

21 ABSTRACT

22 The immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory and anti-proliferative properties of vitamin D have laid
23 the basis for a possible function of this prohormone in the pathogenesis of endometriosis. The aim
24 of this case-control study was investigating vitamin D status, by measuring 25-hydroxyvitamin D
25 [25(OH)D] serum levels, in women with and without endometriosis. Only Italian women of
26 Caucasian origin aged between 18 and 45 years were deemed eligible. Enrollment was limited to
27 the period October-May. Cases and controls were matched for month of recruitment, and
28 secondarily for age and parity. Overall, 434 women were enrolled (endometriosis $n = 217$; controls
29 $n = 217$). The group of cases included 127 women with ovarian endometrioma and 90 patients with
30 deep endometriosis. Mean \pm SD levels of 25(OH)D in women with and without endometriosis were
31 17.9 ± 7.0 ng/ml and 18.4 ± 7.6 , respectively ($P = 0.46$). Analyzing the two endometriosis sub-
32 group separately no statistically significant differences emerged (18.7 ± 7.4 ng/ml in deep
33 endometriosis group versus 17.3 ± 6.6 ng/ml in women with ovarian endometrioma; $P = 0.14$).
34 Comparing the sub-group of women with deep endometriosis with paired controls no differences
35 occurred (18.7 ± 7.4 ng/ml versus 18.5 ± 7.7 ng/ml, $P = 0.80$). Similar data emerged when
36 performing the same analysis for ovarian endometriomas (17.4 ± 6.6 ng/ml versus 18.3 ± 7.6 ng/ml,
37 $P = 0.23$). The results of the present case-control study do not support an association between serum
38 vitamin D levels and different phenotypes of endometriosis.

39 KEY WORDS: endometriosis; vitamin D; 25-hydroxyvitamin D; deep endometriosis; ovarian
40 endometrioma

41

42 INTRODUCTION

43 The immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory and anti-proliferative properties of vitamin D have laid
44 the basis for a possible function of this prohormone in the pathogenesis of endometriosis.¹ In fact, a
45 dysfunction of the immune system responsible for a state of chronic inflammation has been claimed
46 to play a role in the multifactorial pathogenesis of the disease.² Indeed, endometriosis is
47 characterized by a reduced T-cell cytotoxicity, a functional deficit of natural-killer lymphocytes and
48 higher concentration of activated macrophages in the peritoneal fluid, which generate a cascade of
49 cytokines and vascular endothelial growth factors favoring the proliferation of endometrial cells and
50 angiogenesis.^{3,4} Along with this theory, abnormal levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines have been
51 detected in the peritoneal fluid and serum of affected women,⁵ and murine models suggested the
52 potential role of interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor α through their effect on inflammatory
53 angiogenesis.⁶

54 Moreover, vitamin D receptor is expressed in ovarian tissue, endometrium, and fallopian
55 epithelial cells,¹ and both eutopic and ectopic endometrium express the enzyme 1α -hydroxylase,
56 responsible for the conversion of 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] into the biologically active form
57 of vitamin D, calcitriol.⁷ Of relevance here is a recent study in a murine model of endometriosis
58 showing that calcitriol is able to both prevent ectopic implantation of endometrium and to reduce
59 already established lesions.⁸ Finally, numerous *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies have demonstrated that
60 vitamin D deficiency could increase the risk of several cancer and autoimmune diseases, which tend
61 both to be more common in women with endometriosis.⁹⁻¹¹

62 Given this background, the potential role of vitamin D is of increasing interest and, in the
63 last two decades, various studies have investigated the relation between endometriosis and vitamin
64 D serum levels, with inconsistent results (Table 1).¹²⁻¹⁷ Therefore, the influence of vitamin D on
65 endometriosis development and progression remains to be clarified. To shed more light on this

66 potential association, we have compared serum concentrations of 25(OH)D in a large case-control
67 study of women with and without endometriosis.

