MCB Accepts published online ahead of print

1	A PEX7-centered perspective on the peroxisomal targeting signal type 2-mediated protein
2	import pathway.
3	
4	Tony A. Rodrigues ^{a,b} , Inês S. Alencastre ^{a,b,§} , Tânia Francisco ^{a,b} , Pedro Brites ^c , Marc Fransen ^d ,
5	Cláudia P. Grou ^a and Jorge E. Azevedo ^{a,b,#}
6	
7	^a Organelle Biogenesis and Function Group, Instituto de Biologia Celular e Molecular (IBMC),
8	Universidade do Porto, Portugal
9	^b Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas Abel Salazar (ICBAS), Universidade do Porto, Portugal
10	^c Nerve Regeneration Group, Instituto de Biologia Celular e Molecular (IBMC), Universidade do
11	Porto, Portugal
12	^d Departement Cellulaire en Moleculaire Geneeskunde, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
13	
14	§ Present address: Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica (INEB), Universidade do Porto, Portugal
15	*Address correspondence to Jorge E. Azevedo, jazevedo@ibmc.up.pt.
16	
17	
18	Running Head: The PEX7-mediated peroxisomal protein import pathway
19	
20	
21	Word count Materials and Methods: 1187
22	Combined word count (introduction, results, discussion): 4171
23	

SUMMARY

Peroxisomal matrix proteins are synthesized on cytosolic ribosomes and transported to the organelle by shuttling receptors. Matrix proteins containing a type 1 signal are carried to the peroxisome by PEX5, whereas those harboring a type 2 signal are transported by a PEX5-PEX7 complex. The pathway followed by PEX5 during the protein transport cycle has been characterized in detail. In contrast, not much is known regarding PEX7. In this work we show that PEX7 is targeted to the peroxisome in a PEX5- and cargo-dependent manner where it becomes resistant to exogenously added proteases. Entry of PEX7 and its cargo into the peroxisome occurs upstream of the first cytosolic ATP-dependent step of the PEX5-mediated import pathway, *i.e.*, before monoubiquitination of PEX5. PEX7 passing through the peroxisome becomes partially, if not completely, exposed to the peroxisome matrix milieu suggesting that cargo release occurs at the trans side of the peroxisomal membrane. Finally, we found that export of peroxisomal PEX7 back into the cytosol requires export of PEX5 but, strikingly, the two export events are not strictly coupled indicating that the two proteins leave the peroxisome separately.

Keywords: Peroxisomes, PEX7, PEX5, PTS2-containing protein, cargo protein translocation,

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by gues

40 import pathway

INTRODUCTION

42

43 Peroxisomal matrix proteins are synthesized on cytosolic ribosomes and post-translationally targeted to the organelle via one of two peroxisomal targeting sequences (PTSs): 1) the PTS type 1 44 45 (PTS1), a small peptide frequently ending with the sequence SKL located at the C termini of the vast majority of matrix proteins (1, 2) and 2) the PTS2, a degenerated nonapeptide present at the 46 47 amino termini of a few matrix proteins (3-5). In contrast to the PTS1, the PTS2 is generally 48 cleaved when the protein reaches the organelle matrix (5-7). In mammals and many other 49 organisms both PTS1 and PTS2 proteins are transported to the organelle by PEX5, the peroxisomal 50 shuttling receptor (8-11). The interaction of PEX5 with PTS1 proteins is direct (12-16) whereas the 51 interaction between PEX5 and PTS2 proteins requires the adaptor protein PEX7 (17-19). 52 Interestingly, not all PEX5 proteins in a mammalian cell are capable of binding PEX7. This is due 53 to alternative splicing of the PEX5 transcript which yields two major isoforms of the receptor, 54 PEX5S and PEX5L. In contrast to PEX5L, PEX5S is not able to bind PEX7 because it lacks an 55 internal 37 amino acid domain (8, 10). The situation in yeasts is different. While these organisms 56 also use PEX5 to target PTS1 proteins to the peroxisome, import of PTS2 proteins is promoted by 57 PEX7 and a species-specific member of the so-called PEX20 family (19-23), a group of proteins 58 that have no mammalian counterpart but that display functional similarities with the N-terminal 59 half of PEX5L (17, 19, 24). The pathway followed by PEX5 during the protein transport process is reasonably known (25-28). 60 61 After binding a cargo protein in the cytosol, PEX5 interacts with the peroxisomal 62 docking/translocation machinery (DTM) (29), a peroxisomal membrane protein complex 63 comprising PEX13, PEX14 and the RING peroxins PEX2, PEX10 and PEX12 (30-32). Following this docking event PEX5 gets inserted into the DTM acquiring a transmembrane topology (33, 34), 64 a step that results in the translocation of the cargo protein across the organelle membrane and its 65 66 release into the peroxisomal lumen (35, 36). Interestingly, none of these steps require cytosolic

67	ATP (35-37). PEX5 is then extracted from the DTM through a two-step mechanism. First, PEX5 is
68	monoubiquitinated at a conserved cysteine (Cys 11 in human PEX5) (38, 39); this
69	monoubiquitinated PEX5 species is subsequently dislocated from the DTM in an ATP-dependent
70	manner by the receptor export module (REM), a protein complex comprising the two
71	mechanoenzymes PEX1 and PEX6 (37, 40-42). Finally, ubiquitin is removed from PEX5 probably
72	by a combination of enzymatic and non-enzymatic mechanisms (43-45).
73	In contrast to all the data available for PEX5, our knowledge on the pathway followed by PEX7
74	during the PTS2 protein import is still incomplete. Actually, for mammalian PEX7, besides a
75	recent report showing that the protein associates with peroxisomes and acquires a protease-
76	protected status in a cytosolic ATP-independent manner (46), not much else is presently known.
77	Data on PEX7 from yeasts are somewhat more abundant (reviewed in (4)). For instance, it has
78	been suggested that yeast PEX7 interacts first with the PTS2 cargo protein and subsequently with a
79	member of the PEX20 family; this cytosolic trimeric complex then interacts with the DTM,
80	leading to the translocation of the cargo protein into the matrix of the organelle (47). Such pathway
81	would suggest that PEX7 reaches the peroxisome in a cargo-dependent manner, as is in fact the
82	case for mammalian PEX5 working in the PTS1 protein import pathway (29). Intriguingly,
83	however, PEX7 can also be found in peroxisomes in strains lacking PEX20 and that, therefore, do
84	not import PTS2 proteins (48).
85	There are also some data on the intraperoxisomal pathway followed by yeast PEX7. According to
86	Lazarow and co-workers this protein is completely translocated across the peroxisomal membrane
87	during its normal protein transport cycle (49). However, as stated above, these organisms use a
88	member of the PEX20 family, and not PEX5, to transport PEX7-PTS2 cargo protein complexes to
89	the peroxisome. This fact together with the idea that PEX20 itself may accompany PEX7 during its
90	journey through the peroxisome matrix (48, 50) raises doubts on whether or not the yeast data can
91	be extrapolated to the mammalian system (see also Discussion).

In this work we have optimized a previously established peroxisomal in vitro import system to study the pathway followed by mammalian PEX7 during the PTS2 protein import cycle. We found that PEX7 reaches the peroxisome in a PEX5L- and PTS2-dependent manner where it acquires a protease-protected status. Acquisition of this status occurs upstream of the first cytosolic ATPdependent step, i.e., before ubiquitination of PEX5L. This in vitro import system also allowed us to characterize the export step of PEX7. Our results show that whenever export of PEX5L is inhibited that of PEX7 is also blocked. This suggests that PEX7 exits the organelle through the DTM site occupied by PEX5L. Importantly, in vitro imported PEX5L and PEX7 display different export kinetics suggesting that their export is uncoupled. Finally, we provide evidence indicating that PEX7 travelling through the peroxisome becomes partially, if not completely, exposed to the

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by guest

103

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

peroxisome matrix milieu.

