

SCIENTIFIC OPINION

Scientific Opinion on the pest categorisation of *Ceratocystis platani* (Walter) Engelbrecht et Harrington¹

EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH)^{2,3}

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

ABSTRACT

The European Commission requested the EFSA Panel on Plant Health to perform a pest categorisation for Ceratocystis fimbriata f. sp. platani Walter, the fungal pathogen responsible for the "canker stain" disease of plane trees. C. fimbriata f. sp. platani is listed in Annex IIAII of Directive 2000/29/EC. Recently, the pathogen has been reclassified as Ceratocystis platani (Walter) Engelbrecht et Harrington, based on intersterility studies, progeny analysis and the morphology of isolates from different hosts. C. platani is a single taxonomic entity and sensitive and reliable methods are available for its detection and differentiation from other related fungal species. The only known hosts are *Platanus occidentalis*, *Platanus orientalis* and *Platanus × acerifolia*. The last two plane species are the most susceptible to C. platani and are widely grown in most of the European Union Member States. C. platani is a wound pathogen causing canker and wilt and eventually death of plane trees. It is currently present in part of the risk assessment area (Greece, France, Italy), where it causes serious consequences on P. orientalis and P. × acerifolia trees grown in natural stands, coppices, and public and private gardens in both rural and urban environments. There are no obvious ecological/climatic factors limiting the potential establishment and spread of the pathogen in the non-infested part of the risk assessment area where hosts are present. C. platani has multiple natural and human-assisted means of spread, including waterways, root anastomosis, contaminated pruning tools, insects, contaminated insect frass and sawdust. The movement of infected host plants for planting and wood is considered to be responsible for the introduction of the pathogen into new areas. Cultural practices and sanitary and chemical measures applied in the infested areas may reduce inoculum sources but they cannot eliminate the pathogen. Plane accessions resistant to C. platani are available in the European market.

© European Food Safety Authority, 2014

KEY WORDS

Canker stain of plane, Platanus spp., distribution, European Union, impact

Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

¹ On request from the European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2014-00261, adopted on 25 September 2014.

² Panel members: Richard Baker, Claude Bragard, Thierry Candresse, Gianni Gilioli, Jean-Claude Grégoire, Imre Holb, Michael John Jeger, Olia Evtimova Karadjova, Christer Magnusson, David Makowski, Charles Manceau, Maria Navajas, Trond Rafoss, Vittorio Rossi, Jan Schans, Gritta Schrader, Gregor Urek, Irene Vloutoglou, Wopke van der Werf and Stephan Winter. Correspondence: <u>alpha@efsa.europa.eu</u>

³ Acknowledgement: The Panel wishes to thank the members of the Working Group on Directive 2000/29 Fungi, Paolo Cortesi, Imre Holb, Vittorio Rossi, Alberto Santini, Jan Schans and Irene Vloutoglou, for the preparatory work on this scientific opinion, and EFSA staff, Tomasz Oszako, for the support provided to this scientific opinion.

Suggested citation: EFSA PLH Panel (EFSA Panel on Plant Health), 2014. Scientific Opinion on the pest categorisation of *Ceratocystis platani* (Walter) Engelbrecht et Harrington. EFSA Journal 2014;12(10):3858, 36 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3858



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	. 1
Table of contents	. 2
List of tables and figures	. 3
Background as provided by the European Commission	. 4
Terms of reference as provided by the European Commission	. 5
Assessment	. 7
1. Introduction	. 7
1.1. Purpose	.7
1.2 Scope	7
2 Methodology and data	7
2.1 Methodology	. /
2.1. Nethodology	9
2.2. Data	.)
2.2.1. Electrature scarch	. 🤊
2.2.2. Data contection	. 🤊
5. Pest categorisation	.9
2.1.1 Therefore a sub-	.9
3.1.1. Taxonomy	.9
3.1.2. Biology	10
3.1.3. Intraspectific diversity	11
3.1.4. Detection and identification	11
3.1.4.1. Similarities to other diseases	12
3.2. Current distribution of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i>	12
3.2.1. Global distribution	12
3.2.2. Distribution in the EU	13
3.3. Regulatory status	15
3.3.1. Council Directive 2000/29/EC	15
3.3.2. Marketing directives	18
3.4. Elements to assess the potential for establishment and spread in the EU	19
3.4.1. Host range	19
3.4.2. EU distribution of main host plants	19
3.4.3. Analysis of the potential pest distribution in the EU	20
3.4.4. Spread capacity	22
3.4.4.1. Spread by natural means	22
3.4.4.2. Spread by human assistance	23
3.4.4.3. Spread rate	23
3.5. Elements to assess the potential for consequences in the EU	24
3.5.1. Potential effects of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i>	24
3.5.2. Observed impact of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> in the EU	24
3.6. Currently applied control methods in the EU	25
3.6.1. Cultural practices and sanitary measures.	25
3.6.2 Chemical control	26
3.6.3 Host genetic resistance	26
3.6.4 Biological control	26
37 Uncertainty	20
Conclusions	$\frac{2}{27}$
References	∠/ 31
Abbraviations	36
	50





LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1: International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM) 11(FAO, 2013) and ISPM 21 (FAO, 2004) pest categorisation criteria under evaluation.	8
Figure 1: Global distribution map of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> (syn. <i>Ceratocystis fimbriata</i> f. sp. <i>platani</i>), as extracted from EPPO PQR (2014), version 5.3.1, accessed on 17 June 2014. Red circles and crosses represent national and sub-national pest records, respectively; triangles represent transient pests	10
Table 2: The status of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> in non-EU countries, according to the EPPO PQR database (EPPO PQR, 2014; version 5.3.1, accessed on 17 June 2014)	
Table 3: Current distribution of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> in the risk assessmentarea, based on the EPPO PQR database (EPPO PQR, 2014; version5.3.1, accessed on 17 June 2014) and the answers received from theNPPOs of the EU Member States, Iceland and Norway up to 26	13
June 2014. Table 4: <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> (as <i>C. fimbriata</i> f. sp. <i>platani</i>) in Council Directive 2000/29/EC	14
Table 5: Host plants of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> (as <i>C. fimbriata</i> f. sp. <i>platani</i>) in Council Directive 2000/29/EC	15
Table 6: Host range of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> . Figure 2: Distribution map of <i>Platanus × acerifolia</i> (from Plantwise, 2014)	
Figure 3: Köppen–Geiger climate map of Europe and western Asia (from Peel et al., 2007)	21
Figure 4: Köppen–Geiger climate map of North America (from Peel et al., 2007).	
Table 7: The Panel's conclusions on the pest categorisation criteria defined in the International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM) No 11 and No 21 and on the additional questions formulated in the terms of reference (ToR)	27
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

The current European Union plant health regime is established by Council Directive 2000/29/EC on protective measures against the introduction into the Community of organisms harmful to plants or plant products and against their spread within the Community (OJ L 169, 10.7.2000, p. 1).

The Directive lays down, amongst others, the technical phytosanitary provisions to be met by plants and plant products and the control checks to be carried out at the place of origin on plants and plant products destined for the Union or to be moved within the Union, the list of harmful organisms whose introduction into or spread within the Union is prohibited and the control measures to be carried out at the outer border of the Union on arrival of plants and plant products.

The Commission is currently carrying out a revision of the regulatory status of organisms listed in the Annexes of Directive 2000/29/EC. This revision targets mainly organisms which are already locally present in the EU territory and that in many cases are regulated in the EU since a long time. Therefore it is considered to be appropriate to evaluate whether these organisms still deserve to remain regulated under Council Directive 2000/29/EC, or whether, if appropriate, they should be regulated in the context of the marketing of plant propagation material, or be deregulated. The revision of the regulatory status of these organisms is also in line with the outcome of the recent evaluation of the EU Plant Health Regime, which called for a modernisation of the system through more focus on prevention and better risk targeting (prioritisation).

In order to carry out this evaluation, a recent pest risk analysis is needed which takes into account the latest scientific and technical knowledge on these organisms, including data on their agronomic and environmental impact, as well as their present distribution in the EU territory. In this context, EFSA has already been asked to prepare risk assessments for some organisms listed in Annex IIAII. The current request concerns 23 additional organisms listed in Annex II, Part A, Section II as well as five organisms listed in Annex I, Part A, Section I, one listed in Annex I, Part A, Section II and nine organisms listed in Annex II, Part A, Section II of Council Directive 2000/29/EC. The organisms in question are the following:

Organisms listed in Annex II, Part A, Section II:

- Ditylenchus destructor Thome
- Circulifer haematoceps
- Circulifer tenellus
- Helicoverpa armigera (Hübner)
- *Radopholus similis* (Cobb) Thome (could be addressed together with the IIAI organism *Radopholus citrophilus* Huettel Dickson and Kaplan)
- *Paysandisia archon* (Burmeister)
- Clavibacter michiganensis spp. insidiosus (McCulloch) Davis et al.
- Erwinia amylovora (Burr.) Winsl. et al. (also listed in Annex IIB)
- *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *persicae* (Prunier *et al.*) Young *et al.*
- Xanthomonas campestris pv. phaseoli (Smith) Dye
- Xanthomonas campestris pv. pruni (Smith) Dye
- *Xylophilus ampelinus* (Panagopoulos) Willems *et al.*
- Ceratocystis fimbriata f. sp. platani Walter (also listed in Annex IIB)
- Cryphonectria parasitica (Murrill) Barr (also listed in Annex IIB)
- Phoma tracheiphila (Petri) Kantschaveli and Gikashvili
- Verticillium albo-atrum Reinke and Berthold
- Verticillium dahliae Klebahn
- Beet leaf curl virus
- Citrus tristeza virus (European isolates) (also listed in Annex IIB)
- Grapevine flavescence dorée MLO (also listed in Annex IIB)



- Potato stolbur mycoplasma
- Spiroplasma citri Saglio et al.
- Tomato yellow leaf curl virus

Organisms listed in Annex I, Part A, Section I:

- *Rhagoletis cingulata* (Loew)
- *Rhagoletis ribicola* Doane
- Strawberry vein banding virus
- Strawberry latent C virus
- Elm phloem necrosis mycoplasm

Organisms listed in Annex I, Part A, Section II:

• Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.)

Organisms listed in Annex II, Part A, Section I:

- Aculops fuchsiae Keifer
- Aonidiella citrina Coquillet
- Prunus necrotic ringspot virus
- Cherry leafroll virus
- *Radopholus citrophilus* Huettel Dickson and Kaplan (could be addressed together with IIAII organism *Radopholus similis* (Cobb) Thome)
- Scirtothrips dorsalis Hendel
- Atropellis spp.
- Eotetranychus lewisi McGregor
- *Diaporthe vaccinii* Shaer.

TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

EFSA is requested, pursuant to Article 29(1) and Article 22(5) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, to provide a pest risk assessment of Ditylenchus destructor Thome, Circulifer haematoceps, Circulifer tenellus, Helicoverpa armigera (Hübner), Radopholus similis (Cobb) Thome, Paysandisia archon (Burmeister), Clavibacter michiganensis spp. insidiosus (McCulloch) Davis et al, Erwinia amylovora (Burr.) Winsl. et al, Pseudomonas syringae pv. persicae (Prunier et al) Young et al. Xanthomonas campestris py. phaseoli (Smith) Dye, Xanthomonas campestris py. pruni (Smith) Dye, Xyîophilus ampelinus (Panagopoulos) Willems et al., Ceratocystis fimbriata f. sp. platani Walter, Cryphonectria parasitica (Murrill) Barr, Phoma tracheiphila (Petri) Kanchaveli and Gikashvili, Verticillium alboatrum Reinke and Berthold, Verticillium dahliae Klebahn, Beet leaf curl virus, Citrus tristeza virus (European isolates), Grapevine flavescence dorée MLO, Potato stolbur mycoplasma, Spiroplasma citri Saglio et al., Tomato yellow leaf curl virus, Rhagoletis cingulata (Loew), Rhagoletis ribicola Doane, Strawberry vein banding virus, Strawberry latent C virus, Elm phloem necrosis mycoplasma, Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.), Aculops fuchsiae Keifer, Aonidiella citrina Coquillet, Prunus necrotic ringspot virus, Cherry leafroll virus, Radopholus citrophilus Huettel Dickson and Kaplan (to address with the IIAII Radopholus similis (Cobb) Thome), Scirtothrips dorsalis Hendel, Atropellis spp., Eotetranychus lewisi McGregor md Diaporthe vaccinii Shaer., for the EU territory.

In line with the experience gained with the previous two batches of pest risk assessments of organisms listed in Annex II, Part A, Section II, requested to EFSA, and in order to further streamline the preparation of risk assessments for regulated pests, the work should be split in two stages, each with a specific output. EFSA is requested to prepare and deliver first a pest categorisation for each of these 38 regulated pests (step 1). Upon receipt and analysis of this output, the Commission will inform EFSA for which organisms it is necessary to complete the pest risk assessment, to identify risk reduction options and to provide an assessment of the effectiveness of current EU phytosanitary requirements (step 2). *Clavibacter michiganensis* spp. *michiganensis* (Smith) Davis *et al.* and



Xanthomonas campestris pv. *vesicatoria* (Doidge) Dye, from the second batch of risk assessment requests for Annex IIAII organisms requested to EFSA (ARES(2012)880155), could be used as pilot cases for this approach, given that the working group for the preparation of their pest risk assessments has been constituted and it is currently dealing with the step 1 "pest categorisation". This proposed modification of previous request would allow a rapid delivery by EFSA by May 2014 of the first two outputs for step 1 "pest categorisation", that could be used as pilot case for this request and obtain a prompt feedback on its fitness for purpose from the risk manager's point of view.

As indicated in previous requests of risk assessments for regulated pests, in order to target its level of detail to the needs of the risk manager, and thereby to rationalise the resources used for their preparation and to speed up their delivery, for the preparation of the pest categorisations EFSA is requested, in order to define the potential for establishment, spread and impact in the risk assessment area, to concentrate in particular on the analysis of the present distribution of the organism in comparison with the distribution of the main hosts and on the analysis of the observed impacts of the organism in the risk assessment area.



ASSESSMENT

1. Introduction

1.1. Purpose

This document presents a pest categorisation prepared by the EFSA Scientific Panel on Plant Health (hereinafter referred to as the Panel) for the species *Ceratocystis platani* (Walter) Engelbrecht et Harrington in response to a request from the European Commission.

1.2. Scope

This pest categorisation is for *Ceratocystis platani* (Walter) Engelbrecht et Harrington, which was previously named as *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. sp. *platani* Walter. The risk assessment area is the territory of the European Union (hereinafter referred to as the EU) with 28 Member States (hereinafter referred to as MSs), restricted to the area of application of Council Directive 2000/29/EC.

2. Methodology and data

2.1. Methodology

The Panel performed the pest categorisation for *Ceratocystis platani* (Walter) Engelbrecht et Harrington, following guiding principles and steps presented in the EFSA Guidance on a harmonised framework for pest risk assessment (EFSA PLH Panel, 2010) and as defined in the International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM) No 11 (FAO, 2013) and ISPM No 21 (FAO, 2004).

