

FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 92, 2016, fiw003

doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiw003 Advance Access Publication Date: 5 January 2016 Research Article

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Virome-associated antibiotic-resistance genes in an experimental aquaculture facility

Stefano Colombo¹, Stefania Arioli¹, Simone Guglielmetti¹, Fernando Lunelli² and Diego Mora^{1,*}

¹Department of Food, Nutritional, and Environmental Sciences, University of Milan, 20133 Milan, Italy and ²Fondazione Edmund Mach, Dipartimento Filiere Agroalimentari, Unità Acquacoltura e Idrobiologia, San Michele all'Adige, 38010 Trento, Italy

*Corresponding author: Department of Food, Nutritional, and Environmental Sciences, University of Milan, Via Celoria 2, 20133 Milan, Italy. Tel: +39-0250319133; Fax: +39-0250319238; E-mail: diego.mora@unimi.it

One sentence summary: Aquaculture-associated ARGs are mobilized, together with other bacterial genes, from bacteria to phages or vice versa even in the absence of antibiotic treatment.

Editor: Pascal Simonet

ABSTRACT

We report the comprehensive characterization of viral and microbial communities within an aquaculture wastewater sample, by a shotgun sequencing and 16S rRNA gene profiling metagenomic approach. *Caudovirales* had the largest representation within the sample, with over 50% of the total taxonomic abundance, whereas approximately 30% of the total open reading frames (ORFs) identified were from eukaryotic viruses (*Mimiviridae* and *Phycodnaviridae*). Antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) within the virome accounted for 0.85% of the total viral ORFs and showed a similar distribution both in virome and in microbiome. Among the ARGs, those encoding proteins involved in the modulation of antibiotic efflux pumps were the most abundant. Interestingly, the taxonomy of the bacterial ORFs identified in the viral metagenome did not reflect the microbial taxonomy as deduced by 16S rRNA gene profiling and shotgun metagenomic analysis. A limited number of ARGs appeared to be mobilized from bacteria to phages or *vice versa*, together with other bacterial genes encoding products involved in general metabolic functions, even in the absence of any antibiotic treatment within the aquaculture plant. Thus, these results confirm the presence of a complex phage–bacterial network in the aquaculture environment.

Keywords: virome; microbiome; aquaculture; antibiotic resistance genes; horizontal gene transfer

INTRODUCTION

According to recently released data (FAO - Fisheries and Aquaculture Department), world aquacultural production of fish for consumption has exceeded 60 million tons in 2011, with an increase of 6.2% over the previous year (FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department 2013). This large growth has been accompanied by an increased usage of a wide range of antibiotics (Armstrong, Hargrave and Haya 2005). In aquaculture, the main use for antibiotics is the prevention and treatment of bacterial infections in fish; the prophylactic use of antibiotics is a common practice as well. The necessity of antibiotic use in aquaculture is a consequence of lowered host defenses associated with high-density breeding under suboptimal hygienic conditions (Grave *et al.* 1999; Defoirdt *et al.* 2007; Cabello *et al.* 2013). Horizontal gene transfer among bacteria occurs by one of the three following mechanisms: conjugation, free DNA transformation or transduction through bacteriophages. Despite the potential importance of bacteriophages in transferring resistance genes

Received: 14 August 2015; Accepted: 29 December 2015

[©] FEMS 2016. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

from the environment to human and animal microbiomes, studies on this topic are limited (Modi et al. 2013). With respect to the virome associated with freshwater environments, studies only describe the taxonomic diversity and composition (López-Bueno et al. 2009; Rosario et al. 2009; Roux et al. 2011; Fancello et al. 2012; Tseng et al. 2013) or the viral and microbial community dynamics (Rodriguez-Brito et al. 2010).

In this work, we report a comprehensive characterization of viral and microbial communities in an experimental aquaculture sample using a metagenomics approach. The contemporary study of both communities resulted in the identification of different genes that are mobilized in the virome, with particular attention paid to antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs).

