Vet Pathol 39:293-299 (2002)

ANIMAL MODELS

Experimental Rodent Models of Brainstem Tumors

Q. WU, B. TYLER, L. SUKAY, L. RHINES, F. DIMECO, R. E. CLATTERBUCK, M. GUARNIERI, AND B. S. CARSON, SR.

Division of Pediatric Neurosurgery and Hunterian Brain Tumor Laboratories, Johns Hopkins Neurological Surgery, Baltimore, MD

Abstract. Despite recent advances in surgical technology, resection is not an option for many brainstem tumors. Experimental models have played essential roles in examining new approaches to therapy. The objective of the present study was to generate models by determining coordinates for safe inoculation into the brainstem of mice and rats, and to establish whether the implantation of heterotopic cells would create reproducible survival curves. Morbidity and survival studies were used to map stereotactic coordinates allowing successful inoculation of tumor cells. Survival studies were used to investigate the time course of tumor growth. Tumor location was examined by light microscopy and magnetic resonance imaging. Mice survived injections of 2 μ L of saline at interaural, lateral, and depth coordinates of -2.5, 1.0, and 3.5 mm and -1.5, 1.0, and 3.5 mm. Rats survived injections at interaural, lateral, and depth coordinates of -2.0, 2.0, and 7.0 mm and -3.0, 0, and 7.0 mm. Median survival of mice challenged with 5 × 10⁵ EMT6 and 10⁴ B16 tumor cells was 11 and 10 days, respectively. Median survival for rats challenged with 10⁴ 9L and F98 cells was 14 and 13 days, respectively. The present study demonstrates a feasible approach to preparing models of brainstem tumors. Limitations of these models are discussed.

Key words: Animal model; brainstem tumors; central nervous system cancer; medulla; pons.

Brainstem tumors, a heterogeneous set of neoplasms, account for 10–20% of lesions arising within the central nervous system (CNS), and 25% of tumors arising in the posterior fossa of children.^{10,13,18} Each year, 200–300 new cases are diagnosed in the USA. Low-grade lesions can respond to surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation. These lesions, which account for 15–25% of cases, have a somewhat optimistic prognosis, with 5-year survival rates of more than 50%.^{24,26} However, high-grade, diffuse tumors, which account for the majority of cases, have 2-year survival rates of 10-15%.^{9,33}

Surgery for diffuse lesions has been limited regardless of the species because even small iatrogenic lesions may yield significant deficits in tissues with little functional redundancy.^{4,15,30} Radiation has not prolonged survival.^{12,28} Chemotherapy has not been successful, and has been accompanied by organ failures and lethal infections.^{14,17,21}

Brainstem tumor models are needed to test new therapies. However, several challenges face development of such models. Few tumor cell lines have invasive characteristics of the predominant brainstem cancers. One might assume that stereotactic implantation techniques that have been widely used in the brain would directly translate to techniques for the brainstem. The absence of brainstem models suggests otherwise. We are unaware of reports describing coordinates for implanting tumor cells in the brainstem. Cell implantation methods and stereotactic ablation have been used in mice and rat brains for decades, but the neurologic sensitivity of the brainstem has limited work in this area. Schabert and coworkers injected human medulloblastoma cells into the cisterna magna of nude rats. They found leptomeningeal growth in the cisterna magna invading the medulla oblongata, and tumor growth in the fourth ventricle invading the pons.²⁷ Their results demonstrate that human tumor cells can colonize the nude rat brainstem, and suggest that other heterotopic cells, which may colonize the cortex, would grow in the pons and medulla. Klopp and coworkers recently demonstrated an elegant surgical approach to the caudal brainstem in dogs.¹⁹ Their report provides a necessary step in tumor research, that is, the availability of canine or nonhuman primate models to bridge the gap between rodent studies and safety studies in humans.

We hypothesized that tumor cells could be injected directly into permissive areas of the brainstem parenchyma because stereotactic biopsies can be used to

Species	Stock	Coordinates (mm)*			Mortality	
		Interaural	Lateral	Depth	(day 30)	Morbidity
Mouse	BALB/c	-2.5	0	3.5	2/20	3/18
Mouse	BALB/c	-2.5	2.0	3.5	1/20	2/19
Mouse	C57BL/6	-1.5	1.0	3.5	0/20	0/20
Rat	Fischer 344	-3.0	0	7.0	0/4	0/4
Rat	Fischer 344	-2.0	-2.0	7.0	0/18	0/18
Rat	Nu/Nu	-3.0	0	7.0	0/4	0/4

Table 1. Stereotactic coordinates for brainstem injections in mice and rats.

