

Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible

This is an author's version published in: http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/22892

Official URL : https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2018.11.011

To cite this version:

Hamdar, Alaa[®] and El Hage, Salomé[®] and Bousejra-El Garah, Fatima[®] and Baziard, Geneviève[®] and Roques, Christine[®] and Lajoie Halova, Barbora[®] Yield enhancement strategy of dithiolopyrrolone from Saccharothrix algeriensis by aliphatic alcohols supplementation. (2019) Process Biochemistry, 77. 18-22. ISSN 1359-5113

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator: <u>tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr</u>

Yield enhancement strategy of dithiolopyrrolone from *Saccharothrix algeriensis* by aliphatic alcohols supplementation

Alaa Hamdar, Salomé El Hage, Fatima El Garah, Geneviève Baziard, Christine Roques, Barbora Lajoie^{*}

Laboratoire de Génie Chimique, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques, 35 chemin des Maraîchers, 31062, Toulouse Cedex 09, France

Keywords: Saccharothrix algeriensis Dithiolopyrrolones Reactive oxygen species Alcohols addition The production of dithiolopyrrolones by *Saccharothrix algeriensis* was investigated after supplementing the culture medium with ethanol and/or 1-butanol. Optimal conditions for the addition of ethanol to the culture medium provided a maximal dithiolopyrrolone titer of about 200 mg·L⁻¹ after 5 days of culture, roughly corresponding to a 600%-increase. Using NAD(P)H oxidase inhibitor (diphenyleneiodonium) or reactive oxygen species scavenger (para-aminobenzoic acid), we suppose that ethanol promotes the formation of reactive oxygen species in *Saccharothrix algeriensis*, which, in turn, could induce biomass decline and dithiolopyrrolone overproduction. However, the underlying mechanisms remain to be elucidated. These results may be helpful for the control of dithiolopyrrolone yields from *Saccharothrix algeriensis* cultures.

1. Introduction

In the search for substitutes to the current production processes of chemicals, microorganisms can be considered as an attractive alter native. Dithiolopyrrolones (DTP) are a promising class of antimicrobial agents found in the culture broths of some Streptomycetes, and other microorganisms such as the symbiotic bacteria, Xenorhabdus spp [1], or the marine bacterium Alteromonas rava [2]. This class of antibiotics encompasses about thirty compounds, including holomycin, thiolutin, aureothricin and thiomarinols, that are characterized by a unique pyrrolinodithiole (4H [1,2] dithiolo [4, 3 b] pyrrol 5 one) nucleus substituted with two variable alkyl groups (Fig. 1) [3]. These com pounds exhibited a broad spectrum antibacterial activity, especially against Gram positive bacteria, mediated by RNA and protein synthesis inhibitions [4, 5]. Moreover, holomycin and thioluthin have been re ported as potential antitumor agents [6, 7]. The antimicrobial activities of DTP can be of interest in the agro alimentary industry for managing of plant pathogens. For example, the DTP of the xenorhabdin group have shown potential in crop protection against plant diseases, such as the phytophthora blight or the grey mould [8]. DTP derivatives from Saccharothrix algeriensis could be used to control development of several strains of Fusarium oxysporum [9].

Thiolutin like DTP are produced by various bacterial strains such as Saccharothrix algeriensis, a filamentous actinobacterium isolated from

the soil of the palm groves of Adrar in southern Algeria [10]. Several research groups have focused their attention on this bacterium because of its ability to produce new DTP from organic acids through precursor directed biosynthesis [11 13]. However, few studies aimed at im proving DTP production; therefore our objective is the development of new approaches to stimulate the production of secondary metabolites in S. algeriensis. In the natural environment, the microbial production of secondary metabolites is linked to many external factors and physio logical signals. In liquid cultures, the production of secondary meta bolites by Gram positive bacteria is generally confined to the stationary phase and is frequently associated with the depletion of nutrient pools. Thus, adding a chemical elicitor inducing stress conditions to the cul ture medium is a rather convenient strategy to enhance the synthesis of secondary metabolites [14]. Among many other chemicals, ethanol supplementation to the culture medium of some antibiotic producing bacterial strains has a positive impact on antibiotic production. This phenomenon has been described for validamycin A production by Streptomyces hygroscopicus 5008 [15]. The microbial secondary meta bolism stimulation triggered by ethanol may be mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS). Besides their well known toxicity toward living cells, ROS are also involved in complex physiological processes such as cell signaling [16] and could activate genes transcription of secondary metabolites biosynthesis [15].

