

1 **Intra and inter-day repeatability of peripheral arterial function: suitability and potential**
2 **limitations**

3

4 Cristian Del Bo¹, Valeria Deon¹, Marisa Porrini¹, Jonica Campolo², Marina Parolini² and Patrizia
5 Riso^{1,*}

6

7 ¹Università degli Studi di Milano, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences-
8 Division of Human Nutrition, Milan, Italy

9 ²CNR Institute of Clinical Physiology, CardioThoracic and Vascular Department, Niguarda Ca'
10 Granda Hospital, Milan, Italy

11 ***Corresponding author:** Prof. Patrizia Riso, PhD - DeFENS - Department of Food,
12 Environmental and Nutritional Sciences, Division of Human Nutrition - Università degli Studi di
13 Milano, via G. Celoria 2, 20133 Milano, Italy; **E-mail:** patrizia.riso@unimi.it; **Phone:** +39-02-
14 50316726; **Fax.:** +39-02-50316721

15 **Running title:** Intra and inter-day RHI repeatability

16

17 **ABSTRACT**

18 The present study aimed to investigate the inter- and intraday repeatability of reactive hyperemia
19 index (RHI) measured by Endo-PAT in healthy volunteers.

20 Interday RHI repeatability was tested in two consecutive days in a group of thirty-one male
21 subjects. **Intraday** repeatability was investigated at baseline and after 2 and 4 h in a group of sixteen
22 volunteers. Data were evaluated by analysis of variance. Bland–Altman plot, coefficient of variation
23 (CV), coefficient of repeatability (CR) and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) were measured.

24 While interday RHI repeatability was found to be reliable (CV: 6.0%; CR: 0.51; ICC: 0.77),
25 multiple evaluations within the same day significantly ($p < 0.001$) affected RHI (repeatability of the
26 measurement - CV: 18.8%; CR: 1.26; ICC: 0.48). In particular, a significant increase in RHI
27 occurred at 4 h compared to 2 h (+16.8%; $p < 0.05$) and to baseline (+30.1%; $p < 0.05$).

28 In conclusion, RHI showed good interday but poor intraday repeatability. Multiple evaluations
29 increased RHI especially in subjects with endothelial dysfunction who improved or reversed their
30 impairment. These results show the potential limitations of multiple Endo-PAT measurements
31 within the same day and the importance of standardizing the protocols before RHI evaluations.

32

33 **KEY WORDS:** peripheral arterial tonometry, reactive hyperemia index, augmentation index,
34 repeatability, healthy young male

35

36

37 **Abbreviations:**

38 AI, augmentation index; AI@75, augmentation index normalized for heart rate of 75 bpm;
39 ANOVA, Analysis of variance; CR, coefficient of repeatability; CV, coefficient of variation; DBP,
40 diastolic blood pressure; F-RHI; Framingham reactive hyperemia index; HR, heart rate; ICC,
41 intraclass correlation coefficient; LSD, Least Significant Difference; PAT, peripheral arterial tone;
42 RHI, reactive hyperemia index; SD, standard deviation; SEM, standard error of mean SBP; systolic
43 blood pressure.

44

45 INTRODUCTION

46 Endo-PAT is a novel non-invasive plethysmographic system developed to measure
47 peripheral arterial function at the level of the fingertips through an index of reactive hyperemia
48 (RHI). This index is a ratio of the post-to-pre occlusion PAT amplitude of the tested arm, divided
49 by the post-to-pre occlusion PAT amplitude of the control arm [1, 2]. Simultaneously with
50 endothelial function, Endo-PAT can also measure the peripheral augmentation index (AIx), which
51 is an established marker of arterial wave reflection [3]. Thus, PAT technology is particularly
52 interesting for the application in clinical research studies, since the measurement of peripheral
53 arterial function and arterial stiffness requires separate equipments. In addition, Endo-PAT has the
54 advantage of providing reliable and reproducible results; it is operator-independent and it records
55 systemic changes on the contra-lateral arm, allowing for an internal control system [4, 5]. Several
56 studies have found a significant correlation between peripheral arterial tonometry and flow-
57 mediated dilation [6, 7], which represents the most popular clinical method to assess endothelial
58 function by means of brachial artery ultrasound scanning [6, 7].

59 Endothelial nutrition is a new and innovative concept that involves the study of the role of
60 dietary compounds on endothelial function. Preventing the endothelium from becoming
61 dysfunctional by means of nutrients or extra-nutrients that modulate vascular tone and maintain
62 homeostasis of the endothelium, can be of great importance to human health. Endo-PAT has been
63 used to evaluate the effect on arterial function of both short- and long-term exposure to foods and
64 bioactive compounds, however the results are still conflicting [8-14]. This discordance may depend
65 on subject characteristics such as age, sex, dietary and life-style habits and physical activity, but
66 also on the experimental protocol used. A report of the International Brachial Artery Reactivity
67 Task Force **suggested** that multiple measurements performed within the day can affect vascular
68 function due to the stimulation of endothelial dependent mechanisms [6]. Multiple evaluations
69 could affect endothelial function and arterial stiffness measurements, which would have significant

70 implications for clinical practice and for trials involving PAT measurements. This information is
71 crucial since the effects of foods and/or bioactives in the modulation of vascular function could be
72 of lower magnitude when compared to drugs, so that the overall impact on endothelial function may
73 be masked or overestimated following multiple measurements. Therefore, the aim of the present
74 study was to evaluate the intra- and interday repeatability of arterial function measurements by
75 Endo-PAT in a group of healthy male volunteers. The evaluation of intra- and interday
76 measurement repeatability is pivotal for the interpretation of RHI values and their variations over
77 time, as well as for designing clinical trials and determining the appropriate sample size.

