1 2 3 4 5	Development of a novel liquid/liquid extraction and ultra- performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry method for the assessment of thiols in South African Sauvignon Blanc wines
6	F. PIANO ^{1,2*} , Daniela FRACASSETTI ¹ , A. BUICA ³ , M. STANDER ^{4,5} , W. J. DU TOIT ³ , D.
7	BORSA ² and A. TIRELLI ¹
8	
9	¹ Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences, Università degli Studi di
10	Milano, 20133 Milano, Italy
11	² Consiglio per la Ricerca e Sperimentazione in Agricoltura, Centro di Ricerca per
12	l'Enologia, Asti, Italy
13	³ Department of Viticulture and Oenology, Stellenbosch University, Matieland
14	(Stellenbosch) 7602, South Africa
15	⁴ Central Analytical Facility (CAF), Stellenbosch University, Matieland (Stellenbosch)
16	7602, South Africa
17	⁵ Department of Biochemistry, Stellenbosch University, Matieland (Stellenbosch) 7602,
18	South Africa
19	
20	Corresponding author: Dr Astrid Buica, e-mail <u>abuica@sun.ac.za</u>
21	* Current affiliation: Consiglio per la Ricerca e Sperimentazione in Agricoltura, Centro
22	di Ricerca per l'Enologia, Asti, Italy
23	

Abstract

25 Background and Aims: The thiol compounds, 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol (3MH) and 3-26 mercaptohexyl acetate (3MHA), are important, pleasant volatile thiols conferring fruity 27 notes in wines. The analytical determination of these thiols in wine remains problematic due to their trace concentration and instability. The main aim of this study was to 28 29 develop a liquid/liquid extraction and ultra-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) method for the determination of 3MH and 3MHA 30 31 concentration in Sauvignon Blanc wines. 32 Methods and Results: A novel sample preparation based on a liquid/liquid extraction 33 was developed. Thiols were quantified by UPLC-MS/MS after derivatisation with ophthaldialdehyde (OPA). Good results were obtained with the method in terms of limit 34 35 of detection and of quantification, accuracy and repeatability. Average concentration of 36 3MH in 18 South African wines was 1320.32 and and of 3MHA 313.48 ng/L. Conclusions: The analytical method proposed allows for the detection of 3MH and 37 38 3MHA by liquid chromatography at a concentration lower than that of their respective 39 sensory thresholds. 40 Significance of the Study: The analytical method described is the first that allows for 41 liquid/liquid extraction of thiols from wine, followed by detection and quantification by 42 UPLC-MS/MS. 43 44 Key words: derivatisation, liquid/liquid extraction, Sauvignon Blanc wine, thiols, UPLC-MS/MS 45 46

47 Introduction

Sulfur-derived aroma compounds are often characterised by strong odours, which can 48 have different origins in wine. These compounds can originate from grapes as non-49 volatile precursors, or be released through microbial fermentation or chemical reactions 50 taking place in wine during ageing. Many volatile sulfur compounds, such as ethanethiol, 51 methanethiol and hydrogen sulfide, are responsible for olfactory defects in wine 52 53 (Bartowsky and Pretorius 2009), however, certain long-chain volatile sulfur compounds can contribute to a large extent to the pleasant tropical aromatic profile of certain wines. 54 55 In particular, 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol (3MH), 3-mercaptohexyl acetate (3MHA) and 4-56 mercapto-4methylpentan-2-one (4MMP) are regarded as the most important, pleasant 57 volatile thiols in wines (Tominaga et al. 1998, Roland et al. 2011). They are released from their non-volatile S-glutathionylated and S-cysteinylated precursors by yeast activity 58 59 (Peyrot des Gachons et al. 2002, Fedrizzi et al. 2009, Capone et al. 2011a). These precursors, however, normally account for only a fraction of the 3MH and 3MHA present 60 in white wine, and the reaction between (E)-2-hexen-1-ol and H₂S may also yield a large 61 62 amount of 3MH (Harsch et al. 2013). 3-Methyl-3-mercaptobutanal and 2-methylfuran-63 3-thiol, together with 3-mercaptopropyl acetate, 3-MH and 3-mercaptoheptanal, play a key role in Sauternes wine (Bailly et al. 2009), while the latter two compounds and 64 65 4MMP play a crucial role in the passionfruit and guava aroma of Sauvignon Blanc wines 66 (Coetzee and Du Toit 2013, Van Wyngaard et al. 2014). The perception threshold for 4MMP, 3MHA and 3MH in model wine has been shown to be 0.8, 4.2 and 60 ng/L, 67 68 respectively (Tominaga et al. 1996, 1998, Dubourdieu et al. 2006). This means that these 69 compounds can influence the aromatic profile of wine even when present at extremely low concentration. As a consequence, they are one of the most widely studied molecules
within the different classes of wine aroma compounds.

Despite their importance, the analytical determination of thiols in wine remains 72 73 difficult due to their trace concentration (Roland et al. 2011) and instability 74 (Nikilantonaki et al. 2012). Gas chromatography is generally an excellent analytical approach for aroma compound analysis. In several methods, mercuric compounds (p-75 76 hydroxymercuribenzoate and *p*-aminophenylmercuric acetate) have been 77 demonstrated to be effective for thiol determination (Tominaga et al. 1998, Schneider 78 et al. 2003, Tominaga and Dubourdieu 2006). Although these methods are powerful for 79 obtaining purified thiol extracts, the employment of mercury compounds constitutes a 80 hazard for health and for the environment. Methods based on mercury salts are also time consuming, and an accurate quantification can be achieved only by using 81 isotopically labelled internal standards (Schneider et al. 2003). 82

Analysis of thiols as their derivatives can improve detectability in mass 83 spectrometry. Analytical approaches employ pentafluorobenzyl bromide as the 84 85 derivatising agent, which transforms thiols into their corresponding pentafluorobenzyl 86 derivatives (Capone et al. 2011b, Mateo-Vivaracho et al. 2006, 2007, 2008). The derivatising reaction is normally carried out in a purified extract (i.e. water) (Capone et 87 88 al. 2011<mark>b</mark>), organic solvent (Mateo-Vivaracho et al. 2007), in-cartridge (Mateo-Vivaracho 89 et al. 2008), or in-fibre (Mateo-Vivaracho et al. 2006), as phenols can react with thiols under the conditions required for derivatisation (high concentration of alkali). The main 90 91 advantage with this derivatising agent is related to increased sensitivity due to 92 pentafluoro adducts. In fact, these derivatives show excellent electron-capturing properties, which are valuable for negative ion chemical ionisation mass spectrometry
or electron-capturing detectors (Mateo-Vivaracho et al. 2007). Such detector systems
are not as common in laboratories as electron impact spectrometers.

