Long-term management of natalizumab discontinuation in a large monocentric cohort of multiple sclerosis patients

Francesca Sangalli, Lucia Moiola, Laura Ferrè, Marta Radaelli, Valeria Barcella, Mariaemma Rodegher, Bruno Colombo, Filippo Martinelli Boneschi<sup>1</sup>, Vittorio Martinelli and Giancarlo Comi

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of genetics of neurological complex disorders and Department of Neurology, Institute of Experimental Neurology (INSPE), San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milano, Italy;

Corresponding author: Francesca Sangalli Department of Neurology San Raffaele Scientific Institute Via Olgettina, 48 20132 Milan Italy e-mail address: <u>sangalli.francesca@hsr.it</u> telephone: +39 02 2643 2990

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Several pPivotal and post-marketing studies showed-demonstrated the- efficacy and the good tolerability profile of natalizumab (NTZ) in Multiple Sclerosis (MS) patients. On the other hand, long-term safety of natalizumab-<u>NTZ therapy</u>-is burdened by the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, especially in anti-JCV seropositive patients treated for more than two-2 years, who sometimes are required. Therefore the need-to stop\_-natalizumab-the\_treatment drug aroused, thus disclosing the issue of at the risk of disease reactivation. Objectives: To evaluate the effects of natalizumab discontinuation in a monocentric cohort of MS patients followed for a mean time of 22.4 months and to compare the efficacy of different therapeutic strategies in this period of time. Methods: One hundred and ten MS patients who stopped NTZ after >12 infusions have been followed with periodic clinical and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluations. One hundred and ten patients stopped therapy after at least 12 infusions. After drug interruption, oOne hundred patients of them started either immunomodulant therapy (nN=90) or fingolimod (nN=10), while 10 patients didn't start any treatment remained without any drugs. We followed them with periodic clinical and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluations. Results: "Disease-activity free<sup>22</sup> patients were 25% at one year after discontinuation, and annualized relapse rate significantly increased from 0.06 to 0.84 eompared to 0.06 on treatment (p<0.0001). We found that the risk of reactivation peaked despite alternative concomitant treatments bBetween the second and the eighth month after suspension, a so-called "high risk period", during which, risk of reactivation peaked despite alternative treatments; the majority of patients (xx?) showed a return to pre-natalizumab disease activity while and 10% of patients them presented "rebound activity". A higher prenatalizumab disease activity is-was correlated with an increased risk of reactivation (p=?).

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Non Corsivo **Conclusions:** Our data suggest that disease reactivation peaked during a "high risk period" between the second and the eighth month since stopping the drug. During this period, no alternative <u>concomitant</u> treatments seemed to provide an adequate protection from disease reactivation. Though transient, this phase could be potentially dangerous, therefore we need <u>to develop more effective</u> better-strategies to deal with this challenge.

# INTRODUCTION

Natalizumab is a monoclonal antibody directed against α4 subunit of integrin expressed on the surface of activated T-cells, preventing their transmigration through the blood-brain barrier (Chaudhuri et al, 2003). Post-marketing studies have confirmed both short and long term persistence of natalizumab efficacy in Multiple Sclerosis (MS) patients (Oturai et al., 2009; Putzki et al., 2010). However its profile is burdened with the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), especially beyond 24 infusions (Clifford et.al, 2010). Nowadays the combination of anamnestic information and the result of anti-JC virus antibodies test allows a better assessment of PML risk, towards a more individualized treatment approach- (Sorensen et al., 2012; Bloomgren et al., 2012). For this reason, -International Drug Agencies including FDA and EMEA recommended to re-evaluate natalizumab the bBenefit-Risk profile after two years of treatment in light of the increased risk of PML potentiation and the complexity of the increased risk of PML potentiation and the personal difference of the increased risk of PML potentiation and the personal difference of the increased risk of PML potentiation and the personal difference of the increased risk of PML potentiation of the profile after two years of treatment in light of the increased risk of PML potentiation of the profile after two years of treatment in the profile of the increased risk of PML potentiation of the profile profile after two years of treatment in the profile a

