



## Well-being Vocabulary in Media Language: An Analysis of changing Word Usage in Norwegian Newspapers

Journal:	<i>The Journal of Positive Psychology</i>
Manuscript ID	RPOS-2015-0398
Manuscript Type:	Original Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Dec-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Carlquist, Erik; University of Oslo, Department of Psychology Nafstad, Hilde; University of Oslo, Department of Psychology Blakar, Rolv; University of Oslo, Department of Psychology Ulleberg, Pål; University of Oslo, Department of Psychology Delle Fave, Antonella; Università degli Studi di Milano, Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation Phelps, Joshua; Bjørknes University College
Keywords:	well-being, hedonism, eudaemonia, virtue
Keywords (author supplied):	Media, Language, Trends

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Introduction

The past several decades have witnessed an upsurge of interest in well-being. Besides becoming the topic of a burgeoning research field within psychology (Csikszentmihalyi & Nakamura, 2011; Sirgy, 2012; Yen, 2010), well-being has also attracted increasing political attention (Diener, Lucas, Schimmack, & Helliwell, 2009; Dolan & Metcalfe, 2012) as well as popular interest (Madsen, 2015; Mathews & Izquierdo, 2008). Ideas about what makes life worth living circulate in mass media nationally and internationally, reflecting and potentially reinforcing conceptualizations and experiences of personhood and well-being that characterize contemporary societies (Sointu, 2005). Public media play a framing and agenda-setting role (Perse, 2001; Shah, McLeod, Gotlieb, & Lee, 2009), with implications for everyday opinion as well as practice in fields such as social policy and health care. It is therefore important to investigate how ideas of a good life, as expressed in the public sphere, develop and change. The purpose of the present study was to identify and interpret temporal changes in well-being related language during the past two decades. Specifically, we have examined how Norwegian print news media have made use of specific key words related to established well-being theories and models. Evidence of clearly discernible frequency developments during this relatively short period of time could contribute to current debates on conceptualization and measurement of well-being phenomena, and dissemination of policy-relevant findings within positive psychology and the rapidly growing research field of well-being more generally.

### *Well-being in everyday and scientific Discourse*

Any account of well-being will inevitably draw on prevailing assumptions or “cultural wisdoms” (Polkinghorne, 2000) regarding what a good life amounts to in a given social group or society. Although phenomena of living well are conceptualized in most - if not all - cultures worldwide, considerable variation has been detected in *how* people conceptualize and think about well-being (Delle Fave, Brdar, Freire, Vella-Brodrick, & Wissing, 2011; Gough, 2004; Lu, 2008; Pflug, 2009; Tiberius, 2004; Tov & Diener, 2007; Uchida, Norasakkunkit, & Kitayama, 2004; Valsiner, 2007; Wierzbicka, 2004). Furthermore, because cultures, nations and communities are dynamic entities undergoing development in complex ways (Inglehart & Welzel, 2005; Lu, 2008), cultural wisdoms concerning human nature and well-being are inevitably characterized by change over time. Importantly, in late modern societies, outlooks

1  
2  
3 on life are informed by scientific concepts and models derived from a variety of disciplines  
4 (Wagner, 2007).

5  
6 A common representation of well-being in many Western societies today involves  
7 considering individual happiness as the ultimate objective or criterion of a good life (Duncan,  
8 2010; Eckersley, 2000). In psychology and social sciences this view has given rise to a bulk  
9 of research focused on the construct of subjective well-being (SWB), conceptualized and  
10 measured as positive life evaluations (life satisfaction) in combination with a relative  
11 predominance of positive affect over negative affect (Diener, 2000). This hedonic perspective  
12 has been described as a “narrow” approach to well-being, while a “broader” approach draws  
13 on eudaimonic and humanistic accounts as well as philosophical conceptualizations of  
14 virtuous activity (Kashdan & Steger, 2011; Nafstad, 2015; Peterson & Seligman, 2004;  
15 Tiberius, 2013). Maintaining that hedonic pleasure constitutes merely one of several  
16 dimensions of a good life, the broader view includes dimensions such as hope, meaning,  
17 purpose, leading a balanced life and fulfilling needs for social belonging, autonomy and  
18 competence. Both the narrow and the broader lines of well-being research coexist in positive  
19 psychology (Joseph, 2015; Kashdan & Steger, 2011). The present study takes key words of  
20 these two perspectives as a point of departure to explore the usage of related vocabulary in the  
21 media.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 *Language, Words and shared Common Sense*

35  
36 Social values and meaning systems are embedded in language and are reflected in the  
37 ways words and expressions are used (Bakhtin, 1981; Billig, 1997; Nafstad, Blakar, Carlquist,  
38 Phelps, & Rand-Hendriksen, 2009; Rommetveit, 2003). Because words are understood  
39 through the lens of historically, culturally and locally developed meaning systems,  
40 longitudinal trajectories of recurrence and usage of a single word can serve as empirically  
41 available indicators of societal values and priorities (Blakar, 1979; Pennebaker, Mehl, &  
42 Niederhoffer, 2003; Phelps, Blakar, Carlquist, Nafstad, & Rand-Hendriksen, 2012). Insights  
43 concerning tacitly taken-for-granted assumptions in a society can therefore be obtained by  
44 studying various genres of texts. Mass media are particularly influential in this regard because  
45 they connect the individual with the wider macro-social assumptions and values (Castells,  
46 2010; Jaworski, 2007). In recent decades, people have increasingly turned to the media to  
47 make sense of their worlds (McCombs & Reynolds, 2009; Perse, 2001). Systematic empirical  
48 studies of language usage in the media can therefore shed light on the ongoing construction  
49 and development of cultural meaning systems, including common sense and normative ideas  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 that guide how people think, feel and interact (Billig, 1991, 2006; Bruner, 1990; Fairclough,  
4 2013; Nafstad, Carlquist, & Blakar, 2012; Verschueren, 2012).

5  
6 In the present study we have investigated temporal changes in the language  
7 representation of well-being through the analysis of the usage patterns of single words or  
8 expressions in Norwegian newspapers. The selected words were derived from vocabularies of  
9 both the “narrower” and “broader” perspectives within positive psychology.  
10  
11  
12

### 13 14 *The Norwegian Context*

15  
16 The Norwegian society, with a population of around 5 million, is currently  
17 characterized by a low level of income disparity, widespread welfare provision, gender  
18 equality, increasing multiculturalism, and a high degree of civic engagement and voluntary  
19 participation (Carlquist, Nafstad, & Blakar, 2007; Ellingsæter & Leira, 2006; Sejersted &  
20 Adams, 2011; Wollebæk & Strømsnes, 2008). Newspaper readership in Norway is among the  
21 highest in the world, and it is reflected in a large number of local and regional newspapers  
22 (Østbye, 2007). Considerable regional variation can be detected at the historical, cultural and  
23 economic levels, in part due to the country’s large and geographically varied territory.  
24 Similarly, distinct identities and dialects characterize different parts of the country (Gooskens,  
25 2005). The south-eastern region is the most densely populated; it contains the largest  
26 agricultural areas and the capital Oslo, with its financial and administrative functions. The  
27 western region is characterized by maritime industries, as well as oil and gas production since  
28 the 1970s. The northern region was traditionally associated with fishery industries and Sami  
29 reindeer herding, but it underwent considerable change during the 1990s and 2000s due to  
30 new discoveries of oil and gas fields, and increasing trade and cultural contacts with Russia  
31 (Jensen & Hønneland, 2011; Jones, 2008).  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