104	MATERI	AL AND	METHODS
-----	--------	--------	---------

105	Plasmids
106	The cDNA coding for the full-length human PEX7 (pGEM4-PEX7) was obtained by PCR
107	amplification using the plasmid SC119985 (OriGene) as template and the primers 5'-
108	GCCTCTAGAGCCACCATGAGTGCGGTGTGCGGTGGA-3' and 5'-
109	GCGCGGTACCTCAAGCAGGAATAGTAAGAC-3'. The amplified fragment was cloned into
110	the XbaI and the KpnI sites of pGEM4® (Promega). A plasmid encoding PEX7 possessing a
111	tryptophan instead of a leucine at position 70 (PEX7(L70W)) was obtained with the QuikChange®
112	site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) using pGEM4-PEX7 as template and the primers 5'-
113	GGAATGATGGTTTGATGTGACTTGG -3' and 5'-
114	CCAAGTCACATCAAACCAACCATCATTCC -3'. A plasmid encoding preL4R-PEX7, a PEX7
115	protein possessing at its N terminus the peptide
116	MAQRRQVVLGHLRGPADSGWMPQAAPCLSGASR was constructed as follows. Plasmic
117	SC119985 was used as template in a PCR reaction with the primers 5'-
118	GCCTCTAGAATGAGTGCGGTGTGCGGTGGA-3' and 5'-
119	GCGCGGTACCTCAAGCAGGAATAGTAAGAC-3' and the obtained DNA fragment was
120	inserted into XbaI/KpnI-digested pGEM4® (Promega). This plasmid was then digested with Sph
121	and XbaI and ligated to the pre-annealed primers 5'-
122	${\tt CCACCATGGCGCAGAGGCGGCAGGTAGTGCTGGGCCACCTGAGGGGTCCGGCCGATT}$
123	CCGGCTGGATGCCGCAGGCCGCCCTTGCCTGAGCGGTGCCT-3' and 5'-
124	CTAGAGGCACCGCTCAGGCAAGGCGCGGCCTGCGGCATCCAGCCGGAATCGGCCGGA
125	CCCCTCAGGTGGCCCAGCACTACCTGCCGCCTCTGCGCCATGGTGGCATG-3'. The
126	peptide preceding PEX7 in the preL4R-PEX7 fusion protein contains amino acid residues 2-30 or
127	human pre-thiolase in which leucine 4 was replaced by an arginine (numbering of full-length
128	human pre-thiolase (51)). This pentide still contains the cleavage site for the matrix processing

peptidase, but the L4R mutation abolishes its PTS2 function (52). The plasmid encoding full-
length human thiolase precursor (pGEM4-pre-Thiolase) was described elsewhere (35). A plasmid
coding for pre-thiolase possessing the L4R mutation (pGEM4-preL4R-Thiolase) was obtained
with the QuickChange® site-directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene), using pGEM4-pre-Thiolase as
template and the primers 5'- ATGCAGAGGCGGCAGGTAGTGCTGGG -3' and 5'-
CCCAGCACTACCTGCCGCCTCTGCAT -3'. The plasmid pGEM4-PEX5L, encoding the large
isoform of human PEX5, was described before (34). The plasmid encoding amino acid residues 1-
324 of PEX5L possessing an alanine at position 11 (ΔC1PEX5L(C11A)) was obtained with the
QuikChange [®] site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene), using pET28-ΔC1PEX5L as template
(53) and primers described elsewhere (44). The cDNA coding for histidine-tagged PEX7 was
obtained by PCR amplification using the plasmid SC119985 (OriGene) as template and the
primers 5'-GTATGAGCCATATGAGTGCGGTGTGCGGTGGAG-3' and 5'-
GGCCGCGGAATTCTCAAGCAGGAATAGTAAGAC-3'. The amplified fragment was cloned
into the NdeI and the EcoRI sites of pET-28a (Novagen). The cDNA encoding the mature form
Phytanoyl-CoA hydroxylase (m-PHYH) was obtained by PCR amplification of the plasmid
described in (54) using the primers 5'- GGCGCGGTACCATCAGGGACTATTTCCTCTGCC -3'
and 5'- GGCGCAAGCTTTCAAAGATTGGTTCTTTCTCC -3' and cloned into the KpnI and
HindIII sites of pOE31 (Oiagen).

Recombinant Proteins

The recombinant large isoform of human PEX5 (PEX5L) (55), PEX5L containing the missense mutation N526K (PEX5L(N526K)) (56), proteins comprising amino acid residues 1-324 or 315-639 of PEX5L (ΔC1PEX5L and TPRs, respectively) and ΔC1PEX5L containing the missense mutation C11A (ΔC1PEX5L(C11A)) (53, 57), a protein comprising the first 287 amino acid residues of the small isoform of human PEX5 (ΔC1PEX5S) (35), the GST-ubiquitin fusion protein

(GST-Ub) (38), the precursor of human Phytanoyl-CoA hydroxylase (p-PHYH) and its mature form (m-PHYH) (54), human PEX19 (58) and a protein comprising the first 80 amino acid residues of human PEX14 (NDPEX14) (57), were obtained as described previously. Histidine-tagged PEX7 was expressed in the BL21(DE3) strain of *Escherichia coli* and obtained as inclusion bodies. The fusion protein was purified by HIS-SelectTM nickel affinity gel (Sigma) under denaturing conditions (6 M guanidine hydrochloride) and concentrated by trichloroacetic acid precipitation.

In Vitro Import/Export Reactions

Liver post-nuclear supernatants (PNS) from rat or PEX7 knockout mouse were prepared as described before (34). In a typical import reaction (100 μl final volume), ³⁵S-labeled proteins (1-2 μl of the rabbit reticulocyte lysates; see below) were diluted to 10 μl with import buffer (20 mM MOPS-KOH, pH 7.4, 0.25 M sucrose, 50 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 20 μM methionine, 2 μg/ml *N-(trans-*epoxysuccinyl)-L-leucine 4-guanidinobutylamide, 2 mM reduced glutathione, final concentrations) and added to 500 μg of liver PNS that had been primed for import (5 min incubation at 37 °C in import buffer containing 0.3 mM ATP; see (35, 37) for details). Reactions were incubated for 30 min at 37 °C, unless otherwise stated. ATP or AMP-PNP were used at 3 mM, final concentration. NTP depletion from both PNS and reticulocyte lysates using apyrase (Grade VII, Sigma) was done exactly as described (36). Where indicated, import reactions were supplemented with recombinant PEX5 proteins (PEX5L, PEX5L(N526K), ΔC1PEX5L, ΔC1PEX5L(C11A) or ΔC1PEX5S; 30 nM final concentrations), GST-Ub or bovine ubiquitin (10 μM), and recombinant p-PHYH or m-PHYH (140 nM, final concentration). After import, reactions were treated with pronase (500 μg/ml final concentration) for 45 min on ice and processed for SDS-PAGE/autoradiography exactly as described before (35). In some experiments, organelles

178	were resuspended in import buffer and subjected to pronase digestion in the presence or absence of
179	1% (w/v) Triton X-100.
180	In the in vitro export assays, radiolabeled proteins were first subjected to an import assay for 15
181	min. Further import was then stopped either by adding recombinant NDPEX14 to the reaction (30
182	μM final concentration), or by isolating the organelles by centrifugation and resuspending them in
183	import buffer. In earlier experiments, cytosolic proteins derived from 500 μg of liver PNS were
184	also added. The organelle suspensions were then incubated at 37 °C in the presence of either 5 mM
185	ATP or AMP-PNP.
186	For the PTS2-only in vitro import/export experiments, PNS were pre-incubated with 1 μM
187	recombinant TPRs for 10 min on ice, before starting the import assays. This recombinant protein,
188	corresponding to the C-terminal half of PEX5, comprises its PTS1-binding domain and is used
189	here to sequester endogenous PTS1-containing proteins (13, 29, 56). Also, the reticulocyte lysates
190	containing $^{35}\text{S-PEX7}$ and $^{35}\text{S-PEX5L}$ (2 μl each) were pre-incubated with recombinant p-PHYH
191	(20 min at 23 °C in 10 μ l of import buffer) to favor formation of the trimeric PEX5L-PEX7-PTS2
192	complex. The export incubation was carried out as described above, but in the presence of 1 μM
193	TPRs and 10 μ M NDPEX14.
194	
195	Subcellular fractionation
196	Pronase-treated organelles from an import reaction or rat liver purified peroxisomes were

197

198

199

200

201

Pronase-treated organelles from an import reaction or rat liver purified peroxisomes were resuspended in 20 mM MOPS-KOH, pH 7.4, 0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 0.1 mg/ml phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride, 1:500 (v/v) mammalian protease inhibitors mixture (Sigma) and disrupted by sonication using a SONOPULS HD2200-BANDELIN equipped with a MS 73 microtip. The sonication conditions used (40% duty cycle, 10% output power for just 25 s) were established as the mildest ones resulting in a quantitative extraction of catalase from

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.c
http://mcb.asm.org/
.org/ on November 2, 2018 by gues

202	peroxisomes. Membrane and matrix components were separated by centrifugation at 100,000 g for
203	60 min.
204	

Miscellaneous

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

³⁵S-labeled proteins were synthesized using the $\mathsf{TNT}^{^{\circledR}}$ quick coupled transcription/translation kit (Promega) in the presence of [35S]methionine (specific activity >1000 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Although no attempts were made to quantify the amounts of radiolabeled proteins in our reactions, note that, according to the manufacturer, 1 µl of reticulocyte lysate typically produces 2-6 ng of radiolabeled protein. For ³⁵S-PEX7 this corresponds to a final concentration of 0.6-3.4 nM in the import assays. An antibody directed to human PEX7 was produced in rabbits using recombinant histidine-tagged PEX7. The antibody directed to PEX13 was described elsewhere (59) and the one against catalase was purchased from Research Diagnostics, Inc. (catalogue number RDI-CATALASEabr). All antibodies were detected using goat alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit antibodies (A9919; Sigma). Densitometric analyses of autoradiography films were performed using the ImageJ software (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA,

218 http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997-2011).