68 MATERIAL AND METHODS

69 This case-control study was performed in an academic hospital, the Fondazione Ca' Granda
70 Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico that includes a tertiary referral center for the study and management
71 of endometriosis. Participants were recruited during the period October 2014 – January 2017. Only
72 Italian women of Caucasian origin aged between 18 and 45 years were deemed eligible. Cases were
73 women with a surgical diagnosis of endometriosis in the previous 24 months or with a current non-
74 surgical diagnosis of endometriosis. Non-surgical diagnoses were based on previously published
75 criteria.¹⁸⁻²¹ Affected women were sub-categorized in two groups, namely deep invasive
76 endometriosis and ovarian endometrioma. The former included women with rectovaginal plaques,
77 bladder detrusor nodules, bowel lesions, intrinsic ureteral endometriosis, and deep endometriosis
78 infiltrating the pouch of Douglas and parametria. Cases with iatrogenic, post-Caesarean bladder
79 detrusor endometriosis were excluded. In the same time span, women attending our outpatient
80 clinics for periodic well-woman visits, contraception, cervical cancer screening program, or
81 attending the blood bank of our hospital for blood donation, and without a previous clinical or
82 surgical diagnosis of endometriosis, were enrolled as control group. Endometriosis was excluded
83 based on gynecological history, pelvic transvaginal ultrasound, gynecological bimanual
84 examination and visual inspection of the posterior vaginal fornix.

85 Patients reporting malignancy, uterine leiomyomas, hypertension, diabetes, multiple
86 sclerosis, autoimmune disorders, and coronary, hepatic, or renal diseases were excluded from both
87 study groups. Other exclusion criteria include vitamin D supplementation and full body sun
88 exposure during the month before study enrollment. Cases and controls were matched for month of
89 recruitment, and secondarily for age and parity. Moreover, to prevent the impact of seasonality and

90 the likely inter-participant variable degree of sun exposure, the recruitment was limited to the
91 period October-May.

92 In women who agreed to participate a blood sample was drawn. Blood samples were allowed to clot
93 at room temperature and then centrifuged at 2,000 g for 10 minutes. The resulting serum was stored
94 at -20 °C until assayed. The quantitative detection of total 25(OH)D levels were obtained using a
95 commercially available kit based on a chemiluminescence technology (DiaSorin, Inc. Corp.,
96 Stillwater, MN, USA). The assessments were performed in three distinct experiments thawing a
97 similar number of blood samples from matched case and controls. The intra- and interassay
98 coefficients of variations were 10% and 15%, respectively. The biologists engaged in the 25(OH)D
99 assessment were blinded to the condition of the patients and to the study aims.

100 Data were collected on standardized forms including demographic information and clinical
101 characteristics. In addition, enrolled women filled out a detailed questionnaire evaluating current
102 and previous sun exposure habits, phenotypic characteristics, and skin phototype; the latter has been
103 assessed according to the Fitzpatrick classification,²² that reflects, to some extent, the degree of skin
104 color intensity and the extent of skin sensitivity to damage generated by ultraviolet radiation.

105 In the current study, the concentration of vitamin D is expressed as ng/ml, and severe
106 deficiency, deficiency, insufficiency, and sufficiency were defined when values were <10, <20, ≥20
107 - <30, and ≥30 ng/ml, respectively.²³ The competent Institutional Review Board approved the study,
108 and patients gave written informed consent (Comitato di Etica Milano Area B; determination
109 #1940/2014, approval date September 5, 2014).

110 Data were archived using Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington,
111 U.S.A.) and exported in SPSS 18.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, U.S.A.) for statistical analysis. Data
112 were compared using unpaired or paired Student-*t* test, Fisher Exact test or McNemar test, as
113 appropriate. *P* values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. As previously adopted by

114 Paffoni *et al.*,²⁴ the choice of the sample size was calculated based on an expected serum
115 concentration of 25(OH)D in controls of 20.4 ± 11.8 ng/mL.¹³ A difference of -20% in serum
116 25(OH)D in women with endometriosis was deemed clinically important. Setting type I and II
117 errors to 0.05 and 0.05, the calculated number of women to be recruited was 434, 217 per study
118 group.