78

79 **Materials and Methods**

80 **Subjects recruitment**

81 A homogeneous group of 47 healthy, male volunteers was recruited from the student population of
82 the University of Milan. Subjects were selected according to the following criteria: 20-30 years of
83 age, not overweight, non-smokers, moderate physical activity (25-30 min per day of brisk walk or
84 jog) and moderate alcohol consumption (up to 10-14 drinks, expressed as red wine or beer, per
85 week). Subjects were selected based on an interview to evaluate their dietary habits and the use of a
86 food frequency questionnaire. Subjects declared no history of cardiovascular, coronary, diabetes,
87 hepatic, renal, or gastrointestinal diseases, dyslipidemia, anemia, chronic asthma, allergy, traumas
88 of the arms or hand, fingers, atopic dermatitis, thyroid disturbance, depression, anxiety, palpitations
89 and chronic backache. Moreover, subjects did not make use of supplements, drugs or medications
90 for at least one month before the beginning of the study.

91

92 **Experimental design**

93 The study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards established in the 2003
94 Declaration of Helsinki. All participants signed a written informed consent.

95 Subjects abstained from eating bioactive-rich foods for two days before the experimentation.
96 Specific attention was devoted to foods with potential vasoactive properties such as chocolate, soft
97 fruits (i.e. blueberries, strawberries), red or blue fruits, red wine, and green tea. Volunteers were
98 asked to limit coffee intake to three espresso shots per day, as well as caffeine-rich beverages (e.g.
99 energy drinks), to standardize their intake and reduce a factor affecting vascular function. The day
100 before the experiment and during the trial, breakfast, lunch and dinner were standardized. Breakfast
101 consisted of milk and biscuits, while lunch was composed of two sandwiches (one with cooked ham
102 and cheese and one with raw ham). For dinner, subjects could eat pasta or rice with butter and
103 cheese, a steak with potatoes, two slices of white bread and no more than one espresso coffee.
104 Dinner was eaten by 9.00 pm. No alcoholic beverages or soft drinks were permitted. Overall, the
105 meals were standardized in order to provide adequate energy/macronutrients intake, limiting
106 bioactives and taking into account Italian dietary habits. Moreover, all participants were asked to
107 refrain from physical activity from the day before the experiments.

108 Interday repeatability of RHI was tested in 31 subjects at the same time of the day in two
109 consecutive days. The day of the experiment, overnight-fasted subjects came to the laboratory of
110 the University at 7.00 a.m. Intraday repeatability of RHI was tested in 16 subjects. The
111 measurements were performed at 7.00 a.m. (time 0 h) after an overnight fast and at 2 and 4 h from
112 the consumption of a standard light breakfast composed of milk and biscuits (about 200 kcal). In
113 this protocol breakfast was added since a long fasting period could cause a stress condition in the
114 volunteers.

115 116 **Evaluation of peripheral arterial function**

117 Endothelial-dependent vasodilation in the small finger arteries was assessed by Endo-PAT2000
118 (Itamar Medical Ltd., Caesarea, Israel). The Endo-PAT equipment consists of two finger-mounted
119 probes, which **includes** a system of inflatable latex air-cushions within a rigid external case;
120 pulsatile volume changes of the fingertip are sensed by a pressure transducer, located at the end of

121 each probe, and transferred to a personal computer where the signal is band pass-filtered (0.3 to 30
122 Hz), amplified, displayed, and stored. For the evaluation, subjects were in the supine position with
123 both hands on the same level in a comfortable, thermo-neutral environment. Tests were performed
124 in a dark, noise-and climate-controlled (22-24°C) room. Arterial systolic and diastolic blood
125 pressure and heart rate frequency were measured before starting the test. A blood pressure cuff was
126 placed on one upper arm (study arm), while the contralateral arm served as a control (control arm).
127 After a 10-min equilibration period, the blood pressure cuff on the study arm was inflated to 60
128 mmHg above systolic pressure for 5 min. The cuff was then deflated to induce reactive hyperemia
129 (RH) while the signals from both PAT channels (Probe 1 and Probe 2) were recorded by a
130 computer. The technique provides values for the calculation of RHI and Framingham (F) RHI,
131 which give an indication of the endothelial vasodilator function [4, 15]. FRHI is an alternative
132 method of calculating RHI, developed within the Framingham Heart Study. FRHI is automatically
133 provided by the instrument and calculated by taking into account a different post-occlusion
134 hyperemia period (90 to 120 s) without baseline correction factor. Evidence from the Framingham
135 Heart Study suggested a strong correlation between FRHI and cardiovascular risk [15]. Low RHI
136 and FRHI scores indicate endothelial dysfunction. A RHI value of 1.67 provides a sensitivity of
137 82% and a specificity of 77% for diagnosing endothelial dysfunction [16]. The Endo-PAT device
138 also generates the digital augmentation index (AI), a measure of pulse wave reflection and a
139 surrogate marker for arterial stiffness. Augmentation index derived from digital pulse volume
140 waveforms and strongly correlated to aortic AI. Peripheral AI is calculated from the shape of the
141 pulse wave recorded by the probes during baseline [17-18]. Because digital AI is influenced in an
142 inverse and linear manner by heart rate, the AI was automatically normalized by considering a heart
143 rate of 75 bpm (AI@75).