VIRAL TAXONOMIC CHARACTERIZATION

A water sample (20 L) was collected at the Edmund Mach Foundation - Technology Transfer Center (San Michele all'Adige, TN, Italy). The sample was collected from the wastewater of a fish breeding tank. Groundwater was initially pumped into a degasser tower for the removal of excess carbon dioxide prior to its use in the fish tanks. Tanks contained approximately 7 kg m⁻³ of salmonid (the fish density in a commercial aquaculture is close to 25 kg m⁻³) with a water flow of approximately 83 m³ h⁻¹. Antibiotic treatments had not been applied. The tank was used for the breeding of salmonids such as Salmo carpio, Salmo trutta marmoratus and Salvelinus alpinus. Water was treated to isolate virus-like particles (VLPs), from which DNA was extracted. The complete procedure is described in the Supplementary Materials and methods section. Metagenomic shotgun sequencing of viral DNA was determined using an Ion Torrent PGM platform (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The MIRA program was used for de novo assembly of contigs, and ORFs were predicted by PRODIGAL (Supplementary Materials and methods).

According to several other studies (Fancello et al. 2012; Roux et al. 2012; Zablocki et al. 2014), the majority of the identified ORFs (accession number SAMEA3334740) originated from bacteria (86%) whereas those from viruses were the second most represented (13%). Thirteen families were identified (Supplementary Table S1), and prokaryotic viruses were the most abundant in the sample. Caudovirales accounted for more than 50% of the total taxonomic abundance. Myoviridae and Siphoviridae were the most represented families with 28% and 18% of the total ORFs, respectively. Analysis revealed the presence of viruses whose hosts include amoebae and algae; Mimiviridae and Phycodnaviridae were the main representatives of this group, at 13-15% of the total. Furthermore, the largest variety of phages identified interacts with Proteobacteria, which is consistent with the abundance of this phylum in the microbiome (Supplementary Fig. S1). The two main families of Proteobacteria represented in the water sample, Sphingomonadaceae and Comamonadaceae, and their related genera are typical constituents of freshwater environments, because bacterioplankton are opportunistic pathogens (Kallman et al. 2006; Kilic et al. 2007; Lin et al. 2010; Vaz-Moreira, Nunes and Manaia 2011; Chen et al. 2013). However, the most abundant ORFs identified in the virome were best matched with phages that infect Bacillus, Synechococcus and Mycobacterium, bacterial genera that have not been identified in the microbiome, thus suggesting that these genera were probably under-represented in the water sample because of a phage infection that resulted in a lytic cycle. In this context, the sharp reduction or local extinction of microbial taxa by viruses is a phenomenon that would be expected according to the 'Kill-the-Winner' dynamics hypothesis (Thingstad 2000). This dynamic model postulates a repetitive cycle in which an increase in prey population leads to an increase in the predator population that in turn decreases the prey population, thus causing its own subsequent decline.

DISTRIBUTION AND TAXONOMY OF ARGS IN THE VIROME AND MICROBIOME

Microbial cells were isolated to extract their DNA (Supplementary Materials and methods). 16S rRNA gene profiling (accession number SAMEA3333506) and metagenomics shotgun analvsis (accession number SAMEA3334741) were performed on this sample. The ORFs obtained from shotgun metagenomic sequencing were analysed using the CARD database. The total number of ARGs identified was 950 (4.13% of the total ORFs identified with NCBI) in the microbiome and 214 (2.64%) in the virome. The distribution of the antibiotic resistance gene (ARG) identified in the two metagenomes (Supplementary Fig. S2) showed that the most abundant genes were those encoding proteins involved in the modulation of antibiotic efflux pumps (CARD nomenclature), with values ranging from 16 to 19% of the total identified ARGs. Among the different ARG classes, antibiotic efflux pumps had the most general function, acting on different target molecules and having a cell detoxifying activity (Pao, Paulsen and Saier 1998). Macrolide-resistance genes were the second most abundant group and included erythromycin, telithromycin and clarithromycin resistance genes. With few exceptions, the distribution of ARGs was similar between microbial and viral metagenomes. Glycopeptide efflux pump and lincosamide ARGs had higher values in the virome than in the microbiome (Supplementary Fig. S2), whereas gene modulating antibiotic efflux pumps and fluoroquinolone resistance genes were the most abundant in the microbiome. For each ARG identified, the taxonomy of the respective ORF was assigned based on the results obtained from the BLAST comparison with the NCBI refseq database. The taxonomy of the ARGs in the microbiome (Fig. 1) showed the presence of three main families (Comamonadaceae 26-37%, Sphingomonadaceae 18-24% and Sinobacteraceae 14-21%) equally distributed among the different drug classes, and a large number (15-26%) of the ARGs were shared among different microbial families, accounting for an amount lower than 4%. The virome analysis revealed that ARGs within this metagenome were mainly distributed between Flavobacteriaceae (38-53%) and Methylophilaceae (19-33%) families and that other bacterial families (7-13%) had a relative abundance below the 5% threshold (Fig. 1). None of the families present in both the virome and the microbiome, accounted for a relative abundance higher than 5%. From a taxonomic point of view, it is interesting to note that the microbial ORFs identified in the virome did not reflect the microbiome taxonomy, as determined by 16S rRNA gene profiling (Supplementary Fig. S3) or shotgun metagenomic analysis (Fig. 1), suggesting that microbial genes mobilized in the genome of viruses could be considered to be remnants of past recombination events rather than a picture of the current microbial diversity.

