



# WestminsterResearch

http://www.westminster.ac.uk/westminsterresearch

Multi-criteria evaluation method for freight logistics innovations Permala, A., Rantasila, K., Porthin, M., Hinkka, V., Eckhardt, J. and Leonardi, J.

This paper is a *manuscript version* (reviewed, revised and typeset, but not the final published PDF) of a paper submitted to and accepted for publication in IET Intelligent Transport Systems and is subject to Institution of Engineering and Technology Copyright. The copy of record is available at the IET Digital Library:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-its.2014.0187

The WestminsterResearch online digital archive at the University of Westminster aims to make the research output of the University available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the authors and/or copyright owners.

Whilst further distribution of specific materials from within this archive is forbidden, you may freely distribute the URL of WestminsterResearch: ((<u>http://westminsterresearch.wmin.ac.uk/</u>).

In case of abuse or copyright appearing without permission e-mail repository@westminster.ac.uk

IET Intelligent Transport Systems

# Multi-criteria evaluation method for freight logistics innovations

10

Antti Permala<sup>1</sup> ⊠, Karri Rantasila<sup>1</sup>, Markus Porthin<sup>1</sup>, Ville Hinkka<sup>1</sup>, Jenni Eckhardt<sup>2</sup>, Jacques Leonardi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technical Research Centre of Finland, Vuorimiehentie 3, 02150 Espoo, Finland <sup>2</sup>Technical Research Centre of Finland, Kaitoväylä 1, 90571 Oulu, Finland

<sup>15</sup> <sup>3</sup>University of Westminster, 35 Marylebone Road, London NW1 5LS, United Kingdom ∞ E-mail: antti.permala@vtt.fi

Abstract: The objective of this study is to build up a common approach for the assessment of logistics innovations. Innovations are referred to here as best practices and are considered as existing approaches or solutions providing an answer to a relevant problem or challenge in freight transport. The impact evaluation of logistics innovations takes into account strategic targets, topics covered, transferability and novelty of the best practices. A freight specific multicriteria analysis approach was designed for the evaluation process.

25

65

## 1 Introduction

The minimisation of inventory and assisting the rapid flow of goods through the supply chain are the key elements in achieving cost efficiency and responsiveness in a volatile business environment [1]. Moreover distribution affects the overall profitability of a firm, because logistics and transport impact directly on both the supply chain cost and the customer experience [2]. The use of technology

as an enabler for improved logistics services is increasing <sup>35</sup> remarkably. The importance of environmental issues is also on the rise because of political and image issues.

As a result, sustainable and efficient freight solutions are being pursued and several operators and stakeholders are announcing reports on their innovations and best practices in freight logistics

- <sup>40</sup> across Europe. Best practice is considered here as an existing approach or solution (industrial business cases, measures, administrative procedures and research results) providing an answer to a relevant problem or challenge in freight transport. However, their comparison and rational assessment pose a number of challenges, as different stakeholders have their own criteria for
- 45 determining what they consider innovative best practice. There is a lack of standardised assessment methodologies throughout various industrial sectors.

The objective of this paper is to build up a systematic process for the assessment of logistics innovations and their transferability to other operating environments. A comprehensive method is striven for which is transparent and easy to use and understand. The methodology is addressed to European transport policy makers to add an important dimension for understanding the role of freight logistics within European transport policy. Moreover companies can use the approach to assess and compare different innovations <sup>55</sup> and their suitability for their own use.

There are two aspects to the evaluation. The first is innovation case selection; the second is assessment of the impacts of selected cases. In this paper, evaluation criteria and indicators are developed for best practice impact analysis. The approach is based on findings in related literature and previous EU projects on freight transport best

<sup>60</sup> related interative and previous EO projects on height datsport best practice such as PROMIT, BESTUFS and SUGAR [3, 4]. The wider on-going international debate on evaluation of trials in freight transport and logistics also inspired this paper [5].

The impact analysis should show where the innovation is most usable or appropriate. The assessment should also show the type of problem and the circumstances in which the results are most useful. The assessment methodology should be usable for all types of logistics and freight transport best practice contributions, such as innovative technology trials, industrial systems, case studies and policy schemes.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: the main features of different impact assessment methodologies are discussed in Section 2 'Overview of impact assessment methodologies'. Section 3 'Evaluation method for freight logistics innovations' describes the developed multi-criteria evaluation method and example results and the paper closes with the Section 4 'Conclusions'.

#### 2 Overview of impact assessment methodologies

The rigour of evaluation methods applied depends on the user group. Practitioners often use informal evaluation or simplified formal methods. The guidelines approach includes a simplified cost/ benefit analysis. Researchers use comprehensive and sophisticated methods including multi-criteria analysis (MCA), which requires more extensive information collection (Fig. 1). An evaluation method cannot unambiguously determine the best solution without input on the preferences of the decision maker. However, it can provide information and aid in the decision-making processes [6].