144

145

146 **Statistical analysis**

147 Thirty-one subjects were enrolled for the inter-day RHI repeatability assuming a power of 80%, α
148 = 0.05, K measurements = 2 and width of the 95% confidence interval for $s_w = 0.25$. As regards the
149 intraday RHI repeatability, sixteen subjects were recruited assuming a power of 80%, $\alpha = 0.05$, K
150 measurements = 3 and width of the 95% confidence interval for $s_w = 0.25$. Within subjects standard
151 deviation s_w , of repeated measurements was used to estimate sample size.

152 One way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the data obtained
153 for intraday repeatability; post-hoc analysis of differences between paired data was assessed, when
154 appropriate, by the Least Significant Difference (LSD). Differences between interday
155 measurements were analyzed by paired Student t-test.

156 The agreement between paired (intraday and interday) measurements was assessed with the **Bland-**
157 **Altman method** in which the differences between two repeated measurements were plotted with
158 their mean [19]. In the plot, horizontal lines **were** drawn at the mean difference and the 95% limits
159 of agreement, defined as the mean difference ± 1.96 times the standard deviation of the differences.

160 **Coefficient of repeatability** (CR) was calculated, as suggested by Bland and Altman, multiplying
161 the standard deviation of the differences between the two measurements for 1.96 [19]. This value
162 provides an interval, within which 95% of test-retest measurement differences lie.

163 **Coefficient of variation** (CV) **was reported and** expressed as a percentage. **CV derives from the**
164 formula (average of individual standard deviations / average of individual means) x 100; a lower
165 CV **was** associated with higher repeatability.

166 Test-retest reliability was assessed by **intraclass correlation coefficient** (ICC). ICC, that measures
167 the extent of absolute agreement, is defined as the ratio of the between-subjects variance to the sum
168 of the pooled within-subject variance and the between-subjects variance and **it derives** from two-
169 way random effects ANOVA [20]. The ICCs range from 0 to 1 and are classified as follows: ICC
170 <0.75 poor agreement, 0.75-0.90 moderate agreement, and >0.90 high agreement [21].

171 A two tailed $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed
172 using SPSS for Windows, release 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Data are presented as mean values \pm
173 standard error of the mean (SEM).

174

175 **RESULTS**

176 **Characteristics of the subjects**

177 The anthropometric and clinical characteristics of the forty-seven subjects enrolled for the inter- and
178 intraday reproducibility study are reported in **Table 1**. Blood pressure and BMI were in the normal
179 range. Nineteen out of 47 subjects showed endothelial dysfunction ($RHI < 1.67$).

180

181 **Interday repeatability**

182 The interday repeatability of RHI, FRHI and AI@75 measured at day 1 and day 2 are reported in
183 **Figure 1 (A-C)**. The Bland–Altman graphs documenting the degree of concordance between the
184 pairs of measurements obtained on separate days for RHI, FRHI and AI@75 are shown in **Figure 2**
185 **(A-C)**. No significant difference between days has been observed for all the parameters under
186 study. CV, CR and ICC of RHI were 5.8%, 0.47 and 0.79, respectively. FRHI showed a CV of
187 21.1%, a CR of 0.39 and ICC of 0.79. AI@75 showed a good level of reliability (ICC: 0.88)
188 between days, but poor repeatability (CR: 1.74). In particular, Bland–Altman graph (**Figure 2C**)
189 displays a clear upward slope due to a high intra-individual variability of AI@75 between days.

190

191 **Intraday repeatability**

192 The intraday repeatability of RHI, FRHI and AI@75 are reported in **Figure 3 (A-C)**. Multiple
193 evaluations within the day significantly affected RHI ($p=0.001$), FRHI ($p<0.001$) and the
194 repeatability of the measurements. RHI significantly increased at 4 h compared to baseline
195 (+30.1%, $p<0.05$) and 2 h (+16.8%, $p<0.05$), while no significant effect was observed at 2 h with

196 respect to baseline (+11.2%; $p>0.05$). The improvement of arterial function at 4 h was particularly
197 evident in the group of subjects with endothelial dysfunction (RHI from 1.40 ± 0.08 to 2.05 ± 0.14 ;
198 $p<0.001$; $n=8$) compared to the group of subjects with normal endothelial function (RHI from 2.40
199 ± 0.57 to 2.63 ± 0.53 RHI; $p=0.063$; $n=8$). On the whole, RHI repeatability was high until 2 h (CV:
200 7.71%; CR: 0.56; ICC: 0.91) but low after 4 h (CV: 18.8%; CR: 1.26; ICC: 0.53).

