

# Injury and Illness Rates During Ultratrail Running

## Authors

G. Vernillo<sup>1,3</sup>, A. Savoldelli<sup>2,3</sup>, A. La Torre<sup>1</sup>, S. Skafidas<sup>4</sup>, L. Bortolan<sup>2,3</sup>, F. Schena<sup>2,3</sup>

## Affiliations

Affiliation addresses are listed at the end of the article

## Key words

- dermatological injuries
- injury management
- musculoskeletal injuries
- trail running
- ultraendurance
- ultramarathon

## Abstract

▼ This study aimed to describe injury/illness rates in ultratrail runners competing in a 65-km race to build a foundation for injury prevention and help race organizers to plan medical provision for these events. Prospectively transcribed medical records were analysed for 77 athletes at the end of the race. Number of injuries/illnesses per 1000 runners and per 1000-h run, overall injury/illness rate and 90% confidence intervals and rates for major and minor illnesses, musculoskeletal injuries, and skin disorders were analysed. A total of 132 injuries/illnesses were encountered during the race. The overall injuries/illnesses were

1.9 per runner and 13.1 per 1000-h run. Medical illnesses were the most prominent medical diagnoses encountered (50.3%), followed by musculoskeletal injuries (32.8%), and skin-related disorders (16.9%). Despite the ultra-long nature of the race, the majority of injuries/illnesses were minor in nature. Medical staff and runners should prepare to treat all types of injuries and illnesses, especially the fatigue arising throughout the course of an ultratrail run and injuries to the lower limbs. Future studies should attempt to systematically identify injury locations and mechanisms in order to better direct injury prevention strategies and plan more accurate medical care.

## Introduction

▼ During the past few years, the popularity of ultratrail running (UTR) races has grown alongside that of ultramarathon races [10, 12]. UTR can be defined as any event longer than the marathon distance (42.195 km) performed in a mountain context, on rough terrain and involving an elevation change [27–29]. Hoffman et al. found that there was a ~5200% increase in the number of UTR races between 1978 and 2008 [12]. Further, participation in these races has increased exponentially during the last 3 decades, with ~2000 finishers accounted in 2008 for 161-km races in North America and ~3 races per year finished by each individual between 1977 and 2008 [12]. Commonly, the majority of these events are continuous races (i.e., single stage where athletes run a specific distance over a specific uninterrupted time); though, more recently, multi-day UTR races have been developed to further challenge the athletes involved. Following this growth in popularity, UTR received the attention of the research community and a number of studies on the physiological and biomechanical changes associated with UTR were

published [5, 19, 21, 28, 29]. This is because these types of events may permit the investigation and greater understanding of the limits of human performance [17]. However, very few data exist regarding the injuries and illnesses experienced by UTR athletes. Krabak et al. [14] described the injury/illness rates in 396 runners competing in 7-d, staged, 240-km UTR, reporting that the overall injury/illness rate was 3.8 per runner and 65 per 1000-h run, mostly due to skin-related disorders involving the foot. The same findings were observed by Scheer and Murray [22], who studied 69 athletes competing in a 219-km, multiday UTR, noting a total of 99 medical encounters, mostly due to foot blisters (33.3%) and chafing (9.1%).

To our knowledge, no study has evaluated injuries/illness among continuous UTR (cUTR), which may limit the understanding both of injury/illness rates and potential risk factors during cUTR (as the 65-km cUTR supporting this study). This information may allow UTR athletes to appreciate the risk of participating in these races, and medical services can be coordinated more efficiently based on these parameters.

accepted after revision  
November 23, 2015

## Bibliography

DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1569347>  
Published online:  
April 26, 2016  
Int J Sports Med 2016; 37:  
565–569 © Georg Thieme  
Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York  
ISSN 0172-4622

## Correspondence

**Gianluca Vernillo, PhD**  
Department of Biomedical  
Sciences for Health, Università  
degli Studi di Milano  
via Antonio Kramer, 4/a, 20133  
Milan - Italy  
Tel.: +39.02.50.31.51.59  
Fax: +39.02.50.31.51.59  
[gianluca.vernillo@unimi.it](mailto:gianluca.vernillo@unimi.it)

Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to analyse and characterise injury/illness rates in runners competing in a cUTR. Specifically, we were interested in documenting the severity, type, and areas of injuries/illnesses during the race.