Another promising derivatising agent in gas chromatography analysis of thiols is ethyl propiolate, which is able to derivatise thiols directly in the wine matrix and is a suitable derivatising reagent for the electron impact mass spectrometry detection system (Herbst-Johnston et al. 2013).

The fragmentation patterns of un-derivatised thiols in mass spectrometry lack intensity and specific m/z ions for these compounds (Mateo-Vivaracho et al. 2007). When either pentafluorobenzyl derivatives are used with chemical ionisation, or ethylpropiolate derivatives with electron impact ionisation, specific and abundant fragments are obtained. The sensitivity of the detection is improved when these fragments are used in selected ion-monitoring mode (Mateo-Vivaracho et al. 2007, Herbst-Johnston et al. 2013).

Several liquid chromatography approaches to assess sulfur compounds in grape juices and wines have been reported (Park et al. 2000, Fracassetti et al. 2011). Their effectiveness relies on the generation of highly absorbent UV or fluorescent active species. To our knowledge, this is the first reported method for the determination of volatile thiols in wine by liquid chromatography. With this method we determined the concentration of 3MH and 3MHA in several South African Sauvignon Blanc wines.

113 Materials and methods

114 Materials

Dichloromethane (DCM) (\geq 99.8%), sodium chloride (\geq 99.5%), methanol (\geq 99.9%), 115 acetonitrile LC-MS CHROMASOLV (≥ 99.0%), iso-propanol LC-MS CHROMASOLV 116 $(\geq 99.0\%)$, potassium metabisulfite, sodium borohydride, ethanolamine (EA), o-117 118 phthaldialdehyde (OPA), 6-mercaptohexanol (6MH) and anhydrous sodium sulfate 119 (≥ 99.0%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Calcium carbonate 120 and boric acid were purchased from Merck (Merck Millipore, Modderfontein, South 121 Africa). Water for UPLC was obtained from a Milli-Q filtration system (EMD Millipore, 122 Bedford, MA, USA). Polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP) resin was purchased from Dal Cin Gildo Spa (Milan, Italy). The model wine contained 12% (v/v) ethanol and 5 g/L of tartaric 123 124 acid, and the pH was adjusted to 3.5 with sodium hydroxide (Sigma-Aldrich).

3-Mercaptohexan-1-ol (3MH) was purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium) and 3-mercaptohexyl acetate (3MHA) from Oxford Chemical (Hartlepool, England). The deuterated internal standards d2-3-mercaptohexan-1-ol (d2-3MH) and d2-3-mercaptohexyl acetate (d2-3MHA) were generously donated by the University of Auckland.

130

131 Samples

All samples were Sauvignon Blanc wines, bottled or tank samples, from the 2012 and 2013 vintages. All samples were extracted in duplicate. The concentration of the thiols in the wine samples was quantified by means of internal standard calibration.

135 Sample preparation method

136 Sample preparation was optimised by several assays in order to detect the compounds

137 of interest, as well as to improve the sensitivity and the extraction yield. These assays

139

included sample preparation, which was undertaken in synthetic wine (tartaric acid 5 g/L, ethanol 10% v/v, pH 3.5) spiked with standard solutions and white wine.

Potassium metabisulfite (6 g/L) and PVPP (5 g/L) were added to the wine sample 140 141 (180 mL) containing the deuterated internal standards and stirred for 10 min. After 142 centrifugation at 6200 x g for 10 min, sodium chloride was added (50 g/L) and the wine sample was again stirred until the salt had dissolved completely. The pH was adjusted 143 144 to 5.0 with calcium carbonate, followed by sodium borohydride addition (3.84 g/L) with 145 stirring. The wine sample was extracted by shaking with 110 mL of DCM for 20 min at 146 room temperature, after which the organic phase was recovered. IIf emulsion formed, 147 the phases were centrifuged at $\frac{6200 \text{ x g}}{100 \text{ s}}$ for 5 min and the organic phase was recovered. 148 The extract was washed with 100 mL Milli-Q water. Anhydrous sodium sulfate (2 g) was added to the DCM extract to remove water traces before transferring the extract into 149 150 hermetically sealed bottles. The bottles were stored at -20°C until solvent evaporation. 151 Solvent was evaporated under vacuum after the addition of another 2 g of anhydrous sodium sulfate. The final volume was approximately 6 mL. The concentrated extract was 152 153 transferred to a tube, evaporated under a gentle nitrogen flow to approximately 1 mL, 154 after which methanol (300 µL) was added. The evaporation was continued until the final sample volume was approximately 200 µL. The extracted wine sample in methanol (50 155 156 μ L) was derivatised with 5 μ L OPA (5 g/L 5 μ L in methanol) and 5 μ L ethanolamine (10 157 g/L in borate buffer, 80 mmol at pH 7.3). The derivatised sample was held room temperature for 5 min and injected into the ultra-performance liquid chromatography-158 159 mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS) system.

161 Instrumental conditions for ultra-performance liquid chromatography-fluorescence

162 detection

The liquid chromatography system was an Acquity UHPLC coupled with a multi λ 163 164 fluorescence detector 2475 (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). The thiols were 165 separated on a Kinetex phenyl-hexyl column (150 \times 4.6 mm, 2.6 μ m, 100 Å) (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The column temperature was 28°C and the 166 temperature of the samples 15°C. The injection volume was 10 μ L and the flow rate was 167 168 0.8 mL/min. The thiols were separated in a gradient (Table 1) using 30 mmol citrate 169 buffer at pH 6.0 (A) and methanol (B) for a running time of 16 min. The wavelength was 170 set at 330 nm for excitation and 440 nm for emission.

171

172 Instrumental conditions for ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass173 spectrometry method

Thiols were separated with a Waters Acquity UPLC system fitted to a Waters Xevo triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS/MS) (Waters Corporation). Data were acquired and processed with MassLynx version 4.1 software (Waters Corporation).

The thiols were separated on a Acquity UPLC BEH C18 2.1 x 100 mm, 1.7 μm particle column, fitted with a guard cartridge (VanGuard C18 2.1 x 5 mm, 1.7 μm particle size) (Waters Corporation). The column was thermostated at 50°C. The injection volume was 5 μL. The thiols were eluted in gradient mode, using 10 mmol ammonium acetate (mobile phase A) and methanol:acetonitrile:i-propanol 49:49:2 (mobile phase B). The gradient program is shown in Table 2.

