AN INTEGRATED HIGH RESOLUTION MASS SPECTROMETRIC AND INFORMATICS APPROACH FOR THE RAPID IDENTIFICATION OF FLAVONOIDS IN PLANT EXTRACT

Regazzoni L¹, Pedretti A¹, Yeum KJ², Carini M¹, Aldini G^{1*}

¹Dipartimento di Scienze Farmaceutiche "Pietro Pratesi", Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy ²Jean Mayer USDA-Human Research Center on Aging, Tufts University, Boston, USA * giancarlo.aldini@unimi.it

Introduction

The identification and characterization of phenol compounds in plants and manufactured foods represent an important analytical issue, which has blossomed during the last decades.

Currently, HPLC coupled to mass spectrometry by APCI or ESI source represents the most selective analytical technique for the identification and quantification of phenol compounds from plants and foods.

Here we report an integrated approach based on high resolution MS analysis (orbitrap) and database utilization for the rapid identification of plant phenols.
This approach is firstly validated by using a mixture of phenolic standards and then applied for the rapid identification of phenols from *Angelica Keiskei* extract

Overview of the integrated strategy

The LC/UV/ESI-MS analysis represents the first step, which is performed by using an orbitrap as a MS analyzer thus to retrieve accurate monoisotopic mass and isotopic mass distribution of the unknown compounds. The monoisotopic mass is then searched in a database (db) of polyphenols and the output is a list of compounds (**list 1**), which is then filtered on the basis of the chemical class to which the unknown compound belongs, as determined on the basis of the UV-Vis spectrum and on the well established UV absorption bands of flavonoids. For each entry belonging to the filtered list (**list 2**), simulated MS/MS fragments are predicted using the Mass Frontier software and the values are compared with the experimental fragments. The compound at the top of the list identifies the unknown. Final confirmation is then achieved by comparing experimental and simulated isotopic patterns.

Mass accuracy of standard plant polyphenols at four different concentrations (0.1 – 100 µM)



Since in a plant extract the polyphenols are contained in a wide concentration range, we firstly investigated the effect of concentration on mass accuracy, thus to establish the tolerance value to be set in db searching parameters. 1 ppm was selected as a default tolerance value in db searching.

In order to simplify the data mining process of flavonoid databases, a specific plug-in for



Table 2: The method was then applied for the identification of flavonoids contained in an EtOH

extract of Angelica keiskei.

the VEGA ZZ package [1] was developed in C++ programming language. The software is freely available for academic non-profit use at <u>http://www.vegazz.net</u>. Two different flavonoid databases were used; one was created by importing the polyphenols listed in the Phenol-Explorer data base and containing 502 polyphenols [2]; the second was built from 6850 molecules downloaded as 2D MOL files from <u>http://www.metabolome.jp</u> [3]



Table 1: The method was firstly validated by analyzing a mixtures of standards belonging to different polyphenol classes (hydroxycynnamics acids, flavones, flavonols, flavanols, isoflavonoids); Db searching, Uv-Vis data and experimental and predicted MS/MS data for flavonoids and phenolic acid standards are reported in the

Allaci Ul Allyciica Acishci.