### 45 **Aims of the Study**

46 The aim of the current study is to contribute to the ongoing investigation of the  
47 concept of well-being in contemporary societies, by examining word use patterns in  
48 Norwegian media from the past two decades. Specifically, we investigated the occurrence and  
49 usage frequency across time of selected words related to four well-being perspectives:  
50 affective approaches focusing on feelings (Kahneman, 1999); cognitive or life satisfaction  
51 approaches focusing on evaluative assessments (Pavot & Diener, 2008); eudaimonic and  
52 humanistic approaches focusing on functioning (Ryan & Huta, 2009; Wong, 2012); and the  
53 virtue approach centered on character strengths (Peterson & Seligman, 2004). For short, we  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 will refer to these groups as the affective, evaluative, functional and virtue groups. For  
4 heuristic purposes, we have treated the affective and most of the evaluative words as  
5 belonging to the narrow view of well-being, while the functional and virtue words were  
6 subsumed under the broader view. Words related to good life and quality of life were included  
7 in the evaluative category, as quality of life in the Norwegian vocabulary is particularly  
8 related to subjective evaluations of well-being (Barstad, 2014).  
9

10  
11  
12 Due to the dearth of comparable studies (see e.g., Nafstad, Blakar, & Rand-  
13 Hendriksen, 2009; Sointu, 2005), this study is explorative. Nevertheless, some expectations  
14 were formulated, based upon general cultural trends reported in sociological and  
15 psychological literature, and combined with the researchers' local knowledge of language use  
16 in Norway (Hellevik, 2008; Nafstad et al., 2013). In light of the internationally documented  
17 rise of individualism and attention towards the self (Beck & Beck-Gernsheim, 2002; Schwartz,  
18 2000; Twenge, Campbell, & Gentile, 2013), we expected to detect an increasing occurrence  
19 of words related to affect and evaluation. Turning to the "broader" approaches, we expected  
20 to find variability in the usage of words concerning eudaimonic or functional facets of well-  
21 being, including a rise among words directly referring to the self. In regard to virtues, strong  
22 collaborative efforts and selfless hard work have traditionally been core values in the  
23 Norwegian society (Gullestad, 1991), but studies of recent developments point towards rising  
24 individualism and consumerism at the expense of communal values (Nafstad, Blakar, &  
25 Rand-Hendriksen, 2009). On the basis of these trends, supported by a study documenting the  
26 decline of virtue words in books published in the United States during the 20<sup>th</sup> century  
27 (Kesebir & Kesebir, 2012), we expected the frequency of virtue words to fall also in  
28 Norwegian media.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 **Method**

44  
45 The present study draws on previously developed methods for examining longitudinal  
46 word usage patterns in media discourse (Nafstad, Blakar, Carlquist, Phelps, & Rand-  
47 Hendriksen, 2007; Nafstad, Blakar, Carlquist, et al., 2009; Phelps et al., 2012). Annual word  
48 frequency data were drawn from a database of electronically archived Norwegian newspapers  
49 (<http://www.retriever.no>). This online database generates a list of articles which satisfy  
50 specific search criteria such as a given word, a string of words, or combinations employing  
51 Boolean operators such as AND, OR and ANDNOT. Truncations are possible by means of  
52 asterisks (extensions) or question marks (alternative letter replacements). Frequency of  
53 occurrence was defined as the total annual number of articles including the specific search  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 words. The exact duration of the period analysed (1992-2014) was determined in order to  
4 include several large newspapers that first became archived in the electronic database in 1992.  
5  
6

### 7 8 ***Selection of Search Words***

9  
10 A particular challenge involved in this method was to establish a suitable and  
11 relatively parsimonious profile of search terms. Although a vast pool of words can be used to  
12 describe well-being, we primarily sought to investigate common and theoretically relevant  
13 words and expressions related to well-being in everyday Norwegian vocabulary.  
14  
15

16 To capture core vocabulary of positive affect (Kahneman, 1999; Watson, Clark, &  
17 Tellegen, 1988), the closest Norwegian equivalent of the following terms were chosen for  
18 analysis: *enjoy*, *happy*; *happiness*; *interest*; *interested in*; *joy*; *joyful*; and *positive / good*  
19 *feelings*. The word *happiness* is notably difficult to translate (Oishi, Graham, Kesebir, &  
20 Galinha, 2013; Wierzbicka, 2004). The most common translation of the English term into  
21 academic Norwegian language is represented by the word “*lykke*” (cf. Hellevik, 2008; Lolle  
22 & Andersen, 2015). Similar to the English word *happiness*, “*lykke*” can hold meanings  
23 beyond momentary affect. However, for the purposes of the present study, it was included  
24 among the positive affect words since its adjective form “*lykkelig*” in Norwegian clearly  
25 signifies an emotional state. Also of note are *joy* and *joyful*, which are equivalent to the very  
26 common Norwegian words “*glede*” and “*glad*”, although the Norwegian words possibly  
27 connote somewhat lower levels of arousal than *joy* and *joyfulness*. The chosen Norwegian  
28 equivalent for *enjoy*, “*nyte*”, can be said to connote pleasure, in addition to enjoyment. For  
29 negative affect (Kahneman, 1999; Watson et al., 1988), the following words were included:  
30 *Anger*; *angry*; *frightened*; *grief*; *negative / bad feelings*; *sad*; *shame*; and *upset*. For these  
31 words, the Norwegian meanings largely correspond to those of the English terms.  
32  
33

34 For evaluative words (Diener, 2000; Diener, Wirtz, et al., 2009; Pavot & Diener,  
35 2008), these terms were selected: *contented*; *positive thoughts / think positive*; *satisfied*;  
36 *satisfaction*; and *satisfied with life / life satisfaction*. Furthermore, for quality of life (Sirgy,  
37 2012), the broader ranging expressions *good life* and *quality of life* were included in the  
38 evaluative group. We additionally included the literal Norwegian translation of *well-being*  
39 (“*velvære*”). In contrast to English, the Norwegian word has particular connotations of bodily  
40 pleasure and pampering.  
41  
42

43 Words in the functional (eudaimonic/humanistic) group were theoretically derived  
44 from the literature on psychological well-being (Ryff & Singer, 2008), eudaimonic happiness  
45 (Delle Fave et al., 2011), self-determination theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000) and meaning (Steger,  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Shin, Shim, & Fitch-Martin, 2013; Wong, 2012). For this group, the following words and  
4 expressions were selected: *inner calmness*; *meaningful*; *meaning-filled* (reflecting an  
5 alternative Norwegian word for *meaningful*); *master*; *mastery*; *motivation*; *self-realization*;  
6 and *self-development*.  
7  
8

