Author Queries

Journal: Development Manuscript: DEV143545

Please indicate your corrections using the annotation/mark-up tools and do not edit the actual text of the pdf. Please check the **entire proof** thoroughly, paying particular attention to any text highlighted in red. Please ensure that all tables, figures and equations are present and in the correct order. Check carefully that the authors' names, affiliations and the corresponding author's e-mail address are correct. **IMPORTANT:** If you submit revised figures, please let us know what has been changed in the figures so that we can check that the files have been substituted in the final proof.

Author funding: It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to provide the relevant funding information from all authors. The funding data linked to your article are summarised in a table at the end of the proof ('Funding details'). Please check that all funders supporting your work and relevant grant numbers are included. Funder names may have been edited to match the Crossref Open Funder Registry (see http://search.crossref.org/funding). Note that this table will not be included in your published paper.

PubMed Central deposition: We offer a free PMC deposition service to authors supported by funders that mandate PMC deposition. If you require deposition and this is not indicated in the Funding section of your proof (in the sentence starting 'Deposited in PMC for release...'), please let us know immediately. **If PMC deposition is requested at a later stage, we reserve the right to charge \$50 for the PMC deposition service**.

Supplementary information: If you have submitted supplementary data, please check that a link has been added before the references and that citations are included in the text. Please note there are no proofs for supplementary data as the files are not edited. If you wish to make any changes to your supplementary data, please provide replacement file(s).

Author contributions: Please provide a statement that specifies the contribution of every author listed on the article. We encourage you to use the CRediT taxonomy to define individual author contributions.

Data availability: All publicly available datasets supporting your work should be included in the Data availability section. Details should include repository name, identifier such as accession number or doi, and where possible a hyperlink to the URL of the dataset. For more information on our data deposition requirements, see http://dev.biologists.org/content/journal-policies#data.

Please answer the hyperlinked queries below and indicate any necessary changes on the proof.

- AQ1 Please provide the department name (if any) for affiliation 2; country name for affiliation 1.
- AQ2 What is meant by 'on the other side'?
- AQ3 Funder names have been edited to match those in the CrossRef registry (http://search.crossref.org/funding). Please check. Is MIUR-PRIN 2012 is a grant number?
- AQ4 Please check the new Data availability instructions above. If there are no such data, please delete the Data availability section.
- AQ5 Please provide publisher name and place of publication for Roeder and Yanofsky (2006).
- AQ6 Please send revised versions of Figs 1-3 with the decimal commas replaced with decimal points and with the correct spelling of 'length' in panel 1E.
- AQ7 Should the *y*-axis of the graph be labelled *GFP* expression rather than *PIN1* expression (as this is what is being measured)?
- AQ8 'CRFs regulate' or 'CRF regulates'?
- AQ8 Article title is not matching with metadata. Please check.

RESEARCH REPORT



93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

Cytokinin response factors integrate auxin and cytokinin pathways for female reproductive organ development

Mara Cucinotta¹, Silvia Manrique¹, Andrea Guazzotti¹, Nadia E. Quadrelli¹, Marta A. Mendes¹, Eva Benkova² and Lucia Colombo^{1,*}

ABSTRACT

The developmental programme of the pistil is under the control of both auxin and cytokinin, and crosstalk between these factors converges on regulation of the auxin carrier PIN-FORMED 1 (PIN1). Here, we show that in the triple transcription factor mutant *cytokinin response factor 2 (crf2) crf3 crf6* both pistil length and ovule number were reduced. *PIN1* expression was also lower in the triple mutant and the phenotypes could not be rescued by exogenous cytokinin application. *pin1* complementation studies using genomic *PIN1* constructs showed that the pistil phenotypes were only rescued when the PCRE1 domain, to which CRFs bind, was present. Without this domain, *pin* mutants resemble the *crf2 crf3 crf6* triple mutant, indicating the pivotal role of CRFs in auxin-cytokinin crosstalk.