ecisa questo aspetto). On the other hand, the need to stop natalizumab

treatment enlightened the risk of disease reactivation. A natalizumab phase II clinical trial already showed that the interruption of natalizumab treatment was associated to evidence of radiological and clinical activity (Miller et al., 2003). Afterward, some post-marketing studies suggested that stopping natalizumab therapy was associated with a rebound of clinical and MRI activity beyond the expected disease reactivation level in a significant proportion of patients starting three months after the drug interruption (Killestein et al., 2010; Kerbrat er al., 2011; Vellinga et al., 2008; Havla et al., 2011). Instead, some other studies showed a substantial return to pre-natalizumab disease activity (O'Connor et al., 2011; Stüve et al, 2009; Kaufman et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the reliability of these observations has been questioned because the majority of these studies consisted of small case series and/or short term observations.

The objective of this monocentric prospective study is to evaluate the effects of natalizumab discontinuation in a large cohort of patients with a follow-up of two years. The proportion of

Formattato: Evidenziato
Formattato: Evidenziato

patients who presented clinical relapses and/or new or enlarging T2-weighted lesions and/or Gadolinium (Gd)-enhancing lesions was assessed. The effect of different <u>alternative</u> therapeutic options on the prevention of disease reactivation was also evaluated.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

We collected clinical and MRI data of 110 consecutive MS patients, followed as out-patients at the San Raffaele MS Centre in Milan, who stopped natalizumab after at least one year of therapy. This study was planned within <u>a post-marketing program of evaluatingaimed to evaluate</u> the <u>b</u>Benefit-<u>r</u>Risk profile of <u>natalizumab-NTZ</u> treatment. Included patients underwent a mean of  $24 \pm 10.7$  natalizumab courses (range: 12-57). Demographic and clinical data of patients are shown in Table 1.

The mean follow-up after natalizumab discontinuation was 22.4 months (range: 6.3-37.8).

Reasons for drug discontinuation were: a) a shared decision with the treating neurologist due to anti-JC virus seropositivity combined with other risk factors (long treatment duration and/or previous use of immunosuppressive treatment) (n=94); b) self decision based on fear of PML (n=10) before the availability of anti-JC virus testing; c) pregnancy planning (n=6). Ten patients decided on their own to discontinue natalizumab before the availability of anti-JC virus testing for fear of PML. Ninety four patients interrupted natalizumab after a shared doctor patient choice because of anti JC virus seropositivity combined with other risk factors (long treatment duration and/or previous use of immunosuppressive treatment). Additional 6 patients withdrawn therapy due to desire of pregnancy.

After stopping natalizumabNTZ stop, we proposed to all patients the to beginning shift to of an alternative therapy to all patients. For patients who received natalizumab because of <u>a</u> breakthrough disease, the choice was based on a shared doctor-patient decision. Ninety patients (81.8%) started immunomodulant therapy, either glatiramer acetate (72 patients) or <u>different</u> formulations of <u>beta</u>-interferon-<u>beta</u> (18 patients) within approximately one month after last natalizumab infusion. The choice between the<u>se</u> two first\_—line treatments was based on which treatment the patients did not respond to in the pre-natalizumab period. Ten (9.1%) additional

patients who discontinued natalizumab started oral therapy with fingolimod after a mean of 4.6 months (range 3-6), such - This option was being available only sincesince April 2012. The remaining 10 patients (9.1%) did not start any disease modifying treatment (6 for desire of pregnancy planning and 4 for refusal of injective therapy before the availability of fingolimod availability). In order to prevent disease reactivationMoreover, 25 patients (22.7%) underwent monthly courses of intravenous (iv) methylprednisolone (1 g for 2 days of 1 gram of ) intravenous (i.v.) methylprednisolone starting 2 months after natalizumab discontinuation in addition to disease modifying therapies.