9  
10 The selected virtue words were theoretically based on a list of major character  
11 strengths (Peterson & Seligman, 2004, p. 29) and included *wisdom*; *humanity*; *courageous*;  
12 *temperance*; *justice*; *gratitude and hope*. The latter two terms were selected to signify the  
13 major virtue of transcendence, which could not be captured in a single, everyday term. For  
14 courage, a specific adjective (“*modig*”) rather than the general noun had to be chosen, as the  
15 Norwegian noun form “*mot*” is a homonym also meaning “against” or “towards”.  
16  
17

18  
19 Determining searchable words posed several challenges. First, some words were of a  
20 general nature (e.g., belonging) and could thus not be included, as they also refer to  
21 phenomena unrelated to well-being. Second, certain expressions (e.g., self-acceptance) were  
22 not sufficiently prevalent in the Norwegian media language to permit inclusion in the analysis.  
23 Similar problems emerged when the signified phenomenon could not be easily distilled into a  
24 single word or expression in the Norwegian language. When possible, truncated (extended)  
25 words were chosen in order to obtain word combinations and therefore a larger number of  
26 articles implying increased statistical strength as well as wider conceptual meaning. However,  
27 many words held additional meanings irrelevant to well-being when truncated. As an example,  
28 the plural form of *frightened* (Norwegian “*redde*”) is a homonym which also means “to  
29 rescue”. In such cases, only the basic forms were retained, or the Boolean “ANDNOT”  
30 function was used to exclude frequently occurring meanings irrelevant to well-being. We also  
31 investigated both noun and adjective forms of certain words when there was reason to believe  
32 that they could develop differently. In other cases, only one of the grammatical forms was  
33 deemed to provide a valid search term. The selection of search words therefore involved  
34 pragmatic trade-offs between delimitation and inclusiveness.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

### 48 ***Selection of Newspapers***

49 The present study included several newspapers to capture regional and socio-cultural  
50 variation within the Norwegian society, since the aforementioned cultural diversity might  
51 have produced regional variation in the vocabulary of common sense as well as underlying  
52 assumptions about well-being. In contrast, previous social psychological analyses of language  
53 usage in Norwegian media (Nafstad et al., 2007; Nafstad, Blakar, Carlquist, et al., 2009;  
54 Phelps et al., 2012) aimed to cover a longer time span and therefore analyzed only one  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 newspaper (Aftenposten) which was searchable back to 1984. Among Norwegian print media,  
4 the two largest national newspapers (Aftenposten, Verdens Gang) and two large regional  
5 newspapers (Bergens Tidende, Nordlys) were included. Whereas Aftenposten is generally  
6 recognized to be a broadsheet newspaper, Verdens Gang is considered somewhat more tabloid.  
7  
8 The two regional newspapers cover the western and northern regions of the country,  
9 respectively. However, in addition to its national function, Aftenposten also serves as a  
10 regional newspaper for the Oslo (capital) area, which is located in the most densely populated  
11 south-eastern region of the country. The selection of newspapers was therefore considered to  
12 represent both regional and journalistic scope.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 The article database for Nordlys included birth, death and birthday notes as from 2012,  
19 which was found to generate an overrepresentation of words such as “*lykke*”. The analysis  
20 was therefore conducted only for the 1992-2011 period for this newspaper.  
21  
22  
23

### 24 *Establishment of Baseline*

25  
26 Because the annual numbers of published newspaper articles as well as article length  
27 vary across time, a baseline adjustment was performed to identify the usage trend of a given  
28 word. In previous studies, a correction based on the average annual number of published  
29 articles was used (Nafstad et al., 2007; Nafstad, Blakar, Carlquist, et al., 2009). Later studies  
30 further adjusted for the potential bias resulting from varying article length by using a baseline  
31 trend based on the 10,000 most frequently occurring Norwegian words (Nafstad et al., 2013;  
32 Phelps et al., 2012). However, correcting for a large number of frequent words requires that  
33 the editorial profile of the investigated newspaper is not subject to large changes, which was  
34 the case for at least one of the selected newspapers (Verdens Gang). A more elementary  
35 baseline was therefore employed, which correlated highly (annual indexes:  $r = .99$ ) with the  
36 10,000 word trend for Aftenposten, for which this correction was initially developed. For the  
37 baseline, average trends for ten assumed ideologically neutral words was derived, drawn from  
38 a database of common Norwegian words (Tekstlab, 2015). The revised baseline was found to  
39 perform well for all newspapers by producing a smoother reference trend than the 10,000  
40 word trend. For Aftenposten, correlations between the annual frequencies of the ten words  
41 used for the baseline index ranged from .859 to .994 (Pearson's  $r$ ). For Bergens Tidende,  
42 frequencies correlated between .477 and .976, and for Nordlys the corresponding values fell  
43 between .723 and .986. For Verdens Gang, greater dispersion between trends was observed,  
44 with correlations ranging from .213 (n/s) to .981. However, 37 of 45 word pairs showed  
45 correlations of 0.60 or above, and the index was deemed satisfactory.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



### Statistical Analysis

Three-year moving averages were used to reduce the effects of extraordinary annual changes and particular events. As an example, certain affect words showed very high values in 2011, when Norway experienced a major terror attack, with considerable ramifications in mass media discourse (Andersson, 2012). Potential longer-term discursive effects of such events will nevertheless be captured by moving averages.

As an approximation of trend developments, a linear regression model was applied. An estimate of mean annual percentage change (EMAC) was calculated based on the slope of the regression line (see Nafstad et al., 2007; Nafstad, Blakar, Carlquist, et al., 2009 for further elaboration of this indicator). Strict criteria were used for hypothesis testing, as simply retaining any linear association showing a  $p$  value under .05 as a significant finding would amount to “data fishing”. We therefore employed a Bonferroni-corrected alpha level of 0.0013 to detect statistically significant associations with time, reflecting the number of repeated tests (i.e., .05 / 39 search terms). Viewing the newspapers together, a criterion was established requiring that at least three newspapers showed significant Bonferroni-corrected frequency trends in the same direction.

### Results

Considerable variability was detected with regard to average annual frequencies of the well-being terms as well as trend developments among the four newspapers. We first examined affective terms. Among the positive emotion words, *enjoy*, *joyful* and the less frequent expression *good / positive feelings* significantly increased across at least three newspapers (see Table 1). Additionally, we observed that *happiness* increased in two newspapers and showed a borderline significant increase in a third (Bergens Tidende,  $p = .0015$ ). Unexpectedly, both terms for interest declined in all newspapers. It is also of note that *joy* showed a significant change in three newspapers; however, while this word declined in two of them, it increased in Nordlys. Among the terms related to negative affect, only *upset* and *sad* showed significant increasing linear trends across newspapers. The EMAC was particularly strong for *sad*, ranging from 1.5% to over 4%.

Among the evaluative expressions, only *quality of life* showed a significant increase in frequency across time in three newspapers (see Table 2). However, its average annual prevalence was low compared to the other words. The frequency of the general terms *satisfied*

1  
2  
3 and *satisfaction* showed clear declines across newspapers, with negative EMACs in total  
4 ranging from 1.5% to 5%.