#### 2.1 Cost-benefit analysis (CBA)

The European Commission (EC) guide to CBA [7] offers to EU officials, external consultants and other parties a common agenda for the evaluation process. CBA of investment projects is explicitly required by the new EU Regulations for structural funds, cohesion fund and instrument for pre-accession countries, for projects with a budget higher than, 50, 10 and 5 Million Euro, respectively. The main limitation for the use of CBA in logistics solutions evaluation is the lack of willingness of many managers to share data on costs of technology trials. Therefore other evaluation methods are needed.

### 2.2 Multi-criteria analysis

MCA is a broad term for different methods for analysing available options taking multiple criteria into account. Dodgson [8] refers to MCA as 'approaches that make the options and their contribution

#### ISSN 1751-956X Received on 18th August 2014 Revised on 12th April 2015 Accepted on 11th May 2015 doi: 10.1049/iet-its.2014.0187 www.ietdl.org

85

80

70

75



100

90

110

105

115

125

130





Fig. 1 Practice, guidelines and research in evaluation [6]

to the different criteria explicit, and require the exercise of judgement,' noting further that 'they differ in how they combine the data.' MCA techniques can be used to identify the most preferred option, to rank options, to short-list a limited number of options for subsequent detailed appraisal, or simply to distinguish acceptable and unacceptable possibilities.

MCA methods for situations with either an infinite or discrete number of options range from informal ones only listing the characteristics of each option to sophisticated methods such as multi-criteria optimisation, goal programming, outranking methods and multi-attribute value theory (MAVT) [9]. In MAVT [10] each decision alternative is assigned a value  $v_i(x_i)$  for each attribute  $x_i$ according to the preferences of the decision-maker. The alternatives are given values from 0 for the least desirable to 1 for the most desirable alternative with regard to each attribute. The attribute-specific values are then combined using a value function to represent the overall value of the alternative. MAVT using an additive value function

165

170

$$V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i v_i(x_i)$$
$$w_i \in [0, 1], \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1, \quad v_i(x_i) \in [0, 1] \forall i$$

is referred to as value tree analysis (VTA) [10]. The weights  $w_i$  of the attributes  $x_i$  indicate a subjective trade-off between attributes, that is, how significant the decision-maker considers a change from the

- <sup>175</sup> worst to the best level of that attribute relative to a similar change in another attribute. An additive value function exists if, and only if, the attributes are mutually preferentially independent [11], that is, preference statements regarding one attribute are independent of the values of the others. The analytic hierarchy process [12]
  (AHP) is an application of VTA, where scoring is based on pairwise comparison of alternatives and sub-objectives. While the pairwise comparisons are straightforward and convenient, the
- number of required comparisons easily become overwhelming in any real case model. AHP suffers also from logical inconsistencies such as rank reversal [13].
- <sup>185</sup> One limitation of the VTA method in the context of logistics solution evaluation is that it does not allow an in-depth understanding of causes and effects. Especially when it comes to understanding how a managerial decision led to investments in new technologies, it is necessary to know why this decision was taken. Another limitation is that it does not provide help for quantitative measurements on the scale and magnitude of the
- quantitative measurements on the scale and magnitude of the impacts. Therefore other methods are also needed.

## 2.3 Before-after studies

Before-after analysis is also used for impact assessment. The logic of a before-after study is relatively simple: 'before' refers to a measurement being made on the existing logistics activities before an intervention is introduced, and 'after' refers to a measurement being made after its introduction. The before-after design offers evidence about intervention effectiveness and is most useful in demonstrating the immediate impacts of short-term programs. This is because over the longer term, more circumstances can arise that may obscure the effects of an intervention.

Many urban freight cases have been evaluated using the before-after approach. In the case of a last mile logistics business in London, a study was used that describes how urban consolidation centres are effective in reducing freight traffic and its environmental impacts in towns and cities. The results show that the total distance travelled and the CO2 emissions per parcel delivered fell by 20% and 54%, respectively, as a result of this 210 delivery system [14].

One of the limitations of before–after studies is that the original data collection effort of the 'before' situation must be repeated identically in the 'after' phase, which may suffer from bias through managerial decisions and changes in businesses or clients. This method is therefore not appropriate for evaluating any sort of logistics innovation. However, one of the great strengths of this method is that it provides very robust quantitative data on the economic, traffic, environmental and other external impacts of a solution. Some projects close to freight logistics have thus taken up this evaluation approach and integrated it into their framework; one of them is the EC project SMARTFUSION on the evaluation of electric vehicle trials and IT device development and testing for last mile distribution [15].

#### 2.4 Policy impact assessment

The EC has established a thorough approach to monitoring impact assessment and performance indicators attached to the white paper on transport [16]. The assessment criteria and performance indicators reflect the three elements of sustainability: economic, social and environmental impacts. Even more general but comprehensive instructions for policy impact assessment across various sectors can be found in the EC impact assessment guidelines [17].

The city-vitality-sustainability or cleaner and better transport in cities (CIVITAS) initiative was launched in 2002 to support cities in introducing transport measures and policies towards sustainable urban mobility. During the several phases of the CIVITAS initiative, numerous research and demonstration projects were carried out in cities across Europe. As part of the research, a transferability algorithm was developed to facilitate a successfully implemented transportation measure or package of measures to be transferred to other cities [18].

