1	Removal of metallic elements
2	from real wastewater using zebra mussel bio-filtration process
3	
4	Stefano Magni ^{1*} , Marco Parolini ¹ , Carlo Soave ¹ , Francesca Marazzi ² , Valeria Mezzanotte ² , Andrea
5	Binelli ^{1**}
6	¹ Department of Biosciences, University of Milan, Via Celoria 26, 20133 Milan, Italy.
7	² Department of Environmental and Territory Science, University of Milan-Bicocca, Piazza della
8	Scienza 1, 20126 Milan, Italy.
9	
10	*Corresponding author: Dr. Stefano Magni - Phone: ++39 0250314729; Fax: ++39 0250314713;
11	E-mail: stefano.magni@unimi.it
12	** Co-corresponding author: Prof. Andrea Binelli - Phone: ++39 0250314714, Fax ++39
13	0250314713; E-mail: andrea.binelli@unimi.it
14	
15	ABSTRACT
16	The metallic element pollution is a serious environmental problem but still unsolved since these
17	contaminants are released mainly by human activity, reaching all the environmental compartments.
18	Traditional wastewater treatment plants are very efficient in removing metallic elements only when
19	their concentration is in the order of mg/L, but are not able to remove them until μ g/L, as it would
20	be needed to cope with the water quality standards in low flow receptors. Therefore, the aim of our
21	study was to evaluate the potential removal of some recalcitrant metallic elements to the classical
22	treatments, by the natural process of bio-filtration performed by the invasive zebra mussel
23	(Dreissena polymorpha). For this purpose we built a pilot-plant at the Milano-Nosedo wastewater

treatment plant, where we placed about 40,000 D. polymorpha specimens appointed to the 24 wastewater bio-filtration. The metallic element removal due to zebra mussel activity was evaluated 25 in the treated wastewater with a plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Data obtained in 26 these experiments showed an encouraging metallic element removal due to *D. polymorpha* activity; 27 in particular, the total abatement (100%) of Cr after one day of bio-filtration exposure is 28 remarkable. Therefore, this study encourages further research related with the use of bivalves as a 29 30 new tool for the wastewater depuration process; in this regard, the contaminated mollusks used in the bio-filtration could be incinerated or stored in special landfills, as is also the case of traditional 31 sewage sludge. 32

33

34 Keywords: zebra mussel; bio-filtration; wastewater treatment; metallic elements

35 ABBREVIATIONS: metallic elements (MEs); wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs)

36

37 1. INTRODUCTION

Metallic element (ME) pollution is a major global concern since these inorganic contaminants are 38 continuously released into the environment by human activities [1,2]. The ability of these 39 compounds to be accumulated in the organisms and to trig the onset of diseases and disorders 40 41 makes MEs very dangerous for many organisms, including humans, at very low concentrations [3]. In particular, the water pollution due to MEs is a serious and partially unsolved issue because the 42 removal needed to reach acceptable concentrations in the receiving waters (in the order of $\mu g/L$) is 43 well over the efficiency of wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), normally reported as between 40 44 and 90% [4]. Because of this reason, alternative methods for the ME abatement have been identified 45 in order to be complementarily applied to traditional wastewater treatment processes. However, 46 most of these techniques, such as precipitation/neutralization, ion exchange, membrane separation, 47 reverse osmosis, electrodialysis and activated carbon adsorption [5,6,7] have high costs for the 48 regeneration of resins or activated carbons and/or for the disposal of chemical sludge or 49

concentrates [8]. Therefore, the attention of the scientific community need to be focused on the 50 51 development of natural methods which were more eco-sustainable and, possibly, less expensive. In this regard, biosorption is a possible natural method for ME elimination; this term defines the 52 passive pollutant uptake from an aqueous solution by a dead or non-growing microbial biomass 53 [9,10]. Although this treatment has the advantage to not undergo inhibition due to the pollutants' 54 toxicity, the early biomass saturation by adsorbing contaminants represents an important limitation 55 56 for further exploitation of this process [7]. In addition to the biosorption, the bioaccumulation process of many organic and inorganic contaminants by different aquatic microorganisms such as 57 fungi, algae, bacteria and yeast [11,12] may be considered. In particular, bioaccumulation due to 58 59 microorganisms living on aquatic macrophyte tissues is correlated with ME removal in constructed 60 wetlands. This methodology is certainly the most used natural system of wastewater treatment, which couples accumulation in microbial biomass and in macrophytes such as *Phragmites australis*, 61 62 Eichhornia crassipes and Lemna spp. [13,14,15,16]. This alternative method, in addition to the removal of MEs, also reduces organic matter and nutrients from wastewater [16]. Despite the 63 existence of these eco-friendly methodologies, in recent years, further studies have been conducted 64 in order to identify new methods for natural purification of waters from some recalcitrant pollutants. 65 In this regard, it is of great interest the research carried out by Ledda and co-workers [17] aimed at 66 67 assessing how small breeding of Mediterranean sponges Ircinia variabilis and Agelas oroides could remove some contaminants from marine waters. In the same way, the use of other filtering 68 organisms can be interesting for the improvement of waters quality. In this context, the freshwater 69 70 bivalve Dreissena polymorpha has some characteristics that would make it suitable for the above mentioned purpose: an enormous filtering capacity, ranging from 5 to 400 mL/bivalve/h [18,19], a 71 high population density, with more than 700,000 individuals/m² [20], and the ability to produce 72 faeces and pseudofaeces where many contaminants are adsorbed. In fact, these two D. polymorpha 73 waste products, being settleable [21], could easily remove from the water column the bounded 74 75 pollutants (as MEs). Moreover, taking into account the indirect ability of bivalves to bioaccumulate