## Material and Methods

### Race characteristics

The Vigolana Trail® is a cUTR that took place in June 2014 in Vigolo Vattaro (Trento, Italy). The course is 65 km long over rough terrain at medium altitude (altitude range between 725 and 2 100 m), with a total positive/negative elevation of +4 000 m.

### Participants

Participants competing in this race are primarily experienced runners who have completed marathons or other ultraendurance events as noted in their race application. One month before the start of the race, all participants registered received from the race organiser an email describing the study and encouraging participation. At the meeting the day before the race, 3 authors (G.V., A.S., and L.B.) reviewed the study with the interested participants. Further, the medical representatives of the race received a booklet with detailed information about the study, including the injury and illness forms to be filled out. All participants enrolled in the study gave their voluntary, written informed consent for their medical and race information to be used for research purposes. A participant was excluded from the study if he/she was under 18 or over 90 years of age, pregnant, or was unable to understand the consent form owing to a language impairment in reading/speaking Italian. An injury was defined as any new onset of pain or discomfort reported by the athletes that was related to the cUTR.

### Procedures

As soon as the study participants crossed the finish line (time interval between the participant's arrival and the interview was <10 min), they were invited to present to the finish-line medical tent staffed by a physician whether or not they needed a medical encounter for an injury or illness. The medical staff documented each self-reported medical concern with a study participant using a standardized form noting diagnosis, site of injury, severity of the injury or illness. Then, members of the research group collected the injury data forms from the medical finish-line tent and all the information was entered into a computerized data registry for further analysis.

An injury/illness was defined as a disability sustained by a study participant during the race [14]. All injuries/illnesses were classified by their effect on the racers' ability to continue in the race: major, if the participant could not continue in the race; or minor, if the participant was able to continue in the race [14]. Each medical encounter was classified as an illness, a musculoskeletal (MSK) injury, or a skin disorder [14]. All information was treated strictly confidentially and the injury reports were made anonymously

according to the IJSM's ethical standards document [9]. Approval was obtained from the institutional Ethics Committee.

### Statistical analysis

We defined a rate of injury/illness as the number of injuries/illnesses per 1 000 runners and per 1 000 h run [30]. We calculated an overall injury/illness rate and 90% confidence intervals (CIs), as well as rates for major and minor illnesses, MSK injuries, and skin disorders. Then, absolute and relative values were calculated from information contained in the returned questionnaires. A  $\chi^2$  test was used to compare all the categorical variables. A z test based on the Poisson model was used to compare both the number of injuries and health problems incidence per race event and the intra-race differences. The software IBM® SPSS® Statistics (version 20.0.0, IBM Corp., Somers, NY, USA) was used and the  $\alpha$ -level was set at 0.05.

## Results

Of the 234 runners who started the race, 204 (87.2%) completed the cUTR. 85 runners agreed to take part in the study. 77 (37.7% of the overall finishers) of the 85 (90.6%, 13 females and 64 males) initially enrolled participants completed the cUTR and were interviewed (see Table 1). Because of the study design applied, it was impossible to interview the 8 participants who did not complete the cUTR. The mean time for completing the 65-km race was 10 h 02 min (range = 6 h 58 min to 15 h 41 min).

**Table 1** Athletes' anthropometric and training characteristics. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or in absolute and relative values.