Thiols were detected in multiple reaction mode (MRM). The optimised parameters for the electrospray source (positive mode) were as follows: capillary voltage, 3.5 kV; cone voltage, 20 V; source, 140°C; desolvation temperature, 400°C; desolvation gas, N₂, 900 L/h; and cone gas, 50 L/h. The remaining MS settings were optimised for the best sensitivity and resolution. The monitored MRM transitions are shown in Table 3.

190

191

192 Methodology for evaluating method performance

The qualitative and quantitative performance of the chromatographic method was evaluated. Selectivity of the method was evaluated through direct injections of the mixture of standards and internal standards and comparing the results to those obtained from extracts of wine spiked with the mixture. Linearity was evaluated for the range used, 25–500 ng/L for 3MHA and 50–2500 ng/L for 3MH at six calibration points. The limit of quantitation was calculated for a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 10.

199

200

The matrix effect was evaluated through recovery assays at all levels of calibration. Briefly, a non-aromatic wine was spiked at each calibration level (sample referred to as spiked wine). The original wine with no spiking constituted the blank sample. All the spiked and unspiked wines were subjected to the sample preparation procedure. The extractions were done in duplicate. The recovery values were obtained by comparing concentration values from direct injection of standards (no extraction) to values obtained after the extraction of wine samples. The values from extracted wine
samples were corrected if the unspiked wine contained thiols. The results are expressed
as a proportion (%).

Precision was measured for the extraction step (three extractions on the same spiked wine sample), for the derivatisation step (three derivatisations on the same extracted spiked wine sample), and for the instrumental analysis (by injecting the same wine sample in triplicate). Precision was measured at two concentration values, 50 ng/L 3MHA and 100 ng/L 3MH (medium–low), and 250 ng/L 3MHA and 1000 ng/L 3MH (medium–high).

Stability was evaluated for the standards and extracts. Concentration for the standard stock solutions in methanol was determined with Ellman's reagent (Eyer et al. 2003). The stability of extracts was evaluated by UPLC-MS/MS after 1 week of storage at two stages of the sample preparation.

220

221

222 Results and discussion

223 Method optimisation

The method developed for thiol quantification in wine consisted of a liquid-liquid extraction in an organic solvent, followed by thiol re-dissolution in methanol, the medium in which the thiols were derivatised with OPA in the presence of excess amino ethanol. The OPA derivatives were separated by UPLC coupled with mass spectrometry. The major issues in method optimisation were thiol reactivity towards several wine constituents that also were extracted, thiol loss during the concentration step, and the derivatisation yield obtained for different solvents in which the thiols were dissolved at
the end of the sample preparation. This method allowed the quantification of 3MH and
3MHA in white wine, but not of 4MMP, as this compound was not derivatised. 6Mercaptohexan-1-ol (6MH) could be also derivatised and detected and therefore was
used as the model compound during method optimisation.

235 **Optimisation of extraction procedure**. Different solvents can be used to extract volatiles 236 from wines. Dichloromethane (Tominaga et al. 1998) and, more recently, pentane 237 (Capone et al. 2011b), have been proposed as solvents for thiol extraction from wine.

238 Synthetic wine was used for a preliminary investigation of the composition of the 239 extraction solvent. The characteristic hydrophobicity of the analytes in the presence of 240 sodium chloride was also assessed during the same assay. Extraction with DCM when using 50 g/L NaCl was identified as the most suitable. This is due to the partition 241 242 coefficient ($K_{d(DCM/wine)}$) calculated for the liquid-liquid extraction of 3MH, the most 243 hydrophilic thiol of interest. The value of the partition coefficient was double when 50 g/L NaCl was added compared to no NaCl addition, while a higher concentration of salt 244 245 did not further affect the extraction yield. Dichloromethane is highly effective for the 246 extraction of un-dissociated thiols (Tominaga et al. 1998). The volume of DCM and the extraction steps were established using the partition coefficient: from the theoretical 247 248 values, a single extraction step with 110 mL of DCM allowed the complete extraction of 249 thiols from 180 mL of both the synthetic wine and from the white wine.

250 Dichloromethane is incompatible with reversed phase separations in liquid 251 chromatography. In contrast, water is an appropriate solvent for liquid chromatography.

252 Moreover, water has been reported as a suitable solvent for the derivatisation of thiols
253 with OPA (Molnár-Perl 2001).

The back-extraction of thiols from an organic solvent (pentane) to water has 254 255 already been reported (Capone et al. 2011b). In alkaline solution, these compounds are 256 present in both dissociated and un-dissociated forms. The ratio between the two forms is pH dependent and the two forms have a different affinity towards water and DCM. As 257 258 a consequence, adjusting the pH influences the degree of dissociation and, further, the 259 presence of thiols in water can be favoured, leading to a higher extraction yield from the 260 DCM (Yabroff 1940). On this basis, partition coefficients between DCM and 10 mmol 261 sodium hydroxide (K_{d (NaOH/DCM)} pH 12.0) were calculated to be 0.61, not detected and 262 0.91 for 3MH, 3MHA and 6MH respectively. The volatile thiols dissolved in sodium hydroxide solution were determined as indole derivatives obtained after alkaline pH 263 adjustment of this solution. 264

As the calculation shows, the partition coefficient allowed for poor thiol extraction from DCM using 10 mmol NaOH as back-extraction solvent. Even after multiple extractions, a large volume of alkaline solution was needed to obtain a high back-extraction yield from DCM. Higher alkaline concentration has been suggested to improve the back-extraction of thiols from oil phases (Yabroff 1940). At any rate, a high concentration of NaOH is not suitable for the back-extraction of thiols from DCM and 3MHA hydrolysis could also occur.

Good yields were achieved with water (Table 4, but the use of methanol allows a faster evaporation step, limiting thiol loss during the sample preparation. In a DCM and methanol mixture, DCM is the first solvent to evaporate, since it boils at a lower temperature (39.6°C) than that of methanol (64.7°C). Thus, the proposed method
consists of a solvent switch between DCM and methanol by removing DCM first under
vacuum and then under nitrogen flow in the presence of methanol.

