1 Global determinants of zoogeographical boundaries

3	Gentile Francesco Ficetola ^{1,2} *, Florent Mazel ^{1,3} and Wilfried Thuiller ¹
4	¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Écologie Alpine (LECA), F-38000 Grenoble, France
5	² Department of Biosciences, Università degli Studi di Milano. Via Celoria 26, 20133 Milano,
6	Italy
7	³ Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
8	*e-mail : francesco.ficetola@gmail.com
9	
10	Acknowledgements
11	We thank S. Ramdhani for providing high-resolution maps of bioregions. The research leading to
12	these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European
13	Community's Seven Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 Grant Agreement no. 281422
14	(TEEMBIO). All authors belong to the Laboratoire d'Écologie Alpine, which is part of Labex
15	OSUG@2020 (ANR10 LABX56).
16	
17	Author contributions
18	The three authors conceived the study. GFF performed all analyses with the help of FM. GFF wrote
19	the first version of the manuscript, with contribution of all authors
20	א מוויזינע מיני מפאר אין
View metadata, citati	on and similar papers at <u>core.ac.uk</u> brought to you by T CORE
21	Competing interests
22	The authors declare no competing financial interests
23	
24	Data Deposition statement

- 25 The data and the scripts that support the findings of this study are available from the
- corresponding author upon request.

The distribution of living organisms on Earth is spatially structured. Early 27 biogeographers already identified the existence of multiple zoogeographical regions, 28 characterized by faunas with homogeneous composition that are separated by 29 biogeographical boundaries. Yet, no study has deciphered the factors shaping the 30 distributions of terrestrial biogeographical boundaries at the global scale. Here, using 31 spatial regression analyses, we show that tectonic movements, sharp changes in climatic 32 conditions, and orographic barriers determine extant biogeographical boundaries. 33 These factors lead to abrupt zoogeographical transitions when they act in concert, but 34 their prominence varies across the globe. Clear differences exist among boundaries 35 representing profound or shallow dissimilarities between faunas. Boundaries separating 36 zoogeographical regions with limited divergence occur in areas with abrupt climatic 37 transitions. On the other hand, plate tectonics determine the separation between deeply 38 39 divergent biogeographical realms, particularly in the Old World. Our study reveals the multiple drivers that have shaped the biogeographical regions of the world. 40

42 Background

43

Naturalists have long been fascinated by the variation of life across geographical regions, and 44 have described biogeographic areas since the 18th century ¹⁻⁵. Wallace ⁴ was one of the first 45 mapping these biogeographical regions, and identified some areas of transition between them 46 (biogeographical boundaries). The analysis of biogeographical patterns has since remained an 47 active research field ⁶⁻⁸ and, in the last years, the increasing availability of species distribution 48 data has fostered quantitative studies on biogeographical regionalization at both global and 49 regional scales, using macroecological and geospatial approaches ⁹⁻¹⁵. On one hand, several 50 biogeographical regions are clearly separated by barriers to dispersal ¹⁶. For instance, 51 Australia and Madagascar have unique terrestrial faunas, and their distinctiveness clearly 52 derive from the fact that they remained isolated from other land masses for tens of million 53 years. On the other hand, many delineated biogeographical boundaries cross continents or 54 correspond to narrow sea straits (Fig. 1). These terrestrial boundaries are assumed to be the 55 consequence of multiple factors limiting the interchanges across regions, such as the presence 56 of unfavourable climates, high turnover of environmental conditions, orographic barriers and 57 historical geological and climatic isolation ^{7,16,17}. Despite those qualitative statements, we do 58 not know much about the relative importance of those determinants to delineate 59 biogeographical boundaries ¹⁸, and no formal and comprehensive analyses have been carried 60 so far. Until now, studies on biogeographical boundaries generally focused on one specific 61 area, such as the Wallace line or the Nearctic-Neotropical transition zone ^{16,17}, while a global 62 analysis is still lacking. 63

We believe that this lack of knowledge comes from the complex nature and definition
of biogeographical boundaries. Indeed, there is no single definition of boundary and they

appear to be hierarchically structured and spatially heterogeneous. For instance, Holt et al.¹¹ 66 recently delineated the zoogeographical regions of the world by integrating species 67 distribution data of terrestrial vertebrates with phylogenetic information. Measuring the 68 phylogenetic turnover between vertebrate assemblages (taken at 200 × 200km resolution) and 69 using a cluster algorithm, they delineated twenty zoogeographical regions of the world that 70 explain most of variation in biodiversity while maximising the phylogenetic dissimilarities 71 between them ¹¹. Interestingly, the nested nature of the dendrogram created from their cluster 72 73 analysis also allowed Holt et al. to identify eleven regions, at a higher level, called realms (Fig. 1)¹¹. However, the position of cut-off points is somehow arbitrary and, along the same 74 dendrogram, if a deeper cut-off of similarity is used, some of Holt's realms collapse, resulting 75 in a smaller number of realms that are mostly consistent with the original maps of Wallace's 76 realms¹⁹ (Fig. 1b). In other words, some boundaries separate highly dissimilar assemblages, 77 78 while others separate regions with lower dissimilarities (Fig. 1). To refer to this biogeographical hierarchy, and since there is no clear terminology yet, we will use the terms 79 80 shallow, intermediate and deep bioregions and boundaries. Clearly, complex determinants are 81 responsible for this nested structure of biogeographical regions and we argue that some might explain deep bioregion boundaries, while others should be more related to intermediate and 82 shallow boundaries. More specifically, we hypothesise that 1) climatic heterogeneity, 2) 83 orographic barriers, 3) past tectonic history and 4) velocity of past climate change may play a 84 major role in setting biogeographical boundaries. These factors may have a different role in 85 explaining shallow or deep boundaries, as processes acting deeper in the past (e.g. plate 86 tectonic movements) may be most important for deep boundaries, while factors representing 87 present-day ecological barriers (e.g. climatic heterogeneity) may best explain shallow 88 89 boundaries.