219

220 RESULT

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

PEX7 reaches the peroxisome in a PEX5L- and PTS2-dependent manner. We have previously described an improved in vitro system to characterize the peroxisomal import mechanism of prethiolase, a PTS2-containing protein (35). The system relies on a rat liver post-nuclear supernatant as a source of peroxisomes and cytosolic components, supplemented with either recombinant ΔC1PEX5L (amino acid residues 1-324 of PEX5L) or PEX5L(N526K) (PEX5L possessing a lysine at position 526 instead of an asparagine; (56, 60)). These two PEX5 proteins contain an intact PEX7-binding domain as well as all the other elements required for a productive interaction with the peroxisomal protein import machinery, and thus they are still competent in the PTS2mediated import pathway. However, they do not bind efficiently PTS1 proteins (8, 60, 61), an advantage when studying the PTS2-mediated import pathway (see below). In this work we used this improved system to analyze PEX7. Figure 1A shows the results of in vitro import assays performed with both ³⁵S-labeled PEX7 and pre-thiolase. In the absence of Δ C1PEX5L, or in the presence of Δ C1PEX5S (a protein almost identical to ΔC1PEX5L that lacks the PEX7-binding domain; see Introduction), only a small fraction of protease-protected (imported) thiolase was observed in organelle pellets, as expected (35), and the same is true for ³⁵S-PEX7 (lanes 1 and 3). A 5-fold increase in the amounts of both radiolabeled proteins was observed when the import assays were supplemented with either recombinant ΔC1PEX5L or PEX5L(N526K) (lanes 2 and 5). Recombinant PEX5L also improves the import efficiencies of both pre-thiolase and PEX7 but only by a factor of ≈ 2.5 (compare lanes 1 and 4). The weaker stimulatory effect obtained with PEX5L is probably due to the fact that this protein also interacts with endogenous PTS1-containing proteins present in the PNS, creating a competition problem at the peroxisomal DTM (see also (35)). Importantly, the in vitro import yields of ³⁵S-PEX7 obtained in the presence of ΔC1PEX5L can be further improved by a factor of 2 when a recombinant PTS2 protein, pre-phytanoyl-CoA 2-hydroxylase (p-PHYH), is added to the

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

assay (Fig. 1B, compare lanes 3 and 4). The stimulatory effect of p-PHYH on PEX7 import contrasts with its inhibitory effect on pre-thiolase import (Fig. 1B, compare lanes 3 and 4). Recombinant phytanoyl-CoA 2-hydroxylase lacking the PTS2 (m-PHYH) has no such effects (Fig. 1C, compare lanes 2 and 3). These findings strongly indicate that the ³⁵S-PEX7 protein used in these experiments is truly functioning in the PTS2-mediated protein import pathway. Further data corroborating this conclusion were obtained when a PNS from PEX7 knockout mice (62) was used in import assays. As shown in Fig. 1D (lane 1), an assay using PNS from these mice supplemented with Δ C1PEX5L (and 2 μ l of a mock-translated reticulocyte lysate) failed to reveal import of prethiolase. In contrast, addition of just 2 µl of the lysate containing ³⁵S-PEX7 was sufficient to promote import and partial processing of pre-thiolase (Fig. 1D, lane 2). A non-functional PEX7 protein harboring a mutation previously described in a patient with Rhizomelic Chondrodysplasia Punctata Type 1 (PEX7(L70W); (63)), was not competent in this assay, as expected (Fig. 1D, lane 3). Note that PEX7 alone is readily degraded by the protease used in these assays (Fig. 1E, lane 2) and that the resistance it acquires during in vitro import vanishes in the presence Triton X-100, a mild detergent that solubilizes biological membranes (Fig. 1F, lane 2). Taken together, the experiments described above strongly indicate that in vitro synthesized PEX7 reaches the peroxisome in a PEX5L- and PTS2-dependent manner where it acquires a protease-protected status. The energetics of PEX7 import. We have previously shown that: 1) PEX5L becomes inserted into the DTM in a cytosolic ATP-independent process (37, 40, 64) and 2) translocation of prethiolase across the DTM into the peroxisomal matrix occurs upstream of the first cytosolic ATPdependent step, i.e., before monoubiquitination of PEX5L (35). Not surprisingly, we found that the energetic requirements of PEX7 import are identical, as was in fact also reported by others (46). As shown in Fig. 2A neither supplementation of import reactions with AMP-PNP (a nonhydrolyzable ATP analog; (65)), nor pre-treatment of the ³⁵S-PEX7 protein and PNS with apyrase

270	(an enzyme that hydrolyzes ATP and other NTPs; (66)) blocked PEX7 import (compare lane 1
271	with lanes 2 and 3, respectively).
272	Interestingly, although export of peroxisomal PEX7 is ATP-dependent (as it will be shown below),
273	the levels of peroxisomal PEX7 observed under the different energetic conditions are identical.
274	This finding suggests that export of PEX7 from the peroxisome becomes a rate-limiting step in this
275	optimized in vitro import system.
276	The data in Fig. 2A showing that PEX7 import is not blocked in assays containing apyrase, a
277	condition previously shown to block PEX5L monoubiquitination (35, 36), also suggests that
278	import of PEX7, like import of pre-thiolase, occurs upstream of PEX5L monoubiquitination.
279	Additional data supporting this conclusion are presented in Fig. 2B. Identical amounts of protease-
280	protected ³⁵ S-PEX7 and thiolase were obtained in import reactions supplemented with either
281	Δ C1PEX5L or Δ C1PEX5L(C11A), a mutant protein that possesses an alanine at position 11. The
282	substitution of cysteine 11 by an alanine results in a PEX5 protein that can still enter the DTM but
283	that is no longer monoubiquitinated (44).
284	The N terminus of peroxisomal PEX7 is exposed into the organelle matrix. The fact that
285	peroxisomal ³⁵ S-PEX7 is resistant to exogenously added proteases suggests that PEX7 exposes no
286	major domains into the cytosol but provides no clues on how deep in peroxisomes it reaches. To
287	address this issue we adapted a strategy previously used by others to show that a portion of the
288	polypeptide chain of peroxisomal PEX5L reaches the peroxisomal matrix (52). Specifically, we
289	synthesized a PEX7 protein having at its N terminus a cleavable, but otherwise non-functional,
290	mutant version of thiolase pre-sequence and asked whether this PEX7 protein (hereafter referred to
291	as preL4R-PEX7) could be cleaved in our in vitro import assays. A control experiment with a pre-
292	thiolase carrying the same mutation (L4R) confirmed that this mutant pre-sequence is not
293	functional in our in vitro assays (Fig. 3A). As shown in Fig. 3B, preL4R-PEX7 subjected to in
294	vitro import assays not only acquired a protease-resistant status in a PEX5L- and PTS2-dependent

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

PEX7, is also able to restore import of pre-thiolase in PNS from the PEX7 knockout mice (Fig. 3C). Processing of preL4R-PEX7 requires its passage through the peroxisome because nearly no processed PEX7 could be detected when the import assays were performed in the presence of NDPEX14, a recombinant protein comprising the PEX5-binding domain of PEX14 ((67); Fig. 3D, compare lanes 1 and 5 with lanes 3 and 7, respectively). As shown before, this recombinant protein completely blocks the PEX5-mediated protein import pathway (36). Interestingly, when the protease treatment was omitted, cleaved PEX7 was also detected in the supernatant of the import assays but only under import-permissive conditions (Fig. 3D, compare lanes 2 and 4) suggesting that our in vitro system can also be used to study PEX7 export. Finally, and in agreement with the data shown in Fig. 2B, cleavage of preL4R-PEX7 was also observed when its import was promoted by Δ C1PEX5L(C11A) (Fig. 3E). Interestingly, when this export-incompetent PEX5L species is used in these assays, almost no cleaved PEX7 is recovered in the supernatant fraction (Fig. 3E, compare lanes 3 and 4) suggesting that export of cleaved PEX7 is somehow dependent on PEX5L ubiquitination/export (see also below). In summary, these results indicate that at least the N terminus of PEX7 reaches a location where it can be cleaved by the protease that processes PTS2 proteins, *i.e.*, the peroxisomal matrix. Export of PEX7 from the peroxisome requires export of PEX5L, but the two events are not strictly coupled. PEX7 functions as a shuttling receptor, meaning that peroxisomal PEX7 is eventually exported back to the cytosol (4). Aiming at characterizing in detail this process we developed a two-step protocol in which ³⁵S-PEX7 is first subjected to an import assay, and after blocking further import (see Material and Methods for details), the organelle suspension is then subjected to a second incubation step, the export assay. The results of one these assays performed under standard conditions show that the amount of organelle-associated protease-protected ³⁵S-

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by gues

manner but was also converted into a 2-3 kDa shorter protein. Furthermore, preL4R-PEX7, like

PEX7 decreases over time with the concomitant appearance of ³⁵S-PEX7 in the supernatant (Fig.