278 **Optimisation of derivatisation procedure**. Free thiol compounds cannot be detected by 279 UPLC coupled with either fluorescence or MS detectors, thus the final step of the sample preparation entailed thiol derivatisation. Among the derivatising reagents employed for 280 281 thiol groups, OPA is of interest because the resulting derivatives have fluorescent 282 properties, allowing for the quantification of primary amines and thiols at trace 283 concentration with good derivatisation yield (Kutlán and Molnár-Perl 2003). This 284 characteristic of OPA was taken into account, since the thiol determination was initially 285 made by UPLC coupled to a fluorescence detector. The reaction of OPA with a primary 286 amino group [i.e. ethanol amine (EA)] and a thiol (RSH) leads to the formation of an 287 indole (OPA-EA-SR) (Simons and Johnson 1978). Besides the fluorescent properties of 288 indoles (Park et al. 2000), the derivatisation improves the detection of these compounds in mass spectrometry. For these reasons, this compound was chosen as it allowed the 289 290 quantification of wine thiols at ng/L concentration (Figure 1).

The influence of both water pH and methanol on the derivatisation reaction was evaluated. It has been reported that the derivatisation yield is strongly affected by the thiolate form of thiols, while the protonation of the amino groups showed a negligible effect (Nakamura and Tamura 1982). The yield of the derivatives formation was evaluated in water for a pH range of 5.0 to 9.0 and in methanol. Figure 2 shows the derivatisation yields obtained in water. 297 When the pH ranged from 6.5 to 9.0, the derivatisation yield significantly 298 increased only at pH 9.0. Under this condition of neutral-basic pH, no significant degradation of 3MHA to 3MH was observed, as previously reported (Herbst-Johnstone 299 300 et al. 2013). For pH lower than 6.5, the indole formation could not take place, probably 301 due to low thiolate concentration as well as to a high content of protonated EA. 302 Derivatisation yield in methanol was comparable to that obtained in water at pH 6.5 to 303 9.0. Independent of the solvent used, the derivatisation of 4MMP did not occur. The 304 formation of the OPA derivative of 4MMP was probably prevented by the hydrogen 305 bonding between the thiol group and the carbonyl moiety within the compound itself, 306 and its steric hindrance. Derivatisation of 4MMP was an issue when other derivatising 307 reagents were used (Mateo-Vivaracho et al. 2008).

308 Minimising matrix components affecting thiol determination in white wines.

Dichloromethane is a suitable solvent for the extraction of volatile thiols (Tominaga et al. 1998), as well as several other compounds from wine (Ortega-Heras et al. 2002), such as acids, alcohols, carbonyl compounds, esters, volatile phenols, lactones and terpenes (Hernanz et al. 2008). Many of these compounds can react with volatile thiols in both water and organic solvent, and thereby can influence the derivatisation yield.

The extraction of certain acids, including hexanoic, octanoic, decanoic, hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids, could modify the final sample pH, altering the derivatisation yield. The phenolic substances and their corresponding quinones could also be extracted and react with thiols, both in water (Nikolantonaki et al. 2012) and in methanol under certain conditions (Yadav et al. 2007). Moreover, thiols are 319 strong nucleophilic compounds and their reaction with phenols and quinones based on320 Michael-type mechanism is pH dependent.

The pH of the back-extraction water after DCM evaporation was determined to 321 322 be 4.56 (average of two replicates), meaning that the derivatisation will not be effective. 323 To limit the extraction of organic acids, the dissociation of carboxylic functions and the 324 formation of the corresponding salts were necessary. The total dissociation of organic 325 acids present in wine can be obtained at high pH. Calcium carbonate was used to 326 transform the carboxylic acids into the corresponding calcium salts. The adjustment of 327 wine pH up to 5.0 before the liquid extraction led to an increase in the pH of the back-328 extraction water to more than 6.0, thus allowing the derivatisation of thiols.

329 Nevertheless, the high pH has the disadvantage of promoting the formation of both quinones and thiolates (Danilewicz et al. 2008), thereby increasing the rate of 330 331 nucleophile additions between the thiols and guinones. This reaction has been reported 332 as the major cause of thiol aroma loss in wine (Nikolantonaki et al. 2010) and it can also take place in water (Yadav et al. 2007). Both phenols and quinones could be extracted 333 334 by DCM, causing a loss of thiols during sample preparation. The qualitative evaluation 335 of phenols in the back-extraction water was carried out by the ferric chloride test (Wesp and Brode 1934). This assay confirmed that phenols had been extracted from the wine. 336 337 For this reason, the DCM washing step with water was included to partially remove the 338 extracted phenols. At the same time, the treatment with PVPP was carried out as the first step of the sample preparation in order to decrease the phenolic substances 339 340 content of wine from the beginning. Both treatments limited the amount of phenolic 341 substances in the back-extraction water and methanol, while not affecting the recovery of thiols in the synthetic wine. Extraction yields of 99.1 \pm 10.1, 95.6 \pm 8.4and 88.3 \pm 7.9 % were found for 3MH, 3MHA and 6MH respectively after CaCO₃ and PVPP treatment in synthetic wine. In contrast, thiol detection was not possible without these steps during the wine sample preparation, even when the wine was spiked with thiols.

346

347 Choice of internal standard

A suitable internal standard is crucial for analysis methods based on extensive sample 348 349 preparation procedures. With the present study, deuterated standards were available. 6-Mercaptohexan-1-ol was used for the sample preparation development and 350 351 fluorescence detection, while deuterated standards were chosen for the MS work. 352 Deuterated standards are ideal, as the chemical structures are identical to those of the 353 compounds of interest and therefore their behaviour during the various steps of sample 354 preparation would mimic that of the analytes. For the chromatographic analysis, the 355 retention of a deuterated standard is expected to be similar to that of the compounds of interest due to the identical chemical character. In this case, the use of MS detection 356 357 is necessary.

358

359 Method performance

360 **Selectivity of the chromatographic method.** As can be seen from Figure 3, the 361 chromatographic method achieved the separation of the compounds of interest. The 362 MS/MS detection provided the additional selectivity necessary to distinguish between 363 the analytes and their deuterated equivalents used as internal standards.

365 Calibration and quantitation limits. The linearity of the detector response was 366 evaluated over the concentration range 25–500 ng/L for 3MHA and 50–2500 ng/L for 367 3MH. These concentration values were chosen in accordance with the previously 368 reported thiol concentration found in white wine and their threshold values (Lund et al. 369 2009, Mateo-Vivaracho et al. 2010, Benkwitz et al. 2012, Van Wyngaard 2013).