In accordance with the Guidance on a harmonised framework for pest risk assessment in the EU (EFSA PLH Panel, 2010), this work is initiated as a result of the review or revision of phytosanitary policies and priorities. As explained in the background of the European Commission request, the objective of this mandate is to provide updated scientific advice to the European risk managers for their evaluation of whether these organisms listed in the Annexes of the Directive 2000/29/EC still deserve to remain regulated under Council Directive 2000/29/EC, or whether they should be regulated in the context of the marketing of plant propagation material, or be deregulated. Therefore, to facilitate the decision making process, in the conclusions of the pest categorisation, the Panel addresses explicitly each criterion for quarantine pest according to ISPM 11 (FAO, 2013) but also for regulated non-quarantine pest according to ISPM 21 (FAO, 2004) and includes additional information required as per the specific terms of reference received by the European Commission. In addition, for each conclusion the Panel provides a short description of its associated uncertainty.

Table 1 presents the ISPM 11 (FAO, 2013) and ISPM 21 (FAO, 2004) pest categorisation criteria against which the Panel provides its conclusions. It should be noted that the Panel's conclusions are formulated respecting its remit and particularly with regards to the principle of separation between risk assessment and risk management (EFSA founding regulation⁴), therefore, instead of determining whether the pest is likely to have an unacceptable impact, the Panel will present a summary of the observed pest impacts. Economic impacts are expressed in terms of yield and quality losses and not in monetary terms, in agreement with the Guidance on a harmonised framework for pest risk assessment (EFSA PLH Panel, 2010).

⁴ Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety. OJ L 31/1, 1.2.2002, p. 1–24.



criteriapestregulated non-quarantine pestIdentity of the pestThe identity of the pest should be clearly defined to ensure that the assessment is being performed on a distinct organism and that biological and other information used in the assessment is relevant to the organism in question. If this is not possible because the causal agent of particular symptoms has not yet been fully identified, then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissibleThe pest is present in the PRA areaPresence or absence in the PRA areadefined part of the PRA area defined part of the PRA area, it should be under official control on the near future specified plants for plantingThe pest is under official control or being considered for official control or being considered for official control or the pest should be under official control in the near future specified plants for plantingPotential for establishment and spread in PRA area should be present in the PRA areaThe pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestAssociation of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended useThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable conomic impact (including environmental impact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for plantingPlants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pe	Pest categorisation	ISPM 11 for being a potential quarantine	ISPM 21 for being a potential
Identity of the pest The identity of the pest should be clearly defined to ensure that the assessment is being performed on a distinct organism and that biological and other information used in the assessment is relevant to the organism in question. If this is not possible because the causal agent of particular symptoms han to the organism in question. If this is not possible because the causal agent of particular symptoms han to be the should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms han to be the ransmissible The pest should be absent from all or a difficult of the PRA area Presence or absence The pest should be absent from all or a difficult control or the PRA area is should be under official control or the PRA area as should have been shown to produce considered for official control or specified plants for planting The pest is inder RA area is should have been shown to produce considered for official control (or being considered for official control) in the PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions including those in protected conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest area and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their infract (including environmental impact) in the PRA area Presential for exerce pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting The pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may eray stop The pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a quarantine	criteria	pest	regulated non-quarantine pest
 defined to ensure that the assessment is being performed on a distinct organism and that biological and other information used in the assessment is relevant to the organism in question. If this is not possible because the causal agent of particular symptoms has not yet been fully identified, then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissible Presence or absence in the PRA area Regulatory status If the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to the specified plants for planting Potential for establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area Association of the effect on their intended use Potential for consequences in the PRA area Potential for there should be clear indications that the consequences in the PRA area Potential for the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area Protential for the pest of the pest of the plants for planting are a pathway for introduction of the plants for planting. For planting For planting For planting For planting For planting or the intended use of the pest may	Identity of the pest	The identity of the pest should be clearly	The identity of the pest is clearly
being performed on a distinct organism and that biological and other information used in the assessment is relevant to the organism in question. If this is not possible because the cause da agent of particular symptoms has not yet been fally identified, then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissibleThe pest is present in the PRA area defined part of the PRA areaPresence or absence in the PRA areaThe pest is bould be <u>absent from all or a</u> diricular outrol (or being considered for official control in the PRA area should have establishment and spread in PRA areaThe pest is under official control (or being considered for official control in the PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions situlable of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area-Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the economic impact (including environmental impact(s) of the pest or the intended use-Potential for enservemences intended use-Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestProtential for intended usePotential for intended use-Potential for intended use-Potential for intended use-Potential for planting-Free should be clear indications that the process should be resent in the PRA areaPotential for inpact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting<		defined to ensure that the assessment is	defined
that biological and other information used in the assessment is relevant to the organism in question. If this is not possible because the causal agent of particular symptoms has not yet been fully identified, then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissibleThe pest is present presence or absence If the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA areaThe pest is under official control (or being considered for official control) in the PRA area the PRA area should have ectolicial control in the near future spread in PRA area ecological/climatic conditions slutable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestAssociation of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended usePotential for environmental impact(s) of the pest on the intended usePotential for planting on the intended use of the patts for plantingPotential for plantingThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-Potential for plantingPotential for plantingIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should be chart indications that the planting-Process for that pest may crue be the obtem or of ficial to free right for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may crue be the obt		being performed on a distinct organism and	
in the assessment is relevant to the organism in question. If this is not possible because the causal agent of particular symptoms has not yet been fully identified, then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissibleThe pest is present in the PRA areaPresence or absence in the PRA areaThe pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA areaThe pest is present in the PRA areaRegulatory statusIf the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control in the near future ecological/climatic conditions suitable for the establishment and spread in PRA areaThe PRA area ashould have ecological/climatic conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestAssociation of the pest with the plants (including environmental impact) in the PRA area––Indication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for planting––Indication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for planting––Indication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for planting–The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area–Indication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for planting–The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the		that biological and other information used	
organism in question. If this is not possible because the causal agent of particular symptoms has not yet been fully identified, then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissibleThe pest is present in the PRA areaPresence or absence in the PRA areaThe pest should be <u>absent from all or a</u> defined part of the PRA areaThe pest is present in the PRA areaRegulatory statusIf the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control in the pest is inder official control) in the PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions including those in protected conditions suitable for the establishment and spread in PRA areaThe PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions including the pest is likely to have an unacceptable consequences in the pest si likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences in the plantingThe rest hould be clear indications that the pest si likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pest for plantingPotential for consequences in the plantingThe rest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaPlants for plantingConclusion of the plants for plantingIf it has been determined that the pest		in the assessment is relevant to the	
because the causal agent of particular symptoms has not yet been fully identified, then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissibleThe pest is present in the PRA areaPresence or absence in the PRA areaThe pest is present of the PRA areaThe pest is under official control (or being considered for official control) in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control or spected to be under official control or expected to be to be back and expected for official control or expected to be expecting and the effect on their introduction and spread of the pest and where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative host and expected economic impact (including envi		organism in question. If this is not possible	
symptoms has not yet been fully identified, then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissible Presence or absence in the PRA area Regulatory status Regulatory status Fit be pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be the PRA area with respect to the under official control in the near future establishment and spread in PRA area Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use Potential for consequences pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area Indication of magnet (including environmental consequences of the pest of the plants for planting Final the environmental consequences of the plants for planting Final the environmental consequences of the pest of the plants for planting Final the environmental consequences of the plants for planting Final the environmental consequences of the plants for planting Final the plant to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does Final the plants for planting Final the plant pest, the PRA process for that pest may the plant pest, the PRA process for that pest may true. It we have an or officiant Final the plant pest, the PRA process for that pest may true It we have any officiant Final the plant pest, the PRA process for that pest may Final the plant pest, the PRA process for that pest may Final the plant pest the Final the plant p		because the causal agent of particular	
then it should have been shown to produce consistent symptoms and to be transmissibleThe pest is present presence or absenceThe pest should be absent from all or a defined part of the PRA areaThe pest is present in the PRA areaRegulatory statusIf the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control in the near future specified plants for plantingThe pest is under official control) in the PRA area with respect to the under official control in the near future specified plants for plantingPotential for establishment and spread in PRA area relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences on banting and the effect on theirThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-Potential for consequences in the plantingThe pest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaThe pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfi all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may erit in the absence of efficientIf a		symptoms has not yet been fully identified,	
consistent symptoms and to be transmissiblePresence or absence in the PRA areaThe pest should be <u>absent from all or a</u> defined part of the PRA areaThe pest is <u>present</u> in the PRA areaRegulatory statusIf the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control or expected to be under official control in the near future ecological/climatic conditions including those in protected conditions suitable for the establishment and spread in PRA areaThe pRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestAssociation of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended useThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-Indication of impact(s) of the pest on the intended use-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stopIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop		then it should have been shown to produce	
Tresence or absence in the PRA areaThe pest should be <u>absent from all or a</u> defined part of the PRA areaThe pest is <u>present</u> in the PRA areaRegulatory statusIf the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control or expected to be under official control in the near futureThe pest is under official control) in the PRA area with respect to the specified plants for plantingPotential for establishment and spread in PRA areaThe PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area-Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use-Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences on the intended useThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-Indication of mapet (including environmental impact) of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop		consistent symptoms and to be	
Presence or absence in the PRA areaThe pest should be <u>absent from all or a</u> defined part of the PRA areaThe pest is <u>present</u> in the PRA areaRegulatory statusIf the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control in the near futureThe pest is under official control or being considered for official control) in the PRA area with respect to the specified plants for plantingPotential for establishment and spread in PRA areaThe PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions sincluding those in protected conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaThe plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestAssociation of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use-Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences on the intended useThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact(s) of the pest-PRA areaIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stopIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop		transmissible	
in the PRA areadefined part of the PRA areaRegulatory statusIf the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control in the near future ecological/climatic conditions suitable for the establishment and spread in PRA areaThe PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where releavent, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area-Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use-Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pest introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences of the plants for plantingThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-Potential of consequences of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential lof the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may tro. In the absence of curfficial control in the absence of curfficial control in the pest may tro. In the absence of curfficial control in the pest may tro. In the absence of curfficial control in the absence of curfficial control in the intended use on tuffil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may tro. In the absence of curfficial control in the intended use	Presence or absence	The pest should be absent from all or a	The pest is present in the PRA area
Regulatory statusIf the pest is present but not widely distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control in the near futureThe pest is under official control in being considered for official control in the PRA area with respect to the specified plants for plantingPotential for establishment and spread in PRA areaThe PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions including those in protected conditions uitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestAssociation of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended useThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable econonic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestIndication of of the plants for plantingIndication of of the plants for planting-OcnclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may tree. In the shorease of artificiateIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may tree. In the shorease of artificiateIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may tree. In the shorease of artificiate<	in the PRA area	defined part of the PRA area	
distributed in the PRA area, it should be under official control or expected to be under official control in the near future ecological/climatic conditions suitable for the establishment and spread in PRA areabe PRA area with respect to the specified plants for plantingPotential for establishment and spread in PRA areaThe PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area-Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use-Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences of the plants for plantingThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-PRA area Indication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may core in fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process of rufficial to test and pest, the PRA process of rufficial to test and pest, the PRA process of rufficial est and pest, the PRA process of rufficial est and pest of rufficial to test and pest of rufficial to test and pest, the PRA process of rufficial test and pest of rufficial test and pest of rufficial test and pest, the PRA process of rufficial test and pest for planting	Regulatory status	If the pest is present but not widely	The pest is under official control (or
Potential for establishment and spread in PRA areaunder official control or expected to be under official control in the near future specified plants for plantingthe PRA area with respect to the specified plants for plantingAssociation of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended usePotential for consequences on the intended usePotential for consequences on the intended useIndication of impact(s) of the plants for plantingPressionIndication of of the plants for plantingIndication of of the intended useIndication of of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaPRA areaIndication of impact(s) of the pest on the intended use-Indication of impact(s) of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, t		distributed in the PRA area, it should be	being considered for official control) in
Potential for establishment and spread in PRA areaThe PRA area should have ecological/climatic conditions including those in protected conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area-Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use-Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences on the intended useThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-Indication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for plantingThe potential to robustProduction of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for plantingIndication of the plants for planting-The set does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may rtron, the the pharks or of multiplication of the plant fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may rtron, the pace of multiplication regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may rtron, the the observe of ourficingtIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may rtron the the observe of ourficingt		under official control or expected to be	the PRA area with respect to the
Potential for establishment and spread in PRA area		under official control in the near future	specified plants for planting
establishment and spread in PRA area ecological/climatic conditions including those in protected conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use - Potential for consequences (including environmental consequences) in the PRA area There should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area - Indication of inguet(s) of the pest on the intended use - The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area - Indication of inguet(s) of the pest of the plants for planting - The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	Potential for	The PRA area should have	-
spread in PRA area those in protected conditions suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA area Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use - Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pest Potential for consequences (including environmental environmental consequences) in the PRA area There should be clear indications that the PRA area - Indication of impact (s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting - The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area - Indication of planting - - The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting Of the plants for planting - The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may run. It the abnears of sufficient If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may	establishment and	ecological/climatic conditions including	
establishment and spread of the pest and, where relevant, host species (or near relatives), alternative hosts and vectors should be present in the PRA areaPlants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestAssociation of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use-Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences (including economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaIndication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for plantingIndication of of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-Indication of of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingOnclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may yrton. It has abreace of on that pest may to be the spece of source of the pest may to be the specees for that pest may to be	spread in PRA area	those in protected conditions suitable for the	
Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use Potential for consequences (including environmental consequences) in the PRA area Indication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for planting Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may trop. the plants for a content of the pest may trop. the process for that pest may trop. the		establishment and spread of the pest and,	
Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use Potential for consequences intended impact) in the PRA area Potential for consequences impact) in the PRA area Indication of impact(s) of the pest of the plants for planting Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. La the abarance of eutficient of the parts for the process for that pest may stop. La the abarance of eutficient of the process may stop the process may stop		where relevant, host species (or hear	
Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use-Plants for planting are a pathway for introduction and spread of this pestPotential for consequences (including environmental consequences) in the PRA areaThere should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-Indication of of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area-ConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may et up. In the abareme of entificient of a resultated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stopIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop		relatives), alternative nosts and vectors	
Association of the pest with the plants for planting and the effect on their intended use -	Association of the	should be present in the FKA area	Plants for planting are a pathway for
for planting and the effect on their intended use - Potential for consequences (including economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area - Indication of on the intended use - Indication of on the intended use - Indication of on the intended use - Indication of on the intended use of the plants for planting - Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may ergent for the plant area or of rufficient to be a the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may recess may stop	Association of the	_	introduction and spread of this pest
intended use - Potential for consequences (including There should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area - environmental environmental consequences in the PRA area - - Indication of impact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting - The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. It he absence of fulficient If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	for planting and the		introduction and spread of this pest
intended use - Potential for consequences There should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable - (including economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area - consequences) in the PRA area - - Indication of on the intended use of the plants for planting - The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting - Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the abraroes of sufficient If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	effect on their		
Potential for consequences There should be clear indications that the pest is likely to have an unacceptable – (including economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA area – consequences) in the PRA area – The pest may cause unacceptable Indication of on the intended use of the plants for planting – The pest may cause unacceptable Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may exter. In the absence of sufficient If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	intended use		
consequences (including environmental consequences) in the PRA areapest is likely to have an unacceptable economic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaconsequences) in the PRA areaPRA areaThe pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the abaence of sufficientIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	Potential for	There should be clear indications that the	_
(including environmental consequences) in the PRA areaeconomic impact (including environmental impact) in the PRA areaIndication of impact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may ctop. In the absence of or a fufficientIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	consequences	pest is likely to have an unacceptable	
environmental consequences) in the PRA areaimpact) in the PRA areaIndication of impact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may retor. In the absence of sufficientIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	(including	economic impact (including environmental	
consequences) in the PRA area-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may rep In the obsence of sufficientIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	environmental	impact) in the PRA area	
PRA area Indication of impact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting - The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for planting Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may rtop. In the absence of sufficient If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	consequences) in the		
Indication of impact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting-The pest may cause unacceptable economic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may cone lumba characterized on the shore of sufficientIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	PRA area		
impact(s) of the pest on the intended use of the plants for plantingeconomic impact on the intended use of the plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may reput the absence of sufficientIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	Indication of	_	The pest may cause unacceptable
on the intended use of the plants for plantingthe plants for plantingConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the absence of sufficientIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	impact(s) of the pest		economic impact on the intended use of
of the plants for planting If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the absence of sufficient If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may	on the intended use		the plants for planting
planting Conclusion If it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the absence of sufficient If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	of the plants for		
ConclusionIf it has been determined that the pest has the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the absence of sufficientIf a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for a regulated non-quarantine pest, the PRA process may stop	planting		
the potential to be a quarantine pest, the PRA process should continue. If a pest does not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the absence of sufficient	Conclusion	If it has been determined that the pest has	If a pest does not fulfil all the criteria for
PRA process should continue. If a pest does PRA process may stop not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the absence of sufficient		the potential to be a quarantine pest, the	a regulated non-quarantine pest, the
not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine pest, the PRA process for that pest may stop. In the absence of sufficient		PRA process should continue. If a pest does	PRA process may stop
pest, the PKA process for that pest may stop. In the absence of sufficient		not fulfil all of the criteria for a quarantine	
aton in the engence of autilities of the second sec		pest, the PRA process for that pest may	
stop. In the upcertainties of sufficient		stop. In the absence of sufficient	
information, the uncertainties should be identified and the DPA process should		identified and the DDA process should	
continue		continue	

Table 1: International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM) 11 (FAO, 2013) and ISPM 21 (FAO, 2004) pest categorisation criteria under evaluation.

