LWT - Food Science and Technology Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: LWT-D-17-01707

Title: Rheological properties and baking performance of new waxy lines: strengths and weaknesses

Article Type: Research paper

Keywords: waxy wheat; stickiness; dough rheology; bread-making

Abstract: In Western countries, the use of waxy wheat in bread-making is gaining interest in view of extending the shelf-life of bread, avoiding the use of additives. Considering the high impact of the environment on wheat properties, selection of waxy autochthonous lines is highly recommended. In this frame, the behavior of three new Italian waxy lines (IW) were compared with that of two waxy lines breeded in United States (USW). Compared to USW, two out of three IW lines exhibited better mixing properties in terms of higher tolerance to mechanical stress (stability and softness index). IW dough showed similar water absorption, stickiness values and visco-elasticity (G' and G'') compared to USW samples. On the other hand, the waxy wheat lines adapted to the Italian environmental conditions showed a more developed loaf volume with respect to USW lines. The difficulties in dough handling that is typical of waxy wheat when used alone could be partially solved using waxy wheat in combination with non-waxy flours.

Highlights

- Italian (IW) and American (USW) waxy lines were compared
- Dough and bread performance of waxy wheat lines of different origin were studied
- IW lines showed good performance in terms of dough stability and mixing tolerance
- IW lines assured a good dough development during leavening and high bread volume
- USW lines performed better in terms of dough stickiness

1	Rheological properties and baking performance of new waxy lines: strengths and weaknesses
2	
3	Rosita Caramanico ^{a,b,c} , Alessandra Marti ^a , Patrizia Vaccino ^b , Gabriella Bottega ^{a,d} , Carola Cappa ^a ,
4	Mara Lucisano ^a , Maria Ambrogina Pagani ^{a,*}
5	
6	^a Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), Università degli Studi di
7	Milano, Italy
8	^b Consiglio per la Ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'Economia Agraria, Unità di ricerca per la
9	selezione dei cereali e la valorizzazione delle varietà vegetali (CREA-ZA), S. Angelo Lodigiano,
10	Italy
11	^c current address: Consiglio per la Ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'Economia Agraria, Centro di
12	ricerca Ingegneria e Trasformazioni agroalimentari (CREA-IT), Milano, Italy
13	^d current address: IREKS ITALIA, Srl., Paderno Dugnano, Milano, Italy
14	
15	* Corresponding author
16	ambrogina.pagani@unimi.it
17	DeFENS
18	Via G. Celoria, 2
19	20133 Milan, Italy
20	Phone +3902-5031-6658
21	

22 Abstract

In Western countries, the use of waxy wheat in bread-making is gaining interest in view of 23 extending the shelf-life of bread, avoiding the use of additives. Considering the high impact of the 24 environment on wheat properties, selection of waxy autochthonous lines is highly recommended. In 25 this frame, the behavior of three new Italian waxy lines (IW) were compared with that of two waxy 26 lines breeded in United States (USW). Compared to USW, two out of three IW lines exhibited 27 better mixing properties in terms of higher tolerance to mechanical stress (stability and softness 28 29 index). IW dough showed similar water absorption, stickiness values and visco-elasticity (G' and G'') compared to USW samples. On the other hand, the waxy wheat lines adapted to the Italian 30 environmental conditions showed a more developed loaf volume with respect to USW lines. The 31 32 difficulties in dough handling that is typical of waxy wheat when used alone could be partially solved using waxy wheat in combination with non-waxy flours. 33

35 **Keywords:** waxy wheat; stickiness; dough rheology; bread-making

36 **1. Introduction**

Waxy (or amylose-free) wheat is characterized by low amylose content - generally < 3% (Van
Hung, Maeda, & Morita, 2007) - due to the absence of all the three isoforms of the granule-bound
starch synthase (GBSS-I), which are responsible for the biosynthesis of amylose (Sivak & Preiss,
1995).