370

371 Calibration curves were constructed with two approaches: direct injection of 372 standards and injection of extracted, spiked non-aromatic wines at the same 373 concentration. Direct injection of standards has the advantage of not requiring sample 374 preparation. The presence of the matrix in the ionisation source, however, could have a 375 great impact on the ionisation (Trufelli et al. 2011). Therefore the linearity study had to 376 be repeated using wine spiked at the same calibration concentration, doing the complete sample preparation procedure and comparing the results of the two 377 378 approaches. Both methodologies included a blank where only internal standards were 379 added, and six calibration points in the range mentioned above. For the calibration with 380 extraction, the sample preparation was done in duplicate and the response was 381 averaged. The results are shown in Table 5 For 3MH there was negligible difference in the two calibration equations. For 3MHA the matrix had an impact on the detector 382 response, and a matrix signal enhancement could be observed. This phenomenon has 383 384 been reported previously for MS detection (Trufelli et al. 2011). For both compounds 385 and calibration approaches, the correlation coefficient was higher than 0.99. As the detector response was similar for both types of calibration and the differences in 386 387 intercept value were minor, the direct calibration was preferred for further analyses.

389	The limit of quantitation values was calculated from the signal-to-noise ratio
390	obtained for samples extracted from spiked model wine. Even though the model wine
391	is a non-interfering matrix, and therefore matrix effects cannot be accounted for, using
392	a standardised medium is common practice for these types of determinations. As can
393	be seen from Table 7, the limit of quantification (LOQ) values were lower than the
394	perception threshold of the respective compounds (4.2 ng/L for 3MHA and 60 ng/L for
395	3MH) in the same media (model wine). This is extremely important in the case of
396	combined wine chemical and sensory analyses. Moreover, to our knowledge, the LOD
397	for 3MH is the lowest reported in the literature: 0.07 ng/L compared to 1 ng/L by $\frac{1}{2}$
398	<mark>chromatography ion trap</mark> (GCIT)-MS/MS (Schneider et al. 2003). For 3MHA, the LOD was
399	found to be 1.68 ng/L and the lowest value reported in the literature was 0.3 ng/L by
400	gas chromatography negative chemical ionisation (GCNCI)-MS (Mateo-Vivaracho et al.
401	2008). Both these values show that the method is suitable for the analysis of 3MH and
402	3MHA in white wine.

Recovery. As mentioned in the Materials and methods section, the recovery was calculated as proportion of 'practical' as compared to 'theoretical' value. This was done for the entire calibration range (six values). For the 'practical' values, the matrix and the extraction will play a role. A matrix blank (no additions except for IS) was also considered to account for the possible presence of analytes in the base wine. To obtain the 'theoretical' values, standards were directly injected. This implies no matrix effect and no loss due to extraction.

For 3MHA, the average recovery was 128.36% [6.36% relative standard deviation 412 (%RSD)] and for 3MH it was 98.06% (4.04% RSD) (Table 6. The RSD values are excellent 413 414 for such a wide concentration range tested and extensive sample preparation; they 415 indicate the consistency of the method over the tested range. The difference in recovery 416 values can be an indication of matrix effects manifesting stronger for 3MHA than for 3MH. The matrix effect could take place during sample preparation (different extraction 417 418 yield for the analyte and its corresponding IS or during the instrumental analysis, especially the detection (signal enhancement or suppression). As the IS is chemically 419 420 identical to the analyte in this case, the recovery result could rather be explained by 421 matrix signal enhancement. Therefore the level of recovery of over 100% for 3MHA is 422 most probably due to the detection and not to the disproportionate extraction of the analyte compared to its corresponding IS. 423

424

Precision. Precision was evaluated with repeatability tests. The repeatability of the extraction was measured in spiked wine at two concentration values and in the blank. The extractions were done in triplicate and over 2 days. The repeatability of the derivatisation was tested at the same two concentration values, in triplicate, for 3MH and 3MHA. The results are shown in Table 7 and are calculated for retention factor values. The values of the %RSD are acceptable for both extraction and derivatisation. The variability was higher for 3MHA.

432 The suitability of the instrumental method was also assessed through 433 repeatability for response factor (RF) and retention times (RT). For RF, three injections were done from the same vial for the two concentration values indicated in Table 7. For
RT, an average was measured over 24 injections. For 3MHA and 3MH, the RSD for the
retention times was 0.22 and 0.27%, respectively. The variability levels were considered
acceptable.

438

439 Stability of analytes and samples. The stability of the analytes and samples was also
440 assessed. The standards and stock solutions (in methanol) were stored at -80°C and were
441 found to be stable over a period of 2 years. The concentration of these analytes and
442 samples was determined with Ellman's reagent (Eyer et al. 2003).

443

444 Extracted samples in DCM were stable for up to a week when stored at -20°C (results not shown). Extracted samples for injection (in methanol) were stored at -80°C. 445 446 Injection of the same samples a week apart indicated rapid degradation. For three concentration values, the decrease in both peak areas and RF was calculated. There was 447 a significant decrease in peak areas (between 4.6 and 81.8%) for analytes and IS. This 448 449 would ultimately lead to the peak areas falling below the limit of quantitation. Taking 450 into account the RF values (compound peak area/IS peak area), the analytes and their respective deuterated forms did not degrade at the same rate, indicating that a delay in 451 452 analysis would lead to inaccurate quantification of thiols.

453

454 Volatile thiol concentration of South African Sauvignon Blanc wines

455 Volatile thiols, such as 3MH and 3MHA, play an integral role in the passionfruit, grape
456 fruit and guava aroma of Sauvignon Blanc wines (Coetzee and Du Toit 2012, Van

457 Wyngaard et al. 2014). It therefore is important for wine producers and researchers of Sauvignon Blanc wines to be able to assess the concentration of these compounds in 458 459 wines. Several publications have reported the concentration of 3MH and 3MHA in 460 Sauvignon Blanc wines, especially from France and New Zealand. Concentration in 461 Sauvignon Blanc wines from these countries ranged from 688 to 18 681 ng/L for 3MH and up to 2507 ng/L for 3MHA (Lund et al. 2009, Benkwitz et al. 2012). There has not 462 463 been a concerted effort before, however, to assess the concentration of 3MH and 3MHA 464 in South African Sauvignon Blanc wines.

465 Van Wyngaard (2013) found an average concentration of 970 ng/L for 3MH and 466 158 ng/L for 3MHA, in 27 South African Sauvignon Blanc wines, while Benkwitz et al. 467 (2012) and Lund et al. (2009) reported a concentration in the same range for a few South African Sauvignon Blanc wines. Ranges for those values reported by Van Wyngaard 468 469 (2013) were 10 to 720 ng/L for 3MHA and 500 to 3500 ng/L for 3MH. This concentration 470 was determined using a GC-MS method (Suklje et al. 2014), which was adapted from a method originally developed by Tominaga et al. (1998). Average concentration obtained 471 472 in our study was 313.48 ng/L (range of 18.98 to 1028.70 ng/L) for 3MHA and 1320.32 473 ng/L for 3MH (range of 717.92 to 2262.22 ng/L). Such concentration was thus in the same range as that found by Van Wyngaard (2013) for 3MH, but higher than that found 474 for 3MHA. The reasons for this difference in 3MHA concentration could be due to 475 476 vintage effects, the ability of different yeast strains to convert 3MH into 3MHA (Coetzee and Du Toit 2012), as well as different acid hydrolysis rates of 3MHA to 3MH and acetic 477 478 acid during bottle ageing (Makhotkina et al. 2012).