THE VIROME AND MICROBIOME SHARE ARGS

In order to identify possible gene mobilization events, ORFs located both in the microbiome and in the virome were identified (Supplementary Materials and methods). A total of 213 different ORFs were shared between the two metagenomes, and




Figure 1. Comparison of the antibiotic resistance-related ORF distribution among viral (A) and microbial (B) metagenomes. ARGs have been divided into six different drug classes: RNA synthesis inhibitors, protein synthesis inhibitors, DNA synthesis inhibitors, cell wall disruption and synthesis inhibitors, antibiotic efflux modulators, and unassigned. Relative abundance (%) of each drug class was calculate with respect to the total of the classes. The taxonomic distribution at family level has been assigned to each drug class: data were expressed as relative abundance (%) with respect to the single drug class.

most of them were related to microbial metabolism. With respect to the ARGs identified in the virome, 4% were shared with the microbiome and had an amino acid sequence identity higher than 95%. Half of the identified ORFs encode genes modulating the antibiotic efflux (i.e. transcriptional regulator); ORFs that encode glycopeptide, tetracycline and β -lactam resistance genes were also identified. Among these genes, one was classified as a Sphingopyxis alaskensis β -lactamase encoding gene, which was putatively mobilized together with four other genes including a pseudogene coding for a β -lactamase of Sphingomonas sp. (Table 1). The other five genes shared between the virome and the microbiome are putatively involved in tetracycline and glycopeptide resistance and antibiotic efflux mechanisms. In all cases, shared ARGs were not transferred alone but with other genes hosted by the same contig (Table 1). Our data indicate that ARGs are present in the virome and some of these ARGs are mobilized from bacteria to phages or vice versa. These data suggest that ARGs may be mobilized even in the absence of selective pressure, i.e. in the absence of antibiotic treatment in aquaculture. Moreover, we showed that ARGs were mobilized together with other bacterial genes encoding products involved in more general metabolic functions, thus confirming the presence of a complex phage-bacterial network in the aquaculture environment.

ARGS PRESENT IN PUBLICLY AVAILABLE VIROMES

In order to compare our data with publicly available data, we calculated the relative amount of ARGs in the 17 viromes tested. Our sample showed a relative ARG abundance of 0.85%, the second highest value after that of El Barbera lake (Fancello et al. 2012). Interestingly, the relative amount of ARGs did not correlate with the presence of anthropic activities geographically located near the tested aquatic environments, because the highest ARG abundance was found in the El Barbera sample, which was collected from the Mauritanian desert. The presence of ARGs in an uncontaminated wild environment has been reported previously. Several studies have identified ARGs in different ecosystems, including soil and permafrost (D'Costa et al. 2006; Hallen et al. 2010), the human gut (Hu et al. 2013), and the microbiome of members of an isolated Yanomami Amerindian village (Clemente et al. 2015). Therefore, the diversity, taxonomic distribution and ecological role of antibiotic resistant genes in the environment are still far from being fully understood. Moreover, it is worth noting that the presence of ARGs in metagenomes does not directly represent a risk for human health, and a proper analysis of such risks should be carried out (Martinez, Coque and Baquero 2015).