41 Starch retrogradation is believed to be one of the major players of the increase in bread 42 crumb firmness during storage, commonly referred to as bread staling, and amylose is assumed to be the main contributor to this phenomenon (Van Hung, Maeda, & Morita, 2007). Thus, food 43 industry is increasingly interested in waxy starch and in its low susceptibility to retrogradation 44 45 (Šárka & Dvořáček, 2017). Indeed, the use of waxy wheat in the formulation would avoid the addition of the additives commonly used in bread-making (e.g. enzymes, emulsifiers, etc.) to extend 46 the shelf-life of baked products (Šárka & Dvořáček, 2017). The unique properties and uses of waxy 47 48 wheat in noodles, bread, cakes, tortillas, refrigerate and frozen food products have been widely reviewed (Graybosh, 1998; Hayakawa, Tanaka, Nakamura, Endo, & Hoshino, 2004; Van Hung, 49 50 Maeda, & Morita, 2006; Yi, Kerr, & Johnson, 2009; Šárka & Dvořáček, 2017). 51 Japanese researchers were the first to produce completely waxy wheat by using traditional hybridization approach (Nakamura, Yamamori, Hirano, Hidaka, & Nagamine, 1995). Since then, 52 53 numerous efforts to develop waxy wheat cultivars are underway in Europe, United States, and Australia (Graybosh, 1998). Considering the high influence of the environment on wheat 54 productivity and quality (Graybosch, Souza, Berzonsky, Baenziger, & Chung, 2003), it is unlikely 55 that waxy wheat lines produced in United States or Japan could be successfully cultivated in other 56 Countries. Moreover, consumer resistance and existing regulations do not allow employing 57 genetically engineered foods in Europe. For these reasons, waxy wheat obtained from traditional 58 59 crossing starting from partial waxy autochthonous landraces have to be taken into consideration (Boggini, Cattaneo, Paganoni, & Vaccino, 2001). 60

Italy and other Countries in the Mediterranean area occupy a distinct position within the 61 62 framework of wheat products in Europe, by producing bread with particular sensory traits compared with common leavened breads consumed in most of the Western Countries (Iametti, Marti, Pagani, 63 & Bonomi 2015). In the perspective of developing waxy wheat lines suitable for being cultivated in 64 the Mediterranean area, various research activities have been accomplished in the past decade 65 (Boggini, Cattaneo, Paganoni, & Vaccino, 2001; Urbano, Margiotta, Colaprico, & Lafiandra, 2002; 66 67 Monari, Simeone, Urbano, Margiotta, & Lafiandra, 2005). In particular, a breeding program involved partial-waxy cultivars previously identified in the germplasm collection, leading to the 68 release of 18 waxy lines (Boggini, Cattaneo, Paganoni, & Vaccino, 2001; Caramanico, Vaccino, & 69 70 Pagani, 2011). Out of these lines, three were worthy of consideration for being proposed for registration based on their agronomic performance (Caramanico, Vaccino, & Pagani, 2011). In this 71 context, the aims of the present work were to: i) evaluate dough rheological properties and bread-72 73 making performance of the three Italian waxy lines and ii) compare our waxy lines with two waxy lines from United States with similar compositional traits. 74

75 2. Materials and Methods

76 2.1 Materials

Five waxy wheat lines were used in this study (Table 1): three Italian waxy wheat lines (henceforth IW), and two US waxy lines (henceforth USW; Morris & Konzak, 2001). Algee samples were grown in S. Angelo Lodigiano (Italy) during the 2009-10 growing season. Wheat kernels were milled into flour (particle size less than 220nm) in a Bona Quadrumat Labor mill (Bona, Monza, Italy).

82 A non-waxy wheat flour (Aubusson cv.; henceforth NWW; protein: 10.8%; alveographic W: 155

*10⁻⁴ J; alveographic P/L: 0.56) was used as reference.

84 **The chemical composition** Ill the samples is reported in Table -1. Moisture, starch, protein, fat,

- and ash content was determined according to the approved methods AACC 44-15A, 76-13, 39-10
- and 46-12, 30-10, 08-12, respectively (AACC, 2000). In particular, protein content was determined

by using the NIR System Model 6500 (Foss NIR Systems, Laurel, MD). Amylose content was
measured by enzymatic kit Megazyme International (Megazyme International Ireland Ltd.,

89 Wicklow, Ireland).

90 2.2 Pasting properties

91 The pasting properties of flours were determined by using the Rapid Visco Analyzer test (RVA-4 model, Newport Scientific, Sidney, Australia), according to the approved method ICC 162 (ICC; 92 1995). An aliquot of flour (3.5 g) was dispersed in distilled water (25 mL), scaling both sample and 93 water weight on a 14% (w/w) sample moisture basis. The suspension was subjected to the following 94 temperature profile: holding at 50°C for 1 min; heating from 50 to 95°C; holding at 95°C for 3.5 95 96 min; cooling from 95°C to 50°C; holding at 50°C for 2 min. A heating/cooling rate of 12°C/min 97 was applied. Data were elaborated by using the software provided with the instrument (Thermocline 98 for Windows, rev. 3.6). Measurements were performed in triplicate and the average value was used.