#### 2.5 Impact assessment in EC projects

The following EC-funded projects have performed impact evaluations: PROMIT, BESTUFS, SUGAR, NICHES, INNOSUTRA, SUPER GREEN, POSMETRANS and STRAIGHTSOL. The main aspects have been to analyse and compare the solution outcomes with a set of target criteria at business and policy level, impact areas and success and failures. The focus has also been on transferability of best practices and how to make recommendations. Some projects such as SUGAR, NICHES and TURBLOG propose similar approaches to transferability (Table 1).

Previous projects show that the main criteria in impact evaluations have related to the economy, society and the environment and that these criteria have been used for strategic target evaluation in the developed methodology.

## 3 Evaluation method for freight logistics innovations

#### 3.1 Case selection principles

The logistics innovations considered in this paper are cases provided by different stakeholders. Here, the best practice is defined as an

260

255

225

230

2

265	Table 1	Methodological input from previous best practice projects

		• • •	
	Project	Impact evaluation	Transferability
	PROMIT	Degree of fulfilment of defined requirements.	N/A
270	BESTUFS	Considerable and measurable positive effects (qualitative and quantitative) on relevant indicators. Positive and prequive impacts	N/A
275	SUGAR	Key performance indicators (KPI) at policy level	Good practice sites, transfer sites. Four transfer tools developed. Action plans.
	NICHES	Defined impact areas	Move most promising concepts 'niche' position to a 'mainstream' urban
280			application
	TURBLOG	N/A	Worldwide view CIVITAS 10 step transferability algorithm
285	INNOSUTRA	Commercial innovations and public policy initiatives. Success and failure. Systems analysis framework	N/A
	FREIGHTVISION ENABLE	N/A N/A	N/A Analysis of situation and problems in target, mapping challenges and
290	SUPERGREEN	KPIs grouped into five	N/A
	POSMETRANS	Criteria for policy schemes, innovative	N/A
295	STRAIGHTSOL	technologies, key players Multi-criteria decision analysis applied	N/A

innovative and feasible approach beyond the common practice.
Innovations include products, processes, services, technologies or
ideas that are more effective than previous ones and are accepted by markets, governments and society. To find potential best practice cases in logistics the case study methodology is used. A case study is an empirical inquiry that investigates a contemporary phenomenon within its real-time context, especially when the

<sup>305</sup> boundaries between the phenomenon and context are not evident [19]. When the purpose of the case study is to develop a theory, not to test it, the researchers can select the most suitable cases for the study and not worry whether the cases should be a representative of some population [20]. Therefore this paper employs the most developed solutions in Europe as the cases, the
<sup>310</sup> selection of which is the result of a comprehensive process.

The focus of the study has been urban freight transport, green logistics, co-modality and e-Freight. These topics were chosen as they represent the most pressing issues in freight transport and logistics in terms of economic, social and environmental sustainability, as well as being closely linked to important innovations and developments in freight service provision to meet

the needs of European economies, and finally because they are at the core of the EC freight transport logistics action plan from 2007 [21].

19 institutions in ten European countries searched for and
identified a series of cases that fulfilled a minimum specification. The cases should have a positive response to the elementary questions: Is it an innovation? Is it targeting both private benefits and public policy objectives and is the information publicly available? In total 272 cases from the year 2012 were submitted by the project partners. Thus it was necessary to narrow down the selection. This was done by further analysing the identified cases using four pre-assessment criteria [22]

• Innovative character and feasibility: The solution should be innovative and include more effective products, processes,

services, technologies or ideas that are accepted by markets, governments and society. Both the level of innovation and feasibility of a practice are taken into account.

• *Impact/effects:* The solution should have a positive strategic impact on business and/or policy targets.

• Accessibility of information: A minimum of accessible information is needed for consideration. This information has to allow an assessment and evaluation of the case.

• *Transferability of best practice cases:* Transferability of cases to other domains, situations, framework conditions or business structures has to be secured. At least a partial implementation with certain (necessary) adjustments should be possible outside of the originating environment.

Transferability refers to how applicable the best practice in question is in restructuring transport system and logistics chains in 345 other application areas. This might mean introducing new business models, service concepts and operational principles. Information technology might be used in connecting operations to logistics networks. Moreover the time horizon has an impact on transferability. This approach to transferability is in line with the 350 ten-step transferability algorithm developed as part of CIVITAS to facilitate measures to be transferred to other cities. The hypothesis is that if measures have been successfully implemented within a given geographical, demographic, socio-economic, cultural, technological, institutional and organisational setting, then comparable results in terms of the degree of attainment of the 355 measures' objectives can be achieved in areas characterised by a similar setting [18].

After the analysis, 50 cases were named as best practices or evolving best practices. The selection of urban freight cases are analysed in detail in the paper by Leonardi *et al.* [22].