many environmental contaminants, including MEs [22], we can point out the potential of D. 76 polymorpha to this purpose [23,24,25,26]. In this regard, a study conducted in 1983 by Piesik [27] 77 highlighted how D. polymorpha is able to remove nutrients from eutrophic waters and a subsequent 78 79 research confirmed the potential of *D. polymorpha* in the reduction of algal density [28]. In the last two decades, several other studies have demonstrated the filtering capacity of this bivalve, whose 80 breeding could be developed for an alternative treatment of polluted freshwaters [25,29,30,31]. In 81 this regard, a recent study conducted by Binelli and co-workers [21] showed the ability of this 82 mollusk to remove different types of emerging contaminants, such as pharmaceuticals and drugs of 83 abuse, from wastewaters. Nevertheless, it is important to take into account that D. polymorpha is 84 85 considered an invasive alien species all over Europe and the United States, even if this mollusk was present in Europe before the last glaciation [32] and was then bounded in some basins of Eastern 86 Europe in the post-glacial period until the 18th century [33]. The human activity has then favored 87 88 the distribution of *D. polymorpha* all over its original European areal; in Italy, for example, this bivalve has first been found in 1973 [34] and its presence in the Italian inland waters has been 89 90 confirmed by subsequent studies [35,36,37]. Therefore, the idea of using this invasive species for anthropic purposes (bio-filtration, human food, animal feed, fertilizer and biogas) [29] would be of 91 huge interest, especially in the economic sphere. On the basis of these above mentioned studies on 92 D. polymorpha, we assessed the efficiency of this bivalve as a new biological method as the last 93 step of wastewater treatment in a conventional WWTP. For this purpose, we built at the Milano-94 Nosedo WWTP (Northern Italy) a pilot-plant in which 40,000 D. polymorpha specimens were 95 added in order to filtrate some types of wastewaters and we subsequently evaluated the abatement 96 97 of some MEs, such as Aluminum (Al), Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe), Manganese (Mn), Nickel (Ni) and Lead (Pb). This study is particularly innovative because, according to our 98 99 knowledge, for the first time, *D. polymorpha* has been used in a real civil WWTP for the removal of some micropollutants. In fact, the few studies conducted using *D. polymorpha* as bio-filtering agent 100

mostly evaluated algal or organic matter removal, but not the abatement of emerging contaminants
(as previously reported in Binelli and co-workers) [21] or potentially toxic metals.

103

104 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

105 2.1 Pilot-plant construction and placement at the Milano-Nosedo WWTP

A scuba diver collected the bivalves from the Lake Maggiore and Lake Lugano, both located close 106 107 to the Italy-Switzerland border. Since it is well-known that D. polymorpha is a biofouling organism [38], we placed approximately 40,000 specimens in an attachment tank in order to let them 108 naturally re-adhered to twenty Plexiglas[®] panels (size: 70x40 cm; Figure 1) via their byssus over a 109 110 period of two weeks. During this acclimatization period, the bivalves were kept in tap water and fed with the green-blue alga Spirulina spp. The Plexiglas[®] panels were then placed into the pilot-plant 111 (Figure 1), a stainless steel tank with a volume of about 1000 L (L=154.0 cm, h=102.0 cm, w=80.5 112 113 cm), where were disposed following a zig-zag pathway (yellow line, Figure 2), in order to increase both the surface and the contact time between the wastewater and each bivalve. In addition to the 114 115 steel tank, we installed a recirculation tank (Figure 1) with a volume of 200 L with a submerged pump to allow a constant wastewater flow (3,500 L/h) into the pilot-plant. The recirculation tank 116 further increases the contact time between the wastewater and the filter-feeding bivalves placed into 117 the pilot-plant, as well as limits the efficiency of settling which would remove part of the 118 contaminants adsorbed on suspended solids. The pilot-plant can directly collect the effluent from 119 the canal placed between the sedimentation tanks and the sand filters of the Nosedo WWTP using a 120 submersible pump (0-5,000 L/h). The installation site of the pilot-plant allows to test a clarified 121 effluent and to avoid the risk that suspended solids can not only compromise the filtration capability 122 of bivalves but also cause the animal death due to gill occlusion. Moreover, the pilot-plant position 123 into the Nosedo WWTP guaranteed the lack of any possible accidental release of D. polymorpha 124 specimens into the surrounding environment because the sand filters and the following process of 125 disinfection with peracetic acid stop and kill any possible leaked organism. 126

