Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring

Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Untreated and Treated Hypertensive Patients at High Altitude The High Altitude Cardiovascular Research–Andes Study

Grzegorz Bilo, Francisco C. Villafuerte, Andrea Faini, Cecilia Anza-Ramírez, Miriam Revera, Andrea Giuliano, Sergio Caravita, Francesca Gregorini, Carolina Lombardi, Elisabetta Salvioni, Jose Luis Macarlupu, Deborah Ossoli, Leah Landaveri, Morin Lang, Piergiuseppe Agostoni, José Manuel Sosa, Giuseppe Mancia, Gianfranco Parati

Abstract—Blood pressure increases during acute exposure to high altitude in healthy humans. However, little is known on altitude effects in hypertensive subjects or on the treatment efficacy in this condition. Objectives of High Altitude Cardiovascular Research (HIGHCARE)-Andes Lowlanders Study were to investigate the effects of acute high-altitude exposure on 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure in hypertensive subjects and to assess antihypertensive treatment efficacy in this setting. One hundred untreated subjects with mild hypertension (screening blood pressure, 144.1±9.8 mmHg systolic, 92.0±7.5 mmHg diastolic) were randomized to double-blind placebo or to telmisartan 80 mg+modified release nifedipine 30 mg combination. Twenty-four-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring was performed off-treatment, after 6 weeks of treatment at sea level, on treatment during acute exposure to high altitude (3260 m) and immediately after return to sea level. Eighty-nine patients completed the study (age, 56.4±17.6 years; 52 men/37 women; body mass index, 28.2±3.5 kg/ m²). Twenty-four-hour systolic blood pressure increased at high altitude in both groups (placebo, 11.0±9 mmHg; P<0.001 and active treatment, 8.1±10.4 mm Hg; P<0.001). Active treatment reduced 24-hour systolic blood pressure both at sea level and at high altitude (147.9 ± 11.1 versus 132.6 ± 12.4 mmHg for placebo versus treated; P<0.001; 95% confidence interval of the difference 10.9–19.9 mmHg) and was well tolerated. Similar results were obtained for diastolic, for daytime blood pressure, and for nighttime blood pressure. Treatment was well tolerated in all conditions. Our study demonstrates that (1) 24-hour blood pressure increases significantly during acute high-altitude exposure in hypertensive subjects and (2) treatment with angiotensin receptor blocker-calcium channel blocker combination is effective and safe in this condition.

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT01830530. (Hypertension. 2015;65:1266-1272. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.05003.) • Online Data Supplement

Key Words: altitude ■ anoxia ■ antihypertensive agents ■ blood pressure ■ blood pressure monitoring, ambulatory

A cute exposure to high-altitude hypoxia induces important changes in cardiovascular regulation,¹⁻⁴ including an increase in blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR).^{2,5-8} Millions of subjects travel for relatively short periods of time to high altitude either for work or for leisure including many affected by hypertension⁹ in whom the pressor effect of high altitude may be relevant. Limited information is available, however, on the acute BP effects of high altitude in hypertensive subjects,¹⁰ and on the effectiveness and tolerability of antihypertensive drugs under these circumstances. As a result, the few recommendations published on the management of hypertensive subjects planning to spend time at high altitude for either leisure or work are largely based on experts' opinion rather than on evidence.^{2,11–14}

Both angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) and dihydropyridine calcium antagonists are widely used for the monotherapy of hypertension, and their combination has been included among the preferred therapeutic choices by recent

Received December 4, 2014; first decision December 21, 2014; revision accepted March 27, 2015.

This article was sent to Takayoshi Ohkubo, Guest Editor, for review by expert referees, editorial decision, and final disposition.

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this article at http://hyper.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA. 114.05003/-/DC1.

Hypertension is available at http://hyper.ahajournals.org

From the Department of Cardiovascular, Neural and Metabolic Sciences, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy (G.B., A.F., M.R., A.G., S.C., F.G., C.L., D.O., G.M., G.P.); Laboratorio de Fisiologia Comparada, Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas y Fisiológicas, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru (F.C.V., C.A.-R., J.L.M., L.L., J.M.S.); Department of Health Sciences, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy (S.C., G.M., G.P.); Heart Failure Unit, Centro Cardiologico Monzino, IRCCS, Milan, Italy (E.S., P.A.); Departamento De Ciencias De La Rehabilitación Y Movimiento Humano, Universidad de Antofagasta, Antofagasta, Chile (M.L.); and Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Cardiovascular Section, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy (P.A.).

Correspondence to Gianfranco Parati, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Ospedale San Luca, Piazzale Brescia 20, Milan, Italy. E-mail gianfranco.parati@unimib.it © 2015 American Heart Association, Inc.

guidelines.^{15,16} Furthermore, the calcium antagonist nifedipine lowers pulmonary pressure typically elevated at high altitude and is used in prevention and treatment of high-altitude pulmonary edema.⁴

Aims of the present study were to extend the information on the acute effects of high altitude on conventional and 24-hour BP obtained in previous studies in normotensive volunteers^{8,17} to hypertensive subjects residing at sea level, and to determine whether the efficacy of antihypertensive treatment with the combination of an ARB (telmisartan) and a slow release calcium antagonist (nifedipine gastrointestinal therapeutic system [GITS]) is maintained at high altitude.

The study was conducted in the frame of the High Altitude Cardiovascular Research (HIGHCARE)–Andes Lowlanders Study.

Methods

This was a single-center, parallel-group, randomized, double-blind, controlled trial comparing the 24-hour BP effects of telmisartan 80 mg combined with nifedipine gastrointestinal therapeutic system 30 mg against placebo at sea level and at an altitude of 3260 m.

Participants

Subjects with known or suspected arterial hypertension, residing permanently in the metropolitan area of Lima, Peru (altitude <500 m), were screened. Subjects were included if they fulfilled the following criteria: age between 18 and 65 years; permanent residence at low (<500 m) altitude; conventional systolic BP (SBP) between 140 and 159 mm Hg or conventional diastolic BP (DBP) between 90 and 99 mmHg and mean daytime BP: 135≤SBP<150 mmHg or 85≤DBP<95 mmHg in the absence of antihypertensive treatment (see below); written informed consent was obtained to participate in the study. We excluded subjects who would likely have BP in moderate to severe range after washout, those with contraindications to either study drug, with history of serious mountain sickness, recently exposed to high altitude, with secondary hypertension or other relevant diseases, severe obesity and pregnant women (detailed criteria are available in Box S1 in the online-only Data Supplement).

All subjects underwent a general health check before the expedition. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of participating institutions in Italy and Peru and by Peruvian Drug Agency. The study was conducted in agreement with Declaration of Helsinki principles.

Study Organization

Subjects' eligibility criteria were assessed at the screening visit at sea level (visit 0). A baseline sea level visit (visit 1) was then performed (after 4 weeks washout in treated subjects), after which eligible subjects were randomized to placebo or active treatment group and remained on the assigned treatment until study end. Two sea level visits were then performed: visit 2 (safety visit, 2 weeks) and visit 3 (6 weeks) when study assessments were repeated. Two to 10 days after visit 3, the participants were brought by car to high altitude (Huancayo, Peru, 3260 m) where they stayed for 3 days (2 nights) during which study assessments were repeated (visit 4). On the morning of the first or second day after the return to sea level, visit 5 took place during which conventional BP was measured and the ambulatory BP monitor was placed. The details on the design of the study are shown in Figure 1 and Figure S1 in the online-only Data Supplement.

Study Drugs

Commercially available tablets of nifedipine gastrointestinal therapeutic system 30 mg and telmisartan 80 mg were used in the active treatment arm, whereas sucrose was used as placebo. Active medications and placebo were placed in identical capsules to be taken in a single morning administration. Throughout the study, the use of other antihypertensive medication or of drugs aimed at preventing highaltitude sickness was not allowed. In case of acute mountain sickness symptoms, an appropriate medical therapy was allowed.

Randomization and Blinding

A randomization list was generated before the study start. Randomization was performed within blocks including between 4 and 8 participants, without stratification. At inclusion, each participant received consecutive identification code associated with one of the treatment groups. Throughout the study, all subjects and investigators were blind to the treatment administered.