KEY WORDS: Plant hormones, Pistil, Ovule primordia, CRFs, PIN1

INTRODUCTION

In *Arabidopsis*, ovules emerge as lateral organs from the placenta, a meristematic tissue that originates after the fusion of the carpel margin meristem (CMM) (Reyes-Olalde et al., 2013; Schneitz et al., 1995). Placenta formation and ovule growth require auxins. Reduced local auxin biosynthesis or transport causes severe defects in pistil development with a consequent loss of placental tissue and ovules (Nemhauser et al., 2000; Nole-Wilson et al., 2010). The auxin efflux carrier PIN-FORMED 1 (PIN1) is one of the main elements modulating auxin accumulation during all phases of ovule development (Benková et al., 2003; Ceccato et al., 2013). Although *pin1-201* does not develop any flowers, in the *pin1-5* mutant the gynoecium has shorter valves and contains a few ovules (Bencivenga et al., 2012; Sohlberg et al., 2006).

Cytokinins (CKs) positively regulate ovule formation and pistil development. Indeed, mutants that have a reduced capacity for CK production or perception exhibit a dramatic reduction in ovule number and pistil size, and compromised female fertility (Kinoshita-Tsujimura and Kakimoto, 2011; Riefler et al., 2006; Werner et al., 2003). By contrast, increased CK levels results in a bigger pistil with a greater number of ovules compared with wild type, confirming a positive correlation between CK levels and ovule numbers (Bartrina et al., 2011; Bencivenga et al., 2012; Galbiati et al., 2013). It has been shown that CK treatment positively influences the number of ovules per pistil via a strong increase in

¹Dipartimento di BioScienze, Università degli studi di Milano, Via Celoria 26, Milano 20133. ²Institute of Science and Technology Austria, Am Campus 1, Klosterneuburg 3400, Austria.

*Author for correspondence (lucia.colombo@unimi.it)

厄 L.C., 0000-0001-8415-1399

Received 1 September 2016; Accepted 4 October 2016

PINI expression (Bencivenga et al., 2012; Galbiati et al., 2013; Zúñiga-Mayo et al., 2014).

Conversely, in roots, CKs modulate organogenesis by downregulating *PIN1* expression (Dello Ioio et al., 2012; Ruzicka et al., 2009) and PIN1 protein endocytic recycling (Marhavý et al., 2011).

Cytokinin response factors (CRFs) are encoded by closely related members of the *Arabidopsis* AP2 gene family and mediate a large proportion of the CK transcriptional response that functionally overlaps with the B-type ARR-mediated response (Rashotte et al., 2006). Recently, Šimášková and colleagues (2015) found that CRFs bind directly to the PIN1 cytokinin response element (PCRE1) in the *PIN1* promoter and thus modulate *PIN1* expression in response to CKs. Deletion of the PCRE1 *cis*-regulatory element uncouples *PIN1* transcription from CRF regulation and affects root sensitivity to CKs (Šimášková et al., 2015). Here, we show that CRF2, CRF3 and CRF6 redundantly induce the expression of *PIN1*, which is required for ovule development, supporting the crucial and general role of CRF factors as mediators of auxin-CK crosstalk guiding plant organogenesis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CRFs are required for placenta elongation and ovule development

In the placenta, CKs promote *PIN1* expression, which is needed for the establishment of the auxin gradient that leads to ovule primordia development (Bencivenga et al., 2012; Benková et al., 2003; Ceccato et al., 2013; Galbiati et al., 2013). Recently, it has been shown that three members of the CRF family, CRF2, CRF3 and CRF6, directly regulate *PIN1* expression upon CK signalling in roots (Šimášková et al., 2015). *CRF2* and *CRF6* promoters were able to drive reporter gene expression in stage 9 and 10 of pistil development, whereas the *CRF3* promoter did not show any activity (Fig. S1). These results are consistent with recently published transcriptomic data of the gynoecial medial domain, which show high expression of *CRF2* and *CRF6* and low expression of *CRF3* (Villarino et al., 2016).

