

Attributes of graduate entry nurses: An integrative review



Patricia McClunie-Trust PhD RN

Centre for Health and Social Practice, Wintec, New Zealand



INTRODUCTION

Graduate entry nursing (GEN) programmes enable quicker launch into the workforce and may expedite graduates' entry into leadership and other advanced research and practice roles in health care services. The overall aim of graduate entry programmes is in keeping with international literature on health workforce development that articulates strategies for professional mobility between health and other elements of the workforce (World Health Organisation [WHO], 2016).

BACKGROUND

Contemporary approaches to education and training for health professionals need to look beyond existing models and approaches that have served past health service needs. Population growth, cultural diversity, and extended life expectancies, will require different relationships, knowledge and skill sets to support the health and wellbeing of more diverse populations across the lifespan (Wheeler, Fisher & Wing-sum Li, 2014). The New Zealand Ministry of Health (2013, p. 2) supports strategies that will meet future health workforce demands, including enabling both current and future health professionals to "be able to upskill or change their career direction in more efficient, effective and authentic ways". GEN programmes have been offered internationally for a number of years in response to increasing demands for an ongoing supply of nurses to work in all sectors of health services (Downey & Asselin, 2015). New Zealand health service feedback suggests that maturity, multiple skill sets, and critical thinking and judgement are attributes that are evident among graduate entry nurses (Cassie, 2015).

RESEARCH METHOD

An integrative review approach was used to synthesise the published research and other literature on the attributes of graduate entry nurses. The integrative review method enables researchers to synthesise research from a variety of research approaches (Whittemore & Knafik, 2005).

The research question was framed as:

What are the demographics and attributes of graduate entry to practice students and registered nurses?

References

Brewer, C. S., Kowar, C. T., Poonima, S., Fuschild, S., Kim, H., & Djukić, M. (2009). A comparison of second-degree baccalaureate and traditional baccalaureate new graduate RNs: Implications for the workforce. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 25(1), 5-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2007.12.003>

Cantlay, A., Salamanc, J., Golow, C., Wolf, D., Mann, C., & Nicholson, P. (2017). Self-perception of readiness for clinical practice: A survey of accelerated masters program graduate registered nurses. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 28, 34-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nep.2017.07.005>

CASP (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme) (2017). *CASP Qualitative Research Checklist* [online]. Retrieved 1st May 2018 from <http://casp.bham.ac.uk/casp/online/>

Cassie, F. (2015). *Gaining a Masters before you master nursing*. Nursing Review, 2(60).

Downey, K. M., & Asselin, M. E. (2015). Accelerated master's programs in nursing for non-nurses: an integrative review of students' and faculty's perceptions. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 31(3), 219-225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2015.10.007>

Everett, B., Salamanc, Y., Trajkovski, S., & Fernandez, R. (2013). Demographic and academic-related differences between standard-entry and graduate-entry nursing students: A prospective correlational survey. *Nurse Education Today*, 28(7), 789-793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nep.2013.03.006>

Fernandez, R., Salamanc, Y., & Griffin, K. (2012). Emotional intelligence as a predictor of academic performance in first-year accelerated graduate entry nursing students. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 23(23-24), 3485-3492. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2012.04199.x

Gonzales, L. K., Gasser, D., Howland, L., Clark, M., J., Hultzhim, S., Macaskay, K., ... & Ward, J. (2017). Assessing learning styles of graduate entry nursing students as a classroom research activity: A quantitative research study. *Nurse Education Today*, 48, 25-31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nep.2016.09.016>

Johnson, S. A., & Johnson, L. J. (2008). Second-degree, entry-to-practice master's of nursing program: Lessons learned. *Nurse Education*, 33(5), 228-232. doi: 10.1097/01.NNE.0000321212.27451.99


McKenna, L., & Brooks, J. (2018). Graduate entry students' early perceptions of their future nursing careers. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 28, 292-295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nep.2017.11.005>

MCH (Ministry of Health). (2013). *Framing the future: Proposal Paper from the Health Science and Technical Workforces*. Wellington, NZ: Ministry of Health.

The research data

Twenty four published research reports on attributes of graduate entry nurses were sourced from CINAHL, Proquest, Science Direct, and open source databases including PubMed, DOAJ and CORE, using keywords 'graduate entry nursing', 'academic performance', 'readiness for practice', 'socialisation', and 'perceptions'.

Table 1: Search record



The Critical Appraisal Programmes (CASP, 2017) Checklist was used to ascertain the quality, relevance and validity of the articles identified in the database and other search approaches. As a result of this critical appraisal, 24 articles were included in the review.

Data analysis

A thematic synthesis approach (Thomas & Harden, 2008) was used to analyse the qualitative information presented as findings in the articles. This approach involved three levels of analysis; coding of text line by line, the identification of descriptive themes, and the creation of analytical themes. Descriptive themes were used to group statements from the text of the articles, while the analytical themes were interpretive explanations created in the conceptual synthesis.

