



Original Article

Influence of Hospitalization upon Diagnosis on the Risk of Tuberculosis Clustering

Giuseppe Lapidula^{1*}, Fabio Zanini², Luigi Codecasa³, Fabio Franzetti², Maurizio Ferrarese³, Manuela Carugati², Ester Mazzola⁴, Consuelo Schirotti², Davide Motta⁵, Diego Iemmi¹ and Andrea Gori¹

¹ Clinic of Infectious Diseases, AO San Gerardo, Monza (Italy)

² Luigi Sacco Hospital, University of Milan (Italy)

³ Villa Marelli, AO Ca` Granda Niguarda, Milan (Italy)

⁴ Laboratory of Microbiology, AO Ca` Granda Niguarda, Milan (Italy)

⁵ Clinic for Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Spedali Civili, University of Brescia, Brescia (Italy)

Correspondence to: Giuseppe Lapidula. Clinic of Infectious Diseases, AO San Gerardo, Via Pergolesi 33, 20052 Monza, Italy. Tel: +39 039 2339313. E-mail: g.lapidula@hsgerardo.org

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Published: November 20, 2013

Received: October 09, 2013

Accepted: November 18, 2013

Citation: *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis* 2013, 5(1): e2013071, DOI: 10.4084/MJHID.2013.071

This article is available from: <http://www.mjhid.org/article/view/12344>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Setting: Culture-positive tuberculosis (TB) diagnosed in the metropolitan area of Milan (Italy) over a 5-year period (1995-1999).

Objective: To assess the impact of short-course hospitalization upon diagnosis on the overall risk of TB clustering.

Design: Restriction fragment length polymorphism profiles with a similarity of 100% defined a cluster. Uni- and multivariable logistic regression models were performed to assess factors associated with clustering.

Results: Among 1139 patients, 392 (34.4%) were hospitalized before or soon after diagnosis, 405 (35.6%) received domiciliary treatment since the diagnosis and 392 (30%) had no information about initial clinical management. One hundred fifteen molecular clusters involving 363 patients were identified. Using multivariable analysis, hospitalization was not significantly associated with clustering (OR 1.06, 95%CI 0.75-1.50, p=0.575). Subjects aged >65 years old (OR 0.60; 95%CI:0.37-0.95; p=0.016) and non-Italian born patients (OR 0.56; 95%CI:0.41-0.76; p<0.001) were running a lower risk of clustering. Conversely, HIV co-infected patients (OR 1.88, 95%CI:1.20-2.95, p=0.006) and those with MDR TB (OR 2.50, 95%CI:1.46-4.25, p=0.001) were significantly more likely to be involved in clusters.

Conclusion: In our cohort, domiciliary treatment was not associated with TB clustering. Expanding domiciliary treatment upon diagnosis appears as an advisable measure to reduce unnecessary costs for the health care system.

Introduction. Tuberculosis (TB) is still a leading cause of illness and death, not only in developing

country but also in industrialized settings. The 4-drugs standard regimen is pivotal in TB treatment and is associated with a rapid reduction in infectivity. During the '60s, it was first demonstrated that segregation in sanatoria did not reduce TB transmission among family close contacts of patients. Actually, the incidence of active tuberculosis and tuberculosis infection was shown to be similar among contacts of patients treated at home or treated in sanatorium.^{1,2} On the other hand, hospitalization of TB patients has led, in past years, to nosocomial epidemics (often due to multidrug resistant strains) involving healthcare workers and HIV-infected subjects.³⁻⁷ More recently, ongoing multi-drug resistant TB transmission within Latvian hospitals was demonstrated combining molecular and epidemiological methods (whilst no epidemiological link was found among clustered drug-susceptible TB cases).⁸ Basing on these evidences, domiciliary treatment is considered safe and appropriate in the vast majority of cases. Nonetheless, the infectivity is unlikely to disappear immediately after therapy is started and hospitalization with strict isolation measures during the early phase of treatment remains a common practice in some high-income settings, where isolation areas and controlled ventilation systems are available. Whether this approach can further reduce the risk of disease dissemination in countries with low TB prevalence merits to be investigated further. The use of molecular epidemiology can be extremely useful in this respect, given its ability to identify unapparent pathway of transmission and to define clusters of patients epidemiologically linked.⁹

Patients and Methods. *Study population:* All culture-confirmed cases of tuberculosis observed between 1995 and 1999 in the metropolitan area of Milan with an available Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) IS6110 analysis were considered in the study. The study was conducted in the urban and suburban area of Milan, comprising an area of more than 5,000,000 inhabitants.