Variable	
Sample size	77
Age (yrs)	43.6 $\pm$ 10.9
Body mass (kg)	68.8 $\pm$ 10.1
Height (m)	1.75 $\pm$ 0.08
Performance time (h.min.s)	10.02.40 $\pm$ 1.34.51
Running experience (yrs)	11.0 $\pm$ 10.2
Trail running experience (yrs)	3.8 $\pm$ 3.3
Number of trails completed (overall)	
<5	24 (31.2%)
5–10	16 (20.8%)
>10	36 (46.8%)
Number of trails completed (2014)	
<5	49 (63.6%)
5–10	23 (29.9%)
>10	4 (5.2%)
Training monitoring	
Yes	53 (68.8%)
Global Positioning System	46 (86.8%)
Heart rate	27 (50.9%)
Altimetry	42 (79.2%)
No	43 (31.2%)

Type of illness or injury	n	Rate per 1 000 runners (90% CI)	Rate per 1 000 h (90% CI)
All	132	1 885.7 (1 716.0–2 338.3)	13 153.9 (11 970.0–16 310.8)
Medical	67	957.1 (871.0–1 186.8)	6 676.6 (6 075.7–8 278.9)
MSK	43	614.3 (559.0–761.7)	4 285.0 (3 899.3–5 313.4)
Skin	22	314.3 (286.0–389.7)	2 192.3 (1 994.9–2 718.4)

**Table 2** Injury/illness rates among ultratrail runners.

A total of 132 injuries/illnesses were encountered during the races. These injuries/illnesses represented an overall injury/illness rate of 1885.7 per 1000 runners and 13.1 per 1000h running. No injury/illness was classified as major. For the minor diagnoses, the majority of encounters were due to medical illnesses (50.3%), followed by MSK injuries (32.8%), and skin-related disorders (16.9%) (◉ **Table 2**).

As shown in ◉ **Table 3**, for the medical illnesses, the majority were related to general fatigue (37.7%), followed by muscle cramps (26.2%). For the MSK injuries, ankle sprain (28.6%), and plantar fasciitis (28.6%) were the most common. Most skin disorders involved foot blisters (53.8%).

## Discussion

Before preventive measures can be suggested, injury risk factors and mechanisms need to be characterised [26]. Surveillance systems established in other sports such as football [4], athletics [1], and the Olympics [6] have provided important information of injury risk and injury patterns. However, there is very little data regarding the injury and illness rates in UTR despite, for instance, the exponential increase in 161-km UTRs in North America between 1977 and 2008 [10, 12]. To our knowledge, the current study is the first to report injury/illness rates in a 65-km cUTR. Our overall injury/illness rate was 1.9 per runner and 13.1 per 1-h run. All were minor in nature, mostly due to medical issues involving general fatigue experienced by the runners, followed by skin disorders and MSK injuries. Previous studies on ultramarathon runners have reported lower MSK injury rates (0.4–2.7 injuries per runner) [2, 7, 8, 13, 14, 22] compared with our finding of 4.3 MSK injuries per runner. Of these, only 2 studies reported skin or medical illness rates. Krabak et al. [14] reported that the skin disorders and medical illness rate were 2.7 and 0.3 per runner, respectively, after the 250-km Racing The Planet® 4 Desert Series ultramarathon. Scheer and Murray [22] reported a 0.8 skin disorder rate and a 0.5 medical illness rate after a 219-km, multiday UTR in the southern Spain. Further, our results were higher when compared to studies of marathon running where it was reported an overall injury/illness rate of 18.9–25.5 per 1000 runners, a medical illness rate of 10.1–13.7 per 1000 runners, an MSK injury rate of 3.35 per 1000 runners, and a skin injury rate of 4.1 per 1000 runners [3, 15, 20, 24]. This discrepancy may be due to a variety of factors relating to the environment, equipment, and reporting bias. Indeed, multiday UTR runners may experience more extreme terrain and temperatures compared with cUTR runners, and carry packs weighing e.g., 5–30kg with gear and food that must be rationed throughout the course of the race. In contrast, cUTR runners may experience similar terrain (even if with a lower exposure) and typically carry much less gear and food. These different features may explain the differences reported between multiday UTR and cUTR, since differences in the off-road terrains and temperatures, the exposure time, the effects of carrying additional weight may influence the injury and illness rates during UTR. Almost half of the diagnoses involved medical illnesses, with the majority relating to general fatigue (◉ **Table 3**). This is not surprising as a growing body of literature indicates that runners experience long-term fatigue after such events [5, 19, 21, 29]. Further, a high incidence of muscle cramps (26.2%) was encountered. This medical issue, though with a higher incidence than previously reported after a marathon race (6.1%) [20] and a 219-