Stress from aliphatic alcohols, like ethanol or 1 butanol, has been

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: barbora.lajoie@univ-tlse3.fr (B. Lajoie).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2018.11.011



Fig. 1. Structures of some dithiolopyrrolones.

studied in various bacteria showing the ROS overproduction [17], but no reports were available about the use of 1 butanol for secondary metabolism elicitation. In this work, we focused on the effects of low concentration levels of two aliphatic alcohols (ethanol and 1 butanol) on cell growth and DTP biosynthesis in *S. algeriensis*.

The culture conditions were modified by adding those alcohols at different concentrations and at different times of incubation. Experiments were also conducted under conditions preventing the ROS overproduction (in presence of ROS scavenger or ROS producing en zyme inhibitor) [18] in order to demonstrate a putative link between ROS generation and DTP production in *S. algeriensis*. Diphenyleneiodi nium (DPI) has frequently been used to inhibit ROS production medi ated by flavoenzymes, particularly NAD(P)H oxidase [18]. Para ami nobenzoic acid (PABA) is described as ROS scavenger [19].These results may be helpful for the control of secondary metabolism and DTP yields.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Microorganism and culture conditions

Saccharothrix algeriensis NRRL B 24137 (= DSM 44581) was used in this study. S. algeriensis was cultured on International Streptomyces Project 2 (ISP2) agar plates for 7 days at 30 °C, and the mycelium was then harvested, transferred into a cryoprotective medium (0.1% Tween 80 and 1:1 (v/v) glycerol water mixture) and stored at -20 °C. The titer of the suspension expressed as colony forming units per milliliter (CFU mL⁻¹) of suspension was determined by enumeration on ISP2 agar plates.

ISP2 solid medium contains (per liter of distilled water): 4 g yeast extract (Biomérieux), 4 g $_{\rm D}$ (+) glucose (Prolabo), 10 g malt extract (Bacto) and 18 g agar (Biomérieux). The pH of medium was adjusted to 7.0 with a 2 M NaOH solution, and the medium was autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 min.

For precultures and cultures, we used a basal semi-synthetic medium (SSM) [10] containing, per liter of distilled water: 2 g (NH₄)₂SO₄ (Prolabo), 2 g NaCl (Prolabo), 2 g yeast extract (Biomér ieux), 5 g CaCO₃ (Prolabo), 1 g K₂HPO₄ (Fluka), 0.5 g KH₂PO₄ (Fluka), 0.2 g MgSO₄·7H₂O (Prolabo), and 15 g of D (+) glucose (Prolabo). Be fore autoclaving, the pH of the medium was adjusted to 7.0 using 2 M NaOH solution.

Precultures of *S. algeriensis* were grown from 1 mL aliquots of frozen bacterial suspension $(10^8 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$ inoculated into 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 mL of SSM each. These precultures were incubated at 30 °C under shaking at 250 rpm for 48 h. Thereafter, cultures were prepared in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing each 50 mL of culture medium inoculated with 5 mL of homogenized pre culture. Cultures were incubated at 30 °C under shaking at 250 rpm for no longer than 120 h (5 days).

The cultures were supplemented with either ethanol or 1 butanol (Acros) to achieve final concentrations ranging from 10 mM to 680 mM

(0.06 4 % v/v) of ethanol, or 10 mM to 100 mM (0.09 0.9% v/v) of 1 butanol. Alcohols were added to the medium at 0 h, or 24 h or 48 h of incubation. In parallel, a non supplemented control culture was used for comparison under each experimental condition.

In our experiments, to evaluate the involvement of ethanol in ROS generation, two kinds of ROS inhibitors were used: DPI and PABA. The culture medium was supplemented with 1 or 2 μ M of DPI (Sigma) or with 5 or 10 μ M of PABA (Acros) in absence or presence of ethanol (300 mM, 1.74% v/v).