In addition, in order to reply to the specific questions listed in the terms of reference, three issues are specifically discussed only for pests already present in the EU: the analysis of the present EU distribution of the organism in comparison with the EU distribution of the main hosts; the analysis of



the observed impact of the organism in the EU; and the pest control and cultural measures currently implemented in the EU.

The Panel will not indicate in the conclusions of the pest categorisation whether to continue the risk assessment process as it is clearly stated in the terms of reference that at the end of the pest categorisation the EC will indicate if further risk assessment work is required following its analysis of the Panel's scientific opinion.

2.2. Data

2.2.1. Literature search

An extensive literature search on *Ceratocystis platani* (Walter) Engelbrecht et Harrington was conducted at the beginning of the mandate. Further references and information were obtained from experts and from citations within the references. Information included in the Rapid Pest Risk Analysis for *Ceratocystis platani*, conducted by the Food and Environment Research Agency (UK) for the UK (Woodhall, 2013), was also considered.

2.2.2. Data collection

To complement the information concerning the current situation of the pest provided by the literature and online databases on pest distribution, damage and management, the PLH Panel sent a short questionnaire on the current situation at country level based on the information available in the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) Plant Quarantine Retrieval (PQR) system, to the National Plant Protection Organisation (NPPO) contacts of the 28 EU MSs, and of Iceland and Norway. Iceland and Norway are part of the European Free Trade Association (EFTA) and are contributing to EFSA data collection activities, as part of the agreements EFSA has with these two countries. A summary of the pest status based on EPPO PQR (2014) and the MSs replies is presented in Table 3.

No information was available in the EUROSTAT or JRC databases on the distribution of the hosts of *C. platani* in the EU. Therefore, relevant information was obtained from CABI (2000), Plantwise (2014), IUCN (2014) and the following websites (online): (1) http://science.jrank.org/pages/5250/Plane-Family.html, (2) http://www.polleninfo.org/IS/is/allergy-infos/allergic-persons/profiles/plantain.html, (3) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Platanus_occidentalis, and (4) http://www.monumentaltrees.com/en/europe-platanusoccidentalis/

3. Pest categorisation

3.1. Identity and biology of *Ceratocystis platani*

3.1.1. Taxonomy

Name: Ceratocystis platani (Walter) Engelbrecht et Harrington (Engelbrecht and Harrington, 2005).

Synonyms: *Ceratocystis fimbriata* Ellis and Halsted f. sp. *platani* C May and JG Palmer 1959 and *Endoconidiophora fimbriata* (Ellis and Halsted) Davidson f. sp. *platani* Walter 1946.

Taxonomic position: Eukaryota; Fungi; Ascomycota; Pezizomycotina; Sordariomycetes; Hypocreomycetidae; Microascales; Incertae sedis; *Ceratocystis; Ceratocystis platani*.

Common names: The common names used in English-speaking countries are canker stain of plane, blue stain canker, canker of sycamore (CABI, 2014; EPPO PQR, 2014).

Originally, the pathogen was described as *Endoconidiophora fimbriata* f. sp. *platani* by Walter (1946) because the pathogen was morphologically indistinguishable from the morphotype and separable only on the basis of host reaction. Later it was transferred to the genus *Ceratocystis* by Bakshi (1950) and



Hunt (1956). The causal agent of canker stain of plane had been included in the *Ceratocystis fimbriata* species complex as *C. fimbriata* f. sp. *platani* (May and Palmer, 1959). More recently, Engelbrecht and Harrington (2005), supported by a previous molecular phylogeny study (Baker et al., 2003), revised the species complex *C. fimbriata* on the basis of intersterility experiments, progeny analysis and morphological characterisation of isolates obtained from different hosts and raised the causal agent of canker stain of plane from a *forma specialis* (i.e. *C. fimbriata* f. sp. *platani*) to the species level, as *Ceratocystis platani*.

The sexual stage of *C. platani* is characterised by perithecia that are dark-brown in colour and superficially or partly immersed in the substrate, with a globose base $(120-330 \,\mu\text{m})$, adorned with hyphal filaments (sometimes consisting of the conidiophores themselves) and with a long neck (400–1 000 μ m) that is somewhat darker and wider at the base, and lighter and narrower at the tip. The tip of the neck is adorned with erect hyaline hyphae (48–102 μ m), among which is the ostiole from which the ascospores are expelled at maturity. Asci are typically evanescent. The genus *Ceratocystis* was linked to the anamorphic state *Chalara* on the basis of the phialidic conidiogenesis (Von Arx, 1974; De Hoog and Scheffer, 1984; Nag Raj and Kendrick, 1992).

In culture (potato dextrose agar, 25 °C), the mycelium is at first hyaline, becoming brownish-green with time and giving off a pronounced banana odour (Jackson and Sleeth, 1935). Mycelial growth *in vitro* is rapid (approximately 0.5 cm after 24 hours). The pathogen produces three types of asexual conidia: (1) hyaline, truncated, cylindrical endoconidia (ameroconidia) with a smooth surface (5– $40 \times 3-6 \mu$ m), in long rigid arched chains on conidiophores 60–90 µm long; these spores are formed in an approximately daily cycle; (2) more rarely, doliform or barrel-shaped, light-brown endoconidia (7–12 × 6–9 µm) with truncated but slightly rounded tips, formed in short chains; and (3) thick-walled, globose or ovoid, dark olive-brown chlamydospores (aleurioconidia; 11–19 × 9–15 µm); these spores are produced either individually or in short chains of two to five spores.

C. platani is indigenous to eastern USA (Walter et al., 1952; Engelbrecht et al., 2004). During World War II, the pathogen is assumed to have been introduced into southern Europe (Panconesi, 1999), where it is still spreading clonally (Santini and Capretti, 2000). A large number of shipments of equipment and supplies was transported to the Mediterranean during World War II from eastern USA, particularly from the Philadelphia area, where canker stain was epidemic in the 1930s and 1940s (Walter et al., 1952). Dead trees in this area were probably used for crating material, and, since the fungus survives well in wood (Grosclaude et al., 1996), it may have sporulated heavily on cut surfaces once it arrived at its final destination.

3.1.2. Biology

C. platani is a wound pathogen causing canker and wilt in plane (*Platanus* spp.) trees. It infects trees through wounds or other injuries made in the branches, trunk or roots by biotic or abiotic agents (Vigouroux and Stojadinovic, 1990). *C. platani* conidia germinate when they come into contact with a wound and the developing mycelium colonises the exposed tissues and advances into the xylematic tissues of the underlying sapwood, where it develops both longitudinally and tangentially. The asexual spores of the pathogen (see section 3.1.1) appear within two to eight days following infection in the form of an ash-coloured powdery layer, mainly on pruning cuts made in infected plant organs (Panconesi, 1999; Engelbrecht and Harrington, 2005). Chlamydospores may also be produced in abundance inside the xylem vessels of the host 10–20 days following infection (Panconesi, 1999). Perithecia with ascospores (sexual stage) are formed abundantly on pruning cuts made on infected organs six to eight days following infection (Panconesi, 1999). Sometimes asexual and sexual reproductive structures are produced inside vessels or wounds and in the medulla (Clérivet and El Modafar, 1994; Clérivet et al., 2003).

Once in the host, the fungus moves through the xylem as a wilt pathogen and also causes cankers. A single infection can cause a canker of 2-2.5 m long within a year, and can kill a tree of 30-40 cm in diameter within two years (Panconesi, 1999). As the host tissue becomes necrotic because of *C*.



platani infection, it is immediately colonised in succession by other fungi, such as Pestalotiopsis spp., Fusarium spp., Dendrochium spp., Sphaeropsis spp., Asterosporium spp., etc.

The pathogen survives for several months or years as chlamydospores in the wood of diseased trees (Grosclaude et al., 1996; Engelbrecht et al., 2004). *C. platani* can survive for more than 105 days in soil during the winter, but temperatures of 35–40 °C negatively affect its survival in soil (Mutto Accordi, 1989).

The most evident symptom of the disease is the sudden wilting of a portion or of the entire crown (Panconesi, 1999). The wilting is due to host reaction processes (formation of tyloses, gums, etc.) induced by the infection of the pathogen. Crown wilting occurs most often in the spring–summer period when the tree has a high water demand, which the tree is unable to satisfy because of the lack of vessel functionality. As a result, the leaves turn yellow and wilt, but they do not immediately fall and can easily be distinguished from the surrounding healthy crown. When the infection occurs late in the season, the following summer the infected branch or the entire tree may fail to flush, or the buds can burst and the emerging leaves suddenly wither and die. In old trees, the infection may lead to increasing microphyllia, crown thinning and yellowing.

The optimum temperature for the growth of *C. platani* is 25 °C; the fungus does not grow below 10 °C or above 45 °C (CABI, 2014). Maximum germination of conidia and ascospores occurs at 25 °C, but viability is lost after 48 hours of incubation in soil at 35 to 40 °C (Mutto Accordi, 1989; Mancini and Scapin, 1981). High humidity is also necessary for infection and disease development. In Europe, the most favourable period for infection and disease development is May to September. A wound in the bark, roots, trunk or branches is necessary for fungal penetration. The urban environment and human activity (pollution, water deprivation, pruning, digging, etc.) seem to increase the susceptibility of plane trees to infection (Panconesi, 1999).

3.1.3. Intraspecific diversity

The pathogen has two mating types (MAT-1 and MAT-2) and is able to outcross, but the MAT-2 mating type is self-fertile (homothallic) through unidirectional mating type switching (Witthuhn et al., 2000). Because of selfing, an introduced strain of the fungus could spread via both asexual and sexual spores in an essentially clonal manner (Engelbrecht et al., 2004).

The European *C. platani* population is uniform (Santini and Capretti, 2000; Engelbrecht et al., 2004; Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007). Indeed, when invasive species colonise a new environment, they are subjected to a strong genetic bottleneck (Nei, 1972), which, in extreme cases, can lead to clonality. In Europe, in view of the very high susceptibility of *P. orientalis* and *P. × acerifolia* to canker stain disease, it is possible that, by chance, only a single strain of the fungus was introduced into Europe (or just one of the introduced populations had the opportunity to establish), where it found conditions extremely favourable for its spread without facing any selection pressure from the host tree (Santini and Capretti, 2000).

3.1.4. Detection and identification

EPPO Standard PM 7/14 (EPPO, 2003) includes several diagnostic protocols for the detection and identification of *C. platani* using classical techniques and molecular methods.

C. platani can be detected in living host material by direct observation under a light microscope of the edge of the lesions for the presence of dark diagnostic chlamydospores or, in the absence of chlamydospores, by isolation on potato dextrose agar, carrot agar or malt extract agar (EPPO, 2003). For the detection of the pathogen in dead wood or soil, a trapping method developed by Grosclaude et al. (1988) can be used (EPPO, 2003). A carrot assay (inoculation of carrots with wood fragments leads to the production of fungal perithecia at the inoculation points) can also be used successfully (Moller and DeVay, 1968; Pilotti et al., 2009). The carrot assay has been proved to be as effective as the microscope assay when applied on infected living hosts (Pilotti et al., 2009). In all the above-



mentioned methods, the identification of the pathogen is based on its cultural and morphological characteristics (EPPO, 2003). Nevertheless, most of the classic techniques used for the detection and identification of *C. platani* have strong limitations that lower the effectiveness or the feasibility of the detection, especially when several samples need to be tested. In addition, apart from the microscope assay, all the classic assays require a long time for the detection of the pathogen (one to three weeks, depending on the method) (Pilotti et al., 2012).

Fast, reliable and sensitive molecular methods have also been developed for the detection and identification of *C. platani*. EPPO Standard PM 7/14 (OEPP/EPPO, 2003) also suggests a specific procedure for identification of *C. platani* by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. The protocol is adapted from the one proposed by Witthuhn et al. (1999) for a PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) identification method. The method consists of a RFLP-PCR of the ITS1 gene.

A serological assay has also been optimised to confirm the presence of the ceratoplatanin protein from *C. platani* ascospores and mycelium (Boddi et al., 2004), but it has never been used for diagnostic purposes.

More recently, two different real-time PCR assays were developed for the identification and quantification of the pathogen in infected host wood tissue (Pilotti et al., 2012) and in air-borne environmental samples (Luchi et al., 2013) using conventional TaqMan and TaqMan minor grove binder (MGB) probes, respectively.

3.1.4.1. Similarities to other diseases

Other diseases of *Platanus* spp. with symptoms similar to those caused by *C. platani* are (1) plane anthracnose, caused by the ascomycete *Apiognomonia veneta* (Sacc. & Speg.) Höhn. 1920; (2) massaria disease, caused by the ascomycete *Splanchnonema platani* (Ces.) M.E. Barr 1982 (syn. *Macrodiplodiopsis desmazieresii*, formerly known as *Massaria platani*); and (3) canker and white-rot disease, caused by the basidiomycete *Sarcodontia pachyodon* (Pers.) Spirin 2001. Therefore, for reliable detection and identification of the pathogen in host material, the protocols described in EPPO Standard PM 7/14 (EPPO, 2003) or the real-time PCR assay developed by Pilotti et al. (2012) must be applied.

3.2. Current distribution of *Ceratocystis platani*

3.2.1. Global distribution

According to the EPPO PQR database (EPPO PQR, 2014), *C. platani* is present, with a restricted distribution, in the eastern states of the USA and in California (Figure 1 and Table 2). The pathogen is also present in some EU countries (see section 3.2.2), in Switzerland and in Armenia (Figure 1 and Tables 2 and 3).