201 FRHI significantly increased after 2 h (+233%; $p<0.01$) and 4 h (+337%; $p<0.001$) with respect to
202 baseline, and it was also high at 4 h (+76.5%; $p<0.001$) compared to 2 h. These results were also
203 confirmed by the low repeatability after 2 h (CV: 34.4%; CR: 0.52; ICC: 0.83) and 4 h (CV: 58.8%;
204 CR: 1.08; ICC: 0.42) compared to baseline.

205 Multiple measurements significantly affected arterial stiffness (AI@75; $p<0.01$). In particular, a
206 significant reduction was observed after 2 h compared to baseline (-25.3%; $p<0.01$) and 4 h (-
207 17.5%; $p<0.01$), while no significant difference was observed between baseline and 4 h. The test-
208 retest repeatability was low both at 2 h (CV:13%; CR:13.0; ICC: 0.60) and 4 h (CV:15%; CR:11.8;
209 ICC:0.73).

210 The Bland–Altman plots of the RHI, FRHI and AI@75 measurements (time interval: 0 h vs 2 h, and
211 0 h vs 4 h) are shown in **Figure 4 A-C**. On the whole, the graph plots indicate a clear upward **slope**
212 and a high intra-individual variability between measures for each variable at all time points.

213 **To exclude the contribution of breakfast in the modulation of RHI repeatability, a group of 8**
214 **volunteers repeated intraday measurement in a fasting condition (Figure 5 A-C). The results**
215 **showed that multiple evaluations within the day significantly affected RHI also in the fasting**
216 **condition. In particular, RHI significantly increased at 4 h compared to baseline (from 1.84 ± 0.34 to**
217 **2.27 ± 0.44 RHI; +23.4%; $p<0.01$) while no significant increase occurred after 2 h with respect to**
218 **baseline (from 1.84 ± 0.34 to 2.08 ± 0.38 RHI; $p>0.05$). RHI repeatability was high until 2 h (CV:**
219 **8.71%; CR: 0.62; ICC: 0.71) but low after 4 h (CV: 19.7%; CR: 1.33; ICC: 0.11).**

220 FRHI significantly increased after 4 h (from 0.49 ± 0.16 to 0.79 ± 0.14 FRHI +527%; $p<0.01$)
221 compared to baseline, while no difference was observed at 2 h ($p=0.06$), and between 2 and 4 h
222 ($p=0.34$). However, a low repeatability after 2 h (CV: 24.1%; CR: 0.63; ICC: 0.78) and 4 h (CV:
223 36.6%; CR: 0.91; ICC: 0.54) was observed compared to baseline.

224 Regarding arterial stiffness (AI@75), no significant difference occurred between test and retest
225 without breakfast at 2 h and 4 h compared to baseline ($p=0.52$). The test-retest repeatability was low
226 both at 2 h (CR:26.3; ICC: 0.91) and 4 h (CR:15.2; ICC:0.96).

227 The Bland–Altman plots of the RHI, FRHI and AI@75 measurements (time interval: 0 h vs 2 h, and
228 0 h vs 4 h) documented a high intra-individual variability between measures for each variable at all
229 time points (data not shown).

230

231 Discussion

232 Together with other studies, we previously reported the feasibility of using the Endo-PAT technique
233 to measure improvements of endothelial function following dietary interventions, lifestyle
234 modifications or pharmacological treatments [8, 18, 22-26]. However, information about the
235 performance of this tool in intervention trials is limited. For example, we recently documented that
236 one portion of blueberry was able to counteract an impairment of endothelial function following
237 acute cigarette smoking evaluated through RHI measurements performed in two separate occasions
238 (i.e. day 1: baseline; day 2: treatment) [26]. This protocol was selected since we observed an
239 improvement in endothelial function following multiple RHI measurements in the same day. Here,
240 we reported the inter- and intra-day repeatability of Endo-PAT in a group of volunteers with
241 characteristics (e.g. lifestyles, dietary habits) comparable with those of the subjects involved in the
242 previous trial. The results obtained in the present study suggest that the measurements of peripheral
243 arterial function (RHI and FRHI), but not arterial stiffness, are reproducible when assessed in two

244 consecutive days. On the contrary, **it was found that** multiple measurements within the same day
245 **increased** RHI and FRHI **and temporarily reduced** AI@75.

246 In the present study, we observed a small CV for RHI (about 6%) and a good ICC for RHI, FRHI
247 and AI@75 (0.77, 0.79 and 0.88, respectively). These results **are** in accordance with data reported
248 by others authors [7, 27-29]. Onkelinx *et al.* showed no difference in RHI index between days and a
249 good coefficient of variation (CV: 11%) and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC: 0.73) of the
250 measurements in a group of male patients with coronary artery disease [7]. Sauder *et al.* showed a
251 robust RHI repeatability (ICC: 0.74) between days in a group of subjects with metabolic syndrome
252 [29]. Liu *et al.* reported no significant differences in the RHI values measured at the same time
253 points on each of the 3 days tested in a group of healthy male volunteers [27]. However, the ICC for
254 RHI measured at 2-hour intervals (from 8.00 am to 8.00 pm) among the 3 days was very low (ICC:
255 0.03-0.46). In addition, the authors reported that the mean intra-individual coefficient of variation
256 for arterial stiffness was rather variable (37%) [27]. On the contrary, McCrea *et al.* reported a good
257 ICC for RHI and arterial stiffness (0.74 and 0.83, respectively) evaluated for two measurements
258 performed within a week in a group of healthy subjects [28]. Selamet *et al.* investigated RHI
259 repeatability in a large cohort of healthy adolescents and documented an excellent repeatability with
260 an ICC of 0.78 when the measurements were performed in two separate occasions [30]. Degnan *et*
261 *al.* showed a low ICC for RHI but excellent for arterial stiffness (0.43 and 0.78, respectively) when
262 the tests were performed in three separate occasions in a group of healthy female subjects [31].
263 Conversely, **in our study** a low reliability **of arterial stiffness was found** suggesting that the
264 measurements between days were not reproducible. This result may be attributed to the small
265 arteries of the fingertips that are more sensitive than brachial arteries and are more susceptible to
266 variations in sympathetic nervous system activity.