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

4A). Interestingly, experimental conditions that inhibit export of peroxisomal PEX5L back into the cytosol, also block export of PEX7. As shown in Fig. 4B (top) almost no export of PEX7 was detected in assays made in the presence AMP-PNP (see also Fig. 4C). This non-hydrolyzable ATP analogue still allows PEX5L monoubiquitination at the DTM but blocks the receptor export module (45). A similar inhibition was observed when both the import and export incubations were made in the presence of a GST-ubiquitin fusion protein (Fig. 4B, middle and Fig. 4C). As shown before, ubiquitination of DTM-embedded PEX5L with this ubiquitin analogue results in a species that is no longer export-competent (38). Note that we have been unable to detect any ubiquitination of PEX7 in our in vitro assays (even under non-reducing conditions; data not shown) suggesting that the effect of GST-Ub on PEX7 export occurs via PEX5L. In agreement with this interpretation, and with the data shown in Fig. 3E, when ³⁵S-PEX7 was imported in the presence of Δ C1PEX5L(C11A) no significant export of ³⁵S-PEX7 was detected (Fig. 4B, bottom and Fig. 4C). Thus, peroxisomal PEX7 is exported back into the cytosol only when PEX5L is also exported. Several hypotheses could explain this phenomenon. An obvious one would be to assume that export of PEX7 is coupled to that of PEX5L. Alternatively, it might be that PEX5L arrested at the DTM simply blocks the site used by PEX7 to exit the organelle. In an attempt to clarify this issue we determined the export kinetics of both proteins. Obviously, such an experiment would only be informative if we could find conditions where PEX5L reaches the peroxisome in a PTS2-only mode. With this in mind, we performed in vitro assays in the presence of a recombinant protein comprising the PTS1-binding domain of PEX5 (referred to as TPRs), a strategy previously shown to efficiently block the PTS1-dependent targeting of PEX5L to the peroxisome (29, 56), and asked whether peroxisomal targeting of PEX5L could be recovered by adding 35S-PEX7 and recombinant p-PHYH to the import assays. As shown in Fig. 5A this strategy turned out to be feasible. Using these experimental conditions we then employed the two-step import-export protocol described above to compare the export kinetics of ³⁵S-PEX7 and ³⁵S-PEX5L. Briefly,

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by gues

after an import step performed in presence of AMP-PNP, the organelles were isolated by

organelle membrane. All the presently available data suggest that PEX5L shuttles between the

Peroxisomal PEX5L engaged in the PTS2 import pathway remains tightly bound to the

cytosol and the peroxisomal DTM where it acquires a transmembrane topology, without ever entering completely into the organelle matrix (29, 34, 37, 38, 68). However, it is important to note all those data were obtained with experimental systems in which PEX5L is mostly involved in the PTS1-mediated protein import pathway. Considering a previously proposed hypothesis that PEX20, the yeast functional counterpart of PEX5L, may enter completely into the organelle matrix together with PEX7 (50), it might be possible that mammalian PEX5L functioning in the PTS2 import pathway also follows a similar route. To address this possibility we used the PTS2dependent import assay described above and tried to determine whether ³⁵S-PEX5L co-fractionates with either membrane or matrix peroxisomal proteins. Briefly, protease-treated organelles from ATP- or AMP-PNP-supplemented import assays were disrupted by sonication and subjected to ultracentrifugation to separate membrane from soluble proteins. The efficiency of this procedure was assessed by monitoring the behavior of catalase, a peroxisomal matrix protein (69, 70) and PEX13, an intrinsic peroxisomal membrane protein and a component of the DTM (71). As shown in Fig. 6A, ³⁵S-PEX5L quantitatively co-fractionated with the membrane marker PEX13. This result strongly indicates that, similarly to the situation in the PTS1-mediated import pathway, peroxisomal PEX5L engaged in the PTS2 protein import pathway remains tightly bound to the peroxisomal membrane. A different behavior was observed for PEX7. Indeed, although a major fraction of ³⁵S-PEX7 was found in the membrane pellet some protein was also detected in the soluble fraction. A similar distribution was observed for endogenous rat liver PEX7 present in highly pure peroxisome preparations (Fig. 6B). The detection of a soluble population of PEX7 in these experiments could well support the idea that PEX7 is completely released into the matrix of the organelle during the PTS2 import pathway, although further data are necessary to corroborate this possibility (see also Discussion).

393

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

394

DISCUSSION

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

In this work we show that mammalian PEX7 is targeted to the peroxisome in a PEX5L- and PTS2dependent manner where it acquires resistance to exogenously added proteases. Importantly, both PEX7 and pre-thiolase, a PTS2 protein, reach this protease-protected location in a cytosolic ATPindependent manner ((35, 46), and this work), implying that the PEX7-PTS2 protein complex enters the peroxisome upstream of the first ATP-dependent step of the PEX5L-mediated protein import pathway, i.e., prior to monoubiquitination of DTM-embedded PEX5L. Additional data presented in this work corroborate this conclusion. As shown in Fig. 2B and 3E, a mutant version of PEX5L that cannot be monoubiquitinated at the DTM is as functional as the normal protein in promoting peroxisomal import of both PEX7 and pre-thiolase. Clearly, the PEX5L-mediated entry of both PEX7 and its cargo into the peroxisome is not linked to monoubiquitination of PEX5L at the DTM. Interestingly, this conclusion is in contrast to the so-called "export-driven import model", a hypothetical mechanism recently proposed for the yeast PEX18/PEX7 system (72, 73). According to this idea, monoubiquitination/export of PEX18, a member of the PEX20 family and a functional counterpart of PEX5L in the PTS2 protein import pathway, is mechanically linked to the translocation of PEX7, and presumably its cargo, across the peroxisomal membrane. Seemingly, the different architectures of the PTS2 protein import machineries in these organisms translate into at least some significant mechanistic differences. One of the aims of this work was to characterize the intraperoxisomal pathway followed by mammalian PEX7 during the PTS2 protein transport cycle. Up till now, there was only one study addressing this problem in a systematic manner. This is a work by Lazarow and colleagues describing the properties of a yeast PEX7-green-fluorescent-protein (GFP) fusion protein, a protein that although unable to complement the phenotype of a $\Delta PEX7$ strain, accumulates massively in the peroxisomal matrix (49). As shown by those authors, cleavage of the fusion protein at the PEX7-GFP junction yielded a PEX7 protein that could now exit the organelle and rescue the

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by gues

phenotype of the $\Delta PEX7$ strain. Apparently, there is a way out of the peroxisome for a PEX7 protein that was artificially accumulated in the matrix of the organelle. Based on those findings it was proposed that PEX7 follows an "extended cycling mechanism", i.e., that PEX7 enters completely into the peroxisome matrix during the PTS2 protein transport cycle (49). The results described here for preL4R-PEX7 strongly suggest that at least the N terminus of mammalian PEX7 enters sufficiently deep into the peroxisome matrix milieu to become accessible to the peroxisomal protease that cleaves the engineered pre-sequence. Furthermore, fractionation of organelles by sonication did reveal the existence of a PEX7 pool displaying the properties expected for a peroxisomal matrix protein. Thus, the data presented here for mammalian PEX7 are surely compatible with the "extended cycling mechanism" proposed by Lazarow and colleagues (see Fig. 7, pathway A). However, we must note that proteins weakly associated with a biological membrane may also be extracted into the soluble fraction by sonication. Therefore, we cannot formally exclude a scenario in which PEX7, like PEX5L, is retained at the DTM during all the steps occurring at the peroxisome, exiting the DTM only after PEX5L export (Fig. 7, pathway B). It is important to note that this second possibility would still be compatible with the data on yeast PEX7. Indeed, if we assumed that yeast PEX20 family members are retained at the DTM during their passage through the peroxisome exposing their PEX7-binding domain into the organelle matrix, as it is likely the case for mammalian PEX5L, then it would be also reasonable to assume that any functional PEX7 generated de novo in the peroxisomal matrix could interact with a DTMembedded PEX20 protein, thus returning to its normal pathway. Many important aspects of the PTS2-mediated protein import pathway remain unclear. One directly related to this work regards the molecular details of PEX7 export. Our data suggest that PEX7 leaves the peroxisomal compartment through the DTM site occupied by PEX5L and that peroxisomal PEX5L and PEX7 probably exit the organelle separately. However, the implications of these findings on the molecular mechanism of PEX7 export are largely dependent on whether or

not PEX7 enters completely into the organelle matrix. In pathway A (Fig. 7), the DTM would have
the capacity to interact with a matrix PEX7 protein and somehow promote its export in a PEX5L-
independent manner, while retaining all resident peroxisomal proteins in the matrix of the
organelle. Pathway B, on the other hand, obviates the need for such a selectivity filter at the matrix
side of the DTM and suggests that the ATP-dependent extraction of PEX5L from the DTM could
also be coupled to the disruption of the interaction between PEX5L and PEX7, thus preparing
PEX7 for a new PTS2 recognition event. Regardless of the pathway followed by PEX7, it is clear
from our data that its export from the peroxisome requires PEX5-free DTMs, and therefore the
action of the mechanoenzymes PEX1 and PEX6. Thus, these ATP-dependent enzymes surely
influence PEX7 export but whether this functional connection is merely indirect (i.e., via PEX5
export) or direct remains to be determined.
Another issue that warrants future studies regards the protein transport capacity of PEX5L. Can a
single molecule of PEX5L simultaneously transport a PTS1 and a PTS2 protein to the peroxisome,
or are these mutually exclusive events? Clearly, further work is necessary to understand these
complex details of the peroxisomal protein import machinery.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by FEDER funds through the Operational Competitiveness Programme – COMPETE and by National Funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia under the project FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-022718 (PEst-C/SAU/LA0002/2011) and FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-019731 (PTDC/BIABCM/118577/2010). T. A. R., I. S. A., T. F. and C. P. G. were supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Programa Operacional Potencial Humano do QREN, and Fundo Social Europeu. M. F. was supported by FWO-Vlaanderen (Onderzoeksproject G.0754.09) and KU Leuven (OT/09/045).