• Coding function* (%) Mobilized ORFs hosted in the same contig Virone p-Lactamase (class C family) 100 p-Lactam resistant gene partial 64124 p-Lactamase (class C family) 100 p-Lactam resistant gene partial 64124 p-Lactamase (class C family) 100 p-Lactam resistant gene partial 64124 Proprint (class (Sphingorysis daskensis)) Perpoint (sphingorysis daskensis) 64124 Transcriptional regulator, subgroup of AraC 100 prosphingobium sp) 64124 "Transcriptional regulator (repressor function) 100 Cyclobexanone monocxygenase 64122 "Transcriptional regulat	ARGs shared hetween viral		Iden titv ^b		CO	contig no.
<i>p</i> :Lactamase (class C family) 100 <i>p</i> -Lactam resistant gene partial c4174 <i>p</i> :Lactamase (class C family) (p) <i>p</i> -Lactam resistant gene partial c4174 <i>P</i> :Protein (spinioponous sp.) Hypothetical protein (spinioponous sp.) (p) c4174 <i>P</i> :Protein (spinioponous sp.) Peptidase (phinoponous sp.) c4135 <i>Transcriptional regulator subgroup of Arac</i> 100 protein (spinioponous sp.) c4135 <i>Transcriptional regulator subgroup of Arac</i> 100 protein (spinioponous sp.) c4132 <i>Transcriptional regulator (represor function)</i> , 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase c4132 <i>Transcriptional regulator (represor function)</i> , 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase c4132 <i>Tanscriptional regulator (represor function)</i> , 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase c4132 <i>Tanscriptional regulator (represor function)</i> , 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase c4132 <i>Tanscriptional regulator (represor function)</i> , 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase c4132 <i>Tanscriptional regulator (represor function)</i> , 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase c4132 <i>Tanscriptional regulator (represor function)</i> , 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase c4132 <i>Tanscriptional regulator (represor function)</i> , 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase	and microbial metagenome	Coding function ^a	1401111) (%)	Mobilized ORFs hosted in the same contig	Virome	Microbiome
Tanscriptional regulator, subgroup of AraC 100 Integrate (Norosphingobium sp.) Sarcosine transcriptional regulators having an N-terminal Type 1 glutamine amidotransferase C4336 Type 1 glutamine amidotransferase (Arbac) 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase C4172 Transcriptional regulator (represor function), 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase C4172 Transcriptional regulator (represor function), 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase C4172 TetR family 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase C4172 TetR family 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase C4172 TetR family 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase C4172 AAA+ (Arbases Associated with a wide variety 100 Cyclohexanone monoxygenase C4172 AAA+ (ArPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Cyclohexanone (Pointorysis helebyungensis) C4235 AAA+ (ArPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Cramitine flat/in-containing C4235 MAA+ (ArPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Cramitine flat/in-containing C4235 MAA+ (ArPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Cramitine flat/in-containing C4235 MAA+ (ArPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Cramitine flat/in-containing C4235 MAA+ (ArPases C (Histidine kinase-like ArPases) <	β-Lactam resistant gene (Sphingopyxis alaskensis)	eta-Lactamase (class C family)	100	β-Lactam resistant gene partial (Sphingomonas sp.) Hypothetical protein (Sphingomonas sp.) Peptidase (Sphingopysis alaskensis) membrane motein (Sahingonyvis alaskensis)	c4174	c208
Transcriptional regulator (represor function), 100 Cyclohexanone monooxygenase C4172 TetR family (Breundimonas subvibrioides) (Breundimonas subvibrioides) Carnithe dehydratase/bile TetR family (Breundimonas subvibrioides) (Carnishi lum) (Breundimonas subvibrioides) TetR family (Anthe denpendent receptor (Breundimonas subvibrioides) (Carnishi lum) (Anthe denpendent receptor (Breundimonas subvibrioides) AAA+ (ATPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Cyclohexanone monooxygenase C4135 AAA+ (ATPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Carnasi flavin-containing C4235 AAA+ (ATPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Carnasi flavin-containing C4235 AAA+ (ATPases Associated with a wide variety 100 Carnasi flavin-containing C4235 AAA+ (ATPases C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 100 Carnasi flavin-containing C4235 Back Yungensis) HATPase C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 100 Carnasi flavin-containing C4235 Back Yungensis) HATPase C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 100 Carnasi flavin-containing C4235 HATPase C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 100 Carnasi flavin-containing	Tetracycline resistant gene (Caulobacter sp.)	Transcriptional regulator, subgroup of AraC transcriptional regulators having an N-terminal Type 1 glutamine amidotransferase (GATase1)-like domain	100	Integrase (Novosphingobium sp.) Sarcosine oxidase subunit β (Novosphingobium sp.)	c4836	C92
AAA+ (ATPases Associated with a wide variety of cellular Activities) 100 GTPase HfIX (5phingopyxis baekryungensis) c4235 of cellular Activities) not cellular Activities) c4235 c4235 HATPase_C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 100 GTPase AAA (5phingopyxis baekryungensis) c73 tic REC (che Y-homologous signal receiver domain) 97 - c73 HATPase_C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 97 - c73	Gene modulating antibiotic efflux (Oxalobacteraceae bacterium IMCC9480)	Transcriptional regulator (repressor function), TetR family	100	Cyclohexanone monooxygenase (Brevundimonas subvibrioides Acyl-CoA ligase (Brevundimonas subvibrioides) TonB-denpendent receptor (Brevundimonas subvibrioides) L-Carnitine dehydratase/bile acid-inducible protein F (Caenispirillum salinarum) a/β-Hydrolase (Deinococcus phoenicis) Putative flavin-containing monooxygenase (Rhodooccus uratislaviensis NBRC 100605)	c4172	c321
HATPase C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 100 ATPase AAA (Sphingopyxis baekryungensis) tic REC (che Y-homologous signal receiver domain) 97 — HATPase C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 98 —	Glycopeptide resistance gene (Sphingopyxis baekryungensis)	AAA+ (ATPases Associated with a wide variety of cellular Activities)	100	GTPase HflX (Sphingopyxis baekryungensis) RNA-binding protein Hfq (Sphingopyxis baekryungensis)	c4235	c143
otic REC (che Y-homologous signal receiver domain) 97 — c73 HATPase_C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases) 98 — 98 —	Glycopeptide resistance gene (Sphingobium baderi)	HATPase C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases)	100	ATPase AAA (Sphingopyxis baekryungensis)		
98 –	Gene modulating antibiotic efflux (Limnohabitans sp. Rim28)	REC (cheY-homologous signal receiver domain) HATPase_C (Histidine kinase-like ATPases)	97	I	c73	c713
			98	1		