Our study, however, revealed that 3MH and 3MHA in South African Sauvignon Blanc wines occur at a concentration higher than their respective perception thresholds (Table 8. These compounds thus probably play an important role in the perception of tropical aromas in these wines. The aroma descriptors associated with 3MH have been found to change in number and intensity depending on the level of this compound (Van Wyngaard et al. 2014).

485

486 **Conclusions**

In this paper a novel sample preparation based on a liquid/liquid extraction is presented. 487 488 Thiols were quantified by UPLC-MS/MS after their derivatisation with OPA. The 489 analytical method described is the first method allowing the liquid/liquid extraction of 490 thiols from wine, followed by detection and quantification by UPLC-MS/MS. The method 491 was successfully validated and applied to thiol quantification in 18 South African 492 Sauvignon Blanc wines. The average 3MH content found in these South African wines 493 was in accordance with previous findings, while 3MHA was higher in the present study. 494 The development of methods to determine the concentration of 3MH and 3MHA in 495 Sauvignon Blanc wines could therefore assist wine producers in expecting a wine with 496 certain sensorial characteristics if their chemical composition is known.

497

498 Acknowledgements

499 We would like to thank Winetech and THRIP for financial support. This study was co-

500 financed by the postdoctoral fellowship Dote Ricerca: FSE, Regione Lombardia, Italy.

503 References

Bailly, S., Jerkovic, V., Meurée, A., Timmermans, A. and Collin, S. (2009) Fate of key

505 odorants in Sauternes wines through aging. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry

506 **57**, 8557–8563.

507 Bartowsky, E. and Pretorius, I.S. (2009) Microbial formation and modification of flavour

and off-flavour compounds in wine. König, H., Unden, G.A. and Frölik, J., eds. Biology of

509 microorganisms on grapes, in must and in wine (Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany) pp.

510 209–231.

511 Benkwitz, F., Tominaga, T., Kilmartin, P.A., Lund, C.M., Wohlers, M. and Nicolau, L. 512 (2012) Identifying the chemical composition related to the distinct aroma characteristics 513 of New Zealand Sauvignon blanc wines. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture **63**,

514 62–72.

Capone, D.L., Sefton, M.A. and Jeffery, D.W. (2011a) Application of a modified method
for 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol determination to investigate the relationship between free
thiol and related conjugates in grape juice and wine. Journal of Agricultural and Food
Chemistry 59, 4649–4658.

519 Capone, D.L., Pardon, K., Cordente, A.G. and Jeffery, D.W. (2011b) Identification and

520 quantification of 3-S-cysteinylglycinehexan-1-ol (cysgly-3-MH) in Sauvignon blanc grape

521 juice by HPLC-MS/MS. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **59**, 11204–11210.

522 Coetzee, C. and Du Toit, W.J. (2012) A comprehensive review on Sauvignon blanc aroma

523 with a focus on certain positive volatile thiols. Food Research International **45**, 287–298.

- 524 Danilewicz, J.C., Seccombe, J.T. and Whelan, J. (2008) Mechanism of interaction of 525 polyphenols, oxygen, and sulfur dioxide in model wine and wine. American Journal of 526 Enology and Viticulture **59**, 128–136.
- 527 Dubourdieu, D., Tominaga, T., Masneuf, I., Peyrot des Gachons, C. and Murat, M. L.
- 528 (2006). The role of yeast in grape flavour development during fermentation: the
- 529 example of Sauvignon blanc. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture **57**, 81–88.
- 530 Eyer, P., Worek, F., Kiderlen, D., Sinko, G., Stuglin, A., Simeon-Rudolf, V. and Reiner, E.
- 531 (2003) Molar absorption coefficients for the reduced Ellman reagent: reassessment.
- 532 Analytical Biochemistry **312**, 224–227.
- 533 Fedrizzi, B., Pardon, K.H., Sefton, M.A., Elsey, G.M. and Jeffery, D.W. (2009) First
- identification of 4-S-glutathionyl-4-methylpentan-2-one precursor of 4-mercapto-4-
- 535 methylpentan-2-one, in Sauvignon blanc juice. Journal of Agricultural and Food 536 Chemistry **57**, 991–995.
- Fracassetti, D., Lawrence, N., Tredoux, A.G.J., Tirelli, A., Nieuwoudt, H.H. and Du Toit,
 W.J. (2011) Quantification of glutathione, catechin and caffeic acid in grape juice and
 wine by a novel ultra-performance liquid chromatography method. Food Chemistry 128,
 1136–1142.
- Harsch, M.J., Benkwitz, F., Frost, A., Colonna-Ceccaldi, B., Gardner, R.C. and Salmon, J.M. (2013) New precursor of 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol in grape juice: thiol-forming
 potential and kinetics during early stages of must fermentation. Journal of Agricultural
 and Food Chemistry 61, 3703–3713.

545 Herbst-Johnston, M., Piano, F., Duhamel, N., Barker, D. and Fedrizzi, B. (2013) Ethyl 546 propiolate derivatisation for the analysis of varietal thiol in wine. Journal of 547 Chromatography A **1312**, 104–110.

548 Hernanz, D., Gallo, V., Recamales, A.F., Meléndez-Martinez A.J. and Heredia F.J. (2008)

549 Comparison of the effectiveness of solid-phase and ultrasound-mediated liquid-liquid

extractions to determine the volatile compounds of wine. Talanta **76**, 929–935.

551 Kutlán, D. and Molnár-Perl, I. (2003) New aspects of the simultaneous analysis of amino 552 acids and amines as their *o*-phthaldialdehyde derivatives by high-performance liquid 553 chromatography: analysis of wine, beer and vinegar. Journal of Chromatography A **987**, 554 311–322.

Lund, C.M., Thompson, M.K., Benkwitz, F., Wohler, M.W., Triggs, C.M., Gardner, R., Heymann, H. and Nicolau, L. (2009) New Zealand Sauvignon blanc distinct flavor characteristics, sensory, chemical, and consumer aspects. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture **60**, 1–12.