To investigate whether these three CRFs control PIN1 expression 112 during early stages of pistil development, we have analysed crf2, 113 crf3 and crf6 single, double and triple mutants. Ovule counts were 114 performed on ovules from stage 1-II (primordia) to stage 2-I 115 (finger-like), which corresponds to stages 9 and 10 of pistil 116 development [according to Schneitz et al. (1995) and Roeder and 117 Yanofsky (2006)]. Analysis of single *crf3* and *crf6* mutants, as well 118 as the crf3 crf6 double mutant, did not reveal any significant 119 difference in ovule number compared with wild type, whereas the 120 single crf2 and the double crf2 crf3 mutant showed a small but 121 significant decrease in ovule number (Fig. S2). Instead, the crf2 crf6 122 double mutant presented ovule numbers comparable to wild type, 123 suggesting a compensatory mechanism between crf2 and crf6 124

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

58

59

60

61

62

AQ'1

187

188

205

206

207

208

209

210

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220 221

222

223

AQ6

226

227

228

229

230

231

125 (Fig. S2). Finally, in the crf2 crf3 crf6 (crf2/3/6) triple mutant, a 126 reduction of 31.68% in ovule number was observed with respect to the wild type (Fig. 1A). Wild-type Col-0 plants grown under long-127 128 day conditions developed on average (mean±s.e.m.) 46.36±1.24 129 ovules per pistil whereas 31.67±2.01 ovules were formed in the *crf2/3/6* triple mutant pistils (Fig. 1A). 130

Placenta length was measured at the same developmental stages. 131 132 In the wild type, the average length of the placenta was found to be $351\pm12 \,\mu\text{m}$ at stage 9 and $517\pm12 \,\mu\text{m}$ at stage 10, whereas in the 133 134 crf2/3/6 mutant it was significantly shorter (269±20 µm at stage 9 and 436±19 µm at stage 10) (Fig. 1B). Ovule density (number of 135 ovules per μ m placenta) was also reduced in the crf2/3/6 mutant 136 (Fig. 1C). 137

138 CK treatment results in an increase in pistil size and ovule number 139 (Bencivenga et al., 2012; Galbiati et al., 2013). Because CRFs 140 regulate the transcriptional response to cytokinins (Rashotte et al., 141 2006), we tested the CK response in wild type and the crf2/3/6 triple 142 mutant. Wild-type plants treated with the synthetic cytokinin 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP) yielded 60% more ovules and a 58% 143 144 longer placenta than untreated plants (Fig. 1D,E). The crf2/3/6 triple 145 mutant treated with BAP produced 19% more ovules and an 146 increase in placenta length of 32% (Fig. 1D,E), indicating that the 147 capacity to respond to CKs is strongly reduced in the absence of 148 CRF2, CRF3 and CRF6 activities.

150 **CRFs regulate** *PIN1* transcription during pistil growth

To investigate whether the pistil phenotypes observed in the crf2/3/6151 152 mutant were due to changes in PIN1 expression, we performed real-153 time qPCR experiments. In the crf2/3/6 triple mutant, PIN1 154 expression was significantly lower than in the wild type (Fig. 2A). 155 As previously reported (Bencivenga et al., 2012; Galbiati et al., 156 2013), PIN1 expression was at least twofold higher in BAP-treated wild-type inflorescences. Interestingly, the level of PIN1 mRNA in 157 158 the crf2/3/6 mutant did not increase upon CK application, suggesting that CRFs are required for CK-dependent PIN1 expression (Fig. 2A).

In roots, CRFs regulate *PIN1* expression by binding the PCRE1 189 sequence in the *PIN1* promoter (Šimášková et al., 2015); therefore, 190 we analysed plants carrying a $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ construct in 191 which a PIN1 promoter lacking the PCRE1 element drives the 192 expression of a fully functional PIN1-GFP fusion protein. Real-time 193 gPCR experiments were performed on GFP instead of PIN1 in order 194 to avoid the detection of endogenous PIN1. The level of PIN1-GFP 195 transcripts in *APIN1::PIN1-GFP* inflorescences was lower than that 196 in plants carrying the same fusion protein construct under the 197 control of a wild-type version of the PIN1 promoter (Fig. 2B). The 198 reduction in *PIN1-GFP* expression under control of the $\Delta PIN1$ 199 promoter was also evident by confocal microscopy in placenta cells 200 and ovule primordia at stages 1-I and 1-II (compare Fig. 2C,D with 201 Fig. 2E.F). Although PIN1 expression was dramatically reduced 202 (Fig. 2B), PIN1-GFP protein in ΔPIN1::PIN1-GFP plants was 203 correctly localized at the membrane of placenta cells (Fig. 2E,F). 204