99 **2.3 Viscoelastic properties**

The fundamental rheological behavior of dough samples was studied by dynamic oscillatory 100 101 measurements performed on a Physica MCR300 Rheometer (Anton Paar GmbH, Graz, Austria), 102 supported by the Universal Software US200 (version 2.5) (Anton Paar, Ostfildern, Germany). Dough sample was prepared by mixing flour (10g) and water (according to the water absorption 103 calculated by the farinograph test) for 1 min in the Glutomatic 2200 (Perten Instruments, 104 105 Stockholm, Sweden). Measurements were carried out at 25°C, using a corrugated plate system (diameter: 2.5 cm) at a gap of 1 mm. After loading the sample between the parallel plates, the 106 107 excess was trimmed off and a thin layer of paraffin oil was applied to the edge of the exposed sample to prevent moisture loss during measurements Sample was allowed to rest at 25 °C for 30 108 109 min to relax stresses, before starting the test.

Dynamic shear data were measured within the linear viscoelastic region, as determined by
preliminary amplitude sweep tests performed in the range of 0.01–200% strain, at a constant

frequency of 1 Hz. Frequency sweep tests were performed over the range 0.1–10 Hz at 0.03%

113 strain. From each trial, storage modulus (G', Pa) loss modulus (G'', Pa), and tanδ (ratio between G''

and G') were computed by using US200/32 v.2.50 rheometer software (Physica Messtechnic

115 GmbH, Ostfildern, Germany). All the measurements were performed in triplicate.

116 **2.4 Mixing properties and stickiness**

Mixing properties were evaluated in triplicate using the Brabender Farinograph-E Brabender OHG,
Duisburg, Germany) according to the standard ICC Method 115D (ICC, 1992), using a 50g-mixing
bowl.

A rounded portion of dough (15 g) was collected after 6 min mixing in the farinograph hand 120 placed in a round plastic container (diameter 40 mm). Dough stickiness was evaluated using a TA-121 122 HDplus Texture Analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK), equipped with a 10 N load cell. After five min, each sample was submitted to compression with a plate probe (diameter: 35 mm) at 123 a crosshead speed of 1 mm/s. The sample was compressed up to 30% deformation, and maintained 124 at this deformation for 5 s, before releasing the force pulling the probe off the sample at a speed of 1 125 mm/s. Data were collected and elaborated using the Texture Exponent TEE32 V 3.0.4.0 Software 126 (Stable Micro System, UK). Stickiness was evaluated as the negative area of the force-time curve 127 measured during force removal. The time of plate detachment from the sample was also considered. 128 Four replicates were performed for each sample. 129

130 **2.5 Leavening properties**

Just after bread dough preparation (see section below), six aliquots (10 g each) were collected, molded in a spherical shape, put into six Petri dishes, and leavened in a climatic chamber up to 4 h at 30 °C and 80% of relative humidity. At the beginning of the test, and then every 30 min, the images of the Petri dishes were scanned full scale in 256 grey level at 300 dpi with a flatbed scanner (Epson Perfection 3170 Photo, Saiko-Epson Corporation, Japan). Images were processed using a

dedicated software (Image Pro-Plus 4.5.1.29, Media Cybernetics Inc, MD, USA). The dough area (mm^2) increase was measured and the relative increase was considered (A_t/A_{t0}).