Table 1. ARGs shared between viral and microbial metagenomes.

^aFunction assigned by NCBI conserved domains database. ^bAmino acidic identity between the ORFs in the virome and the ortholog in the microbiome.

In conclusion, this study addresses, for the first time, a complete description of the microbiome and virome in an aquaculture plant sample, relaying information on the presence of antibiotic resistance genes and their mobilization via transduction mechanisms.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at FEMSEC online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All bioinformatics analyses were performed using the facilities of CINECA SCAI (SuperComputing Applications and Innovation) (CINECA, Bologna, Italy) as part of the ISCRA VArFaWtr project.

Conflict of interest. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Armstrong SM, Hargrave BT, Haya K. Antibiotic use in finfish aquaculture: modes of action, environmental fate, and microbial resistance. *Handb Environ Chem* 2005;5M: 341–57.
- Cabello FC, Godfrey HP, Tomova A et al. Antimicrobial use in aquaculture re-examined: Its relevance to antimicrobial resistance and to animal and human health. Environ Microbiol 2013;15:1917–42.
- Chen H, Jogler M, Rohde M et al. Sphingobium limneticum sp. nov. and Sphingobium boeckii sp. nov., two freshwater planktonic members of the family Sphingomonadaceae, and reclassification of Sphingomonas suberifaciens as Sphingobium suberifaciens comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2013;63:735–43.
- Chevreux B, Wetter T, Suhai S. Genome sequence assembly using trace signals and additional sequence information. In: *Computer Science and Biology: Proceedings of the German Conference on Bioinformatics (GCB).* 1999, 45–56.
- Clemente JC, Pehrsson EC, Blaser MJ et al. The microbiome of uncontacted Amerindians. Sci Adv 2015;e1500183.
- D'Costa VM, McGrann KM, Hughes DW et al. Sampling the antibiotic resistome. *Science* 2006;**311**:374–7.
- Defoirdt T, Boon N, Sorgeloos P et al. Alternatives to antibiotics to control bacterial infections: luminescent vibriosis in aquaculture as an example. Trends Biotechnol 2007;25: 472–9.
- Fancello L, Trape S, Robert C et al. Viruses in the desert: a metagenomic survey of viral communities in four perennial ponds of the Mauritanian Sahara. *ISME J* 2012;7:359–69.
- FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. Global Aquaculture Production Statistics for the year 2011. 2013. ftp://ftp.fao. org/fi/news/GlobalAquacultureProductionStatistic2011.pdf (4 February 2016, date last accessed).