To understand whether PCRE1 is the only element in the PIN1 promoter required for CK-mediated PIN1 expression in inflorescences, we also analysed GFP expression after treatment with CKs in PIN1::PIN1-GFP and ΔPIN1::PIN1-GFP plants. Interestingly, GFP expression increased in both PIN1::PIN1-GFP and $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ inflorescences compared with the control (mock treatment) (Fig. 2B), suggesting that CRFs might bind to 211 other regions of the *PIN1* promoter besides PCRE1. The possibility that other CK-induced transcription factors regulate PIN1 expression is unlikely as PIN1 expression remains unchanged in CK-treated crf2/3/6 inflorescences (Fig. 2A). The same reduction of GFP expression in *APIN1::PIN1-GFP* compared with *PIN1::PIN1-GFP* was observed in a second independent $\Delta PIN1$::*PIN1-GFP* line (Fig. S3). Also, in this second line ($\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP_2$) GFP expression increased after BAP treatment, reconfirming the results obtained with line 1 (Fig. S3). These results confirm that CRFs are

reported in D,E.

B₆₀₀ **A**₅₀ С 0,14 lta) 0,12 500 40 opla 0,10 **: <u>ا</u> 400 00 pistil 0.08 per ength Col-0 300 20 Aules = crf2/3/6 nta ş 0.06 200 ler 0,04 Ovule 10 100 0.02 0 0 0.00 stage 10 Col-0 crf2/3/6 stage 9 Col-0 crf2/3/6 **D**₈₀ Ε 800 *** 700 70 -60% 60 600 lenght ovules per pistil 00 00 02 05 500 mock mock Placenta 400 BAP 1mM BAP 1mM 300 20 200 10 100 0 0 Col-0 crf2/3/6 Col-0 crf2/3/6

Fig. 1. CRFs influence pistil length and ovule numbers. (A-C) Number of ovules (A), placenta length (B) and ovule density (C) of wild-type (Col-0) and crf2/3/6 pistils. (D,E) Ovule number (D) and placenta length measurements (E) in mock- and 1 mM BAPtreated wild-type and crf2/3/6 inflorescences 48 h after treatment. Mean±s.e.m. is shown. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001 (Student's

t-test; n=20). Percentage increment is also

- 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243
- 244 245 246
- 247
 - 248

149

159 160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184 185



Fig. 2. CRFs regulate *PIN1* expression. (A) *PIN1* expression levels in mock- and BAP-treated pre-fertilization inflorescences of wild-type and *crf2/3/6* triple mutant. (B) *GFP* expression levels in mock- and BAP-treated *PIN1::PIN1-GFP* and *ΔPIN1::PIN1-GFP* pre-fertilization inflorescences. Error bars indicate the s.e.m. based on three biological replicates. **P*<0.05; ****P*<0.001 (Student's *t*-test; *n*=3). Data were normalized with respect to *ACT8-2* and *UBI10* mRNA levels. (C-F) Confocal microscope images of *PIN1::PIN1-GFP* (C,D) and *ΔPIN1::PIN1-GFP* (E,F) placenta cells and ovule primordia at stages 1-I (C,E) and 1-II (D,F). Scale bars: 10 µm. op, ovule primordia; p, placenta.

required to regulate the expression of *PIN1* in the pistil. The possibility of other CRF regulatory regions needs to be investigated as the lack of PCRE1 does not cause complete CK insensitivity. It is important to recall that in roots PIN1::PIN1-GFP expression is reduced by CKs and that $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ is completely CK insensitive (Šimášková et al., 2015), indicating that there might be a specific regulation of PIN1 expression depending on the developmental context.

PCRE1 is required for pistil development and ovule primordia formation

Introducing $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ in a wild-type A. thaliana does not lead to any abnormalities in pistil and ovule development (Fig. 3A-C). To examine the functional significance of the CRF regulatory regions in the PIN1 promoter (PCRE1), we introgressed ΔPIN1::PIN1-GFP into the pin1-5 mutant. pin1-5 is a hypomorphic mutant that has shorter pistils and develops an average of nine ovules per pistil (Bencivenga et al., 2012; Sohlberg et al., 2006). Confirmation of the presence of $\Delta PIN1$:: PIN1-GFP construct in the *pin1* mutant is shown in Fig. S4.