127 2.2 Evaluation of D. polymorpha filtration ability

The preliminary tests designed to evaluate the filtering and purifying performance of *D. polymorpha* have been described in detail by Binelli and co-workers [21]. In that study, the following issues have been discussed: 1) the adaptation of *D. polymorpha* to wastewater; 2) the estimation of *D. polymorpha* filtering efficiency; and 3) the analysis of *D. polymorpha* capacity in the removal of a new class of environmental pollutants (PPCPs and illicit drugs).

133

134 2.3 Experimental design and samples collection

As previously described, an important point was the necessity to evaluate the removal efficiency of 135 136 D. polymorpha independently from any other settling process, which would remove the metals adsorbed on suspended solids. The filtering action of D. polymorpha was first evaluated on the 137 138 effluent outflowing secondary settling. However, since this effluent had a very low COD (≈ 10 139 mg/L) and, consequently, a low suspended solid concentration (on which a relevant amount of MEs is normally adsorbed) [39,40], the following tests were performed with three other different 140 wastewater mixtures, previously filtered through a 1 mm mesh bag filter to remove coarse matter. 141 This allowed us to evaluate the filtration efficiency of *D. polymorpha* on wastewater with polluting 142 load and different amounts of suspended particulate, also taking into account that this bivalve 143 144 selects particles for food with a diameter ranging between 15 and 40 µm [41]. The mixtures used in the tests, in addition to 100% outlet, are the following: 25% inlet/75% outlet, 50% inlet/50% outlet 145 and 100% inlet (wastewater incoming at WWTP). The ME removal evidence from wastewater were 146 147 carried out through the measurement of their concentrations in the water samples taken from the 148 pilot-plant with bivalves inside; at the same time, control tests were conducted into the pilot-plant without adhering animals. All tests were performed in triplicate. The ME removal progress was 149 150 monitored for 4 hours, by sampling the wastewaters every 30 min, which enabled to obtain the removal slope for each MEs. We chose to evaluate the ME removal within 4 hours, taking into 151 account that the treated wastewaters remain in the Milano-Nosedo WWTP for about 24 h; thus, the 152

selected time seemed to be a fair compromise in view of integrating the conventional treatment with 153 154 limited dimensional requirements. To check the practicability of such assumptions, we carried out further final tests in single for a period of 24 h, taking only two samples, one at the beginning and 155 one at the end of the tests. The tests were conducted with an initial flow rate corresponding to 3,500 156 L/h, which would imply 18 minute contact time, recirculating the effluent in the pilot-plant 84 times 157 to obtain an overall 24 h contact time. After each test, the entire pilot-plant was washed with tap 158 159 water, to avoid memory-effects related to the previous tests. For this reason, to minimize this problem, as well as to decrease the bivalve stress, the test schedule started with the most diluted 160 waste (100% outlet) and gradually increased its concentration untill 100% inlet. We monitored the 161 162 wastewater temperature both at the beginning and at the end of each tests in order to take into account its possible interference with the filtration activity of zebra mussels. The wastewater 163 temperature within the pilot-plant during the spring season ranged from 14 to 24 °C, comparable 164 165 with the optimal values for *D. polymorpha* filtration activity (10-20 °C) [42]; we can thus exclude any negative interference of temperature on the filtration-removal process. Samples were taken 166 from the pilot-plant at the selected times by the use of a 250 mL plastic bottles, acidified with 1% of 167 HNO₃ and stored at 4 °C at dark until analysis. 168

169

170 2.4 Evaluation of ME abatement

We evaluated the removal of some MEs relatively abundant in civil wastewaters: Aluminum (Al), 171 Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe), Manganese (Mn), Nickel (Ni) and Lead (Pb). The samples, 172 taken from the pilot-plant, were treated according to the CNR IRSA 3010 method. Briefly, an 173 aliquot of each sample was transferred into a flask and heated up to 100 °C to remove turbidity. 174 After cooling, samples were brought back to the starting volume with distilled water. Samples were 175 analyzed in a plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES; OPTIMA 2100 DV, Perkin Elmer; 176 detection limits for each ME: Al 0.5 µg/L; Fe 0.2 µg/L; Mn 0.1 µg/L; Ni 0.5 µg/L; Pb 1.0 µg/L; Cu 177 0.5 µg/L; Cr 0.2 µg/L) equipped with ultrasonic nebulizer (CETAC Ultrasonic Nebulizer, model 178

U5000AT +). The ME concentrations were quantified by a calibration curve at two points, starting
from appropriate dilutions of mixed certificate standard (AccuStandard MES 16-1).