470 REFERENCES

- 1. **Brocard C, Hartig A.** 2006. Peroxisome targeting signal 1: is it really a simple tripeptide?
- 472 Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1763**:1565-1573.
- 473 2. Gould SJ, Keller GA, Hosken N, Wilkinson J, Subramani S. 1989. A conserved tripeptide
- sorts proteins to peroxisomes. J. Cell Biol. **108:**1657-1664.
- 475 3. Kunze M, Neuberger G, Maurer-Stroh S, Ma J, Eck T, Braverman N, Schmid JA,
- 476 Eisenhaber F, Berger J. 2011. Structural requirements for interaction of peroxisomal
- targeting signal 2 and its receptor PEX7. J. Biol. Chem. **286**:45048-45062.
- 478 4. Lazarow PB. 2006. The import receptor Pex7p and the PTS2 targeting sequence. Biochim.
- 479 Biophys. Acta **1763:**1599-1604.
- 480 5. Swinkels BW, Gould SJ, Bodnar AG, Rachubinski RA, Subramani S. 1991. A novel,
- 481 cleavable peroxisomal targeting signal at the amino-terminus of the rat 3-ketoacyl-CoA
- 482 thiolase. EMBO J. **10:**3255-3262.
- 483 6. Helm M, Luck C, Prestele J, Hierl G, Huesgen PF, Frohlich T, Arnold GJ, Adamska I,

- 484 Gorg A, Lottspeich F, Gietl C. 2007. Dual specificities of the glyoxysomal/peroxisomal
- 485 processing protease Deg15 in higher plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104:11501-11506.
- 486 7. Kurochkin IV, Mizuno Y, Konagaya A, Sakaki Y, Schonbach C, Okazaki Y. 2007. Novel
- 487 peroxisomal protease Tysnd1 processes PTS1- and PTS2-containing enzymes involved in beta-
- oxidation of fatty acids. EMBO J. **26:**835-845.
- 489 8. Braverman N, Dodt G, Gould SJ, Valle D. 1998. An isoform of pex5p, the human PTS1
- receptor, is required for the import of PTS2 proteins into peroxisomes. Hum. Mol. Genet.
- **491 7:**1195-1205.
- 492 9. Galland N, Demeure F, Hannaert V, Verplaetse E, Vertommen D, Van der Smissen P,
- 493 Courtoy PJ, Michels PA. 2007. Characterization of the role of the receptors PEX5 and PEX7

- in the import of proteins into glycosomes of Trypanosoma brucei. Biochim. Biophys. Acta
- 495 **1773:**521-535.
- 496 10. Otera H, Okumoto K, Tateishi K, Ikoma Y, Matsuda E, Nishimura M, Tsukamoto T,
- 497 **Osumi T, Ohashi K, Higuchi O, Fujiki Y.** 1998. Peroxisome targeting signal type 1 (PTS1)
- receptor is involved in import of both PTS1 and PTS2: studies with PEX5-defective CHO cell
- 499 mutants. Mol. Cell. Biol. **18:**388-399.
- 500 11. Woodward AW, Bartel B. 2005. The Arabidopsis peroxisomal targeting signal type 2
- receptor PEX7 is necessary for peroxisome function and dependent on PEX5. Mol. Biol. Cell
- **16:**573-583.
- 503 12. Freitas MO, Francisco T, Rodrigues TA, Alencastre IS, Pinto MP, Grou CP, Carvalho
- AF, Fransen M, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2011. PEX5 protein binds monomeric catalase
- blocking its tetramerization and releases it upon binding the N-terminal domain of PEX14. J.
- 506 Biol. Chem. **286:**40509-40519.
- 13. Gatto GJ, Jr., Geisbrecht BV, Gould SJ, Berg JM. 2000. A proposed model for the PEX5-

- peroxisomal targeting signal-1 recognition complex. Proteins **38:**241-246.
- 509 14. Gunkel K, van Dijk R, Veenhuis M, van der Klei IJ. 2004. Routing of Hansenula
- 510 polymorpha alcohol oxidase: an alternative peroxisomal protein-sorting machinery. Mol. Biol.
- 511 Cell **15:**1347-1355.
- 512 15. Klein AT, van den Berg M, Bottger G, Tabak HF, Distel B. 2002. Saccharomyces
- cerevisiae acyl-CoA oxidase follows a novel, non-PTS1, import pathway into peroxisomes that
- is dependent on Pex5p. J. Biol. Chem. **277:**25011-25019.
- 515 16. Oshima Y, Kamigaki A, Nakamori C, Mano S, Hayashi M, Nishimura M, Esaka M. 2008.
- Plant catalase is imported into peroxisomes by Pex5p but is distinct from typical PTS1 import.
- 517 Plant Cell Physiol. **49:**671-677.

- 17. Dodt G, Warren D, Becker E, Rehling P, Gould SJ. 2001. Domain mapping of human PEX5
- reveals functional and structural similarities to Saccharomyces cerevisiae Pex18p and Pex21p.
- 520 J. Biol. Chem. **276:**41769-41781.
- 521 18. Matsumura T, Otera H, Fujiki Y. 2000. Disruption of the interaction of the longer isoform of
- Pex5p, Pex5pL, with Pex7p abolishes peroxisome targeting signal type 2 protein import in
- mammals. Study with a novel Pex5-impaired Chinese hamster ovary cell mutant. J. Biol.
- 524 Chem. **275**:21715-21721.
- 525 19. Schliebs W, Kunau WH. 2006. PTS2 co-receptors: diverse proteins with common features.
- 526 Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1763**:1605-1612.
- 527 20. Otzen M, Wang D, Lunenborg MG, van der Klei IJ. 2005. Hansenula polymorpha Pex20p
- is an oligomer that binds the peroxisomal targeting signal 2 (PTS2). J. Cell Sci. 118:3409-
- 529 3418.
- 21. **Purdue PE, Yang X, Lazarow PB.** 1998. Pex18p and Pex21p, a novel pair of related peroxins

- essential for peroxisomal targeting by the PTS2 pathway. J. Cell Biol. 143:1859-1869.
- 532 22. Sichting M, Schell-Steven A, Prokisch H, Erdmann R, Rottensteiner H. 2003. Pex7p and
- Pex20p of Neurospora crassa function together in PTS2-dependent protein import into
- peroxisomes. Mol. Biol. Cell **14:**810-821.
- 535 23. Stein K, Schell-Steven A, Erdmann R, Rottensteiner H. 2002. Interactions of Pex7p and
- Pex18p/Pex21p with the peroxisomal docking machinery: implications for the first steps in
- 537 PTS2 protein import. Mol. Cell. Biol. **22:**6056-6069.
- 538 24. Schafer A, Kerssen D, Veenhuis M, Kunau WH, Schliebs W. 2004. Functional similarity
- between the peroxisomal PTS2 receptor binding protein Pex18p and the N-terminal half of the
- 540 PTS1 receptor Pex5p. Mol. Cell. Biol. **24:**8895-8906.