Climate is a major determinant of the present-day limits of species distributions ²⁰, and 90 faunistic turnover is higher between regions with dissimilar environmental features ^{21,22}. 91 Therefore, climate could have a major role, for instance for shallow boundaries ¹⁸. However, 92 climatic conditions have strongly shifted during the Quaternary, determining broad scale 93 changes of species distributions and modifications of assemblages ²³⁻²⁵. The velocity of past 94 climate change since the last glacial maximum is known to be a major driver of endemism 95 and biogeographical structure, with higher endemism of vertebrates in regions with more 96 stable climate ²⁶. As endemism plays an important role in the definition of biogeographical 97 regions ¹⁹, quaternary climate changes have been potentially important to set boundaries 98 representing shallow or intermediate dissimilarity among regions ²³. Tectonics have 99 determined the long-term isolation of the biotas on some continental plates ¹⁶, thus we expect 100 that tectonic history (movements of plates during the Cenozoic) has determined some of the 101 deepest boundaries ^{7,27}. While the role of tectonics on biogeographical patterns has long been 102 recognized ¹⁶, no global study has used plate-motion models to explicitly quantify 103 104 determinants of biogeographical boundaries. Finally, mountains are major barriers to dispersal of terrestrial animals, thus we expect an overall role of orographic barriers. 105

Here, we build on Holt's zoogeographical regionalization by quantitatively measuring 106 the relative importance of the above-mentioned four hypotheses across the nested structure of 107 the global regions. First, we used spatial regression models to identify the factors best 108 explaining the occurrence of boundaries. Second, we mapped their spatial heterogeneity, to 109 identify global and regional variation of processes in function of climate and geological 110 history. Third, we explored their relative importance through the nested structure of regions, 111 to assess whether these processes play a consistent role on all the boundaries, or whether 112 some are more important for boundaries representing deep or shallow dissimilarity. Finally, 113

we demonstrated the robustness of our conclusions to alternative classifications of
zoogeographical regions ^{6,10}.

116

117 Results

118

The geographical position of terrestrial biogeographical boundaries was accurately predicted 119 by the spatial models (Supplementary Table 1). When we analysed the factors related to the 120 121 overall presence of boundaries (all boundaries in Fig. 1), we found support for a joint role of climatic heterogeneity, tectonic movements during the last 65 million years, and orographic 122 barriers (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 1). Temperature heterogeneity and tectonic movements 123 124 were the variables with the strongest overall effect size, followed by orographic barriers and heterogeneity of temperature seasonality. We did not detect any relationship between 125 biogeographical boundaries and the velocity of late quaternary climate change. Velocity of 126 climate change is strongly related to topography ²⁶ (Supplementary Table 2), still it remained 127 non-significant if altitude was excluded from the model (simultaneous autoregressive model: 128 $t_{2191} = -0.73, P = 0.46$). 129

Geographically weighted regression (GWR) suggested that relationships between 130 environmental features and boundaries were not homogeneous across the globe (Fig. 3a-d). 131 Overall, temperature heterogeneity best explained the boundaries crossing Eastern Asia, 132 Central and North America, while heterogeneity of temperature seasonality best explained the 133 boundaries of the Amazonian and Guineo-Congolian regions. Western Eurasia boundaries 134 were best explained by tectonic movements while orographic barriers best explained the 135 Asiatic boundaries between the Arctico-Sibirian, the Eurasian, the Tibetan and the Oriental 136 regions (Fig. 4a). Climatic variables were particularly important to define the boundaries of 137

tropical and subtropical regions. Species turnover is the basis of biogeographical
regionalization, and is more strongly linked to environmental heterogeneity in the tropics than
at the high latitudes ²¹. This probably occurs because the limited short-term climatic
variability in the tropics can favour physiological specialization, determining narrower niches
and particularly strong responses to climate ²⁸.