* Coordinates are based on published stereotactic coordinates of mouse and rat brain anatomy, respectively.^{11,22}

sample mass lesions in the brainstem.³⁰ Intratumoral drug delivery vehicles could offer therapy to lesions in surgically inoperable tissues.³⁴ Based on these observations, the Johns Hopkins Animal Care and Use Committee approved a study to document implantation coordinates in mice and rats. The present report describes the preparation of these models.

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

Sites for placement of cell implants were evaluated by postoperative monitoring of neurologic symptoms after the injection of saline at target coordinates. Coordinates are reported based on standard stereotaxic atlases for the rat,²² and the mouse brain.¹¹ Responses to tumor cell implants were assessed from survival data and histology.

Animals

Female Fischer 344 rats (180–220) g, female Nu/Nu rats (150–180 g), female Balb/C mice (18–25 g), and female C57BL/6 mice (18–25 g) were obtained from Harlan (Indianapolis, IN) and housed according to Johns Hopkins Animal Care and Use policies and federal guidelines. The numbers of animals used in each experiment are given in Tables 1–3.

Cells

The EMT6 breast carcinoma line and B16 melanoma line were obtained from the DCT Tumor Repository (NCI-Frederick Cancer Research and Development Center, Frederick, MD). The F98 glioma cells were from R. Goodman (Ohio State University, Columbus, OH). The 9L gliosarcoma line was obtained from the Brain Tumor Research Center (University of California, San Francisco, CA). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) and 10% fetal calf serum supplemented with penicillin–streptomycin and tested by the Gen-Probe Rapid Detection System (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) to rule out mycoplasma contamination. Cells were harvested with 0.25% trypsin, counted, and resuspended in DMEM solution before intracranial implantation.

Chemicals

Tissue Marking Dye, a gift of Triangle Biomedical Sciences (Durham, NC), was used to mark injection sites. Cell culture supplies including calf serum, DMEM, and trypsin were from Life Technologies (Rockville, MD).

Surgery

Animals were anesthetized with a stock solution containing 25 mg/ml ketamine hydrochloride, 2.5 mg/ml xylazine, and 14.25 % ethyl alcohol in saline; 0.65 ml was used for rats and 0.20 ml of a dilution of the stock solution was used for mice. The mouse dilution consisted of two parts saline and one part stock anesthetic solution. Surgical surfaces were shaved, washed with 70% ethyl alcohol, and painted with Betadine. With the aid of a Zeiss operating microscope, a 2-mm burr hole was made at locations described in Table 1.

Animals were moved to a stereotactic head frame after creation of the burr hole. After placement in the frame, a 10- μ l 26-gauge Hamilton Gastight 1701 syringe needle was inserted to a specified depth (as described in Table 1). Over a period of 4 minutes, 2 μ l of the dye, saline, or tumor cell suspension was injected into the brainstem. The needle was removed. The site was irrigated with 5–10 ml of sterile saline solution. The animal was then removed from the frame and the wound was closed with 4.0 vicryl or surgical staples.

Animals were monitored immediately after surgery and daily for the development of signs and symptoms of discomfort. Animals demonstrating neurologic signs were euthanatized with sodium pentobarbital by intraperitoneal injection of 0.5 ml of a 50 mg/ml solution, a dose that is equivalent to 100 mg of drug/kg of animal weight.

Histology

Tissues for histology were prepared from formalin-fixed sections. Brains with their brainstems and cervical spinal cords were isolated as a whole and immersed in 4% buffered formalin. Sections were prepared from paraffin-embedded specimens. Samples were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) solutions. Tumor volume was estimated by the method of Cavalieri.⁶

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

Imaging was performed on an Omega 4.7 Telsa General Electric spectrophotometer (Milwaukee, WI), equipped with Acustar actively shielded gradients obtained from General Electric (Fremont, CA). Before imaging, animals were injected intraperitoneally with 1.0 ml of gadolinium contrast solution (Magnevist, Berlex Laboratories, Wayne, NJ).