119 RESULTS

120 Recruitment continued until the pre-planned number of participants was reached (endometriosis $n =$
121 217; controls $n = 217$). The group of cases included 127 women with ovarian endometriomas and
122 90 patients with deep lesions. The deep endometriosis group comprised 51 patients with
123 rectovaginal endometriotic plaques, 18 with full-thickness bladder detrusor nodules, 11 with deep
124 lesions infiltrating the pouch of Douglas and parametria, 7 with full-thickness bowel lesions, and 3
125 with intrinsic ureteral endometriosis. Baseline clinical and gynecological characteristics of cases
126 and controls are shown in Table 2. The distribution of the demographic variables is similar between
127 the two study groups. Regarding gynecological characteristics, parity did not differ (as expected
128 based on the study design) whereas, as predictable, use of hormonal therapies and pain symptoms
129 (dysmenorrhea, deep dyspareunia, non-menstrual pelvic pain, and dyschezia) were significantly
130 more frequent in women with endometriosis (Table 2).

131 Serum levels of 25(OH)D were 17.9 ± 7.0 ng/ml in the endometriosis group and 18.4 ± 7.6
132 ng/ml in the control group ($P = 0.46$). The monthly distribution of serum 25(OH)D in the two study
133 groups is illustrated in Figure 1. When analyzing the two endometriosis sub-groups separately, no
134 statistically significant differences emerged (18.7 ± 7.4 ng/ml in the deep endometriotic lesions
135 group versus 17.3 ± 6.6 ng/ml in the ovarian endometrioma group; $P = 0.14$). At subgroup analysis,
136 no statistically significant differences were observed when comparing separately women with deep
137 endometriotic lesions and those with ovarian endometriomas with their matched controls ($18.7 \pm$
138 7.4 ng/ml versus 18.5 ± 7.7 ng/ml, $P = 0.80$ and 17.4 ± 6.6 ng/ml versus 18.3 ± 7.6 ng/ml, $P = 0.23$,

139 respectively). In addition, no statistically significant differences emerged after subdividing
140 25(OH)D serum concentrations of the two study groups into the four categories (severe deficiency,
141 deficiency, insufficiency, and sufficiency) ($P = 0.29$; Table 3). A total of 13% of women with deep
142 endometriotic lesions ($n = 12$) had severely deficient 25(OH)D serum levels, 49% ($n = 44$) deficient
143 concentrations, 31% ($n = 28$) insufficient levels, and, 7% ($n = 6$) adequate levels; these figures were
144 not statistically significantly different from those observed in their matched controls ($P = 0.50$). The
145 corresponding frequencies for women with ovarian endometriomas were, 16% ($n = 20$), 68% ($n =$
146 53), 28% ($n = 35$), and 3% ($n = 4$), respectively, again without statistically significant differences
147 with their matched controls ($P = 0.38$).

148 The phenotypic characteristics, current and previous sun exposure habits, and cutaneous
149 reaction to UV are shown in Table 4. No statistically significant differences emerged between the
150 two study groups. Current and past global UV exposure were comparable between women with and
151 without the disease, being 23.2 ± 18.7 days and 20.9 ± 14.1 days during adulthood ($P = 0.14$) and
152 43.3 ± 35.3 days and 45.9 ± 32.3 days during adolescence ($P = 0.44$), respectively. The median
153 [interquartile range, IQR] duration of last sun exposure prior to enrollment was 14 [7-15] days in
154 endometriosis group and 10 [7-15] days in control group ($P = 0.75$), whereas the number of days
155 elapsed between last UV exposure and recruitment was, respectively, 195 [135-236] - in the former
156 group and 202 [142-258] in the latter group ($P = 0.26$).

