

NONFORAGE DIETS AND GOAT METABOLISM

Effects of a Nonforage Diet on Milk Production, Energy and Nitrogen Metabolism in Dairy Goats throughout Lactation

L. Bava, L. Rapetti, G.M. Crovetto¹, A. Tamburini, A. Sandrucci, G. Galassi, G. Succi

Istituto di Zootechnia Generale, Facoltà di Agraria, via Celoria 2, 20133 Milano, Italy

ABSTRACT

The objective of the experiment was to compare a silage-based control diet (C) with a totally forage-free diet (NF) in dairy goats throughout lactation in terms of animal performance and energy utilization.

Eight Saanen goats were divided into two groups and fed diet C or diet NF (commercial blend) which included sunflower meal, cassava, coconut meal and whole cottonseeds as the main ingredients and was characterized by a small particle size and a high crude protein content. In early, mid and late lactation (44, 100 and 219 DIM) the goats were individually tested for DM intake (DMI), digestibility, milk yield and composition, milk renneting properties, rumen and plasma parameters and nitrogen and energy utilization (open circuit respiration chambers).

During early and mid lactation, the NF fed goats had a very high DMI: 2946 and 2915 g/d, respectively. Nevertheless, milk yield was similar for the two treatments: 4369 vs. 4342 and 3882

1 vs. 3841 g/d, for diets C and NF, during the first and second period, respectively. Milk fat content
2 was not statistically different between the two diets. The protein content and rheological
3 parameters were similar for the two diets but the NPN and milk urea level of treatment NF were
4 significantly higher than the control. Rumen ammonia and plasma urea nitrogen were also
5 significantly increased by diet NF, due to its high protein content. Plasma glucose, β -
6 hydroxybutyrate and non-esterified fatty acids and rumen volatile fatty acids were not influenced
7 by dietary treatment.

8 Diet NF significantly decreased energy digestibility (74.5 vs. 65.8%, on average for the three
9 stages of lactation, for C and NF respectively) and had a significantly lower metabolizability
10 (metabolizable energy/intake energy) (66.6 vs 58.0%, on average); however, the efficiency of
11 utilization of ME was unaffected by the diet.

12 In conclusion, goats could be fed a forage-free diet during the entire lactation without detrimental
13 effect on their health and productive performance.

14

15 **(Key words : goat, milk, nonforage diet, energy metabolism)**

16

17 **Abbreviation key:** **ADL** = acid detergent lignin, **C** = control diet, **HP** = heat production, **k_l** =
18 efficiency of utilization of ME for lactation, **ME** = metabolizable energy, **MUN** = milk urea
19 nitrogen, **NF** = nonforage diet, **NFC** = non-fibrous carbohydrates, **PUN** = plasma urea nitrogen.

20

21

INTRODUCTION

22

¹ Corresponding author: G.Matteo Crovetto. e-mail: matteo.crovetto@unimi.it tel.+02.70649437, fax +02.70638083

1 Dietary fiber plays a fundamental role in ruminants to maximize DM intake and stimulate
2 chewing activity and rumen fermentation. In particular, NDF is defined as “effective” when it
3 ensures a good chewing activity, maintaining a satisfactory milk fat content and FCM yield
4 (Grant, 1997). This role of fiber is strongly influenced by the dimensions of the feed particles and
5 their retention time in the rumen (Woodford and Murphy, 1988).

6 Recently, there has been increased interest in by-products in partial substitution of traditional
7 feedstuffs in ruminant feeding. From a nutritional point of view, by-products are included in the
8 ration to supply energy and protein, but are often also characterized by a high fiber content. The
9 fiber of by-products has different physical and chemical properties from forage NDF (Zhu et al.,
10 1997); in particular, its particles have small dimensions and a high density (Firkins, 1997).
11 However, many experiments have shown that a partial substitution in the diet of forage fiber with
12 by-product fiber does not negatively affect rumen activity or milk fat content (Zhu et al., 1997;
13 Grant, 1997).

14 Colenbrander et al. (1991) demonstrated that reducing the size of alfalfa silage particles decreased
15 the chewing activity but did not change milk yield or composition.