**Table 3** Medical encounters from the study participants ( $n = 77$ ) classified by diagnosis.

Diagnosis	<i>n</i>	% Injured Runners
Medical illnesses		
Gastrointestinal	3	4.9
Fatigue	23	37.7 **
Palpitations	2	3.2
Vomiting	6	9.8
Allergy/hay fever	2	3.2
Hypothermia	1	1.6
Dehydration/heat	4	6.6
Headache	6	9.8
Cramps	16	26.2 *
Musculoskeletal injuries		
Plantar fasciitis	16	28.6 **
Ankle sprain	16	28.6 **
Achilles tendinopathy	4	7.1
Knee sprain	8	14.3 *
Thigh strain	8	14.3 *
Neck/cervical spine strain	4	7.1
Skin disorders		
Laceration	2	15.4
Subungual hematoma	2	15.4
Chafing	2	15.4
Foot blisters	7	53.8 **

Sprain (dislocation, subluxation, or ligamentous rupture).

Strain (muscle rupture, tear, or tendon rupture).

Incidence significantly higher compared to the other items of the same category:

\*  $P < 0.05$  and \*\*  $P < 0.01$

km, multiday UTR (1.4%) [22], is not surprising since it is well known that ultratrail running leads to the development of neuromuscular fatigue [18, 19, 21], which appears to explain the onset of exercise-associated muscle cramping [23].

Approximately 33% of the diagnoses were due to MSK injuries, with ankle sprain and plantar fasciitis being the most common injuries (◉ **Table 3**). This observation paralleled the incidence of knee sprain and thigh strain (14.3%) and is in line with the existing literature [14, 20, 22]. Though limited evidence exists to explain the risk factors for running injuries [25], postulated causes for MSK injuries during cUTR may include (i) the off-road nature of the cUTR that increases the chance for cumulative trauma to the lower limbs due to the terrain [14], (ii) number of repetitions of flexion and extension of the knee, as well as plantar and dorsiflexion of the ankle, (iii) duration of the cUTR that constrained the athletes to adopt a more shuffling type of gait, with a decreased joint range of motion [29], and (iv) excessive eccentric overload due to the downhill sections of the races [16]. Further, the higher incidence for MSK injuries observed in the foot is likely due to a greater demands on that structure from running ultra-long distances on irregular terrain [11].

Foot blisters were the most common dermatological complaint observed (◉ **Table 3**). This finding is not surprising since foot blisters are due to acute and continuous friction of both the shoes and socks against foot skin during running, likely exacerbated by the rough and hilly terrain in the context of UTR. This causes epidermal splits, with the separated layers then filling with blood or tissue transudate [15].