157 COMMENT

158 In this study, statistically significant differences in 25(OH)D serum levels were not observed when
159 comparing women with and without endometriosis. In addition, no differences emerged after
160 subdividing patients into the phenotypic categories of deep endometriotic lesions and ovarian
161 endometriomas. Lacks of differences in UV exposure habits further support our findings. In both
162 study groups, median 25(OH)D serum concentrations were below the limit of normalcy established
163 by the Endocrine Society guidelines.²³ This questions the validity of this categorization scheme, at

164 least in the Northern Italian context, and supports the opportunity of reconsidering its
165 discriminatory cut-off limits.²⁵ Indeed, particularly in our Mediterranean country, an insufficient
166 degree of sun exposure may not be deemed the cause of low 25(OH)D serum concentrations.

167 Our findings are in line with those obtained by Agic *et al.*,¹⁴ whereas in other observational
168 studies higher¹³ or lower¹⁵⁻¹⁷ vitamin D serum levels were observed in women with endometriosis
169 (Table 1). Noteworthy, the present results are also at odds with previous evidence from our own
170 group.¹³ Among the reasons that may explain these inconsistencies, differences in study design and
171 sample size presumably play a role. Unfortunately, studying the impact of vitamin D on the
172 pathogenesis of endometriosis is methodologically challenging. Confounding may bias
173 observational studies, and the disease itself might also theoretically lower vitamin D concentrations
174 (reverse causality bias).²⁶ Indeed, low 25(OH)D serum levels could result from the inflammatory
175 process, peculiar of endometriotic disease.²⁷ Environmental factors, such as sun exposure, smoke,
176 obesity and dietary intake also influence the levels of vitamin D.²⁸

177 To disentangle whether vitamin D may play a role in the pathogenesis of endometriosis, it
178 would be more interesting to test women before disease development, i.e. in the adolescent period
179 or even earlier,²⁹ rather than when the disease is diagnosed at a later age. To this aim, a long-term
180 cohort design would be more appropriate, but also much more costly and cumbersome to conduct.
181 In order to obtain some information on this aspect, we included in our study some questions
182 regarding UV exposure during adolescence and, again, we failed to show any difference between
183 the study groups. This type of evidence is however exposed to a significant risk of recall bias.

184 One of the advantages of our study design is represented by the large sample size; in fact, in
185 none of the previously published observational studies, more than 400 subjects had been enrolled.
186 Only the study from Harris *et al.*¹⁵ reporting on the Nurses' Health Study II included a larger
187 sample size but the study exclusively focused on the estimated nutritional intake of the vitamin that

188 generally represents only 10% of the human needs. In humans, the most relevant source of vitamin
189 D is provided by UV exposure.^{9,30,31}

190 Matching cases and controls for month of recruitment allowed to elude the relevant potential
191 confounding effect of seasonality. Moreover, to prevent the impact of variable sun exposure, we
192 decided to limit enrollments to the October – May period and to exclude the women that reported a
193 global UV radiations exposure in the month before blood collection. Noteworthy, this latter
194 exclusion criterion should not have biased our results considering that only a minority of patients
195 were excluded on this basis, and this proportion did not differ between the study groups (less than
196 5% in both groups). In addition, women with disease potentially related to vitamin D deficiency,
197 such as uterine leiomyomas, cancer, multiple sclerosis or taking vitamin D supplements were
198 excluded from the study. Finally, the two study groups had similar basal characteristics (geographic
199 origin, BMI, cigarette smoke, phenotypic and sun exposure characteristics).

200 As for any case-control study, the choice of controls may be cause of concern. In our study,
201 we decided to include women without known endometriosis presenting to the gynecologic unit for
202 routine well-woman visit, contraception, cervical cancer screening or to the blood bank of our
203 hospital for blood donation. Endometriosis was ruled out based on gynecological and
204 ultrasonographic examination but we cannot exclude to have inadvertently included some cases
205 among controls. However, the impact of this potential inaccuracy would be presumably modest,
206 given the limited prevalence of asymptomatic endometriosis in the general population.³² Moreover,
207 mis-diagnoses are more likely for early superficial peritoneal endometriosis, a condition of doubtful
208 clinical relevance.³³

209 Another potential limitation of our study could be represented by the lack of a food
210 questionnaire investigating the dietary habits of recruited women. However, as mentioned earlier,
211 vitamin D reserve is mostly due to sunlight exposure (90%) rather than dietary intake (10%).⁹

212 In conclusion, the results of the present case-control study do not support an association
213 between serum vitamin D levels and endometriosis. If these findings will be confirmed the potential
214 role of vitamin D in the development of endometriosis should be challenged.