PIN1::PIN1-GFP completely rescued the pin1-5 mutant308phenotype whereas $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ was unable to rescue the309pistil growth phenotype of pin1-5 (Fig. 3A-C). The placenta length310of pin1-5 $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ remained the same as in pin1-5

(Fig. 3A,B). Placenta length in *pin1-5* $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ was similar to that of the *crf2/3/6* mutant (Fig. 3A,B). This suggests that PCRE1-mediated transcriptional regulation of *PIN1* is necessary for correct elongation of the pistil. Furthermore, ovule density in *pin1-5* $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ (0.0902±0.008 ovules/µm placenta) was similar to that of *crf2/3/6* (0.0926±0.004 ovules/µm placenta). By contrast, $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ did rescue the ovule number phenotype of *pin1-5*, raising the ovule count of *pin1-5* from an average of 8.5±1.7 to 28.67±1.84 (Fig. 3C).

These results suggest that PCRE1-mediated control of PIN1 expression is required for determining the correct size of the pistils, whereas it seems to be less relevant for ovule formation. However, it should be taken into account that transcription of *pin1-5* (which encodes a partially functional protein) could be induced by CKs. For this reason, we also analysed the phenotype of $\Delta PIN1::PIN1$ -GFP in pin1-201 mutant. This mutant fails to develop any lateral organs due to a loss-of-function mutation (Fig. S5). Pistil length in $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ pin1-201 is similar to that in $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-$ GFP pin1-5 and crf2/3/6 (Fig. 2A,B). Regarding the ovule number, $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ pin1-201 showed a reduction in comparison with $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ pin1-5 and crf2/3/6 (Fig. 3C). The reduction in ovule number highlighted in $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ pin1-201 compared with $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ pin1-5 might be explained by residual function of the PIN1-5 mutant protein. The

AQ7

RESEARCH REPORT



AQ8 Fig. 3. CRF regulate PIN1 expression required for pistil growth. (A) DIC images of pistils with visible lines of ovules in Ler and Col-0 genetic backgrounds. Scale bars: 50 µm. (B,C) Placenta length (B) and ovule number (C) in xxxxxxxxxx. (D) Ovule number measurements in untreated and 1 mM BAP-treated ΔPIN1::PIN1-GFP pin1-5 and ΔPIN1::PIN1-GFP pin1-201 inflorescences 48 h after treatment. Percentage increment is also reported in the graphs. Mean±s.e.m is shown. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001 (Student's t-test; n=20).

analysis of $\Delta PIN1::PIN1-GFP$ in both pin1-5 and pin1-201 allelic backgrounds confirmed that pistil elongation is affected when *PIN1* expression is uncoupled from regulation of CRFs. Finally, we also tested the capacity of $\Delta PIN1::PIN1$ -GFP pin1-5 and $\Delta PIN1::PIN1$ -GFP pin1-201 to respond to CK by checking the number of ovules after BAP treatment. Interestingly, both lines are still able to respond to CK showing an increase in the number of ovules per pistil of 27% and 21%, respectively (Fig. 3D). This result is in agreement with the fact that *PIN1-GFP* expression level increases in $\Delta PIN1$.:: PIN1-GFP after BAP treatment (Fig. 2B; Fig. S3). confirming the importance of CRF-mediated PIN1 expression for pistil elongation.

The reduction in pistil size observed in *crf* mutants could be due to defective cell division or cell expansion processes or a combination of both. Auxin plays a prominent role in controlling cell expansion. For example, elongation of the primary root and the hypocotyl require specific auxin transport to determine their expansive growth rates (Rayle and Cleland, 1992; Spartz et al., 2012). Interestingly, a reduction in pistil and anther elongation has been reported for *tir1 afb1 afb2 afb3*, a quadruple mutant with compromised auxin signalling (Cecchetti et al., 2008). Our understanding of the influence of auxin on the cell cycle is still fragmentary, but primary evidence indicates that auxin acts on several targets involved in the control of cell cycle (Perrot-Rechenmann, 2010). On the other side, the ability of CKs to promote cell division, in particular through their action on D-type cyclins, was described several years ago (Dewitte et al., 2007; Riou-Khamlichi et al., 1999), and it has been recently been shown that the transcript levels of several cell cycle-related genes were decreased in roots of the crf1,3,5,6 quadruple mutant (Raines et al., 2016).