Figure 1: Global distribution map of *Ceratocystis platani* (syn. *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. sp. *platani*), as extracted from EPPO PQR (2014), version 5.3.1, accessed on 17 June 2014. Red circles and crosses represent national and sub-national pest records, respectively; triangles represent transient pests

Table 2:	The status	of Cerato	cystis platan	i in non-EU	countries,	according to	the	EPPO	PQR
database (E	PPO PQR, 2	2014; versi	on 5.3.1, acce	essed on 17 J	une 2014)				

Continent/country	Region	Pest status
America		
USA		Present, restricted distribution
USA	Arkansas	Present, no details
USA	California	Present, restricted distribution
USA	Delaware	Present, no details
USA	District of Columbia	Present, no details
USA	Kentucky	Present, no details
USA	Louisiana	Present, no details
USA	Maryland	Present, no details
USA	Mississippi	Present, no details
USA	Missouri	Present, no details
USA	New Jersey	Present, no details
USA	North Carolina	Present, no details
USA	Pennsylvania	Present, no details
USA	Tennessee	Present, no details
USA	Virginia	Present, no details
USA	West Virginia	Present, no details
Europe		
Armenia		Present, no details
Switzerland		Present, restricted distribution

3.2.2. Distribution in the EU

As indicated by the answers to the EFSA questionnaire received from the MSs, the presence of *C*. *platani* is reported in many regions of Italy, mainly on amenity trees (along the roads) (Table 3).

According to the French NPPO, the pathogen is present, with restricted distribution, in France and absent (eradicated) in Corsica (Table 3). Based on the EPPO Reporting Service database (EPPO RS 2008/029 and 2008/184), in 2008, the pathogen was reported to be present in the southern regions of

France, such as Languedoc-Roussillon, Midi-Pyrénées, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, Rhône-Alpes and Aquitaine (Anonymous, 2007).

No information was provided by the Greek NPPO (Table 3). However, in the EPPO PQR database (EPPO PQR, 2014), *C. platani* is reported as present with restricted distribution in Greece. The disease was reported for the first time in south-western Peloponnese (southern Greece) in 2003 in natural forests of *P. orientalis* (Tsopelas and Angelopoulos, 2004). In 2010, the disease was detected in north-western Greece (regional units of Ioannina and Thesprotia) (Tsopelas and Soulioti, 2010).

C. platani was first reported in Spain in 1977 (La Porta et al., 2008). Its presence in Spain was officially confirmed in 2010 in a small plantation of *P*. × *acerifolia* in Catalonia, but it has now been eradicated (Table 3).

According to Anselmi et al. (1994) and Soulioti et al. (2008), there was an unconfirmed report of the pathogen being present in Belgium. However, this report is not included in the EPPO PQR database (EPPO PQR, 2014). According to the answer provided by the Belgian NPPO to the EFSA questionnaire, there are no records of the pathogen in Belgium (Table 3).

The pathogen is not known to be present in the UK (Table 3). However, in the Fungal Records Database of Britain and Ireland (FRDBI, 2014) there is one record (FRDBI Record No: 1378905) of *C. fimbriata* (as *Endoconidiophora fimbriata*) on a *Platanus* tree in 1966 in Canterbury, Kent, UK. No further information is available in the above-mentioned database.

Table 3: Current distribution of *Ceratocystis platani* in the 28 EU MSs, Iceland and Norway, based on the answers received via email from the NPPOs or, in absence of reply, on information from EPPO PQR database (EPPO PQR, 2014; version 5.3.1, accessed on 17 June 2014)

Member State	NPPO answer	NPPO Comments
Austria	Absent, no pest records	
Belgium	Absent, no pest records	See status NPPO 2007 in PQR5. In
		literature, some databases and recent UK
		PRA, (unconfirmed) findings are
		mentioned for BE. These might all
		originate from an article in EPPO
		bulletin (Anselmi et al., 1994). However,
		the NPPO has no reports on
		(un)confirmed findings
Bulgaria	Absent	
Croatia	Absent, no pest records	
Cyprus	_	
Czech Republic	Absent, confirmed by survey	
Denmark	Known not to occur	
Estonia	Absent, no pest records	
Finland	Absent, no pest records	
France	Present, restricted distribution; absent,	
	eradicated in Corse Island	
Germany	Absent, no pest records	
Greece ^(a)	Present, restricted distribution	
Hungary	Absent, no pest records	
Ireland	Absent, no pest record	
Italy	Present in many regions, mainly on	
	amenity trees (along the roads). Never	
	reported in nurseries	
Latvia	-	
Lithuania	_	
Luxembourg	-	



Member State	NPPO answer	NPPO Comments
Malta	Absent, no pest records	
Poland	Absent	In years 2009 -2013 in total 513 visual
		SPHSIS on <i>Platanus</i> plants.
Portugal	No records	
Romania	_	
Slovak Republic	Absent, confirmed by survey	
Slovenia	Absent, no pest records on Platanus	
Spain	Absent, pest eradicated	In Spain the presence of <i>C. platani</i> was confirmed in 2010 in a small plantation
		of <i>Platanus acerifolia</i> in Catalonia but
		now is eradicated
Sweden	Absent, not known to occur	
The Netherlands	Absent, confirmed by survey	
United Kingdom	Absent	
Iceland	_	
Norway	_	

(a): When no information was made available to EFSA, the pest status in the EPPO PQR (2012) was used

-: No information available.

3.3. Regulatory status

3.3.1. Council Directive 2000/29/EC

Harmful organism: Ceratocystis platani

This species is regulated as a harmful organism in the EU and is listed as *C. fimbriata* f. sp. *platani* Walter in Council Directive 2000/29/EC (Table 4) in the following sections:

Table 4:	Ceratocystis platani	(as C. fimbriata f. sp.	platani) in Council	Directive 2000/29/EC
----------	----------------------	-------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Annex II, Part A	Harmful organisms whose introduction into, and spread within, all Member States shall be
	banned if they are present on certain plants or plant products
Section II	Harmful organisms known to occur in the Community and relevant for the entire
	Community
(c)	Fungi
Subject of	Plants of Platanus L., intended for planting, other than seeds, and wood of Platanus L.,
contamination	including wood which has not kept its natural round surface

Regulated hosts for *Ceratocystis platani*

Below, specific requirements of Annex IV and Annex V of the Council Directive 2000/29/EC are presented for only the host plants and commodities regulated for *C. platani* in Annex IIAII (Table 5).

Table 5: Host plants of *Ceratocystis platani* (as *C. fimbriata* f. sp. *platani*) in Council Directive2000/29/EC

Annex IV,	Special requirements which must be laid down	by all Member States for the introduction and
Part A	movement of plants, plant products and other object	cts into and within all Member States
Section I	Plants, plant products and other objects originating	outside the Community
	Plants, plant products and other objects	Special requirements
5	Wood of <i>Platanus</i> L., except that in the form of	Official statement that the wood has undergone
	chips, particles, sawdust, shavings, wood waste	kiln-drying to below 20 % moisture content,
	and scrap, but including wood which has not	expressed as a percentage of dry matter,
	kept its natural round surface, originating in	achieved through an appropriate
	Armenia, Switzerland or the USA.	time/temperature schedule. There shall be



 Official statement that the wood: has been produced from debarked round wood, or has undergone kiln-drying to below 20 % moisture content, expressed as a percentage of dry matter achieved through an appropriate time/temperature schedule, or nas undergone an appropriate furnigation to a specification approved in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 18.2. There shall be evidence of the furnigation by indicating on the certificates referred to in Article 13.1.(ii), the active ingredient, the minimum wood temperature, the rate (g/m³) and the exposure time (h);, or nas undergone an appropriate heat treatment to achieve a minimum core temperature of 56 ° C for at least 30 minutes, the latter to be indicated on the certificates referred to in Article 13.1.(ii) 7.1 Whether or not listed among the CN codes in Annex V, Part B, wood in the form of chips, particles, sawdust, shavings, wood waste and scrap and obtained in whole or in part from: []Platanus L, originating Armenia, Switzerland or the USA. (]Platanus L, originating Armenia, Switzerland or the USA.
 7.1 Whether or not listed among the CN codes in Annex V, Part B, wood in the form of chips, particles, sawdust, shavings, wood waste and scrap and obtained in whole or in part from: []Platanus L., originating Armenia, Switzerland or the USA. or has undergone kiln-drying to below 20 % moisture content, expressed as a percentage of dry matter achieved through an appropriate time/temperature schedule, or has undergone an appropriate fumigation to a specification approved in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 18.2. There shall be evidence of the fumigation by indicating on the certificates referred to in Article 13.1.(ii), the active ingredient, the minimum wood temperature, the rate (g/m³) and the exposure time (h);, or has undergone an appropriate heat treatment to achieve a minimum core temperature of 56 °C for at least 30 minutes, the latter to be indicated on the certificates referred to in Article 13.1.(ii) 7.1 Whether or not listed among the CN codes in Annex V, Part B, wood in the form of chips, particles, sawdust, shavings, wood waste and scrap and obtained in whole or in part from: []Platanus C., originating Armenia, Switzerland or the USA.
 7.1 Whether or not listed among the CN codes in Annex V, Part B, wood in the form of chips, particles, sawdust, shavings, wood waste and scrap and obtained in whole or in part from: []Platanus L., originating Armenia, Switzerland or the USA. (]Platanus L., originating Armenia, Switzerland or the USA.
 has undergone an appropriate heat treatment to achieve a minimum core temperature of 56 ° C for at least 30 minutes, the latter to be indicated on the certificates referred to in Article 13.1.(ii) 7.1 Whether or not listed among the CN codes in Article 13.1.(ii) 7.1 Whether or not listed among the CN codes in Annex V, Part B, wood in the form of chips, particles, sawdust, shavings, wood waste and scrap and obtained in whole or in part from: []Platanus L., originating Armenia, Switzerland or the USA. []Platanus L., originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA.
 7.1 Whether or not listed among the CN codes in Annex V, Part B, wood in the form of chips, particles, sawdust, shavings, wood waste and scrap and obtained in whole or in part from: []Platanus []Platanus L., originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA. 7.1 Whether or not listed among the CN codes in Official statement that the wood: has been produced from debarked round wood; or has undergone kiln-drying to below 20 % dry matter achieved through an appropriate time/temperature schedule;
or
has undergone an appropriate fumigation to a specification approved in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 18.2. There shall be evidence of the fumigation by indicating on the certificates referred to in Article 13.1.(ii), the active ingredient, the minimum wood temperature, the rate (g/m ³) and the exposure time (h); or
has undergone an appropriate heat treatment to achieve a minimum core temperature of 56 °C for at least 30 minutes, the latter to be indicated on the certificates referred to in Article 13.1.(ii)
12 Plants of <i>Platanus</i> L., intended for planting, Official statement that no symptoms of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> (J. M Walter) Engelbr. and T. C. Harr., have been observed at the place of production or its immediate vicinity since the beginning of the last complete cycle of vagetation
Section II Plants, plant products and other objects originating in the Community



2	Wood of <i>Platanus</i> L.,	, including wood which has	(a) Official statement that the wood originates
	not kept its natural rou	und surface	in areas known to be free from <i>Ceratocystis</i>
			<i>platani</i> (J. M Walter) Engelbr. and T. C. Harr.;
			or
			(b) there shall be evidence by a mark
			'Kilndried', 'KD' or another internationally
			recognised mark, put on the wood or on its
			packaging in accordance with current
			commercial usage, that is has undergone kiln-
			drying to below 20 % moisture content,
			expressed as a percentage of dry matter, at
			time of manufacture, achieved through an
			appropriate time/temperature schedule.
8	Plants of Platanus	I intended for planting	Official statement that:
0	other than seeds	E., intended for planting,	(a) the plants originate in an area known to be
	other than seeds		free from <i>Caratocystic platani</i> (I M Walter)
			Encellar and T. C. Horr
			Engelor. and T. C. Harr.
			(b) no symptoms of <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> (J. M
			Walter) Engelbr. and I. C. Harr. have been
			observed at the place of production or in its
			immediate vicinity since the beginning of the
			last complete cycle of vegetation.
Annex V	Plants, plant products	and other objects which mu	ist be subject to a plant health inspection (at the
	place of production if	f originating in the Commun	ity, before being moved within the Community,
	in the country of original	gin or the consignor country	y, if originating outside the Community before
	being permitted to ent	er the Community)	
Part A	Plants, plant products	and other objects originating	in the Community
Ι	Plants, plant product	s and other objects which	are potential carriers of harmful organisms of
	relevance for the entir	e Community and which mu	st be accompanied by a plant passport
1.	Plants and plant produ	icts	
1.7	Wood within the mean	ning of the first subparagraph	of Article 2(2), where it:
	(a) has been obtained	in whole or part from one of	the following genera:
	()	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	- [] Platanu	s L including wood which h	as not kept its natural round surface
	- [] Platanu	s L., including wood which h	as not kept its natural round surface
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> and (b) meets one of the	s L., including wood which h	as not kept its natural round surface
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid c 23 July 1987 on the tariff a	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> . and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff:	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> . and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff:	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> . and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> . and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> . and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles
	 [] <i>Platanu</i>. and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs,
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> . and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms
	- [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other
	- [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre preservatives, not stripped of	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared
	 [] Platanu. and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tree preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading
	- [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tree preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech
	- [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tree preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough,	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or
	- [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (or briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tree preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tree	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, torms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other
	- [] <i>Platanu</i> . and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tree preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (othe note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tree preservatives	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other
	 [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 ex 4404 20 00 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tree preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (othenote 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tree preservatives Non-coniferous split poles	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other spinet tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other ; piles, pickets and stakes of non-coniferous
	 [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 ex 4404 20 00 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tre preservatives Non-coniferous split poles wood, pointed but not sawn	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other ; piles, pickets and stakes of non-coniferous lengthwise
	 [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 ex 4404 20 00 ex 4407 99 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (of briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tre preservatives Non-coniferous split poles wood, pointed but not sawn Non-coniferous wood (oth	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other ; piles, pickets and stakes of non-coniferous lengthwise er than tropical wood specified in subheading
	 [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 ex 4404 20 00 ex 4407 99 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (or briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tre preservatives Non-coniferous split poles wood, pointed but not sawn Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, torms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other ; piles, pickets and stakes of non-coniferous lengthwise er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood specified in subheading net tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood specified in subheading
	 [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 ex 4404 20 00 ex 4407 99 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in ch Wood waste and scrap (or briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tre preservatives Non-coniferous split poles wood, pointed but not sawn Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), sawn or chi	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other ; piles, pickets and stakes of non-coniferous lengthwise er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood specified in subheading lengthwise
	 [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 ex 4404 20 00 ex 4407 99 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in cl Wood waste and scrap (or briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tre preservatives Non-coniferous split poles wood, pointed but not sawm Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), sawn or chi note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), sawn or chi not planed, sanded or end-je	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other ; piles, pickets and stakes of non-coniferous lengthwise er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech pped lengthwise, sliced or peeled, whether or binted, of a thickness exceeding 6 mm.
2.	 [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 ex 4404 20 00 ex 4407 99 Plants, plant product 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in cl Wood waste and scrap (or briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tre preservatives Non-coniferous split poles wood, pointed but not sawn Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), sawn or chi note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), sawn or chi not planed, sanded or end-ju s and other objects produce	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other ; piles, pickets and stakes of non-coniferous lengthwise er than tropical wood specified in subheading mer tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech pped lengthwise, sliced or peeled, whether or pointed, of a thickness exceeding 6 mm. ed by producers whose production and sale is
2.	 [] <i>Platanu.</i> and (b) meets one of the (EEC) No 2658/87 of Customs Tariff: CN code 4401 10 00 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 80 4403 10 00 ex 4403 99 ex 4404 20 00 ex 4407 99 Plants, plant product authorised to persons 	s L., including wood which h following descriptions laid of 23 July 1987 on the tariff a Description Fuel wood, in logs, in billet Non-coniferous wood, in cl Wood waste and scrap (or briquettes, pellets or similar Wood in the rough, tre preservatives, not stripped of Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), in the rough, roughly squared, not tre preservatives Non-coniferous split poles wood, pointed but not sawn Non-coniferous wood (oth note 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), sawn or chi not 1 to Chapter 44 or oth (<i>Fagus</i> spp.)), sawn or chi not planed, sanded or end-ju s and other objects produced	as not kept its natural round surface down in Annex I, Part II to Council Regulation nd statistical nomenclature and on the Common s, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms hips or particles ther than sawdust), not agglomerated in logs, forms ated with paint, stains, creosote or other of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech whether or not stripped of bark or sapwood, or ated with paint, stains, creosote or other ; piles, pickets and stakes of non-coniferous lengthwise er than tropical wood specified in subheading her tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.) or beech pped lengthwise, sliced or peeled, whether or <u>pinted, of a thickness exceeding 6 mm.</u> ed by producers whose production and sale is plant production, other than those plants, plant