267 Regarding the intraday repeatability, we previously reported that multiple measurements within the
268 day can affect arterial function, thus a minimum time interval **(at least 2 hours from our**

269 observations) between test and retest should be recommended also in accordance to the data
270 reported in the literature [6;27]. In addition, it is widely recognized that RHI is influenced by
271 temperature, sympathetic nervous system activity and humoral factors [32]. In the present
272 experiment, we tried to limit the possible confounding factors by standardizing the dietary habits
273 and lifestyle of participants, by repeating the measurements at intervals of 2 hours, by maintaining a
274 constant temperature in the room, and by providing a comfortable environment for all patients. We
275 documented that multiple measurements within the same day caused a significant increase at 4 h for
276 RHI and at 2 and 4 h for FRHI compared to baseline. In particular, the improvement of arterial
277 function at 4 h was particularly high in the group of subjects with initial endothelial dysfunction
278 that was reversed following the multiple measurements.

279 The effect of multiple measurements on RHI values was poorly investigated in the literature and the
280 results available are quite controversial. Onkelinx *et al.* reported a significant increase in RHI
281 values when the tests were performed at intervals of 30 minutes [7]. Similar results were also
282 observed by Liu and coworkers that reported a significant increase in RHI when measurements
283 were carried out at 0.5 h intervals for 6 h, hypothesizing a crossover effect [27]. However, when the
284 authors performed the measurements at intervals of 1 and 2 h, the values were comparable and no
285 significant changes occurred compared to baseline [27]. Forchammer *et al.* showed a good intra-day
286 RHI reproducibility in a group of healthy subjects when endothelial function was assessed in four
287 different occasions (in the morning, before and after lunch, in the afternoon) within the same day
288 [33].

289 In the present study, subjects consumed a light breakfast early in the morning before the test in
290 order to avoid the potential effect of long term fasting on vascular function. However, it is
291 recognized that consumption of a meal can affect RHI; this can also depend on subjective metabolic
292 features [27-28]. In literature, we could not find data comparing the effect of overnight fasting
293 versus breakfast intake on RHI intraday repeatability. In order to exclude the contribution of

294 breakfast to the results obtained, we asked to a group of volunteers to repeat intraday measurement
295 in a fasting condition. Only 8 out of 16 subjects previously enrolled joined the study and completed
296 the test. Overall, data obtained on the overnight-fasted group of participants were in line with those
297 found following the light breakfast consumption. The improvement observed could be attributed to
298 several variables such as sympathetic nervous system activity, diurnal rhythms, ischemic
299 preconditioning mechanisms, or production of endothelial-dependent (e.g. endothelium-derived
300 relaxing factors) or endothelial-independent (e.g. leptin, glucagon-like peptide 1) factors able to
301 induce vasodilation [34-35]. In this regard, several studies showed that insulin induces changes in
302 microvascular vasomotion, promotes capillary recruitment and NO synthesis [36-37]. The binding
303 of insulin to its receptor on endothelium could lead to the activation of eNOS pathway and
304 vasodilation [38-39]. These observations can be useful to explain the results obtained in our study
305 after consuming breakfast. On the other hand, low blood-sugar levels in the fasting state bring to a
306 decrease in insulin secretion and a rise in glucagon secretion. Glucagon stimulates glycogen
307 breakdown and inhibits glycogen synthesis by triggering the cyclic adenosine monophosphate
308 (AMP) cascade. An increase in endothelial cyclic AMP levels showed to amplify agonist-induced
309 formation of endothelium-derived relaxing factor that plays a pivotal role in the vasodilation [40].
310 Moreover, we cannot exclude that the improvement of endothelial function could be somehow
311 related to a shift in the energy fuel used during fasting condition, even if all these hypotheses
312 remain to be ascertained.

313 Digital AI reflects changes in vessel diameter, blood pressure and heart rate [41]. We
314 considered the value of digital AI standardized for the heart rate provided by the Endo-PAT system,
315 documenting a significant decrease in AI@75 at 2 h, but not at 4 h, compared to baseline only after
316 the consumption of breakfast. Albeit temporary, this improvement was not dependent on heart rate
317 as no significant change occurred for this variable. Since insulin have been reported to play a

318 beneficial effect on arterial stiffness both in healthy and diabetic subjects [37,42], its involvement
319 cannot be excluded.