181

182 2.5 Statistical analyses

Data normality and homoscedasticity were verified using the Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's tests, respectively. We performed a statistical comparison (SPSS 21 IBM software package) between tests carried out with and without mussels in the pilot-plant, where the dependent variable is the ME concentration in the wastewater and the fixed factors are the treatment and the exposure time. For all these cases, we conducted the comparison using the two-way analysis of variance (two-way ANOVA; *p<0.05; **p<0.01).

189

190 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

191 3.1 Evaluation of D. polymorpha filtering ability in the ME removal

The results obtained from the tests carried out with a 25% inlet/75% outlet mixture (Figure 3A, B, 192 C, D, E, F, G) showed a good removal performance by D. polymorpha due to the bio-filtration 193 effect, probably because of the suitable concentration of the suspended matter. The removals 194 obtained for each ME tested through the filtering activity of bivalves were always greater than those 195 196 related to the natural sedimentation evaluated in controls. In fact, for the majority of the analyzed MEs, the contribution of the zebra mussel filtration was evident, since the difference between the 197 removal percentage with and without bivalves in the pilot-plant was statistically significant: Al 198 (F=36.809, p<0.01); Fe (F=62.686, p<0.01); Mn (F=125.452, p<0.01); Ni (F= 5.695, p<0.05); Pb 199 (F=16.645, p<0.01); Cu (F=6.220, p<0.05). In detail, observing the trends reported in Figure 3, it 200 has to be highlighted that the differences between the removal percentages measured at the end of 201 the tests reached the 30% for Fe and Pb, while for Al, Ni and Mn the removal was about 20-25% 202 higher than controls. Thus, in only 4 h, zebra mussels have been able to significantly decrease levels 203 of most of the tested MEs, even if the removal of Cu was only 8% higher than natural 204

sedimentation. On the other hand, the time selection to conduct the tests is crucial for the possible 205 206 engineering of the process that cannot be longer than few hours, since the entire cycle of the wastewater treatment ends in about 24 h. Tests carried out by adding 50% of inlet to the WWTP 207 outlet (Figure 4A, B, C, D, E, F, G) showed a lower difference compared to control than the 208 previous tests, probably due to an excessive presence of suspended particulate matter that 209 determined a stress condition to the animals, which may require a longer time than 4 h to acclimate 210 211 and begin the filtering process. Moreover, we cannot exclude the possible presence of toxic compounds into the inlet of WWTP that could have led to a further decrease in the filtration activity. 212 Despite these possible interfering processes, we found statistically significant difference between 213 214 tests carried out with bivalves in the pilot-plant and their respective controls for Al (F=68.587 p<0.01), Mn (F=38.710, p<0.01), Pb (F=26.183, p<0.01), Cu (F=22.861, p<0.01) and Cr (F=4.729, 215 p < 0.01). In this regard, at the end of the test the removal was around 20-25%, comparable to the 216 217 results obtained for the mixture 25% inlet/75% outlet for Al, Mn, Pb and Cu, whilst for the other tested metals it decreased dramatically. The fluctuating values obtained for Ni could be due to the 218 219 low concentration of this metal in the analyzed wastewater (<10 µg/L), taking into account the huge 220 variability of pollutant load in the inlet wastewaters. The role of the initial concentration of metallic elements into the considered mixtures (Table 1), which depends on the WWTP inlet, must always 221 be considered when drawing conclusions in terms of percent removal: if these are very low, small 222 variations (which could also be partly due to analytical reasons) assume relevant percent weight. In 223 both the considered tests (25% inlet/75% outlet and 50% inlet/50% outlet mixtures) negative values 224 of sedimentation, comprised in a range of -5 and -10%, are observable; these values are likely to be 225 related to the coefficient of variation of the method used to perform the wastewater ME 226 quantification. These data do not appear to be random, because, except for the fluctuating values of 227 Ni (Fig. 4E), Mn and Pb showed null sedimentation values in both tests performed (Fig. 3D,F and 228 4D,F). This result can be reasonably related to the chemical speciation phenomenon because these 229 metals can probably be dissolved in water and not bounded to the particulate. Therefore, the 230