16 Sheep and, even more so, goats are the ruminant species most able to adapt to diets with a high
17 content of low quality fiber; moreover, they seem to be less sensitive to the length of fiber
18 particles (Sanz Sampelayo et al., 1997; Lanza et al., 1996).

19 Some work has shown that milk fat content in the goat is not necessarily decreased by a reduction
20 of the dietary forage fiber (Rapetti et al., 1995) or by the reduction of the fiber particle size (Sanz
21 Sampelayo et al., 1998), but it is more influenced by energy intake (Morand-Fehr et al., 1991).

22 Reducing forage particle size increases forage DM intake, particularly if forage quality is poor,
23 due to a shorter retention time of the particles in the rumen (Woodford and Murphy, 1988), but

1 simultaneously the higher turn-over decreases the energy digestibility of the forage because of the
2 lack of time available for fiber digestion. On the other hand, van der Honing (1975) found that, in
3 comparison with cows fed long forage, cows fed pelleted forage compensated for the higher fecal
4 energy losses with lower methane production and heat expenditure.

5 The objective of the present work was to compare a diet based on silage with a diet totally free of
6 forage in lactating goats. Milk production, energy and nitrogen utilization, rumen fermentation
7 parameters and some plasma metabolites were recorded at the beginning, middle and end of
8 lactation.

9

10 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

11

12 **Animals and Diets**

13 Eight second parity Saanen goats with similar kidding date and 150-d milk yield of the previous
14 lactation, were assigned randomly to one of the two following dietary treatments: a silage-based
15 forage diet (control, **C**) and a nonforage diet (test, **NF**).

16 Each group of four goats was fed its own assigned diet throughout the entire lactation, after a
17 gradual adaptation during the last 20 days of the dry period. Diet C was balanced to meet the
18 requirements for lactating goats according to Morand-Fehr and Sauvant (1988) and AFRC (1998)
19 for calcium and phosphorus. Diet NF consisted of a commercial blend of raw materials.

20 The composition and characteristics of the two diets are reported in Table 1. There is a great
21 difference between the two diets in terms of DM, CP, ADF, acid detergent lignin (**ADL**) and non-
22 fibrous carbohydrates (**NFC**) content.

1 The diets were offered for ad libitum intake allowing for about 5%orts twice daily at 0730 and
2 1830h. Goats were milked twice daily at 0800 and 1900h, by machine.

3 The trial was divided into three experimental periods of 8 d during early, mid and late lactation
4 with, on average, 46 and 42, 102 and 98, 221 and 217 DIM for treatments C and NF, respectively.

5 The average BW of the control and the test goats during the first, the second and the third
6 experimental period were 52.0 and 47.5, 57.8 and 53.9, 61.1 and 58.6 kg, respectively.

7 The goats were confined in two free stalls on wheat straw bedding. During each experimental
8 period the animals were allocated to individual metabolic cages to determine apparent
9 digestibility (8 days of collection). During the collection period the goats were confined, for 4 d,
10 in pairs, in a respiration chamber in order to measure three 24-h cycles of respiratory exchanges.

11 Heat production was thus computed indirectly, with an open circuit respiration chamber system
12 described by Crovetto (1984). Particularly, daily oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide and
13 methane production were determined measuring the volume of air circulated in the system in 24 h
14 (and referred to standard conditions) and multiplying it by the difference between the relative
15 concentrations of the gases measured continuously in the ingoing and in the outgoing air. The
16 goats were adapted to metabolic cages for 2 wk weeks before each experimental period and
17 weighed before and after each digestibility trial.

18

19 **Experimental Procedures and Laboratory Analyses**

20 Diets, orts and feces samples for each goat were weighed and sampled daily during the three
21 experimental periods, pooled by period and animal and frozen for analyses. Samples were dried at
22 55°C in a forced air-oven and ground through a 1-mm screen in a mill (Pulverisette-14,

1 FRITSCH, Idar-Oberstein, Germany), prior to analysis. The dried diets were manually sieved
2 with a shaker with eight screens (UNI series) to determine their particle distributions
3 Dry samples were analyzed for DM, ash, OM, N, and ether extract according to the Italian
4 Scientific Association for Animal Production recommendations (ASPA, Commissione
5 Valutazione degli Alimenti, 1980). The NDF, ADF, and ADL were determined sequentially
6 according to the procedure of Van Soest et al. (1991). Carbon content was assessed by means of
7 an automatic elemental analyzer (NC 2100 Soil Analyzer, ThermoQuest, Milan, Italy).