- 541 25. Grou CP, Carvalho AF, Pinto MP, Alencastre IS, Rodrigues TA, Freitas MO, Francisco
- T, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2009. The peroxisomal protein import machinery--a case
- report of transient ubiquitination with a new flavor. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. **66:**254-262.
- 544 26. Hasan S, Platta HW, Erdmann R. 2013. Import of proteins into the peroxisomal matrix.
- 545 Front. Physiol. **4:**261.
- 546 27. Hu J, Baker A, Bartel B, Linka N, Mullen RT, Reumann S, Zolman BK. 2012. Plant
- peroxisomes: biogenesis and function. Plant Cell **24:**2279-2303.
- 548 28. Liu X, Ma C, Subramani S. 2012. Recent advances in peroxisomal matrix protein import.
- 549 Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. **24:**484-489.
- 550 29. Gouveia AM, Guimaraes CP, Oliveira ME, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2003. Insertion of
- Pex5p into the peroxisomal membrane is cargo protein-dependent. J. Biol. Chem. 278:4389-
- 552 4392.
- 553 30. Agne B, Meindl NM, Niederhoff K, Einwachter H, Rehling P, Sickmann A, Meyer HE,

- Girzalsky W, Kunau WH. 2003. Pex8p: an intraperoxisomal organizer of the peroxisomal
- import machinery. Mol. Cell **11:**635-646.
- 556 31. Oeljeklaus S, Reinartz BS, Wolf J, Wiese S, Tonillo J, Podwojski K, Kuhlmann K,
- 557 Stephan C, Meyer HE, Schliebs W, Brocard C, Erdmann R, Warscheid B. 2012.
- Identification of core components and transient interactors of the peroxisomal importomer by
- dual-track stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture analysis. J. Proteome Res.
- 560 **11:**2567-2580.
- 561 32. Reguenga C, Oliveira ME, Gouveia AM, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2001.
- Characterization of the mammalian peroxisomal import machinery: Pex2p, Pex5p, Pex12p, and
- Pex14p are subunits of the same protein assembly. J. Biol. Chem. **276:**29935-29942.

- 33. Gouveia AM, Reguenga C, Oliveira ME, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2000.
- Characterization of peroxisomal Pex5p from rat liver. Pex5p in the Pex5p-Pex14p membrane
- complex is a transmembrane protein. J. Biol. Chem. **275**:32444-32451.
- 567 34. Gouveia AM, Guimaraes CP, Oliveira ME, Reguenga C, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE.
- 568 2003. Characterization of the peroxisomal cycling receptor, Pex5p, using a cell-free in vitro
- import system. J. Biol. Chem. **278:**226-232.
- 570 35. Alencastre IS, Rodrigues TA, Grou CP, Fransen M, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2009.
- Mapping the cargo protein membrane translocation step into the PEX5 cycling pathway. J.
- 572 Biol. Chem. **284:**27243-27251.
- 573 36. Francisco T, Rodrigues TA, Freitas MO, Grou CP, Carvalho AF, Sa-Miranda C, Pinto
- MP, Azevedo JE. 2013. A cargo-centered perspective on the PEX5 receptor-mediated
- peroxisomal protein import pathway. J. Biol. Chem. **288:**29151-29159.
- 576 37. Oliveira ME, Gouveia AM, Pinto RA, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2003. The energetics of

- 577 Pex5p-mediated peroxisomal protein import. J. Biol. Chem. **278**:39483-39488.
- 578 38. Carvalho AF, Pinto MP, Grou CP, Alencastre IS, Fransen M, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo
- 579 **JE.** 2007. Ubiquitination of mammalian Pex5p, the peroxisomal import receptor. J. Biol.
- 580 Chem. **282:**31267-31272.
- 581 39. Williams C, van den Berg M, Sprenger RR, Distel B. 2007. A conserved cysteine is
- essential for Pex4p-dependent ubiquitination of the peroxisomal import receptor Pex5p. J. Biol.
- 583 Chem. **282:**22534-22543.
- 40. Miyata N, Fujiki Y. 2005. Shuttling mechanism of peroxisome targeting signal type 1
- 585 receptor Pex5: ATP-independent import and ATP-dependent export. Mol. Cell. Biol.
- **25:**10822-10832.

- 41. Platta HW, El Magraoui F, Schlee D, Grunau S, Girzalsky W, Erdmann R. 2007.
- 588 Ubiquitination of the peroxisomal import receptor Pex5p is required for its recycling. J. Cell
- 589 Biol. 177:197-204.
- 590 42. Platta HW, Grunau S, Rosenkranz K, Girzalsky W, Erdmann R. 2005. Functional role of
- the AAA peroxins in dislocation of the cycling PTS1 receptor back to the cytosol. Nat. Cell
- 592 Biol. **7:**817-822.
- 593 43. Debelyy MO, Platta HW, Saffian D, Hensel A, Thoms S, Meyer HE, Warscheid B,
- 594 Girzalsky W, Erdmann R. 2011. Ubp15p, a ubiquitin hydrolase associated with the
- 595 peroxisomal export machinery. J. Biol. Chem. **286:**28223-28234.
- 596 44. Grou CP, Carvalho AF, Pinto MP, Huybrechts SJ, Sa-Miranda C, Fransen M, Azevedo
- JE. 2009. Properties of the ubiquitin-pex5p thiol ester conjugate. J. Biol. Chem. 284:10504-
- 598 10513.
- 599 45. Grou CP, Francisco T, Rodrigues TA, Freitas MO, Pinto MP, Carvalho AF, Domingues

- P, Wood SA, Rodriguez-Borges JE, Sa-Miranda C, Fransen M, Azevedo JE. 2012.
- Identification of ubiquitin-specific protease 9X (USP9X) as a deubiquitinase acting on
- ubiquitin-peroxin 5 (PEX5) thioester conjugate. J. Biol. Chem. 287:12815-12827.
- 46. Miyata N, Hosoi K, Mukai S, Fujiki Y. 2009. In vitro import of peroxisome-targeting signal
- type 2 (PTS2) receptor Pex7p into peroxisomes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1793:860-870.
- 605 47. Grunau S, Schliebs W, Linnepe R, Neufeld C, Cizmowski C, Reinartz B, Meyer HE,
- Warscheid B, Girzalsky W, Erdmann R. 2009. Peroxisomal targeting of PTS2 pre-import
- complexes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Traffic **10:**451-460.
- 48. Leon S, Zhang L, McDonald WH, Yates J, 3rd, Cregg JM, Subramani S. 2006. Dynamics
- of the peroxisomal import cycle of PpPex20p: ubiquitin-dependent localization and regulation.
- 610 J. Cell Biol. **172:**67-78.

- 49. Nair DM, Purdue PE, Lazarow PB. 2004. Pex7p translocates in and out of peroxisomes in
- Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell Biol. **167:**599-604.
- 613 50. Liu X, Subramani S. 2013. Unique requirements for mono- and polyubiquitination of the
- peroxisomal targeting signal co-receptor, Pex20. J. Biol. Chem. **288:**7230-7240.
- 51. Bout A, Teunissen Y, Hashimoto T, Benne R, Tager JM. 1988. Nucleotide sequence of
- human peroxisomal 3-oxoacyl-CoA thiolase. Nucleic Acids Res. **16:**10369.
- 52. **Dammai V, Subramani S.** 2001. The human peroxisomal targeting signal receptor, Pex5p, is
- translocated into the peroxisomal matrix and recycled to the cytosol. Cell **105:**187-196.
- 619 53. Grou CP, Carvalho AF, Pinto MP, Wiese S, Piechura H, Meyer HE, Warscheid B, Sa-
- 620 Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2008. Members of the E2D (UbcH5) family mediate the
- delta ubiquitination of the conserved cysteine of Pex5p, the peroxisomal import receptor. J. Biol.
- 622 Chem. **283**:14190-14197.
- 623 54. Croes K, Foulon V, Casteels M, Van Veldhoven PP, Mannaerts GP. 2000. Phytanoyl-CoA

- hydroxylase: recognition of 3-methyl-branched acyl-coAs and requirement for GTP or ATP
- and Mg(2+) in addition to its known hydroxylation cofactors. J. Lipid Res. 41:629-636.
- 55. Costa-Rodrigues J, Carvalho AF, Fransen M, Hambruch E, Schliebs W, Sa-Miranda C,
- 627 **Azevedo JE.** 2005. Pex5p, the peroxisomal cycling receptor, is a monomeric non-globular
- 628 protein. J. Biol. Chem. **280:**24404-24411.
- 629 56. Carvalho AF, Grou CP, Pinto MP, Alencastre IS, Costa-Rodrigues J, Fransen M, Sa-
- Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2007. Functional characterization of two missense mutations in
- 631 Pex5p C11S and N526K. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1773:**1141-1148.
- 632 57. Carvalho AF, Costa-Rodrigues J, Correia I, Costa Pessoa J, Faria TQ, Martins CL,
- Fransen M, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE. 2006. The N-terminal half of the peroxisomal
- 634 cycling receptor Pex5p is a natively unfolded domain. J. Mol. Biol. **356**:864-875.