The next step after the pre-assessment consisted of material and information collection for the selected 50 cases. A standardised collection format was developed. To advance the level of knowledge of all selected cases, a thorough information collection and basic segmentation is necessary within the format. The required information was retrieved through desk research and contact with the developers of a solution. <sup>360</sup>

#### 3.2 Impact evaluation

The guideline for the methodology development has been, while comprehensive, to keep it both relatively easy to understand and transparent. The objective of the evaluation methodology is to show where the case is most usable or appropriate. The analysis should further address the type of problem and the circumstances in which the results are most useful. The advantage of using one common tool for all cases is that it helps to harmonise the results and meets the demand for a simplified approach. 370

For the impact assessment of the logistics innovations, a common approach based primarily on MCA was developed. Cost-benefit and policy analyses were also employed. Evaluation criteria and indicators were selected and created for the best practice impact analysis. VTA was chosen as the main approach for the case evaluations, as it offers a standardised way to take multiple aspects into account and make their impacts commensurable. For the reasons discussed above, the available case data are not equally well suited to other assessment methodologies. Achievement of strategic targets, topics covered by the best practice and transferability to other domains were selected as the main evaluation criteria as outlined in Fig. 2.

It should be noted that the assumption in VTA of mutually preferential independency may not hold to all extents, for example, the value of transferability of a case may depend on how well the strategic targets are achieved. However, the additive value function used in VTA is still judged to be a reasonable approximation. It could be possible to restructure the value tree to avoid preferential dependencies, but this would make the structure of the model more complex and was thus omitted. In addition, the primary aim of the developed methodology is not to compare the cases with 395

385

380

335



Fig. 2 Impact evaluation value tree

415

430

each other, but to find cases that support desirable targets, to find covered topics with new innovations and approaches and assess the transferability of each case.

- The main evaluation criteria were further defined through an 420 enquiry completed by consultation with a group of experts [23]. The strategic targets were grouped into the sub-categories economy, service, society and environment. The topics covered by a case were grouped into infrastructure and technology, organisation and co-operation, operations and services, regulations and policy and knowledge, tools and methods (Table 2).
- 425 Transferability and novelty were assessed based on the sub-categories invention, maturity and implementation as outlined in Fig. 2.

For each sub-category a set of attributes, here called impacts, were defined to measure the performance of the case with regard to the

#### Table 2 Topics covered

435	Infrastructure and technology Access to transport networks, infrastructure and nodes Freight consolidation and transhipment Implementation of low emission technologies IT-technologies and solutions (for management and administration)
440	Innovative vehicles, vessels and equipment ICT (e.g. routing and guidance), transport optimisation <i>Organisation and cooperation</i> Business to business solutions, cooperation Competitive aspects: collaboration (cooperation with competitors), prioritisation (priorities on infrastructure and in nodes) Communication between authorities: cooperation, procedures, legal frameworks
445	Communication between businesses and authorities: coordination, consultation Business models: new form of ownership, risk management <i>Operations and services</i> Business to customer (B2C) solutions (e.g. e-commerce and last mile delivery)
450	Innovative operational solutions Value added services, development (or extension) of services Service quality and sustainability agreements/certification Transport management, fleet management <i>Regulations and policy</i> Access rules and restrictions of urban areas Land use and spatial planning: assessment and siting of transport
455	Tacilities and infrastructure Infrastructure financing: taxation, user charges, PPP Environmental standards and policy Interoperability and standardisation: vehicles, equipment, loading units, infrastructure Safety and security: measures, regulations, insurance <i>Knowledge, tools and methods</i> Modelling and forecasting Data collection and statistics
460	Education and training Working and implementation guidelines Monitoring and benchmarking of processes

sub-category (see Tables 2 and 3). Instead of using the standard 0 to 1 scale for the impacts, as usually done in VTA, a -2 to +2scale was used to highlight that both positive and unfavourable 465 impacts are taken into account

- (2) High positive impact
- (1) Slight positive impact
- (0) No impact or no available information
- (-1) Slight unfavourable impact
- (-2) High unfavourable impact

For each impact type belonging to strategic targets and transferability and novelty, evaluation guidelines were developed 475 to standardise the evaluations and minimise the effect of subjective views of the evaluators (see Table 3).

The overall value of a best practice was calculated following the VTA principles using an additive value function. The weighting of the sub-criteria and impacts was done in a hierarchical manner. 480 Each sub-criterion belonging to same main criterion was given equal weight, as was each impact type under a sub-criterion. However, the selection of weights was seen to be less essential in this application, since the main objective of the analysis was to highlight the favourable and unfavourable impacts of each case.

The assessment of a best practice was done using a three-step 485 process as shown in Fig. 3. In the first step, an expert evaluator reads the full version of the case description and assigns a score (-2 to +2) to each impact type on an evaluation spreadsheet. All numerical judgements are accompanied by a written justification noted down in the evaluation table. The evaluations rely only on 490 the written case description. Impact types for which no information is found receive an impact score of 0. Targets, topics and transferability issues are evaluated. Most cases deal only with some issues listed as evaluation criteria. After the first evaluation, a second evaluator together with the first one goes through all the issues to gain a mutual understanding and change the evaluation if 495 needed. Next, the evaluations are again reviewed by other partners involved in the process, in the framework of a separate working group and a workshop, in order to confirm the results. The second evaluation round caused some considerations of the evaluation results and further corrections; the third round led to only a few 500 more.