Measurements

Information collected during the study included clinical history, symptoms and adverse events, conventional BP and HR, 24-hour ambulatory BP and HR, respiratory rate, body height and weight, waist circumference, blood oxygen saturation (SpO₂), Lake Louise Score of acute mountain sickness,¹⁸ and other variables, not discussed in this article.

Conventional BP and HR were obtained as the average of 2 seated measurements performed 1 to 2 minutes apart after at least 5 minutes of rest on the nondominant arm using a validated oscillometric device (UA-767 Plus; AND, Tokyo, Japan).¹⁹ Twenty-four-hour ambulatory BP monitoring was performed using validated oscillometric devices (TM-2430; AND, Tokya, Japan),²⁰ applied to the nondominant arm in the morning and removed after 24 hours. At high altitude (visit 4), the device was always placed in the morning of the first day after the arrival, that is, after 13 to 17 hours of permanence (Figure S1). The subjects were instructed to attend at their usual activities during the monitoring period, while avoiding strenuous exercise. Measurements were programmed every 15 minutes during daytime (7-23 hours) and every 20 minutes at nighttime (23-7 hours). Mean values were computed for SBP, DBP, and HR over 24 hours, daytime, and nighttime (defined based on subjects' activity logbook). Nocturnal BP fall was calculated as percent reduction of mean BP at nighttime from the mean daytime value. Only recordings with at least 70% of expected



Figure 1. Study design and visits. ABPM indicates ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.

Downloaded from http://hyper.ahajournals.org/ at Università degli Studi di Milano on September 11, 2015

readings rated as valid were considered.²¹ Spot measurement of transcutaneous blood oxygen saturation (SpO_2) was performed during sea level visits and on day 1 (evening of the arrival day), day 2, and day 3 (both in the morning) of high-altitude permanence with pulse oximeter (RAD-5; Masimo Corp, Irvine, CA).

Study Variables

Twenty-four-hour ambulatory mean SBP at V4 was the primary efficacy variable. Secondary efficacy variables included: 24-hour DBP, daytime, and nighttime mean SBP and DBP at high altitude (V4), 24-hour, daytime, and nighttime mean SBP and DBP at sea level (V3); nocturnal fall of SBP and DBP at high altitude (V4) and at sea level (V3); conventional SBP and DBP at high altitude (V4) and at sea level (V3).

Safety variables included adverse events, vital signs, routine laboratory blood tests performed during treatment and, at high altitude, additionally Lake Louise Score and SpO₂.

Sample Size

On the basis of data from previous studies,^{8,17} we estimated that the primary efficacy variable (24-hour ambulatory SBP at high altitude) would have a SD of 12 mmHg within each group. On the basis of this estimate, 84 patients (42 per each of the 2 treatment groups) were needed to identify an 8 mmHg difference in the primary efficacy variable between the study groups with a power of 80% and type I error rate of 0.05, assuming a 10% patient dropout. To account for a possible imprecision in the estimate of SD (based on studies in normotensive subjects) and of dropout rate, we decided to recruit a total of 50 subjects per group.

Statistical Analysis

The primary efficacy analysis was performed per protocol, ie, in subjects who had completed all study visits and showed no major protocol deviations. The safety analysis was performed in subjects in whom at least 1 dose of study medication was administered.

R software version 2.15.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) was used. Continuous variables are reported as mean±SD or (in adjusted models) as least square mean±SE. Differences between groups at baseline were assessed for categorical variables with χ^2 test and for continuous variables with unpaired 2-tailed Student *t* test.

To assess the combined effect of altitude level and treatment group, we used the linear mixed-effects models package (nlme, linear, and nonlinear mixed-effects models) accounting for repeated measurements, with a compound symmetry covariance structure, fitting the models by maximizing the restricted log-likelihood. Visit 1 values were included as fixed effect in the linear mixed-effects models to

Table 1.	Baseline	Characteristics	of Subjects	Included in
Per-Proto	col Analys	is		

Variable	All (n=89)	T/N (n=47)	PL (n=42)	<i>P</i> Value (T/N vs PL)
Age, y	51.7±8.9	51.5±8.4	52.1±9.5	> 0.20
Sex (M/F)	50/39	27/20	23/19	> 0.20
Height, cm	163.5±8.4	162.4±8.8	164.8±8.0	0.19
Weight, kg	76.1±13.7	73.5±13.9	79.1±13.0	0.05
BMI, kg/m ²	28.3±3.6	27.7±3.5	29.0±3.5	0.08
Current smokers, n (%)	20 (22)	10 (21)	9 (21)	> 0.20
History of dyslipidemia, n (%)	41 (46)	21 (45)	20 (48)	> 0.20
Previous antihypertensive treatment, n (%)	25 (28)	14 (30)	11 (26)	> 0.20

BMI indicates body mass index; F, female; M, male; PL, placebo; and T/N, telmisartan/nifedipine gastrointestinal therapeutic system.

reduce the error variance by accounting for individual differences in responses. For multiple post hoc comparisons, we used the Holm algorithm.²² An α level of 0.05 was used for all hypothesis tests.

Results

Of 332 screened subjects, 100 fulfilled selection criteria and were randomized (age, 55.7 ± 17.2 years; 59 men/41 women). Of those, 89 completed the study and were considered in the per-protocol analysis (Figure S2). There were no significant between-group differences at baseline except for a somewhat higher body weight (*P*=0.05) and body mass index (*P*=0.08) in the placebo group (Table 1).

Effects of High-Altitude in Untreated Subjects

Compared with prealtitude (visit 3) values, conventional and 24-hour SBP and DBP were significantly higher at high altitude (Figure 2). This increase tended to be more pronounced for ambulatory than for conventional BP (P for difference >0.10). The increase was greater during the night than during the daytime (SBP, 9.3 versus 14.0 mmHg; P<0.02 and DBP, 4.4 versus 7.5 mmHg; P < 0.01), with a marked reduction in the size of the nocturnal BP fall (for SBP from 15.5±7.4% to 11.5±8%; P<0.05; Figure S3). The BP changes were accompanied by a significant increase in 24-hour, daytime, and nighttime mean HR, with an attenuation of the nocturnal bradycardia. Increase in BP and HR occurring at altitude largely disappeared on return to sea level (visit 5) although 24-hour, daytime, and nighttime SBP values remained higher after than before altitude exposure (visit 3). Detailed information on BP and HR behavior is shown in Table 2 and Table S1.

Effects of Treatment

After 6 weeks of treatment at sea level, office and ambulatory BP showed, respectively, a small reduction and no reduction at all in the placebo group, whereas in the active treatment group, all BP values were significantly reduced. The 24-hour mean SBP value (primary efficacy variable) was thus lower with active treatment than with placebo at sea level (visit 3) and remained significantly lower at high altitude (visit 4; 147.9±11.1 mm Hg for placebo; 132.6±12.4 mm Hg for active treatment; P<0.001; 95% confidence interval of the difference: 10.9-19.9 mmHg). Similar results were obtained for conventional SBP and DBP, for 24-hour DBP, and for daytime and night-time BP taken separately (Table 2; Figure 2; Table S1). The increase in conventional and 24-hour SBP at high altitude tended to be smaller in the active treatment group, but the difference was not significant (conventional, 2.5 ± 15.0 versus 7.8±15.2 mmHg; P=0.10; 24-hour, 8.1±10.4 versus 11.0±9.0 mmHg; P=0.17 for active treatment and placebo, respectively). No differences in HR were observed between study groups. No sex-related differences were observed in the outcomes.

Safety Results

At sea level, adverse events occurred in 27 subjects: 18 in the active treatment and 9 in the placebo group. None of the adverse events were classified as serious except transient neurological symptoms, which developed in 1 subject in placebo



Figure 2. Mean 24-hour systolic blood pressure (SBP; primary outcome) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) at 4 study visits in participants receiving active treatment (black circles) or placebo (open squares). Comparisons vs visit 3 (sea level, on treatment): §§*P*<0.001, §*P*<0.01. Comparisons active vs placebo: #*P*<0.001.

group, subsequently withdrawn from the study. The details on adverse events are shown in Table S2.

At high-altitude, there were no differences between groups in Lake Louise Score (median for both groups: first day: 1; second day: 2; and third day: 1), while SpO_2 was higher in the active treatment than in placebo group (Figure 3).