8 Urine was collected daily in a plastic bottle containing an adequate amount of a solution of H₂SO₄
9 10% (v/v) to acidify the urine of each goat. Samples of acidified urine were used for N and energy
10 determinations. The determination of chemically bound CO₂ (required to calculate total CO₂
11 production and C balance) was performed on urine samples mixed with formalin (20 ml/l urine)
12 and collected at the end of each period.

13 Immediately after milking, the individual milk yield was weighed and, after mixing, a sample of
14 10% was put in a bottle with 20 mg of potassium dichromate as a preservative and stored at 4°C
15 prior to analyses.

16 The C content of the urine and milk samples was determined immediately after the calorimetric
17 determination, by passing the gas stream from the bomb calorimeter through a suitable set of
18 absorption tubes to bind the CO₂ (Nijkamp, 1969, 1971).

19 The gross energy of all samples was determined with an adiabatic calorimeter (IKA® 4000,
20 Staufen, Germany); urine and milk samples were placed in polyethylene bags, freeze-dried and
21 then burnt in the calorimeter (Nijkamp, 1969, 1971). Milk energy secretion was also corrected in
22 function of retained energy as follows: corrected milk energy secretion = milk energy + (1.05
23 positive retained energy) (AFRC, 1998).

1 Total N, NPN, casein, and fat content (Gerber method) of milk was determined according to the
2 Italian Scientific Association for Animal Production recommendations (ASPA, 1995).
3 Particularly, NPN was determined by precipitation of milk protein by addition of a 12% TCA-
4 solution and subsequent filtration of the milk protein precipitated and determination of the N
5 content. A similar procedure was followed for the casein determination, with an acetic-acetate
6 buffer.

7 Milk samples were also analyzed for acidity, pH, lactose (Milkoscan 605, Foss, Hillerod,
8 Denmark), SCC (Fossomatic 360, Foss, Hillerod, Denmark) expressed as a linear score
9 ($LS = \log_2(SCC/12,500)$ and urea (**MUN**, milk urea nitrogen) (CL10, Eurochem, Italy).

10 The evaluation of the milk renneting properties was determined utilizing a dynamic rheological
11 instrument (Tromb-elastograph, Hellige, Freiburg in Breisgau, Germany) according to the method
12 of Tarodo De La Fuente et al. (1969). Three rheological parameters were considered: coagulation
13 time (r), renneting time (k_{10} : time to reach the curd firmness corresponding to a distance of 10
14 mm between the two traces on the photographic chart), and curd firmness (E_{30} : curd firmness 30
15 min after the coagulation time).

16 Total heat production (**HP**) was determined by indirect calorimetry from the respiration
17 exchanges using Brouwer's (1965) equation: $HP \text{ (kJ/d)} = 16.18 O_2 + 5.02 CO_2 - 5.99 N - 2.17$
18 CH_4 , where gas volumes (L/d) are expressed at standard conditions and N (g/d) is the urinary
19 nitrogen. The requirement for metabolizable energy for maintenance was assumed to be 438
20 $\text{kJ/BW}^{0.75}$ (AFRC, 1998).

21 At the end of the three experimental periods, blood and rumen fluid samples were collected from
22 each goat.

1 Jugular blood samples were taken before the morning feeding and 4 h after feeding. Blood was
2 sampled in 10-ml tubes treated with EDTA or Li-eparine, immediately centrifuged for plasma
3 separation and stored at -20°C . Plasma samples with EDTA were used for determination of
4 glucose (by an enzymatic-colorimetric method Peridochrom®Glucose, Roche, Milan, Italy) and
5 β -hydroxybutyrate (BHBA) (β -hydroxybutyrate, Sigma Diagnostics, St. Louis, MO, USA),
6 samples with Li-eparine for non esterefied fatty acids (NEFA) (NEFA Quick “BMY” Sigma
7 Diagnostics, St. Louis, MO, USA) and urea (**PUN**, plasma urea nitrogen) (Urea Nitrogen 535-A,
8 Sigma Diagnostics, St. Louis, MO, USA).