470

Next to the evaluation each innovation was described briefly, showing which gap or need the solution fills and the evaluated impacts on economics, service and the environment (see Table 4).

The results of the evaluation show the main impacts of each current best practice case, its main contribution compared with 505 other cases, strategic targets and topics where the case has beneficial or unfavourable impacts, and its transferability. The analysis further addresses the type of problem and the circumstances in which the results are most useful. The assessment methodology can be applied to different types of best practices, 510 such as case studies and policy schemes.

# 3.3 Example of results – Case TIEKE

515 The TIEKE (Finnish Information Society Development Centre) Verkottaja Service is an internet based service that assists business and public sector organisations in developing their data communication methods and practices to exchange business documents (messages) electronically with their partners. With the 520 Verkottaja Service guidelines, companies can take the leap from paper based business communication to electronic exchange of documents. The resulting timeliness and precision of communication improves their competitiveness in the market. The innovation of the service is to activate companies in the transport and logistics sector and in other business sectors to implement 525 standard based electronic data exchange as part of their daily business practice. The data exchange standards used are UN/ EDIFACT and UBL/XML.

> IET Intell. Transp. Syst., pp. 1-8 © The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015

530		<i>v</i> ealth among tabour, land the	new jobs		issions and I slightly less	vel in addition nental friendly	or y-efficient	nhouse gas		or a new effective ntal introduced prizontal iverse actors to the	gly success (e. Ily friendly) aracteristics ints Note: Deen	ISO, CEN, UBL Ite		ien benefits some part of	595
535		ut, income or v duction (such as n on at least in c	tt jobs 1: Some		ficantly less em technology with	nd on system le hift to environn	ificant load fact d of fuel, energ	ecrease 1: Greer		thology used f a concept; more ple, new horizor y that has been xample, new h sel in Europe, d sel in Europe, d	upporting stron », environmenta ise has some ch narkable constra at have not yet l	ndards such as where appropria		benefits, 1: When the second sec	600
540		ion of total out; e factors of proo s the distributior	f new permaner		ology with signi Introduction of	r noise locally a ple, full modal s issions and/or r	rgy only or signalectricity instead	e) significant de		y or existing ted n or new servic. vices, for exam or of technolog application: for ( st dual fuel ves elopment, comb	characteristics s sily transferrable traints 1: The ca cess and no rem ted for cases th	I or de facto sta onised practice v		and measurable on estimates, or	605
545		oths the distribution als or among the ital). 1: Smooth:	ficant creation o		uction of techno .g. eVehicles) 1:	emissions and/o emissions and/o ology, for exam	of renewable energy ment 1: Use of the mode load fa	house gas (CO2 partial decrease		of new technolog / new applicatio s, processes, se ation 1: Utilisati y recently, new ment system, fi dal corridor dev dal corridor dev	ase has several ant, low cost, ea remarkable cons ing strongly suc ty is only evalue	ibution to officie ibution to harm		n there are clear r but are based are measurable	610
550		2: Smoo individu and cap	2: Signi created		2: Introd noise (e	2: Zero to techr mode 1	2: Use d improve	2: Greer (CO2e)		2: Use c purpose product collabou relativel tranship intermo	2: The c g. efficie and no support feasibili	2: Contr 1: Contr		2: Wher are clea benefits	615
555			nd conditions	Se		(e)	nergy)	( <u></u> )	yovelty	ovative	(economic,	v		lear and effects	620
555		ution impacts	/ment level a	nmental issu	echnology	ons (Incl nois	resources (e	e change (GF	rability and I on	er er	se is feasible I)	se contribute disation	>	se provides c able positive	
560	lty	Distribu	Employ	Enviror	Green t	Emissio	Use of	Climate	Transfe Inventi	The cas charact	The cas politica	The cas standaı	Maturit	The cas measur	625
565	erability and nove	c Targets	me and goods, shortens ssets and mple, tcking and , reduction of	: and s or enables new	nts or operation ents or operation	e indicators 1:	ained shift to rail m cars to bikes	tasks and steps, eporting, oorting 1: cs above	s or not offered cess against	, improved y, improved nformation (less data 1: One factor	sustainability, or improving	solution, nal, vehicle etc). ncluding i dangerous about them, for lation on road, ore fluid traffic	mputer-assisted picious events 1: a processes, risk	ng SMEs 1:	630
570	targets and transf	ale +22 Strategi	iency regarding tii he movement of g of vehicles/other a rovement, for exai s, IT system for tra fast transhipment.	sses business activities ness opportunities	lable for investme loans for investme	s with measurable oject level	ays 1: Partial susta ectric vehicles, fro	ed administrative authorisation or re c messaging supp in one of the tobio	cess against rivals //service level suc	lality, for example creased punctualit ically transferred i proved access to o	age, for example, iented 1: One fact	for example, LPG ment (port, termin unusual events ir rmation regarding amages or inform working time regu d and reported, m	race available, col in on unusual/sus ionitoring of some	ction to unusuals s available includi	635
575	jing to strategic	ent values in sc	ovement in effic ole, accelerates t oetter planning of on 1: Minor imp is and processes vay congestion,	will create) new open new busi	cial money avail able, subsidies/l	ess opportunitie usiness or on pr	o rail or waterw diesel vans to el	nistration/reduction in authority and IT/electroni stration: at least	ervice level suc : Price or quality	rs improving qu insportation), in system, electroni data quality, im	irs improving irr development or	tts or damages, afety risk assess asier reaction to and better info it accidents or d he following of he following of ons are identifie	ance, track and t nent, fast reactio arking places, m	res in place, rea re /open service: ervices available	640
580	impact types belon	:t attributes/assessm	2: Significant e impr resources, for exampleed times, enables lead times, enables personnel, automation optimisation of route tracing, is avoids high.	empty kilometres , : 2: Has created (and v opportunities 1: May	2: Investors commer 1: R&D funding avail	2: Expandable busin Growth of existing b	2: Total modal shift I or waterways, from o	2: Reduction in adm for example, facilitat improved processes Reduction in admini	2: Price and quality/s by the competition 1 rivals	2. Two or more factc reliability (data or tra booking or other IT a mistakes), improved improving quality	2: Two or more factc modern, innovative, image	2: Decreases accider computer-assisted s, Enables faster and e disruption, pre-notes goods 1: May preven example, improves t incident and excepti lues stores	2: High level surveill security risk assessn Access to guarded p	assessment procedu 2: Several / alternativ Limited number of s	645
585	ines for	a/impac			bed					-		(s) (s)	_		650
590	Evaluation guidel	el criteria/sub-criteri c issues	/ and productivity in rocesses, transport ncl. costs)	iness	ty of funding/Redu risks	c growth/Transport	lift	n of administrative	ssues iveness	ncl strikes, weather		.g. to avoid damage	(e.g. to avoid thefts	) services	655
	Table 3	High leve Economic	Efficiency logistic p service (ii	New busi	Availabili financial	Economic activity	Modal sh	Reduction burden	Service is Competitu	Quality (I.	Image	Safety (e.	Security	Access to	660