320 **Strengths and limitations of the study**

321 In the present study, we tried to eliminate as many confounding factors as possible affecting the
322 endothelial function and its variability; for example we selected a homogenous population for
323 dietary, smoking (non-smokers) and physical activity habits. All the subjects were healthy and did
324 not take any supplement or medication. Moreover, we standardized the meals the day before and the
325 breakfast the day of the experiment in order to limit postprandial effects. In addition, the testing
326 procedure was standardized for the posture of the subjects, the probe placement, the time of the day,
327 the room temperature and the resting period to eliminate, or at least to limit, the sympathetic
328 stimulation prior to testing. The use of a light breakfast could have had an impact on results
329 obtained; however, the study performed on starved subjects, as control confirmed results on the
330 time-dependent RHI intraday variability. Owing to the many variables that can affect the reliability
331 of measurements, the use of well-described, standardized and controlled protocols is highly
332 recommended with a particular focus on occlusion times and metabolic state of the subjects, in
333 order to avoid crossover effects and limit as much as possible the confounding factors.

334 **Conclusions**

335 In conclusion, we documented a good interday repeatability for RHI measurements.
336 Conversely, intraday repeatability was influenced by the number of measurements and generally
337 accepted when performed up to 2 h. Subjects with endothelial dysfunction seemed to be more prone
338 to modifications following multiple measurements causing a reversal of vascular impairment.
339 Further studies are needed in order to elucidate the effects of multiple measurements on RHI, FRHI
340 and AI@75, especially before performing clinical or dietary intervention studies. A specific
341 attention should be devoted to subjects with endothelial dysfunction whose RHI improvement

342 merits further investigation and to the role of insulin and glucagon in the modulation of vascular
343 function.

344

345 **Conflict of interest**

346 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

347

348 **Funding**

349 This study was supported by a grant (Rif. Pratica 2010.2303) from Cariplo Foundation (Italy).

350

351

352 **References**

- 353 1. Bonetti PO, Pumper GM, Higano ST, Holmes DR Jr, Kuvin JT, Lerman A. Noninvasive
354 identification of patients with early coronary atherosclerosis by assessment of digital reactive
355 hyperemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 44: 2137-2141, 2004.
- 356 2. Kuvin JT, Patel AR, Sliney KA, Pandian NG, Sheffy J, Schnall RP, Karas RH, Udelson JE.
357 Assessment of peripheral vascular endothelial function with finger arterial pulse wave
358 amplitude. *Am Heart J* 146: 168–174, 2003.
- 359 3. Chirinos JA, Kips JG, Jacobs DR Jr, Brumback L, Duprez DA, Kronmal R, Bluemke DA,
360 Townsend RR, Vermeersch S, Segers P. Arterial wave reflections and incident cardiovascular
361 events and heart failure: MESA (Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 60:
362 2170-2177, 2012.
- 363 4. Hamburg NM, Benjamin EJ. Assessment of endothelial function using digital pulse amplitude
364 tonometry. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 19: 6-11, 2009.
- 365 5. Patvardhan EA, Heffernan KS, Ruan JM, Soffler MI, Karas RH, Kuvin JT: Assessment of
366 vascular endothelial function with peripheral arterial tonometry: information at your
367 fingertips?. *Cardiol Rev* 18: 20-28, 2010.
- 368 6. Corretti MC, Anderson TJ, Benjamin EJ, Celermajer D, Charbonneau F, Creager MA,
369 Deanfield J, Drexler H, Gerhard-Herman M, Herrington D, Vallance P, Vita J, Vogel R.
370 Guidelines for the ultrasound assessment of endothelial dependent flow-mediated vasodilation
371 of the brachial artery: a report of the International Brachial Artery Reactivity Task Force. *J Am*
372 *Coll Cardiol* 39: 257–265, 2002.
- 373 7. Onkelinx S, Cornelissen V, Goetschalckx K, Thomaes T, Verhamme P, Vanhees L.
374 Reproducibility of different methods to measure the endothelial function. *Vasc Med* 7: 79-84,
375 2012.

- 376 8. Dohadwala MM, Holbrook M, Hamburg NM, Shenouda SM, Chung WB, Titas M, Kluge MA,
377 Wang N, Palmisano J, Milbury PE, Blumberg JB, Vita JA. Effects of cranberry juice
378 consumption on vascular function in patients with coronary artery disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 93:
379 934-940, 2011.
- 380 9. Riso P, Klimis-Zacas D, Del Bo' C, Martini D, Campolo J, Vendrame S, Møller P, Loft S, De
381 Maria R, Porrini M. Effect of a wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) drink intervention on
382 markers of oxidative stress, inflammation and endothelial function in humans with
383 cardiovascular risk factors. *Eur J Nutr* 52: 949-961, 2013.
- 384 10. Del Bo' C, Riso P, Campolo J, Møller P, Loft S, Klimis-Zacas D, Brambilla A, Rizzolo A,
385 Porrini M. A single portion of blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L) improves protection
386 against DNA damage but not vascular function in healthy male volunteers. *Nutr Res* 33: 220-
387 227, 2013.
- 388 11. Del Bo' C, Porrini M, Fracassetti D, Campolo J, Klimis-Zacas D, Riso P: A single serving of
389 blueberry (*V. corymbosum*) modulates peripheral arterial dysfunction induced by acute
390 cigarette smoking in young volunteers: a randomized-controlled trial. *Food Funct* 5: 3107-
391 3116, 2014.
- 392 12. Flammer AJ, Martin EA, Gössl M, Widmer RJ, Lennon RJ, Sexton JA, Loeffler D, Khosla S,
393 Lerman LO, Lerman A. Polyphenol-rich cranberry juice has a neutral effect on endothelial
394 function but decreases the fraction of osteocalcin-expressing endothelial progenitor cells. *Eur J*
395 *Nutr* 52: 289-296, 2013.
- 396 13. Nogueira Lde P, Knibel MP, Torres MR, Nogueira Neto JF, Sanjuliani AF. Consumption of
397 high-polyphenol dark chocolate improves endothelial function in individuals with stage 1
398 hypertension and excess body weight. *Int J Hypertens* 2012: 147321, 2012.