9 Rumen fluid was sampled by stomach tube before the morning feeding and 4 h and 7 h after
10 feeding. Samples were analyzed for pH, N-NH₃ (by direct titration with the Kjeltex Auto 1030
11 Analyzer, Höganäs, Sweden) and VFA using a gas chromatograph (Carlo Erba 5300 Mega Series,
12 Milan, Italy) with a 0.32-mm i.d. column that was 25 m in length and packed with Fused Silicia
13 and Mega Acid (Mega, Milan, Italy) as the stationary phase. The carrier gas was N₂ at 50 KPa.
14 Injector and flame ionization detector temperatures were 180°C and 210°C , respectively. The
15 temperature was programmed from 110°C to 180°C at $10^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$.

16

17 **Statistical Analyses**

18 Data were statistically analyzed using the Mixed Procedure of SAS (1996) with the repeated
19 statement (Littell et al., 1998), using the model

$$20 \quad Y_{ijk} = \mu + T_i + G_{ij} + P_k + (T \times P)_{ik} + e_{ijk}$$

21 where

22 Y_{ijk} = response at time k on goat j in treatment group i;

23 μ = overall mean;

- 1 T_i = fixed effect of treatment ($i = C, NF$);
2 G_{ij} = random effect of goat j in treatment group i ;
3 P_k = fixed effect of time k ($k = \text{period 1, period 2, period 3}$);
4 $(T \times P)_{ik}$ = fixed interaction effect of treatment i with time k ;
5 e_{ijk} = random error at time k on animal j in treatment i .

6 The compound symmetric covariance structure using the repeated statement was used in the
7 Mixed Procedure because it provided the best fit according to the Schwarz Bayesian criterion
8 (Littell et al. 1998).

9 In the statistical analysis of milk production the daily milk production of the previous standard
10 lactation was tested as covariate, but it was not considered in the model because statistically not
11 significant. The statistical analyses of rumen fermentation parameters and blood metabolites
12 were performed using the average value of the different sampling time.

13 All results are reported as least squares means.

14

15 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

16

17 **Diets, feed intake and digestibility**

18 Considering the particle size (Figure 1) for diets C and NF we registered a proportion of 39.5 and
19 22.6% of 4 mm and greater particles and 37.0 and 51.2% of 0.75 mm and smaller particles,
20 respectively. The biggest particles in diet NF consisted of cassava slices and whole cottonseeds.
21 As previously stated (Table 1), diets C and NF differed substantially in several parameters.
22 DM intake ($\text{g}/\text{BW}^{0.75}$) (Table 2) was increased by NF treatment in periods I (135 vs. 164, $P <$
23 0.05) and II (126 vs. 147, $P < 0.05$). Comparing actual DMI (g/d) with the data predicted from the

1 equation proposed by Sauvant et al. (1991) which takes into account the milk yield and the
2 bodyweight, the actual DMI for diet NF, in the first two periods, was significantly higher (+21%
3 on average, $P < 0.01$). The higher feed intake registered with the NF diet can presumably be
4 ascribed to the lower retention time of this diet in the gastrointestinal tract, because of its small
5 bulk-effect and the low digestibility of its fibrous components (van der Honing, 1975).

6 As expected, the goats fed the NF diet had a significantly higher water intake to compensate for
7 the lower moisture content of this diet in comparison with the control.

8 Apparent digestibility was almost always significantly lower (with the exception of ether extract
9 and NFC) for diet NF in comparison with the control. A possible explanation for the higher
10 digestibility of the ether extract in the NF diet is that the ether extract of diet NF had a higher
11 proportion of highly digestible lipids (triglycerides) in comparison with diet C. Nitrogen
12 digestibility was not significantly decreased in the NF diet. The ADF was digested to a much
13 lower extent in diet NF than diet C, and it appeared to be the main factor responsible for the
14 significant decrease of DM and OM digestibility in the nonforage diet. The reduction of DM
15 digestibility with increasing ADF content in the diet for lactating goats is confirmed by Santini et
16 al. (1992).