	Some		ıs, hard		Ģ		Can be v dards)	
65	(in English), 1: S anguages	at least in pilot	model, solution		e restrictions (e.	ustries	ory systems 1: ( stem (e.g. to Lov iternational stan	
70	on can be found nglish or other l	1: Implemented	Iuding business some aspects		1: Including som	s to specific ind	I to other regular fic regulatory sy using specific ir	
75	eliable informati i is available in E	nted in full scale	in all aspects inc , IT 1: Scalable ir		any restrictions, pe of freight)	al other industrie	ridely transferrec to another speci ones or systems	
80	2: A lot of r information	2: Implemer	2: Scalable technology,		2: Without a weather, typ	2: To severa	2: Can be w transferred Emission Zo	
85	r and reliable vhich is widely essible	n implemented in ot)	ole		errable to other is	errable to other	ble to other s	
90	The case has clea information and v available and acc	The case has bee real life (not a pile	The case is scalal	Implementation	The case is transf geographical area	The case is transf industries	Case is transferra regulatory system	
95	t-up of SME		political (EU, erest group	luding SMEs	ntribute to ence and nt' aspect	ysical assets),	eed, modal improved reduction in g dangerous uid traffic	
00	business 1: Star		ce provider) and at least of one int	ders available inc	of an area that cc s, aesthetic cohe least one 'please	l, human and ph e assets	ehicles, lower sp arking on lanes, misation, minor mation regardin formed, more flu	
05	users in growing		stomer and servi s 1: Acceptance a	on, several provic available	d characteristics of f its pleasantness I attributes 1: At	measures (natura at least one of the	the number of v ess illegal truck p b because of opti s and better infoi (pectations are ir	
10	ice providers or ement		eral business (cu local) consensu	nonopoly situation Imited number	sical qualities and 's appreciation o I and recreationa Ie	ases monetary r ing 1: Increases a	example reduces om road to rail, lo y 1: Less mileage s used, pre-note incidents and ex	
15	2: Serv involve		2: Gene nation,	2: No n 1: Only	2: Phys people cultura availab	2: Incre wellbei	2: For e shift fro security vehicle goods,	
20	to SMEs	sues	e and influence	orovision of goods es	amenity value	neration (Incl. SMEs)	fety and security	
25	Relevance	Society is:	Acceptanc	Balanced <sub> </sub> and servic	Increased	Wealth ge	Highest sɛ	

 1st evaluation reads the full version of the case description and marks the score on the evaluation form.
 730

 2nd evaluation round
 •The first evaluator goes through all the issues again with another expert evaluator, in order to reach a mutual understanding and change the evaluations if needed.
 730

 3rd evaluation round
 •The results are sent to an external group of experts for checking and feedback. Final modifications are made.
 735

Fig. 3 Impact assessment process

740

745

755

Table 4 gives a qualitative evaluation of TIEKE and illustrates the different aspects of the evaluation. Specific gaps in the e-Freight area are partly addressed by this Best Practice solution. Impacts are described and recommendations are derived from the findings on the benefits obtained, such as time savings and high transferability.