Peak	Mono- isotopic mass value	List 1	UV-Vis (nm)	List 2	Matched experimental/ simulated MS/MS	Hit compound
a1	(Da) 354.09510	chlorogenic acid	240, 298 sh, 326	chlorogenic acid	• 163	Chlorogenic Acid
a2	594.15825	 Chrysoeriol 7-O-apiosyl-glucoside Luteolin 7-O-rutinoside Apigenin 6,8-di-C-glucoside Kaempferol 3-O-galactoside 7-O- rhamnoside Kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside 	251, 268, 346	 Chrysoeriol 7-O-apiosyl- glucoside Luteolin 7-O-rutinoside Apigenin 6,8-di-C-glucoside 	 449 287, 449 - 	Luteolin 7-O-rutinoside
а3	464.09565	 Ellagic acid glucoside Myricetin 3-O-rhamnoside Quercetin 3-O-galactoside Ouercetin 3-O-glucoside 	255, 266 sh, 352	 Myricetin 3-O-rhamnoside Quercetin 3-O-galactoside Quercetin 3-O-glucoside 	 - 303 303 	Quercetin 3-O-galactoside Quercetin 3-O-glucoside
a4	448.10084	 6-Hydroxyluteolin 7-O-rhamnoside Luteolin-C-glucoside Kaempferol-O-galactoside Kaempferol-O-glucoside Ouercetin 3-O-rhamnoside 	255, 268 sh, 346	 6-Hydroxyluteolin 7-O- rhamnoside Luteolin-C-glucoside 	• - • 287	Luteolin-C-glucoside
a5	338.15189	 4-Hydroxyderricin 2',4'-Dihydroxy-6'-methoxy-3'- prenylchalcone 2'-Hydroxy-6'-methoxy-4'- prenyloxychalcone Licochalcone C Licochalcone A Crotaramin Bavachinin 4'-Hydroxyisoderricin Falciformin 5-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-6-C- prenylflavanone Tephrinone 5-Methoxy-7-prenyloxyflavanone 6 C Branul & C methylpinocomhrin 	239, 366	 4-Hydroxyderricin 2',4'-Dihydroxy-6'- methoxy-3'-prenylchalcone 2'-Hydroxy-6'-methoxy-4'- prenyloxychalcone Licochalcone C Licochalcone A 	 119, 147, 219, 283 283 283 147, 283 147 	4-Hydroxyderricin
a6	392.19895	 Abyssinone VI Kanzonol C 4,2',4'-Trihydroxy-3',5'- diprenylchalcone 4'-Geranyloxy-4,2'-dihydroxychalcone Xanthoangelol Stipulin Artoindonesianin J Bis(6",6"-dimethyl-4",5"- dihydropyrano) [2",3":4',5'][2",3":4,3]-2'- hydroxychalcone Flemiwallichin E 3'-Geranyl-2',4',6'-trihydroxychalcone J'-Neryl-2',4',6'-trihydroxychalcone Linderachalcone 7-Prenyloxy-8-C-(3-hydroxy-3-methyl- trans-buten-1- yl)flavanone 7,4'-Dihydroxy-6,8-di-C- prenylflavanone Abyssinone IV Glabrol Prostratol F Euchrenone a17 Dorsmanin B 5,7-Dihydroxy-8-C-geranylflavanone 5-Hydroxy-7-prenyloxy-8-C- prenylflavanone 5,7-Dihydroxy-6,8-di-C-prenylflavanone 5,7-Dihydroxy-6,8-di-C-prenylflavanone Kazinol B Hispaglabridin A Lespedezin Ficifolinol Erxtbrabyssin II 	239, 366	 Abyssinone VI Kanzonol C 4,2',4'-Trihydroxy-3',5'- diprenylchalcone 4'-Geranyloxy-4,2'- dihydroxychalcone Xanthoangelol Stipulin Artoindonesianin J Bis(6",6"-dimethyl-4",5"- dihydropyrano)[2",3":4',5'] [2",3":4,3]-2'- hydroxychalcone Flemiwallichin E 3'-Geranyl-2',4',6'- trihydroxychalcone 3'-Neryl-2',4',6'- trihydroxychalcone Linderachalcone 	 283, 337 337 273, 337 269, 273, <u>283</u>, 337 269, 273, <u>283</u>, 337 337 269, 283, 337 269, 283, 337 269, 283, 337 269, 283, 337 - 	Xanthoangelol

Peak	Mono- isotopic mass value (Da)	List 1	UV-Vis (nm)	List 2	Matched experimental/simulated MS/MS fragments	Hit compound
S1	290.07903	 (+)-Catechin (-)-Epicatechin	234, 279	 (+)-Catechin (-)-Epicatechin	 119, 123, 139, 151, 165, 249, 273 119, 123, 139, 151, 165, 249, 273 	(+)-Catechin (-)-Epicatechin
S2	354.09508	chlorogenic acid	240, 298 sh, 326	chlorogenic acid	• 163	chlorogenic acid
S 3	254.05791	7,4'-DihydroxyflavoneChrysinDaidzein	261(sh), 301	• Daidzein	• 119, 121, 137, 227, 237, 199	Daidzein
S 4	302.04265	 6-Hydroxyluteolin Morin Quercetin	256,266(sh)370	MorinQuercetin	 109,137,153,165,247,275,285 109,137,153,165,247,275,285 	Quercetin
S5	272.06847	ButeinNaringenin	288, 331(sh)	Naringenin	• 119, 147,153, 163, 179, 189, 231, 255	Naringenin
S6	270.05282	 Galangin Genistein 7,3',4'-Trihydroxyflavone Apigenin Baicalein 	267, 336	 7,3',4'-Trihydroxyflavone Apigenin Baicalein 	 243 119, 153, 243 243 	Apigenin

Conclusions

The proposed approach represents a new, reliable and potent tool for a facile and rapid identification of plant polyphenols. Moreover, such an approach can be successfully used without a strong background in polyphenol/flavonoid chemistry and MS/MS fragmentation knowledge, thus to greatly facilitate the phytochemical characterization of plant extracts.

a7: xanthangelol b **a8**: xanthangelol f

References:

[1] A. Pedretti, et al. J. Comput. Aided Mol Des. 18 (2004) 167.

[2] V. Neveu, et al. Database (2010) doi: 10.1093/database/bap024.

[3] M. Arita, et al. BioData Min. 1(2008) 7.