Neurological examinations were performed every three months, or in case of exacerbation. Most pPatients underwent brain MRI scans approximately at the 3rd ( $\pm$  1), 6th ( $\pm$  1) and 12th month (+3) after natalizumab interruption. Additional MRI scans were sometime have been performed in case of if a relapse occurrenced. One hundred patients (91.1%) underwent the 3rd month brain MRI and 80 (72.7%) the 6th month scan; all patients had at least one MRI in the first six months after natalizumab <u>NTZ</u> discontinuation. Moreover, 74 patients (67.2%) had a brain MRI at one year after discontinuationdrug stop. We considered defined new or enlarging T2-weighted lesions and/or Gadolinium (Gd)-enhancing lesions as active scans those with new or enlarging T2 weighted lesions and/or Gadolinium (Gd) enhancing lesions. Patients were classified as "disease activity free" if the absence of relapses was combined with the absence of active scans and disease progression. Disease progression was defined as an increase of Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) for patients with baseline EDSS  $\leq$  or = 5.5 and of 0.5 point for baseline EDSS  $\geq$  or = 6.0. Patients were classified as "disease activity free" if the absence of relapses was combined with the absence of active scans and disease progression.

Based on the pre-natalizumab-radiological and clinical features of the disease activity before NTZ start, we divided our cohort of patients in two subgroups, named "highly active" and "lowly active".

Formattato: Sottolineato
Formattato: Sottolineato

We classified our patients as "highly active" if they presented an annualized relapse rate (ARR)  $\geq$  3 in the year prior to natalizumab and/or  $\geq$  4 gadolinium-enhancing lesions at baseline brain MRI. The remaining patients were defined as "lowly active".

We also tried to identify patients who presented the conventionally called "rebound activity", during in-which the severity of relapses and/or the number of new T2 or Gd-enhancing lesions was much higher than the -pre-natalizumab activity. Literature lacks of a clear and univocal definition of "rebound activity", therefore we opted-decided to perform a for a case by case review case by case of disease activity by MS-expert neurologists instit is inizial fit percented. We arbitrarily decided to classify patients as rebound activity having Selected patients presented at least one of the following features: a) a\_clinically significant increase of relapse rate in comparison to prenatalizumab disease course (cosa intendi?); b) one or more severe relapses with sustained disability progression; c) 5 or more new large-T2 lesions and/or at least 10 more Gd-enhancing lesions than pre-natalizumab baseline scan.

T-test was used to compare parametric variables and Mann-Whitney test for non-parametric valuesones. Kaplan-Meier curves were built to evaluate the proportion of patients free from relapses, MRI activity or combined disease activity at different time-points. We compared survival curves by performing the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

Local Ethical Committee approval was obtained before data collection.

Formattato: Evidenziato

# RESULTS

The proportion of patients who was relapse free during <u>the</u> follow up was 83% at 3rd month, 60% at 6th month and 44% at one year (figure 1–a). Half of the patients presented a relapse within 10 months after last natalizumab infusion (median survival time of 9.77 months). The ARR in the first year after <u>natalizumab\_NTZ</u> discontinuation significantly increased to 0.84 compared to 0.06 observed <u>on-during the NTZ</u> treatment (p<0.0001).

The risk of rRelapse frequencys in the entire population had a peaked at in the 4th month, remained high until the 98th month and then returned to a level similar to the one observed during natalizumab treatment declined at the end of the first year after discontinuation to a value close to that observed during natalizumab treatment (figure 2).

<u>Similarly, a</u>At one\_-year follow-up the <u>mean\_median\_Expanded\_Disability\_Status\_Scale\_(EDSS)</u> significantly increased <u>from xx</u> to 3.0 (p<0.001). Specifically-, and 16 patients (14.5% of the total), who had a baseline EDSS >3.0 at the time of NTZ discontinuation, experienced a<del>as a result of</del> relapses a sustained progression was observed in 16 patients (14.5% of the whole cohort), especially in the subgroup of patients with a baseline EDSS equal or greater than 3.0. <u>of disability after NTZ</u> stop.

The proportion of patients "free from MRI activity" was 85% at <u>3rd-month\_3</u>, 54% at <u>6th-month 6</u> and 35% at one year (figure 1-b). The mean number of Gd-enhancing lesions increased from 1.46 at the <u>3rd-month 3</u> to 3.07 at the <u>6th-month 6</u> and then declined to 1.700 at one year, <u>compared to xx</u> during NTZ treatment.

The proportion of "disease-activity free" patients was 75% at <u>3rd-month\_3</u>, 42% at <u>6th-month 6</u> and 25% at one year (figure 1-c). The risk of disease reactivation was higher between the second and the eighth month after natalizumab suspension. <u>Toglicrei la frase in rossor è giù stata detta piu' volte</u>

Beyond this time-point the slop reduced After 1-year of follow-up, the proportion of disease activity

Formattato: Sottolineato

Formattato: Evidenziato

free patients did not substantially change (even prolonging the time of observation up to 25 months for patients who remained in immunomodulatory treatment (survival proportion of 18.4% at 25month follow-up).