2.2. Measurement of cell growth and DTP production

For biomass measurement, the whole volume of culture broth was filtered using a vacuum filtration system. The obtained biomass was treated with 30 mL of 0.3 M HCl to remove $CaCO_3$, the pellets thus collected were dried at 98 °C for 24 h and weighed. The results were expressed as g L⁻¹ of culture broth.

Culture supernatants were filtered through a 0.2 µm filter and the analysis of DTP content was carried out by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). Holothin was used as an external standard for the plotting of the calibration curve and the quantification of DTP, since the molar extinction coefficient (ε) of holothin at 390 nm is nearly identical to the extinction coefficient of all other known pyrrothines (ε_{390} ranging from 8317 to 9333 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) [10]. The results were expressed as mg L¹ of culture.

The analytical HPLC equipment consisted of a Hitachi (La Chrom, Merck) instrument, equipped with an isocratic pump and UV detector. A Hypersil BDS C18 RP Column (Thermo Scientific) was used (250 mm \times 4.6 mm, 5 µm particle size) fitted with a guard column (Thermo Scientific). The column was maintained at 30 °C and the mobile phase consisted in a 3:7 (v/v) distilled water/acetonitrile mixture; the flow rate was set to 0.8 mL min⁻¹. The injection volume was 20 µL. DTP were detected at 390 nm.

To evaluate the amount of DTP that might be trapped within the cells, the biomass pellets were re suspended in 1 mL of ethanol and sonicated for 10 min. After centrifugation, the resulting supernatant was analyzed by HPLC. No DTP signal was detected.

2.3. Determination of the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and the Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of ethanol and 1 butanol

The MIC of ethanol and 1 butanol against *S. algeriensis* were de termined using the broth microdilution method described in the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (CLSI. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard Tenth Edition. CLSI document M07 A10. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2015). Briefly, alcohols were loaded into the wells of a sterile 96 wells microtiter plate and subjected to two fold serial dilutions with Müller Hinton medium (Biomerieux, Craponne, France) to achieve final concentrations of alcohols ranging from 64% to 1% v/v.

The microtiter plates were inoculated with *S. algeriensis* preculture $(10^6 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1})$, to obtain a final concentration of 10^5 CFU mL^{-1} . The 96 well plates were incubated for 5 days at 30 °C. Cell suspensions were added into all wells except outer wells which were used as sterility control. Growth wells (with bacteria and without test solution) were also included. The plates were prepared in triplicate.

MBC was determined by sampling $100\,\mu$ L of culture broth from every well in which no bacterial growth could be observed during the MIC determination experiment and then plating the aliquots onto ISP2 agar plates. MBC for each alcohol was determined as the lowest alcohol concentration for which no bacterial growth could be observed in those subcultures after a 5 day incubation at 30 °C.



Fig. 2. Effect of ethanol (a) or 1-butanol (b) addition on *S. algeriensis* biomass (white bars) and DTP concentrations (grey bars) after 5 days of incubation. Results are expressed as means and standard deviations of triplicate experiments. Statistical differences (*, p < 0.05, **, p < 0.01 and ***, p < 0.001) between test compound and its respective control (n = 9).

2.4. Statistical analysis

All results are expressed as the mean values of three independent experiments carried out in triplicate. The error bars indicate the stan dard deviation (SD) from the mean value. Data were analyzed using Wilcoxon signed rank test and Duncan's multiple comparison analysis on R Statistical Software Version 1.0.136 2009 2016 © RStudio, Inc. The difference between contrasting treatments was considered sig nificant when p < 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of non cytotoxic ethanol concentrations on biomass and DTP production

First, the MIC and MBC of ethanol were determined, corresponding to 16% and 32% v/v respectively (equivalent to 2.74 M and 5.48 M). Subsequent experiments were carried out with sub MIC concentrations of ethanol, ranging from 10 to 685 mM, added initially to the culture medium. The effect of ethanol concentrations on biomass and DTP production, after 5 days of incubation, are reported in Fig. 2a. The weight of biomass decreased significantly when ethanol concentration increased. When the ethanol concentration was 4% v/v (685 mM) the yield of biomass was decreased five fold (to 0.30 \pm 0.07 g L⁻¹), as compared to the non supplemented control culture $(1.50 \pm 0.45 \text{ g L}^{-1})$. There is also a dose dependent relationship be tween ethanol concentrations and DTP production, which rises from $31 \pm 7 \text{ mg L}^1$ of culture (control) to reach a maximum at $231.2 \pm 32 \text{ mg L}^1$ of culture in the presence of 3% v/v of ethanol (514 mM). At higher ethanol concentrations (4% v/v), the DTP pro duction was further diminished, to $115 \pm 11 \text{ mg L}^1$ of culture, evi dencing the negative impact of high ethanol concentration levels on DTP biosynthesis.