Demographics, clinical characteristics and epidemiological data were obtained from "Istituto Villa Marelli", Milan (the regional reference centre for mycobacterial infection, collecting all strains isolated in the metropolitan area of Milan). Clinical data were integrated with those of patients hospitalized with a diagnosis of tuberculosis at "L.Sacco Hospital" (reference hospital for infectious diseases in Milan). Data were then cross-checked and further integrated with the electronic regional register for infectious diseases notification of Lombardia.

Patients were categorized according to the clinical management during the early phase of treatment, distinguishing two groups: those who were hospitalized

before or soon after the diagnosis and those who received domiciliary treatment since the diagnosis.

Molecular epidemiology methods: RFLP genotyping was performed in the mycobacterial laboratory of the Infectious Diseases Clinic of Sacco Hospital, Milan. Extraction of DNA from mycobacterial strains and DNA fingerprinting with IS6110 as a probe were performed as follows: after harvesting and killing of mycobacteria previously cultured on a Lowenstein-Jensen medium, 5 µg of genomic DNA was digested with PvuII. DNA fragments were separated by electrophoresis on agarose gels, denatured, and blotted onto nylon membrane by Southern Blotting. Hybridization was performed overnight at 42°C on PvuII-restricted genomic DNA with a chemiluminescence-labeled 521-bp IS6110 fragment and pgrs on IndiIII-restricted genomic DNA. Presence of DNA probe was assessed with ECL detection system (Amersham), and dendrogram of similarity were obtained comparing RFLP fingerprint with Bionumerics software (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium).

A group of two or more *M. tuberculosis* strains, isolated from different patients, with a RFLP pattern similarity of 100% was considered as a cluster, thus epidemiologically meaning a recent transmission (not necessarily direct). Other RFLP fingerprints were considered ungrouped and classified as sporadic cases. Cluster composed by low-copy-number strains, i.e. strains with a number of IS6110 copies ≤ 5 , were further studied using 12-loci mycobacterial interspersed repetitive units (MIRU) analysis, as described elsewhere,^{10,11} in order to confirm or exclude the clustering. Clusters involving more than 5 patients were defined as "macroclusters".

Statistical analysis: Uni- and multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to assess factors associated with clustering. The following factors were tested: age, gender, country of birth, hospitalization at diagnosis, site of infection (pulmonary *versus* extrapulmonary or disseminated), sputum smear examination, case definition (new *versus* relapse/failure), year of diagnosis, drug-resistance, HIV co-infection. A separate category was created for any missing data. All variables associated with the outcome with a $P < 0.20$ at univariate analysis and hospitalization at diagnosis were included in the multivariable analysis.

Sensitivity analyses were conducted excluding three large clusters, related to nosocomial epidemics among HIV-co infected subjects, and excluding MDR-TB, to ensure that these patient populations were not overtly influencing the results.

The analysis was carried out using SAS/STAT statistic software v8.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, USA)

and all P-values presented are two-sided. A P-value <0.05 indicated conventional statistical significance.

Results. *Patients characteristics and clustering:*

Between January 1st 1995 and December 31st 1999, 1139 cases of culture-confirmed TB were reported in the metropolitan area of Milan and had an available clinical isolate for genotypic RFLP analysis. Their characteristics are shown in **Table 1**. Among enrolled patients, 392 (34.4%) had been hospitalized before or soon after diagnosis and 405 (35.6%) had received domiciliary treatment since the diagnosis. In 392 (30%)

Table 1. Patients' characteristics (study population *versus* general population).