Discussion

Our study shows for the first time that in hypertensive patients living at sea level under acute exposure to high altitude (1) a marked increase occurs in conventional and ambulatory BP, accompanied by a reduction in nocturnal BP fall; (2) the combination of 2 antihypertensive drugs (a blocker of the renin–angiotensin system and a long-acting calcium antagonist) although not able to abolish the pressor response to highaltitude exposure, continues to exert a BP-lowering effect as it does at the sea level, with no tolerability and safety problems.

Except for very short exposures to hypoxia (where hypoxiadependent vasodilation may prevail), studies in animals and healthy normotensive individuals have repeatedly documented that conventional BP undergoes a marked increase during acute exposures to altitudes of ≥3000 m.²³⁻²⁷ In healthy normotensive subjects, an increase in ambulatory BP was also shown,^{17,28,29} which becomes progressively more marked as the altitude increases, with a concomitant reduction, at high altitudes, of the nocturnal BP dipping.¹⁷ The present study shows that similar changes occur in hypertensive subjects, regardless of the presence of antihypertensive treatment. In several subjects in our study, 24-hour SBP increased at high altitude by >25 mmHg with average daytime values exceeding 160 mmHg. Although in our subjects with low risk at baseline, this was not associated with immediate safety issues, in individuals at higher cardiovascular risk, the resulting marked increase in left ventricle afterload might be clinically relevant and could contribute to the increased risk of sudden death reported in skiers with preexisting hypertension acutely exposed to high altitude.³⁰

In the present study (in line with what observed in normotensive subjects¹⁷) under acute exposure to high altitude: (1) ambulatory BP increased more than conventional BP; this implies that studies relying on the traditional BP measurement method may underestimate the pressor effects of high altitude; (2) the BP increase was particularly marked during the night, presumably because at high altitude there is a further critical reduction in SpO₂ during sleep,³¹ leading to further sympathetic activation that opposes the sleep-induced hypotension.

Our study was not designed to investigate the mechanisms of BP increase at high altitude in hypertensive subjects. However, we think that it is reasonable to extrapolate the information obtained in normotensive subjects and showing that (1) vasoconstriction causes by chemoreflex-mediated sympathetic activation seems the single most important mechanism involved; (2) other pressor mechanisms, which may play a role, include increased arterial stiffness, endothelin secretion, and blood viscosity; (3) renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system is not likely to be activated.4,17 Apart from hypoxia, other factors associated with highaltitude environment may have contributed to the observed BP changes. Daytime ambient temperature was lower by about 6°C at high altitude than at sea level; however, based on the results of previous studies,^{32,33} its contribution to BP increase in our study probably did not exceed 2 mmHg. Psychological stress related to change of environment could have some relevance, too. Sleep quality and duration might affect nighttime BP but we observed no such relationship. Other potentially relevant factors could include the occurrence of sleep apneas, degree of physical activity, and previous exposures to high altitude.

Interestingly, we observed a marked reduction of nocturnal BP dipping at about 3300 m in hypertensive subjects, whereas in the normotensive participants of the HIGHCARE-HIMALAYA study, a clear-cut blunting of the nighttime BP fall was only observed at a higher altitude of 5400 m.¹⁷ Such a discrepant behavior may have 2 possible explanations: (1) SpO₂ decrease at night is larger in hypertensive than in normotensive subjects or (2) hypertensive subjects have greater chemoreflex sensitivity.³⁴

Another novel and clinically relevant contribution of our study is the information on the BP effects at high altitude