17 We also calculated the digestibility of OM in the two diets from the digestibility coefficients of
18 the single feeds tabulated by Andrieu et al. (1988). In comparison with the values obtained from
19 this method, the experimental data were slightly lower for diet C (78.9 vs. 75.6%, for tabulated
20 and experimental mean values) and similar for diet NF (66.1 vs. 67.1%). This suggests that: 1) the
21 decrease of OM digestibility in the control diet could be attributed to the higher feed intake and
22 rumen turn-over of our goats as compared to the wethers used for the digestibility trials by INRA

1 and 2) OM digestibility of diet NF was mainly limited by the nature of the fiber rather than by the
2 level of feed intake.

3

4 **Energy and nitrogen utilization**

5 The daily energy balances of the goats are summarized in Table 3. In comparison with diet C, diet
6 NF had a significantly higher energy intake (because of the higher DM intake), but concomitantly
7 higher fecal energy losses: in fact, energy digestibility was 7-10 percentage points lower than the
8 control. Urine energy losses were significantly higher in treatment NF, due to the higher CP
9 content of this diet. In contrast, when expressed as a percentage of the gross energy intake,
10 methane production of diet C diet was higher in the first two periods: this result can be related to
11 the higher content of digestible fiber in diet C in comparison to diet NF. The metabolizable
12 energy (**ME**) intake of the two diets was similar, but considering ME as a proportion of the gross
13 energy intake (metabolizability = q), the NF treatment had significantly lower values (on average:
14 66.6 vs. 58.0%). This is consistent with the findings of van der Honing (1975) who registered q
15 values of rations with pelleted forage 8-9 percentage points lower than rations with long forage.

16 The respiratory quotients obtained in the experiment for C and NF treatments in periods I, II and
17 III were respectively: 1.05 vs. 1.03; 1.09 vs. 1.09; 1.10 vs. 1.09. They confirm the values
18 registered in previous experiments (Crovetto et al., 1994) for mid and late lactation, while the
19 lower values of early lactation are consistent with the lower body energy retention.

20 Considering HP as a percentage of the gross energy intake, diet NF determined lower heat
21 expenditure by the animals (on average: 36.4 vs. 31.2%). This is likely to be ascribed, on one
22 hand, to the lower energy cost of chewing for diet NF, due to its smaller average particle size and,
23 on the other hand, to the higher proportion of ME from fats as compared to diet C. Lachica et al.

1 (1994) found that the energy cost of eating accounted for 1-5% of the ME ingested. However, the
2 lower heat production of diet NF becomes negligible if one considers this parameter as a
3 proportion of the ME.

4 Milk energy, retained energy and milk energy corrected were similar in the two treatments.

5 Table 3 also reports the energy content of the two diets. ME contents were higher in diet C as
6 compared to diet NF. The efficiency of utilization of ME for milk production (k_l) was similar in
7 the two treatments and in agreement with that obtained by Aguilera et al. (1990) with Granadina
8 goats. In the third period k_l increased considerably, but this is presumably due to the fact that the
9 ME requirement for maintenance assumed probably overestimated, at this stage of lactation, the
10 real maintenance requirement of the animals. The lower maintenance requirement of the animals
11 fed the NF diet in comparison with control, is counterbalanced by the negative influence that the
12 lower digestibility and metabolizability of this diet exerts on k_l . It is in fact well known that k_l
13 decreases with decreasing q values.

14 The carbon balance of the animals during the experiment (Table 4) show the same trend during
15 lactation and the same differences between treatments as observed for energy: in particular, diet
16 NF had a higher intake and feces/urine excretion, while no significant differences could be seen
17 for methane, carbon dioxide, milk carbon or in terms of carbon retained in the body tissues.

