Ann Thorac Surg 2015;100:1966-77

References

- 1. Koch CG, Reineks EZ, Tang AS, et al. Contemporary bloodletting in cardiac surgical care. Ann Thorac Surg 2015;99: 779-85.
- 2. Freise KJ, Widness JA, Veng-Pedersen P. Erythropoietic response to endogenous erythropoietin in premature very low birth weight infants. J Pharacol Exp Ther 2010;332:229-37.
- 3. Carroll PD, Widness JA. Nonpharmacological, blood conservation techniques for preventing neonatal anemia-effective and promising strategies for reducing transfusion. Semin Perinatol 2012;36:232-43.
- 4. Henry E, Christensen RD, Sheffield MJ, et al. Why do four NICUs using identical RBC transfusion guidelines have different gestational age-adjusted RBC transfusion rates? J Perinatol 2015;35:132-6.

## Does Visceral Pleural Invasion Affect Prognosis in Stage I Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer? To the Editor:

We read with interest the paper by Huang and colleagues [1]. It is an interesting metaanalysis investigating the role of visceral pleural invasion (VPI) as a prognostic factor in resected stage I non-small cell lung cancer. In particular, the authors focused their attention on VPI effect in relation to tumor size. In fact, some studies suggest that VPI is not influential in tumors smaller than 3 cm, not supporting the upstage to T2a.

Instead, their results showed that VPI was associated with a poorer overall survival and with a higher risk of recurrence in stage I patients. Moreover, VPI was also independent from tumor size and histology type in affecting overall survival and recurrence-free survival. Therefore, they support T2 upstaging in case of VPI independently by tumor size and suggest the use of adjuvant treatment (e.g., for stage II patients) independently by histology.

Our main concerns are the characteristics of patients conclusion in the study. The authors declare that confounders such as type of operation were adjusted, but do not report any data regarding margins and nodal dissection. However, it is a common opinion that the kind of resection, the margin extent, and the hilar nodal dissection are significant in determining overall survival and recurrence-free survival [2, 3]. Furthermore, these data are essential when comparing tumors smaller than 3 cm, because currently many different surgical approaches are usually adopted in their management (open versus video-assisted, anatomic versus nonanatomic resection, lobar versus sublobar resection).

Our second concern is the heterogeneity of VPI diagnostic methods adopted by pathologists in the studies included in this metaanalysis. This is an important bias as confirmed by the authors themselves.

To conclude, Huang and coworkers' results are interesting. However, to obtain conclusive data regarding the prognostic factor of VPI in small tumor, a multicenter prospective study is recommended.

Alessandro Baisi, MD Federico Raveglia, MD

Thoracic Surgery Unit Azienda Ospedaliera San Paolo University of Milan Milan, Italy

Matilde De Simone, MD, PhD Ugo Cioffi, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery University of Milan Via Francesco Sforza 35 Milan, 20122, Italy e-mail: ugo.cioffi@guest.unimi.it

## References

- 1. Huang H, Wang T, Hu B, Pan C. Visceral pleural invasion remains a size-independent prognostic factor in stage I nonsmall cell lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2015;99:1130-9.
- 2. Baisi A, Raveglia F, De Simone M, Cioffi U. Sublobar resection for non-small cell lung cancer: what really affects the outcome? Ann Thorac Surg 2014;98:387-8.
- 3. Baisi A, De Simone M, Cioffi U, Raveglia F. Should pulmonary lobectomy be replaced by sublobar resection in patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;147:1997-8.

## CORRECTION

Black MC, Trivedi J, Schumer EM, Bousamra M II, van Berkel V. Double lung transplants have significantly improved survival compared with single lung transplants in high lung allocation score patients. Ann Thorac Surg 2014;98: 1737-41.

In the above-referenced article, the authors note an error in the results section that reads "At 1 and 2 years, respectively, 49% and 27% of patients with a high LAS who received a unilateral transplant were alive, whereas 57% and 32% of patients with a high LAS who received a bilateral transplant were alive." The text should read "At 1 and 2 years, respectively, 66% and 53% of patients with a high LAS who received a unilateral transplant were alive, whereas 78% and 72% of patients with a high LAS who received a bilateral transplant were alive." These are the results as depicted in Figure 2 of the manuscript. The authors regret this error.