138 **2.6 Bread preparation**

- Bread loaves were prepared according to the official method AACC 10-10.03(AACCI, 2000) with
- some modifications. Flour (50.0g) was mixed with sugar (2.0 g), salt (1.0 g), shortening (1.5 g),
- 141 yeast (1.75 g), and ascorbic acid (0.4 mg). Water was added based on the farinographic water
- absorption index. All the ingredients were mixed in a mixer (Model 325 Gram Swanson Mixer,
- 143 National Manufacturing, Lincoln, US) for 3 min. Dough was divided into portions of 45 g and
- 144 fermented in cabinet at 30°C at 80% relative humidity for 150 min. Punching was performed after
- 145 50 min and after 75 min. All dough samples were placed in aluminum steel baking pans (4.2 x 7.0
- 146 cm in top, 3.1 x 6.0 cm in bottom, and 4.0 cm in depth) and fermented in a fermentation cabinet
- 147 (Model 505-SS 2/3National Manufacturing, Lincoln, NE U.S.A) for 70 min. Dough pieces were
- baked at 220°C for 20 min (Reel Type Ovens Model 8/16, National Manufacturing, Lincoln, US.).
- 149 Bread loaves were allowed to cool for 60 min before further tests.

150 **2.7 Bread characteristics**

- 151 Fresh breads were characterized for weight (g), height (mm), volume (mL), and specific volume
- 152 (mL/g). Loaf volume was determined by Micro Volumeter (National Manufacturing, Lincoln, NE
- 153 U.S.A.). Results are the average of four replicates.

154 **2.8 Statistical analysis**

- 155 The data were processed by Statgraphics XV version 15.1.02 (StatPoint Inc., Warrenton, VA,
- 156 USA).One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed and samples were used as factors.
- 157 When a factor effect was found significant (P < 0.05), significant differences among the mean
- values were determined by Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

160 **3. Results and Discussion**

161 **3.1 Pasting properties**

Waxy wheat flours exhibited quicker gelatinization (lower peak temperature/time) and lower
retrogradation (lower final viscosity and setback) tendency compared to non-waxy flour (Table 2),
in agreement with literature (Yoo & Jane, 2002; Van Hung, Maeda, & Morita, 2006; Van Hung,
Maeda, & Morita, 2007; Lan et al., 2008). Peak viscosity values of waxy samples were significantly
(P<0.05) lower than that shown by NWW sample, likely due differences in amylose content (Table
1). Furthermore, during the holding period at 95°C, viscosity decreased for all the samples due to
the starch granule breaking.

Among the waxy lines, even if their amylose content is comparable (Table 1), in general, the Italian lines evidenced the lowest peak temperatures to indicate that they are able to interact with water and swell more rapidly than USW lines (Table 2). This pasting behavior can be explained by many factors such as the starch content and amylose: amylopectin ratio, the percentage of smaller size granules and damaged granules that favor a faster hydration and swelling of the starch (Abdel-Aal, Hucl, Chibbar, Han, & Demeke, 2002). Differences among samples could be also related to damaged starch content and α-amylase activity, which play a role in the granule swelling ability.

176 **3.2 Viscoelastic properties**

177 The frequency sweep curves of waxy and non-waxy dough samples are shown in Figure 1. For all flours in the whole range of frequency G' was greater than G'', which is typical of a highly 178 structured material. Both moduli increased with frequency, following an exponential equation 179 (power law equation: $y = a \cdot x^{b}$). The results of the fitting are reported in Table 3 where "a" is a 180 181 consistency index and "b" is related to dependence of the measure on the strain rate and mainly depends on the nature of the interactions in the dough. NWW dough presented the highest G' and 182 183 G" consistency indices, with values that resulted very far from the ones found for the waxy dough samples. On the contrary, "b" values changed in a narrow interval. The different amount of water 184

used for preparing the dough (see Table 4) certainly contributed to create a more diluted proteinnetwork in waxy wheat dough, accounting for low G' and G'' values.

Among waxy wheat samples, IW_70 and IW_123 showed the highest G' values, despite the 187 high amount of water added. On the contrary, both IW_118 and USW_546 presented the lowest "a" 188 value (Table 3). Similar trends were also found for the power law coefficients of G" curves. As 189 regard the tang δ values (ratio between the viscous and elastic components), NWW and IW 123 190 191 dough presented very similar viscoelastic characteristics, even at high strain rates, which is in agreement with the farinographic stability (Table 4). On the contrary, USW 546 exhibited the 192 highest tan δ , highlighting more viscous behavior compared to the other samples. In agreement with 193 previous results (Morita, Meada, Myazaki, & Yamamori, 2002; Van Hung, Maeda, & Morita, 194 2007), the highest water absorption of waxy flour – which could mainly due to the different 195 structural organization of the starchy fraction (Zhang, Zhang, Xu, & Zhou, 2014; Šárka & 196 197 Dvořáček, 2017)- determined a soft and more viscous dough.