Finally, there are several limitations to consider in the current study. Fatigue was considered as physical and/or mental exhaustion that can be triggered by cUTR. Admittedly, with this definition we cannot know the contribution made by a medical illness or a normal post-cUTR experience. Future studies should inves-

tigate the relative contribution of medical encounters and post-race experience on the sensation of fatigue. Because we reported only injuries/illnesses encountered after the race and not during the race, we could have underestimated the total number or severity of injuries/illness. This was because the medical check-point was positioned only at the finish-line medical tent and prevented us from gathering data on medical encounters from the non-finishers. Future studies should review the injuries/illnesses encountered both during and after cUTR. We did not analyse the effect of both gender and age on the risk of number of injuries/illnesses due the sample size and disproportionate number of male athletes and small variance in age. Future studies should analyse sex and age as potential risk factors for number of medical illnesses, MSK injuries, and skin disorders during cUTR. Finally, although the results of the present study suggest the most common injuries and illnesses experienced by 65-km cUTR runners, data was gathered from a single event and a generalisation should be made with caution. Future studies should attempt to systematically identify injury locations and mechanisms in order to better direct injury prevention strategies and plan more accurate medical care during cUTR.

In conclusion, the results of this study suggest that despite the ultra-long nature of cUTR, the majority of injuries/illnesses experienced by runners are minor in nature. Medical staff and runners should prepare to treat all types of injuries and illnesses, especially the fatigue arising throughout the course of a cUTR and the lower limb injuries. Possible preventive strategies need to be explored further but could include educating runners regarding the types of injuries throughout the course of a cUTR. Further, this study provides useful information both to the (i) athletes, to direct their injury-prevention strategies, (ii) medical team, to provide adequate medical coverage, and (iii) researchers, to direct their focus to develop methods to prevent injuries.

### Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank the athletes for their cooperation. We are also in debt with Roberto Modena, Marco Sighele, and the medical staff for their technical contribution during the data collection. Finally, we would like to express our gratitude to Alberto Fondriest, Herbert Lorenzoni, Roberta Casagrande, and the Vigolana Trail® Organizing Committee. No support was provided for this study by any manufacturer of the instruments used. No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the authors.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

### Affiliations

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Neurological and Movement Sciences, Università degli Studi di Verona, Verona, Italy

<sup>3</sup> CeRiSM, Research Center 'Sport, Mountain and Health', University of Verona, Rovereto (TN), Italy

<sup>4</sup> Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Università degli Studi di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