| Characteristics | Study population N=1139 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Age [Mean (SD)]* | 45 (19.9) |
| Female gender [N (%)] | 425 (37.4) |
| Country of Birth | |
| Italy | 773 (67.9) |
| Other | 366 (32.1) |
| North Africa | 39 (3.4) |
| Sub-Saharan Africa | 55 (4.8) |
| South America | 108 (9.5) |
| Asia | 50 (4.4) |
| Eastern Europe | 17 (1.5) |
| Other | 3 (0.3) |
| Unknown not-Italian | 94 (8.2) |
| Year of diagnosis | |
| 1995 | 153 (13.4) |
| 1996 | 258 (22.6) |
| 1997 | 160 (14) |
| 1998 | 307 (26.9) |
| 1999 | 261 (22.9) |
| Clinical management [N (%)] | |
| Hospitalization | 392 (34.4) |
| Domiciliary treatment | 405 (35.6) |
| Unknown | 342 (30) |
| Case definition [N (%)] | |
| New | 969 (85.1) |
| Failure/relapse | 26 (2.3) |
| Unknown | 144 (12.6) |
| Site of infection [N (%)] | |
| Pulmonary | 695 (61) |
| Extrapulmonary | 138 (12.1) |
| Pulmonary & extrapulmonary | 32 (2.8) |
| Unknown | 274 (24.1) |
| AFB smear** [N (%)] | |
| Positive | 332 (45.7) |
| Negative | 147 (20.2) |
| Unknown | 248 (34.1) |
| Multi drug resistance [N (%)] | 73 (6.4) |
| HIV-Ab positivity [N (%)] | 119 (10.4) |

AFB, acid-fast bacilli; HIV-Ab, Human Immunodeficiency Virus Antibody; SD, standard deviation; N, number. Age at diagnosis available for 670 patients among the study population and for 768 patients among the general population. Sputum smear microscopic examination for acid-fast bacilli available for 727 patients with pulmonary involvement among the study population and for 821 patients with pulmonary involvement among the general population.

cases, the information about initial clinical management could not be retrieved.

At RFLP analysis, 363 (31.9%) isolates were involved in 115 clusters, whereas 776 (68.1%) isolates had a sporadic pattern. The rate of genetic diversity was therefore 0.78 (891 different patterns among 1139 patients). The dimension of the clusters ranged from 2 to 13 subjects and 104 patients (9.1%) belonged to 13 macroclusters.

Impact of hospitalization: The majority of clusters (76/115 = 66.1%) involved at least one hospitalized patient and 26/115 (22.6%) were composed only by hospitalized patients. The proportion of patients hospitalized at diagnosis remained stable over time, ranging from 35.9% in 1995 to 36.4% in 1999 (Chi-square for trend P=0.744), whilst the proportion of patients involved in clusters decreased from 46.4% in 1995 to 26.4% in 1999 (Chi square for trend P<0.001).

Using univariate logistic regression analysis, hospitalization was not significantly associated with clustering (OR 1.23, 95%CI 0.91-1.67, p=0.368). Conversely, Italian patients, HIV co-infected subjects and those diagnosed with TB in earlier years were running a significantly higher risk of clustering (see **Table 2**).

Using multivariable analysis, hospitalized patients were confirmed not to run a higher risk of clustering than patients who received domiciliary treatment since the diagnosis (OR 1.06, 95%CI 0.75-1.50, p=0.575), independently of other possible confounders. Conversely, HIV co-infection (OR 1.88, 95%CI 1.20-2.95, p=0.006) and MDR TB (OR 2.50, 95%CI 1.46-4.25, p=0.001) were significantly associated with risk of clustering. Moreover, subjects aged >65 years old (OR 0.60; 95%CI 0.37-0.95; p=0.016) and non-Italian born patients (OR 0.56; 95%CI 0.41-0.76; p<0.001) were less likely to be involved in clusters.

Sensitivity analysis: A sensitivity analysis was carried out excluding three large clusters (25 patients), which were related to nosocomial epidemics of multi-drug resistant TB occurred in the hospitals of Milan city area between 1993 and 1997 and mainly involving Italian HIV-co infected subjects.

After the exclusion of these patients, hospitalization was not significantly associated with clustering (unadjusted OR 1.14, 95%CI 0.84-1.55, p=0.887). Using multivariable analysis, hospitalization was confirmed not to be significantly associated with clustering (OR 1.07, 95%CI 0.76-1.52, p=0.772), independently of age, nationality, year of diagnosis, TB drug susceptibility and HIV-co infection.

Similar results were obtained in a sensitivity analysis performed only on 1059 patients with drug-susceptible TB (data not shown).

