancer.
[2] EPIC countries with TC incidence rates >5/100,000 in women (i.e., France, Germany, Greece, Italy, and Spain).

follow-up did not alter our present findings. The most important limitations of our study include the impossibility of measuring iodine intake through questionnaires or blood samples and of distinguishing freshwater from saltwater fish (which represents the most frequently consumed type of fish in Europe). Although recall bias is unlikely to be important, an influence of dietary measurement error in the null association with fish intake cannot be ruled out, particularly considering the long duration between dietary data collection and outcome. We attempted to account for this by reanalyzing the association with calibrated fish and shellfish intakes assessed by 24-h recall with the use of an established method (31), but the results were largely unchanged.

In conclusion, the EPIC study did not show any significant association between the intake of fish and shellfish and differentiated TC risk in Europe, where very low or very high iodine intakes are rare. Further studies are needed to assess other dietary factors that could lower the risk of differentiated TC, such as fruit and vegetables, other sources of PUFAs (e.g., nuts and vegetable oils), and bioactive compounds (e.g., antioxidant vitamins, polyphenols).

Acknowledgments

References

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 2015;136:E359–86.

2. Milas ZL, Brainard J, Milas M. Thyroid cancer: classification and cytological diagnosis. In: Kakudo K, Liu Z, editors. Clinical management of thyroid cancer. London: Future Medicine Ltd.; 2013. p. 18–32.

3. Cardis E, Kesminiene A, Ivanov V, Malakhova I, Shibata Y, Khrouch V, Drozdovitch V, Maceika E, Zvonova I, Vlassov O, et al. Risk of thyroid cancer after exposure to 131I in childhood. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005;97:724–32.

4. D'Avanzo B, La Vecchia C, Franceschi S, Negri E, Talamini R. History of thyroid diseases and subsequent thyroid cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1995;4:193–9.

5. Peterson E, De P, Nuttall R. BMI, diet and female reproductive factors as risks for thyroid cancer: a systematic review. PLoS One 2012;7:e29177.

6. Rinaldi S, Lise M, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC, Guillas G, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, Halkjær J, Lukanova A, Kaaks R, et al. Body size and risk of differentiated thyroid carcinomas: findings from the EPIC study. Int J Cancer 2012;131:E1004–14.

7. Dal Maso L, Bosetti C, La Vecchia C, Franceschi S. Risk factors for thyroid cancer: an epidemiological review focused on nutritional factors. Cancer Causes Control 2009;20:75–86.

8. Cho YA, Kim J. Dietary factors affecting thyroid cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Nutr Cancer 2015;67:811–7.

9. Choi WJ, Kim J. Dietary factors and the risk of thyroid cancer: a review. Clin Nutr Res 2014;3:75–88.

10. Cléro É, Doyon F, Chungue V, Rachédi F, Boissin JL, Sebbag J, Shan L, Bost-Bezeaud F, Petitdidier P, Dewailly E, et al. Dietary iodine and thyroid cancer risk in French Polynesia: a case-control study. Thyroid 2012;22:422–9.

11. Bosetti C, Kolonel L, Negri E, Ron E, Franceschi S, Dal Maso L, Galanti MR, Mark SD, Preston-Martin S, McTiernan A, et al. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer. VI. Fish and shellfish consumption. Cancer Causes Control 2001;12:375–82.

12. Bosetti C, Negri E, Kolonel L, Ron E, Franceschi S, Preston-Martin S, McTiernan A, Dal Maso L, Mark SD, Mabuchi K, et al. A pooled analysis of case-control studies of thyroid cancer. VII. Cruciferous and other vegetables (international). Cancer Causes Control 2002;13:765–75.

13. Truong T, Baron-Dubourdieu D, Rougier Y, Guénel P. Role of dietary iodine and cruciferous vegetables in thyroid cancer: a countrywide case-control study in New Caledonia. Cancer Causes Control 2010;21:1183–92.

14. Zamora-Ros R, Rinaldi S, Tsilidis KK, Weiderpass E, Boutron-Ruault MC, Rostgaard-Hansen AL, Tjønneland A, Clavel-Chapelon F, Mesrine S, Katzke VA, et al. Energy and macronutrient intake and risk of differentiated thyroid carcinoma in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. Int J Cancer 2016;138:65–73.

15. Sen A, Tsilidis KK, Allen NE, Rinaldi S, Appleby PN, Almquist M, Schmidt JA, Dahm CC, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, et al. Baseline and lifetime alcohol consumption and risk of differentiated thyroid carcinoma in the EPIC study. Br J Cancer 2015;113:840–7.

16. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvadó J, Covas MI, Corella D, Arós F, Gómez-Gracia E, Ruiz-Gutiérrez V, Fiol M, Lapetra J, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1279–90.

17. Kurotani K, Akter S, Kashino I, Goto A, Mizoue T, Noda M, Sasazuki S, Sawada N, Tsugane S. Quality of diet and mortality among Japanese men and women: Japan Public Health Center based prospective study. BMJ 2016;352:i1209.

18. Lacoppidan SA, Kyrø C, Loft S, Helnæs A, Christensen J, Hansen CP, Hansen CP, Dahm CC, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, et al. Adherence to a healthy Nordic food index is associated with a lower risk of type-2 diabetes: the Danish Diet, Cancer and Health Cohort Study. Nutrients 2015;7:8633–44.

19. Welch AA, Lund E, Amiano P, Dorronsoro M, Brustad M, Kumle M, Rodriguez M, Lasheras C, Janzon L, Jansson J, et al. Variability of fish consumption within the 10 European countries participating in the European Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. Public Health Nutr 2002;5:1273–85.