observed Mn and Pb removal process carried out by D. polymorpha could mainly be related to 231 232 bioaccumulation. Further studies are needed in order to deepen the knowledge about some of the above-mentioned aspects, as also suggested by Camusso and co-workers [43]. In this regard, the 233 wastewater pH value, which influences the metal speciation, is kept constant in WWTPs and, 234 therefore, should not compromise the *D. polymorpha* purification activity. Finally, with regard to 235 the test with 100% inlet, there has been a serious decline in the bivalves' performance related to a 236 high mortality of the animals (data not shown). This result further confirms how an excessive 237 suspended particulate matter amount and the possible presence of toxic substances into the WWTP 238 can decrease the bivalves filtering capacity and even compromise their health status. However, this 239 240 aspect does not limit the possible engineering of this method, since it would be sufficient to control the particulate matter of the wastewater, as suggested by Binelli and co-workers [21]. Moreover, 241 despite the suspended matter concentration represents a limiting factor of *D. polymorpha* filtering 242 243 capacity, it should be noted that the specimens used in this study are the same used in the pharmaceuticals and illicit drugs removal process, described by Binelli and co-workers [21]. 244 245 Despite an exposure to multiple pollutants, the bivalves' purifying ability is stable during the whole experimental trial, representing a sure advantage in the use of this very resistant organism. 246 Furthermore, the data shown refer to the ME removal within the firsts 4 h of wastewaters exposure 247 248 to D. polymorpha, and that the bivalves' performance can be improved with increasing contact time between mollusk and wastewater, as described below. 249

250

251 3.2 *Time influence on the ME removal by D. polymorpha*

Data obtained by the above-mentioned tests suggested that the contact time between wastewater and the filter-feeding bivalves was probably one of most crucial parameters, affecting the extent of ME removal from wastewater. As previously mentioned, although the increase of contact time could be almost impossible at full scale, we decided to carry out tests 24 h long. On the basis of the results obtained at 4 h, the 24 h tests were performed only on 25% inlet/75% outlet and 50% inlet/50%

outlet mixtures. For most of the MEs, the removal due to mussel filtration was about 70% with the 257 258 25% inlet/75% outlet mixture (Figure 5A). The natural sedimentation, at the same time, removed 50% of Cr and Fe and, surprisingly, only 10-25% of Cu, Mn and Pb (Figure 5A). Thus, zebra 259 mussels' filtration is able to increase the removal of Pb and Mn by about 60% with respect to the 260 settling effect in blanks. Notably, Cr removal appeared very interesting because of its high toxicity 261 for aquatic organisms [44,45]; in fact, D. polymorpha completely removed it in 24 h, while the 262 blank removal was only 50%. Therefore, contact time seems to affect significantly the extent of ME 263 removal by the filter-feeding bivalves, considering that at the end of the firsts 4 h the mean removal 264 was 20% higher with D. polymorpha than in the blank tests. This was also confirmed in the test 265 266 performed with the 50% inlet/50% outlet mixture (Figure 5B), where the ME removal due to D. polymorpha was always over 70%. In particular, for Cu, Mn and Pb the net removal due to D. 267 polymorpha (calculated as the difference from the blank removal) was 50%, 70% and 60%, 268 269 respectively. At the same time, the high removal observed for Ni contradicts the results obtained in the experimental data set. The 24 h tests, although only performed in single and therefore needing 270 further confirmation, provide first evidence that better ME removal performances may be obtained 271 by increasing the contact time between the bivalves and the feed. Further, the obtained data may 272 indicate that the bivalve could need a period of acclimation to the wastewater, especially if 273 274 characterized by a considerable amount of suspended particulate material, before starting the filtration process. 275

276

277 4. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Due to the scarcity of scientific data regarding the use of *D. polymorpha* in the wastewater treatment context, we faced many technical and logistical problems during our research, not foreseeable during the experimental design drafting; in fact, the best performances of bio-filtration were obtained with prolonged exposure times (24 h) and with moderate amounts of particulates. Therefore, the ability of *D. polymorpha* to remove certain types of pollutants from pretreated

wastewater could suggest, in a possible future research or in an engineered scenario, the placement of this filter-feeding bivalve as the last step of conventional WWTPs or to include it in other natural systems, such as constructed wetlands or lagooning, where the hydraulic retention time is of one or more days, and thus a longer contact time between wastewater and the bivalves is allowed. Furthermore, in future studies, it would be interesting to investigate the ME removal mechanisms and to monitorate the fate and presence of MEs in the bivalve soft tissues, shells, feces and pseudofaeces.

290

291 5. CONCLUSIONS

This work, according to our knowledge, represents one of the very few studies concerning the 292 possibility to use bivalves in the wastewater treatment processes. The results appear to be very 293 encouraging, considering that the use of non-native species, such as D. polymorpha, for 294 295 anthropogenic purposes, could have interesting economic implications and represents an important starting point for the alien species exploitation. In this regard, the prevention strategies regarding 296 297 the non-native and invasive species introduction determine complex social and ethical implications; furthermore, while the procedures on how to respond to invasions have been delineated, their 298 application is still severely limited. Therefore, in the exclusive case of D. polymorpha, it may be 299 300 advantageous to exploit the potential of this bivalve, now almost present in all the Europe inland waters. This will not certainly be an easy process; in fact, being D. polymorpha considered a serious 301 threat for the aquatic environment and a dangerous fouling agent of many industrial structures 302 [46,47], is poorly perceived by the scientific community as a valid filtering factor, despite the 303 presence of encouraging results in the depuration context [28,48,25,49,30,21]. In this regard, the 304 construction of appropriate facilities for bio-filtration, followed by further downstream treatment 305 aimed to contain bivalves accidentally leaked from the plant (such as the peracetic acid treatment 306 and sand filters) would avoid the problem related to fouling. The ideal condition would be to use 307 native bivalves, such as unionids; however these mollusks, besides being affected by a serious 308

309 population decline [50], have a parasite larval stage that would be disadvantageous for the 310 engineering of the bio-filtration process. Once contaminated by the filtration process, the bivalves 311 may then be dehydrated and stored in dedicated landfills or incinerated, as it is currently the case for 312 sewage sludges.