215 CONFLICT OF INTERESTS: E. Somigliana received grants from Ferring and Serono. L. Buggio,
216 MN. Pizzi, E. Roncella, D. Dridi, and P. Vercellini have no conflict of interests to declare.

217 FUNDING DETAILS: This article was financed by Italian fiscal contribution "5x1000" 2012 -
218 Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca - devolved to Fondazione Istituto di
219 Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy.

220 DECLARATION OF AUTHORS' ROLE:

221 L. Buggio conceived, drafted, revised the article, and acquired the data;

222 E. Somigliana performed statistical analysis, participated in conceiving the article, drafted a part
223 and revised it;

224 MN. Pizzi, D. Dridi, E. Roncella acquired the data;

225 P. Vercellini participated in conceiving the article and revised it;

226 all authors approved the final version of the article.

227

228 REFERENCES

- 229 1. Buggio L, Roncella E, Somigliana E, Vercellini P. Vitamin D and benign gynaecological
230 diseases: a critical analysis of the current evidence. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2016;32:259-63.
- 231 2. Sourial S, Tempest N, Hapangama DK. Theories on the pathogenesis of endometriosis. *Int J*
232 *Reprod Med* 2014;2014:179515.
- 233 3. McLaren J, Prentice A, Charnock-Jones DS, Smith SK. Vascular endothelial growth factor
234 (VEGF) concentrations are elevated in peritoneal fluid of women with endometriosis. *Hum*
235 *Reprod* 1996;11:220-3.
- 236 4. Osuga Y, Koga K, Hirota Y, Hirata T, Yoshino O, Taketani Y. Lymphocytes in
237 endometriosis. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 2011;65:1-10.
- 238 5. Akoum A, Kong J, Metz C, Beaumont MC. Spontaneous and stimulated secretion of
239 monocyte chemotactic protein-1 and macrophage migration inhibitory factor by peritoneal
240 macrophages in women with and without endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2002;77:989-94.
- 241 6. Lin YJ, Lai MD, Leo HY, Wing LY. Neutrophils and macrophages promote angiogenesis in
242 the early stage of endometriosis in a mouse model. *Endocrinology* 2006;147:1278-86.
- 243 7. Viganò P, Lattuada D, Mangioni S, et al. Cycling and early pregnant endometrium as a site
244 of regulated expression of the vitamin D system. *J Mol Endocrinol.* 2006;36:415-24.
- 245 8. Mariani M, Viganò P, Gentilini D, et al. The selective vitamin D receptor agonist,
246 elocalcitol, reduces endometriosis development in a mouse model by inhibiting peritoneal
247 inflammation. *Hum Reprod.* 2012;27:2010-9.
- 248 9. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:266-81.
- 249 10. Ballweg ML. Endo family study identifies high risk of cancer and autoimmune disease.
250 *Endometriosis Association Newsletter* 1999;20:1-2.
- 251 11. Sinaii N, Cleary SD, Ballweg ML, Nieman LK, Stratton P. High rates of autoimmune and
252 endocrine disorders, fibromyalgia chronic fatigue syndrome and atopic diseases among
253 women with endometriosis: a survey analysis. *Hum Reprod* 2002;17:2715-24.