1. Plants intended for planting other than seeds of the genus Platanus L. Part B Plants, plant products and other objects originating in territories, other than those referred to in Part A I. Plants, plant products and other objects which are potential carriers of harmful organisms of relevance for the entire Community I. Plants, intended for planting, other than seeds []. 6. Wood within the meaning of the first subparagraph of Article 2(2), where it: (a) has been obtained in whole or part from one of the order, genera or species as described hereafter, except wood packaging material defined in Annex IV, Part A, Section I, Point 2: []Platanus L., including wood which has not kept its natural round surface, originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA[] and b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code Description 4401 10 00 Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms 4401 30 40 Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms 4403 10 00 Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared 		which it is ensured by the responsible of	official bodies of the Member States, that the production
 2.1. Plants intended for planting other than seeds of the genus <i>Platanus</i> L. Part B Plants, plant products and other objects originating in territories, other than those referred to in Part A I. Plants, plant products and other objects which are potential carriers of harmful organisms of relevance for the entire Community I. Plants, intended for planting, other than seeds []. 6. Wood within the meaning of the first subparagraph of Article 2(2), where it: (a) has been obtained in whole or part from one of the order, genera or species as described hereafter, except wood packaging material defined in Annex IV, Part A, Section I, Point 2:		thereof is clearly separate from that of ot	her products
Part B Plants, plant products and other objects originating in territories, other than those referred to in Part A I. Plants, plant products and other objects which are potential carriers of harmful organisms of relevance for the entire Community 1. Plants, intended for planting, other than seeds []. 6. Wood within the meaning of the first subparagraph of Article 2(2), where it: (a) has been obtained in whole or part from one of the order, genera or species as described hereafter, except wood packaging material defined in Annex IV, Part A, Section I, Point 2: []Platanus L., including wood which has not kept its natural round surface, originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA[] and b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code 4401 10 00 Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms 4401 30 40 Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms 4403 10 00 Vood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared 	2.1.	Plants intended for planting other than seeds of the genus <i>Platanus</i> L.	
I. Plants, plant products and other objects which are potential carriers of harmful organisms of relevance for the entire Community 1. Plants, intended for planting, other than seeds []. 6. Wood within the meaning of the first subparagraph of Article 2(2), where it: (a) has been obtained in whole or part from one of the order, genera or species as described hereafter, except wood packaging material defined in Annex IV, Part A, Section I, Point 2: []Platanus L., including wood which has not kept its natural round surface, originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA[] and b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code Description 4401 10 00 Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms 4401 30 40 Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms 4403 10 00 Wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared 	Part B	Plants, plant products and other objects of A	originating in territories, other than those referred to in Part
1. Plants, intended for planting, other than seeds []. 6. Wood within the meaning of the first subparagraph of Article 2(2), where it: (a) has been obtained in whole or part from one of the order, genera or species as described hereafter, except wood packaging material defined in Annex IV, Part A, Section I, Point 2:	I.	Plants, plant products and other object	s which are potential carriers of harmful organisms of
1. Prants, intended for planting, other than seeds []. 6. Wood within the meaning of the first subparagraph of Article 2(2), where it: (a) has been obtained in whole or part from one of the order, genera or species as described hereafter, except wood packaging material defined in Annex IV, Part A, Section I, Point 2: []<i>Platanus</i> L., including wood which has not kept its natural round surface, originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA[] and b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code	1	Planta intended for planting, other than a	anda []
 wood within the meaning of the first subparagraph of Article 2(2), where it. (a) has been obtained in whole or part from one of the order, genera or species as described hereafter, except wood packaging material defined in Annex IV, Part A, Section I, Point 2: []<i>Platanus</i> L., including wood which has not kept its natural round surface, originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA[] and b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code 4401 10 00 Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 40 Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms 4403 10 00 4403 10 00 4402 00 Cher wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms Attagenetic and the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared 	<u> </u>	Plants, intended for planting, other than s	neregraph of Article 2(2), where it.
 (a) has been obtained in whole of part from one of the order, genera of species as described hereafter, except wood packaging material defined in Annex IV, Part A, Section I, Point 2: []<i>Platanus</i> L., including wood which has not kept its natural round surface, originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA[] and b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code CN code 4401 10 00 Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 40 Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms ex 4401 30 80 Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared 	0.	(a) has been obtained in whole or part	paragraph of Afficie $2(2)$, where it.
 []Platanus L., including wood which has not kept its natural round surface, originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA[] and b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code 4401 10 00 Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 40 ex 4401 30 80 Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms 4403 10 00 4402 00 4402 00 4403 10 00 		(a) has been obtained in whole of part bereafter, except wood packaging materia	al defined in Anney IV Part A Section I Point 2:
Initiality wood which has not kept its hatter round surface, originating in Armenia, Switzerland or the USA[]andb) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN codeDescription4401 10 00Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in 		- []Platanus [including wood	d which has not kent its natural round surface originating
and b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code Description 4401 10 00 Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms 4401 22 00 Non coniferous wood in chips or particles ex 4401 30 40 Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms ex 4401 30 80 Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms 4403 10 00 Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared		in Armenia, Switzerland or the l	USA[]
 b) meets one of the following descriptions laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation (EEC) No 2658/87: CN code 4401 10 00 Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms 4401 22 00 ex 4401 30 40 ex 4401 30 80 Attoa 10 00 Attoa 10 00 Attoa 200 Attoa 200		and	
CN codeDescription4401 10 00Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms4401 22 00Non coniferous wood in chips or particlesex 4401 30 40Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar formsex 4401 30 80Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms4403 10 00Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared		b) meets one of the following description (EEC) No 2658/87:	ns laid down in Annex I, Part two to Council Regulation
4401 10 00Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in similar forms4401 22 00Non coniferous wood in chips or particles Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar formsex 4401 30 80Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms4403 10 00Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared		CN code	Description
4401 22 00similar formsex 4401 30 40Non coniferous wood in chips or particlesex 4401 30 80Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms4403 10 00Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms4402 00Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared		4401 10 00	Fuel wood, in logs, in billets, in twigs, in faggots or in
4401 22 00Non coniferous wood in chips or particlesex 4401 30 40Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar formsex 4401 30 80Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms4403 10 00Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared			similar forms
ex 4401 30 40Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar formsex 4401 30 80Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms4403 10 00Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared		4401 22 00	Non coniferous wood in chips or particles
ex 4401 30 80Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms4403 10 00Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared		ex 4401 30 40	Sawdust, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms
4403 10 00 Wood in the rough, treated with paint, stains, creosote or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared		ex 4401 30 80	Other wood waste and scrap, not agglomerated in logs, briquettes, pellets or similar forms
or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood, or roughly squared		4403 10 00	Wood in the rough treated with paint stains creosote
or roughly squared		1103 10 00	or other preservatives, not stripped of bark or sapwood.
			or roughly squared
ex 4403 99 Non-conferous wood (other than tropical wood		ex 4403 99	Non-coniferous wood (other than tropical wood
specified in subheading note 1 to Chapter 44 or other			specified in subheading note 1 to Chapter 44 or other
tropical wood, oak (Quercus spp.) or beech (Fagus			tropical wood, oak (Quercus spp.) or beech (Fagus
spp.)), in the rough, whether or not stripped of bark or			spp.)), in the rough, whether or not stripped of bark or
sapwood, or roughly squared, not treated with paint,			sapwood, or roughly squared, not treated with paint,
stains, creosote or other preservatives		ov 4404	stains, creosote or other preservatives
but not sawn lengthwise		ex 4404	but not sawn lengthwise
4406 Railway or tramway sleepers (cross-ties) of wood:		4406	Railway or tramway sleepers (cross-ties) of wood:
ex 4407 99 Non-coniferous wood (other than tropical wood		ex 4407 99	Non-conferous wood (other than tropical wood
specified in subheading note 1 to Chapter 44 or other			specified in subheading note 1 to Chapter 44 or other
tropical wood, oak (<i>Quercus</i> spp.), beech (<i>Fagus</i> spp.),			tropical wood, oak (Quercus spp.), beech (Fagus spp.),
maple (Acer spp.), cherry (Prunus spp.) or ash			maple (Acer spp.), cherry (Prunus spp.) or ash
(Fraxinus spp.)), sawn or chipped lengthwise, sliced or			(Fraxinus spp.)), sawn or chipped lengthwise, sliced or
peeled, whether or not planed, sanded or end-jointed,			peeled, whether or not planed, sanded or end-jointed,
of a thickness exceeding 6 mm			of a thickness exceeding 6 mm
4415 Packing cases, boxes, crates, drums and similar		4415	Packing cases, boxes, crates, drums and similar
packings, of wood; cable-drums of wood; pallets, box			packings, of wood; cable-drums of wood; pallets, box
pallets and other load boards, of wood; pallet collars of			pallets and other load boards, of wood; pallet collars of
W000 4416.00.00 Casks horrals yets tube and other according' products		4416.00.00	WUUU Caska harrals yets tube and other scenars' products
and parts thereof, of wood, including stayes		4410 00 00	and parts thereof of wood including staves
9406 00 20 Prefabricated buildings of wood		9406 00 20	Prefabricated buildings of wood

3.3.2. Marketing directives

Host plants of *C. platani* that are regulated in Annex IIAII of Council Directive 2000/29/EC are explicitly mentioned in the following Marketing Directives:

• Council Directive 1999/105/EC⁵

⁵ Council Directive 1999/105/EC of 22 december 1999 on the marketing of forest reproductive material. OJ L 11, 15.01.2000, p. 17–40.



• Council Directive 98/56/EC⁶

3.4. Elements to assess the potential for establishment and spread in the EU

3.4.1. Host range

The only known hosts of *C. platani* are three species of the genus *Platanus* (family Platanaceae), namely *P. occidentalis* L. (American plane or sycamore), *P. orientalis* L. (Oriental plane) and their natural hybrid, $P. \times acerifolia$ Willd. (London plane) (Table 6). Although *P. orientalis* and $P. \times acerifolia$ are considered to be extremely susceptible to the disease, *P. occidentalis* has shown some degree of resistance to infection by the pathogen (Panconesi, 1999). No reports exist on the disease affecting the other five species of the genus *Platanus* (i.e. *P. mexicana, P. racemosa, P. wrightii* and *P. oaxacana*, all from North and Central America, and *P. kerrii*, an ancestral species native to Laos) (Panconesi, 1999).

Table 6:	Host range of	Ceratocystis platani
----------	---------------	----------------------

Hosts	Common name	References
Platanus imes acerifolia	London plane	Marvin (1939), Panconesi (1999), Panconesi et al. (2003)
Platanus occidentalis	American plane or sycamore	Crandal (1935), McCracken and Burkhardt (1977)
Platanus orientalis	Oriental plane	Jackson and Sleeth (1935), Panconesi (1981), Panconesi et al. (2003), Tsopelas and Angelopoulos (2004)

3.4.2. EU distribution of main host plants

 $P. \times acerifolia$ occurs in Europe, the USA, Argentina, China and the Maghreb (North Africa), at latitudes between 55 °N and 30 °N (CABI, 2000). Based on Plantwise (2014), it is also present in Chile, Brazil, North Korea, Japan, New Zealand, Israel and Zimbabwe (Figure 2). In Europe, it is planted for ornamental purposes, in parks and along avenues, in Austria, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, France (including Corsica), Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Monaco, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, San Marino, Serbia and Montenegro, Slovenia, Spain, Switzerland, Ukraine and the UK (CABI, 2000). In southern Europe and the USA, it is also planted for coppicing (CABI, 2000).

P. orientalis is very widespread; it is found in the eastern Mediterranean, throughout the Middle East and in the south-east provinces of the Euro-Siberian region. It is native to Afghanistan, Azerbaijan, Bulgaria, Greece, Iran, Islamic Republic of Iraq, Lebanon, Syrian Arab Republic, Tajikistan, Turkey and Uzbekistan. *P. orientalis* was introduced into Pakistan (IUCN, 2014) and Sicily. Its introduction into Sicily dates back to Dionysius the Elder (c. 432–367 BC), and this plane species is, thus, considered naturalised there. *P. orientalis* is often cultivated as an amenity tree in the Mediterranean region of Europe (http://science.jrank.org/pages/5250/Plane-Family.html), but it is rare in Central Europe because of the frost (http://www.polleninfo.org/IS/is/allergy-infos/allergic-persons/profiles/plantain.html) (accessed on 28 August 2014 – both websites).

⁶ Council Directive 98/56/EC of 20 July 1998 on the marketing of propagating material of ornamental plants. OJ L 226/16, 13.8.98, p. 16–23.





Figure 2: Distribution map of *Platanus × acerifolia* (from Plantwise, 2014)

P. occidentalis is native to North America. Its geographical range includes Iowa, Ontario and Maine in the north, Nebraska in the west and Texas and Florida in the south. It is grown for timber, and has become naturalised in some areas outside its native range. It can be found growing successfully in North Dakota. It is also well adapted to life in Argentina and Australia and is quite widespread across the Australian continent, especially in the cooler southern states such as Victoria and New South Wales. It was brought to Europe early in the 17th century (Olmert, 1996), but it has been considered not important from an economic point of view and has been scarcely used as an amenity tree (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Platanus_occidentalis). The presence of monumental trees is reported in France and Slovakia (http://www.monumentaltrees.com/en/europe-platanusoccidentalis/) (accessed on 28 August 2014 – both websites).

3.4.3. Analysis of the potential pest distribution in the EU

In the risk assessment area, *C. platani* is currently present or was present in the past (and is currently eradicated) in some areas of the Mediterranean basin: Italy (including Sicily), southern regions of France, Corsica (eradicated), Greece and the region of Catalonia, Spain (eradicated) (see section 3.2.2).

Based on the climate classification of Köppen–Geiger (Peel et al., 2007), the above-mentioned areas are characterised by temperate climates (C), mainly with dry and hot (Csa) or warm (Csb) summers (Italy, Greece and the Mediterranean areas of France) and, to a lesser extent, with warm summers without dry seasons (Cfb) (part of southern France and Catalonia) (Figure 3).