Similar dose dependent inductions of antibiotics in presence of ethanol under the appropriate conditions were observed in cultures of other bacterial strains such as *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* 5008] [15].

3.2. Effect of non cytotoxic 1 butanol concentration levels on biomass and DTP production

The MIC / MBC were also determined: 4 and 8% v/v, respectively, which correspond to 430 mM and 870 mM). These values are much lower than the ones found with ethanol. In the subsequent experiences *S. algeriensis* was incubated for 5 days in presence of 1 butanol at final concentrations of 10, 50 and 100 mM, which correspond to 0.09, 0.45 and 0.9% v/v, respectively (Fig. 2b).

At all concentrations tested, 1butanol had a significantly negative impact on biomass production, yields being about 30% lower than in control cultures. At 10 mM and 50 mM the DTP concentration remains stable, at concentration level similar to that of the control (about 30 mg L⁻¹) despite the biomass decline. However, at 100 mM, DTP con centration drops dramatically to 4.4 \pm 0.4 mg L⁻¹. These observations

may indicate that 1 butanol is more toxic to *S. algeriensis* than ethanol, resulting in a decline of metabolic activity. As 1 butanol is more hy drophobic than ethanol, it may penetrate easily into the cytoplasmic membranes and alter the membrane structure, interfering with vital functions of the bacterium [20].

The simultaneous addition of 300 mM of ethanol and 10 mM or 50 mM of 1butanol to the culture medium at 0 h was tested for a po tential synergistic effect of both alcohols on the DTP production (see Fig. S1 in Supplementary data)., The combination of both alcohols entails no observable benefit in respect to the production of DTP. These results highlight the detrimental effect of 1 butanol on *S. algeriensis* secondary metabolism.

3.3. Effect of the time of ethanol supplementation on biomass and DTP production

Under standard culture conditions, *S. algeriensis* growth includes two exponential phases of growth interrupted by two stationary phases related to the DTP biosynthesis [21]. The DTP production starts after the first growth period (*i.e.*, after about 15 h of culture) when the first amino acid pools are depleted, and persists for approximately 20 h. After 40 h of culture, the second growth phase takes place, and the second phase of DTP production coincides with the depletion of the glucose pool (after about 90 h of culture). Hence, in order to investigate the impact of ethanol on the different growth phases, the alcohol was added to the medium at 0 h (at the start of the first exponential phase), at 24 h (during the first phase of DTP biosynthesis) or at 48 h (at the early stage of the second exponential phase) after the beginning of culture fermentation.

For these experiments, a final ethanol concentration of 300 mM was used. First, to assess if ethanol evaporated or was enzymatically cata bolized during the incubation, ethanol concentration in culture broth was monitored at various time (0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h, 120 h) of incubation, both in the presence and in the absence of *S. algeriensis*. In both experiments, the concentration of ethanol in the medium re mained stable over 72 h, and decreased slightly afterward (see Fig S2 in supplementary data).

After a 72 h incubation, the biomass production was significantly altered when ethanol was added at 0 h and 48 h (0.62 \pm 0.14 g L $^{-1}$ and 0.90 \pm 0.10 g L $^{-1}$ respectively) and slightly impacted when ethanol was added at 24 h (1.30 \pm 0.10 g L $^{-1}$) as compared to the control (1.50 \pm 0.30 g L $^{-1}$) (Fig. 3a).