Table 2: Risk of clustering. Uni- and multivariable logistic regression analyses

| Variables | Univariable | | | Multivariable | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|---------------|-----------|--------|
| | OR | 95%CI | P | OR | 95%CI | P |
| Age | | | | | | |
| < 65 years old | 1 | - | | 1 | - | |
| >65 years old | 0.62 | 0.40-0.94 | 0.018 | 0.60 | 0.37-0.95 | 0.016 |
| Unknown | 1.01 | 0.78-1.32 | 0.083 | 1.08 | 0.69-1.67 | 0.133 |
| Female gender | 0.80 | 0.62-1.04 | 0.102 | Dropped | | |
| Non-Italian born | 0.62 | 0.47-0.82 | 0.001 | 0.56 | 0.41-0.76 | <0.001 |
| Pulmonary involvement | | | | Not entered | | |
| No | 1 | - | | | | |
| Yes | 1.22 | 0.81-1.83 | 0.830 | | | |
| Unknown | 1.40 | 0.89-2.19 | 0.150 | | | |
| Case classification | | | | Not entered | | |
| New Case | 1 | - | | | | |
| Relapse or Failure | 1.59 | 0.72-3.50 | 0.265 | | | |
| Unknown | 1.02 | 0.70-1.48 | 0.425 | | | |
| AFB smear | | | | Not entered | | |
| Negative | 1 | - | | | | |
| Positive | 1.08 | 0.71-1.64 | 0.733 | | | |
| Unknown | 1.04 | 0.67-1.62 | 0.992 | | | |
| Hospitalization | | | | | | |
| No | 1 | - | | 1 | | |
| Yes | 1.23 | 0.91-1.67 | 0.368 | 1.06 | 0.75-1.50 | 0.575 |
| Unknown | 1.20 | 0.88-1.64 | 0.577 | 0.91 | 0.59-1.41 | 0.583 |
| Multi drug resistance | 3.55 | 2.18-5.78 | <0.001 | 2.50 | 1.46-4.25 | 0.001 |
| HIV-Ab positivity | 2.50 | 1.70-3.67 | <0.001 | 1.88 | 1.20-2.95 | 0.006 |
| Year of diagnosis | | | | | | |
| 1999 | 1 | - | | 1 | - | |
| 1995 | 2.41 | 1.58-3.67 | <0.001 | 1.75 | 1.12-2.73 | 0.034 |
| 1996 | 1.25 | 0.85-1.83 | 0.365 | 1.13 | 0.76-1.67 | 0.370 |
| 1997 | 1.81 | 1.18-2.75 | 0.069 | 1.76 | 1.15-2.71 | 0.022 |
| 1998 | 0.98 | 0.67-1.43 | 0.003 | 0.93 | 0.63-1.36 | 0.012 |

AFB, acid-fast bacilli; CI, confidence interval; HIV-Ab, Human Immunodeficiency Virus Antibody; OR, odds ratio. Odds ratios in multivariable model are adjusted for the other variable in the model. Association between clustering and sputum smear microscopic examination for acid-fast bacilli assessed only for patients with pulmonary involvement (N=727)

Discussion. Since the introduction of effective chemotherapy, domiciliary treatment was demonstrated to be as effective as hospital treatment. A large randomized controlled trial conducted in Madras (presently known as Chennai, India) demonstrated that domiciliary treatment was not associated with an increased risk of transmission to the household contacts of patients suffering from TB, as compared with a 1-year segregation in sanatorium.^{1,2} The study, however, was conducted in a setting of high prevalence of TB, as suggested by the very low proportion (30%) of household contacts with negative tuberculin skin testing at baseline and by the high rate (>20%) of skin test conversion before discharge from sanatorium of the index case.¹ Moreover, the treatment used in the study was far from being as effective as today, given the high proportion of patients with positive sputum cultures after 1 year of treatment and the high rate of relapse in 5 years.² Therefore, the findings of this study are not immediately generalizable to the present situation of many high-income countries. Subsequent studies conducted in high-income settings during the 70's demonstrated that a policy of early hospital discharge was not associated with TB transmission,

thus suggesting that patients can safely be discharged after 2 weeks of treatment, even if sputum smear examination is still positive.¹²⁻¹⁴ However, the comparison of the classic Madras study between institutional and domiciliary treatment since the diagnosis has never been repeated in countries with low TB prevalence, where strict respiratory isolation of patients (single rooms, controlled ventilation system with negative pressure) during the first days of therapy is possible.