18 Considering the nitrogen balance (Table 4), diet NF, due to its higher nitrogen content in
19 comparison with the control, led to a greater N intake, but concomitantly higher fecal and urinary
20 losses; as a result the two diets, despite the different protein content, gave similar milk N yield
21 and N retention, as found by Badamana and Sutton (1992).

22

1 **Milk production**

2 Table 5 reports the main productive results of the goats in the experiment. Raw milk yield was
3 rather high, especially in the first period (over 4.3 kg/d) and did not differ significantly between
4 treatments. This is consistent with the results obtained by Sanz Sampelayo et al. (1998) in
5 Granadina goats fed long or pelleted alfalfa hay and with those of Lanza et al. (1996) in sheep fed
6 traditional or complete, pelleted diets.

7 Milk fat content was not statistically different between the two diets. However, it has to be
8 underlined the high absolute values obtained with treatment NF, particularly if one considers that
9 the diet was totally forage-free and with a small average particle size. This result confirms the
10 findings of previous work (Lanza et al., 1996; Sanz Sampelayo et al., 1998) where diets with
11 totally pelleted forages increased the milk fat percentage as compared to more traditional diets. In
12 our case, the increase in milk fat could at least partially be explained by the higher fat content of
13 the NF diet (3.2 vs. 5.6%, on DM).

14 Milk protein content was not statistically different between treatments. In the first period of the
15 experiment, NF diet registered a higher milk NPN. This should probably be ascribed to the higher
16 N content of the NF diet in comparison with the control. In fact, the milk urea content was
17 strongly increased ($P < 0.001$) by NF treatment throughout the trial.

18 Considering the rheological properties, the data obtained fit with the trend described for these
19 parameters during lactation by Coulon (1994) and indicate that both milks were suitable for
20 cheese making. In particular, NF treatment did not decrease the milk clotting aptitude. These
21 results are important since most goat milk is destined for cheese production.

22

1 **Rumen and plasma parameters**

2 The data reported in Table 6 show that diet NF determined a significant decrease of rumen pH in
3 all periods. However, the average rumen pH caused by diet NF never fell below 6.2, a value
4 which can be considered sufficiently high to maintain normal rumen fermentation. Ammonia
5 nitrogen was the only parameter significantly higher in diet NF in comparison with diet C
6 throughout lactation, due to its higher protein content; the data registered for the control diet are
7 in agreement with those obtained in other research (Sahlu et al., 1993).

8 Considering the trend for some rumen parameters at 0-4-7 hours from feeding (Figure 2) it can be
9 noticed that acetate and propionate increased after feeding, as expected, while pH and ammonia
10 tended to decrease immediately after feeding and then to rise again, in agreement with Badamana
11 and Sutton (1992); in particular, NF diet always had lower pH values and higher values for
12 ammonia, as compared to C.

13 The blood parameters (Table 6) indicate that the two diets provided enough energy to the animals
14 for their production level and consequently the values of the energy indicators (glucose, BHBA
15 and NEFA) were in a normal range, consistent with those obtained in other experiments
16 (Giesecke, 1983; Lindsay and Pethick, 1983). On the other hand, the PUN content in animals
17 receiving diet NF was always significantly higher than control.

18 For both diets we made a regression between PUN and MUN. The equations and the consequent
19 lines obtained are reported in Figure 3. As already stated, diet NF had higher values for both
20 parameters, because of its higher nitrogen content. As can be seen from the figure, the slope of the
21 two regression lines was similar; this indicates that, independently of the absolute values, for a
22 given increment of PUN there was a rather constant increase in the MUN value. In terms of the

1 accuracy of the prediction of MUN from PUN, the rather low r^2 values (0.45-0.58) could be
2 explained by the limited number of data available.

3

4

CONCLUSIONS

5

6 The data obtained indicated that the goats on experiment tolerated a nonforage diet with a high
7 protein content throughout lactation (8 months) with no negative effects on milk yield,
8 composition or the milk renneting properties. It is presumable that a nonforage diet with an
9 adequate protein content could also be utilized successfully, with the advantage of a lower
10 environmental impact.