3.3 Mixing properties

Dough mixing properties are shown in Table 4. The water absorption values of waxy flours ranged 199 from 69.5% to 74.3%, for USW_545 and IW_123, respectively. Regardless the geographical origin, 200 201 these values were significantly higher compared to common wheat (52.5%). Differences in water absorption may be attributed to the amylopectin structure of waxy wheat (Zhang, Zhang, Xu, & 202 Zhou, 2014). Highly branched macromolecules quicker absorb water that will not be available for 203 protein solvation. Consequently, waxy wheat required higher water amount and longer mixing times 204 for assuring protein solvation and gluten formation. Dough made with waxy wheat exhibited lower 205 206 mixing stability and higher softening index (ranging from 87 to 206 UB for IW_123 and 207 USW 545) than NWW. This trend suggested that the gluten network from waxy wheat was weaker 208 compared to the matrix developed in NWW despite the higher protein content .The mixing profiles 209 of IW and USW lines were similar to literature data (Morita, Meada, Myazaki, & Yamamori, 2002;

Van Hung, Maeda, & Morita, 2006; Van Hung, Maeda, & Morita, 2007; Zhang, Zhang, Xu, &
Zhou, 2014). Interestingly, the IW_70 and IW_123 samples presented higher stability and lower
degree of softening than USW lines, making them more suitable for bread-making. These features
could reduce difficulties during the preparation of waxy wheat dough and its handling during
processing.

215 **3.4 Dough stickiness**

Dough samples from waxy wheat exhibited a higher energy value and longer time for plate 216 detachment than regular wheat dough, indicating high stickiness (Table 4). IW lines generally 217 exhibited slightly but not always significant higher stickiness than USW. This characteristic is an 218 important textural property of wheat dough as sticky dough adheres to machine surface, giving 219 troubles during bread preparation (Armero & Collar, 1997). In addition, dough stickiness might 220 result in a chewy bread that adheres to the mouth, or/and seems to be under-baked, decreasing 221 consumer acceptance (Yi et al., 2009). Some studies (Morita, Meada, Myazaki, & Yamamori, 2002; 222 223 Van Hung, Maeda, & Morita, 2006) reported the relation between high stickiness and the high water content of waxy wheat dough. Recently, Caramanico et al. (2017) found that waxy starch is 224 characterized by a high water retention capacity, accounting for the higher level of flour hydration 225 226 to reach the optimum protein solvation.

Moreover, the same Authors suggested that differences in protein-protein interactions might also
account for the differences in dough properties during mixing, with hydrophobic interactions
playing a more significant role than covalent ones in imparting the stickiness trait to dough.

230 **3.5 Leavening properties**

The relative increase of dough area is reported in Figure 2. This analysis allows predicting the dough
leavening properties during bread-making (Cappa, Lucisano, & Mariotti, 2013).

233 Up to 90 min of leavening, all the waxy samples showed a major relative increment of dough

surface with respect to the control (NWW), with IW_70 and USW_546 flours having a faster and

greater development compared to the others (Figure 2), suggesting a potential good leavening
properties and likely bread-making performance in terms of bread volume. In particular, IW_70
achieved a maximum value of dough development equal to 4.6 after 120 min, whereas the
maximum development for USW_546 was 4.0 after 180 min of leavening. In all cases, the
maximum At/At0 values were reached between 120 and 180 min (Figure 2). After this point, dough
development reached a plateau.

241 **3.6 Bread characteristics**

242 The bread-making performances of samples are reported in Table 5. All the IW lines resulted in a higher bread height and larger volume than USW samples, confirming the importance of the 243 environment on starch and protein properties and, therefore, on bread-making performance 244 (Peterson, Graybosch, Beanzinger, & Grombacher, 1992; Peterson, Graybosch, Shelton, Beanzinger 245 Graybosch, Souza, Berzonsky, Baenziger, & Chung, 2003). In fact, results indicated that waxy 246 samples with Italian germplasm were characterized by a better technological quality in comparison 247 248 to the USW lines. Among the Italian lines, **IW** 123 showed the best bread-making aptitude (Table 5), likely related to the higher dough elasticity and lower stickiness shown during dough mixing and 249 handling (Table 4). At the same time, the crumb of waxy wheat samples appeared typically more 250 porous than in non-waxy wheat, with the presence of big gas cell Caramanico, Vaccino, & Pagani, 251 2011). Indeed, amylopectin seems to be more susceptible to α -amylase hydrolysis during 252 fermentation, assuring higher sugar content and, therefore, a higher gas production in waxy wheat 253 254 systems (Van Hung, Maeda, & Morita, 2006). 255 Our data are in agreement with those of previous studies (Lee, Swanson, & Baik, 2001; Morita,