Variability Description Treadment (v) Treadment (v) Treadment (v) Treadment (v) SBP Conv., mmHg Placebo 141.4±12.1 137.9±14.5 145.8±13.7 137.7±12.8 Active 141.0±12.7† 123.3±14.1 125.8±11.8 123.6±15.5 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 24 h, mmHg Placebo 137.6±7.8† 125.1±10.1 132.6±12.4† 126.7±13.1 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Day, mmHg Placebo 144.1±7.8 143.9±8.6 153.3±10.3† 148.2±10.9‡ Active 122.7±13.4 121.7±14.5 135.7±15.9† 128.4±16.6* Active 14.9±6.6 15.5±7.4 11.5±8.0‡ 13.4±8.5 Active 14.1±6.0 16.3±6.4 13.3±8.8.0 16.6±7.6 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 DBP Conv., mmHg Placebo 99.9±8.1 88.9±10.7 92.2±10.5 87.9±11.2 <	Variable	Group	Baseline (V1)	Sea Level on	High Altitude	Return to Sea
Gorv., mm Hg Placebo 141.4±12.1 137.9±14.5 145.8±13.7 137.7±12.8 Active 141.0±12.7† 123.3±14.1 125.8±11.8 123.6±15.5 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001		aroup		freatment (V3)	(+)	
Colm, mming Placebo 141.0e12.17 133.3e14.1 133.2e13.3 133.2e13.5 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001		Placabo	1/1/1/+10.1	127 0+14 5	1//5 9 - 12 7*	127 7+12 8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Conv., minnig	Activo	141.4±12.1	107.9±14.0	145.0±15.7	137.7 ± 12.0 123.6 ± 15.5
24 h, mmHg Placebo 137.6±7.8† 125.1±10.1 132.6±12.4† 141.9±11.6* Active 137.6±7.8† 125.1±10.1 132.6±12.4† 126.7±13.1 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001		Active	141.0±12.7	-0 001	123.0±11.0	123.0±13.3
24 h, mining Placebo 137.620.0 147.3211.11 147.3211.11 Active 137.627.8† 125.1±10.1 132.6±12.4† 126.7±13.1 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001	24 h mm Ha	Placabo	>0.20	<0.001 127 0±10 0	<0.001 147 0+11 1+	<0.001 1/1 0+11 6*
Active 10.057.61 123.1±0.1 123.1±0.1 123.1±0.1 123.1±0.1 120.011 <0.001 <0.001 Day, mmHg Placebo 144.1±7.8 143.9±8.6 153.3±10.31 148.2±10.91 Active 143.6±7.5† 132.0±10.0 138.0±11.1† 133.3±13.6 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001	24 II, IIIIIIIIg	Activo	107.0±0.0	137.0±10.0	147.5±11.1	196 7, 19 1
Day, mm Hg Placebo 144.1±7.8 143.9±8.6 153.3±10.3† 148.2±10.9‡ Active 143.6±7.5† 132.0±10.0 138.0±11.1‡ 133.3±13.6 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001		Active	137.0±7.0]	123.1±10.1	132.0±12.4	120.7±13.1
bdy, mining Placebo 144.127.5 132.92.0 133.321.0.3 143.321.0.3 Active 143.6±7.5† 132.0±10.0 138.0±11.1† 133.3±13.6 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001		P value	>0.20		<0.001	<0.001
Autre 143.027.31 132.0210.0 130.0211.11 133.321.30 Night, mm Hg Placebo 122.7±13.4 121.7±14.5 135.7±15.9† 128.4±16.6* Active 123.5±11.4† 110.4±11.9 119.8±18.1† 111.3±14.6 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001	Day, minng	Activo	144.1±7.0	122.0 10.0	100.0±10.0	122.2 10.3
Praine Solid Colorit C		Active	143.0±7.3	132.0±10.0	130.0±11.1	133.3±13.0
Night, Milling Praceod 122.7±13.4 121.7±13.3 132.5±13.31 122.4±10.31 Active 123.5±11.4† 110.4±11.9 119.8±18.1† 111.3±14.6 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001	Night mm Ha	P value	>0.20		< 0.001	<0.001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Night, IIIII ng	Activo	122.7±13.4	121.7 ± 14.3	135.7±15.9	120.4±10.0
Dip, % Placebo 14.9±6.6 15.5±7.4 11.5±8.0‡ 13.4±8.5 Active 14.1±6.0 16.3±6.4 13.3±8.8.0 16.6±7.6 Pvalue >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 DBP Conv., mmHg Placebo 90.9±8.1 88.9±10.7 92.2±10.5 87.9±11.2 Active 91.0±8.2† 80.6±10.4 83.5±9.2 79.1±9.7 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001		Active	123.5±11.4	110.4±11.9	119.0±10.1	111.3±14.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dip 0/	P value	>0.20	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	DIP, %	Activo	14.9±0.0	15.5±7.4	11.5±0.04	13.4±0.5
Pratite \$0.20 \$0.20 \$0.20 \$0.20 \$0.20 DBP Conv., mm Hg Placebo 90.9±8.1 88.9±10.7 92.2±10.5 87.9±11.2 Active 91.0±8.2† 80.6±10.4 83.5±9.2 79.1±9.7 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001		Active	14.1±0.0	10.3±0.4	13.3±0.0.0	10.0±7.0
DBP Conv., mm Hg Placebo 90.9±8.1 88.9±10.7 92.2±10.5 87.9±11.2 Active 91.0±8.2† 80.6±10.4 83.5±9.2 79.1±9.7 Pvalue >0.20 <0.001	DDD	Pvalue	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Diacaba	00.0.0.1	00.0.10.7	02.2 . 10.5	07.0 . 11.0
Active91.0±8.21 30.0 ± 10.4 35.3 ± 9.2 79.1 ± 9.7 P value>0.20<0.001	Conv., IIIII Hy	Activo	90.9±0.1	00.9±10.7	92.2±10.5	07.9±11.2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Active	91.0±0.21	0.0 ± 10.4	00.0±9.2	/9.1±9.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	01 h mm lla	Pvalue	>0.20	< 0.001		
Active 33.9 ± 7.11 70.3 ± 0.4 30.7 ± 0.21 77.2 ± 7.9 P value>0.20<0.001	24 II, IIIII ⊓g	Activo	03.4±0.0	02.4±1.4	00.0±0.0	04.0±0.4
P value >0.20 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Day, mm HgPlacebo 87.6 ± 6.8 86.9 ± 7.0 $91.3\pm 6.9\dagger$ 88.8 ± 8.3 Active $87.8\pm 7.4\dagger$ 80.4 ± 6.5 $83.7\pm 7.9^*$ 81.4 ± 8.4 P value >0.20 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Night, mm HgPlacebo 73.7 ± 9.1 72.7 ± 9.9 $80.2\pm 9.4\dagger$ 75.2 ± 10.3 Active $74.6\pm 8.6\dagger$ 67.3 ± 7.7 $73.5\pm 10.6\dagger$ 67.5 ± 8.3 P value >0.20 0.023 0.004 <0.001 Dip, %Placebo 15.7 ± 8.7 16.4 ± 8.9 $12.1\pm 8.5\ddagger$ 15.4 ± 8.5 Active 15.0 ± 7.0 16.2 ± 7.5 $12.1\pm 8.2\ddagger$ 17.0 ± 7.5 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 HR 24 h, bpmPlacebo 75.6 ± 8.6 78.1 ± 7.8 $86.5\pm 8.5\dagger$ $75.0\pm 8.2\ddagger$ P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Day, bpmPlacebo 80.0 ± 8.8 82.8 ± 7.7 $89.9\pm 8.4\dagger$ $78.6\pm 8.1*$ Active $78.2\pm 7.9\ddagger$ 81.7 ± 7.5 $88.8\pm 9.2\dagger$ 81.0 ± 8.6 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Day, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm 10.5\dagger$ 66.9 ± 9.5 Active $78.2\pm 7.9\ddagger$ 81.7 ± 7.5 $88.8\pm 9.2\dagger$ 81.0 ± 8.6 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 <		Active	03.9±7.1	70.3±0.4	00.7±0.2	11.2±1.9
Day, miningPlacebo 57.5 ± 0.5 50.5 ± 7.0 51.3 ± 0.51 50.5 ± 0.5 Active $87.8 \pm 7.4^{\dagger}$ 80.4 ± 6.5 $83.7 \pm 7.9^{*}$ 81.4 ± 8.4 P value >0.20 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Night, mm HgPlacebo 73.7 ± 9.1 72.7 ± 9.9 $80.2 \pm 9.4^{\dagger}$ 75.2 ± 10.3 Active $74.6 \pm 8.6^{\dagger}$ 67.3 ± 7.7 $73.5 \pm 10.6^{\dagger}$ 67.5 ± 8.3 P value >0.20 0.023 0.004 <0.001 Dip, %Placebo 15.7 ± 8.7 16.4 ± 8.9 $12.1 \pm 8.5^{\ddagger}$ 15.4 ± 8.5 Active 15.0 ± 7.0 16.2 ± 7.5 $12.1 \pm 8.2^{\ddagger}$ 17.0 ± 7.5 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 HR24 h, bpmPlacebo 75.6 ± 8.6 78.1 ± 7.8 $86.5 \pm 8.5^{\dagger}$ $75.0 \pm 8.2^{\ddagger}$ $Active$ 74.1 ± 7.6 77.0 ± 7.3 $85.3 \pm 9.2^{\dagger}$ 76.8 ± 8.2 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Day, bpmPlacebo 80.0 ± 8.8 82.8 ± 7.7 $89.9 \pm 8.4^{\dagger}$ $78.6 \pm 8.1^{*}$ Active $78.2 \pm 7.9^{\ddagger}$ 81.7 ± 7.5 $88.8 \pm 9.2^{\dagger}$ 81.0 ± 8.6 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Night, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2 \pm 10.5^{\dagger}$ 66.7 ± 8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 </td <td></td> <td>P value</td> <td>>0.20</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		P value	>0.20			
Active 57.5 ± 7.41 50.4 ± 0.3 53.7 ± 7.9 51.4 ± 0.4 Night, mm HgPlacebo 73.7 ± 9.1 72.7 ± 9.9 80.2 ± 9.41 75.2 ± 10.3 Active 74.6 ± 8.61 67.3 ± 7.7 73.5 ± 10.61 67.5 ± 8.3 P value>0.200.0230.004<0.001	Day, IIIII ng	Activo	07.0±0.0	00.9±7.0	91.3±0.9	00.0±0.3
Night, mm HgPlacebo 73.7 ± 9.1 72.7 ± 9.9 $80.2\pm 9.4\dagger$ 75.2 ± 10.3 Active $74.6\pm 8.6\dagger$ 67.3 ± 7.7 $73.5\pm 10.6\dagger$ 67.5 ± 8.3 P value>0.200.0230.004<0.001		Active	07.0±7.4 ≥ 0.20	00.4±0.5	00.7±7.9 ∠0.001	01.4±0.4