Patients who received an alternative disease modifying therapy after natalizumab discontinuation had a significantly higher probability to remain "disease-activity free" compared to untreated patients (30% versus 0% <u>at 1-one-year f-up</u> after <u>NTZ</u> discontinuation). On the contrary, the type of treatment<u>drug-, whether immunomodulants like IFN or GA (IM) or fingolimod (FTY), had no effects-impact</u> on the <u>condition-proportion</u> of disease activity <u>free patients (p=)</u> (figure 3). In particular, a disease reactivation was observed in 6-out of the-/10 patients who started fingolimod treatment\_and in xx/xx who began immunomodulant ones (p=). Moreover, we did not find any evidence of disease activity reduction in tThe 25 patients who received preventive steroid courses monthly i.v. methylprednisolone cycles-after NTZ treatment compared to those who did not receive them (p=)did not show a significant lower level of MS activity if compared to patients who did not receive preventive steroid courses.

Twenty-five (34%) of 73 patients showing disease reactivation during immunomodulante treatmentsunder IM therapy in the first year after NTZ stop shifted to second-line therapies within 3 months after relapse and/or active MRI\_occurrence. Specifically 16 returned to natalizumab and 9 started other\_alternative\_second-line therapies (i.e.-fingolimod,  $\underline{n}N=7;$ , or i.v. immunosuppression with-pulsed cyclophosphamide\_immunosuppression.; Nn=2). We compared these two groups of patients with 48 patients who did not change disease modifying-therapy. At a mean follow\_-up of 17 months we found a significant lower risk of persistentreduction of frequency of disease activity in the those who returned to natalizumab groupNTZ (p< 0,05), while there was no apparent advantage in shifting to other second-line therapies (p=ns), even if data were inflated by a small sample size.; these data are probably affected by the small number of patients included in this analysis.\_Overall the risk of disease reactivation after NTZ stop\_was statistically correlated increased in patients both with a higher ARR in the last-year before starting natalizumab<u>NTZ start</u> (2.08 in reactivated patients versus 1.54 in stable patientsones;, p<0.005) and <u>in those</u> with a higher mean-number of enhancing lesions in the-pre-treatment brain MRI (2.60 versus  $1.08_{17}$ , p<0.03704). Moreover, patients defined as "highly active" in the year prior to natalizumab (ARR  $\geq$  3 and/-or  $\geq$  4 gadolinium-enhancing lesions at baseline brain MRI) had a higher risk of disease reactivation (<u>xx vs xxx; p=0.004</u>); (figure 4).

Finally we compared clinical and radiological <u>disease activity in the period after NTZ stop with the</u> <u>one in the reactivation after discontinuation to disease activity in the year</u> before starting natalizumab. Post-<u>natalizumab-NTZ</u> ARR was significantly lower than pre-<u>natalizumab ARRNTZ</u> <u>one</u> (1.98 versus  $0.84_{\frac{1}{27}}$  p<0.05). However it is interesting to note that the mean number of Gdenhancing lesions at the 6th month after discontinuation was significantly higher than the number observed at the beginning of natalizumab treatment (3.07 versus 2.24<sub>17</sub> p<0.001).

Moreover we identified 11 patients (10%) who presented the conventionally called *rebound activity* in which the severity of relapses and/or the number of new T2 or Gd-enhancing lesions was much higher than pre-natalizumab activity. Specifically, 4 patients had <u>both-either</u> clinical and <u>neuro-</u>radiological severe reactivation, whereas 5 patients showed only a remarkable MRI rebound activity, and remaining . The last two patients experienced had a very-disabling relapse with only a few enhancing lesions probably because MRI scan was performed after i.v. steroid therapy.

Formattato: Tipo di carattere: Non Corsivo

## DISCUSSION

Since natalizumab safety profile forces <u>demands</u> to cautiously<u>a</u> cautious evaluation of itse long-term treatment<u>use</u>, concerns on disease reactivation after natalizumab suspension were addressed in many reports. Altogether available data confirm that disease stability, reached during natalizumab therapy, does not continue after treatment discontinuation, since the drug does not cause long-term immunological changes.