Demographics of GENs

Table 2: Age range and gender

Study	Age		Gender	
	Age band	%	Female %	Male %
Everett et al. (2013)	Not identified	Mean age 28	75	25
Gonzales et al. (2017)	21-56	Mean age 28.93	78.6	21.4
McKenna & Brooks (2018)	21-30	56.3	66.8	24.8
	31-40	25.5		
	41-50	15.0		
	51+	2.4		
Ramjan et al. (2018)	23-53	Mean age 30	79	21
Siler et al. (2008)	20-29	65.81	82.5	17.5
	30-39	23.28		
	40-49	7.83		
	50+	3.09		

Table 3: Previous qualification

Degree	McKenna et al. (2018) %	Ramjan et al. (2018) %	Siler et al. (2008) %
Science	37.8	1	56
Business	13.6	1	13.4
Arts	9.8	-	13.2
Psychology	5.6	1	-
Public health/Health Science	5.2	-	-
Medicine/paramedicine	4.9	1	2.1
Communication	-	-	4.9
Allied health or pharmacy	2.1	-	4.8
Education	1.7	-	3.0
RN, overseas	-	17	-
Medical degree, overseas	-	3	-

FINDINGS

Key themes

Confidence, competence and motivation as learners

Emotional intelligence within relationships

Focus on research based practice

Challenges for faculty

DISCUSSION

Key attributes GEN students bring to Master's level accelerated programmes include critical thinking skills, maturity, motivation to learn, and emotional intelligence (Cantlay et al., 2017; Fernandez et al., 2012; Ramjan et al., 2018). Prior experience of the workforce enables development of a strong work ethic with effective time management, leadership and organisational skills. GENs also understand how research provides the evidence base for professional practice (Johnson & Johnson, 2008). GEN programmes produce graduates that are able to safely enter the workforce with comparable skills to graduates from traditional undergraduate programmes. They are more mature, able to transfer prior work experience into nursing contexts, and seek ongoing learning opportunities as graduate nurses (Oermann et al., 2010; Rafferty & Lindell, 2011). However, GENs require an approach to professional socialisation that takes account of the impact of the attributes they bring to nursing. Sheppard et al. (2018) suggest that a professional supervision model may work well with GENs, where they can experience non-judgmental relationships in the presence of empathy and trust. Research on employment shows that GENs have higher rates of retention, and more rapid career progression to advanced clinical roles (Brewer et al., 2009; Payne & Mullen, 2014; Stacey & Holland, 2017). They tend to be ambitious in their future career direction, but over the long term are likely to have a wide diversity in their professional roles (McKenna & Brooks, 2018).

Conclusion

GEN programmes have demonstrated success in producing work-ready graduates, however further research is needed to evaluate whether findings of international studies are transferable to New Zealand nursing education and workforce contexts.

Oermann, M. H., Poole-Dawkins, K., Alvarez, M. T., Foster, B. B., & O'Sullivan, R. (2010). Managers' perspectives of new graduates of accelerated nursing programs: How do they compare with other graduates? *The Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*, 41(9), 384-400. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022019910384000>

Payne, L. K., & Mullen, P. (2014). Outcome measures for traditional and accelerated nursing graduates: an integrative literature review. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 35(4), 238-243. doi: 10.5480/22.2008.1

Rafferty, M., & Lindell, D. (2011). How nurse managers rate the clinical competencies of accelerated (second-degree) nursing graduates. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 50(6), 355-358. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NNE.0b013e3182010220>

Ramjan, L. M., Menez, D., Everett, B., Grew, P., Trajkovski, S., Lynch, J., & Salamanc, Y. (2018). Students' experiences of embedded academic literacy support in a graduate entry nursing program: A qualitative study. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 28, 302-309. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nep.2017.12.001>

Sheppard, F., Stacey, G., & Audekirk, A. (2018). The importance, impact and influence of group clinical supervision for graduate entry nursing students. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 28, 296-301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nep.2017.11.015>

Siler, B., DeBacco, N., & Roberts, K. (2008). Profile of non-nurse college graduates enrolled in accelerated baccalaureate curricula: results of a national study. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 29(6), 336-341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nep.2008.08.003>

Stacey, G., & Holland, K. (2017). Graduate entry nurse education: A challenge or opportunity for the future of the profession? *Nurse Education in Practice*, 22, A1-A2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nep.2016.08.003>

Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Meth*, 8, 45-46.

Wheeler, E., Fisher, J., Wing-sum, L. S. (2014). Transforming and scaling up health professional education. *Res Health*, 2(1), 139-147. doi: 10.2196/resmedica.v2i1.1123

Whittemore, R., & Knafik, K. (2005). The integrative review: updated methodology. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 52(5), 546-553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03621.x>

WHO (World Health Organisation). (2016). *Global strategy on human resources for health: workforce 2030*. Geneva: WHO Press. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/259388/9789241511313-eng.pdf?sequence=1>