In summary, we propose that *PIN1* expression mediated by CRFs is required for the determination of pistil size. The greater number of ovule primordia in CK-treated pistils correlates with the increased pistil size. Therefore, it is likely that when enough space occurs between two ovules, CRFs and/or other CKs-dependent factors induce *PIN1* expression to create a new auxin maximum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and treatments

Arabidopsis wild-type and mutant plants were grown at 22°C under longday conditions (16 h light, 8 h dark) in a greenhouse. crf2-2 seeds (Schlereth et al., 2010) were provided by Dolf Weijers (xxx location/affiliation? xxx).

PIN1::PIN1-GFP (Benková et al., 2003), pin1-5 mutant (Bencivenga et al., 497 2012; Sohlberg et al., 2006), pin1-201 (Furutani et al., 2004), crf3-1, crf6-498 S2, crf3-1 crf6-S2, crf2-2 crf3-1 crf6-S2, ΔPIN1::PIN1-GFP, ΔPIN1-GFP 499 pin1-201 and PIN1::PIN1-GFP pin1-201 (Šimášková et al., 2015) lines 500 have been described previously. BAP treatment was performed on 501 inflorescences as detailed by Bencivenga et al. (2012). 502

503 Quantitative real-time qPCR analysis

504 Total RNA was extracted from inflorescences at pre-fertilization stages 505 using the Macherey-Nagel Nucleospin RNA Plant Kit and then reverse 506 transcribed using the Promega ImProm-II RT System. Gene expression 507 analysis was performed using the Bio-Rad iQ5 Multicolor RT-PCR Detection System with the GeneSpin SYBR Green PCR Master Mix. 508 ACTIN 2-8 and UBIQUITIN 10 were used as reference genes for 509 normalization of transcript levels. RT-PCR primers used in this work 510 were: RT2017fw 5'-TGTTCCATGGCCAACACTTG-3' and RT2018rev 511 5'-AAGTCGTGCCGCTTCATATG-3' for GFP, RT509fw 5'-TGGTCCC-512 TCATTTCCTTCAA-3' and RT510rev 5'-GGCAAAGCTGCCTGGATA-513 AT-3' for PIN1, RT147fw 5'-CTGTTCACGGAACCCAATTC-3' and 514 RT148rev 5'-GGAAAAAGGTCTGACCGACA-3 for UBI10, and 515 RT861fw 5'-CTCAGGTATTGCAGA CCGTATGAG-3' and RT862rev 516 5'-CTGGACCTGCTTCATCATACTCTG-3' rev for ACT2-8.

518 Counting ovule number by differential interference contrast 519 (DIC) microscopy

Inflorescences were fixed with ethanol/acetic acid (9:1) overnight, 520 rehydrated with 90% and 70% ethanol and cleared in a chloral hydrate/ 521 glycerol/water solution (8 g: 1 ml: 3 ml) for at least 2 h before dissection 522 under a stereomicroscope. Pistils were observed using a Zeiss Axiophot D1 523 microscope equipped with DIC optics. Images were recorded using a Zeiss 524 Axiocam MRc5 camera with Axiovision software version 4.1. Only ovules 525 of pistils in which both carpels remained intact after slide preparation and 526 where all four rows of ovules were visible and distinguishable were counted. 527

528 **Confocal microscopy**

517

535

536

537

540

545

548

551

529 For confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM), fresh material was 530 collected, mounted in water and analysed immediately. CLSM analysis was 531 performed using a Leica TCS SPE microscope with a 488 nm argon laser line for excitation of GFP fluorescence. Emissions were detected between 532 505 and 580 nm. Images were collected in multi-channel mode and overlay 533 images were generated using Leica analysis software LAS AF 2.2.0. 534

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Andrew MacCabe and Edward Kiegle for editing the paper.

538 **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing or financial interests. 539

Author contributions

541 Designed the experiments: M.C., E.B., L.C.; Performed the experiments: M.C., S.M., 542 A.G., N.E.Q., M.A.M.; Analysed the data: M.C., E.B., L.C.; Contributed reagents/ 543 materials/analysis tools: E.B., L.C.; Wrote the paper: M.C., L.C. 544

Funding

M.C. was funded by a PhD fellowship from the Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca and from Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR) [MIUR-PRIN 2012]. L.C. is also supported by MIUR [MIUR-PRIN 2012].