- 399 14. Berryman CE, Grieger JA, West SG, Chen CY, Blumberg JB, Rothblat GH, Sankaranarayanan
400 S, Kris-Etherton PM. Acute consumption of walnuts and walnut components differentially
401 affect postprandial lipemia, endothelial function, oxidative stress, and cholesterol efflux in
402 humans with mild hypercholesterolemia *J Nutr* 143: 788-794, 2013.
- 403 15. Hamburg NM, Keyes MJ, Larson MG, Vasani RS, Schnabel R, Pryde MM, Mitchell GF, Sheffy
404 J, Vita JA, Benjamin EJ. Cross-sectional relations of digital vascular function to cardiovascular
405 risk factors in The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 117: 2467-2474, 2008.
- 406 16. Bonetti PO, Pfisterer M, Lerman A. Attenuation of digital reactive hyperemia in patients with
407 early and advanced coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 45: 407A-408A, 2005.
- 408 17. Munir S, Guilcher A, Kamalesh T, Clapp B, Redwood S, Marber M, Chowienczyk P:
409 Peripheral augmentation index defines the relationship between central and peripheral pulse
410 pressure. *Hypertension* 51: 112-118, 2008
- 411 18. Moerland M, Kales AJ, Schrier L, van Dongen MG, Bradnock D, Burggraaf J. Evaluation of
412 the EndoPAT as a Tool to Assess Endothelial Function. *Int J Vasc Med* 2012: 904141, 2012.
- 413 19. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical method for assessing agreement between two methods of
414 clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1: 307-310, 1986.
- 415 20. de Vet HC, Terwee CB, Knol DL, Bouter LM. When to use agreement versus reliability
416 measures. *J Clin Epidemiol* 59: 1033-1039, 2006.
- 417 21. McGraw KO, Wong SP. Forming inferences about some intraclass correlation coefficients.
418 *Psychol Methods* 1: 30-46, 1996.
- 419 22. Fisher ND, Hughes M, Gerhard-Herman M, Hollenberg NK. Flavanol-rich cocoa induces
420 nitric-oxide-dependent vasodilation in healthy humans. *J Hypertens* 21: 2281-2286, 2003.

- 421 23. Fisher ND, Hollenberg NK. Aging and vascular responses to flavanol-rich cocoa. *J Hypertens*
422 24: 1575-1580, 2006.
- 423 24. Schroeter H, Heiss C, Balzer J, Kleinbongard P, Keen CL, Hollenberg NK, Sies H, Kwik-Uribe
424 C, Schmitz HH, Kelm M. (-)-Epicatechin mediates beneficial effects of flavanol-rich cocoa on
425 vascular function in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103: 1024-1029, 2006.
- 426 25. Barringer TA, Hatcher L, Sasser HC. Potential benefits on impairment of endothelial function
427 after a high-fat meal of 4 weeks of flavonoid supplementation. *Evid Based Complement*
428 *Alternat Med* 2011: 796958, 2011.
- 429 26. Del Bo' C, Campolo J, Porrini M, Fracassetti D, Parolini M, Klimis-Zacas D, Riso P. Acute
430 cigarette smoking impairs microvascular function in young moderate smokers: a model for
431 studying vasoactive properties of food bioactives. *PharmaNutrition* 2: 1-7, 2014.
- 432 27. Liu J, Wang J, Jin Y, Roethig HJ, Unverdorben M. Variability of peripheral arterial tonometry
433 in the measurement of endothelial function in healthy men. *Clin Cardiol* 32: 700-704, 2009.
- 434 28. McCrea CE, Skulas-Ray AC, Chow M, West SG. Test-retest reliability of pulse amplitude
435 tonometry measures of vascular endothelial function: implications for clinical trial design. *Vasc*
436 *Med* 17: 29-36, 2012.
- 437 29. Sauder KA, West SG, McCrea CE, Campbell JM, Jenkins AL, Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW. Test-
438 retest reliability of peripheral arterial tonometry in the metabolic syndrome. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*
439 11: 201-207, 2014.
- 440 30. Selamet Tierney ES, Newburger JW, Gauvreau K, Geva J, Coogan E, Colan SD, de Ferranti
441 SD. Endothelial pulse amplitude testing: feasibility and reproducibility in adolescents. *J*
442 *Pediatr* 154: 901-905, 2009.
- 443 31. Degnan AJ, Shah N, Carty DM, Petrie JR, Delles C, Schneider MP. Repeatability of Peripheral
444 Artery Tonometry in Female Subjects. *Vasc Med* 2013: 383624, 2013.