5  
6 Turning to the functional (eudaimonic-humanistic) group, we observed that the  
7 frequency of *mastery* rose significantly and sharply in all four newspapers, with the EMAC  
8 rate ranging from 10% to 14% (see Table 3). *Motivation* also showed increasing trends in all  
9 newspapers, characterized by annual average growth rates of between 3% and 5%.  
10  
11 *Meaningful* rose in three newspapers. Although less frequently used, *self-realization* showed  
12 significantly increased occurrence in three newspapers, with an annual average growth rate of  
13 over 5%, while the frequency of *self-development* rose in all newspapers, with growth rates  
14 between 2% and 5%. Among the virtue terms (see Table 4), *hope* and *humanity* showed  
15 significant diminishing frequencies in three newspapers. Contrary to expectations, the  
16 occurrences of *courageous* and *gratitude* increased in three newspapers.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

## 24 Discussion

25  
26 Our findings have several implications for well-being research and the field of positive  
27 psychology. The main picture emerging from the analysis is that media usage of words  
28 referring to individual well-being shows systematic and statistically significant patterns of  
29 change. These patterns were found despite the adoption of a stringent Bonferroni-corrected  
30 statistical significance criterion in at least three of the newspapers. Nineteen out of the thirty-  
31 nine search terms were found to show significant changes across time, with evidence of both  
32 increasing and decreasing usage.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 A general message derived from the analysis is therefore that well-being related terms  
39 in media discourse are not characterized by stable usage frequencies. Usage patterns of a word  
40 in a given society, and potentially its connotations, may change across time. Our findings  
41 demonstrate that even core vocabulary of well-being belongs to a changeable, culture- and  
42 rule-based field of linguistic practice (Wittgenstein, 1953). Changing practices, e.g., words  
43 becoming increasingly in vogue or obsolete, potentially reflect cultural or historical  
44 developments of theoretical and practical importance for positive psychology.  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 Findings do not unequivocally point toward increasing “happiology” (Seligman &  
50 Pawelski, 2003) in the Norwegian media. As expected, a number of words associated with  
51 emotional conditions, life evaluations and functionality increased in frequency. However, the  
52 detected patterns did not consistently conform to expectations. Notably, with regard to the  
53 positive affect words, only *enjoy*, *joyful* and *positive / good feelings* became significantly  
54 more frequent across newspapers, although data provided some support for a rise of *happiness*  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 also. The occurrence of other central affective and evaluative words within the “narrow” view  
4 of well-being was instead shown to decline, such as the unexpected case with the common  
5 word *joy* (“*glede*”). The somewhat formal character of the term might explain why it has lost  
6 ground in an increasingly popularized print press. Strikingly, the occurrence of words related  
7 to interest (both *interest* generally, and circumscribed as *interested in*) declined considerably.  
8 This finding suggests that less directly denoting words might have been increasingly used to  
9 describe interest as a phenomenon (e.g., the detected rise of *motivation*). As an alternative or  
10 complementary explanation, this decline may reflect a diminishing media representation of  
11 the phenomenon of showing interest.  
12

13  
14 An intriguing pattern was observed in the frequency trend of the negative emotion  
15 words. In this group, only *upset* and *sad* showed the expected increase across time, while no  
16 significant trends emerged for the other negative affect words. Norwegian cultural norms have  
17 historically endorsed introversion rather than emotional display (cf. Eriksen, 1993), and one  
18 can speculate that the discursive presentation of sadness and upset might have been rendered  
19 gradually more acceptable than potentially more “troublesome” emotions of anger or shame.  
20

21  
22 A further intriguing finding is that both the adjective *satisfied* (“*tilfreds*”) and the noun  
23 *satisfaction* (“*tilfredshet*”) declined in frequency. This unexpected trend could be ascribed  
24 once again to the somewhat conservative quality of the terms in Norwegian, but also that this  
25 particular etymological framing, literally referring to “being at peace”, sits ideologically at  
26 odds with a society expecting rapid social change and expectations of dynamic movement  
27 (Perrons, 2004) and showing signs of rising income inequality (Aaberge & Atkinson, 2010). It  
28 is furthermore of note that the frequency of *satisfaction with life / life satisfaction* was low  
29 and did not significantly rise, while *quality of life* did.  
30

31  
32 The largest magnitude of change was found among the eudaimonic terms. The noun  
33 *mastery*, while already present in the Norwegian dictionary, was initially almost unused in the  
34 newspapers, but emerged as a word with rapidly increasing occurrence. This finding is of  
35 further interest because the verb to *master*, although more frequent, failed to display a similar  
36 change. Taken together, these usage trends suggest that concepts of mastery as achievement,  
37 rather than process, have become more salient. The frequency of *self-development* also  
38 increased sharply, and similar trends were detected for *motivation* and *self-realization*. On the  
39 one hand, these developments suggest that certain forms of eudaimonic functioning (Ryff &  
40 Singer, 2008) have been promoted or increasingly reflected in the media. Somewhat in  
41 contrast, although no causal conclusions are warranted, this observation supports previous  
42 studies documenting an increasing attention to the refashioning of self and a constant  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 requirement for self-improvement, associated with values of neoliberal capitalism (Türken,  
4 Nafstad, Blakar, & Roen, 2015).

5  
6 The increased occurrence of *meaningful* should be interpreted with care, since the  
7 alternative term *meaning-filled* declined in two newspapers and was borderline significant in a  
8 third (VG,  $p = .0013$ ). Findings concerning meaning are therefore inconclusive as the  
9 combined frequency trends of these two words do not point in any clear direction. The general  
10 term *meaning* was not included in the pool of search words since it has multiple connotations,  
11 in Norwegian also including “opinion” and “intention”. Taken together, these observations  
12 underscore particular conceptual difficulties involved in the empirical investigation of the  
13 construct, at least within the language of media (see also Leontiev, 2013).

14  
15 Overall, the findings portray that media usage of well-being terms represents a  
16 surprisingly dynamic field of linguistic practice. A possible interpretation of the findings is  
17 that eudaimonic words particularly appear to be on the rise, while there is little evidence of  
18 “happiology”. However, the findings cannot be uncritically generalized beyond the  
19 Norwegian context, as terminological usage is likely to show large variability across  
20 languages. Importantly, the observations are situated in a Scandinavian culture which might  
21 be particularly hesitant towards up-beat or abundant expression of affect. In general, the  
22 interpretations we have offered of the reported trends are post-hoc explanations that require  
23 further studies of connotative change across time.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 **Limitations and Future Directions**