313

314 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We want to thank the Milano-Nosedo WWTP for the willingness in this study and for providing the facilities and areas, which have been essential to carry out the research. We also mean to mention the Aqualab Foundation for their support to this project and the Cariplo Foundation who co-funded this research.

319

320 7. REFERENCES

- [1] C.J. Williams, D. Aderhold, G.J. Edyvean, Comparison between biosorbents for the removal of
 metal ions from aqueous solutions, Water Res. 32 (1998) 216-224.
- [2] K. Kadirvelu, K. Thamaraiselvi, C. Namasivayam, C., Removal of heavy metal from industrial
 wastewaters by adsorption onto activated carbon prepared from an agricultural solid waste,
 Bioresour. Technol. 76 (2001) 63-65.
- [3] V.J. Inglezakis, M.D. Loizidou, H.P. Grigoropoulou, Ion exchange of Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Cr³⁺
 on natural clinoptilolite: selectivity determination and influence of acidity on metal uptake, J.
 Colloid Interf. Sci. 261 (2003) 49-54.
- [4] R. Mailler, J. Gasperi, V. Rocher, S. Gilbert-Pawlik, D. Geara-Matta, R. Moilleron, G. Chebbo,
 Biofiltration vs. conventional activated sludge plants: what about priority and emerging
 pollutants removal? Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 21 (2014) 5379-5390.
- [5] J.T. Matheickal, Q. Yu, J. Feltham, Cu^(II) binding by *E. radiata* biomaterial. Environ. Technol.
 18 (1987) 25-34.

- [6] B.W. Atkinson, F. Bux, H.C. Kasan, Consideration for application of biosorption technology to 334 335 remediate metal contaminated industrial effluents, Water S. A. 24 (1998) 129-135.
- [7] S.S. Ahluwalia, D. Goyal, Microbial and plant derived biomass for removal of heavy metals 336 from wastewater, Bioresour. Technol. 98 (2007) 2243-2257. 337
- [8] E. Guibal, C. Roulph, P.L. Cloirec, Uranium biosorption by a filamentous fungus *Mucor miehei*: 338 pH effect on mechanisms and performances of uptake, Water Res. 26 (1992) 1139-1145.
- [9] T.C. Beveridge, R.J. Doyle, Metal ions and bacteria, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1989. 340
- [10] B. Volesky, Biosorption of Heavy Metals, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1990. 341
- [11] M. Galun, E. Galun, B.Z. Siegel, P. Keller, H. Lehr, S.M. Siegel, Recovery of metal ions from 342 343 aqueous solutions by *Penicillium* biomass: kinetic and uptake parameters, Water Air Soil Poll. 33 (1987) 359-371. 344
- [12] G.M. Gadd, Microbial control of heavy metal pollution, in: J.C. Fry, G.M. Gadd, R.A. Herbert, 345 346 C.W. Jones, I.A. Watson-Craik eds, Microbial control of pollution, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. 347
- [13] K.R. Reddy, Waterhyacinth for water quality improvement and biomass production, J. Environ. 348 Qual. 13 (1984) 1-8. 349
- [14] E. Pip, J. Stepaniuk, Cadmium, copper and lead in sediments and aquatic macrophytes in the 350
- 351 Lower Nelson River system, Manitoba, Canada, in: Interspecific differences and macrophytesediment relations, Arch. Hydrobiol. 124 (1992) 337-355. 352
- [15] G. Certini, R. Scalenghe, La depurazione delle acque reflue in sistemi semi-naturali, 353 Agribusiness Paesaggio & Ambiente 3 (1999) 29-37. 354
- [16] S. Dhote, S. Dixit, Water quality improvement through macrophytes-a review, Environ. Monit. 355 Assess. 152 (2009) 149-153. 356
- [17] F.D. Ledda, R. Pronzato, R. Manconi, Mariculture for bacterial and organic waste removal: a 357 field study of sponge filtering activity in experimental farming, Aquac. Res. 45 (2012) 1389-358 1401. 359