- 254 12. Hartwell D, Rødbro P, Jensen SB, Thomsen K, Christiansen C. Vitamin D metabolites-
255 relation to age, menopause and endometriosis. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* 1990;50:115-21.
- 256 13. Somigliana E, Panina-Bordignon P, Murone S, Di Lucia P, Vercellini P, Viganò P. Vitamin
257 D reserve is higher in women with endometriosis. *Hum Reprod.* 2007;22:2273-8.
- 258 14. Agic A, Xu H, Altgassen C, Wolfler MM, et al. Relative expression of 1,25-
259 dihydroxyvitamin D3 receptor, vitamin D 1 alpha-hydroxylase, vitamin D 24-hydroxylase,
260 and vitamin D 25-hydroxylase in endometriosis and gynecologic cancers. *Reprod Sci.*
261 2007;14:486-97.
- 262 15. Harris HR, Chavarro JE, Malspeis S, Willett WC, Missmer SA. Dairy-food, calcium,
263 magnesium, and vitamin D intake and endometriosis: a prospective cohort study. *Am J*
264 *Epidemiol.* 2013;177:420-30.
- 265 16. Miyashita M, Koga K, Izumi G, et al. Effects of 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D3 on
266 endometriosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;101:2371–2379.
- 267 17. Anastasi E, Fuggetta E, De Vito C, et al. Low levels of 25-OH vitamin D in women with
268 endometriosis and associated pelvic pain. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2017;55:e282-e284.
- 269 18. Eskenazi B, Warner M, Bonsignore L, Olive D, Samuels S, Vercellini P. Validation study of
270 nonsurgical diagnosis of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril* 2001;76:929-35.
- 271 19. Vercellini P, Somigliana E, Cortinovis I, et al. "You can't always get what you want": from
272 doctrine to practicability of study designs for clinical investigation in endometriosis. *BMC*
273 *Womens Health* 2015;15:89.
- 274 20. Vercellini P, Bracco B, Mosconi P, et al. Norethindrone acetate or dienogest for the
275 treatment of symptomatic endometriosis: a before and after study. *Fertil Steril*
276 2016;105:734-743.
- 277 21. Vercellini P, Ottolini F, Frattaruolo MP, Buggio L, Roberto A, Somigliana E. Is shifting to
278 progestin worthwhile when estrogen-progestins are inefficacious for endometriosis-
279 associated pain? *Reprod Sci* 2017. In press.

- 280 22. Fitzpatrick TB. The validity and practicality of sun reactive skin types I through VI. Arch
281 Dermatol 1988;124:869-871.
- 282 23. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention
283 of vitamin D deficiency: An Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol
284 Metab 2011;96:1911–1930.
- 285 24. Paffoni A, Somigliana E, Viganò P, et al. Vitamin D status in women with uterine
286 leiomyomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013;98:E1374-8.
- 287 25. Vigna L, Cassinelli L, Tirelli AS, et al. 25(OH)D levels in relation to gender, overweight,
288 insuline resistance, and inflammation in a cross sectional cohort of Northern Italian workers:
289 evidence in support of preventive health care programs. J Am Coll Nutr 2017;36:253-60.
- 290 26. Meyer HE, Holvik K, Lips P. Should vitamin D supplements be recommended to prevent
291 chronic diseases? BMJ 2015;350:h321.
- 292 27. Autier P, Boniol M, Pizot C, Mullie P. Vitamin D status and ill health: a systematic review.
293 Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2014;2:76-89.
- 294 28. Welsh P, Sattar N. Vitamin D and chronic disease prevention. BMJ. 2014;348:g2280.
- 295 29. Brosens I, Brosens J, Benagiano G. Neonatal uterine bleeding as antecedent of pelvic
296 endometriosis. Hum Reprod 2013;28:2893-7.
- 297 30. Holick MF. Vitamin D: evolutionary, physiological and health perspectives. Curr Drug
298 Targets 2011;12:4-18.
- 299 31. Wacker M, Holick MF. Sunlight and vitamin D: a global perspective for health.
300 Dermatoendocrinol 2013;5:51-108.
- 301 32. Vercellini P, Viganò P, Somigliana E, Fedele L. Endometriosis: pathogenesis and treatment.
302 Nat Rev Endocrinol 2014;10:261-75.
- 303 33. Holt VL, Weiss NS. Recommendations for the design of epidemiologic studies of
304 endometriosis. Epidemiology 2000;11:654-9.
- 305

306 FIGURE LEGEND

307 Figure 1. Serum levels of 25(OH)D in women with endometriosis (red columns) and in control
308 participants (blue columns) according to month of recruitment. None of the differences is
309 statistically significant.