### References

- Alonso JM, Edouard P, Fischetto G, Adams B, Depiesse F, Mountjoy M. Determination of future prevention strategies in elite track and field: analysis of Daegu 2011 IAAF Championships injuries and illnesses surveillance. *Br J Sports Med* 2012; 46: 505–514
- Bishop GW, Fallon KE. Musculoskeletal injuries in a six-day track race: ultramarathoner's ankle. *Clin J Sport Med* 1999; 9: 216–220
- Caselli MA, Longobardi SJ. Lower extremity injuries at the New York City Marathon. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 1997; 87: 34–37
- Dvorak J, Junge A, Derman W, Schweltnus M. Injuries and illnesses of football players during the 2010 FIFA World Cup. *Br J Sports Med* 2011; 45: 626–630
- Easthope CS, Hausswirth C, Louis J, Lepers R, Verduyck F, Brisswalter J. Effects of a trail running competition on muscular performance and efficiency in well-trained young and master athletes. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010; 110: 1107–1116
- Engebretsen L, Soligard T, Steffen K, Alonso JM, Aubry M, Budgett R, Dvorak J, Jegathesan M, Meeuwisse WH, Mountjoy M, Palmer-Green D, Vanhegan I, Renstrom PA. Sports injuries and illnesses during the London Summer Olympic Games 2012. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47: 407–414
- Fallon KE. Musculoskeletal injuries in the ultramarathon: the 1990 Westfield Sydney to Melbourne run. *Br J Sports Med* 1996; 30: 319–323
- Fallon KE, Sivyver G, Sivyver K, Dare A. The biochemistry of runners in a 1600 km ultramarathon. *Br J Sports Med* 1999; 33: 264–269
- Harriss DJ, Atkinson G. Ethical standards in sport and exercise science research: 2016 update. *Int J Sports Med* 2015; 36: 1121–1124
- Hoffman MD. Performance trends in 161-km ultramarathons. *Int J Sports Med* 2010; 31: 31–37
- Hoffman MD, Krishnan E. Health and exercise-related medical issues among 1,212 ultramarathon runners: baseline findings from the Ultrarunners Longitudinal TRacking (ULTRA) Study. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e83867
- Hoffman MD, Ong JC, Wang G. Historical analysis of participation in 161 km ultramarathons in North America. *Int J Hist Sport* 2010; 27: 1877–1891
- Hutson MA. Medical implications of ultra marathon running: observations on a six day track race. *Br J Sports Med* 1984; 18: 44–45
- Krabak BJ, Waite B, Schiff MA. Study of injury and illness rates in multiday ultramarathon runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011; 43: 2314–2320
- Mailler EA, Adams BB. The wear and tear of 26.2: dermatological injuries reported on marathon day. *Br J Sports Med* 2004; 38: 498–501
- Martin V, Millet GY, Martin A, Deley G, Lattier G. Assessment of low-frequency fatigue with two methods of electrical stimulation. *J Appl Physiol* 1985 2004; 97: 1923–1929
- Millet GP, Millet GY. Ultramarathon is an outstanding model for the study of adaptive responses to extreme load and stress. *BMC Med* 2012; 10: 77
- Millet GY, Lepers R, Maffiuletti NA, Babault N, Martin V, Lattier G. Alterations of neuromuscular function after an ultramarathon. *J Appl Physiol* 1985 2002; 92: 486–492
- Millet GY, Tomazin K, Verges S, Vincent C, Bonnefoy R, Boisson RC, Gergele L, Feasson L, Martin V. Neuromuscular consequences of an extreme mountain ultra-marathon. *PLoS One* 2011; 6: e17059
- Roberts WO. A 12-yr profile of medical injury and illness for the Twin Cities Marathon. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000; 32: 1549–1555
- Saugy J, Place N, Millet GY, Degache F, Schena F, Millet GP. Alterations of neuromuscular function after the world's most challenging mountain ultra-marathon. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e65596
- Scheer BV, Murray A. Al Andalus Ultra Trail: an observation of medical interventions during a 219-km, 5-day ultramarathon stage race. *Clin J Sport Med* 2011; 21: 444–446
- Schweltnus MP. Muscle cramping in the marathon: aetiology and risk factors. *Sports Med* 2007; 37: 364–367
- Tang N, Kraus CK, Brill JD, Shahan JB, Ness C, Scheulen JJ. Hospital-based event medical support for the Baltimore Marathon, 2002–2005. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2008; 12: 320–326
- van Gent RN, Siem D, van Middelkoop M, van Os AG, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Koes BW. Incidence and determinants of lower extremity running injuries in long distance runners: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2007; 41: 469–480 discussion 480
- van Mechelen W, Hlobil H, Kemper HC. Incidence, severity, aetiology and prevention of sports injuries. A review of concepts. *Sports Med* 1992; 14: 82–99

- 27 Vernillo G, Rinaldo N, Giorgi A, Esposito F, Trabucchi P, Millet GP, Schena F. Changes in lung function during an extreme mountain ultramarathon. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2014; doi:10.1111/sms.12325
- 28 Vernillo G, Savoldelli A, Zignoli A, Skafidas S, Fornasiero A, La Torre A, Bortolan L, Pellegrini B, Schena F. Energy cost and kinematics of level, uphill and downhill running: fatigue-induced changes after a mountain ultramarathon. *J Sports Sci* 2015; doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1022870 1–8
- 29 Vernillo G, Savoldelli A, Zignoli A, Trabucchi P, Pellegrini B, Millet GP, Schena F. Influence of the world's most challenging mountain ultramarathon on energy cost and running mechanics. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2014; 114: 929–939
- 30 Videbaek S, Bueno AM, Nielsen RO, Rasmussen S. Incidence of running-related injuries per 1000 h of running in different types of runners: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 2015; 45: 1017–1026