Hence, ethanol addition seems to exert a significant positive effect on DTP production if is added at an early stage of culture (at 0 h) (Fig. 3b). In this conditions, after 48 h of culture, the DTP concentration reached 37 \pm 7 mg L⁻¹, more than twice the DTP concentration level observed in control (14.5 \pm 2 mg L⁻¹), and peaked at 148 \pm 23 mg L⁻¹ after 72 h of culture (Fig. 3b). However, the latest additions of ethanol (24 h or 48 h) had no significant effect on DTP production after 72 h of incubation. Consequently, if ethanol is added at an early stage of culture (at 0 h) the first growth phase could be shortened and the me tabolism could be shifted sooner toward the production of secondary



Fig. 3. Effect of ethanol time addition on *S. algeriensis* (a) biomass and (b) DTP concentration after 3 days of incubation. Ethanol final concentration 300 mM (1.74% v/v). Results are expressed as means and standard deviations of triplicate experiments. Statistical differences (*, p < 0.05, **, p < 0.01 and ***, p < 0.001) between test compound and control (n = 9).

metabolites, leading to enhancement of DTP biosynthesis.

The addition of ethanol only after 24 h of culture, during the sta tionary phase, leads to a slight decrease in biomass yields and to a progressive increase in DTP production after 72 h of incubation as compared to the control (Fig. 3a and b). The addition of ethanol after 48 h of culture, i.e. during the second growth phase, seems to have a deleterious effect on cells, as we observed decrease in biomass without positive effect on DTP production. The inhibition of cell growth and decrease of microbial viability, caused by low concentration levels of ethanol exposure, has already been described for *Staphylococcus aureus* [22]. Moreover, this effect persisted long after ethanol in the culture medium had been.

These findings indicate that the cellular physiological state mod ifications in *S. algeriensis*, and DTP biosynthesis, seem to be closely related to the time of ethanol addition.

3.4. Modulation of the inducing effect of ethanol by ROS inhibitors

Finally, we studied a putative mechanism involving ROS by which ethanol may stimulate DTP production. In order to establish whether ethanol promotes ROS production mediated by oxidases or peroxidases, experiments were conducted by combining ethanol with an inhibitor of key ROS generating enzymes, DPI, which is widely used as an un competitive inhibitor of NAD(P)H oxidases [18].

We determined the concentrations of DPI which did not affect cell growth of *S. algeriensis.* DPI was added to the culture medium in final concentrations ranging from 1 μ M to 10 μ M. After a 5 day incubation, no effect on either biomass production or DTP production could be observed for DPI concentrations $\leq 2 \mu$ M.

Then, 1 or 2 μ M of DPI were added to the culture medium at 0 h, in the absence or presence of 300 mM ethanol. As shown in Fig. 4 (a, b, white bars) in absence of ethanol, neither biomass nor DTP production

were affected in cultures containing DPI. These parameters were similar to those of the control cultures. In accord with previous observations, the biomass yield was reduced by 60% in cultures supplemented with ethanol without ROS inhibitor, and the addition of DPI lead to almost complete biomass recovery (Fig. 4a grey bars). As we observed above, the presence of ethanol supports the microbial DTP production. But, the addition of the ROS inhibitor to culture containing ethanol canceled its positive effect on DTP production in dose dependent manner, resulting in similar level of DTP in culture containing ethanol and 2 μM of DPI as those observed in non supplemented control cultures without ethanol (Fig. 4b grey bars). DPI could thus prevent at least enzymatic ROS production, induced by ethanol. Supplementary experience was con ducted in presence of ROS scavenger PABA. PABA, at 5 or 10 µM, was added to the culture medium at 0 h, in the absence or presence of 300 mM ethanol. No effect was observed on the biomass and DTP production in cultures containing PABA alone (Fig. 5a and b, white bars). In the cultures with PABA and ethanol, the biomass yield is more important than in the culture containing ethanol without this ROS scavenger, this yield is close to those of control culture without ethanol (Fig. 5a grey bars).

Presence of PABA in culture medium supplemented with ethanol reduces DTP concentration by about 60%, with respect to the culture with ethanol alone (Fig. 5b grey bars). The positive effect on biomass grown could be related to PABA function as a protector of cells against ROS radicals [19]. In this way, PABA by reacting with ROS, the decline in DTP production might be due to the low level of ROS in culture medium.

These results suggest several roles of ethanol in *S. algeriensis* culture: the deleterious effect on cell growth and elicitation of DTP biosynthesis, via ROS. These species have been shown to be toxic but also have role as signalling molecules to control microbial behavior, allowing for adaptation to stress injury [16].