We then performed sequential analyses on boundaries representing different levels of 143 faunistic dissimilarities. The boundaries representing the shallowest dissimilarities (white 144 lines in Fig. 1) were strongly associated to heterogeneity of temperature seasonality and, to a 145 lesser extent, to orographic barriers (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 1). Major equatorial regions 146 (Guineao-Congolian and Amazonian) are areas with constant temperature through the year 147 (Supplementary Fig. 2) and their limits, particularly in the south, are strongly related to shifts 148 toward more seasonal climates. This strongly agrees with the idea that limited seasonal 149 variability is a major determinant of the narrow niche of tropical animals ²⁸. 150

When we focused on deeper biogeographical relationships (intermediate bioregions, 151 i.e. boundaries among Holt's realms), heterogeneity of temperature was the variable with the 152 strongest effect size, followed by plate tectonic movements and orographic barriers (Fig. 2, 153 Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1). Finally, the deepest biogeographical 154 boundaries were mostly related to plate tectonic motion, with a consistent effect through the 155 boundaries crossing the whole Old World (Figs. 2-4, Supplementary Table 1). Nevertheless, 156 significant local relationships remained with climatic parameters and orographic barriers (Fig. 157 3), and the position of the boundary between the Neotropics and the Nearctic corresponded to 158 areas with strong heterogeneity of temperature (Fig. 3e, Fig. 4b). The optimal bandwidth 159 160 detected by geographically weighted regressions was 1000 km in the analysis of shallow boundaries, 1800 km when focusing on the intermediate boundaries, and 4800 km for deep 161 boundaries. In these spatial regression models, the optimal bandwidth identifies the distance 162

of neighbours to include into local regressions ²⁹, and the shorter bandwidths of shallow and
 intermediate bioregions suggest that more local processes act on the boundaries representing
 limited dissimilarities.

166

167 Discussion

168

Our analysis is a first attempt to tease apart the role of multiple factors in shaping 169 170 zoogeographical boundaries at the global scale, and it shows that multiple factors often interplay to determine major transitions. For instance, past separation of tectonic plates led to 171 long term isolation and strong dissimilarity of faunas among continents, but biotic 172 interchanges have occurred when the movement of some plates brought isolated biotas in 173 contact ³⁰⁻³². Clear biogeographical differences have remained even after the contact among 174 plates, likely maintained by the interplay with other processes. In the Old World, the collision 175 176 between the African, the Arabian, the Eurasian and the Indian plates has created major mountain chains, which are physical barriers that also determine sharp climatic transitions 177 (Supplementary Fig. 4). In this region, plate tectonics, climate and orography have thus 178 played a joint, and difficult to disentangle, role in shaping zoogeographical boundaries (Fig. 179 180 3).

181 Conversely, no sharp barriers exist between the Neotropics and the Nearctic, thus the 182 transition between these two realms is more blurred ^{7,19,33}. The northern distribution limit of 183 Neotropical taxa is highly heterogeneous, with some Neotropical families of vertebrates 184 limited to areas south of Panama, and others ranging until Texas ¹⁶. The formation of the 185 Panama Isthmus was a complex geological process, with multiple waves of dispersal of 186 terrestrial organisms ^{32,34}, and the deepest present-day faunistic transition does not always

coincide with the narrowest isthmus or with the point of contact between plates (Uramita 187 Suture) ^{16,22,34}. The dispersal of organisms between North and South America was likely 188 limited by the interplay between availability of land and suitable environmental conditions 189 32,34 , and the transition from tropical to more temperate climates remains the most likely factor 190 limiting biotic homogenisation (Figs. 3 & 4). A long standing debate exists on the boundaries 191 of some regions, such as the position of the southern limit of the Nearctic, or the existence of 192 the boundaries of the Sino-Japanese region, and some of them have been proposed as possible 193 transition zones ^{19,35}, even though they harbour many endemic taxa and maintain distinct 194 biotas ^{16,36}. Temperature heterogeneity is the strongest correlate of the boundaries of these 195 196 regions (Figs 3 & 4). Climatic, tectonic and orographic changes are often closely linked, but our results suggest that complex faunistic transitions may be associated to areas where climate 197 does not act jointly with other processes. 198

The boundaries across Eurasia (e.g. between the Palearctic and the Saharan region, 199 200 between the Sino-Japanese and the Oriental regions) were strongly related to tectonic movements, i.e. the recent contact between the Eurasian, the Arabian and the Indian plate ³⁷, a 201 pattern well recognized in the biogeographical literature ^{16,38,39}. The importance of tectonic 202 movements was particularly clear in Western Asia (Fig. 3c). In this region, the boundary 203 between the Saharan and the Eurasian bioregions matches well the limits of the Arabian plate, 204 which remained isolated from Eurasia until the Miocene ^{37,38}. The formation of major 205 mountain chains (e.g. the Zagros Mountains) after the collision between Arabia and Eurasia, 206 and the harsh climatic conditions, have probably contributed to the strong differentiation 207 between the Arabian and the Eurasian faunas ¹⁶. The GWR analysis performed on all 208 boundaries taken together suggested that tectonic movements have a very broad influence 209 over Western Eurasia, with apparent effects spanning northward up to the Urals (Fig. 3c). 210 211 However, this is likely an artefact of GWR analysis, which, in this case, overestimated the

influence of tectonics across space, probably because of the very strong local effect of the
movements of the Arabian plate. There is indeed no global effect of tectonics on shallow
boundaries (such as the one between the Eurasian and the Arctico-Siberian plates; Fig. 2).
Furthermore, no tectonic movements occurred inside the Eurasian plate during the last 100
million years ³⁷ (Supplementary Fig. 4), and the boundary between the Eurasian and the
Arctico-Siberian plate was clearly unrelated to tectonic movements if analysed separately
(Supplementary Fig. 1).