- Grave K, Lingaas E, Bangen M et al. Surveillance of the overall consumption of antibacterial drugs in humans, domestic animals and farmed fish in Norway in 1992 and 1996. J Antimicrob Chemother 1999;**43**:243–52.
- Hallen HK, Donato J, Wang HH et al. Call of the wild: antibiotic resistance genes in natural environments. Nat Rev Microbiol 2010;8:251–59.
- Hu Y, Yang X, Qin J et al. Metagenome-wide analysis of antibiotic resistance genes in a large cohort of human gut microbiota. Nat Commun 2013;4:2151.
- Kallman O, Lundberg C, Wretlind B et al. Gram negative bacteria from patients seeking medical advice in Stockholm after the tsunami catastrophe. Scand J Infect Dis 2006;**38**:448–50.
- Kilic A, Senses Z, Kurekci AE et al. Nosocomial outbreak of Sphingomonas paucimobilis bacteremia in a hemato/oncology unit. Jpn J Infect Dis 2007;60:394–6.
- Lin JN, Lai CH, Chen YH et al. Sphingomonas paucimobilis bacteremia in humans: 16 case reports and a literature review. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2010;43:35–42.
- López-Bueno A, Tamames J, Velázquez D et al. High diversity of the viral community from an Antarctic lake. Science 2009;326:858–61.
- Martinez JL, Coque TM, Baquero F. Waht is a resistant gene? Ranking risk in resistome. Nat Rev Microbiol 2015;13: 116–23.
- Modi SL, Lee HH, Spina CS et al. Antibiotic treatment expands the resistance reservoir and ecological network of the phage metagenome. Nature 2013;**499**:219–23.
- Pao SS, Paulsen IT, Saier MH. Major facilitator superfamily. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1998;62:1–34.
- Rodriguez-Brito B, Li L, Wegley L et al. Viral and microbial community dynamics in four aquatic environments. ISME J 2010;4:739–51.
- Rosario K, Nilsson C, Lim YW et al. Metagenomic analysis of viruses in reclaimed water. Environ Microbiol 2009;11:2806–20.
- Roux S, Enault F, Robin A et al. Assessing the diversity and specificity of two freshwater viral communities through metagenomics. PLoS One 2012;7:e33641.
- Roux S, Faubladier M, Mahul A et al. Metavir: A web server dedicated to virome analysis. Bioinformatics 2011;27:3074–75.
- Thingstad TF. Elements of a theory for the mechanisms controlling abundance, diversity, and biogeochemical role of lytic bacterial viruses in aquatic systems. *Limnol Oceanogr* 2000;**45**:1320–8.
- Tseng CH, Chiang PW, Shiah FK *et al.* Microbial and viral metagenomes of a subtropical freshwater reservoir subject to climatic disturbances. ISME J 2013;7:2374–86.
- Vaz-Moreira I, Nunes OC, Manaia CM. Diversity and antibiotic resistance patterns of Sphingomonadaceae isolates from drinking water. Appl Environ Microbiol 2011;77:5697–706.
- Zablocki O, Van Zyl L, Adriaenssens EM et al. High-level diversity of tailed phages, eukaryote-associated viruses, and virophage-like elements in the metaviromes of antarctic soils. Appl Environ Microb 2014;80:6888–97.