In the USA, the pathogen is present under a Csa climate type (which is the prevalent climate in California), as well as in Cfa (temperate, hot summers without dry seasons), Dfa (cold, hot summers without dry seasons) and Dfb (cold, warm summers without dry seasons) climate types in eastern states (Figure 4). A few areas in Europe are characterised by Dfa climate types, such as some areas in Switzerland (a country where *C. platani* is known to occur), whereas the Dfb climate type is prevalent in the eastern MSs, where *C. platani* is not known to occur (see section 3.2.2).





Figure 3: Köppen–Geiger climate map of Europe and western Asia (from Peel et al., 2007)

Considering that the hosts of *C. platani* are present in most of the risk assessment area (see section 3.4.2), the biology of the pathogen (see section 3.1.2) and the matching between the European climates and the climates in the USA—where the pathogen is known to be present (as described above)—the Panel concludes that there are no obvious eco-climatic factors limiting the potential establishment and spread of the pathogen in the, thus far, non-infested MSs, where hosts are present.



Figure 4: Köppen–Geiger climate map of North America (from Peel et al., 2007)



3.4.4. Spread capacity

3.4.4.1. Spread by natural means

Although it has not been extensively studied, *C. platani* inoculum (ascospores, conidia) can spread by weather-related events such as rain, wind, wind-driven rain, etc. (CABI, 2014). Based on Luchi et al. (2013) studies, air-borne inoculum of *C. platani* has been successfully trapped up to a maximum distance of 200 m from the closest symptomatic infected plane tree. Both conidia and ascospores can be washed down by rain water to the base of the trees, where they accumulate (Panconesi et al., 2003). *C. platani* spores present between cracks in the bark or at the base of the trees, as well as in the debris produced by some insects (nitidulids, wood-dwelling scolitids, etc.), are the main sources of inoculum (Panconesi et al., 2003). This inoculum may be spread, sometimes over considerable distances, by insects, small rodents, birds, etc., that can have either an active role, by wounding the tree and transporting inoculum, or a passive role, by only wounding the tree and leaving infection to chance (Panconesi et al., 2003). Plane wood infected by *C. platani* has a fruity odour that attracts different kinds of insects, and this has also been observed with other *Ceratocystis* species on different woody hosts (Panconesi et al., 2003). In addition, because of the morphology of the pathogen's perithecia (globose base with a long neck), the spore mass is located higher than the substrate, thus facilitating dispersal, especially by insects (Panconesi, 1999).

Crone and Bachelder (1961) and Crone (1962) reported natural spread of C. platani by some coleopterous insects, mainly of the Nitidulidae family. However, no detailed studies have clearly demonstrated the initiation of new infections on plane trees through nitidulid activity. Ambrosia beetles (Curculionidae: Scolytinae and Platypodinae) are known to disperse related species of Ceratocystis (e.g. C. cacaofunesta, C. platani, C. australis, etc.) through the contaminated frass and sawdust expelled from the trees during tunnelling (Kile, 1993; Harrington, 2000; Engelbrecht et al., 2004; Engelbrecht and Harrington, 2005; Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007). The contaminated frass and sawdust may then be dispersed by wind, rain or running water for relatively short distances and infect healthy host trees (Harrington, 2000). In Greece, Tsopelas et al. (2006) observed infestation of recently dead and dying infected Oriental plane trees by wood-boring ambrosia beetles. Platypus cylindrus F. (Platypodinae) has been found to attack diseased Oriental plane trees in Greece, and C. platani has been isolated from the frass emanating from the beetle galleries (Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007). However, ambrosia beetle attacks on diseased Oriental plane trees have not been reported from other European countries outside the natural range of this plane species. Corythucha ciliata ("tiger of plane tree") is frequently found on the leaves of plane trees and could be a potential carrier of C. *platani* spores, but its role in the dispersal of the pathogen has not been documented so far (Panconesi, 1999; Tsopelas et al., 2006). Of less importance, although not negligible, is the spread of the disease by other insects, birds or rodents (Panconesi and Nembi, 1978).

As *C. platani* conidia are also dispersed in waterways, the pathogen may spread readily via running water of streams, rivers and channels (Panconesi, 1999; Panconesi et al., 2003). Because *P. orientalis* in its native range is a riparian species and *P. × acerifolia* is widely planted in France, Switzerland and Italy as a row species along rivers and channels (Grosclaude et al., 1991), water is an important means of dispersal. Dead logs and pieces of branches from infected dead plane trees, as well as spores of the pathogen, may be carried by water downstream, creating new infection foci (Grosclaude et al., 1991; Panconesi, 1999; Panconesi et al., 2003; Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007).

Other means of *C. platani* natural spread is through root anastomosis and root wounding (Mutto Accordi, 1986; Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007). Because of their riparian nature, *Platanus* trees are usually grown together along the sides of streams and rivers with grafted roots (Tsopelas et al., 2006). A similar situation occurs in urban areas, where plane trees are planted in the pavements. In such cases, once the pathogen is established in a tree, it may spread to neighbouring trees through root anastomosis (Mutto Accordi, 1986; Tsopelas et al., 2006; Kamgan Nkuekam, 2007), especially if the trees grow close to each other or if dead or dying infected trees are left standing for a long time before being removed. In addition, plane trees growing on the banks of streams often have the upper roots

wounded by sharp floating objects or pebbles rolled along the stream and, subsequently, the roots become infected by the water-dispersed conidia of the pathogen (Panconesi et al., 2003).

3.4.4.2. Spread by human assistance

Although spread of the pathogen by natural means is not as limited as was initially thought, human assistance is the main means by which *C. platani* spreads into new areas (Panconesi et al., 2003). Studies have shown that canker stain becomes epidemic mainly in areas where plane trees require human tending. In large natural forests, where the anthropogenic effect is absent, canker stain is not very common, even though some groups of trees killed by canker stain have been found in some forests in Arkansas (McCracken and Burkhardt, 1977), as well as in riparian forests in the Province of Syracuse (Sicily, southern Italy) (Panconesi, 1999) and in southern Greece (Tsopelas and Soulioti, 2010).

In towns and cities, plane trees are frequently pruned, resulting in wounds which allow the pathogen to enter the tree (Panconesi et al., 2003). Other types of wounds to which street plane trees are subjected are those made to the roots during construction or other work on the soil around the trees (e.g. mechanical weed removal, etc.), but especially when treating or removing diseased trees. Wounds caused by human activities (pruning, sanitary operations, construction work, road maintenance, boats travelling along rivers and canals, etc.) on the crown, trunks or roots of susceptible hosts are responsible for the majority of new infections of plane trees in both urban and rural environments (Panconesi et al., 2003). During sanitary operations, and in addition to wounding of plane trees, considerable amounts of contaminated sawdust may be produced; in this sawdust, the pathogen can survive for long periods (Crone, 1962; Panconesi, 1981; Mutto Accordi, 1988; Grosclaude et al., 1996). Contaminated sawdust may then be transported by wind, machinery, passing vehicles, water courses or, most often, pruning tools and other equipment, and spread the disease over long distances (Walter 1946; Walter et al., 1952; Panconesi, 1999). Plane tree roots and trunks can be wounded by terracing machinery, which may also carry infested soil, thus spreading the disease into new areas (Panconesi, 1999). Tsopelas and Soulioti (2010) assumed that the pathogen was transferred from the Peloponnese (southern Greece) to Epirus (north-western Greece) on road construction machinery and vehicles that had previously been used in infested areas in the Peloponnese.

The pathogen can also spread over long distances via the movement of infected host plants for planting (rootstocks, grafted plants, scions, etc.) (Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007; Liebhold et al., 2012; Santini et al., 2013) and infected wood or wood packaging material (CABI, 2014), as it can survive and sporulate heavily on cut wood surfaces (Grosclaude et al., 1996). Initially, it was assumed that the pathogen was most probably introduced into Greece from Italy, France or Switzerland, as Greece has been importing plane trees, particularly London plane ($P. \times acerifolia$), from other European countries for the past two decades (Tsopelas et al., 2006). However, a recent study using nuclear and mitochondrial markers confirmed the above hypothesis, as the Greek genotype of the pathogen was identical to the genotype reported earlier from Italy, France and Switzerland (Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007). It is widely accepted that the first introduction of the pathogen from south-east USA and Mexico into Europe (Italy) was with wood used as packaging material during World War II (Panconesi, 1972, 1973, 1999; Cristinzio et al., 1973).

The pathogen is capable of surviving in soil for several months (Mutto Accordi, 1989). Although spread of the pathogen via infested soil/growing media has not been documented, it cannot be excluded.

3.4.4.3. Spread rate

C. platani is able to spread relatively quickly along water courses, and water-borne dispersal is of importance in France, Switzerland, Italy and Greece (Grosclaude et al., 1991; Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007; Tsopelas and Soulioti, 2010). This mode of spread, combined with the aerial dispersal of the pathogen in contaminated frass and sawdust and human-assisted spread, may lead to a rapid spread of *C. platani* within a large area. The association of the pathogen with ambrosia beetles in Greece

suggested that the pathogen would spread more quickly in this country than it has in Italy, France and Switzerland (Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007). This hypothesis was later confirmed by the reports of Tsopelas et al. (2007), Tsopelas (2008) and Tsopelas and Soulioti (2010). According to these studies, within seven years following its first detection in south-western Peloponnese (southern Greece) in 2003, the pathogen caused widespread mortality in natural stands of *P. orientalis* grown along the rivers in several regions in the Peloponnese, with thousands of dead and dying trees over several kilometres, and it has subsequently spread to north-western Greece affecting natural stands of Oriental plane trees.

3.5. Elements to assess the potential for consequences in the EU

3.5.1. Potential effects of *Ceratocystis platani*

Plane trees have been planted in many European cities to provide shade and aesthetic value, particularly along canals and rivers. In addition to ornamental use, plane trees are also used for timber and fuel, although it is difficult to determine their importance for these uses. Plane wood is moderately strong and is often used to construct outdoor furniture. The timber is also used for veneers and musical instruments. However, there is no information available in the risk assessment area on the quantities of wood sourced from plane trees.

Canker stain is a serious disease of plane trees in both the USA and Europe. It causes staining of the xylem, disruption of water movement, formation of cankers and eventually tree death (Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007). The pathogen colonises the wound-exposed tissue and it can kill a tree of 30–40 cm in diameter within two to three years (Panconesi, 1999). Infected trees usually die within three to six years (Butin, 1995). The disease has killed tens of thousands of plantation trees and street trees in eastern USA, as well as in California and southern Europe (La Porta et al., 2008).

The disease had a very high impact in eastern USA. In Gloucester (New Jersey), one of the earliest centres of infection (it is assumed that the pathogen was first introduced into that area in 1926), by 1949, 87.1 % of the original London plane trees had already died and an additional 4.3 % showed symptoms of the disease (Walter et al., 1952). In Philadelphia, where the disease was thought to have been present in London plane trees for at least a decade before its first detection in 1935, by 1945 the disease had killed 10 000 out of a total 150 000 plane trees. In subsequent years, the disease spread to almost all eastern states of the USA, from New York to Louisiana. Initially it affected mainly plantings of *P*. × *acerifolia* in cities, but subsequently disease foci appeared in plantations (Ross, 1971; Panconesi, 1999; Panconesi et al., 2003) and natural forests of *P*. *occidentalis* plantations and forests, mainly because this host species is more tolerant to infection than its hybrid *P*. × *acerifolia*.

3.5.2. Observed impact of *Ceratocystis platani* in the EU

The pathogen is a major threat to plane trees in Europe (Engelbrecht et al., 2004). In Italy, as in the USA, damage caused by *C. platani* has been significant (Panconesi, 1999; Panconesi et al., 2003). By the time the pathogen was first detected in Forte dei Marmi (Tuscany, Italy) in 1972, it had already killed many of the 200-year-old plane trees lining the Vialone Carlo III avenue (Panconesi, 1972, 1999). The disease did not assume an epidemic pattern until several years later, when it spread through Italian cities in a similar way as it did in North America (Panconesi, 1999). A survey conducted in Forte dei Marmi revealed that, during the period 1972–1991, 90 % of all plane trees died as a result of *C. platani* infection (Panconesi, 1999). In 1986, the first report of *C. platani* invading a natural forest of *P. orientalis* in Sicily emerged (Panconesi et al., 2003). According to Panconesi (1999), canker stain has spread to the whole of Italy from the Alps to Sicily, with the only exception being Sardinia and some other regions in southern Italy where plane trees are not very common.

From Italy, the pathogen probably spread north- and westwards into southern France and Switzerland. In south-eastern France, *C. platani* has caused serious losses to shade plane trees. However, another



introduction of *C. platani* with military supplies is supposed to have taken place in Marseille (France), where, after the first phase of infection starting in 1945, 1 850 *Platanus* trees of an average age of 110 years died between 1960 and 1972 (about 13 % of the initial population) (Ferrari and Pichenot, 1974, 1976). The disease spread out of Marseille into the neighbouring area of Vaucluse, where infected trees died within three to seven years. In 2006, the pathogen was found to cause plane tree death along the Canal du Midi, a UNESCO world heritage site in France. By 2011, 2 500 plane trees out of a total of 42 000 grown along the sides of the canal had been felled and replaced with disease-resistant planes. It is speculated that the remaining trees will also have to be felled over the next 20 years (Willsher, 2011).

Since the pathogen was first detected in Greece in 2003, it has had a dramatic impact on P. orientalis in the region of the Peloponnese (southern Greece) (Tsopelas and Angelopoulos, 2004). Ornamental P. orientalis trees in residential areas and recreational sites have died, with some of them having historical importance, as they were centuries old. However, the impact has been greater in natural stands and oriental plane species in that region. Hundreds of dead and dying trees were found along streams and rivers in the Peloponnese. Patches of 15 to 20 dead and dving trees were often observed (Ocasio-Morales et al., 2007). Such a significant loss of plane trees is expected to have a dramatic effect on the riparian forests of that region. Streams have been found to have stretches of up to 100 m with no surviving plane trees. In 2010, the pathogen was detected in north-western Greece (Epirus region) in the regional unit of Ioannina and along the river Kalama in the regional unit of Thesprotia (Tsopelas and Soulioti, 2010). The infested areas were 30 km apart and, based on the number of infected trees, it was assumed that the pathogen was introduced into that area four to five years before its first detection. The pathogen is still spreading in Greece and, thus, forest composition may change dramatically in the next few years because P. orientalis is highly susceptible to canker stain. As P. orientalis is an important riparian tree, and is relied upon for shade in the countries of the eastern Mediterranean basin, these losses are expected to have significant negative environmental consequences.

3.6. Currently applied control methods in the EU

So far, prevention is the only management method with positive results in the infested areas (Panconesi, 1999). A key factor in applying effective control measures is the early detection of new disease foci (Tsopelas et al., 2006). Systematic surveillance in the *Platanus*-growing areas of the EU is therefore required in addition to cultural practices, use of disease-resistant planes and sanitary measures that are currently applied in the EU for the control of canker stain.

3.6.1. Cultural practices and sanitary measures

As the disease is mainly spread by human activity, the use of healthy plant propagation material can prevent the introduction of *C. platani* into new areas (Smith, 1985). Therefore, plane planting material should be obtained from regions and nurseries where the disease does not occur (EPPO, 1990).