Boundaries in Eastern Asia and between the bioregions of central-northern America 219 were related to the presence of a strong temperature gradient (Fig. 3a). Regional scale 220 analyses on Eastern Asia yielded a similar pattern, and showed that the interplay between 221 222 present-day climate and elevational gradients is a strong determinant of zoogeographical boundaries in this area ³⁹. He, et al. ³⁹ suggested that orographic barriers and tectonics were 223 the most likely determinant of biogeographical structure in Western China, while the 224 225 transition from tropical to temperate and continental climates was a major determinant of the regionalization in Eastern China³⁹, which corroborate our findings. 226

Here we focused on the biogeographical boundaries proposed by Holt et al¹¹. 227 Alternative biogeographical structures have been proposed using both qualitative and 228 quantitative approaches ^{6,10,12-14,16}. Although some differences exist, the overall pattern is 229 consistent among studies, and differences are mostly for the shallow boundaries between 230 subregions, while the deepest boundaries are strikingly similar between Wallace's ⁴ original 231 232 classification and modern, data-demanding approaches. Interestingly, these boundaries that remain highly congruent among studies are the ones we showed that arise from several 233 234 factors, such as the joint effect of tectonics, climate and orography in the Old World (Fig. 3fg). Actually, our conclusions on how multiple processes act in concert to define the deepest 235 biogeographical dissimilarities are robust, and do not strongly change if we use alternative 236

regionalizations ^{6,10} as baselines (Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 3, 237 Supplementary Discussion). The situation is more complex for boundaries representing 238 shallow dissimilarities, which may be blurred by the presence of transition zones ¹³ and for 239 which different taxa can show non-congruent regionalization ¹⁰⁻¹². Furthermore, responses to 240 climatic factors may be strongly different among taxa, meaning that the parameters 241 determining boundaries may vary not only among areas of the world, but also depending on 242 the taxa on which biogeographical analyses are based. Fine resolution analyses, focusing on 243 244 specific boundaries, can be important to reveal additional processes acting at more regional scale, and to understand when the biogeographical structure has originated ^{18,33,40,41}. 245 Nevertheless, the analysis presented here paves the way for in-depth examination and 246 comparative tests of the factors driving ecological and biogeographical transitions at multiple 247 scales and for multiple taxa. The zoogeographical regions of the world have been shaped by 248 249 multiple ecological and historical drivers. Using adequate spatial models, in combination with well-defined factors representing ecological expectations, allows to identify the complex and 250 251 hierarchical processes determining zoogeographical boundaries, thus enabling a more 252 objective understanding of biogeographical patterns.

254 Methods

255 Data

Biogeographical regions – We built on Holt's maps of biogeographical regions ¹¹ that we 256 257 converted in a raster grid at a 200 km resolution (Mollweide equal-area projection; see Supplementary Figs. 2 and 4 for Earth maps at this resolution), a scale generally appropriate 258 for global analyses of species distribution ^{42,43}. The "terrestrial" biogeographical boundaries 259 were defined as the boundaries between zoogeographical regions that are not separated by the 260 sea at this resolution (Fig. 1). A cell was considered to be on the boundary if a nearby cell 261 belongs to a different zoogeographical region / realm (depending on the analysis). A few 262 boundaries were represented by narrow sea straits, that are not evident at the 200 km 263 resolution (Gibraltar, Djibouti and La Pérouse Straits; see Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig 2), 264 and were also considered among the analysed boundaries. 265

Predictors - We considered four processes that might be related to the probability that a given 266 world cell represents biogeographical boundaries: 1) areas of high climatic heterogeneity 267 (climatic barriers); 2) orographic barriers; 3) tectonic separation; 4) instability of past climate. 268 269 The climatic heterogeneity hypothesis proposes that boundaries correspond to areas where climatic parameters show strong spatial turnover (heterogeneity among neighbouring cells). 270 We considered the heterogeneity for four climatic variables: annual mean absolute 271 temperature, temperature seasonality, annual summed precipitation and precipitation 272 seasonality; all climatic variables were extracted from the Worldclim dataset ⁴⁴ up-scaled at a 273 274 200 km resolution. These variables represent both average conditions and their variability across the year, and are simple major determinants of vertebrate distribution ⁴⁵. Furthermore, 275 276 mean annual temperature and precipitation seasonality are enough to explain most of climatic variation at the global scale ²¹, and other important variables (e.g. summer and winter 277