Fig. 4 shows the scoring results for TIEKE and other e-freight cases. It is a series of mean impact values obtained from the impact assessment of strategic targets (blue 0.13), topics covered (red 0.19) and transferability and novelty criteria (0.94). The values obtained for targets and topics are quite low, because the area of e-Freight is narrow, compared with all criteria, resulting in many zero impacts in the model. The TIEKE service is available globally and the guidelines are free of charge on the Internet, which explains the high value for transferability.

## 3.4 Reliability of the proposed method

The proposed method is designed to be fully reproducible and transparent. Evaluation guidelines and peer review procedures are defined in order to minimise the impact of individual subjective 760 views. The sources of the evaluation judgements are traceable because of documented justifications. However, as the evaluations are based solely on case descriptions they are strongly dependent on how in-depth the information is, and on how positive and unfavourable impacts are described. The information collection 765 guidelines require the evaluator to answer all the questions on the form. As cases tend to emphasize positive impacts, the researchers must approach the given information critically. The cases are also at different stages of development; some have been operating over a longer period of time while others are in the pilot phase. Furthermore, some cases are based on highly detailed and very 770

Table 4 Evaluation results, case TIEKE

provides good basis for this.

Guidelines are listed only for positive impacts, unfavourable ones are assessed in an analogous manner.

Cluster 3 Case ID 3: TIEKE Verkottaja Service	
Gap	775
Communication between businesses and also business and	
administration. Standardised e-documents are needed, also standardised data exchange (EDIFACT and UBL/XML).	
Best Practice Solution (In-depth)	
The service provides guidelines in Finnish and in a limited extent in	
English on international standards (UNCEFACT) and their implementation, and recommendations for different business branches.	780
Economic: Standardised information exchange smoothens processes	
Significantly reduces paper work and time used for information	
processing. Administrative processes become more efficient. Service:	
Fewer mistakes as information is transferred electronically. Gives the	
image of a modern & sustainable organisation. Environment: Less use of	785
paper. Transferability and novelty: Messaging/standards are international/	
global, thus the solution is transferable.	
Recommendations	
Business: The implementation of standardised EDI is recommended.	
Moreover the use of branch-specific standards is recommended. <i>Policy</i> :	
EU should provide guidelines for the use of EDI and work on global	700
interoperability/interfaces of different standards. The e-Freight project	/90

7

6

6



815 Fig. 4 Quantitative evaluation results in the e-freight area Cases other than TIEKE are shown anonymously

informative in-depth studies, while others may offer only a brief
 description, complicating the evaluation task. Should the evaluator
 reach a conclusion that is not directly indicated in the best practice
 case description, this could easily lead to wrong interpretations.
 Thus only the issues indicated in the best practice case
 descriptions are included in the evaluation, even when the
 descriptions are not complete. Hence the evaluation is mostly
 indicative and points out the areas that the cases affect.
 Comparison between different cases based only on the numerical

evaluation may be misleading for the reasons described above.

# <sup>830</sup> 4 Conclusions

835

This paper presented a generic evaluation method for the impact assessment of logistics innovations and their transferability to other operating environments. The method includes a VTA-based multi-criteria structure and a defined process for information

- retrieval and assessment of the cases. The main evaluation criteria are strategic targets fulfilled by the innovation, the logistics topics covered, as well as its novelty and transferability to other operating environments. Literature studies and expert panels showed that the main strategic targets of logistics innovations are
- <sup>840</sup> economy, service and society and environmental issues. The employed VTA approach enabled a balanced consideration of a vast number of specific impact types, accounting for both positive and negative effects, as well as comparison of initiatives with similar focus. The methodology is not limited solely to evaluation of logistics best practise solutions. However, it should be noted
- 845 of logistics best practise solutions. However, it should be noted that different domains may require customisation of the evaluation criteria.

In this study, 272 potential innovation cases were identified, from which 50 best practices were chosen based on pre-selection criteria. These best practices representing urban freight, green logistics, co-modality and e-freight were evaluated using the developed

- method revealing their main positive and unfavourable impacts. The results showed that the positive effects were clearly dominating for all the selected best practices. The most promising cases from each cluster were also identified. The impact of the evaluators' individual subjective views on the results was
- minimised because of the structured evaluation method, evaluation guidelines and peer review procedures. However, the evaluations were based solely on the information available and documented in

the case descriptions, which should be remembered when 885 analysing the results.

The method fulfils the current need for an objective evaluation method of logistics best practices. It is applicable for making both business and policy recommendations and can also be used for before-after evaluations and self-assessment by companies. Unlike previous models, this model allows the identification of innovations where good impacts exceed unfavourable ones, lists any unfavourable impacts and pinpoints the targets fulfilled and topics covered by a best practice as well as its transferability.