256 Meada, Myazaki, & Yamamori, 2002), showing that bread made from waxy wheat had usually

slightly larger volume than bread from non waxy wheat flour. It has also been reported that,

although bread made from waxy wheat flour produced loaves of very high volume, some structural

collapse during the first 24 h out of the oven may happen (Hayakawa, Tanaka, Nakamura, Endo, &

260 Hoshino, 2004).

4. Conclusions

The Italian breeding program allowed selecting some waxy lines with interesting technological performance, in terms of dough stability and tolerance to mechanical stress. In addition, protein network assured a good dough development during leavening resulting in bread with very high specific volume. Despite that, the relevant amount of water necessary to reach the optimal farinographic consistency could be responsible for the high stickiness. Therefore, the weakness of waxy dough could be skipped by mixing waxy wheat flours at high percentage with non-waxy wheat, and the potential antistaling effects of this mixture could be of interest for bakeries.

269 Acknowledgments

The Authors gratefully acknowledge Prof. Craig Morris (USDA-ARS Washington State University)
for providing the American waxy lines.

272 **References**

AACC International. (2001). Approved methods of analysis (11th ed.). St Paul: AACCInternational.

275 Abdel-Aal, E. M., Hucl, P., Chibbar, R. N., Han, H. L., & Demeke, T. (2002).

276 Physicochemical and structural characteristics of flours and starches from waxy and non waxy

277 wheats. *Cereal Chemistry*, 79, 458-464.

Armero, E., & Collar, C. (1997). Texture properties of formulated wheat doughs.

279 Relationships with dough and bread technological quality. European Food Research & Technology,
280 204, 136-145.

Boggini, G., Cattaneo, M., Paganoni, C., & Vaccino, P. (2001). Genetic variation for waxy

proteins and starch properties in Italian wheat germplasm. In $\Box Z$. Bedö, L. Láng (Eds.) Wheat in a

283 *Global Environment* (pp. 249-253). Springer Netherlands.

Cappa, C., Lucisano, M., Mariotti, M. (2013). Influence of Psyllium, sugar beet fibre and
water on gluten-free dough properties and bread quality. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *98*, 1657-1666.

286	Caramanico, R., Barbiroli, A., Marengo, M., Fessas, D., Bonomi, F., Lucisano, M., Pagani,
287	M. A., Iametti, S., Marti, A. (2017). Interplay between starch and proteins in waxy wheat. Journal
288	of Cereal Science, 75, 198–204.
289	Caramanico, R., Vaccino, P. & Pagani, M.A. (2011). Caratteristiche qualitative e attitudine
290	panificatoria di linee di frumento tenero waxy. Tecnica Molitoria, 2, 102-110.
291	Graybosch, R. A. (1998). Waxy wheats: Origin, properties, and prospects. Trends in Food
292	Science & Technology, 9, 135-142.
293	Graybosch, R. A., Souza, E., Berzonsky, W., Baenziger, P. S., & Chung, O. (2003).
294	Functional properties of waxy wheat flours: genotypic and environmental effects. Journal of Cereal
295	Science, 38, 69-76.
296	Hayakawa, K., Tanaka, K., Nakamura, T., Endo, S., & Hoshino, T. (2004). End use quality of
297	waxy wheat flour in various grain-based foods. Cereal Chemistry, 81, 666-672.
298	Iametti, S., Marti, A., Pagani, M.A., & Bonomi, F. (2015). Future of Grain Science Series:
299	Italy. Cereal Foods World, 60, 27-31.
300	ICC International. (1992). Standard methods of analysis. Vienne: ICC.
301	Lan, H., Hoover, R., Jayakody, L., Liu, Q., Donner, E., Baga, M., Asare E.K., Hurt P., &
302	Chibbar, R. N. (2008). Impact of annealing on the molecular structure and physicochemical
303	properties of normal, waxy and high amylose bread wheat starches. Food Chemistry, 111, 663-675.
304	Lee, M. R., Swanson, B. G., & Baik, B. K. (2001). Influence of amylose content on properties
305	of wheat starch and breadmaking quality of starch and gluten blends. Cereal Chemistry, 78, 701-
306	706.
307	Monari, A. M., Simeone, M. C., Urbano, M., Margiotta, B., & Lafiandra, D. (2005).
308	Molecular characterization of new waxy mutants identified in bread and durum wheat. Theoretical
309	and Applied Genetics, 110, 1481-1489.
310	Morita, N., Meada, T., Myazaki, M., & Yamamori, M. (2002). Dough and baking properties
311	of high-amylose and waxy wheat flours. Cereal Chemistry, 79, 491-495.