temperatures) are strongly related to linear combinations of the four climatic parameters 278 considered in our analyses (Supplementary Table 4). To measure local heterogeneity, for each 279 cell, we calculated the coefficient of variation (CV) between the focal cell and the 280 neighbouring ones, using a queen connection scheme. Therefore, the values at a given cell are 281 higher if the cell is strongly different from the neighbours (Supplementary Fig. 4). To test for 282 the orographic barrier hypothesis, we calculated the mean absolute difference between the 283 altitude of each cell and the neighbouring ones. To test for the potential effect of past climatic 284 change/stability, for each cell we calculated the average velocity of climate change since the 285 last glacial maximum ²⁶. Past climate change from the Cenozoic could also likely explain 286 present-day biogeographical structure. However, given that paleoclimatic reconstructions are 287 still unable to reliably reproduce deep past climates ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸, we preferred not including them in 288 our analyses. To test for the tectonic separation hypothesis ⁷, we calculated the variability in 289 290 geographical distance between each cell and its neighbours during the last 65 million years (i.e. temporal variability of geographical distances averaged across neighbours; see 291 Supplementary Fig. 4 for details and examples) using the GPLATE software ^{49,50}. This value 292 293 is low for cells that did not change their position compared to neighbours (e.g. within continental shelfs) and increases for cells that experienced tectonic movements (e.g. a 294 continental collision) (Supplementary Figs. 4-5). All variables were log-transformed prior to 295 296 analyses to improve normality and reduce skewness; pairwise correlations between the seven variables were < 0.7; the strongest correlations were between mean temperature heterogeneity 297 and altitude variation, and between velocity of past climate change and altitude variation 298 (Supplementary Table 2). 299

300

301 Statistical analyses

We used spatially-explicit regression models to assess the factors that may explain the 302 position of biogeographical boundaries. We first analysed the factors related to the overall 303 presence of boundaries (all boundaries in Fig. 1, global analysis). The dependent variable was 304 305 whether a grid cell is in contact with a terrestrial biogeographical boundary (Y/N; Fig. 1), while the seven environmental variables, scaled to mean = 0 and variance = 1, were the 306 independent ones. We then performed three analyses, to assess the factors related to 307 boundaries representing different values of phylogenetic turnover: shallow phylogenetic 308 309 turnover (boundaries between shallow bioregions but not between realms; white lines in Fig. 1), deep turnover (boundaries between intermediate and deep bioregions, i.e. Holt's realms) 310 and very deep turnover (boundaries between deep bioregions, i.e. Wallace's realms). These 311 analyses were performed to assess the relative importance of variables identified by the global 312 analysis in determining boundaries representing specific levels of turnover, thus we used 313 314 variables significant in the global analysis as independent. Each analysis was limited to within 1000 km from the target biogeographical boundaries, to avoid an excessive number of zeros. 315

The residuals of preliminary ordinary least squares regression showed significant 316 spatial autocorrelation (global analysis: Moran's I = 0.357; analysis on shallow boundaries: I 317 = 0.374; analysis on intermediate boundaries: I = 0.361; analysis on deep boundaries: I =318 0.366; all P < 0.001), and failure in taking into account spatial autocorrelation may bias the 319 result of regression analyses ⁵¹. Therefore, we used simultaneous autoregressive spatial 320 models (SAR) with binomial error distribution to identify the environmental features related 321 to the occurrence of biogeographical boundaries. SAR-models are spatially-explicit regression 322 323 techniques that deal with spatial autocorrelation; in our models, spatial autocorrelation was incorporated in the error term using neighbourhood matrices (SAR_{ERR}). SAR_{ERR} is considered 324 among the best-performing approaches to spatial regression ⁵¹⁻⁵³. We used a neighbourhood of 325 326 566 km, which is the shortest distance allowing to keep all study cells connected to at least

another cell. Binomial SAR_{ERR} were built using hierarchical generalized linear mixed models 327 (HGLM) with spatially correlated random effects ⁵⁴. HGLM provide results consistent with 328 other analytical approaches, e.g. spatial mixed models ⁵⁵, but are more computationally 329 efficient, allowing to analyse large datasets in reasonable time ⁵⁴. In all models, variance 330 inflation factor was ≤ 3 for all variables, indicating that collinearity among variables was not a 331 major issue ⁵⁶. Nevertheless, moderate correlation existed between altitude variation and mean 332 temperature heterogeneity (Supplementary Table 2). We thus repeated analyses by removing 333 the correlated variables; coefficients obtained removing the correlated variables were in good 334 agreement with the ones of the full models (Supplementary Table 5), confirming the 335 robustness of our analyses. Analyses were performed on the R environment with the packages 336 car, hglm, maptools, raster and spdep ⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰. The capability of SAR models to correctly predict 337 the position of biogeographical boundaries was assessed using the maximum true skill 338 339 statistics, which is a measure of predictive accuracy ranging from -1 to +1, where +1 indicates perfect agreement between observed and predicted values, and values ≤ 0 indicate that 340 performance is not better than random ⁶¹. 341

SAR models provide one single coefficient per each independent variable, 342 representing the overall relationship (global analysis), but biogeographical and ecological 343 relationships can often vary as a function of the location, showing strong spatial heterogeneity 344 ⁶². We thus used geographically weighted regression (GWR) to assess the spatial 345 heterogeneity of relationships between environmental features and boundaries. GWR is an 346 exploratory technique that pinpoints where non-stationarity occurs within the geographical 347 space, i.e. where locally-weighted regression coefficients deviate from their global values. If 348 the local coefficients vary across space, this may be considered as an indication of non-349 stationarity²⁹. GWR was performed after the SAR_{ERR} analyses, considering variables 350 significant in SAR_{ERR}. We used a binomial model and standardized independent variables. 351

- 352 The best bandwidth was identified through a fixed Gaussian Kernel; to identify the best
- bandwidth, we built all the models with bandwidths from 5000 to 1000 km at intervals of 200
- km, and selected the one with lowest corrected Akaike's Information Criterion (AICc). GWR
- 355 was run using the software GWR4.0.80⁶³; Local significance of GWR was adjusted for
- 356 multiple testing following 64 .