Fig. 1. Target marking (HE stain) with tissue dye and tumor cell inoculations. **Fig. 1A.** BALB/c female mouse (20 g). Injection coordinates, tissue dye: interaural -2.5, lateral 1, depth 3.5 mm. **Fig. 1B.** Fischer 344 female rat (200 g). Injection coordinates, tissue dye: interaural -2.0, lateral 0, depth 7.0 mm. **Fig. 1C.** EMT6 metastatic breast tumor at 10 days generated by inoculating a BALB/c mouse with 10⁴ cells. Injection coordinates: interaural -2.5, lateral 1, depth 3.5 mm. **Fig. 1D.** B16 (melanoma) (dark arrows) at 8 days generated by inoculating a C57BL/6 mouse with 10⁴ cells. Injection coordinates: interaural -2.5, lateral 0, depth 3.5 mm. Scattered cells containing melanin granules are seen in the choroid plexus (light arrows).

Statistical analyses

Version 3 of JMP software was used for statistical analyses (SAS Institute Cary, NC). Values of P < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Injection coordinates in mice and rats

The coordinates for injection of 2 μ l of saline through the cerebellum and fourth ventricle into the mouse and rat brainstem are given in Table 1. Figure 1A and B show injection sites of a tissue marking dye in a BALB/c mouse and Fischer 344 rat, respectively. Figure 1C and D show the tumor location in mice injected with EMT6 and B16 tumor cells. The BALB/ c mouse described in Fig. 1C was euthanatized 10 days after inoculation with 10⁴ EMT6 tumor cells. Median survival of mice inoculated with 5 × 10⁵ EMT6 cells is 11 days (see below); thus, the tumor volume probably had not reached a lethal mass. The C57BL/6 mouse shown in Figure 1D was euthanatized 8 days after inoculation with 10^4 B16 tumor cells. The median survival for mice challenged with this dose of cells is 10 days.

Midline injections and injections 1 and 2 mm to either side of the midline were tolerated in mice and rats. The animals in Table 1 were observed for at least 30 days; no indication was found of neurologic symptoms or mortality in mice or rats injected with saline.

Examination of the data in Table 2 indicates the sensitivity of the brainstem to injections outside the coordinates listed in Table 1. Significant morbidity was apparent in the immediate postoperative period. The primary symptom in mice was failure to revive from the anesthesia. Shallow or irregular respiration was

 Table 2.
 Brainstem injection coordinates associated

 with significant morbidity in rodents.

		Coordinates (mm)*			Survival
Species	Stock	Interaural	Lateral	Depth	(day 2)
Mouse	BALB/c	-2.5	3.5	3.5	2/5
Mouse	BALB/c	-2.5	2.0	5.5	1/5
Rat	Fischer 344	-2.0	-5.0	7.0	1/5
Rat	Fischer 344	-2.0	-2.0	9.0	0/5

 \ast Coordinates are based on published stereotactic coordinates of mouse and rat brain anatomy, respectively. 11,22

followed by death within 8 hours. Rats usually revived from anesthesia, but were lethargic and failed to drink or eat. Alternatively, rats exhibited a hemiplegia and moved in a circle with their nose down in their bedding. These animals were euthanatized.

Tumor cell challenges

Examination of the survival data given in Table 3 indicates the capacity of heterotopic cells to colonize and proliferate in the brainstem. Inoculations with the four tumor cell lines proved uniformly lethal. The median survival time of BALB/c mice injected with 5 \times 10⁵ of the EMT6 metastatic breast cell line is 11 days. The median survival time of C57BL/6 mice inoculated with 10⁴ B16 cells is 10 days. The median survival time of Fischer rats inoculated with 10⁴ 9L or F98 cells is 14 and 13 days, respectively. These times are notably shorter than those obtained when the same numbers of cells are injected into the parietal cortex. For example, in our laboratory, median survival after cortical injections of 5×10^5 EMT6 cells in mice and 10^4 9L cells in rats is about 16 and 20 days, respectively. Survival is dose dependent. In an experiment with three Fischer 344 rats per group, survival decreased in proportion to increasing numbers of F98 cells injected into the brainstem. Survival was 24 ± 1 days for the group injected with 10^3 cells, 14 ± 1 days for animals injected with 10^4 cells, and 11 ± 1 days for animals injected with 10⁵ cells (Fig. 2).

The development of neurologic symptoms in tumorchallenged animals is consistent with mass effects of the tumor on centers of respiration and consciousness.



Fig. 2. Survival depends on the dose of a tumor cell inoculate. Three Fischer 344 rats in each group were inoculated with the quantity of F98 cells indicated. Data points indicate the median (\pm SD) days of survival. Differences for survival times for each group are significant, P < 0.05.

Animals sometime developed mild to moderate limb paralysis in the 24 hours before expiration. They generally became lethargic, lapsed into a coma, and died within 12–24 hours.