Our data showIn this papr we show that only a quarter 25%-of our patients remained "diseaseactivity free" one year after discontinuation. As-It is known in-from the literature that the concentration of<sub>5</sub> natalizumab concentrationNTZ progressively decrease within 3 months, whereas changes induced on the immune system could be detected until 6 months after discontinuation (Stüve et al, 2006). Accordingly we found a peak of the risk of relapse risk in the at the 4th th month after discontinuation, and thisthe risk is stillbeing high until the 8th-month 8 and decreasing at 1-year follow-upwhile after one year it returns to a lower value. Similarly we have the maximum number of<u>also</u> gGd-enhancing lesions at MRI scans performed were more frequent at the 6th month period after <u>NTZ</u> suspensionstop, being. The mean number of enhancing lesions at this time point is significantly-higher even if compared to brain scans performed than in the period before the beginning of natalizumab therapy<u>NTZ</u> start. Our study confirmed literature data since the majority of our patients showed a substantial return to their pre-natalizumab disease activity (references?). However according to clinical and radiological data only 10% of our cohort presented the so\_-called rebound activity. Interestingly disease reactivation was observed mostly during a "high risk period" between the second and the eighth month after drug discontinuation. Then, after this period, we observed a reduction in the slop of the survival curve of disease activity\_a as well as a decline of relapse risk and of the mean-number of Gd-enhancing lesions (figure 1 and 3).

Higher ARR and <u>mean-higher</u> enhancing lesions in the year before natalizumab were identified as predictive factors associated to an increased risk of disease recurrence. Likewise O'Connor found that patients <u>enrolled inof registrative registered</u> trials with a higher level of prior-to-natalizumab disease activity tended to have a higher ARR after discontinuation (O'Connor et al., 2011).

Our study was also aimed to evaluate the effects of starting an alternative treatment soon after natalizumab interruption. According to our analysis, first-line immunomodulants can reduce the degree of reactivation after natalizumab discontinuation <u>only in a subgroup of patientshowever they</u> fail to prevent it in most of the patients. These findings are consistent with data published by O'Connor (O'Connor et al., 2011) and Cohen (Cohen M et al., 2013) who observed a return of MS activity regardless the beginning of preventive therapy after natalizumab <u>suspensionstop</u>. Moreover we did not observe a statistically significant effect of <u>doses of i.v. methylprednisoloneintravenous</u> <u>steroid cycles</u> in preventing MS reactivation as reported in another survey (Borriello et al., 2012).

Considering that seventy-five percent of our cohort showed signs of disease reactivation after <u>NTZ</u> discontinuation, patients should be closely monitored\_-to detect clinical and/or radiological disease activity as soon as possible in order to readily change preventive therapy\_or<sub>3</sub> even going backto

<u>return</u> to natalizumab <u>therapy</u> despite PML risk. A strict clinical observation is even more important for patients with residual disability <u>after relapse occurrence</u><del>considering that relapses might result in</del> <u>a sustained progression</u>.

Moreover, given that a higher pre-natalizumab disease activity seems to be a factor predicting reactivation, a careful evaluation of single-patient <u>bBenefit-rRisk</u> ratio is mandatory when stopping natalizumab. Patients at risk of developing PML <u>have also toshould</u> be stratified according to <u>MS</u> their own disease history, i.e. clinical characteristics before starting natalizumab<u>NTZ start</u>, as well as and to residual therapeutic options and , as well as possible contraindications to other therapies.

Due to delays in the authorization of fingolimod approval in Italy, only a very-small proportion of our patients started the <u>an</u> oral drug after natalizumab discontinuation, therefore we cannot give indication on its efficacy in preventing reactivation. The <u>lack of difference of efficacy between</u> fingolimod and apparent similarity of behavior with immunomodulants as shift therapy that we observed <u>in our study</u> could be just a statistical bias due toartifact determined by the small sample size. Therefore, mMore data are needed to determine if fingolimod could be a feasible therapeutic option just after natalizumab, even if <u>it is worthwhile to mention that first reports in literature show</u> disease reactivation was detected in half of the patients shifting to oral therapy by an Italian group (Rinaldi et al., 2012). More <u>r</u>Recently, in a cohort of <u>MS</u> patients recruited for a safety study, those who started fingolimod after natalizumab discontinuation showed a low risk of relapses (aggiungi I numeri). Nevertheless this risk <del>is was</del> 3-fold higher in patients starting fingolimod 3-6 months after natalizumab compared <del>with to naive</del> patients who never received natalizumab (Comi et al., 2013).