- 635 58. Pinto MP, Grou CP, Alencastre IS, Oliveira ME, Sa-Miranda C, Fransen M, Azevedo JE.
- 636 2006. The import competence of a peroxisomal membrane protein is determined by Pex19p
- 637 before the docking step. J. Biol. Chem. **281**:34492-34502.
- 638 59. Fransen M, Wylin T, Brees C, Mannaerts GP, Van Veldhoven PP. 2001. Human pex19p
- binds peroxisomal integral membrane proteins at regions distinct from their sorting sequences.
- 640 Mol. Cell. Biol. 21:4413-4424.
- 60. Dodt G, Braverman N, Wong C, Moser A, Moser HW, Watkins P, Valle D, Gould SJ.
- 642 1995. Mutations in the PTS1 receptor gene, PXR1, define complementation group 2 of the
- peroxisome biogenesis disorders. Nat. Genet. 9:115-125.
- 644 61. Otera H, Setoguchi K, Hamasaki M, Kumashiro T, Shimizu N, Fujiki Y. 2002.
- Peroxisomal targeting signal receptor Pex5p interacts with cargoes and import machinery
- components in a spatiotemporally differentiated manner: conserved Pex5p WXXXF/Y motifs
- are critical for matrix protein import. Mol. Cell. Biol. **22:**1639-1655.
- 648 62. Brites P, Motley AM, Gressens P, Mooyer PA, Ploegaert I, Everts V, Evrard P, Carmeliet

- P, Dewerchin M, Schoonjans L, Duran M, Waterham HR, Wanders RJ, Baes M. 2003.
- Impaired neuronal migration and endochondral ossification in Pex7 knockout mice: a model
- for rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctata. Hum. Mol. Genet. **12:**2255-2267.
- 652 63. Motley AM, Brites P, Gerez L, Hogenhout E, Haasjes J, Benne R, Tabak HF, Wanders
- 653 **RJ, Waterham HR.** 2002. Mutational spectrum in the PEX7 gene and functional analysis of
- mutant alleles in 78 patients with rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctata type 1. Am. J. Hum.
- 655 Genet. **70:**612-624.
- 656 64. Costa-Rodrigues J, Carvalho AF, Gouveia AM, Fransen M, Sa-Miranda C, Azevedo JE.
- 657 2004. The N terminus of the peroxisomal cycling receptor, Pex5p, is required for redirecting
- the peroxisome-associated peroxin back to the cytosol. J. Biol. Chem. **279**:46573-46579.

- 659 65. Haas AL, Warms JV, Rose IA. 1983. Ubiquitin adenylate: structure and role in ubiquitin
- activation. Biochemistry **22:**4388-4394.
- 661 66. Hwang ST, Schatz G. 1989. Translocation of proteins across the mitochondrial inner
- membrane, but not into the outer membrane, requires nucleoside triphosphates in the matrix.
- 663 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **86:**8432-8436.
- 664 67. Schliebs W, Saidowsky J, Agianian B, Dodt G, Herberg FW, Kunau WH. 1999.
- 665 Recombinant human peroxisomal targeting signal receptor PEX5. Structural basis for
- interaction of PEX5 with PEX14. J. Biol. Chem. **274:**5666-5673.
- 667 68. Leon S, Goodman JM, Subramani S. 2006. Uniqueness of the mechanism of protein import
- into the peroxisome matrix: transport of folded, co-factor-bound and oligomeric proteins by
- shuttling receptors. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1763:1552-1564.
- 670 69. **De Duve C, Baudhuin P.** 1966. Peroxisomes (microbodies and related particles). Physiol.
- 671 Rev. **46:**323-357.
- 672 70. **Fahimi HD.** 1969. Cytochemical localization of peroxidatic activity of catalase in rat hepatic

- 673 microbodies (peroxisomes). J. Cell Biol. 43:275-288.
- 71. Gould SJ, Kalish JE, Morrell JC, Bjorkman J, Urquhart AJ, Crane DI. 1996. Pex13p is
- an SH3 protein of the peroxisome membrane and a docking factor for the predominantly
- 676 cytoplasmic PTs1 receptor. J. Cell Biol. **135:**85-95.
- 72. Hensel A, Beck S, El Magraoui F, Platta HW, Girzalsky W, Erdmann R. 2011. Cysteine-
- dependent ubiquitination of Pex18p is linked to cargo translocation across the peroxisomal
- 679 membrane. J. Biol. Chem. **286:**43495-43505.
- 680 73. Schliebs W, Girzalsky W, Erdmann R. 2010. Peroxisomal protein import and ERAD:
- variations on a common theme. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:885-890.

Downloaded from http:
from
http:/
/mcb
asm.
org/
9
//mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by g
er 2
, 2018
by
gues

682	74. Fujiki Y, Matsuzono Y, Matsuzaki T, Fransen M. 2006. Import of peroxisomal membrane
683	proteins: the interplay of Pex3p- and Pex19p-mediated interactions. Biochim. Biophys. Acta
684	1763: 1639-1646.
685	

709

686	FIGURE LEGENDS
687	Fig. 1- ³⁵ S-PEX7 acquires a protease-protected and organelle-associated status in in vitro
688	import reactions in a PEX5L- and PTS2-dependent manner.
689	A-C, In vitro import assays of ³⁵ S-PEX7 and ³⁵ S-pre-thiolase in the absence or presence of the
690	indicated recombinant proteins. PEX5L(N526K) is indicated by "PEX5NK". Lanes I, 10% (A and
691	B) or 5% (C) of the reticulocyte lysates containing ³⁵ S-PEX7 and ³⁵ S-pre-thiolase used in each
692	reaction. Lane I in C, 5% of the reticulocyte lysates containing ^{35}S -PEX7 and ^{35}S -pre-thiolase used
693	in each reaction. The asterisk in A and B marks a radiolabeled band occasionally produced by the
694	in vitro translation kit in an unspecific manner. D , PEX7, but not PEX7(L70W), promotes import
695	of ³⁵ S-pre-thiolase to peroxisomes from PEX7 knockout mice. PNS from PEX7 knockout mouse
696	liver was used in import assays with 35S-pre-thiolase in the presence of a mock-translated
697	reticulocyte lysate (lane 1) or lysates containing ³⁵ S-PEX7 (lane 2) or ³⁵ S-PEX7(L70W) (lane 3).
698	Lanes I_1 , I_2 and I_3 , 5% of the reticulocyte lysates containing $^{35}\text{S-pre-thiolase}, ^{35}\text{S-PEX7}$ and $^{35}\text{S-PEX7}$
699	PEX7(L70W) used in the reactions, respectively. pre-Thiol and m-Thiol, precursor and mature
700	forms of thiolase, respectively. E, Soluble ³⁵ S-PEX7 is completely susceptible to pronase in the
701	absence of Triton X-100. F, Organelles from an import assay made in the presence of recombinant
702	$\Delta C1PEX5L$ and p-PHYH were isolated by centrifugation, resuspended in import buffer and
703	subjected to pronase digestion in the absence (lane 1) or presence (lane 2) of 1% (w/v) Triton X-
704	100. Lane I, 5% of the reticulocyte lysate containing ³⁵ S-PEX7. In A-D and F, pronase-treated
705	organelles were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane.
706	Autoradiographs (upper panels) and the corresponding Ponceau S-stained membranes (lower
707	panels) are shown.

710	Fig. 2- The energetics of PEX7 import.
711	A , A primed rat liver PNS fraction (see Material and Methods) was incubated with ³⁵ S-PEX7 for 7
712	min in import buffer containing $\Delta C1PEX5L$ and p-PHYH in the presence of either ATP (lane 1),
713	or AMP-PNP (lane 2). An identical assay but using apyrase-treated PNS and ³⁵ S-PEX7 was also
714	performed (lane 3). Lanes I ₁ and I ₂ , 5% of the reticulocyte lysates containing ³⁵ S-PEX7 used in
715	lanes 1 and 2 (- apyrase), and lane 3 (+ apyrase), respectively. B , A non-monoubiquitinatable form
716	of PEX5 (Δ C1PEX5L(C11A)) is as efficient as Δ C1PEX5L in targeting PEX7 and pre-thiolase to
717	the peroxisome. Import assays with ³⁵ S-PEX7 and ³⁵ S-pre-thiolase were made in import buffer
718	containing ATP and GST-Ub, in the absence (lane 1) or presence of recombinant Δ C1PEX5L (lane
719	2) or Δ C1PEX5L(C11A) (lane 3). Note that ubiquitination of Δ C1PEX5L with GST-Ub results in
720	a species that is no longer export-competent (38, 53). Lane I, 5% of the reticulocyte lysates
721	containing ³⁵ S-PEX7 and ³⁵ S-pre-thiolase were mixed and loaded together in the same lane.
722	Pronase-treated organelles were analyzed as described in Fig. 1. Autoradiographs (upper panels)
723	and the corresponding Ponceau S-stained membranes (lower panels) are shown.
724	
725	Fig. 3- PEX7 becomes transiently exposed to the organelle matrix during the PTS2-mediated
726	protein import pathway.
727	A, ³⁵ S-pre-thiolase containing an arginine instead of a leucine at position 4 (preL4R-Thiol; lane 2),
728	in contrast to 35 S-pre-thiolase (pre-Thiol; lane 1), is not imported in vitro. Lanes I_1 , I_2 and I_3 , 5% of
729	the reticulocyte lysates containing ³⁵ S-PEX7, ³⁵ S-pre-thiolase and ³⁵ S-preL4R-thiolase,
730	respectively. B, 35S-preL4R-PEX7 was subjected to import assays in the absence (lane 1) or
731	presence of the indicated recombinant proteins (lanes 2-4). Pronase-treated organelles were
732	analyzed by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. clv-PEX7, cleaved 35S-
733	preL4R-PEX7. C, ³⁵ S-preL4R-PEX7 promotes import of ³⁵ S-pre-thiolase to peroxisomes from