20. WHO. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Report of a Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 2003;916:1–149.

21. Haslam A, Robb SW, Bonner MR, Lindblad W, Allegra J, Shen Y, Vena JE. Polychlorinated biphenyls and omega-3 fatty acid exposure from fish consumption, and thyroid cancer among New York anglers. J Environ Sci (China) 2016;41:270–7.

22. Gil A, Gil F. Fish, a Mediterranean source of n-3 PUFA: benefits do not justify limiting consumption. Br J Nutr 2015;113:S58–67.

23. Nesheim MC, Nestle M. Advice for fish consumption: challenging dilemmas. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99:973–4.

24. Daniel CR, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, Hollenbeck AR, Park Y, Sinha R. Prospective investigation of poultry and fish intake in relation to cancer risk. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2011;4:1903–11.

25. Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, Ferrari P, Norat T, Fahey M, Charrondière UR, Hémon B, Casagrande C, Vignat J, et al. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. Public Health Nutr 2002;5:1113–24.

26. Margetts BM, Pietinen P. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition: validity studies on dietary assessment methods. Int J Epidemiol 1997;26:S1–5.

27. Slimani N, Deharveng G, Unwin I, Southgate DA, Vignat J, Skeie G, Salvini S, Parpinel M, Møller A, Ireland J, et al. The EPIC Nutrient Database project (ENDB): a first attempt to standardize nutrient databases across the 10 European countries participating in the EPIC study. Eur J Clin Nutr 2007;61:1037–56.

28. Zamora-Ros R, Rinaldi S, Biessy C, Tjønneland A, Halkjaer J, Fournier A, Boutron-Ruault MC, Mesrine S, Tikk K, Fortner RT, et al. Reproductive and menstrual factors and risk of differentiated thyroid carcinoma: the EPIC study. Int J Cancer 2015;136:1218–27.

29. Wareham NJ, Jakes RW, Rennie KL, Schuit J, Mitchell J, Hennings S, Day NE. Validity and repeatability of a simple index derived from the short physical activity questionnaire used in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. Public Health Nutr 2003;6:407–13.

30. Slimani N, Kaaks R, Ferrari P, Casagrande C, Clavel-Chapelon F, Lotze G, Kroke A, Trichopoulos D, Trichopoulou A, Lauria C, et al. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) calibration study: rationale, design and population characteristics. Public Health Nutr 2002;5:1125–45.

31. Ferrari P, Kaaks R, Fahey MT, Slimani N, Day NE, Pera G, Boshuizen HC, Roddam A, Boeing H, Nagel G, et al. Within- and between-cohort variation in measured macronutrient intakes, taking account of measurement errors, in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study. Am J Epidemiol 2004;160:814–22.

32. Memon A, Varghese A, Suresh A. Benign thyroid disease and dietary factors in thyroid cancer: a case-control study in Kuwait. Br J Cancer 2002;86:1745–50.

33. Xu W, Chen Z, Liu H, Huo L, Huang Y, Jin X, Deng J, Zhu S, Jin W, Zhang S, et al. The association of thyroid nodule with non-iodized salt among Chinese children. PLoS One 2014;9:e102726.

34. Kim HJ, Kim NK, Park HK, Byun DW, Suh K, Yoo MH, Min YK, Kim SW, Chung JH. Strong association of relatively low and extremely excessive iodine intakes with thyroid cancer in an iodine-replete area. Eur J Nutr 2017;56:965–71.

35. Blomberg M, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Andersen KK, Kjaer SK. Thyroid cancer in Denmark 1943–2008, before and after iodine supplementation. Int J Cancer 2012;131:2360–6.

36. Vaccarella S, Dal Maso L, Laversanne M, Bray F, Plummer M, Franceschi S. The impact of diagnostic changes on the rise in thyroid cancer incidence: a population-based study in selected high-resource countries. Thyroid 2015;25:1127–36.

37. Andersson M, Karumbunathan V, Zimmermann MB. Global iodine status in 2011 and trends over the past decade. J Nutr 2012;142:744–50.

38. World Cancer Research Fund; American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. Washington (DC): American Institute for Cancer Research; 2007.

39. Paliwoda RE, Newbigging AM, Wang Z, Le XC. Benefits and risks associated with consumption of Great Lakes fish containing omega-3 fatty acids and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). J Environ Sci (China) 2016;41:1–5.

40. Berg JP, Glattre E, Haldorsen T, Hostmark AT, Bay IG, Johansen AF, Jellum E. Longchain serum fatty acids and risk of thyroid cancer: a population-based case-control study in Norway. Cancer Causes Control 1994;5:433–9.

41. Ahmed MK, Baki MA, Islam MS, Kundu GK, Habibullah-Al-Mamun M, Sarkar SK, Hossain MM. Human health risk assessment of heavy metals in tropical fish and shellfish collected from the river Buriganga, Bangladesh. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2015;22:15880–90.

42. Zhu F, Qu L, Fan W, Wang A, Hao H, Li X, Yao S. Study on heavy metal levels and its health risk assessment in some edible fishes from Nansi Lake, China. Environ Monit Assess 2015;187:161.

43. Zaichick VYe, Tsyb AF, Vtyurin BM. Trace elements and thyroid cancer. Analyst 1995;120:817–21.

44. Chung HK, Nam JS, Ahn CW, Lee YS, Kim KR. Some elements in thyroid tissue are associated with more advanced stage of thyroid cancer in Korean women. Biol Trace Elem Res 2016;171:54–62.