# CONCLUSIONS

In our cohortthis cross-sectional study, 3 out of 4 patients presented disease reactivation despite the starting beginning of an alternative treatment after natalizumab discontinuation. This observation suggests that at least between the second and the eighth month after the last dose, the so called "high risk period", neither immunomodulants nor fingolimod provide an adequate protection from disease reactivation. This phase, though transient, could be potentially dangerous, since 14.5% of our patients showed sustained progression as a result of relapses; therefore, we need to develop better more effective strategies to deal with such athis challenge. Frequent-Serial MRI evaluations in the first 6-8 months after discontinuation mightary help to detect patients with strong disease reactivation. In these patients, a more aggressive approach, such as an immunosuppressive treatment with i-v-r pulsed cyclophosphamide, could be attempted. Finally in patients with lower risk of PML the opportunity to restart natalizumab should also be considered in combination with close clinical and MRI monitoring.

#### REFERENCES

Bloomgren G, Richman S, Hotermans C, Subramanyam M, Goelz S, Natarajan A, et al. Risk of natalizumab associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(20):1870-80.

Borriello G, Prosperini L, Mancinelli C, Gianni C, Fubelli F, Pozzilli C. Pulse monthly steroids during an elective interruption of natalizumab: a post-marketing study. Eur J Neurol. 2012;19(5):783-7.

Chaudhuri A, Behan PO. Natalizumab for relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(16):1598-9.

Clifford DB, De Luca A, Simpson DM, Arendt G, Giovannoni G, Nath A. Natalizumabassociated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in patients with multiple sclerosis: lessons from 28 cases. Lancet Neurol. 2010;9(4):438-46.

Cohen M, Maillart E, Papeix C, Vukusic S, Brassat D. ENIGM: A French Observational Study about Switching from Natalizumab to Fingolimod in Multiple Sclerosis AAN 2013;S41.002 Comi G, Gold R, Dahlke F, Sinha A, von Rosenstiel P, et al. Relapse outcomes in fingolimodtreated patients previously exposed to natalizumab: post-hoc Aanalysis from the 4-month, openlabel FIRST Study. AAN 2013;P07.103 Havla J, Gerdes LA, Meinl I, Krumbholz M, Faber H, Weber F, et al. De-escalation from natalizumab in multiple sclerosis: recurrence of disease activity despite switching to glatiramer acetate. J Neurol. 2011;258(9):1665-9.

Kaufman MD, Lee R, Norton HJ. Course of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis before, during and after natalizumab. Mult Scler. 2011;17(4):490-4.

Kaufman M, Cree B, De Seze J, et al. Effects of a 24-week natalizumab treatment interruption on clinical and radiologic parameters of multiple sclerosis disease activity: the RESTORE study. ENS 2012;O262

Kerbrat A, Le Page E, Leray E, Anani T, Coustans M, Desormeaux C, et al. Natalizumab and drug holiday in clinical practice: an observational study in very active relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis patients. J Neurol Sci. 2011;308(1-2):98-102.

Killestein J, Vennegoor A, Strijbis EM, Seewann A, van Oosten BW, Uitdehaag BM, et al. Natalizumab drug holiday in multiple sclerosis: poorly tolerated. Ann Neurol. 2010;68(3):392-5.

Melin A, Outteryck O, Collongues N, Zephir H, Fleury MC, Blanc F, et al. Effect of natalizumab on clinical and radiological disease activity in a French cohort of patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis. J Neurol 2012;259(6):1215-21.

Miller DH, Khan OA, Sheremata WA, Blumhardt LD, Rice GP, Libonati MA, et al. A controlled trial of natalizumab for relapsing multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(1):15-23.