37  
38 The objective of this study was not to capture the linguistic totality of well-being  
39 discourse in the media. Rather, we sought to examine the degree of stability or change for  
40 usage frequencies of selected core well-being terms. Therefore, the findings do not allow us to  
41 draw any conclusion concerning the increasingly or decreasingly salience of well-being issues  
42 in Norwegian public discourse. Findings are valid primarily for the specific search words and  
43 the context investigated. The analysis reveals that certain words, particularly *mastery* and *self-*  
44 *development*, have been rapidly taken up in Norwegian print media, suggesting that these  
45 words might serve particular ideological functions. It also demonstrates how the frequency of  
46 certain rather common words (e.g. *satisfied*, *satisfaction*) gradually decreased. However, our  
47 data do not address possible reasons for such trends. On the one hand, growing occurrence of  
48 certain well-being terms can be interpreted as an increased and liberating focus on individual  
49 opportunity (Seligman, 2011). On the other hand, rising usage of well-being terms might  
50 reflect neoliberal ideology in which seemingly empowering practices conceal increased  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 corporate power and a gradual dismantling of welfare provision (Greco & Stenner, 2013;  
4 Madsen, 2015). Further inquiry, particularly of a qualitative nature, is required to answer such  
5 questions.  
6  
7

8 Although a number of trends were found across several newspapers, findings suggest  
9 that social psychological analyses of media language can benefit from including a wide array  
10 of media sources. Since the 1990s, internet and social media have gained vast importance as  
11 channels of communication. The current analysis concerns printed media only, thus  
12 potentially overlooking trends in online media as well as television. The growth of digital  
13 media is furthermore likely to produce editorial changes in print media, partly as a  
14 consequence of declined readership and the increasing average age of newspaper readers.  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 More detailed and specific studies are required to examine the discursive development  
20 of circumscribed well-being components, such as emotions, evaluations, or eudaimonic  
21 functioning. The selection of words for the present study posed considerable challenges, as  
22 some key phenomena investigated in well-being research, such as meaning, cannot be fully  
23 captured by a single term. Although we aimed to minimize the use of ambiguous search terms,  
24 the selected search terms might be biased or insufficiently representative of the represented  
25 phenomenon. Furthermore, as meanings of psychological words are culturally contingent  
26 (Lolle & Andersen, 2015; Oishi et al., 2013; Wierzbicka, 2009), great care must be taken  
27 when drawing cross-cultural conclusions. Local variation in the language of well-being  
28 underscores the necessity of increased research attention to culturally contextualized  
29 understandings and developmental trends of words in the psychological vocabulary. In spite  
30 of pervasive globalization, a variety of cultural norms and traditions still inform individual  
31 and social behaviors. Finally, findings suggest that even within a specific cultural context,  
32 well-being related terms undergo usage change across a relatively limited time span. This  
33 aspect is not surprising, if we recognize that both individuals and societies are dynamically  
34 evolving entities. The adoption of a static perspective to describe their features and  
35 functioning patterns – including well-being facets - is not only conceptually imprecise, but it  
36 may also become problematic in applied contexts, when used to design intervention programs  
37 or long-term policies.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

### 51 52 53 **Appendix: Search Strings** 54

55  
56 Affective words, positive affect:  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *Nyte OR nyter OR nyde OR nyder ANDNOT godt av ANDNOT tillit ANDNOT respekt*  
4 *ANDNOT anseelse ANDNOT alkohol (enjoy);*

5  
6 *Lykke ANDNOT lykke til ANDNOT til lykke (happiness);*

7  
8 *Lykkelig\* (happy);*

9  
10 *Glad (joyful/experiencing happy feelings);*

11  
12 *Glede (joy/feeling of happiness);*

13  
14 *God\* følel\* OR positi\* følel\* (good / positive feelings);*

15 *Interesse\* ANDNOT børns\* ANDNOT aksje\* ANDNOT invest\* andnot interessert i*  
16 (interest);

17  
18 *Interessert i (interested in).*

19  
20  
21 Affective words, negative affect

22  
23 *Sinne ANDNOT noen sinne (anger);*

24  
25 *Sint OR sinte (angry);*

26  
27 *Redd; (frightened);*

28  
29 *Sorg\* (grief);*

30  
31 *Vond\* følel\* OR negati\* følel\* (negative / bad feelings);*

32  
33 *Trist OR triste (sad);*

34  
35 *Skam (shame);*

36  
37 *Lei ?eg (upset).*

38 Evaluative words (8)

39  
40 *Fornøyd\* (contented/satisfied);*

41  
42 *Godt liv OR gode liv (good life);*

43  
44 *Livskvalitet\* (quality of life);*

45  
46 *Positive tanker OR tenk\* positiv\* (positive thoughts/ think positive);*

47  
48 *Tilfreds (satisfied);*

49  
50 *Tilfredshet\* (satisfaction);*

51 *Tilfreds med livet OR tilfredshet med livet OR livstilfredshet (satisfied with life / life*  
52 *satisfaction);*

53  
54 *Velvære (well-being).*

55  
56 Functional words

57  
58 *Indre ro OR ro i sjel\* OR ro i sinn\* OR sinnsro (inner calmness);*

1  
2  
3 *Mestr\** ANDNOT *mestring\** (*master*);

4 *Mestring\** (*mastery*);

5 *Meningsfull\** (*meaningful*);

6 *Meningsfylt\** (*meaning-filled [alternative term]*);

7 *Motivasjon\** OR *motivert\** (*motivation*);

8 *Selvutvik\** OR *utvikl\** ?*eg selv* (*self-development*);

9 *Selvrealiser\** OR *realiser\** ?*eg selv* (*self-realization*).

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 Virtue words (7)

17 *Modig\** ANDNOT *Modigliani*; (*courageous*);

18 *Takknem\** ANDNOT *død\** ANDNOT *nekro\** ANDNOT *bortgang\** ANDNOT *minne\**  
19 ANDNOT *miste\** (*gratitude*);

20 *Håp* OR *håb* (*hope*);

21 *Menneskelig\** ANDNOT *menneskelighen\** (*humanity*);

22 *Rettferd\** (*justice*);

23 *Måtehold\** (*temperance*);

24 *Klokskap\** OR *kløkt\** OR *visdom\** OR *klokhet\** (*wisdom*).