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by guest

PEX7 knockout mice. PNS from PEX7 knockout mice was used in import assays with ³⁵S-pre-

thiolase in the presence of either a mock-translated reticulocyte lysate (lane 1) or a lysate containing ³⁵S-preL4R-PEX7 (lane 2). Import and processing of ³⁵S-pre-thiolase is best seen in import assays using unlabeled/cold preL4R-PEX7 (lane 3) due to the fact that mature thiolase comigrates with uncleaved preL4R-PEX7 (lane 2, asterisk). Lanes I₁ and I₂, 5% of the reticulocyte lysates containing ³⁵S-preL4R-PEX7 and ³⁵S-pre-thiolase used. **D**, Control experiments showing that processing of 35S-preL4R-PEX7 in import assays occurs only under import-permissive conditions. 35S-preL4R-PEX7 was subjected to import assays in the presence of the indicated recombinant proteins. At the end of the incubation the samples were halved and treated or not with pronase, as indicated. The import reactions were then centrifuged to obtain organelle pellets (P) and supernatants (S). Total pellets (derived from 500 µg of PNS) and ¼ of the corresponding supernatants were subjected to SDS-PAGE and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The asterisk indicates a soluble minor preL4R-PEX7-derived fragment displaying some resistance to pronase. PEX19, a protein involved in another aspect of peroxisome biogenesis (74), was used in these assays as a negative control for NDPEX14. E, Import assays with ³⁵S-preL4R-PEX7 were performed in the presence of Δ C1PEX5L (lanes 1 and 3) or Δ C1PEX5L(C11A) (lanes 2 and 4). Pronase-treated organelles (lanes P) and untreated supernatants (lanes S) were analyzed as in D. Autoradiographs (upper panels) and the Ponceau S-stained membranes (lower panels) are shown. Lanes I, 5% of the reticulocyte lysate containing ³⁵S-preL4R-PEX7 used in each reaction.

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by gues

752753

754

755

756

757

758

759

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

Fig. 4- PEX7 is exported back to the cytosol in a PEX5L export-dependent manner.

A, ³⁵S-PEX7 was imported for 15 min in the presence of p-PHYH, ΔC1PEX5L, ubiquitin and ATP. The reaction mix was then diluted with ice-cold import buffer and the organelles were isolated by centrifugation and subjected to an export assay in the presence of ATP (see Material and Methods for details). Aliquots were collected at the indicated time points, and one half was treated with pronase while the other was left untreated. Equivalent amounts of organelles from the

pronase-treated aliquots and supernatants from the untreated aliquots (derived from 125 μg of PNS) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. **B**, PEX7 export assays. In "standard reactions", the Δ C1PEX5L- and p-PHYH-mediated import of ³⁵S-PEX7 was allowed to occur at 37 °C for 15 min in the presence of ubiquitin and ATP. At this point, import was inhibited by the addition of NDPEX14 (30 μM) and the reaction further incubated. Aliquots were taken at the indicated time points. Pronase-treated organelles were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. PEX7 export was inhibited when ATP was replaced by AMP-PNP (*top*). Likewise, replacing ubiquitin by GST-Ub in the import step inhibits subsequent export of PEX7 (*middle*). The same inhibition was observed when recombinant Δ C1PEX5L was replaced by Δ C1PEX5L(C11A) (*bottom*). Lanes I, 5% of the reticulocyte lysates containing ³⁵S-PEX7. Autoradiograph (upper panels) and the corresponding Ponceau S-stained membrane (lower panels) are shown. **C**, The bar graph shows the average percentage of PEX7 export after 20 min under the conditions described in B. Standard deviations (n \geq 3) are also presented.

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by gues

Fig. 5- Peroxisomal PEX5L and PEX7 display different export kinetics.

A, Targeting of PEX5L to the peroxisome in a PTS2-only in vitro import system. A reticulocyte lysate containing ³⁵S-PEX5L was pre-incubated with either a mock-translated lysate (lane 1) or a lysate containing ³⁵S-PEX7 plus 0.5 μg of p-PHYH (lane 2). Each mixture was then subjected to import assays using PNS supplemented with ATP and 1 μM recombinant TPRs, the PTS1-binding domain of PEX5. After pronase treatment, organelles were subjected to SDS-PAGE analysis and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. Lanes I₁ and I₂, 5% of the reticulocyte lysates containing ³⁵S-PEX7 and ³⁵S-PEX5L used in the assays, respectively. B, A mixture of ³⁵S-PEX7 and ³⁵S-PEX5L pre-incubated with recombinant p-PHYH was subjected to a 15 min import assay using TPRs-treated PNS in the presence of AMP-PNP. The reaction was diluted with ice-cold import

buffer, and the organelles were isolated by centrifugation, resuspended in import buffer and subjected to an export assay in the presence of ATP, TPRs and NDPEX14. Aliquots were collected at the indicated time points. Pronase-treated organelles were analyzed as in A. Lanes I₁ and I₂, 2% of the reticulocyte lysates containing ³⁵S-PEX7 and ³⁵S-PEX5L used in the assays, respectively. The bar graph shows averages and standard deviations (n=3) of the amounts of peroxisomal ³⁵S-PEX7, stage 2 35S-PEX5L (PEX5 stg2) and stage 3 35S-PEX5L (PEX5 stg3) at each time point. Stage 2 and stage 3 PEX5 are two DTM-embedded transmembrane PEX5 populations (38, 44). Stage 2 PEX5 is converted into stage 3 PEX5 by monoubiquitination at its cysteine 11. The two populations display different susceptibility to proteases: stage 2 PEX5 is cleaved near the N terminus yielding a 2-kDa shorter protein, whereas stage 3 PEX5 is completely resistant because the N-terminal domain is protected by the covalently attached ubiquitin moiety. Note that stage 3 PEX5L runs exactly as unmodified full-length PEX5L upon SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions because the PEX5-ubiquitin thiolester linkage is destroyed by DTT. The open arrow head indicates an export-incompetent N-terminally truncated PEX5L species produced in the in vitro transcription/translation reactions (see also (56)). This species also serves as an internal negative control in the export assay.

Downloaded from http://mcb.asm.org/ on November 2, 2018 by gues

801

804

805

806

807

808

809

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

802 Fig. 6- Peroxisomal PEX5L remains tightly bound to the peroxisomal membrane while a

803 fraction of PEX7 behaves as a matrix protein.

A, A mixture of ³⁵S-PEX7 and ³⁵S-PEX5L pre-incubated with p-PHYH was subjected to an import assay using TPR-treated PNS in the presence of ATP (left panel) or AMP-PNP (right panel), as indicated. After pronase treatment, organelles were disrupted by sonication. Half of the suspension was left on ice (lanes T) while the other half was subjected to ultracentrifugation to obtain membrane (P) and soluble (S) fractions. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. After autoradiography to detect ³⁵S-PEX7 and ³⁵S-PEX5L, the

membrane was probed with antibodies against Catalase (α-CATALASE) and PEX13 (α-PEX13)
PEX5 stg2 and PEX5 stg3, stage 2 and stage 3 35S-PEX5L, respectively. Note that PEX13 is
converted into a 28-30 kDa fragments after protease treatment (33). B, An identical sonication
experiment was done using rat liver purified peroxisomes. The nitrocellulose membrane was also
probed with antibodies against PEX7 (α -PEX7).

Fig. 7- Working model for the PEX5L-PEX7-mediated import pathway.

After its assembly in the cytosol, the trimeric PEX5L-PEX7-PTS2 protein complex docks at the docking/translocation machinery (DTM) [arrow 1]. This receptor-cargo complex then becomes inserted into the DTM [arrow 2]. This step culminates with the PTS2 cargo protein being delivered to the organelle matrix (where the PTS2 is cleaved) and PEX5L displaying a transmembrane topology (*i.e.*, stage 2 PEX5L). At this stage, PEX7 is completely protected from exogenous proteases exposing at least its N terminus to the peroxisome matrix. PEX7 may be completely released from the DTM into the matrix milieu (pathway A) or may be retained at the DTM until the export step (pathway B). Following insertion into the DTM, PEX5L is monoubiquitinated at the conserved cysteine 11 residue [arrow 3], yielding stage 3 PEX5L. Monoubiquitination of PEX5L allows its ATP-dependent extraction from the DTM [arrow 4], and the subsequent export of PEX7 [arrow 5]. After deubiquitination of PEX5L [arrow 6], the protein transport cycle restarts.