312	Morris, C. F., & Konzak, C. F. (2001). Registration of hard and soft homozygous waxy wheat
313	germplasm. Crop Science, 41, 934-934.
314	Nakamura, T., Yamamori, M., Hirano, H., Hidaka, S., & Nagamine, T. (1995). Production of
315	waxy (amylose-free) wheats. Molecular and General Genetics, 248, 253-259.
316	Peterson, C.J., Graybosch, R.A., Beanzinger, P.S., & Grombacher, A.W. (1992). Genotype
317	and environment effects on quality characteristics of hard red winter wheat. Crop Science, 32, 98-
318	103.
319	Peterson, C.J., Graybosch, R.A., Shelton, D.R., & Beanzinger, P.S. (1998). Baking quality and

hard winter wheat: response of cultivars to environment in the Great Plant. *Euphytica*, 100, 157162.

- 322 Šárka, E. & Dvořáček, V. (2017). Waxy starch as a perspective raw material: A review. *Food*323 *Hydrocolloids*, 69, 402-409.
- 324 Sivak, M.N. & Preiss, J. (1995). Starch Synthesis in Food. In J. Kigel, & G. Galili (Eds.),

325 *Seed Development and Germination* (pp. 139-168). New York: Marcel Dekker.

- Urbano, M., Margiotta, B., Colaprico, G., & Lafiandra, D. (2002). Waxy proteins in diploid,
 tetraploid and hexaploid wheats. *Plant Breeding*, *121*, 465-469.
- Van Hung, P., Maeda, T., & Morita, N. (2006). Waxy and high-amylose wheat starches and
- flours—characteristics, functionality and application. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *17*,
 448-456.
- 331 Van Hung, P., Maeda, T., & Morita, N. (2007). Dough and bread qualities of flours with
 332 whole waxy wheat flour substitution. *Food Research International*, 40, 273-279.
- Yi, J., Kerr, W. L., & Johnson, J. W. (2009). Effects of waxy wheat flour and water on frozen
 dough and bread properties. *Journal of Food Science*, *74*, E278-E284.
- 335 Yoo, S. H., & Jane, J. L. (2002). Structural and physical characteristics of waxy and other
- wheat starches. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 49, 297-305.

- 337 Zhang, H., Zhang, W., Xu, C., & Zhou, X. (2014). Studies on the rheological and
- 338 gelatinization characteristics of waxy wheat flour. *International Journal of Biological*
- 339 *Macromolecules*, 64, 123-129.

341 **Figure captions**

- Figure 1. Frequency sweep curves. A: storage modulus (G'); B: loss modulus (G''); C: damping
- 343 factor (tang δ).
- Figure 2. Relative increase of dough surface (At/At0) during leavening.

Name	Abbreviation	Starch	Amylose	Protein	Lipid	Ash
Wx70	IW_70	79.4 ^{bc}	1.4^{a}	12.0 ^b	1.8 ^b	0.66 °
Wx 118	IW_118	79.5 [°]	1.5 ^a	12.9 ^d	1.8 ^b	0.38 ^a
Wx 123	IW_123	79.2 ^{bc}	1.4^{a}	13.2 ^e	1.7^{ab}	0.55 ^b
WQL6K107- BHWX2-2a	USW_545	77.0 ^{ab}	1.7 ^a	12.2 ^c	1.5 ^a	0.38 ^a
PI 612545 WQL6K107- BHWX14-7	USW_546	76.4 ^ª	1.6^{a}	13.3 ^e	1.9 ^b	0.59 ^{bc}
PI 612546						
Aubusson	NWW	80.6 ^c	23.9 ^b	10.8 ^a	1.4 ^a	0.60 ^{bc}

Mean values followed by different letters in a column are significantly different (LSD; P < 0.05).