559 Makhotkina, O., Pineau, B. and Kilmartin, P. (2012) Effect of storage temperature on the

560 chemical composition and sensory profile of Sauvignon blanc wines. Australian Journal

of Grape and Wine Research **18**, 91–99.

562 Mateo-Vivaracho, L., Cacho, J. and Ferreira, V. (2007) Quantitative determination of

563 wine polyfunctional mercaptans at nanogram per liter level by gas-chromatography-

negative ion mass spectrometric analysis of their pentafluorobenzyl derivatives. Journal

565 of Chromatography A **1146**, 242–250.

566 Mateo-Vivaracho, L., Cacho, J. and Ferreira, V. (2008) Improved solid-phase extraction 567 procedure for the isolation and in-sorbent pentafluorobenzyl alkylation of polyfunctional mercaptans optimized procedure and analytical applications. Journal of
Chromatography A **1185**, 9–18.

570 Mateo-Vivaracho, L., Ferreira, V. and Cacho, J. (2006) Automated analysis of 2-methyl-

571 3-furanthiol and 3-mercaptohexyl acetate at ng L⁻¹ level by headspace solid-phase

572 microextraction with on-fibre derivatisation and gas chromatography-negative chemical

573 ionization mass spectrometric determination. Journal of Chromatography A **1121**, 1–9.

574 Mateo-Vivaracho, L., Zapata, J., Cacho, J. and Ferreira, V. (2010) Analysis, occurrence,

and potential sensory significance of five polyfunctional mercaptans in white wines.

576 Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **58**, 10184–10194.

577 Molnár-Perl, I. (2001) Derivatization and chromatographic behavior of the o-578 phthaldialdehyde amino acid derivatives obtained with various SH-group-containing 579 additives. Journal of Chromatography A **913**, 283–302.

Nakamura, H. and Tamura, Z. (1982) Simultaneous fluorimetric determination of thiols
and disulfides by liquid chromatography with modified postcolumn derivatization
Analytical Chemistry 54, 1951–1955.

583 Nikolantonaki, M., Chichuc, I., Teissedre P.L. and Darriet, P. (2010) Reactivity of volatile

thiols with polyphenols in a wine-model medium: impact of oxygen, iron and sulfur

585 dioxide. Analytica Chimica Acta **660**, 102–109.

586 Nikolantonaki, M., Jourdes, M., Shinoda, K., Teissedre, P.L., Quideau, S. and Darriet, P.

587 (2012) Identification of adducts between an odoriferous volatile thiol and oxidized grape

588 phenolic compounds: kinetic study of adduct formation under chemical and enzymatic

589 oxidation conditions. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **60**, 2647–2656.

- 590 Ortega-Heras, M., Gonzàlez-SanJosé, M.L. and Beltràn, S. (2002) Aroma composition of
 591 wine studied by different extraction methods. Analytical Chimica Acta 458, 85–93.
- 592 Park, S.K., Boulton, R.B. and Noble, A.C. (2000) Automated HPLC analysis of glutathione
- and thiol containing compounds in grape juice and wine using pre-column derivatization
- with fluorescence detection. Food Chemistry **68**, 475–480
- 595 Peyrot des Gachons, C., Tominaga, T. and Dubourdieu, D. (2002) Sulfur aroma precursor
- 596 present in S-glutathione conjugate form: identification of S-3-(hexan-1-ol)-glutathione
- in must from *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Sauvignon blanc. Journal of Agricultural and Food
 Chemistry **50**, 4076–4079.
- Roland, A., Schneider, R., Razungles, A. and Cavelier, F. (2011) Varietal thiols in wine:
 discovery, analysis and applications. Chemical Reviews 111, 7355–7376.
- 601 Schneider, R., Kotseridis, Y., Ray, J.L., Augier, C. and Baumes, R. (2003) Quantitative
- 602 determination of sulfur-containing wine odorants at sub parts per billion levels. 2.
- 603 Development and application of a stable isotope dilution assay. Journal of Agricultural
- and Food Chemistry **51**, 3243–3248.
- 605 Simons, S.S. Jr. and Johnson, D.F. (1978) Reaction of *o*-phthalaldehyde and thiols with
- 606 primary amines: formation of 1-alkyl(and aryl)thio-2-alkylisoindoles. Journal of Organic
- 607 Chemistry **43**, 2886–2891.
- 608 Suklje, K., Antalick, G., Coetzee, Z., Schmidtke, L.M., Baša Česniki, H., Brandt, J., Du Toit,
- 609 W., Lisjak, K. and Deloire, A. (2014) Effect of leaf removal and ultraviolet radiation in the
- 610 vineyard on the composition and sensory perception of Vitis vinifera L. Sauvignon Blanc
- 611 wine. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research **20**, 223–233.

Tominaga, T. and Dubourdieu, D. (2006) A novel method for quantification of 2-methyl3-furanthiol and 2-furanmethanethiol in wines made from *Vitis vinifera* grape varieties.

514 Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **54**, 29–33.

Tominaga, T., Darriet, P. and Dubourdieu, D. (1996). Identification of 3-mercaptohexyl
acetate in Sauvignon wine, a powerful aromatic compound exhibiting box-tree odor.
Vitis 35, 207–210.

Tominaga, T., Murat, M.L. and Dubourdieu, D. (1998) Development of a method for analyzing the volatile thiols involved in the characteristic aroma of wines made from *Vitis vinifera* L. cv Sauvignon blanc. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **46**, 1044– 1048.

Trufelli, H., Palma, P., Famiglini, G. and Cappiello, A. (2011) An overview of matrix effects

623 in liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Mass Spectrometry Reviews **30**, 491–509.

Van Wyngaard, E. (2013) Volatiles playing an important role in South African Sauvignon

blanc wines. MSc (Agric) thesis, Department of Viticulture and Oenology, Stellenbosch

626 University, Stellenbosch, South Africa.

630

van Wyngaard, E., Brand, J., Jacobson, D. and W. J. du Toit (2014) Sensory interaction

628 between 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol and 2-isobutyl-3-methoxypyrazine in dearomatised

629 Sauvignon Blanc wine. Australian Journal for Grape and Wine Research **20**, 178–185.

631 ferric chloride-phenol reaction. Journal of the American Chemical Society **56**, 1037– 632 1042.

Wesp, E.F. and Brode, W.R. (1934) The absorption spectra of ferric compounds. I. The

Yabroff, D.L. (1940) Extraction of mercaptans with alkaline solutions. Industrial and
Engineering Chemistry **32**, 257–262.