Table 1. List of studies evaluating 25(OH)D serum levels in women with and without endometriosis (literature data 1990-2017).

Source	Year	Country	Study design	N° of patients enrolled (endometriosis; controls)	Dosage evaluation	Mean ± DS 25(OH)D serum levels (endometriosis; controls)	P
Hartwell, <i>et al.</i> ¹²	1990	Denmark	Case-control	155 (<i>n</i> = 42; <i>n</i> =113)	Serum	32.2 ± 0.6 ng/ml; 30.5 ± 14.1 ng/ml	0.43
Somigliana <i>et al.</i> , ¹³	2007	Italy	Cross-sectional in women scheduled for gynecologic surgery	140 (<i>n</i> = 87; <i>n</i> = 53)	Serum	24.9 ± 14.8 ng/ml; 20.4 ± 11.8 ng/ml	0.05
Agic <i>et al.</i> , ¹⁴	2007	Germany	Case-control in women scheduled for benign gynecologic surgery	79 (<i>n</i> = 46; <i>n</i> = 33)	Serum	25.7 ± 2.1 ng/ml; 22.6 ± 2.0 ng/ml	0.31
Harris <i>et al.</i> , ¹⁵	2013	United States	Prospective cohort study (Nurses' Health Study II)	70.556 (<i>n</i> = 1385; <i>n</i> = 69.171)	Predicted serum levels based on daily food intake	Predicted plasma 25(OH)D levels were inversely associated with endometriosis risk	0.004 ^a
Miyashita <i>et al.</i> , ¹⁶	2016	Japan	Cross-sectional in women scheduled for laparoscopy for endometriosis or benign ovarian tumor (patients were negative for uterine fibroids).	76 (<i>n</i> = 39 ^b ; <i>n</i> =37)	Serum	Severe endometriosis: 17.2 ± 1.1 ng/ml; ^c Mild endometriosis: 21.5 ± 1.4 ng/ml; Controls: 21.8 ± 1.3 ng/ml	<0.01 ^c <0.05 ^c
Anastasi <i>et al.</i> , ¹⁷	2017	Italy	Case-control	194 (<i>n</i> = 104; <i>n</i> = 90)	Serum	21.3 ± 8.9 ng/ml; 32.3 ± 2.7 ng/ml	<0.01

25(OH)D = 25-hydroxy vitamin D

^a Women in the highest quintile of predicted vitamin D level had a 24% lower risk of endometriosis than women in the lowest quintile (rate ratio = 0.76, 95% confidence interval: 0.60, 0.97; *p* trend = 0.004).

^b Mild endometriosis (*n* = 17, stage 1 and 2), severe endometriosis (*n* = 22; stage 3 and 4)

^c Serum levels of 25(OH)D in samples from patients with severe endometriosis were significantly lower than those detected in samples from women with mild endometriosis (*P* < 0.01) and controls (*P* <0.05)

Table 2. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants in the two study groups.