Night, HillingPlacebo 73.7 ± 9.1 72.7 ± 9.3 30.2 ± 9.41 73.2 ± 10.3 Active 74.6 ± 8.61 67.3 ± 7.7 73.5 ± 10.61 67.5 ± 8.3 P value>0.20 0.023 0.004 <0.001	Night mm Ha	P value	>0.20	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Active 74.0 ± 8.01 67.3 ± 7.7 73.3 ± 10.01 67.3 ± 0.3 Dip, %Placebo 15.7 ± 8.7 16.4 ± 8.9 $12.1\pm8.5\ddagger$ 15.4 ± 8.5 Active 15.0 ± 7.0 16.2 ± 7.5 $12.1\pm8.2\ddagger$ 17.0 ± 7.5 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20HR24 h, bpmPlacebo 75.6 ± 8.6 78.1 ± 7.8 $86.5\pm8.5\dagger$ $75.0\pm8.2\ddagger$ Active 74.1 ± 7.6 77.0 ± 7.3 $85.3\pm9.2\ddagger$ 76.8 ± 8.2 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Day, bpmPlacebo 80.0 ± 8.8 82.8 ± 7.7 $89.9\pm8.4\ddagger$ $78.6\pm8.1*$ Active $78.2\pm7.9\ddagger$ 81.7 ± 7.5 $88.8\pm9.2\ddagger$ 81.0 ± 8.6 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Day, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm10.5\ddagger$ 66.7 ± 8.1 Night, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm10.5\ddagger$ 66.7 ± 8.1 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 $12.0\pm7.4\ddagger$ 15.1 ± 6.9 Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm6.9*$ 17.2 ± 7.2 Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 $12.0\pm7.4\ddagger$ 15.1 ± 6.9	Night, minng	Activo	73.7±9.1	12.1±9.9	00.2±9.4	75.2±10.5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Active	74.0±0.0] ⊳0.20	07.3±7.7	73.5±10.01	07.3±0.3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Din %	Placabo	>0.20	16.4±9.0	12 1+9 5+	<0.001 15 /+9 5
HRPalue >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 HR24 h, bpmPlacebo 75.6 ± 8.6 78.1 ± 7.8 $86.5\pm 8.5\dagger$ $75.0\pm 8.2\ddagger$ Active 74.1 ± 7.6 77.0 ± 7.3 $85.3\pm 9.2\ddagger$ 76.8 ± 8.2 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Day, bpmPlacebo 80.0 ± 8.8 82.8 ± 7.7 $89.9\pm 8.4\ddagger$ $78.6\pm 8.1*$ Active $78.2\pm 7.9\ddagger$ 81.7 ± 7.5 $88.8\pm 9.2\ddagger$ 81.0 ± 8.6 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Night, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm 10.5\ddagger$ 66.7 ± 8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Night, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm 10.5\ddagger$ 66.7 ± 8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 $12.0\pm 7.4\ddagger$ 15.1 ± 6.9 Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm 6.9*$ 17.2 ± 7.2 Pure to the colored to	Dip, 70	Activo	15.7±0.7	16.9±7.5	12.1±0.0+	13.4±0.5
HR 24 h, bpm Placebo 75.6±8.6 78.1±7.8 86.5±8.5† 75.0±8.2‡ Active 74.1±7.6 77.0±7.3 85.3±9.2† 76.8±8.2 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Day, bpm Placebo 80.0±8.8 82.8±7.7 89.9±8.4† 78.6±8.1* Active 78.2±7.9‡ 81.7±7.5 88.8±9.2† 81.0±8.6 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Night, bpm Placebo 66.1±9.6 67.8±9.4 79.2±10.5† 66.9±9.5 Active 64.3±8.1 67.1±8.2 76.9±10.3† 66.7±8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, % Placebo 17.4±7.4 18.2±7.7 12.0±7.4† 15.1±6.9 Active 17.7±7.0 17.8±7.2 13.2±6.9* 17.2±7.2		Autive	13.0±7.0 >0.20	10.2±1.5	12.1±0.2+	17.0±7.5 ≥0.20
Im24 h, bpmPlacebo 75.6 ± 8.6 78.1 ± 7.8 $86.5\pm 8.5\dagger$ $75.0\pm 8.2\ddagger$ Active 74.1 ± 7.6 77.0 ± 7.3 $85.3\pm 9.2\dagger$ 76.8 ± 8.2 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Day, bpmPlacebo 80.0 ± 8.8 82.8 ± 7.7 $89.9\pm 8.4\ddagger$ $78.6\pm 8.1*$ Active $78.2\pm 7.9\ddagger$ 81.7 ± 7.5 $88.8\pm 9.2\ddagger$ 81.0 ± 8.6 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Night, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm 10.5\ddagger$ 66.9 ± 9.5 Active 64.3 ± 8.1 67.1 ± 8.2 $76.9\pm 10.3\ddagger$ 66.7 ± 8.1 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 $12.0\pm 7.4\ddagger$ 15.1 ± 6.9 Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm 6.9*$ 17.2 ± 7.2 Pure>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20	ЦD	r value	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20
2 ± 11 , bpmHacebo 73.0 ± 0.0 70.1 ± 1.0 60.3 ± 0.31 73.0 ± 0.24 Active 74.1 ± 7.6 77.0 ± 7.3 85.3 ± 9.21 76.8 ± 8.2 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Day, bpmPlacebo 80.0 ± 8.8 82.8 ± 7.7 89.9 ± 8.41 $78.6\pm8.1^*$ Active $78.2\pm7.9\pm$ 81.7 ± 7.5 88.8 ± 9.21 81.0 ± 8.6 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Night, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 79.2 ± 10.51 66.9 ± 9.5 Active 64.3 ± 8.1 67.1 ± 8.2 76.9 ± 10.31 66.7 ± 8.1 P value>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 12.0 ± 7.41 15.1 ± 6.9 Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm6.9^*$ 17.2 ± 7.2 Pure>0.20>0.20>0.20>0.20	21 h hnm	Placebo	75 6+8 6	78 1+7 8	86 5+8 5+	75 0+8 2+
Perform<	24 II, bpIII	Activo	73.0±0.0	70.1±7.0	85 3+0 2+	76.8+8.2
Day, bpmPlacebo 80.26 20.20 20.20 20.20 20.20 Day, bpmPlacebo 80.0 ± 8.8 82.8 ± 7.7 $89.9\pm 8.4^{\dagger}$ $78.6\pm 8.1^{\star}$ Active $78.2\pm 7.9^{\ddagger}$ 81.7 ± 7.5 $88.8\pm 9.2^{\dagger}$ 81.0 ± 8.6 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Night, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm 10.5^{\dagger}$ 66.9 ± 9.5 Active 64.3 ± 8.1 67.1 ± 8.2 $76.9\pm 10.3^{\dagger}$ 66.7 ± 8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 $12.0\pm 7.4^{\dagger}$ 15.1 ± 6.9 Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm 6.9^{*}$ 17.2 ± 7.2 Purplue $*0.20$ $*0.20$ $*0.20$ $*0.20$		<i>P</i> value	<u>>0 20</u>	\n 20	_0.20	×0.20
bay, tpin Hacebo $00.010.0$ $02.01.7$ $00.010.4$ $10.010.1$ Active $78.2\pm7.9\ddagger$ 81.7 ± 7.5 $88.8\pm9.2\ddagger$ 81.0 ± 8.6 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Night, bpm Placebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm10.5\ddagger$ 66.9 ± 9.5 Active 64.3 ± 8.1 67.1 ± 8.2 $76.9\pm10.3\ddagger$ 66.7 ± 8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, % Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 $12.0\pm7.4\ddagger$ 15.1 ± 6.9 Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm6.9^*$ 17.2 ± 7.2	Day hom	Placebo	20.20	20.20	20.20	20.20 78.6±8.1*
Night, bpmPlacebo 66.1 ± 9.6 67.8 ± 9.4 $79.2\pm10.5\dagger$ 66.9 ± 9.5 Active 64.3 ± 8.1 67.1 ± 8.2 $76.9\pm10.3\dagger$ 66.7 ± 8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 $12.0\pm7.4\dagger$ Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm6.9^*$ 17.2 ± 7.2	Day, opin	Λοτίνο	78 2+7 0+	81 7±7 5	88 8+0 2+	81 0±8 6
Night, bpm Placebo 66.1±9.6 67.8±9.4 79.2±10.5† 66.9±9.5 Active 64.3±8.1 67.1±8.2 76.9±10.3† 66.7±8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, % Placebo 17.4±7.4 18.2±7.7 12.0±7.4† 15.1±6.9 Active 17.7±7.0 17.8±7.2 13.2±6.9* 17.2±7.2		Autive Rvaluo	>0.20	> 0.20	> 0.20	> 0.20
Night, bpinPracebo $00.128.0$ $07.028.4$ $78.2210.31$ $00.328.3$ Active 64.3 ± 8.1 67.1 ± 8.2 76.9 ± 10.31 66.7 ± 8.1 P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, %Placebo 17.4 ± 7.4 18.2 ± 7.7 12.0 ± 7.41 15.1 ± 6.9 Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm 6.9^*$ 17.2 ± 7.2 Purplus ~ 0.20 ~ 0.20 ~ 0.20 ~ 0.20	Night hom	Placebo	>0.20 66 1±0 6	>0.20 67.8±9.4	>0.20 70 2+10 5+	>0.20 66 0±0 5
P value >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 >0.20 Dip, % Placebo 17.4±7.4 18.2±7.7 12.0±7.4† 15.1±6.9 Active 17.7±7.0 17.8±7.2 13.2±6.9* 17.2±7.2	nigin, ppin	Activo	6/ 3±0 1	07.0±9.4 671±9.2	76 0+10 2+	66 7±9.0
Dip, % Placebo 17.4±7.4 18.2±7.7 12.0±7.4† 15.1±6.9 Active 17.7±7.0 17.8±7.2 13.2±6.9* 17.2±7.2		Dvalua	04.J±0.1 ∖0.20	\n±0.2 \n 20	10.3±10.31 < 0.20	00.7±0.1
Dip, 70 Fracebo 17.4 ± 7.4 10.2 ± 7.7 12.0 ± 7.4 15.1 ± 0.9 Active 17.7 ± 7.0 17.8 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm6.9^*$ 17.2 ± 7.2 Dip, 70 17.9 ± 7.2 $13.2\pm6.9^*$ 17.2 ± 7.2	Din %	i valut	20.20 17 <i>1</i> ±7 <i>1</i>	20.20 18 0±7 7	-0.20 12 0±7 <i>1</i> +	20.20 15 1±6 0
$A_{\text{UUVC}} = 17.7 \pm 7.0 = 17.0 \pm 7.020 = 10.0200 = 10.020 = 10.0200 = 10.0200 = 10.0200 = 10.0200 =$	עוט, איט	Activo	17.4±7.4	10.2±1.1	12.0±7.4 12.2±6.0*	17 0±7 0
		Pyalue	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20