11 The NF diet, despite its high fiber content, determined very high feed intakes probably because of
12 the small particle size and the lack of “long” forage fiber. The higher content of ADF and ADL
13 decreased energy digestibility and metabolizability of diet NF in comparison with control, but the
14 efficiency of ME utilization was similar in the two diets.

15 The NF diet decreased rumen pH, but did not significantly change the VFA production.

16 In conclusion, a nonforage diet can be utilized in lactating goats, since it permits similar
17 performance to a traditional ration, but its economic convenience and the sustainability of this
18 choice has to be evaluated.

19

20

REFERENCES

21

22 AFRC, 1998. Energy. In: The nutrition of goats, CAB International, Wallingford, 41-51.

1 Agricultural and Food Research Council. 1998. The nutrition of goats. Technical Committee on
2 Responses to Nutrients. Report n 10. CAB International, Wallingford, United Kingdom.

3 Aguilera, J.F., C. Prieto, J. Fonollà. 1990. Protein and energy metabolism of lactating Granadina
4 goats. *Br. J. Nutr.* 63:165-175

5 Andrieu, J., C. Demarquilly, D. Sauvant. 1988. Tables des valeur nutritive des aliments. Pages
6 356-434 *in* Alimentation des bovins ovins & caprins. Jarrige, R., ed. I.N.R.A., Paris.

7 Associazione Scientifica di Produzione Animale. Commissione di Metodologie di valutazione
8 della produzione quanti-qualitativa del latte. 1995. Metodi di analisi del latte delle principali
9 specie di interesse zootecnico. Centro stampa Università degli Studi, Perugia.

10 Associazione Scientifica di Produzione Animale. Commissione di Valutazione degli Alimenti.
11 1980. Valutazione degli alimenti di interesse zootecnico. 1. Analisi chimica. *Zoot. Nutr. Anim.*
12 6:19-34

13 Badamana, M.S., J.D. Sutton. 1992. Hay intake, milk production and rumen fermentation in
14 British Saanen goats given concentrates varying widely in protein concentration. *Anim. Prod.*
15 54:395-403

16 Brouwer, E. 1965. Report of Sub-committee on constants and factors. Proc. 3rd Symp. Energy
17 Metabolism of Farm Animals. Ed. K.L. Blaxter, London: Academic Press.

18 Colenbrander, V.F., C.H. Noller, R.J. Grant. 1991. Effect of fiber content and particle size of
19 alfalfa silage on performance and chewing behavior. *J.Dairy Sci.* 74:2681-2690

20 Coulon, J.B. 1994. Effets du stade physiologique et de la saison sur la composition chimique du
21 lait de vache et ses caractéristiques technologiques. *Rec. Méd. Vét.* 7:367-373

22 Crovetto, G.M. 1984. Apparecchiature e correzioni richieste per il calcolo del calore animale
23 usando il metodo della calorimetria indiretta a circuito aperto. *Zoot. Nutr. Anim.* 4: 279-297

1 Crovetto, G.M., L. Rapetti, A. Tamburini, G. Succi, G. Galassi. 1994. Energy utilization of diets
2 with hay, maize silage or Italian ryegrass silage as main forage in lactating goats. Proc. 13th
3 symp. Energy Metabolism of Farm Animals, EAAP Mojàcar, Spain: 175-178.

4 Firkins, J.L. 1997. Effects of feeding nonforage fiber sources on site of fiber digestion. J.Dairy
5 Sci. 80: 1426-1437

6 Grant, R.J. 1997. Interactions among forages and nonforage fiber sources. J.Dairy Sci. 80:1438-
7 1446.

8 Lachica, M., J.F. Aguilera, C. Prieto. 1994. Energy expenditure associated with feeding in
9 Granadina goats. Proc. 13th symp. Energy Metabolism of Farm Animals, Mojàcar, Spain, 347-
10 350.