- 360 [18] J.D. Ackerman, Effect of velocity on the filter feeding of dreissenid mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha* and *Dreissena bugensis*): implications for trophic dynamics, Can. J. Fish. Aquat.
 362 Sci. 56 (1999) 1551-1561.
- 363 [19] B.S. Baldwin, M.S. Mayer, J. Dayton, N. Pau, J. Mendilla, M. Sullivan, A. Moore, A. Ma, E.L.
- Mills, Comparative growth and feeding in zebra and quagga mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha* and *Dreissena bugensis*): implications for North American lakes, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 59 (2002) 680-684.
- [20] D.A. Pathy, 1994, The life history and demography of zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha*,
 populations in Lake St. Clair, Lake Erie, and Lake Ontario, M. Sc. Thesis, University of
 Guelph, Guelph, Ontario. Volume 33/02 of Masters Abstracts.
- [21] A. Binelli, S. Magni, C. Soave, F. Marazzi, E. Zuccato, S. Castiglioni, M. Parolini, V.
 Mezzanotte, The biofiltration process by the bivalve *D. polymorpha* for the removal of some
 pharmaceuticals and drugs of abuse from civil wastewaters, Ecol. Eng. 71 (2014) 710-721.
- 373 [22] T.J. Naimo, A review of the effects of heavy metals on freshwater mussels, Ecotoxicology 4
 374 (1995) 341-362.
- [23] H.H. Reeders, A. Bij de Vaate, Bioprocessing of polluted suspended matter from the water
 column by the zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha* Pallas), Hydrobiologia 239 (1992) 53-63.
- 377 [24] H. Silverman, J.W. Lynn, T.H. Dietz, Particle Capture by the Gills of *Dreissena polymorpha*:
 378 Structure and Function of Latero-frontal Cirri, Biol. Bull. 191 (1996) 42-54.
- 379 [25] P. Elliott, D.C. Aldridge, G.D. Moggridge, Zebra mussel filtration and its potential uses in
 380 industrial water treatment, Water Res. 42 (2008) 1664-1674.
- [26] R. Sousa, J.L. Gutiérrez, D.C. Aldridge, Non-indigenous invasive bivalves as ecosystem
 engineers, Biol. Invasions 11 (2009) 2367-2385.
- [27] Z. Piesik, Biology of *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pall.) settling on stylon nets and the role of this
 mollusc in eliminating the seston and the nutrients from the watercourse, Pol. Arch.
 Hydrobiol. 30 (1983) 353-361.

- [28] A.F. Richter, Biomanipulation and its feasibility for water quality management in shallow
 eutrophic water bodies in the Netherlands, Hydrobiol. Bull. 20 (1986) 165-172.
- [29] N. Stybel, C. Fenske, G. Schernewski, Mussel cultivation to improve water quality in the
 Szczecin Lagoon, J. Coastal Res. 56 (2009) 1459-1463.
- [30] C. McLaughlan, D.C. Aldridge, Cultivation of zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) within
 their invaded range to improve water quality in reservoir, Water Res. 47 (2013) 4357-4369.
- [31] R. Sousa, A. Novais, R. Costa, D. Strayer, Invasive bivalves in fresh waters: impacts from
 individuals to ecosystems and possible control strategies, Hydrobiologia 735 (2014) 233-251.
- Ya.J. Starobogatov, S.I. Andreeva, Distribution and history, in: Ya.I. Starobogatov ed,
 Frashwater Zebra Mussel *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pall.) (Bivalvia, Dreissenidae), Systematics,
 ecology, Practical Meaning., Nauka Press, Moscow (in Russia), 1994.
- Minchin, H. Rosenthal, M. Voigt, M. eds, case histories on introduced species: their general biology, distribution, range expansion and impact, Logos-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1999.

[33] S. Olenin, M. Orlova, D. Minchin, Dreissena polymorpha (Pallas, 1771), in: S. Gollasch, D.

- 400 [34] F. Giusti, E. Oppi, *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pallas) nuovamente in Italia. (Bivalvia,
 401 Dreissenidae), Mem. Mus. Civ. St. Nat. Verona 20 (1973) 45-49.
- 402 [35] C. Spilinga, U. Chiappafreddo, Q. Pirisinu, *Dreissena polymorpha* (Pallas) al Lago Trasimeno,
 403 Riv. Idrobiol. 39 (2000) 145-152.
- 404 [36] M. Bodon, S. Cianfanelli, G. Manganelli, L. Castagnolo, E. Pezzoli, F. Giusti, Mollusca
 405 Bivalvia, in: S. Ruffo, F. Stoch eds, Checklist e distribuzione della fauna italiana, Mem. Mus.
 406 Civ. St. Nat. Verona 2. Serie, Sez. Scienze della Vita, (2005) 83-84.
- 407 [37] S. Cianfanelli, E. Lori, M. Bodon, Non-indigenous freshwater molluscs and their distribution
 408 in Italy, in: Biological invaders in inland waters: profiles, distribution, and threats, Springer,
 409 Netherlands, 2007.
- 410 [38] G. Arpita, L. Ohana, D.E. Sone, Byssal proteins of the freshwater zebra mussel, *Dreissena*411 *polymorpha*, Biofouling 29 (2013) 77-85.