Table 2. BP and HR Variables Derived From Conventional Measurements and From 24-Hour Ambulatory BP Monitoring in Telmisartan/Nifedipine GITS (n=47) and Placebo Group (n=42)

Ambulatory BP data are separately shown for 24 hours, daytime, nighttime, as well as for nocturnal fall (dip, shown as percentage of daytime mean level). Data are shown as mean±SD. *P* values in the table refer to contrasts between groups for each condition. DBP indicates diastolic blood pressure; GITS, gastrointestinal therapeutic system; HR, heart rate; and SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Symbols of statistical significance refer to contrasts between visit 3 versus remaining study conditions: *P<0.01, $\pm P<0.001$, $\pm P<0.05$.



Figure 3. Oxygen saturation in spot measurements at sea level and on the first, second, and third day at high altitude in subjects on active treatment (black circles) or placebo (open squares). All measurements were performed in the morning except for the first day at high altitude (HA), when they were performed in the evening. Comparisons active treatment vs placebo: P<0.05.

of a commonly used and guideline-supported combination treatment between an ARB and a slow release calcium antagonist.^{16,17} Two previous studies reported that β-blockers have a limited effect in preventing the 24-hour BP increase that occurs at high altitude,^{8,28} and their use (particularly of carvedilol) is associated with lower SpO2, worse tolerability, and exercise capacity.8,35 In the healthy participants of HIGHCARE-HIMALAYA, we observed that BP-lowering effect of telmisartan monotherapy was maintained ≤3400 m but not at higher altitudes (5400 m), with no tolerability issues.¹⁷ In the hypertensive participants of the present study, the combined treatment with telmisartan and nifedipine was effective and safe at an altitude (3260 m) similar to the lower altitude reached in HIGHCARE-HIMALAYA, with BP values that remained lower than in placebo-treated subjects, as it occurred at sea level. Moreover, the active treatment group had higher SpO₂ at high altitude (possibly because of the dilatory effect of nifedipine on pulmonary vasculature leading to improved ventilation:perfusion ratio), even if no differences in acute mountain sickness were found between treatment groups.

Our study has several elements of strengths including: (1) adequate sample size, (2) controlled double-blind design, and (3) assessment of the BP effect of high altitude and treatment by ambulatory BP monitoring, an approach that is prognostically superior to conventional BP measurements¹⁶ and that better reflects the high altitude–related BP alterations.¹⁷ The study also has some inevitable limitations. First, for practical reasons, we could not assess whether the pressor effect of high altitude and the efficacy of antihypertensive treatment in this setting are maintained over longer time periods. Second, because of safety concerns, we could not verify the possibility of a loss or decrease of treatment efficacy at higher altitudes, an observation we previously made in normotensive patients

under ARB administration.¹⁷ However, our study reflects a common real-life situation (skiing, hiking, work-, or tourismrelated trips) in which patients with hypertension are exposed to altitudes of 2500 to 3500 m for up to a few days. Third, our subjects were sampled from the local population, which means that the results cannot be easily generalized to other populations, whose different genetic background might determine different responses to hypoxia and BP-lowering drugs. However, our patients were of mixed ethnic origin and they lived permanently at sea level, with thus no high-altitude adaptation. Furthermore, native Andean populations show a lower degree of genetic adaptation to high-altitude environment when compared, for example, with Tibetans, ie, ethnic groups characterized by a longer history of altitude exposure.³⁶ Therefore, we think that the genetic background of our population had no relevant impact on the results. Finally, the accuracy of oscillometric devices at high altitude is largely unknown although our yet unpublished data indicate that it is not meaningfully affected.

Perspectives

Our findings support the recommendation that hypertensive subjects with low baseline cardiovascular risk may be safely exposed to moderately high altitude for short periods of time if properly treated. Combination of a calcium antagonist and an ARB seems safe and effective in this setting.

Major knowledge gaps still remain in this area and further studies are needed (1) to assess the safety of high-altitude exposure in controlled hypertensive subjects in higher risk categories; (2) to assess safety and efficacy of other classes of cardiovascular drugs at high altitude, also considering different altitudes and longer duration of exposure.

Sources of Funding

High Altitude Cardiovascular Research (HIGHCARE)–Andes project was supported by a grant from Bayer Healthcare, Germany. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring devices were supplied by AND, Tokyo, Japan, and Intermed, Italy.

Disclosures

Dr Parati received speaker's fee or consultation fee from Bayer Healthcare, Daiichi Sankyo, Menarini, CVRx, Pfizer Inc, Servier. G. Bilo received speaker's fee from Bayer Healthcare. P. Agostoni is a consultant for Menarini Int. and Bayer Healthcare and received Speaker's fee from Novartis, Daiichi Sankyo, and Servier. G. Mancia received speaker's fee, consultation fee or research grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, CVRx, Daiichi Sankyo, Medtronic Vascular Inc, Menarini Int, Merck Serono, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Recordati, Servier, Siron, and Takeda. The other authors report no conflicts.

References

- Hainsworth R, Drinkhill MJ. Cardiovascular adjustments for life at high altitude. Respir Physiol Neurobiol. 2007;158:204–211. doi: 10.1016/j.resp.2007.05.006.
- Mieske K, Flaherty G, O'Brien T. Journeys to high altitude–risks and recommendations for travelers with preexisting medical conditions. *J Travel Med.* 2010;17:48–62. doi: 10.1111/j.1708-8305.2009.00369.x.
- Bärtsch P, Swenson ER. Clinical practice: acute high-altitude illnesses. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:2294–2302. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp1214870.
- Bärtsch P, Gibbs JS. Effect of altitude on the heart and the lungs. *Circulation*. 2007;116:2191–2202. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.650796.
- Duplain H, Vollenweider L, Delabays A, Nicod P, Bärtsch P, Scherrer U. Augmented sympathetic activation during short-term hypoxia and high-altitude exposure in subjects susceptible to high-altitude pulmonary edema. *Circulation*. 1999;99:1713–1718.