In our cohort, all the analyses consistently demonstrated that domiciliary treatment was not associated with TB clustering. Short-course hospitalization was not associated with any advantage in terms of reduced TB clustering. This finding was confirmed even when factors known to influence TB transmission, such as HIV co-infection^{15,16} and being local-born^{15,17-20}, were taken into account. Moreover, a substantial number of the identified clusters of transmission were mainly or completely composed by hospitalized patients, thus suggesting that nosocomial TB transmission could be an issue. Taken all together, our findings support the indication to limit hospitalization to patient for whom there is a clinical

indication to hospital treatment, such as patient conditions, the risk of low adherence, the likelihood of infection with multidrug-resistant organism or cohabitation with subjects with high-risk of TB disease (i.e., children <4 years old).

A high rate of clustering was, however, demonstrated and about one third of patients were shown to be involved in clusters. This finding is consistent with the hypothesis that active transmission is a common phenomenon also in countries with low TB prevalence, as previously reported.^{21,22} Much of the transmission is likely to have occurred in the period preceding the diagnosis, when the patients were unaware of any infectiousness, or to have been caused by patients with low adherence to prescribed treatment. Prevention of TB transmission should be therefore focused on these two issues. Human and economical resources should be devoted to early diagnosis and prompt treatment of new TB cases and to active tracing of patients missing scheduled visits.

Despite current national and international guidelines support out-patient management, a significative proportion of the patients in our cohort was admitted to hospital. This finding confirms the results from a previous national survey, demonstrating that the majority of TB patients in Italy are hospitalized.²³ An excess in hospitalization is likely to lead to unnecessary costs for the Health National System and to a possible higher risk of nosocomial transmission. Therefore, a change in this policy is advisable, because routine hospitalization of all patients with pulmonary TB is still practiced in many points of care. Updates of hospital guidelines and educational programmes addressed to health staff dealing with TB are constantly needed.

The present study has some limitations that should be acknowledged. First, no information was collected on standard epidemiological surveillance (namely, on the rate of tuberculin skin test conversion among contacts). This may have led to underestimate the risk

of TB transmission, because most infections are followed by a variable latency period. However, molecular clustering can reasonably approximate epidemiologic clustering and recent transmission in low-incidence populations.⁹ Moreover, since most immunocompetent individuals infected with *M. tuberculosis* remain disease-free during the course of their lives, focusing the study only on active cases of TB can be more informative about the effect of public health policy on the TB epidemic. Second, given the non-randomized, observational nature of the study, we cannot exclude that patients receiving domiciliary treatment were those judged to be less infectious. Nonetheless, the results of our study were confirmed even adjusting for the measurable markers of infectiousness (such as sputum smear positivity).

In any case, a strength of the present study is the large number of the patient involved, which allowed to detect clusters that, in a narrower study, could have resulted to be smaller or even unnoticed. In addition, to our knowledge this is the first time that molecular epidemiology is used to evaluate the impact of the isolation policy on the risk of TB transmission. Such a novel approach could be applied in other settings, in order to evaluate the effect of changes in health care policy on *M. tuberculosis* circulation.

Conclusion. Our results suggest that hospitalization of TB patients is not associated with a reduction in clustering risk. Expanding domiciliary treatment upon diagnosis is an advisable measure to reduce unnecessary costs for the health care system.

Acknowledgements. This work has partially been funded by TB PAN-NET (www.tbpannet.org), which is sponsored by the European Commission's Seventh Framework Programme for Research (FP7-HEALTH-2007-B), and by the "Programma per la Ricerca Finalizzata – Convenzione n. 76", which is sponsored by the Italian Ministry of Health.

References:

1. Andrews RH, Devadatta S, Fox W, Radhakrishna S, Ramakrishnan CV, Velu S. Prevalence of tuberculosis among close family contacts of tuberculous patients in South India, and influence of segregation of the patient on early attack rate. *Bull World Health Organ* 1960;23:463-510. PMID:13683486 PMID:PMC2555612
2. Kamat SR, Dawson JJ, Devadatta S et al. A controlled study of the influence of segregation of tuberculous patients for one year on the attack rate of tuberculosis in a 5-year period in close family contacts in South India. *Bull World Health Organ* 1966;34:517-532. PMID:5296379 PMID:PMC2475993
3. Moro ML, Gori A, Errante I et al. An outbreak of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis involving HIV-infected patients of two hospitals in Milan, Italy. Italian Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis Outbreak Study Group. *AIDS* 1998;12:1095-1102. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00002030-199809000-00018> PMID:9662207
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Nosocomial transmission of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis to health-care workers and HIV-infected patients in an urban hospital--Florida. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1990;39:718-722. PMID:2120566
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis outbreak on an HIV ward--Madrid, Spain, 1991-1995. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1996;45:330-333. PMID:8602134
6. Di Perri G, Cruciani M, Danzi MC et al. Nosocomial epidemic of active tuberculosis among HIV-infected patients. *Lancet* 1989;2:1502-1504. PMID:2574778
7. Di Perri G, Cadeo GP, Castelli F et al. Transmission of HIV-associated tuberculosis to healthcare workers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1993;14:67-72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/646685> PMID:8440882
8. Nodieva A, Jansone I, Broka L, Pole I, Skenders G, Baumanis V.

- Recent nosocomial transmission and genotypes of multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2010;14:427-433. PMID:20202300
9. Mathema B, Kurepina NE, Bifani PJ, Kreiswirth BN. Molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis: current insights. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2006;19:658-685. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00061-05> PMID:17041139 PMCID:PMC1592690
 10. Supply P, Mazars E, Lesjean S, Vincent V, Gicquel B, Locht C. Variable human minisatellite-like regions in the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genome. *Mol Microbiol* 2000;36:762-771. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.01905.x> PMID:10844663
 11. Supply P, Lesjean S, Savine E, Kremer K, van SD, Locht C. Automated high-throughput genotyping for study of global epidemiology of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* based on mycobacterial interspersed repetitive units. *J Clin Microbiol* 2001;39:3563-3571. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.10.3563-3571.2001> PMID:11574573 PMCID:PMC88389
 12. Abeles H. Early hospital discharge of tuberculous patients with sputum containing acid-fast bacilli on microscopic examination. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1973;108:975-977. PMID:4741890
 13. Gunnels JJ, Bates JH, Swindoll H. Infectivity of sputum-positive tuberculous patients on chemotherapy. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1974;109:323-330. PMID:4205432
 14. Riley RL, Moodie AS. Infectivity of patients with pulmonary tuberculosis in inner city homes. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1974;110:810-812. PMID:4429275
 15. De Bruyn G, Adams GJ, Teeter LD, Soini H, Musser JM, Graviss EA. The contribution of ethnicity to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strain clustering. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2001;5:633-641. PMID:11469256
 16. FitzGerald JM, Fanning A, Hoepfner V, Hershfield E, Kunimoto D. The molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis in western Canada. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2003;7:132-138. PMID:12588013
 17. Moro ML, Salamina G, Gori A et al. Two-year population-based molecular epidemiological study of tuberculosis transmission in the metropolitan area of Milan, Italy. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2002;21:114-122. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10096-001-0664-2> PMID:11939391
 18. Fok A, Numata Y, Schulzer M, FitzGerald MJ. Risk factors for clustering of tuberculosis cases: a systematic review of population-based molecular epidemiology studies. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2008;12:480-492. PMID:18419882
 19. Hernandez-Garduno E, Kunimoto D, Wang L et al. Predictors of clustering of tuberculosis in Greater Vancouver: a molecular epidemiologic study. *CMAJ* 2002;167:349-352. PMID:12197687 PMCID:PMC117847
 20. Heldal E, Dahle UR, Sandven P et al. Risk factors for recent transmission of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Eur Respir J* 2003;22:637-642. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.03.00019103> PMID:14582917
 21. Alland D, Kalkut GE, Moss AR et al. Transmission of tuberculosis in New York City. An analysis by DNA fingerprinting and conventional epidemiologic methods. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 330: 1710-1716. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199406163302403> PMID:7993412
 22. Small PM, Hopewell PC, Singh SP et al. The epidemiology of tuberculosis in San Francisco. A population-based study using conventional and molecular methods. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:1703-1709. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199406163302402> PMID:7910661
 23. Nutini S, Fiorenti F, Codecasa LR et al. Hospital admission policy for tuberculosis in pulmonary centres in Italy: a national survey. AIPO Tuberculosis Study Group. Italian Association of Hospital Pulmonologists. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 1999;3:985-991. PMID:10587320