11 Lanza, A., P. Pennisi, L. Biondi, M. Lanza, A.N. Keshtkaran. 1996. Effects of feeding system and
12 different feeds on milk production and quality in Comisana ewes. I. Use of dry complete diet.
13 Agr. Med. 126:22-31

14 Littel, R.C., P.R. Henry, C.B. Ammerman. 1998. Statistical analysis of repeated measures data
15 using SAS procedures. J. Anim. Sci. 76: 1216-1231

16 Morand-Fehr, P., P. Bas, G. Blanchart, R. Daccord, S. Giger-Reverdin, E.A. Gihad, M.
17 Hadjpanayiotou, A. Mowlem, F. Remeuf, D. Sauvant. 1991. Influence of feeding on milk
18 composition and technological characteristics. Pages 209-224 in Goat Nutrition. P. Morand-Fehr,
19 ed. Wageningen Pudoc E.A.A.P. Publication No 46.

20 Nijkamp, H.J. 1969. Determination of the urinary energy and carbon output in balance trials. Z.
21 Tierph. Tierern. Füttermittelkunde. 25: 1-9

22 Nijkamp, H.J. 1971. Some remarks and recommendations concerning bomb calorimetry. Z. Tierph.
23 Tierern. Füttermittelkunde. 27: 115-121

1 Rapetti, L., A. Tamburini, G.M. Crovetto, G. Galassi, G. Succi. 1995. Energy utilization of diets
2 with different hay proportions in lactating goats. *Zoot. Nutr. Anim.* 6:317-328

3 Sahlu, T., J.M. Fernandez , Z.H. Jia, A.O. Akinsoyinu, S.P. Hart, T.H. Teh. 1993. Effect of
4 source and amount of protein on milk production in dairy goats. *J.Dairy Sci.* 76:2701-2710

5 Santini, F.J., C.D. Lu, M.J. Potchoiba, J.M. Fernandez, S.W. Coleman. 1992. Dietary fiber and
6 milk yield, mastication, digestion, and rate of passage in goats fed alfalfa hay. *J. Dairy Sci.*
7 75:209-219.

8 Sanz Sampelayo, M.R., L. Perez, J. Boza, L. Amigo. 1998. Forage of different physical forms in
9 the diets of lactating Granadina goats: nutrient digestibility and milk production and composition.
10 *J. Dairy Sci.* 81:492-498

11 SAS User's Guide : Statistics, Version 6.12 Edition.1996. SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC.

12 Sauvant, D., P. Morand-Fehr, S. Giger-Reverdin. 1991. Dry matter intake of adult goats. Pages
13 25-36 *in* Goat Nutrition. P. Morand-Fehr, ed. Wageningen Pudoc E.A.A.P. Publication No 46.

14 Tarodo de la Fuente, B., C. Alais, R. Frenzt. 1969. Etude de la coagulation du lait par la présure
15 et la synérèse de coagulum par méthode thrombélastrographique (Study of the coagulation of milk
16 rennet and of syneresis of the coagulum using a thrombelastographic method). *Lait.* 49:400-416.

17 van der Honing, Y. 1975. Intake and utilization of energy of rations with pelleted forages by dairy
18 cows. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation. Ph.D.Diss., Wageningen.

19 Van Soest, P.J., J.B. Robertson, B.A. Lewis. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent
20 fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J.Dairy Sci.* 74:3583-3597

21 Woodford, S.T., M.R. Murphy. 1988. Effect of forage physical form on chewing activity, dry
22 matter intake, and rumen function of dairy cows in early latation. *J.Dairy Sci.* 71:674-686

1 Zhu, J.S., S.R. Stokes, M.R. Murphy. 1997. Substitution of neutral detergent fiber from forage
2 with neutral detergent fiber from by-products in the diet of lactating cows. J.Dairy Sci. 80:2901-
3 2906
4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

LEGENDS

Figure 1. Particle distribution of the two experimental diets: □ diet C; ■ diet NF

Figure 2. Rumen fluid parameters of the goats fed the two experimental diets: —○— diet C; - -
■- - diet NF

Figure 3. Relationship between milk urea level (MUN) and plasma urea level (PUN) of the two
groups of goats: ○ diet C; ■ diet NF.

Diet C: $1.126 \text{ PUN} + 10.782; r^2 = 0.58$

Diet NF: $1.196 \text{ PUN} + 22.655; r^2 = 0.45$