O'Connor PW, Goodman A, Kappos L, Lublin FD, Miller DH, Polman C, et al. Disease activity return during natalizumab treatment interruption in patients with multiple sclerosis. Neurology. 2011;76(22):1858-65.

Oturai AB, Koch-Henriksen N, Petersen T, Jensen PE, Sellebjerg F, Sorensen PS. Efficacy of natalizumab in multiple sclerosis patients with high disease activity: a Danish nationwide study. Eur J Neurol 2009;16:420-3.

Putzki N,Yaldizli O, Maurer M, et al. Efficacy of natalizumab in second line therapy of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: results from a multi-center study in German speaking countries. Eur J Neurol 2010;17:31–37.

Rinaldi F, Seppi D, Calabrese M, Perini P, Gallo P. Switching therapy from natalizumab to fingolimod in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: clinical and magnetic resonance imaging findings. Mult Scler. 2012;18(11):1640-3.

Sorensen PS, Bertolotto A, Edan G, Giovannoni G, Gold R, Havrdova E, et al. Risk stratification for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in patients treated with natalizumab. Mult Scler. 2012;18(2):143-52.

Stüve O, Cravens PD, Frohman EM, Phillips JT, Remington GM, von Geldern G, et al. Immunologic, clinical, and radiologic status 14 months after cessation of natalizumab therapy. Neurology. 2009;72(5):396-401.

Stüve O, Marra CM, Jerome KR, Cook L, et al. Immune surveillance in Multiple Sclerosis patients treated with natalizumab. Ann Neurol. 2006;59:743–747.

Vellinga MM, Castelijns JA, Barkhof F, Uitdehaag BM, Polman CH. Postwithdrawal rebound increase in T2 lesional activity in natalizumab-treated MS patients. Neurology. 2008;70(13 Pt 2):1150-1.





Figure 1. Panel a. Survival curve of relapse\_s-free patients. Number of patients available for analysis (patients), number of observed events (events) and proportion of "relapse free" patients (surviving rate) are written under major time-points. Panel b. Survival curve of MRI activity\_-free patients. Number of patients available for analysis (patients), number of observed events (events) and proportion of "MRI activity\_-free" patients (surviving rate) patients-are written under major time-points. Panel c. Survival curve of disease activity\_-free patients. Number of patients available for analysis (patients), number of observed events (events) and proportion of MRI activity-free patients (surviving rate) are written under major time-points. Panel c. Survival curve of disease activity\_-free patients. Number of patients available for analysis (patients), number of observed events (events) and proportion of MRI activity-free patients (surviving rate) are written under major time-points. Number of patients available for analysis, (surviving rate) are written under major time-points.

number of observed events and proportion of "disease activity free" patients are written under major time points. In all panels, the <u>x</u>X <u>a</u>Axis <u>shows</u> refers to the months after <u>natalizumab</u> <u>NTZ</u> discontinuation.



Figure 2. Annualized relapse rate (ARR) <u>taken at-during</u> different time <u>points-intervals</u> after natalizumab discontinuation. ARR peaks at 4-6 months, then it progressively decrease. <u>The x axis</u> refers to the months after NTZ discontinuation.

Formattato: Evidenziato



Figure 3. Survival <u>eurvesanalysis</u> of disease activity\_\_\_free patients after <u>natalizumab\_NTZ</u> discontinuation comparing untreated patients (UN; dashed line).\_<u>and patientsthose who receiving</u> <u>received either</u>-immunomodulant therapy (IMs; dotted line) <u>and thoseor who were treated with</u> fingolimod (FTY; continuous line). <u>The xX a</u>Axis shows <u>the months after natalizumab</u> discontinuation. <u>P values refer to the log-rank test (aggiungi I p-values).</u>



Figure 4. Survival <u>eurves-analysis</u> of <u>disease activity-free</u> patients <u>free from disease activity-after</u> <u>natalizumab-NTZ</u> discontinuation comparing <u>a group of</u>-pre-natalizumab "highly active" patients (HA; continuous line) and <u>a group of</u>-pre-natalizumab "lowly active" patients (LA; dashed line). <u>The x axis shows the months after natalizumab discontinuation.</u>

The p value refers to the log-rank test.

Difference observed is statistically significant (p=0.004). X Axis shows months after natalizumab discontinuation.