- 635 Yadav, J.S., Swamy, T., Reddy, D. and Krishna-Rao, D. (2007) Organic synthesis in water:
- 636 green protocol for the conjugate addition of thiols to p-quinones. Journal of Molecular
- 637 Catalysis A: Chemical **274**, 116–119.
- 638

- 639 Figure legends:
- 640 **Figure 1.** Ultra-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection (UPLC-
- 641 FLD) chromatogram of the *o*-phthaldialdehyde derivatives of (a) 6-mercaptohexan-1-ol
- (retention time 4.9 min) and of (b) 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol (retention time 5.1 min) and
- 643 3-mercaptohexyl acetate (retention time 8.3 min) in wine.
- **Figure 2**. Effect of pH on the formation of OPA derivatives of 6-mercaptohexan-1-ol
- 645 (■)3-mercaptohexan-1-ol (■), and 3-mercaptohexyl acetate (■)
- 646 Figure 3. Selectivity of the ultra-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass
- 647 spectrometry method for the separation of: (a) 3-mercaptohexyl acetate (retention time
- 648 10.99 min); (b) deuterated 3-mercaptohexyl acetate (retention time 11.03 min); (c) 3-
- 649 mercaptohexan-1-ol (retention time 8.47 min) ; and (d) deuterated 3-mercaptohexan-
- 650 1-ol (retention time 8.48 min).

Table 1. Gradient program for the ultra-performance liquid chromatography with

Time	Flow rate			
(min)	(mL/min)	A (%)†	B (%)‡	Curve
0	0.800	30	70	-
0.50	0.800	30	70	6
8.30	0.800	20	80	6
8.42	0.800	0	100	6
9.92	0.800	0	100	6
10.42	0.800	30	70	6
16.00	0.800	30	70	6

654 fluorescence detection.

⁶⁵⁵ [†]A, 30 mmol citrate, pH 6; [‡]B, methanol.

Table 2. Gradient programme for the ultra-performance liquid chromatography tandem

658 mass spectrometry method

Time	Flow rate			
(min)	(mL/min)	A (%)†	B (%)‡	Curve
0	0.350	70	30	-
1.00	0.350	70	30	6
12.00	0.350	30	70	6
13.00	0.400	0	100	6
14.00	0.400	0	100	6
14.10	0.350	70	30	6
17.00	0.350	70	30	6

659

⁺A, 10 mmol ammonium acetate; [‡]B, methanol:acetonitrile:i-propanol at 49:49:2.

661 **Table 3.** Multiple reaction mode (MRM) transitions monitored for the mass

 Compound	Precursor ion	Product ion	Cone	Collision
name	(<i>m/z</i>)	(<i>m/z</i>)	(V)	(eV)
 3MH	294.2	176.2	15	30
		194.1	15	15
d3MH	296.2	176.2	20	30
		194.2	20	15
ЗМНА	337.4	83.2	15	15
		177.2	15	30
		195.2	15	15
d3MHA	338.1	85.3	20	15
		145.3	20	15

662 spectrometric detection of the thiol derivatives.

663 3MH, 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol; 3MHA, 3-mercaptohexyl acetate; d3MH, deuterated 3-

664 mercaptohexan-1-ol; d3MHA, deuterated 3-mercaptohexyl acetate.

665

Table 4. Extraction yield of thiols during back-extraction (from dichloromethane towater) or solvent switch (from dichloromethane to methanol) under reduced pressure.

Extraction yield (%)					
Analyte	Water	Methanol			
3MH	104.6 ± 5.6	103.1 ± 3.2			
3MHA	90.33 ± 6.1	58.8 ± 4.7			
6MH	103.3 ± 4.8	94.9 ± 1.3			

Data reported as mean values ± SD (n=3). 3MH, 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol; 3MHA, 3-

671 mercaptohexyl acetate; 6MH, 6-mercaptohexan-1-ol.

672

Compound	Calibration equation [†]	R ²	LOQ (ng/L)
3MH	0.6173*conc + 20.296‡	0.9987	0.07
	0.6084*conc + 23.283§	0.9979	
3MHA	0.2580*conc + 4.851‡	0.9933	1.68
	0.3656*conc + 2.9729§	0.9951	

Table 5. Figures of merit for the method performance.

⁶⁷⁵ [†]The equation is for RF x 1000; [‡]direct injection of standards; §calibration in base

677 3-mercaptohexyl acetate.

678

⁶⁷⁶ wine, with extraction. LOQ, limit of quantitation; 3MH, 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol; 3MHA,

	3МН		ЗМНА
Concentration	Recovery (%)	Concentration	Recovery (%)
(ng/L)		(ng/L)	
50	93.8	25	133.1
100	100.6	50	122.9
250	93.2	100	125.1
500	96.5	200	129.0
1000	99.1	250	130.5
2500	99.7	500	139.4

Table 6. Recovery of 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol and 3-mercaptohexyl acetate .

681 Average of two determinations. 3MH, 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol; 3MHA, 3-mercaptohexyl

682 acetate.

Compound	Concentration	Extraction	Derivatisation	Instrumental RF
	(ng/L)	(%RSD)	(%RSD)	(%RSD)
3MHA	50	11.87	6.38	1.43
	250	8.33	3.07	2.45
3MH	100	2.09	2.77	2.72
	1000	4.16	0.56	1.59

Table7. Figures of merit for sample preparation and instrumental repeatability.

685 3MH, 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol; 3MHA; 3-mercaptohexyl acetate; RF, retention factor.

Table 8. Concentration of 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol and 3-mercaptohexyl acetate in

			3MHA	
Name	Vintage	Type of sample	(ng/L)	3MH (ng/L)
Cellar 1	2012	Bottle	83	893
Cellar 1	2013	Bottle	572	1646
Cellar 2	2013	Bottle	553	3137
Cellar 3	2012	Bottle	231	1891
Cellar 3	2013	Bottle	300	825
Cellar 4	2013	Tank	112	365
Cellar 4	2013	Bottle	89	754
Cellar 5	2013	Bottle	323	820
Cellar 6	2013	Tank	676	1289
Cellar 6	2013	Tank	457	1154
Cellar 7	2012	Bottle	236	1802
Cellar 7	2013	Bottle	1029	2262
Cellar 8	2012	Bottle	53	1460
Cellar 8	2013	Bottle	184	1469
Cellar 9	2013	Tank	219	1001
Cellar 9	2013	Tank	277	1065
Cellar 10	2012	Bottle	19	718
Cellar 11	2013	Bottle	231	1216

688 commercial South African Sauvignon Blanc wines.

- 689 Average of two extractions. 3MH, 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol; 3MHA, 3-mercaptohexyl
- 690 acetate.









698 Figure 3