In the infested areas, the most important sanitary measure is the immediate removal and destruction of infected plane trees and their neighbouring trees that are suspected of being infected (Panconesi, 1999; Tsopelas et al., 2006). Pruning of the infected tree parts can only delay the onset of tree death. However, the removal and destruction of infested trees is likely to produce enormous amounts of contaminated sawdust, which has the potential to allow air-borne dispersal of the pathogen (Panconesi et al., 2003). For this reason, in some MSs (e.g. France and Italy), several additional sanitary measures to be taken during eradication are mandatory. These include the collection of sawdust and all residues during felling operations. Felling operations in outbreaks require the suspension of vehicle traffic, the use of large plastic sheets to catch sawdust under the infected trees and the felling of trees in one piece or with as few cuts as possible (Panconesi, 1999). The wood and all the debris and sawdust should be destroyed by fire or properly buried in sanitary landfills, whereas the felling site, including any debris and sawdust left, must be sprayed with a fungicide (Vigouroux, 1979; Blankart and Vigouroux, 1982; Panconesi, 1999).



If removal is not feasible, any living infected trees and healthy trees neighbouring diseased ones should be killed through injection with a herbicide, thus creating a buffer zone in order to minimise the risk of the pathogen's spread through root anastomosis (Panconesi, 1999; Tsopelas et al., 2006). In France, the herbicide glyphosate is used to kill infected and neighbouring trees (Tsopelas et al., 2006). Non-host plants or selected resistant plane cultivars should be planted in the infested sites instead of unselected plane trees; in this case, and given that *C. platani* is very host-specific, it would not be necessary to completely eliminate all the inoculum sources from the soil (CABI, 2014).

In the infested areas, all the tools, machinery and plastic sheets used during felling or pruning operations should be disinfected before being used for neighbouring trees or should be moved away from the infested site (Panconesi, 1999). In principle, all terracing machinery used in the vicinity of infested trees should be cleaned with water jets and sprayed with disinfectants before leaving the site. In France, ortho-phenylphenol and quaternary ammonium are used as disinfectants (Tsopelas et al., 2006). During pruning, wounding of the trees has to be minimised and any fresh wound must be treated with an appropriate fungicide to prevent subsequent fungal colonisation. Harvesting of plane firewood from infested areas should not be allowed, as the pathogen may survive and spread to new regions on such wood. Alternatively, the infected wood can be used in the woodchip board industry, as the high temperatures (90–100 °C) reached during the manufacturing process are lethal for the pathogen (Panconesi, 1999).

3.6.2. Chemical control

Since externally applied chemical treatments do not appear to be entirely effective, alternative approaches, where the fungicide is brought into direct contact with the pathogen, were also explored (Panconesi, 1999). However, although some of the chemical substances tested (carbendazim, tiabendazole and imazalil sulphate) gave promising results and a good injection technique was also developed (pressure injection), the most that could be achieved was a temporary halt to the infection process; none of those chemicals was effective in eliminating the pathogen (Panconesi 1999).

3.6.3. Host genetic resistance

Host-plant resistance is unknown in the species $P. \times acerifolia$. However, a natural source of resistance was identified in *P. occidentalis* in the USA (Panconesi, 1999). According to Panconesi (1999), *P. occidentalis* clones resistant to *C. platani* inoculation were selected by McCracken. Unfortunately, these clones could not be cultivated in Europe because of a lack of acclimation and a high susceptibility to *Apiognomonia veneta* (Sacc. et Speg.) Höhn., the agent of anthracnose (Pilotti, 2002; Vigouroux and Olivier, 2004). Subsequently, a genetic improvement programme (Vigouroux, 1992; Clérivet et al., 2003; Vigouroux and Olivier, 2004) exploited this source of resistance by crossing the *P. occidentalis* resistant accession with susceptible *P. orientalis* accessions from Greece. Progeny screening followed by further rounds of inoculations resulted in the final selection of two accessions showing resistance, of which one, *P. × acerifolia* "Vallis clausa", was patented and released onto the market in France, Italy, Germany, Switzerland, Great Britain, Spain, Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg (Vigouroux and Olivier, 2004). Additional resistant *P. × acerifolia* genotypes suitable for cultivation in Europe were later selected by Pilotti et al. (2009).

3.6.4. Biological control

Methods to control *C. platani* by injecting into the tree bacterial suspensions of *Bacillus subtilis* or spores of *Trichoderma harzianum* (the latter had shown a strong antagonistic effect *in vitro* against *C. platani*) were also tested, but they failed to give the desired results (Turchetti and Panconesi, 1982; Mutto Accordi, 1989). Although the necrotrophic action of *Gonatobotryum fuscum* Saec. (Deuteromycotina Hyphomycetes) and *Hirschioporus pargamenus* (Fr.) Bond. & Sing. (Basidiomycotina Hymenomycetes) against *C. platani* is known, there are no reports on the use of these mycoparasites to control *C. platani* (Panconesi, 1999).



3.7. Uncertainty

The main sources of uncertainty in this pest categorisation are listed below:

- <u>Uncertainty on the precise distribution of the hosts in the EU</u>. No data are available in the EUROSTAT or JRC databases on the distribution of *C. platani* hosts (*P. orientalis*, *P. × acerifolia* and *P. occidentalis*) in the risk assessment area. However, based on other descriptive literature sources (see section 3.4.2), at least the two most susceptible host species, i.e. *P. orientalis* and *P. × acerifolia*, are grown widely in most of the MSs. The conclusion on the pest categorisation, based on the criteria of ISPM 11, is partially affected by the abovementioned uncertainty.
- <u>Uncertainty about the reasons why the pest is not known to occur in EU areas where the hosts</u> <u>are present and eco-climatic conditions are suitable for its establishment and spread:</u> scattered presence of susceptible host plants, discontinuity of waterways, EU regulations on the movement of plants for planting and wood, and no movement of contaminated pruning tools and equipment over long distances might be some of the reasons.
- <u>Uncertainty on the water-borne spread capacity of the pathogen</u>. Although running water in streams, rivers and channels is one of the most effective means of natural spread of *C. platani* in the risk assessment area, there are no studies on the survival period of water-borne inoculum. This uncertainty does not affect the conclusion on the pest categorisation, since the pathogen has the potential to effectively spread in the risk assessment area by multiple natural and human-assisted means.

CONCLUSIONS

The Panel summarises in Table 7 below its conclusions on the key elements addressed in this scientific opinion in consideration of the pest categorisation criteria defined in ISPM 11 and ISPM 21 and of the additional questions formulated in the terms of reference.

Table 7: The Panel's conclusions on the pest categorisation criteria defined in the International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM) No 11 and No 21 and on the additional questions formulated in the terms of reference (ToR)

Criterion of pest	Panel's conclusions on ISPM	Panel's conclusions on ISPM	List of main
Identity of the pest	Is the identity of the pest clearly of detection methods exist for the pest	<i>lefined? Do clearly discriminative</i> ?	uncertainties
	<i>Ceratocystis fimbriata</i> f. sp. <i>platan</i> <i>Ceratocystis platani</i> . It is a sing methods are available for its detec for its differentiation from other rel	<i>i</i> has been recently reclassified as le taxonomic entity and reliable ction and identification as well as ated fungal species	
Absence/presence of the pest in the risk assessment	Is the pest absent from all or a defined part of the risk assessment area?	Is the pest present in the risk assessment area?	
area	<i>C. platani</i> is currently present, with restricted distribution, in part of the risk assessment area, namely France, Greece and Italy	<i>C. platani</i> is present in part of the risk assessment area (i.e., France Greece and Italy)	
Regulatory status	Mention in which annexes of directives the pest and associated analysis	2000/29/EC and the marketing I hosts are listed without further	
	<i>C. platani</i> as <i>C. fimbriata</i> f. sp. <i>pla</i> spp.) are listed in Annexes IIAI Council Directive 2000/29/EC (see	<i>ttani</i> and/or its hosts (i.e. <i>Platanus</i> I, IVAI, IVAII, VA and VB of section 3.3)	
Potential	Does the risk assessment area	Are plants for planting a	Uncertainty exists



Criterion of nest	Panel's conclusions on ISPM	Panel's conclusions on ISPM	List of main
categorisation	11 criterion	21 criterion	uncertainties
categorisation establishment and spread	have ecological conditions (including climate and those in protected conditions) suitable for the establishment and spread of the pest? Indicate whether the host plants are also grown in areas of the EU where the pest is absent. And, where relevant, are host species (or near relatives), alternate hosts and vectors present in the risk assessment area?	21 criterion pathway for introduction and spread of the pest? Host plants for planting are one of the pathways for the introduction into, and spread within, new areas of <i>C. platani</i>	uncertainties on the precise distribution of the hosts in the <i>risk</i> assessment area Uncertainty about the reasons why the pest is not known to occur in EU areas where the hosts are present and eco- climatic conditions are suitable for its establishment and spread
	The pathogen is present in part of the risk assessment area, namely France, Greece and Italy. Hosts of <i>C. platani</i> , and particularly the most susceptible ones (i.e. <i>Platanus orientalis</i> and $P. \times acerifolia$), are widely grown in most of the MSs. The eco-climatic conditions occurring in the, so far, non- infested part of the pest risk assessment area, where hosts are present, are suitable for the establishment of the pathogen. Following its establishment, the pathogen has the potential to spread by multiple natural and human-assisted means, including movement of infected host plants for planting and wood, waterways, root anastomosis, contaminated pruning tools, insects, contaminated insect frass and sawdust		
Potential for consequences in the risk assessment area	What are the potential for consequences in the risk assessment area? Provide a summary of impact in terms of yield and quality losses and environmental consequences	If applicable is there indication of impact(s) of the pest as a result of the intended use of the plants for planting?	



Criterion of pest	Panel's conclusions on ISPM	Panel's conclusions on ISPM	List of main
categorisation	11 criterion	21 criterion	uncertainties
	C. platani causes wilt, cankers	Initially, it was assumed that C.	
	and eventually the death of its	platani was introduced into	
	the risk assessment area (Italy) in	infected host plants for planting	
	1972, the disease has killed tens	which originated in Italy. France	
	of thousands of plane trees in the	or Switzerland, since Greece has	
	southern MSs (France, Greece,	been importing plane trees from	
	Italy), where the hosts are grown	other European countries for the	
	in natural stands, coppices, and	past two decades. Later studies	
	both rural and urban regions. The	as the Greek genotype was	
	disease continues to spread into	identical to the genotype	
	new areas in the EU and has	reported earlier from Italy,	
	serious consequences, including	France and Switzerland. Since its	
	environmental ones	first detection in southern Greece	
		in 2003, the pathogen has had a	
		orientalis trees grown in natural	
		stands (along rivers and streams),	
		residential areas and recreational	
		sites; hundreds of those trees	
		have died, with some of them	
		they were centuries old. The	
		disease continues to spread to	
		new areas in Greece and has	
		serious consequences, including	
Conclusion on	Provide an overall summary of	environmental ones	Uncortainty on the
pest categorisation	the above points	the above points	precise
1 0	Caratocystic fimbriata f sp	Caratocystic fimbriata f sp	distribution of the
	<i>platani</i> , which has been recently	<i>platani</i> , which has been recently	hosts in the risk
	reclassified as <i>Ceratocystis</i>	reclassified as Ceratocystis	assessment area
	platani, is a single taxonomic	platani, is a single taxonomic	
	entity and reliable methods exist	entity and reliable methods exist	
	identification <i>C</i> platani and its	identification The pathogen is	
	hosts are regulated in Directive	present in part of the risk	
	2000/29/EC. The pathogen is	assessment area (i.e. France,	
	currently present, with restricted	Greece and Italy,). Host plants	
	distribution in only three MSs (France, Graces and Italy) Ital	tor planting are a pathway for the	
	hosts and particularly the most	within new areas of <i>C</i> platani	
	susceptible ones (i.e. <i>Platanus</i>	The recent introduction of the	
	orientalis and $P. \times acerifolia$),	pathogen into Greece was	
	are widely grown in most of the	initially assumed, and later	
	MISS. The eco-climatic	contirmed by studies, to have	
	far, non-infested MSs. where	for planting originated in other	
	hosts are present, are not	European countries. Since its	
	expected to affect the potential	first detection in southern Greece	
	establishment and spread of the	in 2003, the pathogen has killed	
	death of its bosts and thus it bas	rown in natural stands	
	high consequences, including	residential areas and recreational	
	environmental ones, in the risk	sites; some of those trees had	
	assessment area	historical importance, as they	



Criterion of pest	Panel's conclusions on ISPM	Panel's conclusions on ISPM	List of main
categorisation	11 criterion	21 criterion	uncertainties
		were centuries old. The disease	
		continues to spread to new areas	
		in Greece and has serious	
		consequences, including	
C. 1. i.e.		environmental ones	TTo contrained and the
Conclusion on	If the pest is already present in the	EU, provide a brief summary of	Uncertainty on the
specific Tok	- the analysis of the prese	nt distribution of the organism in	distribution of the
questions	distribution of harding	ribullon of the main nosis, and the	hosts in the EU
	narticular if in the risk of	ssecurate zones, indicating in	nosis in the EO
	from areas where host	plants are present and where the	
	ecological conditions (including climate and those in	
	protected conditions) are	suitable for its establishment	
	C. platani is currently present with	h restricted distribution, in part of	
	the risk assessment area, namely,	France, Greece and Italy. Hosts of	
	C. <i>platani</i> , and especially the mo	usidaly group in most of the MS	
	orientatis and $F \times acerijotia$, are	widely grown in most of the MSS.	
	are suitable for establishment and	spread of the pathogen by multiple	
	natural and human-assisted mean	spread of the pathogen by multiple	
	host plants for planting and wo	od waterways root anastomosis	
	contaminated pruning tools inse	ets contaminated insect frass and	
	sawdust There are no obvious	eco-climatic factors limiting the	
	potential establishment and spread	of the pathogen in the so far non-	
	infested part of the risk assessment	area, where hosts are present	
	and	I	
	- the analysis of the observ	ved impacts of the organism in the	
	risk assessment area		
	C platani opusos steining of	the vulom dispution of water	
	movement formation of carkers a	nd eventually death of the affected	
	nlane trees. Since its first detection	in in Italy in 1972 the disease has	
	killed tens of thousands of plane tr	ees in the southern MSs where the	
	hosts are grown in natural stands	coppices, and public and private	
	gardens in both rural and urban	environments. The disease is still	
	spreading to new areas within the	EU and has serious consequences.	
	including environmental ones	1	



References

- Anonymous, 2007. Phyto-régions. Aquitaine. Chancre coloré en Lot-et-Garonne. Phytoma-La Défense des Végétaux, No 3, p. 3.
- Anselmi N, Cardin L and Nicolott G, 1994. Plane decline in European and Mediterranean countries: associated pests and their interactions. EPPO Bulletin, 24, 159–171.
- Baker CJ, Harrington TC, Krauss U and Alfenas AC, 2003. Genetic variability and host specialization in the Latin American clade of *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. Phytopathology, 93, 1274–1284.
- Bakshi BK, 1950. Fungi associated with ambrosia beetles in Great Britain. Transactions of the British Mycological Society, 33, 111–120.
- Blankart D and Vigouroux A, 1982. Lutte contre le chancre coloré du platane. Phytoma, 343, 51.
- Boddi S, Comparini C, Calamassi R, Pazzagli L, Capugi G and Scala A, 2004. Cerato-platanin protein is located in the cell walls of ascospores, conidia and hyphae of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f.sp. *platani*. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 233, 341–346.
- Butin H, 1995. Tree diseases and disorders. In: Causes, biology and control in forest and amenity trees. Oxford University, Press, Oxford, UK.
- CABI (Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau International), 2000. *Platanus acerifolia*. [original text by A. Santini]. In: Forestry compendium. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- CABI (Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau International), 2014. Invasive species compendium. *Ceratocystis platani*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. Available online: http://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/12144
- Clerivet A, El-Modafar C, 1994. Vascular modifications in *Platanus acerifolia* seedlings inoculated with *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f.sp. *platani*. European Journal of Forest Pathology, 24,1-10.
- Clérivet A, El Hadrami I, Bélanger R and Nicole M, 2003. Résistance du platane (*Platanus* spp-*Ceratocystis fimbriata* f.sp. *platani*) au chancre coloré: réactions de défense et perspectives d'amélioration. Cahiers Agriculture, 12, 43–50.
- Crandal BS, 1935. *Endoconidiophora fimbriata* on sycamore. Plant Disease Reporter, 90 (Suppl.), 98 (Abstract).
- Cristinzio M, Marziano F and Verneau R, 1973. La moria del platano in Capania. Rivista di Patologia Vegetale, 9, 189–214.
- Crone LJ, 1962. Symptoms, spread and control of canker stain of plane trees. PhD Thesis, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA.
- Crone LJ and Bachelder S, 1961. Insect transmission of canker stain fungus *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. *platani*. Phytopathology, 51, 576 (Abstract).
- De Hoog GS and Scheffer RJ, 1984. *Ceratocystis* versus *Ophiostoma*: a reappraisal. Mycologia, 76, 292–299.
- EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH), 2010. PLH Guidance on a harmonised framework for pest risk assessment and the identification and evaluation of pest risk management options by EFSA. EFSA Journal 2010; 8(2):1495, 66 pp. doi:10.2093/j.efsa.2010.1495
- Engelbrecht CJB and Harrington TC, 2005. Intersterility, morphology and taxonomy of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* from sweet potato, cacao and sycamore. Mycologia, 97, 57–69.
- Engelbrecht CJB, Harrington TC, Steimel J and Capretti P, 2004. Genetic variation in eastern North American and putatively introduced populations of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. *platani*. Molecular Ecology, 13, 2995–3005.EPPO (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization), 1990. Specific quarantine requirements. EPPO Technical Documents, No 1008, Paris, France.