359	1	Fabricius, J. C. Philosophia Entomologica. (Impensis Carol. Ernest. Bohnii, 1778).
360	2	De Candolle, A. P. Essai élémentaire de géographie botanique. (F. Levrault, 1820).
361	3	Swainson, W. A treatise on the geography and classification of animals. (Longman, Brown,
362		Green, and Longmans, 1835).
363	4	Wallace, A. R. The geographical distribution of animals. (Harper, 1876).
364	5	Sclater, P. L. On the general Geographical Distribution of the Members of the Class Aves.
365		Journal of the Proceedings of the Linnean Society of London. Zoology 2, 130-145 (1858).
366	6	Cox, B. The biogeographic regions reconsidered. J. Biogeogr. 28, 511-523,
367		doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2001.00566.x (2001).
368	7	Morrone, J. J. Biogeographical regionalisation of the world: a reappraisal. Australian
369		<i>Systematic Botany</i> 28 , 81-90 (2015).
370	8	Crisci, J. V., Cigliano, M. M., Morrone, J. J. & Roig-Junent, S. Historical Biogeography of
371		Southern South America. Systematic Biology 40, 152-171 (1991).
372	9	Rueda, M., Rodriguez, M. A. & Hawkins, B. A. Towards a biogeographic regionalization of
373		the European biota. J. Biogeogr. 37, 2067-2076, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02388.x
374		(2010).
375	10	Proches, S. & Ramdhani, S. The world's zoogeographical regions confirmed by cross-taxon
376		analyses. Bioscience 62, 260-270, doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.3.7 (2012).
377	11	Holt, B. et al. An update of Wallace's zoogeographic regions of the world. Science 339, 74-78,
378		doi:DOI 10.1126/science.1228282 (2013).
379	12	Rueda, M., Rodriguez, M. A. & Hawkins, B. A. Identifying global zoogeographical regions:
380		lessons from Wallace. J. Biogeogr. 40, 2215-2225, doi:10.1111/jbi.12214 (2013).
381	13	Vilhena, D. A. & Antonelli, A. A network approach for identifying and delimiting
382		biogeographical regions. Nat. Commun. 6, doi:10.1038/ncomms7848 (2015).

383	14	Kreft, H. & Jetz, W. A framework for delineating biogeographical regions based on species
384		distributions. J. Biogeogr. 37, 2029-2053, doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02375.x (2010).
385	15	Edler, D., Guedes, T., Zizka, A., Rosvall, M. & Antonelli, A. Infomap Bioregions: Interactive
386		mapping of biogeographical regions from species distributions. Systematic Biology,
387		doi:10.1093/sysbio/syw1087 (2016).
388	16	Lomolino, M. V., Riddle, B. R., Whittaker, R. J. & Brown, J. H. Biogeography. Fourth
389		Edition edn, 878 (Sinauer Associates, 2010).
390	17	Riddle, B. R. & Hafner, D. J. Integrating pattern with process at biogeographic boundaries: the
391		legacy of Wallace. <i>Ecography</i> 33 , 321-325, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2010.06544.x (2010).
392	18	Glor, R. E. & Warren, D. Testing ecological explanations for biogeographic boundaries.
393		Evolution 65, 673-683, doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01177.x (2011).
394	19	Kreft, H. & Jetz, W. Comment on "An Update of Wallace's Zoogeographic Regions of the
395		World". Science 341, 343, doi:DOI 10.1126/science.1237471 (2013).
396	20	Sexton, J. P., McIntyre, P. J., Angert, A. L. & Rice, K. J. in Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. Vol.
397		40 Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 415-436 (Annual Reviews, 2009).
398	21	Buckley, L. B. & Jetz, W. Linking global turnover of species and environments. Proc. Natl.
399		Acad. Sci. USA 105, 17836-17841, doi:10.1073/pnas.0803524105 (2008).
400	22	Melo, A. S., Rangel, T. F. L. V. B. & Diniz-Filho, J. A. F. Environmental drivers of beta-
401		diversity patterns in New-World birds and mammals. Ecography 32, 226-236,
402		doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2008.05502.x (2009).
403	23	Graham, R. W. et al. Spatial response of mammals to late quaternary environmental
404		fluctuations. Science 272, 1601-1606 (1996).
405	24	Davis, M. B. & Shaw, R. G. Range shifts and adaptive responses to Quaternary climate
406		change. Science 292, 673-679, doi:10.1126/science.292.5517.673 (2001).
407	25	Taberlet, P., Fumagalli, L., Wust-Saucy, A. G. & Cosson, J. F. Comparative phylogeography
408		and postglacial colonization routes in Europe. Mol. Ecol. 7, 453-464, doi:DOI 10.1046/j.1365-

409 294x.1998.00289.x (1998).