Although making histologic comparisons in immersion-fixed tissues is difficult, the appearance of the brainstem tumors is similar to the histology seen in cortical inoculations of the same cells. An exception is that cortical tumors can show evidence of central necrosis at an autopsy. Figure 3 shows a 9L glioma in the brainstem of a Fischer 344 rat. The left panel shows HE-stained tissue at day 10. The right panel, a gadolinium-enhanced MRI image at day 8 after inoculation, shows the bulk of the tumor at the site of the injection, and slight enhancement along the path of the needle. Little evidence was found of necrosis. The relatively small size of the tumor in the days before death supports the concept that the mass effects of the tu-

Table 3. Survival after brainstem inoculation with tumor cells.*

Species	Stock	Tumor	Cell No.	Median Survival (days)	Range (n) (days)
Mouse	BALB/c	EMT6	5×10^{5}	11	6-16 (8)
Mouse	C57BL/6	B16	104	10	7-13 (8)
Rat	Fischer 344	9L	10^{4}	14	8-20 (32)
Rat	Fischer 344	F98	10^{4}	13	7-18 (48)

* Data were collected from one to six control groups of eight animals each in efficacy studies.

Vet Pathol 39:3, 2002



Fig. 3. Tumor location. **Fig. 3A.** HE stain of 9L tumor (dark arrows) at day 10 generated by inoculating a Fischer 344 rat with 10^4 cells on day 0. **Fig. 3B.** Magnetic resonance image of 9L tumor (light arrows) at day 8 generated by inoculating a Fischer 344 rat with 10^4 cells on day 0.

mors are pronounced in tissues having limited functional redundancy; however, further research is needed to determine the cause of death.

Tumor location and volume

Microscopic examination of the tumor cell-challenged brains demonstrated that the tumors grew primarily at the site of the inoculation and spread along the path of the injection. To verify this impression, we examined tumor location macroscopically and by histology in a group of eight rats inoculated with 10⁴ F98 cells. Tumor volume at autopsy was estimated to be $1.9 \pm 0.8 \text{ mm}^3$ (range 0.8–3.2 mm³) by the method of Cavalieri.6 Gross examination revealed the bulk of the tumor at the site of the injection in the pons below the floor of the fourth ventricle. Histology revealed additional substantial tumor growth into the fourth ventricle in five animals. Tumors spread to cerebellar space in two rats, and to subarachnoid space in one rat. The extrabrainstem growth in all cases appeared to follow the path of the injection.

Discussion

Spontaneous tumors of the CNS are rare in most mouse and rat strains. Tumors can be induced by chemical carcinogens, although the efficiency of tumor induction varies greatly.¹⁶ Experimental tumors can be efficiently induced by avian sarcoma virus in Fischer rats. The mean induction time and frequency of tumors varied with the age of inoculation.¹⁶ Tumors occur commonly in dogs, but the incidence and natural history have not been established.¹⁹ The present work demonstrates that four rodent cell lines that efficiently colonize supratentorial regions of the brain efficiently grow in the brainstem. The inoculations produce reproducible survival curves, which is an essential prerequisite to efficacy studies. One may reasonably expect that other neuronal and glial tumor cell lines that colonized the rodent brain will perform in a similar manner.

Cell lines used in this study were selected from general experience with animal models and efficacy studies. For example, rat F98 and 9L glioma cell lines have been used to study tumor cell metabolism in animal brains,^{20,31} chemotherapy for brain tumors,^{3,23} neurotoxicity of heavy metals,²⁹ radiation toxicity,³² radiation therapy,^{1,25} and gene therapy.^{2,5} The EMT6 breast tumor line has been used because breast cancer is the second most common cause of CNS metastases after lung cancer.⁸ By the same token, the B16 melanoma cell line is used because melanoma is the third most common source of intracranial metastases.⁷

The surgical approach was selected because of our laboratory's experience in using stereotactic frames in brain tumor models. After a burr hole is created in an appropriately prepared mouse or rat, the animal can be rapidly placed in a stereotactic frame for inoculation by a dorsal approach to the pons via the cerebellum and fourth ventricle. This is a convenience that facilitates the numbers of animals that must be tested to obtain statistical validation in toxicity and efficacy tests. Lateral and ventral approaches, which would be optimal for surgical resections, were considered but not explored after a significant effort to map coordinates that allowed safe inoculations through a dorsal approach. In work to be reported, we have used a similar dorsal approach to implant continuous infusion cannulas into the brainstem of cynomolgus monkeys.