## References

- Aaberge, R., & Atkinson, A. B. (2010). Top incomes in Norway. In A. B. Atkinson & T. Piketty (Eds.), *Top Incomes: A Global Perspective* (pp. 448-481). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Andersson, M. (2012). The debate about multicultural Norway before and after 22 July 2011. *Identities, 19*(4), 418-427. doi: 10.1080/1070289X.2012.684442
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *The dialogic imagination: Four essays* (M. Holquist Ed.). Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Barstad, A. (2014). *Levekår og livskvalitet: Vitenskapen om hvordan vi har det* Oslo: Cappelen Damm akademisk.
- Beck, U., & Beck-Gernsheim, E. (2002). *Individualization*. London: Sage.
- Billig, M. (1991). *Ideology and opinions: Studies in rhetorical psychology*. London: Sage.
- Billig, M. (1997). Discursive, rhetorical and ideological messages. In C. McGarty & S. A. Haslam (Eds.), *The message of social psychology* (pp. 36-53). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Billig, M. (2006). A psychoanalytic discursive psychology: From consciousness to unconsciousness. *Discourse Studies, 8*(1), 17-24. doi: 10.1177/1461445606059546
- Blakar, R. M. (1979). Language as a means of social power. In R. Rommetveit & R. M. Blakar (Eds.), *Studies of language, thought and verbal communication* (pp. 109-146). London: Academic Press.
- Bruner, J. S. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Carlquist, E., Nafstad, H. E., & Blakar, R. M. (2007). Community psychology in a Scandinavian welfare society: The case of Norway. In S. M. Reich, M. Riemer, I. Prilleltensky, & M. Montero (Eds.), *International Community Psychology* (pp. 282-298). New York: Springer.
- Castells, M. (2010). Communication power: Mass communication, mass self-communication, and power relationships in the network society. In J. Curran (Ed.), *Media and society* (pp. 3-17). London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Nakamura, J. (2011). Positive psychology: Where did it come from, where is it going. In K. M. Sheldon, T. B. Kashdan, & M. F. Steger (Eds.), *Designing positive psychology: Taking stock and moving forward* (pp. 3-8). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Delle Fave, A., Brdar, I., Freire, T., Vella-Brodrick, D., & Wissing, M. P. (2011). The eudaimonic and hedonic components of happiness: Qualitative and quantitative findings. *Social Indicators Research, 100*(2), pp. doi: 10.1007/s11205-010-9632-5
- Diener, E. (2000). Subjective well-being: The science of happiness and a proposal for a national index. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 34-43. doi: 10.1037/0003-066x.55.1.34
- Diener, E., Lucas, R. E., Schimmack, U., & Helliwell, J. F. (2009). *Well-being for public policy*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Diener, E., Wirtz, D., Biswas-Diener, R., Tov, W., Kim-Prieto, C., Choi, D.-w., & Oishi, S. (2009). New measures of well-being. In E. Diener (Ed.), *Assessing well-being: The collected works of Ed Diener* (pp. 247-266). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Dolan, P., & Metcalfe, R. (2012). Measuring subjective wellbeing: Recommendations on measures for use by national governments. *Journal of Social Policy, 41*(02), 409-427. doi: 10.1017/S0047279411000833
- Duncan, G. (2010). Should happiness-maximization be the goal of government? *Journal of Happiness Studies, 11*(2), 163-178. doi: 10.1007/s10902-008-9129-y
- Eckersley, R. (2000). The mixed blessings of material progress: Diminishing returns in the pursuit of happiness. *Journal of Happiness Studies, 1*(3), 267-292. doi: 10.1023/a:1010040010239