AQ4 Data availability

550 *****

- 552 Supplementary information
- Supplementary information available online at 553
- http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.143545.supplemental
- 554

555 References 556

- Bartrina, I., Otto, E., Strnad, M., Werner, T. and Schmülling, T. (2011). Cytokinin 557 regulates the activity of reproductive meristems, flower organ size, ovule 558
 - formation, and thus seed yield in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 23, 69-80.

- Bencivenga, S., Simonini, S., Benková, E. and Colombo, L. (2012). The transcription factors BEL1 and SPL are required for cytokinin and auxin signaling during ovule development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 24, 2886-2897.
- Benková, E., Michniewicz, M., Sauer, M., Teichmann, T., Seifertová, D., Jürgens, G. and Friml, J. (2003). Local, efflux-dependent auxin gradients as a common module for plant organ formation. Cell 115, 591-602.
- Ceccato, L., Masiero, S., Sinha Roy, D., Bencivenga, S., Roig-Villanova, I., Ditengou, F. A., Palme, K., Simon, R. and Colombo, L. (2013). Maternal control of PIN1 is required for female gametophyte development in Arabidopsis. PLoS ONE 8, e66148.
- Cecchetti, V., Altamura, M. M., Falasca, G., Costantino, P. and Cardarelli, M. (2008). Auxin regulates Arabidopsis anther dehiscence, pollen maturation, and filament elongation Plant Cell 20 1760-1774
- Dello Ioio, R., Galinha, C., Fletcher, A. G., Grigg, S. P., Molnar, A., Willemsen, V., Scheres, B., Sabatini, S., Baulcombe, D., Maini, P. K. et al. (2012). A PHABULOSA/cytokinin feedback loop controls root growth in Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol 22 1699-1704
- Dewitte, W., Scofield, S., Alcasabas, A. A., Maughan, S. C., Menges, M., Braun, N., Collins, C., Nieuwland, J., Prinsen, E., Sundaresan, V. et al. (2007). Arabidopsis CYCD3 D-type cyclins link cell proliferation and endocycles and are rate-limiting for cytokinin responses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 14537-14542.
- Furutani, M., Vernoux, T., Traas, J., Kato, T., Tasaka, M. and Aida, M. (2004). PIN-FORMED1 and PINOID regulate boundary formation and cotyledon development in Arabidopsis embryogenesis. Development 131, 5021-5030.
- Galbiati, F., Sinha Roy, D., Simonini, S., Cucinotta, M., Ceccato, L., Cuesta, C., Simaskova, M., Benková, E., Kamiuchi, Y., Aida, M. et al. (2013). An integrative model of the control of ovule primordia formation. Plant J. 76, 446-455.
- Kinoshita-Tsujimura, K. and Kakimoto, T. (2011). Cytokinin receptors in sporophytes are essential for male and female functions in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Signal, Behav, 6, 66-71.
- Marhavý, P., Bielach, A., Abas, L., Abuzeineh, A., Duclercq, J., Tanaka, H., Pařezová, M., Petrášek, J., Friml, J., Kleine-Vehn, J. et al. (2011). Cytokinin Modulates Endocytic Trafficking of PIN1 Auxin Efflux Carrier to Control Plant Organogenesis. Dev. Cell 21, 796-804.
- Nemhauser, J. L., Feldman, L. J. and Zambryski, P. C. (2000). Auxin and ETTIN in Arabidopsis gynoecium morphogenesis. Development 127, 3877-3888.
- Nole-Wilson, S., Azhakanandam, S. and Franks, R. G. (2010). Polar auxin transport together with aintegumenta and revoluta coordinate early Arabidopsis gynoecium development. Dev. Biol. 346, 181-195.
- Perrot-Rechenmann, C. (2010). Cellular responses to auxin: division versus expansion. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2, a001446-a001446.
- Raines, T., Shanks, C., Cheng, C.-Y., McPherson, D., Argueso, C. T., Kim, H. J., Franco-Zorrilla, J. M., López-Vidriero, I., Solano, R., Vaňková, R. et al. (2016). The cytokinin response factors modulate root and shoot growth and promote leaf senescence in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 85, 134-147.
- Rashotte, A. M., Mason, M. G., Hutchison, C. E., Ferreira, F. J., Schaller, G. E. and Kieber, J. J. (2006). A subset of Arabidopsis AP2 transcription factors mediates cytokinin responses in concert with a two-component pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 11081-11085.
- Rayle, D. L. and Cleland, R. E. (1992). The acid growth theory of auxin-induced cell elongation is alive and well. Plant Physiol. 99, 1271-1274.
- Reyes-Olalde, J. I., Zuñiga-Mayo, V. M., Chávez Montes, R. A., Marsch-Martínez, N. and de Folter, S. (2013). Inside the gynoecium: at the carpel margin. Trends Plant Sci. 18, 644-655.
- Riefler, M., Novak, O., Strnad, M. and Schmu, T. (2006). Arabidopsis cytokinin receptor mutants reveal functions in shoot growth, leaf senescence, seed size, germination, root development, and cytokinin metabolism, Plant Cell 18, 40-54.
- Riou-Khamlichi, C., Huntley, R., Jacqmard, A. and Murray, J. A. H. (1999). Cytokinin activation of Arabidopsis cell division through a D-type cyclin. Science 283, 1541-1544.