- Hansen J, Sander M. Sympathetic neural overactivity in healthy humans after prolonged exposure to hypobaric hypoxia. J Physiol. 2003;546(Pt 3):921–929.
- Higgins JP, Tuttle T, Higgins JA. Altitude and the heart: is going high safe for your cardiac patient? *Am Heart J.* 2010;159:25–32. doi: 10.1016/j. ahj.2009.10.028.
- Bilo G, Caldara G, Styczkiewicz K, Revera M, Lombardi C, Giglio A, Zambon A, Corrao G, Faini A, Valentini M, Mancia G, Parati G. Effects of selective and nonselective beta-blockade on 24-h ambulatory blood pressure under hypobaric hypoxia at altitude. *J Hypertens*. 2011;29:380–387. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e3283409014.
- Moore LG. Altitude-aggravated illness: examples from pregnancy and prenatal life. Ann Emerg Med. 1987;16:965–973.
- Savonitto S, Cardellino G, Doveri G, Pernpruner S, Bronzini R, Milloz N, Colombo MD, Sardina M, Nassi G, Marraccini P. Effects of acute exposure to altitude (3,460 m) on blood pressure response to dynamic and isometric exercise in men with systemic hypertension. *Am J Cardiol.* 1992;70:1493–1497.
- 11. Donegani E, Hillebrandt D, Windsor J, Gieseler U, Rodway G, Schöffl V, Küpper T. Pre-existing cardiovascular conditions and high altitude travel. Consensus statement of the Medical Commission of the Union Internationale des Associations d'Alpinisme (UIAA MedCom) Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease. *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2014;12:237–252. doi: 10.1016/j.tmaid.2014.02.004.
- Rimoldi SF, Sartori C, Seiler C, Delacrétaz E, Mattle HP, Scherrer U, Allemann Y. High-altitude exposure in patients with cardiovascular disease: risk assessment and practical recommendations. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* 2010;52:512–524. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2010.03.005.
- Luks AM. Should travelers with hypertension adjust their medications when traveling to high altitude? *High Alt Med Biol.* 2009;10:11–15. doi: 10.1089/ham.2008.1076.
- Agostoni P. Considerations on safety and treatment of patients with chronic heart failure at high altitude. *High Alt Med Biol*. 2013;14:96–100. doi: 10.1089/ham.2012.1117.
- 15. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, et al.; Task Force Members. 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). J Hypertens. 2013;31:1281–1357. doi: 10.1097/01. hjh.0000431740.32696.cc.
- Mancia G, Parati G, Bilo G, Choi J, Kilama MO, Ruilope LM; TALENT investigators. Blood pressure control by the nifedipine GITS-telmisartan combination in patients at high cardiovascular risk: the TALENT study. *J Hypertens*. 2011;29:600–609. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e328342ef04.
- Parati G, Bilo G, Faini A, Bilo B, Revera M, Giuliano A, Lombardi C, Caldara G, Gregorini F, Styczkiewicz K, Zambon A, Piperno A, Modesti PA, Agostoni P, Mancia G. Changes in 24 h ambulatory blood pressure and effects of angiotensin II receptor blockade during acute and prolonged high-altitude exposure: a randomized clinical trial. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:3113–3122. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu275.
- Roach C, Bartsch P, Hackett PH, Oelz O. The Lake Louise acute mountain sickness scoring system. In: Sutton JR, Houston CS, Coates G, eds. *Hypoxia and Molecular Medicine: Proceedings of the 8th International Hypoxia Symposium.* Burlington, VT: Queen city Printers; 1993:272–274.
- Verdecchia P, Angeli F, Poeta F, Reboldi GP, Borgioni C, Pittavini L, Porcellati C. Validation of the A&D UA-774 (UA-767Plus) device for self-measurement of blood pressure. *Blood Press Monit*. 2004;9:225–229.
- Palatini P, Frigo G, Bertolo O, Roman E, Da Cortà R, Winnicki M. Validation of the A&D TM-2430 device for ambulatory blood pressure

monitoring and evaluation of performance according to subjects' characteristics. *Blood Press Monit.* 1998;3:255–260.

- Parati G, Omboni S, Palatini P, Rizzoni D, Bilo G, Valentini M, Rosei EA, Mancia G. Italian society of hypertension guidelines for conventional and automated blood pressure measurement in the office, at home and over 24 hours. *High Blood Press Cardiovasc Prev.* 2008;15:283–310. doi: 10.2165/0151642-200815040-00008.
- Holm S. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scand J Stat. 1979;6:65–70.
- Reeves JT, Mazzeo RS, Wolfel EE, Young AJ. Increased arterial pressure after acclimatization to 4300 m: possible role of norepinephrine. *Int J Sports Med.* 1992;13 Suppl 1:S18–S21. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-1024581.
- Vogel JA, Harris CW. Cardiopulmonary responses of resting man during early exposure to high altitude. J Appl Physiol. 1967;22:1124–1128.
- Bärtsch P, Shaw S, Franciolli M, Gnädinger MP, Weidmann P. Atrial natriuretic peptide in acute mountain sickness. J Appl Physiol (1985). 1988;65:1929–1937.
- Modesti PA, Vanni S, Morabito M, Modesti A, Marchetta M, Gamberi T, Sofi F, Savia G, Mancia G, Gensini GF, Parati G. Role of endothelin-1 in exposure to high altitude: Acute Mountain Sickness and Endothelin-1 (ACME-1) study. *Circulation*. 2006;114:1410–1416. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.105.605527.
- Kaufmann PA, Schirlo C, Pavlicek V, Berthold T, Burger C, von Schulthess GK, Koller EA, Buck A. Increased myocardial blood flow during acute exposure to simulated altitudes. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2001;8:158–164. doi: 10.1067/mnc.2001.112537.
- Wolfel EE, Selland MA, Mazzeo RS, Reeves JT. Systemic hypertension at 4,300 m is related to sympathoadrenal activity. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 1994;76:1643–1650.
- Veglio M, Maule S, Cametti G, Cogo A, Lussiana L, Madrigale G, Pecchio O. The effects of exposure to moderate altitude on cardiovascular autonomic function in normal subjects. *Clin Auton Res.* 1999;9:123–127.
- Burtscher M. Risk of cardiovascular events during mountain activities. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2007;618:1–11.
- Caravita S, Faini A, Lombardi C, Valentini M, Gregorini F, Rossi J, Meriggi P, Di Rienzo M, Bilo G, Agostoni P, Parati G. Sex and acetazolamide effects on chemoreflex and periodic breathing during sleep at altitude. *Chest.* 2015;147:120–131. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-0317.
- Barnett AG, Sans S, Salomaa V, Kuulasmaa K, Dobson AJ; WHO MONICA Project. The effect of temperature on systolic blood pressure. *Blood Press Monit*. 2007;12:195–203. doi: 10.1097/MBP.0b013e3280b083f4.
- Halonen JI, Zanobetti A, Sparrow D, Vokonas PS, Schwartz J. Relationship between outdoor temperature and blood pressure. *Occup Environ Med.* 2011;68:296–301. doi: 10.1136/oem.2010.056507.
- Somers VK, Mark AL, Abboud FM. Potentiation of sympathetic nerve responses to hypoxia in borderline hypertensive subjects. *Hypertension*. 1988;11(6 Pt 2):608–612.
- 35. Valentini M, Revera M, Bilo G, Caldara G, Savia G, Styczkiewicz K, Parati S, Gregorini F, Faini A, Branzi G, Malfatto G, Magrì D, Agostoni P, Parati G. Effects of beta-blockade on exercise performance at high altitude: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial comparing the efficacy of nebivolol versus carvedilol in healthy subjects. *Cardiovasc Ther.* 2012;30:240–248. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-5922.2011.00261.x.
- Penaloza D, Arias-Stella J. The heart and pulmonary circulation at high altitudes: healthy highlanders and chronic mountain sickness. *Circulation*. 2007;115:1132–1146. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.624544.

Novelty and Significance

What Is New?

• The direct demonstration of changes in 24-hour blood pressure in hypertensive subjects exposed to high altitude. The demonstration of antihypertensive treatment efficacy in this setting.

What Is Relevant?

 Demonstration that nifedipine gastrointestinal therapeutic system/ telmisartan combination is safe and maintains its BP-lowering effect in hypertensive subjects acutely exposed to high altitude, even if it does not prevent an increase in blood pressure.

Summary

Hypertensive patients who plan brief permanence at high altitude may expect blood pressure to increase. If they receive treatment with dihydropyridine calcium antagonist and angiotensin receptor blocker, its efficacy should be maintained.

Online supplement

Ambulatory blood pressure in untreated and treated hypertensive patients at high altitude. The HIGHCARE ANDES Study.

Grzegorz Bilo (1), Francisco C. Villafuerte (2), Andrea Faini (1), Cecilia Anza-Ramírez (2), Miriam Revera (1),
Andrea Giuliano (1), Sergio Caravita (1,3), Francesca Gregorini (1), Carolina Lombardi (1), Elisabetta Salvioni
(4), Jose Luis Macarlupu (2), Deborah Ossoli (1), Leah Landaveri (2), Morin Lang (5), Piergiuseppe Agostoni
(4,6), Jose Manuel Sosa (2), Giuseppe Mancia (1,3), Gianfranco Parati (1,3).

(1) Dept. of Cardiovascular, Neural and Metabolic Sciences, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy; (2) Laboratorio de Fisiologia Comparada. Dept. de Ciencias Biológicas y Fisiológicas, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru; (3) Dept. of Health Sciences, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy; (4) Centro Cardiologico Monzino, Milan, Italy; (5) Dept. de Ciencias de la Rehabilitación y del Movimiento Humano, Universidad de Antofagasta, Antofagasta, Chile; (6) Dept. of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Cardiovascular Section, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

Short title: Hypertensive subjects at high altitude

Corresponding Author: Gianfranco Parati, MD, PhD

Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Ospedale San Luca

Piazzale Brescia 20, Milan, Italy.