The pattern of tumor growth appears to be similar to the models of tumor cells in the brain. Tumor cells expand at the site of injection, leading to mass effects on adjacent structures. Growth along the injection track is seen, but in many cases, tumor growth remains centered about the point of injection. Not surprisingly, survival is significantly decreased in brainstem-challenged animals compared to similar tumor cell challenges in the parietal cortex. One may assume that the difference is related to the low tolerance of the brainstem to tumor mass and edema. However, the limited sensitivity of immersion-fixed histology prevented further assessments with our preparations. Histology with perfused specimens and specific stains is needed.

The present work demonstrates that inoculations of $1-2 \mu l$ of saline can be accomplished without apparent morbidity in normal mice and rats and in immunodeficient (Nu/Nu) rats. In studies to be reported, we have looked for signs of tissue damage resulting from the inoculation process. Although it is difficult to find the needle track in tissue sections, microscopic hemorrhages and gliosis have been observed near injection wounds. These results imply that injections of local therapies, which have shown promise in the brain, may be applicable to brainstem lesions.

The EMT6 metastatic line and B16 melanoma cells offer tumor models for metastases to the brainstem. Although such metastases are regarded as quickly lethal, they are rare. None of the cells used in the present study faithfully mimic invasive characteristics of diffuse gliomas. Availability of the present rodent models may encourage efforts to develop highly invasive cell lines characteristic of the more prevalent tumors. Also note that the typical age range of diffuse pontine gliomas is 2–9 years, a period when the human brain is still undergoing developmental changes. To increase utility of the model, additional work is needed to characterize the capacity of tumor cell lines to colonize immature rodent brainstems. Other surgical approaches could be considered. The dorsal approach works well for inoculations, but ventral approaches would be superior for surgery in rodents and dogs.¹⁹

Conclusions

Tumor cells can be implanted in rat and mouse brainstems to create laboratory models to investigate new therapies for the treatment of brainstem lesions.

Acknowledgement

This work was generously supported by funds from The Children's Cancer Foundation.

References

- Adams DM, Ji W, Barth RF, Tjarks, W: Comparative in vitro evaluation of dequalinium B, a new boron carrier for neutron capture therapy (NCT). Anticancer Res 20: 3359–3402, 2000
- 2 Ambar BB, Frei K, Malipiero U, Morelli AE, Castro MG, Lowenstein PR, Fontana A: Treatment of experimental glioma by administration of adenoviral vectors expressing Fas ligand. Hum Gene Ther 10:1641–1648, 1999
- 3 Cahan MA, Walter KA, Colvin OM, Brem H: Cytotoxicity of taxol in vitro against human and rat malignant brain tumors. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 33:441–444, 1994
- 4 Cartmill M, Punt J: Diffuse brain stem glioma. A review of stereotactic biopsies. Childs Nerv Syst 15:235–238, 1999
- 5 Chen L, Waxman DJ: Intratumoral activation and enhanced chemotherapeutic effect of oxazaphosphorines following cytochrome P-450 gene transfer: development of a combined chemotherapy/cancer gene therapy strategy. Cancer Res 55:581–589, 1995
- 6 Clatterbuck RE, Sipos EP: The efficient calculation of neurosurgically relevant volumes from computed tomographic scans using Cavalieri's direct estimator. Neurosurgery 40:339–343, 1997
- 7 Ewend MG, Crey LA, Brem H: Treatment of melanoma metastases in the brain. Semin Surg Oncol 12:429–435, 1996
- 8 Ewend MG, Williams JA, Tabassi K, Tyler BM, Babel KM, Anderson RC, Pinn ML, Brat DJ, Brem H: Local delivery of chemotherapy and concurrent external beam radiotherapy prolongs survival in metastatic brain tumor models. Cancer Res 56:5217–5223, 1996
- 9 Fisher PG, Breiter SN, Carson BS, Wharam MD, Williams JA, Weingart JD, Foer DR, Goldthwaite MS, Tihan T, Burger PC: A clinicopathologic reappraisal of brain stem tumor classification: identification of pilocytic astrocytoma and fibrillary astrocytoma as distinct entities. Cancer 89:1569–1576, 2000
- 10 Fisher PG, Carson BS: Management of brainstem gliomas in children. Contemp Neurosurg 18:1–7, 1996
- 11 Franklin KBJ, Paxinos G: The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1997
- 12 Freeman CR: Hyperfractionated radiotherapy for diffuse intrinsic brain stem tumors in children. Pediat Neurosurg 24:103–110, 1996
- 13 Freeman CR, Farmer JP: Pediatric brain stem gliomas: a review. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 40:265–271, 1998
- 14 Freeman CR, Perilongo G: Chemotherapy for brain stem gliomas. Childs Nerv Syst **15:**545–553, 1999
- 15 Jackowski A: Brain stem surgery. Br J Neurosurg 9:581– 584, 1995
- 16 Janisch W: Tumors of the central nervous system. In: Pathology of Tumors in Laboratory Animals, Vol. I—