- 1  
2  
3 Ellingsæter, A. L., & Leira, A. (2006). *Politicising parenthood in Scandinavia: Gender relations in*  
4 *welfare states*. Bristol: Policy Press.
- 5 Eriksen, T. H. (1993). Being Norwegian in a shrinking world: Reflections on Norwegian identity. In A. C.  
6 Kiel (Ed.), *Continuity and change: Aspects of contemporary Norway* (pp. 11-38). Oslo:  
7 Universitetsforlaget.
- 8 Fairclough, N. (2013). *Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language*. Abingdon: Taylor &  
9 Francis.
- 10 Gooskens, C. (2005). How well can Norwegians identify their dialects? *Nordic Journal of Linguistics*,  
11 28(01), 37-60. doi: 10.1017/S0332586505001319
- 12 Gough, I. (2004). Human well-being and social structures: Relating the universal and the local. *Global*  
13 *Social Policy*, 4(3), 289-311. doi: 10.1177/1468018104047489
- 14 Greco, M., & Stenner, P. (2013). Happiness and the art of life: Diagnosing the psychopolitics of  
15 wellbeing. *Health, Culture and Society*, 5(1), 1-19. doi: 10.5195/hcs.2013.147
- 16 Gullestad, M. (1991). The transformation of the Norwegian notion of everyday life. *American*  
17 *Ethnologist*, 18(3), 480-499. doi: 10.1525/ae.1991.18.3.02a00040
- 18 Hellevik, O. (2008). *Jakten på den norske lykken. Norsk Monitor 1985-2007*. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget.
- 19 Inglehart, R., & Welzel, C. (2005). *Modernization, cultural change, and democracy: The human*  
20 *development sequence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 21 Jaworski, A. (2007). Language in the media: Authenticity and othering. In S. Johnson & A. Ensslin  
22 (Eds.), *Language in the media: Representations, identities, ideologies* (pp. 271-280). London:  
23 Continuum.
- 24 Jensen, L. C., & Hønneland, G. (2011). Framing the high north: Public discourses in Norway after 2000.  
25 *Acta Borealia*, 28(1), 37-54. doi: 10.1080/08003831.2011.575659
- 26 Jones, M. (2008). The "Two Landscapes" of North Norway and the "Cultural Landscape" of the South.  
27 In M. Jones (Ed.), *Nordic landscapes: Region and belonging on the northern edge of Europe*  
28 (pp. 283-299). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- 29 Joseph, S. (2015). Applied positive psychology 10 years on. In S. Joseph (Ed.), *Positive psychology in*  
30 *practice: Promoting human flourishing in work, health, education and everyday life* (pp. 1-6).  
31 Hoboken: Wiley.
- 32 Kahneman, D. (1999). Objective happiness. In D. Kahneman, E. Diener, & N. Schwartz (Eds.), *Well-*  
33 *being: The foundations of hedonic psychology* (pp. 3-25). New York: Russell Sage.
- 34 Kashdan, T. B., & Steger, M. F. (2011). Challenges, pitfalls, and aspirations for positive psychology. In  
35 K. M. Sheldon, T. B. Kashdan, & M. F. Steger (Eds.), *Designing positive psychology: Taking*  
36 *stock and moving forward* (pp. 9-24). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 37 Kesebir, P., & Kesebir, S. (2012). The cultural salience of moral character and virtue declined in  
38 twentieth century America. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 7(6), 471-480. doi:  
39 10.1080/17439760.2012.715182
- 40 Leontiev, D. A. (2013). Personal meaning: A challenge for psychology. *Journal of Positive Psychology*,  
41 8(6), 459-470. doi:10.1080/17439760.2013.830767
- 42 Lolle, H. L., & Andersen, J. G. (2015). Measuring happiness and overall life satisfaction: A Danish  
43 survey experiment on the impact of language and translation problems. *Journal of Happiness*  
44 *Studies*, 1-14. doi: 10.1007/s10902-015-9646-4
- 45 Lu, L. (2008). Culture, self, and subjective well-being: Cultural psychological and social change  
46 perspectives. *Psychologia*, 51(4), 290-303. doi: 10.2117/psysoc.2008.290
- 47 Madsen, O. J. (2015). *Optimizing the self: Social representations of self-help*. Hove: Routledge.
- 48 Mathews, G., & Izquierdo, C. (2008). Anthropology, happiness and well-being. In G. Mathews & C.  
49 Izquierdo (Eds.), *Pursuits of happiness: Well-being in anthropological perspective* (pp. 1-19).  
50 Oxford, NY: Berghahn Books.
- 51 McCombs, M., & Reynolds, A. (2009). How the news shapes our civic agenda. In J. Bryant & M. B.  
52 Oliver (Eds.), *Media effects: Advances in theory and research* (pp. 1-16). New York: Routledge.
- 53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Nafstad, H. E. (2015). Positive psychology: Historical, philosophical, and epistemological perspectives.  
4 In S. Joseph (Ed.), *Positive psychology in practice: Promoting human flourishing in work,*  
5 *health, education and everyday life* (pp. 9-30). Hoboken: Wiley.
- 6 Nafstad, H. E., Blakar, R. M., Botchway, A., Bruer, E. S., Filkukova, P., & Rand-Hendriksen, K. (2013).  
7 Communal values and individualism in our era of globalization: A comparative longitudinal  
8 study of three different societies. In H. H. Knoop & A. Delle Fave (Eds.), *Well-Being and*  
9 *Cultures* (pp. 51-69). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- 10 Nafstad, H. E., Blakar, R. M., Carlquist, E., Phelps, J. M., & Rand-Hendriksen, K. (2007). Ideology and  
11 power: The influence of current neo-liberalism in society. *Journal of Community & Applied*  
12 *Social Psychology, 17*(4), 313-327. doi: 10.1002/casp.931
- 13 Nafstad, H. E., Blakar, R. M., Carlquist, E., Phelps, J. M., & Rand-Hendriksen, K. (2009). Globalization,  
14 neo-liberalism and community psychology. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 43*(1),  
15 162-175. doi: 10.1007/s10464-008-9216-6
- 16 Nafstad, H. E., Blakar, R. M., & Rand-Hendriksen, K. (2009). The spirit of society and the virtue of  
17 gratitude: A study of changing societal ideologies of gratitude. In T. Freire (Ed.),  
18 *Understanding positive life: Research and practice on positive psychology* (pp. 285-302).  
19 Lisboa: Climepsi.
- 20 Nafstad, H. E., Carlquist, E., & Blakar, R. M. (2012). Towards a psychology for a humankind and a  
21 planet under multiple threats: A social psychology of ideology. In J. P. Valentim (Ed.), *Societal*  
22 *approaches in social psychology* (pp. 61-80). Bern: Peter Lang Publishing.
- 23 Oishi, S., Graham, J., Kesebir, S., & Galinha, I. C. (2013). Concepts of happiness across time and  
24 cultures. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 39*(5), 559-577. doi:  
25 10.1177/0146167213480042
- 26 Pavot, W., & Diener, E. (2008). The Satisfaction With Life Scale and the emerging construct of life  
27 satisfaction. *Journal of Positive Psychology, 3*(2), 137-152. doi: 10.1080/17439760701756946
- 28 Pennebaker, J. W., Mehl, M. R., & Niederhoffer, K. G. (2003). Psychological aspects of natural  
29 language use: Our words, our selves. *Annual Review of Psychology, 54*(1), 547-577. doi:  
30 10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145041
- 31 Perrons, D. (2004). *Globalization and social change: People and places in a divided world*. London:  
32 Routledge.
- 33 Perse, E. M. (2001). *Media effects and society*. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- 34 Peterson, C., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2004). *Character strengths and virtues: A handbook and*  
35 *classification*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 36 Pflug, J. (2009). Folk theories of happiness: A cross-cultural comparison of conceptions of happiness  
37 in Germany and South Africa. *Social Indicators Research, 92*(3), 551-563. doi:  
38 10.1007/s11205-008-9306-8
- 39 Phelps, J. M., Blakar, R. M., Carlquist, E., Nafstad, H. E., & Rand-Hendriksen, K. (2012). Symbolic  
40 boundaries and ideology in the Norwegian multicultural society: A longitudinal study of  
41 public discourse. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology, 22*(3), 187-205. doi:  
42 10.1002/casp.1126
- 43 Polkinghorne, D. E. (2000). Psychological inquiry and the pragmatic and hermeneutic traditions.  
44 *Theory & Psychology, 10*(4), 453-479. doi: 10.1177/0959354300104002
- 45 Rommetveit, R. (2003). On the role of "a psychology of the second person" in studies of meaning,  
46 language, and mind. *Mind, Culture, and Activity, 10*(3), 205-218. doi:  
47 10.1207/s15327884mca1003\_3
- 48 Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation,  
49 social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 68-78. doi: 10.1037/0003-  
50 066X.55.1.68
- 51 Ryan, R. M., & Huta, V. (2009). Wellness as healthy functioning or wellness as happiness: The  
52 importance of eudaimonic thinking (response to the Kashdan et al. and Waterman  
53 discussion). *Journal of Positive Psychology, 4*(3), 202-204. doi: 10.1080/17439760902844285
- 54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Ryff, C. D., & Singer, B. (2008). Know thyself and become what you are: A eudaimonic approach to  
4 psychological well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 9(1), 13-39. doi: 10.1007/s10902-006-  
5 9019-0
- 6 Schwartz, B. (2000). Self-determination: The tyranny of freedom. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 79-88.  
7 doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.79
- 8 Sejersted, F., & Adams, M. B. (2011). *The age of social democracy: Norway and Sweden in the*  
9 *twentieth century*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 10 Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. New  
11 York: Free Press.
- 12 Seligman, M. E. P., & Pawelski, J. O. (2003). Positive psychology: FAQs. *Psychological inquiry*, 159-163.  
13 doi: 10.1207/S15327965PLI1402\_03
- 14 Shah, D. V., McLeod, D. M., Gotlieb, M. R., & Lee, N.-J. (2009). Framing and agenda setting. In R. L.  
15 Nabi & M. B. Oliver (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of media processes and effects* (pp. 83-98).  
16 Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- 17 Sirgy, M. J. (2012). *The psychology of quality of life: Hedonic well-being, life satisfaction, and*  
18 *eudaimonia*. Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media.
- 19 Sointu, E. (2005). The rise of an ideal: Tracing changing discourses of wellbeing. *The Sociological*  
20 *Review*, 53(2), 255-274. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-954X.2005.00513.x
- 21 Steger, M. F., Shin, J. Y., Shim, S., & Fitch-Martin, A. (2013). Is meaning in life a flagship indicator of  
22 well-being? In A. S. Waterman (Ed.), *The best within us: Positive psychology perspectives on*  
23 *eudaimonia* (pp. 159-182). Washington: American Psychological Association.
- 24 Tekstlab. (2015). The Text Laboratory. Retrieved from  
25 [http://www.hf.uio.no/iln/english/about/organization/text-](http://www.hf.uio.no/iln/english/about/organization/text-laboratory/services/frequency.html)  
26 [laboratory/services/frequency.html](http://www.hf.uio.no/iln/english/about/organization/text-laboratory/services/frequency.html)
- 27 Tiberius, V. (2004). Cultural differences and philosophical accounts of well-being. *Journal of*  
28 *Happiness Studies*, 5(3), 293-314. doi: 10.1007/s10902-004-8791-y
- 29 Tiberius, V. (2013). Recipes for a good life: Eudaimonism and the contribution of philosophy. In A. S.  
30 Waterman (Ed.), *The best within us: Positive psychology perspectives on eudaimonia* (pp. 19-  
31 38). Washington: American Psychological Association.
- 32 Tov, W., & Diener, E. (2007). Culture and subjective well-being. In S. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.),  
33 *Handbook of cultural psychology* (pp. 691-713). New York: Guilford.
- 34 Twenge, J. M., Campbell, W. K., & Gentile, B. (2013). Changes in pronoun use in American books and  
35 the rise of individualism, 1960-2008. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 44(3), 406-415. doi:  
36 10.1177/0022022112455100
- 37 Türken, S., Nafstad, H. E., Blakar, R. M., & Roen, K. (2015). Making sense of neoliberal subjectivity: A  
38 discourse analysis of media language on self-development. *Globalizations*, 1-15. doi:  
39 10.1080/14747731.2015.1033247
- 40 Uchida, Y., Norasakkunkit, V., & Kitayama, S. (2004). Cultural constructions of happiness: Theory and  
41 empirical evidence. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 5(3), 223-239. doi: 10.1007/s10902-004-  
42 8785-9
- 43 Valsiner, J. (2007). *Culture in minds and societies: Foundations of cultural psychology* New Delhi: Sage.
- 44 Verschuere, J. (2012). *Ideology in language use: Pragmatic guidelines for empirical research*.  
45 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 46 Wagner, W. (2007). Vernacular science knowledge: Its role in everyday life communication. *Public*  
47 *Understanding of Science*, 16(1), 7-22. doi: 10.1177/0963662506071785
- 48 Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of  
49 positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*,  
50 54(6), 1063-1070. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.54.6.1063
- 51 Wierzbicka, A. (2004). 'Happiness' in cross-linguistic & cross-cultural perspective. *Daedalus*, 133(2),  
52 34-43. doi: 10.1162/001152604323049370
- 53 Wierzbicka, A. (2009). Language and metalanguage: Key issues in emotion research. *Emotion Review*,  
54 1(1), 3-14. doi: 10.1177/1754073908097175
- 55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Wittgenstein, L. (1953). *Philosophical investigations*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- 4 Wollebæk, D., & Strømsnes, K. (2008). Voluntary associations, trust, and civic engagement: A  
5 multilevel approach. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 37(2), 249-263. doi:  
6 10.1177/0899764007304754
- 7 Wong, P. T. P. (2012). Towards a dual-systems mode of what makes life worth living. In P. T. P. Wong  
8 (Ed.), *The human quest for meaning: theories, research, and applications* (pp. 3-22). New  
9 York: Routledge.
- 10 Yen, J. (2010). Authorizing happiness: Rhetorical demarcation of science and society in historical  
11 narratives of positive psychology. *Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology*, 30(2),  
12 67-78. doi: 10.1037/a0019103
- 13 Østbye, H. (2007). The Norwegian media landscape. In G. Terzis (Ed.), *European media governance:  
14 National and regional dimensions* (pp. 157-169). Bristol: Intellect Books.
- 15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1. Frequency of occurrence, affect words. Average annual occurrence across newspapers, and estimated mean annual change (EMAC) in each newspaper, adjusted for baselines.