Characteristics	Endometriosis	Controls	P
Age (years)	34,2 ± 6,5	33,2 ± 6,5	0.14
Italian area of origin			
North	175 (81%)	164 (76%)	0.31
Center	11 (5%)	10 (4%)	
South	31 (14%)	43 (20%)	
BMI (Kg/m ²)	21,7 ± 3,3	22,0 ± 3.0	0.29
Smoking			
Yes	57 (26%)	59 (27%)	0.47
No	143 (66%)	134 (62 %)	
Previous smoker	17 (8%)	24 (11%)	
Marital status			
Married	77 (35%)	60 (28%)	0.10
Unmarried	140 (65%)	157 (72%)	
Working status			0.13
Employed	181 (83%)	193 (89%)	
Unemployed (or student)	36 (17%)	21 (11%)	
Previous deliveries			0.67
None	155 (72)	159 (73)	
1	33 (15)	35 (16)	
≥ 2	29 (13)	23 (11)	
Hormonal therapies			<0.001
None	89 (41)	153 (71)	
Estroprogestins	61 (28)	60 (28)	
Progestins	63 (29)	4 (2)	
GnRH analogues	4 (2)	0 (0)	
Dysmenorrhea ^a	112 (52)	42 (20)	<0.001
Dyspareunia ^a	79 (36)	7 (3)	<0.001
Non menstrual pelvic pain ^a	71 (33)	11 (5)	<0.001
Dyschezia ^a	48 (22)	7 (3)	<0.001

BMI = body mass index.

Data are expressed as mean ± SD or number (percentage).

^a The presence of pain symptoms was determined using the NRS (numeric rating scale) and considering the symptom present if NRS was >5.

Table 3. Categorization of serum concentrations of 25(OH)D in women with and without endometriosis.

Serum levels (ng/ml)	Endometriosis (%)	Controls (%)	<i>P</i>
			0.29
Severe deficiency (<10 ng/ml)	32 (15)	31 (14)	
Deficiency (10-19.9 ng/ml)	112 (52)	107 (49)	
Insufficiency (20-29.9 ng/ml)	63 (29)	60 (28)	
Sufficiency (\geq 30 ng/ml)	10 (4)	19 (9)	

Table 4. Phenotypic characteristics, sun exposure habits and cutaneous reaction to UV in patients with endometriosis and in control participants.

	Endometriosis (%) (<i>n</i> = 217)	Controls (%) (<i>n</i> = 217)	<i>P</i> _{trend}
Hair color			0.26
Black	5 (2)	6 (3)	
Dark brown	107 (49)	97 (44)	
Light brown	77 (36)	80 (37)	
Blonde	25 (12)	28 (13)	
Red	3 (1)	6 (3)	
Skin phototype			0.45
Type 1	9 (4)	8 (4)	
Type 2	49 (23)	39 (18)	
Type 3	86 (39)	96 (44)	
Type 4	49 (23)	52 (24)	
Type 5	24 (11)	17 (8)	
Type 6	0 (0)	5 (2)	
Sun exposure during adolescence (16-18 aa)			0.42
Never	1 (1)	0 (0)	
Rare	15 (6)	19 (9)	
Occasional	82 (38)	82 (38)	
Frequent	82 (38)	88 (40)	
Very frequently	37 (17)	28 (13)	
Sun exposure during work activity			0.11
Never	152 (70)	165 (76)	
Rare	35 (16)	29 (13)	
Occasional	19 (9)	18 (8)	
Frequent	8 (4)	4 (2)	
Very frequently	3 (1)	1 (1)	
Sun exposure during leisure			0.23
Never	5 (2)	1 (1)	
Rare	38 (17)	39 (18)	
Occasional	95 (44)	120 (55)	
Frequent	69 (32)	52 (24)	
Very frequently	10 (5)	5 (2)	
Use of UV tanning lamps during adolescence			0.85
Si	112 (52)	115 (53)	
No	105 (48)	102 (47)	

	Endometriosis (%) (n = 217)	Controls (%) (n = 217)	<i>P</i>_{trend}
Current use of UV tanning lamps			0.81
Yes	44 (20)	41 (19)	
No	173 (80)	176 (81)	
Cutaneous reaction after 1h of sun exposure			0.36
None	68 (31)	63 (29)	
Occasional burns	116 (54)	113 (52)	
Always burns	33 (15)	41 (19)	
Do you like to catch the sun?			1.00
Yes	168 (77)	169 (78)	
No	49 (23)	48 (22)	
Use of protective tanning cream			0.73
Never	11 (5)	8 (4)	
Occasionally	51 (24)	61 (28)	
Always	155 (71)	148 (68)	