- 410 26 Sandel, B. *et al.* The influence of late quaternary climate-change velocity on species
 411 endemism. *Science* 334, 660-664 (2011).
- 412 27 Mao, K. S. *et al.* Distribution of living Cupressaceae reflects the breakup of Pangea. *Proc.*413 *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 7793-7798, doi:10.1073/pnas.1114319109 (2012).
- Chan, W.-P. *et al.* Seasonal and daily climate variation have opposite effects on species
 elevational range size. *Science* 351, 1437-1439 (2016).
- 416 29 Bivand, R., Pebesma, E. J. & Gómez-Rubio, V. *Applied spatial data analysis with R*.
 417 (Springer, 2008).
- 418 30 Vermeij, G. J. When Biotas Meet: Understanding Biotic Interchange. *Science* 253, 1099-1104
 419 (1991).
- 420 31 Simpson, G. G. S. *Splendid Isolation: The Curious History of South American Mammals*.
 421 (Yale University Press, 1980).
- Bacon, C. D. *et al.* Biological evidence supports an early and complex emergence of the
 Isthmus of Panama. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112, 6110-6115 (2015).
- 424 33 Daza, J. M., Castoe, T. A. & Parkinson, C. L. Using regional comparative phylogeographic
- data from snake lineages to infer historical processes in Middle America. *Ecography* **33**, 343-
- 426 354, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2010.06281.x (2010).
- 427 34 Montes, C. *et al.* Middle Miocene closure of the Central American Seaway. *Science* 348, 226
 428 (2015).
- 429 35 Müller, P. Aspects of Biogeography. (Dr. W. Junk b.v. Publishers, 1974).
- 430 36 Holt, B. G. *et al.* Response to Comment on "An Update of Wallace's Zoogeographic Regions
 431 of the World". *Science* 341, 343, doi:DOI 10.1126/science.1237541 (2013).
- 432 37 Seton, M. et al. Global continental and ocean basin reconstructions since 200 Ma. Earth-
- 433 *Science Reviews* **113**, 212-270, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.03.002 (2012).
- 434 38 Briggs, J. C. *Biogeography and plate tectonics*. (Elsevier, 1987).
- 435 39 He, J., Kreft, H., Gao, E., Wang, Z. & Jiang, H. Patterns and drivers of zoogeographical
- 436 regions of terrestrial vertebrates in China. J. Biogeogr., doi: 10.1111/jbi.12892,
- doi:10.1111/jbi.12892 (2016).

- 438 40 Smith, B. T. & Klicka, J. The profound influence of the Late Pliocene Panamanian uplift on
 439 the exchange, diversification, and distribution of New World birds. *Ecography* 33, 333-342,
 440 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.06335.x (2010).
- 441 41 Pomara, L. Y., Ruokolainen, K. & Young, K. R. Avian species composition across the
- 442 Amazon River: the roles of dispersal limitation and environmental heterogeneity. J. Biogeogr.
- 443 41, 784-796, doi:10.1111/jbi.12247 (2014).
- 444 42 Hurlbert, A. H. & Jetz, W. Species richness, hotspots, and the scale dependence of range maps
 445 in ecology and conservation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 13384-13389, doi:DOI
- 446 10.1073/pnas.0704469104 (2007).
- 447 43 Ficetola, G. F. *et al.* An evaluation of the robustness of global amphibian range maps. *J.*448 *Biogeogr.* 41, 211–221 (2014).
- 449 44 Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. High resolution
- 450 interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* **25**, 1965-1978 (2005).
- 451 45 Boucher-Lalonde, V., Morin, A. & Currie, D. J. A consistent occupancy–climate relationship
 452 across birds and mammals of the Americas. *Oikos* 123, 1029-1036, doi:10.1111/oik.01277
 453 (2014)
- 453 (2014).
- 454 46 Harrison, S. P., Bartlein, P. J. & Prentice, I. C. What have we learnt from palaeoclimate
 455 simulations? *Journal of Quaternary Science* **31**, 363-385, doi:10.1002/jqs.2842 (2016).
- 456 47 Harrison, S. P. *et al.* Evaluation of CMIP5 palaeo-simulations to improve climate projections.
 457 *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 5, 735–743 (2015).
- 458 48 Mauri, A., Davis, B. A. S., Collins, P. M. & Kaplan, J. O. The influence of atmospheric
 459 circulation on the mid-Holocene climate of Europe: a data-model comparison. *Climate of the*460 *Past* 10, 1925-1938 (2014).
- 461 49 Williams, S., Muller, R., Landgrebe, T. & Whittaker, J. An open-source software environment
 462 for visualizing and refining plate tectonic reconstructions using high-resolution geological and
 463 geophysical data sets. *GSA Today* 22, 4-9, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.03.002 (2012).
- 464 50 Boyden, J. A. et al. in Geoinformatics: Cyberinfrastructure for the Solid Earth Sciences (eds
- 465 Keller G.R. & C. Baru) 99-113 (Cambridge University Press, 2011).