- 445 32. Nil M, Schäfer D, Radtke T, Saner H, Wilhelm M, Eser P. Reproducibility of peripheral arterial
446 tonometry measurements in malecardiovascular patients. *Eur J Clin Invest* 44: 1065-1071,
447 2014.
- 448 33. Forchammer L, Møller P, Riddervold IS, Bønløkke J, Massling A, Sigsgaard T, Loft S.
449 Controlled human wood smoke exposure: oxidative stress, inflammation and microvascular
450 function. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 9: 8977-8979, 2012.
- 451 34. Ignarro LJ, Buga GM, Wood KS, Byrns RE, Chaudhuri G. Endothelium-derived relaxing factor
452 produced and released from artery and vein is nitric oxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 84:9265-
453 9269,1987.
- 454 35. Momin AU, Melikian N, Shah AM, Grieve DJ, Wheatcroft SB, John L, El Gamel A, Desai JB,
455 Nelson T, Driver C, Sherwood RA, Kearney MT. Leptin is an endothelial-independent
456 vasodilator in humans with coronary artery disease: evidence for tissue specificity of leptin
457 resistance. *Eur Heart J* 27:2294-2299, 2006.
- 458 36. Woerdeman J, Meijer RI, Eringa EC, Hoekstra T, Smulders YM, Serné EH. Insulin sensitivity
459 determines effects of insulin and meal ingestion on systemic vascular resistance in healthy
460 subjects. *Microcirculation* 23:62-68, 2016.
- 461 37. de Boer MP, Meijer RI, Newman J, Stehouwer CD, Eringa EC, Smulders YM, Serné EH.
462 Insulin-induced changes in microvascular vasomotion and capillary recruitment are associated
463 in humans. *Microcirculation* 21:380-387, 2014.
- 464 38. Zheng C, Liu Z. Vascular function, insulin action, and exercise: an intricate interplay. *Trends*
465 *Endocrinol Metab* 26:297-304, 2015.
- 466 39. Jahn LA, Hartline L, Rao N, Logan B, Kim JJ, Aylor K, Gan LM, Westergren HU, Barrett EJ.
467 Insulin enhances endothelial function throughout the arterial tree in healthy but not metabolic
468 syndrome subjects. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 101:1198-1206, 2016.

- 469 40. Increases in endothelial cyclic AMP levels amplify agonist-induced formation of endothelium-
470 derived relaxing factor (EDRF). *Biochem J.*1992;288 (Pt 2):345-9).
- 471 41. Wilkinson IB, MacCallum H, Flint L, Cockcroft JR, Newby DE, Webb DJ. The influence of
472 heart rate on augmentation index and central arterial pressure in humans. *J Physiol* 525:263–
473 270, 2000.
- 474 42. Meehan CS, Kethireddy PL, Ashcraft JK, Shuster JJ, Haller MJ. Premeal insulin decreases
475 arterial stiffness in children with type 1 diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes*. doi: 10.1111/pedi.12389,
476 2016.

477

478

479

480

481

482

483 **Figure 1: Interday repeatability of RHI, FRHI and AI@75 measured in two consecutive days**
484 **by Endo-PAT2000**

485

486 **Figure legend**

487 Subjects involved: n=31

488 RHI, reactive hyperemia index; FRHI, Framingham reactive hyperemia index; AI@75, arterial
489 stiffness standardized for heart rate.

490 Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean.

491

492 **Figure 2: Bland-Altman plot: the difference between the two measurements for RHI (2A),**
493 **FRHI (2B) and AI@75 (2C) is plotted. The continuous line represents the mean of differences**
494 **and the broken lines the 95% limits of agreement (± 1.96 SD of the differences)**

495

496 **Figure legend**

497 Subjects involved: n=31

498 RHI, reactive hyperemia index; FRHI, Framingham reactive hyperemia index; AI@75, arterial
499 stiffness standardized for heart rate.

500

501 **Figure 3: Intraday repeatability of RHI, FRHI and AI@75 measured at intervals of 2 hours**
502 **from a light breakfast by Endo-PAT2000**

503

504 **Figure legend**

505 Subjects involved: n=16

506 RHI, reactive hyperemia index; FRHI, Framingham reactive hyperemia index; AI@75, arterial
507 stiffness standardized for heart rate.

508 Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean.

509 ^{a,b,c} Data with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)

510

511 **Figure 4: Bland-Altman plot: the difference between two measurements after a light**
512 **breakfast (time 0 h vs time 2 h, and time 0 h vs time 4 h) for RHI (4A), FRHI (4B) and AI@75**
513 **(4C) is plotted. The continuous line represents the mean of differences and the broken lines**
514 **the 95% limits of agreement (± 1.96 SD of the differences)**

515

516 **Figure legend**

517 Subjects involved: n=16

518 RHI, reactive hyperemia index; FRHI, Framingham reactive hyperemia index; AI@75, arterial
519 stiffness standardized for heart rate.

520

521 **Figure 5: Intraday repeatability of RHI, FRHI and AI@75 measured at intervals of 2 hours in**
522 **fasting conditions by Endo-PAT2000**

523

524 **Figure legend**

525 Subjects involved: n=8

526 RHI, reactive hyperemia index; FRHI, Framingham reactive hyperemia index; AI@75, arterial
527 stiffness standardized for heart rate.

528

529