Excessive fetal growth in frozen embryo transfer: false alarm or clinical concern?

Edgardo Somigliana ^{1,2}, Valeria Vanni ³, Andrea Busnelli ^{1,2}, Marco Reschini ¹, Enrico Papaleo ³, Paola Viganò ³

¹ Dept of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

² Obstet-Gynecol Dept, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

³ Division of Genetics and Cell Biology, Reproductive Sciences Laboratory, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy.

Letter to the Editor

Dear Editor,

Maheshwari *et al.* recently adjourned their previous meta-analysis on the impact of frozen embryo transfer on pregnancy outcome (Maheshwari *et al.*, 2012; Maheshwari *et al.*, 2018). They actually confirmed with more robust data and increased precision the reduction in the risk of Small for Gestation Age (SGA) newborns (RR=0.62, 95%CI: 0.56-0.65) but also highlighted an increased risk of Large for Gestational Age (LGA) (RR=1.54, 95%CI: 1.48-1.61). To note, these results (mainly obtained from observational studies) are in line with those emerging from two recent RCTs (excluded from the meta-analysis) on the effectiveness of the "freeze all" strategy (Shapiro *et al.*, 2016; Chen *et al.*, 2016).

Interpretation of associations emerging from observational studies is always challenging because of the risk of confounders (Grimes and Schulz, 2012). Nonetheless, some hypotheses can be formulated. In particular, Maheshwari et al. (2018) interpreted the reduction in SGA as a direct benefit of the frozen embryo transfer strategy on the endometrium. The hyperestrogenism associated with ovarian hyperstimulation could indeed cause "abnormal endometrial angiogenesis leading to (...) abnormal placentation". On the other hand, they remained vague on the interpretation of the increased risk of LGA. They mentioned to the hypothesis by Pinborg et al. (2014) who interpreted this effect as an overgrowth guided by epigenetics alterations at early embryonic stages. The freezing and thawing procedures could perturb the epigenetic processes causing overgrowth of the fetus. This view is also supported by the higher risk of LGA after frozen embryo transfer emerging from comparisons with the general population (Pinborg et al., 2014; Luke et al., 2017; Spijkers et al., 2017), an epidemiological comparison that is however exposed to relevant confounders, of those the most critical being the specific surrounding cause of infertility, the socio-economical status and the psychological condition associated to infertility (Pandey et al., 2012; Siristatidis et al., 2013). Overall, the theory of a iatrogenic perturbation at the embryonic

stages is plausible and fascinating but inevitably fuels concerns and alarmism among physicians and patients.

We herein suggest an alternative interpretation of the findings, i.e. the simple shift of the distribution curve of the newborns weights (Figure 1). In other words, if frozen embryo replacement consents a more physiological placentation, one could expect a better fetal growth for all fetuses, not only for those who would have been SGA in fresh conditions. A significant proportion of embryos whose destiny was to be SGA if transferred in fresh conditions could be Appropriate for Gestational Age (AGA) using the frozen approach and, similarly, a significant proportion of embryos whose destiny was to be AGA in fresh conditions could be LGA using the frozen approach. To note, in the study from Maheshwari *et al.*, (2018), the magnitude of the reduction of the risk of SGA and the increase in the risk of LGA are specular and very similar (-48% and +54%, respectively). If true, our interpretation would be extremely reassuring since it would support the view that frozen embryo transfer consents normalization of fetal growth rather than causing overgrowth. Future well-designed observational studies comparing pregnancies from frozen embryo transfer and from natural conception may shed more light on this issue. An in-depth collection of patients' characteristics is however warranted to allow for a reliable adjustment.

References

Grimes DA, Schulz KF. False alarms and pseudo-epidemics: the limitations of observational epidemiology. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;**120**:920-927.

Luke B, Brown MB, Wantman E, Stern JE, Toner JP, Coddington CC 3rd. Increased risk of large-for-gestational age birthweight in singleton siblings conceived with in vitro fertilization in frozen versus fresh cycles. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2017;**34**:191-200.

Pandey S, Shetty A, Hamilton M, Bhattacharya S, Maheshwari A. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes in singleton pregnancies resulting from IVF/ICSI: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2012;**18**:485-503.

Shapiro BS, Daneshmand ST, Bedient CE, Garner FC. Comparison of birth weights in patients randomly assigned to fresh or frozen-thawed embryo transfer. *Fertil Steril*. 2016;**106**:317-321.

Siristatidis C, Sergentanis TN, Kanavidis P, Trivella M, Sotiraki M, Mavromatis I, Psaltopoulou T, Skalkidou A, Petridou ET. Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for IVF: impact on ovarian, endometrial and cervical cancer—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2013;**19**:105-123.

Spijkers S, Lens JW, Schats R, Lambalk CB. Fresh and Frozen-Thawed Embryo Transfer Compared to Natural Conception: Differences in Perinatal Outcome? *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2017 [Epub ahead of print].

Zhang B, Wei D, Legro RS, Shi Y, Li J, Zhang L, Hong Y, Sun G, Zhang T, Li W, Chen ZJ.

Obstetric complications after frozen versus fresh embryo transfer in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: results from a randomized trial. *Fertil Steril*. 2018 [Epub ahead of print]

Figure legend

Figure 1: Shifting of the curve of newborn weights from fresh embryo transfer (straight blue line) to frozen embryo transfer (dotted red line). SGA: Small for Gestational Age. LGA: Large for Gestational Age. The distribution is assumed to be normal just for clarity.