- 466 51 Beale, C. M., Lennon, J. J., Yearsley, J. M., Brewer, M. J. & Elston, D. A. Regression analysis
 467 of spatial data. *Ecol. Lett.* 13, 246-264 (2010).
- 468 52 Dormann, C. F. *et al.* Methods to account for spatial autocorrelation in the analysis of species
 469 distributional data: a review. *Ecography* 30, 609-628 (2007).
- 470 53 Kissling, W. D. & Carl, G. Spatial autocorrelation and the selection of simultaneous
- 471 autoregressive models. *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* 17, 59-71 (2008).
- 472 54 Alam, M., Roennegard, L. & Shen, X. Fitting Conditional and Simultaneous Autoregressive
 473 Spatial Models in hglm. *R Journal* 7, 5-18 (2015).
- 474 55 Rousset, F. & Ferdy, J.-B. Testing environmental and genetic effects in the presence of spatial
 475 autocorrelation. *Ecography* 37, 781-790, doi:10.1111/ecog.00566 (2014).
- 476 56 Dormann, C. F. *et al.* Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study
- evaluating their performance. *Ecography* **36**, 27-46, doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1600-
- 478 0587.2012.07348.x (2013).
- 479 57 Hijmans, R. J. *raster: Geographic data analysis and modeling. R package version 2.5-2.*480 (<u>http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=raster</u>, 2015).
- 481 58 Ronnegard, L., Shen, X. & Alam, M. hglm: A Package for Fitting Hierarchical Generalized
 482 Linear Models. *R Journal* 2, 20-28 (2010).
- 483 59 Fox, J. & Weisberg, S. An R companion to applied regression. (Sage, 2011).
- 484 60 Bivand, R. & Lewin-Koh, N. *maptools: Tools for reading and handling spatial objects*.
 485 (www.r-project.org, 2014).
- Allouche, O., Tsoar, A. & Kadmon, R. Assessing the accuracy of species distribution models:
 prevalence, kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS). *J. Appl. Ecol.* 43, 1223-1232 (2006).
- 488 62 Mellin, C., Mengersen, K., Bradshaw, C. J. A. & Caley, M. J. Generalizing the use of
- 489 geographical weights in biodiversity modelling. *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* 23, 1314-1323,
- doi:10.1111/geb.12203 (2014).
- 491 63 Nakaya, T., Fotheringham, A. S., Brunsdon, C. & Charlton, M. Geographically weighted
- 492 Poisson regression for disease association mapping. *Stat. Med.* 24, 2695-2717,
- doi:10.1002/sim.2129 (2005).

- 494 64 da Silva, A. R. & Fotheringham, A. S. The multiple testing Issue in geographically weighted
- 495 regression. *Geogr. Anal.* DOI: 10.1111/gean.12084, doi:10.1111/gean.12084 (2016).
- 496 65 Rosenthal, R. in *The Handbook of Research Synthesis* (eds H. Cooper & L.V. Hedges) 231-
- 497 244 (Russel Sage Foundation, 1994).



501	Figure 1. The global zoogeographical regions of the world, as defined by Holt et al. ¹¹ . a) Biogeographical
502	regions for vertebrates and their associated boundaries used here, as defined on the basis of phylogenetic
503	faunistic turnover ¹¹ . b) Phylogenetic turnover (p-βsim; ¹¹) among bioregions. Regions may be clustered at
504	different turnover thresholds. Clustering them at $p-\beta sim = 0.33$ results in bioregions corresponding to the Holt's
505	realms ¹¹ , while clustering them at deeper p-βsim values results in bioregions very similar to the traditional
506	biogeographical realms ^{6,19} . The figure has been redrawn on the basis of Holt et al. ¹¹ . Biogeographical regions
507	are: Au, Australian; No, Novozelandic; Pa, Panamian; SA, South American; Am, Amazonian; NA, North
508	American (=Nearctic); Me, Mexican; Eu, Eurasian; AS, Arctico-Siberian; Ti, Tibetan; Ja, Japanese; Ch, Chinese;
509	Sa, Saharo-Arabian; Af, African; GC, Guineo-Congolian; Ma, Madagascan; IM, Indo-Malayan; Or, Oriental;
510	PM, Papua-Melanesian. The Polynesian region is not shown.



513

514 Figure 2. Relative importance of plate tectonics, altitude and climate on the

515 **biogeographical regions boundaries position worldwide.** The figure presents the effect

sizes (obtained through autoregressive models) of each factor in explaining all boundaries,

and boundaries between shallow, intermediate and deep bioregions (19, 11 and 6 bioregions,

respectively). The size of symbols is proportional to effect size; empty symbols represent non-

significant values. Effect size was measured using Fisher's Z, which allows the comparison

among analyses even though they have different sample size 65 .

521

All boundaries

Deep boundaries

Heterogeneity of mean temperature



523

Figure 3. The geographical variability of the importance of tectonics, altitude and
 climate on the position of biogeographical boundaries: heterogeneity of local effect sizes
 obtained through geographically weighted regression. Left-panels: analysis on all the

- boundaries; right-panels: analysis limited to the deep boundaries. Only local effect sizessignificantly higher than zero are mapped. See Supplementary Fig. 1 for the results of
- 529 analyses on shallow and intermediate boundaries.

530



533

534 Figure 4. Factors most strongly related to the presence of biogeographical boundaries.

535 For each pixel, the map shows the factor with the highest local effect size according to

536 geographically weighted regression. Only local effect sizes significantly higher than zero are 537 mapped.