Roeder, A. H. K. and Yanofsky, M. F. (2006). Fruit development in Arabidopsis.

- Ruzicka, K., Simásková, M., Duclercq, J., Petrásek, J., Zazímalová, E., Simon, S., Friml, J., Van Montagu, M. C. E. and Benková, E. (2009). Cytokinin regulates root meristem activity via modulation of the polar auxin transport. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA 106 4284-4289
- Schlereth, A., Möller, B., Liu, W., Kientz, M., Flipse, J., Rademacher, E. H., Schmid, M., Jürgens, G. and Weijers, D. (2010). MONOPTEROS controls embryonic root initiation by regulating a mobile transcription factor. Nature 464, 913-916
- Schneitz, K., Hulskamp, M. and Pruitt, R. E. (1995). Wild-type ovule development in Arabidopsis thaliana: a light microscope study of cleared whole-mount tissue. Plant J. 7, 731-749.
- Šimášková, M., O'Brien, J. A., Khan, M., Van Noorden, G., Ötvös, K., Vieten, A., De Clercq, I., Van Haperen, J. M. A., Cuesta, C., Hoyerová, K. et al. (2015). Cytokinin response factors regulate PIN-FORMED auxin transporters. Nat. Commun. 6, 8717.
- Sohlberg, J. J., Myrenås, M., Kuusk, S., Lagercrantz, U., Kowalczyk, M., Sandberg, G. and Sundberg, E. (2006). STY1 regulates auxin homeostasis and

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

the Meristematic Nature of Arabidopsis Gynoecial Medial Domain. Plant Physiol.

Werner, T., Motyka, V., Laucou, V., Smets, R., Van Onckelen, H. and

the regulation of shoot and root meristem activity. Plant Cell 15, 2532-2550.

Zúñiga-Mayo, V. M., Reyes-Olalde, J. I., Marsch-Martinez, N. and de Folter, S.

Schmülling, T. (2003). Cytokinin-deficient transgenic Arabidopsis plants show

multiple developmental alterations indicating opposite functions of cytokinins in

(2014). Cytokinin treatments affect the apical-basal patterning of the Arabidopsis

affects apical-basal patterning of the Arabidopsis gynoecium. Plant J. 47, 112-123.

- Spartz, A. K., Lee, S. H., Wenger, J. P., Gonzalez, N., Itoh, H., Inzé, D., Peer, W. A., Murphy, A. S., Overvoorde, P. J. and Gray, W. M. (2012). The SAUR19 subfamily of SMALL AUXIN UP RNA genes promote cell expansion. Plant J. 70, 978-990
- Villarino, G. H., Hu, Q., Manrique, S., Flores-Vergara, M., Sehra, B., Robles, L., Brumos, J., Stepanova, A. N., Colombo, L., Sundberg, E. et al. (2016).

Funding details

S.No.

gynoecium and resemble the effects of polar auxin transport inhibition. Front. Transcriptomic Signature of the SHATTERPROOF2 Expression Domain Reveals Plant Sci. 5, 191. Funder name **Funder ID Grant ID** Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100002954 MIUR-PRIN 2012 Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100003407 MIUR-PRIN 2012

42-61