	Pasting Temperature (°C)	Peak Viscosity (10 ⁻³ Pa*s)	Peak Temperature (°C)	Breakdown (10 ⁻³ Pa*s)	Final Viscosity (°C)	- Setback (10 ⁻³ Pa*s)
IW_70	66.2 ^{ab}	2390 ^c	79.0 ^b	1435 ^b	1281 ^b	326 ^b
IW_118	66.7 ^{abc}	2377 ^c	78.3 ^a	1419 ^b	1341 ^c	383 ^c
IW_123	66.7 ^{bc}	2077 ^a	79.9 ^c	1238 ^a	1111 ^a	272 ^a
USW_545	67.0 ^{bc}	2398 ^c	81.2 ^d	1384 ^b	1383°	369 ^c
USW_546	65.4 ^a	2310 ^b	82.0 ^e	1283 ^a	1364 ^c	337 ^b
NWW	67.6 [°]	3119 ^d	95.0 ^f	1237 ^a	3227 ^d	1371 ^d

Table 2. Pasting properties of flour from Italian waxy wheat lines (IW), USwaxy

wheat lines (USW), and non-waxy wheat (NWW).

Mean values followed by different letters in a column are significantly different

(LSD; P <0.05).

Table 3. Application of the power law equation $(y = a \cdot x^b)$ to the frequency sweep test of G' (storage

	(3'	G	"
_	а	a b		b
IW_70	6996 ^b	0.258 ^b	3130 ^c	0.302 ^{bc}
IW_118	5604 ^a	0.266 ^{bc}	2482 ^{ab}	0.306 ^c
IW_123	7004 ^b	0.235 ^a	2788 ^{bc}	0.282 ^b
USW_545	6899 ^a	0.264 ^c	3012 ^a	0.305 ^c
USW_546	4814 ^b	0.278 ^{bc}	2297 ^c	0.310 ^{bc}
NWW	12811°	0.237 ^a	5247 ^d	0.252 ^a

modulus) and G" (loss modulus) for dough samples.

Mean values followed by different letters in a column are significantly different

(LSD; p<0.05).

		Mixing Prop	Stickiness			
	Water Absorption (g/100g)	Development Time (min)	Stability (min)	Softening Value (BU)	Stickiness (N*mm)	Time of Plate Detachment (s)
IW_70	72.0 ^{cd}	4.3 ^d	3.0 ^b	106 ^c	130.9 ^c	19.6 ^{bc}
IW_118	70.6 ^{bc}	2.9 ^{bc}	2.4 ^a	136 ^d	114.9 ^{bc}	21.3 ^c
IW_123	74.3 ^e	4.8 ^e	4.1 ^c	87 ^b	115.1 ^{bc}	16.4 ^b
USW_545	69.5 ^b	2.6 ^b	2.2 ^a	206 ^f	88.2 ^b	21.7 ^c
USW_546	73.2 ^{de}	3.2 ^c	2.1 ^a	158 ^e	95.0 ^b	20.7 ^c
NWW	52.5 ^ª	1.8 ^a	4.3 ^c	63 ^a	43.7 ^a	4.0^{a}

Table 4. Mixing properties and stickiness of dough from Italian waxy wheat lines (IW), US waxy wheat lines (USW), and non-waxy wheat flour (NWW).

Mean values followed by different letters in a column are significantly different

(LSD; p <0.05).

Height Weight Volume Specific Volume (mm) (g) (mL) (mL/g)32.7^{ab} 6.37^d 208^d IW_70 73.6^c 77.7^d 33.0^b IW_118 219^e 6.62^e 33.0^b 226^f 6.89^f IW_123 81.1^e

32.4^a

32.8^{ab}

32.6^{ab}

163^b

185^c

131^a

_ _ _ _

5.08^b

5.66^c

4.05^a

Table 5. Bread-making performance of Italian waxy wheat lines (IW), US waxy

wheat lines (USW), and non-waxy wheat (NWW).

Mean values followed by different letters in a column are significantly different (LSD;

p<0.05).

USW_545

USW_546

NWW

63.6^a

76.4^{cd}

66.8^b