Fig. 4. Effect of ethanol alone (white bars) or in the presence of ROS-inhibitor (DPI) (grey bars) on *S. algeriensis* (a) biomass and (b) DTP concentrations after 5 days of incubation. Results are expressed as means and standard deviations of triplicate experiments. Statistical differences (*, p < 0.05, **, p < 0.01 and ***, p < 0.001) between test compound and control, similar letters over the bars represent homogeneous mean groups (n = 9).



Fig. 5. Effect of ethanol alone (white bars) or in the presence of ROS scavenger (PABA) (grey bars) on *S. algeriensis* (a) biomass and (b) DTP concentrations after 5 days of incubation. Results are expressed as means and standard deviations of triplicate experiments. Statistical differences (*, p < 0.05, **, p < 0.01 and ***, p < 0.001) between test compound and control, similar letters over the bars represent homogeneous mean groups (n = 9).

4. Conclusion

This study revealed that sub toxic concentrations of ethanol ($\leq 3\%$ v/v) could be beneficial to the DTP production by *S. algeriensis*, in a dose dependent relationship. Ethanol promotes the generation of ROS, and, as a result, microbial stress, leading to DTP overproduction. Further research should allow us to evaluate the impact of ethanol on the expression of genes implicated in DTP biosynthesis.

Funding sources

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not for profit sectors.

Acknowledgement

We thank Silvia Villarreal for the analysis of the ethanol content in culture broths through HPLC refractive index detector.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2018.11.011.

References

- [1] B.V. McInerney, R.P. Gregson, M.J. Lacey, R.J. Akhurst, G.R. Lyons, S.H. Rhodes, D.R. Smith, L.M. Engelhardt, A.H. White, Biologically active metabolites from *Xenorhabdus* spp, Part 1. Dithiolopyrrolone derivatives with antibiotic activity, J. Nat. Prod. 54 (1991) 774–784.
- [2] H. Shiozawa, T. Kagasaki, T. Kinoshita, H. Haruyama, H. Domon, Y. Utsui, K. Kodama, S. Takahashi, Thiomarinol, a new hybrid antimicrobial antibiotic produced by a marine bacterium. Fermentation, isolation, structure, and antimicrobial activity, J. Antibiot. (Tokyo) 46 (1993) 1834–1842, https://doi.org/10.7164/ antibiotics.46.1834.
- [3] W.D. Celmer, I.A. Solomons, The structures of thiolutin and aureothricin, antibiotics containing a unique pyrrolinonodithiole nucleus, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77 (1955) 2861–2865, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01615a058.
- [4] B. Oliva, A. O'Neill, J.M. Wilson, P.J. O'Hanlon, I. Chopra, Antimicrobial properties and mode of action of the pyrrothine holomycin, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45 (2009) 532–539, https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.2.532-539.2001.
- [5] A.N. Chan, A.L. Shiver, W.J. Wever, S.Z. Razvi, M.F. Traxler, B. Li, Role for dithiolopyrrolones in disrupting bacterial metal homeostasis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114 (2017) 2717–2722, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1612810114.
- [6] B. Li, M.P. Lyle, G. Chen, J. Li, K. Hu, L. Tang, M.A. Alaoui-Jamali, J. Webster, Substituted 6-amino-4H-[1,2]dithiolo[4,3-b]pyrrol-5-ones: synthesis, structure-activity relationships, and cytotoxic activity on selected human cancer cell lines, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 15 (2007) 4601–4608, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2007. 04.017.
- [7] Y. Jia, S.L. Wu, J.S. Isenberg, S. Dai, J.M. Sipes, L. Field, B. Zeng, R.W. Bandle, L.A. Ridnour, D.A. Wink, R. Ramchandran, B.L. Karger, D.D. Roberts, Thiolutin inhibits endothelial cell adhesion by perturbing Hsp27 interactions with

components of the actin and intermediate filament cytoskeleton, Cell Stress Chaperones 15 (2010) 165–181, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12192-009-0130-0.