The evaluation model enables alternative weightings of different sub-criteria in order to emphasise, for example, environmental or economic impacts. This makes it possible to model the views of different decision makers or highlight certain types of cases. However, these possibilities have not yet been taken advantage of in this study, but will be further examined in coming research.

#### 5 Acknowledgments

This paper was based on work being carried out as part of the EC-funded project BESTFACT, Best Practice Factory for Logistics [24]. The objective of BESTFACT is to develop, disseminate and enhance the utilisation of best practices and innovations in freight transport that contribute to meeting European transport policy objectives on improved competitiveness and lower environmental impact. The project examines solutions in urban freight transport, green logistics, co-modality and e-Freight. The authors also thank the project partners for their input.

### 6 References

- Baker, P.: 'The design and operation of distribution centres within agile supply chains', *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, 2008, **111**, pp. 27–41
- 2 Chopra, S.: 'Designing the distribution network in a supply chain', *Transp. Res. E*, 2003, **39**, pp. 123–140
- 3 SUGAR: 'Sustainable urban goods logistics achieved by regional and local policies', 2012, www.sugarlogistics.eu
- BESTUFS: 'Best Urban Freight Solutions', 2010, www.bestufs.net
- 5 Macharis, C., De Witte, A., Turcksin, L.: 'The multi-actor multi-criteria analysis (MAMCA) application in the Flemish long-term decision making process on mobility and logistics', *Transp. Policy*, 2010, **17**, (5), pp. 303–311
- 6 Himanen, V.: 'Transportation investment evaluation', Basic problems and concepts, 1987, VTT Research Notes 756

920

890

- 7 European Union: 'Guide to cost benefit analysis of investment projects', 2008, http:// 925 ec.europa.eu/regional\_policy/sources/docgener/guides/cost/guide2008\_en.pdf 8
  - Dodgson, J.S., Spackman, M., Pearman, A., Phillips, L.D.: 'Multi-criteria analysis: a manual' (Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions, London, 2009) Zopounidis, C., Pardalos, P.M. (Ed.): 'Handbook of multicriteria analysis' (Springer-Verlag, 2010) 9
  - Keeney, R.L., Raiffa, H.: 'Decisions with multiple objectives: preferences and 10 value tradeoffs', 'Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics' (John Wiley & Sons, 1976)

930

935

940

945

950

955

960

965

970

975

980

985

990

- Porthin, M., Rosqvist, T., Perrels, A., Molarius, R.: 'Multi-criteria decision analysis 11 in adaptation decision-making: a flood case study in Finland', *Reg. Environ. Change*, 2013, **13**, (6), pp. 1171–1180, doi: 10.1007/s10113-013-0423-9 Saaty, T.L.: 'The analytic hierarchy process' (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980) 12
- McCaffrey, J.: 'Test run: the analytic hierarchy process' (MSDN Magazine, 2005) 13
- Browne, M., Allen, J., Leonardi, J.: 'Evaluating the use of an urban consolidation centre and electric vehicles in central London', *IATSS Res.*, 2011, **35**, pp. 1–6 14
- 15 'SMARTFUSION - Smart Urban Freight Solutions', 2014. Available at http:// www.smartfusion.eu/
- European Commission: 'Impact assessment'. Accompanying document to the 16 White Paper: Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area - Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system, 2011, SEC(2011) 358

- 17 European Commission: 'Impact assessment guidelines', 2009, SEC(2009)92
- Macário, R., Marques, C.F.: 'Transferability of sustainable urban mobility 18 measures', Res. Transp. Econ., 2008, 22, (1), pp. 146-156
- 19 Yin, R.: 'Case study research: design and methods' (Sage Publications, California, 1994, 2nd edn.)
- Eisenhardt, K.M., Graebner, M.E.: 'Theory building from cases: opportunities and 20 challenges', Acad. Manage. J., 2007, 50, (1), pp. 25-32
- EC European Commission: 'DG MOVE: freight transport logistics action plan', 21 2007. Communication from the Commission COM(2007) 607 final http://ec.europa .eu/transport/logistics/freight\_logistics\_action\_plan/action\_plan\_en.htm
- Leonardi, J., Browne, M., Allen, J., Bohne, S., Ruesch, M.: 'Best practice factory 22 for freight transport in europe: demonstrating how 'good' urban freight cases are improving business profit and public sectors benefits'. Eighth Int. Conf. on City 1000 Logistics. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2014, vol. 125, pp. 84-98, Elsevier
- 23 Ruesch, M., Bohne, S. (Ed): 'BESTFACT: main challenges in freight logistics', 2013, Bestfact Deliverable D2.1, http://www.bestfact.net/wp-content/uploads/ 2013/08/BESTFACT\_D2\_1.pdf
- BESTFACT Best Practice Factory for Freight Transport, Best Practices 2014, 24 1005 www.bestfact.net/best-practices

1015

1010

995

1020

1025

1030

1035

1040

1045

1050

1055

IET Intell. Transp. Syst., pp. 1-8 © The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2015