Search term	Average annual occurrence	EMAC (%)			
		Aftenposten	Bergens Tidende	Nordlys	Verdens Gang
<u>Positive affect</u>					
Enjoy	1196	2.28***	1.38***	5.24***	2.61***
Happiness	604	0.88***	1.10**	2.41***	-0.34
Happy	1647	-0.36	0.35	0.17	-1.79***
Interest	7135	-1.73***	-1.37***	-0.90***	-0.77***
Interested in	2395	-1.63***	-1.73***	-2.81***	-2.69***
Joy	4007	-1.08***	-1.38***	1.58***	-1.09**
Joyful	5773	0.72**	0.97***	3.15***	0.54***
Positive / good feelings	274	2.06***	1.32***	4.50***	2.65***
<u>Negative affect</u>					
Anger	539	0.20	0.34	0.78	-0.44
Angry	1129	1.36***	0.99**	2.89	-0.57
Frightened	3317	0.25	-0.37	-0.84***	-0.87***
Grief	1522	0.43	0.81	0.20	0.45
Negative / bad feelings	59	2.25***	1.31	2.58	3.75***
Sad	2005	2.45***	2.20***	4.19***	1.56***
Shame	620	1.58***	1.08	2.64***	-1.30
Upset	706	1.99***	2.01***	1.77**	1.95***

\*\* p<.01

\*\*\* p<.001

Table 2. Frequency of occurrence, evaluative words. Average annual occurrence across newspapers, and estimated mean annual change (EMAC) in each newspaper, adjusted for baselines.

Search term	Average. annual occurrence	EMAC (%)			
		Aftenposten	Bergens Tidende	Nordlys	Verdens Gang
Contented	6567	-0.80	0.01	1.48***	0.19
Positive thoughts	57	1.93**	1.56	4.41***	3.01***
Satisfied	632	-5.16***	-5.40***	-1.48**	-2.62***
Satisfaction	191	-4.22***	-2.92***	-2.72***	-2.39***
Satisfied with life / life satisfaction	22	2.88	8.30***	-6.09	-0.67
Good life	278	2.97***	2.08**	3.80**	0.75
Quality of life	347	0.56	3.00***	2.77***	2.86***
Well-being (lit.)	162	1.14	0.34	4.51***	3.56***

\*\* p<.01

\*\*\* p<.001

Table 3. Frequency of occurrence, functional words. Average annual occurrence across newspapers, and estimated mean annual change (EMAC) in each newspaper, adjusted for baselines.

Search term	Average annual occurrence	EMAC (%)			
		Aftenposten	Bergens Tidende	Nordlys	Verdens Gang
Inner calmness	94	-2.09***	-1.33	-2.65**	-1.13
Meaningful	159	2.84***	1.57***	1.70	4.70***
Meaning-filled	202	-3.17***	-0.44	-2.11***	-1.70**
Master	781	-1.42***	-1.89***	0.54	0.03
Mastery	157	10.13***	12.11***	13.78***	12.58***
Motivation	1307	3.73***	3.94***	3.18***	5.01***
Self-realization	62	5.34***	4.57***	2.40	6.02***
Self-development	41	3.09***	2.46***	5.01***	4.46***

\*\* p<.01

\*\*\* p<.001

Table 4. Frequency of occurrence, virtue words. Average annual occurrence across newspapers, and estimated mean annual change (EMAC) in each newspaper, adjusted for baselines.

Search term	Average annual occurrence	EMAC (%)			
		Aftenposten	Bergens Tidende	Nordlys	Verdens Gang
Courageous	553	2.69***	2.15***	3.41***	0.59
Gratitude	497	2.21**	2.44***	5.05***	1.73***
Hope	3864	-1.59***	-1.25***	0.94	-1.08***
Humanity	1474	-1.85***	-0.50	-1.79***	-1.22***
Justice	1616	0.09	0.85**	-1.20	-0.57
Temperance	76	-2.08***	1.91**	-4.02	-1.69
Wisdom	850	0.38	0.17	3.92***	-0.87

\*\* p<.01

\*\*\* p<.001