- EPPO (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization), 2003. Standards: diagnostic protocols for regulated pests: PM7/14(1): *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f.sp. *platani*. Bulletin EPPO Bulletin, 33, 245–247.
- EPPO (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization) PQR (Plant Quarantine Data Retrieval system), 2014. EPPO database on quarantine pests. Available online: http://www.eppo.int/DATABASES/pqr/pqr.htm
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2004. ISPM 21: International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures; pest risk analysis of regulated non-quarantine pests. FAO, Rome, Italy. 30 pp. Available online: https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-setting/ispms
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2013. ISPM 11: international standards for phytosanitary measures; pest risk analysis for quarantine pests. FAO, Rome, Italy. 36 pp. Available online: https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-setting/ispms
- Ferrari JP and Pichenot M, 1974. *Ceratocystis fimbriata* respon sable d'une grave maladie du platane en France: la tache chancreuse. Comptes Rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris. Série D, 278, 2787–2789.
- Ferrari JP and Pichenot M, 1976. The canker stain disease of plane-tree in Marseilles and in the south of France. European Journal of Forest Pathology, 6, 18–25.
- FRDBI (The Fungal Records Database of Britain and Ireland), 2014. The British Mycological Society. Available online: http://www.fieldmycology.net/FRDBI/FRDBI.asp
- Grosclaude C, Olivier R, Pizzuto JC, Romiti C and Madec S, 1988. Detection of *C. fimbriata* f.sp. *platani* by trapping. Application to the study of the persistence of the parasite in infected wood. European Journal of Forest Pathology, 18, 385-390.
- Grosclaude C, Olivier R, Pizzuto JC and Romiti C, 1991. Etude expérimentale du transport de l'inoculum de *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. *platani* par l'eau d'une rivière. European Journal of Forest Pathology, 21, 168–171.
- Grosclaude C, Olivier R and Romiti C, 1996. Chancre coloré du platane. Comment l'agent responsable peut survivre dans le sol. Phytoma la Défense des Végétaux, 479, 41–42.
- Harrington TC, 2000. Host specialization and speciation in the American wilt pathogen *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. Fitopatologia Brasileira, 25 (Suppl.), 262–263.
- Hunt J, 1956. Taxonomy of the genus Ceratocystis. Lloydia, 19, 1–58.
- IUCN (The International Union for Conservation of Nature), 2014. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.2: *Platanus orientalis*. Available online: http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/33951/0
- Jackson LWR and Sleeth B, 1935. A new disease affecting *Platanus orientalis* in the eastern United States. Phytopathology, 25, 22.
- Kamgan Nkuekam G, 2007. *Ceratocystis* and *Ophiostoma* species infecting wounds on hardwood trees, with particular reference to South Africa. MSc Thesis. University of Pretoria, South Africa. 178 p. Available online: http://upetd.up.ac.za/thesis/available/etd-07162008-084750/
- Kile GA, 1993. Plant diseases caused by species of *Ceratocystis sensu stricto* and *Chalara*. In: *Ceratocystis* and *Ophiostoma*: taxonomy, ecology and pathogenicity. Eds Wingfield MJ, Siefert KA and Webber JF. American Phytopathological Society Press, St Paul, MN, USA, 173–183.
- La Porta N, Capretti P, Thomsen IM, Kasanen R, Hietala AM and Von Weissenberg K, 2008. Forest pathogens with higher damage potential due to climate change in Europe. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 30, 177–195.
- Liebhold AM, Brockerhoff EG, Garrett LJ, Parke JL and Britton KO, 2012. Live plant imports: the major pathway for forest insect and pathogen invasions of the US. Frontiers in the Ecology and the Environment, 10, 135–143.



- Luchi N, Ghelardini L, Belbahri L, Quartier M and Santini A, 2013. Rapid detection of *Ceratocystis platani* inoculum by quantitative real-time PCR assay. Applied Environmental Mycology, 79, 5394–5404.
- McCracken FI and Burkhardt EC, 1977. Destruction of sycamores by canker stain in the midsouth. Plant Disease Reporter, 61, 984–986.
- Mancini G and Scapin I, 1981. Effect of some nutritive and environmental factors on development *in vitro* of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. *platani*. Rivista di Patologia Vegetale, 17, 163–174.
- Marvin EF, 1939. Ceratostomella (Endoconidiophora) on plane-trees. Plant Disease Reporter, 23, 154–156.
- May C and Palmer JG, 1959. Effect of selected fungicide-asphalt mixtures on the growth of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. *platani in vitro*. Plant Disease Reporter, 43, 565–566.
- Moller WJ and DeVay JE, 1968. Carrot as a species-selective isolation medium for *Ceratocystis fimbriata*. Phytopathology, 58, 123–124.
- Mutto Accordi S, 1986. Spread of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f.sp. *platani* through root anastomoses. Informatore Fitopatologico, 36, 53-58.
- Mutto Accordi S, 1988. Aspetti epidemiologici del cancro colorato del platano. Acer, 4, 36–37.
- Mutto Accordi S, 1989. Prove di lotta contro il cancro colorato del platano con l'impiego di *Trichoderma harzianum*. Informatore Fitopatologico, 39, 49–51.
- Nag Raj TR and Kendrick WB, 1992. A monograph of *Chalara* and allied genera. Wilfrid Laurier University Press, Waterloo, ON, Canada, 200 pp.
- Nei M, 1972. Genetic distance between populations. American Naturalist, 106, 283–292.
- Ocasio-Morales RG, Tsopelas P and Harrington TC, 2007. Origin of *Ceratocystis platani* on native *Platanus orientalis* in Greece and its impact on natural forests. Plant Disease, 91, 901–904.
- Olmert M, 1996. Milton's teeth and Ovid's umbrella: curiouser & curiouser adventures in history. Simon & Schuster, New York, NY, USA, 217.
- Panconesi A, 1972. I nostri platani sono in pericolo. Informatore Fitopatologico, 22, 10-13.
- Panconesi A, 1973. Il rinvenimento della *Ceratocystis fimbriata* (Ell. et Halst.) Davidson f. platani Walter su platano. Rivista di Patologia Vegetale, 9, 167–255.
- Panconesi A, 1981. *Ceratocystis fimbriata* of plane trees in Italy; biological aspects and control possibility. European Journal of Forest Pathology, 11, 383–395.
- Panconesi A, 1999. Canker stain of plane trees: a serious danger to urban plantings in Europe. Journal of Plant Pathology, 81, 3–15.
- Panconesi A and Nembi V, 1978. La *Ceratocystis fimbriata* del platano: aspetti biologici e possibilità di lotta. Informatore Fitopatologico, 28, 17–27.
- Panconesi A, Moricca S, Dellavalle I and Torraca G, 2003. The epidemiology of canker stain of plane tree and its spread from urban plantings to spontaneous groves and natural forests. Second International Symposium on Plant Health in Urban Horticulture, Berlin, Germany, 27–29 August 2003. Eds Balder H, Strauch KH and Backhaus GF. Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, 84–91.
- Peel MC, Finlayson BL and McMahon TA, 2007. Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 4, 439–473.
- Pilotti M, 2002. Le avversità del platano. Informatore Fitopatologico, 52, 9-24.
- Pilotti M, Brunetti A, Tizzani L and Marani O, 2009. *Platanus* × *acerifolia* genotypes surviving to inoculation with *Ceratocystis platani* (the agent of canker stain): first screening and molecular characterization. Euphytica, 169, 1–17.



- Pilotti M, Lumia V, di Lernia G and Brunetti A, 2012. Development of real-time PCR for in wooddetection of *Ceratocystis platani*, the agent of canker stain of *Platanus* spp. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 134, 61–79.
- Plantwise, 2014. Plantwise technical factsheet: *Platanus acerifolia*. Available online: http://www.plantwise.org/KnowledgeBank/Datasheet.aspx?dsid=42241
- Ross EW, 1971. *Diplodia theobromae* and *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. *platani* found in silage sycamore plantings. Plant Disease Reporter, 55, 741–743.
- Santini A and Capretti P, 2000. Analysis of the Italian population of *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f.sp. *platani* using RAPD and minisatellite markers. Plant Pathology, 49, 461–467.
- Santini A, Ghelardini L, De Pace C, Desprez-Loustau ML, Capretti P, Chandelier A, Cech T, Chira D, Diamandis S, Gaitniekis T, Hantula J, Holdenrieder O, Jankovsky L, Jung T, Jurc D, Kirisits T, Kunca A, Lygis V, Malecka M, Marcais B, Schmitz S, Schumacher J, Solheim H, Solla A, Szabò I, Tsopelas P, Vannini A, Vettraino AM, Webber J, Woodward S and Stenlid J, 2013. Biogeographical patterns and determinants of invasion by forest pathogens in Europe. New Phytology, 197, 238–250.
- Smith IM, 1985. Pests and disease problems in European forests. FAO Plant Protection Bulletin, 33, 159–164.
- Soulioti N, Tsopelas P and Woodward S, 2008. *Ceratocystis platani*: an invasive fungal pathogen threatening natural populations of Oriental Plane in Greece. Second Meeting of IUFRO Work Unit on Invasive Species in International Trade, 27–30 May 2008, NCTC, Shepherdstown, West Virginia, USA (Abstract). Available online: http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/IUFRO_Shepherdstown_Soulioti_Ceratocystis_platani.pdf/\$FILE/I UFRO_Shepherdstown_Soulioti_Ceratocystis_platani.pdf
- Tsopelas P, 2008. Forest tree diseases in Greece by alien invasive fungal species. In: Summaries of invited lectures, oral and poster presentations given at the 13th Hellenic Phytopathological Congress, Athens, Greece, 16–19 October 2006. Phytopathologia Mediterranea, 47, 137–138 (Abstract).
- Tsopelas P and Angelopoulos A, 2004. First report of canker stain disease of plane trees, caused by *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. sp. *platani* in Greece. Plant Pathology, 53, 531.
- Tsopelas P and Soulioti N, 2010. Invasion of the fungus *Ceratocystis platani* in Epirus: a potential threat of an environmental disaster in the natural ecosystems of plane trees. Summaries of the 15th Hellenic Phytopathological Congress, Corfu, Greece, 5–8 October 2010, 33–34 (Abstract, in Greek).
- Tsopelas P, Harrington TC, Angelopoulos A and Soulioti N, 2006. Canker stain disease of oriental plane in Greece. Proceedings of the 12th Congress of the Mediterranean Phytopathological Union, Rhodes Island, Greece, 11–15 June 2006. Eds Tjamos E and Paplomatas E, 55–57.
- Tsopelas P, Angelopoulos A and Soulioti N, 2007. The spread of canker stain disease of plane trees in south-western Peloponnese and the necessity to apply a national plan for its control. Proceedings of the 14th Congress of the Hellenic Forestry Society, Kastoria, Greece, 7–10 October 2007. Volume II, 434–441 (in Greek).
- Turchetti T and Panconesi A, 1982. Preliminary observations on the antagonism of some *Bacillus* species towards *Ceratocystis fimbriata* (Ell. & Halst.) Davidson f. *platani* Walter. Rivista di Patologia Vegetale, 18, 71–76.
- Vigouroux A, 1979. Les dépérissements des platanes: causes, importance, mesures envisageables. Revue Foretière de France XXXI, 1, 28–38.
- Vigouroux A, 1992. Preliminary results for obtaining a plane tree resistant to canker stain and adapted to European conditions. Acta Horticulturae, 320, 91–96



- Vigouroux A and Olivier R, 2004. First hybrid plane trees to show resistance against canker stain (*Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. sp. *platani*). Forest Pathology, 34, 307–319.
- Vigouroux A and Stojadinovic B, 1990. Possibilités d'infection du platane par *Ceratocystis fimbriata* f. *platani* après contamination de l'eau où se développent des racines blessées. European Journal of Forest Pathology, 20, 118–121.
- Von Arx JA, 1974. The genera of fungi sporulating in pure culture. 2nd edn. Verlag J. Cramer, Vaduz, Germany, 315 pp.
- Walter JM, 1946. Canker stain of plane-trees. Circular 742, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, USA.
- Walter JM, Rex EG and Schreiber R, 1952. The rate of progress and destructiveness of canker stain of plane-trees. Phytopathology, 42, 236–239.
- Witthuhn KC, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ and Harrington TC, 1999. PCR-based identification and phylogeny of species of *Ceratocystis fimbriata sensu strictu*. Mycological Research, 103, 743–749.
- Witthuhn CR, Harrington TC, Wingfield BD, Steimel JP and Wingfield MJ, 2000. Deletion of the MAT-2 mating-type gene during uni-directional mating-type switching in *Ceratocystis*. Current Genetics, 38, 48–52.
- Willsher K, 2011. Epic beauty of tree-lined Canal du Midi under threat as fungus strikes. The Guardian, 13 August 2011. Available online: http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/aug/13/canal-du-midi-under-threat



ABBREVIATIONS

EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EPPO	European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization
EPPO-PQR	European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization Plant Quarantine Retrieval system
EU	European Union
ISPM	International Standard for Phytosanitary Measures
MS(s)	Member State(s)
NPPO	National Plant Protection Organisation
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PLH Panel	Plant Health Panel
PRA	pest risk analysis
RFLP	restriction fragment length polymorphism