- [8] X.L. Fang, Z.Z. Li, Y.H. Wang, X. Zhang, In vitro and in vivo antimicrobial activity of Xenorhabdus bovienii YL002 against Phytophthora capsici and Botrytis cinerea, J. Appl. Microbiol. 111 (2011) 145–154, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011. 05033 x
- [9] R. Merrouche, A. Yekkour, L. Lamari, A. Zitouni, F. Mathieu, N. Sabaou, Efficiency of Saccharothrix algeriensis NRRL B-24137 and its produced antifungal Dithiolopyrrolones compounds to suppress Fusarium oxysporum-induced wilt disease occurring in some cultivated crops, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 42 (2017) 2321–2327, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-017-2504-4.
- [10] L. Lamari, A. Zitouni, H. Boudjella, B. Badji, N. Sabaou, A. Lebrihi, G. Lefebvre, E. Seguin, F. Tillequin, New dithiopyrrolone antibiotics from *Saccharothrix* sp. SA 233 Taxonomy, fermentation, isolation and biological activites, J. Antibiot. 55 (2002) 696–701, https://doi.org/10.7164/antibiotics.55.702.
- [11] N. Bouras, F. Mathieu, N. Sabaou, A. Lebrihi, Influence on dithiolopyrrolone antibiotic production by organic acids in *Saccharothrix algeriensis* NRRL B-24137, Process Biochem. 42 (2007) 925–933, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2007.02. 007.
- [12] N. Bouras, R. Merrouche, L. Lamari, F. Mathieu, N. Sabaou, A. Lebrihi, Precursordirected biosynthesis of new dithiopyrrolone analogs by *Saccharothrix algeriensis* NRRL B-24137, Process Biochem. 43 (2008) 1244–1252, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.procbio.2008.07.008.
- [13] R. Merrouche, N. Bouras, Y. Coppel, F. Mathieu, N. Sabaou, New dithiolopyrrolone antibiotics induced by adding sorbic acid to the culture medium of *Saccharothrix algeriensis* NRRL B-24137, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 318 (2011) 41–46, https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02246.x.
- [14] U.R. Abdelmohsen, T. Grkovic, S. Balasubramanian, M.S. Kamel, R.J. Quinn, U. Hentschel, Elicitation of secondary metabolism in actinomycetes, Biotechnol. Adv. 33 (6) (2015) 798–811, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2015.06.003.
- [15] W.W. Zhou, B. Ma, Y.J. Tang, J.J. Zhong, X. Zheng, Enhancement of validamycin A production by addition of ethanol in fermentation of *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* 5008, Bioresour. Technol. 114 (2012) 616–621, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2012.03.124.
- [16] B. D'Autréaux, M.B. Toledano, ROS as signaling molecules: mechanisms that generate specificity in ROS homeostasis, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8 (2007) 813–824, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2256.
- [17] B.J. Rutherford, R.H. Dahl, R.E. Price, H.L. Szmidt, P.I. Benke, A. Mukhopadhyay, J.D. Keasling, Functional genomic study of exogenous n-butanol stress in *Escherichia coli*, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76 (6) (2010) 1935–1945, https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.02323-09.
- [18] A. Liszkay, E. van der Zalm, P. Schopfer, Production of reactive oxygen intermediates (O₂ , H₂O₂, and OH) by maize roots and their role in wall loosening and elongation growth, Plant Physiol. 136 (2004) 3114–3123, https://doi.org/10. 1104/pp.104.044784.
- [19] S.V. Vasilieva, M.S. Petrishcheva, E.I. Gusarova, A.N. Osipov, Vitamin Para-Aminobenzoic Acid (PABA) Controls Generation of Nitric Oxide (NO) In Vitro and Its Biological Functions in the Bacterial Cells, Adv. Technol. Biol. Med. 4 (2016) 195–200, https://doi.org/10.4172/2379-1764.1000195.
- [20] S. Liu, N. Qureshi, How microbes tolerate ethanol and butanol, N. Biotechnol. 26 (2009) 117–121, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2009.06.984.
- [21] C. Strub, C. Brandam, X. Meyer, A. Lebrihi, Investigations of Saccharothrix algeriensis growth on synthetic media, J. Biosci. Bioeng. 106 (2008) 148–153, https://doi.org/ 10.1263/jbb.106.148.
- [22] G.A. Chatterjee, G.A. Somerville, C. Heilmann, H.G. Sahl, H.H. Maurer, M. Herrmann, Very low ethanol concentrations affect the viability and growth recovery in post-stationary-phase *Staphylococcus aureus* populations, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72 (2006) 2627–2636, https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.4.2627-2636. 2006.