Tel (+39)02619112949 Fax (+39)02619112956

e-mail: gianfranco.parati@unimib.it

Contents:

Box S1. Detailed exclusion criteria

Table S1. Blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) variables derived from conventional measurements and from 24h ambulatory BP monitoring in telmisartan/nifedipine GITS and placebo group.

Table S2. Summary of adverse events

Figure S1. Detailed timing of high altitude exposure (Visit 4) and of study assessments during this visit.

Figure S2. Flow of subjects in the study.

Figure S3. Averaged hourly systolic blood pressure (SBP) profiles.

Box S1. Detailed exclusion criteria:

- conventional SBP \geq 150 mmHg and/or conventional DBP \geq 95 mmHg in treated subjects;
- regular use of two or more antihypertensive drugs (with the exception of subjects on two antihypertensive drugs in low doses);
- treated antihypertensive subjects in whom withdrawal of treatment was deemed unethical;
- contraindications to ARBs or calcium antagonists;
- history of serious mountain sickness;
- continuous exposure for more than one week to altitudes above 2500 m during the 3 months preceding inclusion in the study;
- cardiovascular diseases other than hypertension;
- suspected or confirmed secondary hypertension;
- diabetes mellitus;
- serious respiratory disorders;
- other conditions deemed relevant by the investigators;
- body mass index \geq 35 kg/m²;
- upper arm circumference >32 cm;
- known severe obstructive sleep apnea;
- pregnancy;
- premenopausal women not using effective contraceptive methods;
- elevated probability of noncompliance with the study procedures.

Table S1. Blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) variables derived from conventional measurements and from 24h ambulatory BP monitoring in telmisartan/nifedipine GITS (N=47) and placebo group (N=42). Ambulatory BP data are separately shown for 24 hours, daytime, night-time as well as for nocturnal fall (dip, shown as percentage of daytime mean level). Data are shown as mean ± SD. P values in the table refer to contrasts between groups for each condition. Overall effects of visit, treatment and their interaction are shown in the last three columns. Symbols of statistical significance refer to contrasts between Visit 3 vs. remaining study conditions: *** p<0.001, ** p<0.05; bpm - beats per minute

١	/ariable	Group	Baseline (V1)	Sea-level on treatment (V3)	High altitude (V4)	Return to sea level (V5)		Effect p value	
						(•••)	Visit	Treatment	Visit x treatment
SBP	Conv.	Placebo	141.4±12.1	137.9±14.5	145.8±13.7 **	137.7±12.8			
	(mmHg)	Active	141±12.7 ***	123.3±14.1	125.8±11.8	123.6±15.5			
		P value	>0.20	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	24h	Placebo	137.6±8.6	137±10	147.9±11.1 ***	141.9±11.6 **			
	(mmHg)	Active	137.6±7.8 ***	125.1±10.1	132.6±12.4 ***	126.7±13.1			
		P value	>0.20	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Day	Placebo	144.1±7.8	143.9±8.6	153.3±10.3 ***	148.2±10.9 *			
	(mmHg)	Active	143.6±7.5 ***	132±10	138±11.1 ***	133.3±13.6			
		P value	>0.20	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Night	Placebo	122.7±13.4	121.7±14.5	135.7±15.9 ***	128.4±16.6 **			
	(mmHg)	Active	123.5±11.4 ***	110.4±11.9	119.8±18.1 ***	111.3±14.6			
		P value	>0.20	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Dip	Placebo	14.9±6.6	15.5±7.4	11.5±8 *	13.4±8.5			

	(%)	Active	14.1±6	16.3±6.4	13.3±8.8	16.6±7.6			
		P value	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	0.001	0.30	0.14
DBP	Conv.	Placebo	90.9±8.1	88.9±10.7	92.2±10.5	87.9±11.2			
	(mmHg)	Active	91±8.2 ***	80.6±10.4	83.5±9.2	79.1±9.7			
		P value	>0.20	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	24h	Placebo	83.4±6.8	82.4±7.4	88±6.8 ***	84.6±8.4			
	(mmHg)	Active	83.9±7.1 ***	76.3±6.4	80.7±8.2 ***	77.2±7.9			
		P value	>0.20	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Day	Placebo	87.6±6.8	86.9±7	91.3±6.9 ***	88.8±8.3			
	(mmHg)	Active	87.8±7.4 ***	80.4±6.5	83.7±7.9 **	81.4±8.4			
		P value	>0.20	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Night	Placebo	73.7±9.1	72.7±9.9	80.2±9.4 ***	75.2±10.3			
	(mmHg)	Active	74.6±8.6 ***	67.3±7.7	73.5±10.6 ***	67.5±8.3			
		P value	>0.20	0.023	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	0.006	<0.001
	Dip	Placebo	15.7±8.7	16.4±8.9	12.1±8.5 *	15.4±8.5			
	(%)	Active	15±7	16.2±7.5	12.1±8.2 *	17±7.5			
		P value	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	<0.001	0.89	0.61
HR	24h	Placebo	75.6±8.6	78.1±7.8	86.5±8.5 ***	75±8.2 *			
	(bpm)	Active	74.1±7.6	77±7.3	85.3±9.2 ***	76.8±8.2			

Day	Placebo	80±8.8	82.8±7.7	89.9±8.4 ***	78.6±8.1 **			
(bpm)	Active	78.2±7.9 *	81.7±7.5	88.8±9.2 ***	81±8.6			
	P value	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	<0.001	0.77	0.04
Night	Placebo	66.1±9.6	67.8±9.4	79.2±10.5 ***	66.9±9.5			
(bpm)	Active	64.3±8.1	67.1±8.2	76.9±10.3 ***	66.7±8.1			
	P value	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	<0.001	0.47	0.51
Dip	Placebo	17.4±7.4	18.2±7.7	12±7.4 ***	15.1±6.9			
(%)	Active	17.7±7	17.8±7.2	13.2±6.9 **	17.2±7.2			
	P value	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	>0.20	<0.001	0.49	0.58

Adverse event	T/N	PL	All
Headache	10	7	17
Constipation	5	2	7
Dizziness	3	0	3
Feeling of warmth	3	0	3
Musculoskeletal symptoms (pain, weakness)	3	3	6
Neurological symptoms	0	1	0
Leg edema	1	0	1
GI symptoms	1	0	1
Anxiety	0	1	1
Cough	1	0	1

Table S2. Summary of adverse events (T/N – telmisartan+nifedipine; PL – placebo)



Figure S1. Detailed timing of high altitude exposure (Visit 4) and of study assessments during this visit.



Figure S2. Flow of subjects in the study.



Figure S3. Averaged hourly systolic blood pressure (SBP) profiles at sea level without treatment (dotted line), at sea level on-treatment (dashed line) and at high altitude on treatment (solid line) in subjects on active treatment (upper panel) or placebo (lower panel). Data are shown as unweighted averages with surrounding areas representing standard errors. Horizontal lines represent the accepted values for defining hypertension in relation to daytime (135 mmHg) and night-time SBP (120 mmHg).





Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Untreated and Treated Hypertensive Patients at High Altitude: The High Altitude Cardiovascular Research–Andes Study Grzegorz Bilo, Francisco C. Villafuerte, Andrea Faini, Cecilia Anza-Ramírez, Miriam Revera, Andrea Giuliano, Sergio Caravita, Francesca Gregorini, Carolina Lombardi, Elisabetta Salvioni, Jose Luis Macarlupu, Deborah Ossoli, Leah Landaveri, Morin Lang, Piergiuseppe Agostoni, José Manuel Sosa, Giuseppe Mancia and Gianfranco Parati

 Hypertension. 2015;65:1266-1272; originally published online April 20, 2015; doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.05003
 Hypertension is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Copyright © 2015 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0194-911X. Online ISSN: 1524-4563

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://hyper.ahajournals.org/content/65/6/1266

Data Supplement (unedited) at:

http://hyper.ahajournals.org/content/suppl/2015/04/20/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.05003.DC1.html

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Hypertension* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at: http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Hypertension* is online at: http://hyper.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/