Tumors of the Rat, ed. VS Turusov and U Mohr, pp. 677–689. International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1990

- 17 Kalifa C, Valteau D, Pizer B, Vassal G, Grill J, Hartmann, O: High dose chemotherapy in childhood brain tumors. Childs Nerv Syst **15**:498–505, 1999
- 18 Kaplan AM, Albright AL, Zimmerman RA, Rorke LB, Boyette JM, Finlay JL, Wara WM, Packer RJ: Brainstem gliomas in children. Pediatr Neurosurg 24:185–192, 1996
- 19 Klopp LS, Simpson ST, Sorjonen DC, Lenz SD: Ventral surgical approach to the caudal brain stem in dogs. Vet Surg 29:533–542, 2000
- 20 Malhotra SK, Bhatnagar R, Shnitka TK, Herrera JJ, Koke JR, Singh MV: Rat glioma cell line as a model for astrogliosis. Cytobios 82:39–51, 1995
- 21 Packer RJ: Chemotherapy for pediatric brain tumors. *In:* Principles and Practices of Pediatric Neurosurgery, ed. AL Albright, I Pollack, and D Adelson, pp. 779–796, Thieme, New York, 1999
- 22 Paxinos G, Watson C: The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, 2nd ed. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1986
- 23 Penn RD, Kroin JS, Harris JE, Chiu KM, Braun DP: Chronic intratumoral chemotherapy of a rat tumor with cisplatin and fluorouracil. Appl Neurophysiol 46:240– 244, 1983
- 24 Pollack IF, Hoffman HJ, Humphreys RP, Becker L: The long-term outcome after surgical treatment of dorsally exophytic brain-stem gliomas. J Neurosurg 78:859–863, 1993
- 25 Ross-Riveros P, Leith JT: Response of 9L tumor cells to

hyperthermia and X irradiation. Radiat Res 78:296–311, 1979

- 26 Rubin G, Michowitz S, Horev G, Herscovici Z, Cohen IJ, Shuper A, Rappaport ZH: Pediatric brain stem gliomas: an update. Childs Nerv Syst 14:167–173, 1998
- 27 Schabet M, Martos J, Bucholz R, Pietsch T: Animal models of human meduloblastoma: clinical, magnetic resonance imaging, and histopathological findings after intra-cisternal injection of MHH-MED-1 cells into nude rats. Med Pediatr Oncol 29:92–97, 1997
- 28 Shild SE, Stafford SL, Brown PD, et al.: The results of radiotherapy for brainstem tumors. J Neurooncol 40: 171–177, 1998
- 29 Stark M, Wolff JE, Korbmacher A: Modulation of glial cell differentiation by exposure to lead and cadmium. Neurotoxicol Teratol 14:247–252, 1992
- 30 Steck J, Friedman WA: Stereotactic biopsy of brainstem mass lesions. Surg Neurol 43:563–568, 1995
- 31 Wechsler W, Szymas J, Bilzer T, Hossmann KA: Experimental transplantation gliomas in the adult cat brain. 1. Experimental model and neuropathology. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 98:77–89, 1989
- 32 Wheeler KT, Wierowski JV: DNA repair kinetics in irradiated undifferentiated and terminally differentiated cells. Radiat Environ Biophys **22**:3–19, 1983
- 33 Yachnis AT: Neuropathology of pediatric brain tumors. Semin Pediatr Neurol 4:282–291, 2000
- 34 Zlokovic BV, Apuzzo MLJ: Cellular and molecular neurosurgery: pathways from concept to reality—part II: vector systems and delivery methodologies for gene therapy of the central nervous system. Neurosurgery 40: 805–813, 1997

Request reprints from Dr. M. Guarnieri, Division of Pediatric Neurosurgery and Hunterian Brain Tumor Laboratories, Johns Hopkins Neurological Surgery, 600 North Wolfe Street, 811 Harvey, Baltimore, MD 21287-8811 (USA). E-mail: maguarnie@jhmi.edu.