- 412 [39] A. Tessier, P.G.C. Campbell, Partitioning of trace metals in sediments: relationship with
 413 bioavailability, Hydrobiologia 149 (1987) 43-52.
- [40] W. Calmano, J. Hong, U. Förstner, Binding and mobilization of heavy metals in contaminated
 sediments affected by pH and redox potential, Water Sci. Technol. 28 (1993) 223-235.
- 416 [41] E.H.T. Winkel, C. Davids, Food selection by *Dreissena polymorpha* Pallas (Mollusca:
 417 Bivalvia), Freshwater Biol. 12 (1982) 553-558.
- [42] R.F. McMahon, The physiological ecology of the zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha*, in
 North America and Europe, Am. Zool. 36 (1996) 339-363.
- 420 [43] M. Camusso, R. Balestrini, A. Binelli, Use of zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha) to assess
- 421 trace metal contamination in the largest Italian subalpine lakes, Chemosphere 44 (2001) 263422 270.
- 423 [44] J.O. Nriagu, E. Nieboer, Chromium in the natural and human environments, Wiley424 Interscience, New York, 1988.
- [45] P. Chandra, K. Kulshreshtha, Chromium accumulation and toxicity in aquatic vascular plants,
 Bot. Rev. 70 (2004) 313-327.
- 427 [46] R. Claudi, G.L. Mackie, Practical manual for zebra mussel monitoring and control, Lewis,
 428 Boca Raton, Florida, 1994.
- [47] P. Elliott, D.C. Aldridge, G.D. Moggridge, The increasing effects of zebra mussels on water
 installations in England, Water Environ. Manage. 19 (2005) 367-375.
- [48] A.E. Antsulevich, Artificial reefs project for improvement of water quality and environmental
 enhancement of Neva Bay (St. Petersburg County region), Bull. Mar. Sci. 55 (1994) 11891202.
- 434 [49] G. Schernewski, N. Stybel, T. Neumann, Zebra mussel farming in the Szczecin (Oder) Lagoon:
 435 water-quality objectives and cost-effectiveness, Ecol. Soc. 17 (2012) 4.
- 436 [50] A.E. Bogan, Freshwater bivalve extinctions (Mollusca: Unionoida): a search for causes, Am.
 437 Zool. 33 (1993) 599-609.



Fig. 1: Structure of the pilot-plant located at the Milano-Nosedo WWTP.



Fig.2: Plexiglas[®] panels placed into the pilot-plant. The yellow line indicates the zig-zag flow pathway of wastewater within the pilot-plant.



Α

D

G









With D. polymorpha Without D. polymorpha

120

Time (min)

180

240

60

0

Ni

Fig.3: Mean trends (\pm SEM) of metallic element removal during the first 4 h (240 min; Aluminum, A; Chromium, B; Iron, C; Manganese, D; Nickel, E; Lead, F; Copper, G) with *D. polymorpha* (blue curve) and without bivalves (red curve) inside the pilot-plant for the 25% inlet/75% outlet mixture. The differences between controls and treated, with the exception of Chromium, were statistically significant (Two-way ANOVA).





Fig.4: Mean trends (\pm SEM) of metallic element removal during the first 4 h (240 min; Aluminum, A; Chromium, B; Iron, C; Manganese, D; Nickel, E; Lead, F; Copper, G) with *D. polymorpha* (blue curve) and without bivalves (red curve) inside the pilot-plant for the 50% inlet/50% outlet mixture. The differences between controls and treated, with the exception of Nickel and Iron, were statistically significant (Two-way ANOVA).

С

F

¹²⁰ 180 Time (min)

240

60

60

0

120

Time (min)

180

240



Fig.5: Removal percentage of metallic elements from wastewater after 24 h in the 25% inlet/75% outlet (A) and 50% inlet/50% outlet (B) mixtures.

test without D. polymorpha									test with D. polymorpha							
25% IN/ 75% OUT																
	A1	Cr	Fe	Mn	Ni	Рb	Cu	A1	Cr	Fe	Mn	Ni	Рb	Cu		
	56.6	2.5	802.4	41.9	5.2	2.7	11.7	67.5	1.5	249.2	15.1	3.3	3.0	11.7		
50% IN/ 50% OUT																
	A1	Cr	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Cu	A1	Cr	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Cu		
	88.2	3.4	469.3	25.8	4.5	3.4	11.6	103.2	2.1	272.7	17.4	2.2	3.4	13.6		

Table 1: Initial concentrations (μ g/L) of metallic elements detected in 25% IN/ 75% OUT and 50% IN/ 50% OUT mixtures into the pilot-plant at the beginning of the removal tests without and with *D. polymorpha*. The data related to the initial concentration of COD and total suspended solids into the two considered mixtures are shown in Binelli et al., 2014.