



# *Enduring effects of large legumes and phosphorus fertiliser on jarrah forest restoration 15 years after bauxite mining*

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Daws, M. I., Grigg, A. H., Tibbett, M. and Standish, R. J. (2019) Enduring effects of large legumes and phosphorus fertiliser on jarrah forest restoration 15 years after bauxite mining. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 438. pp. 204-214. ISSN 0378-1127 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.02.029> Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/82469/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.02.029>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

## **CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 Enduring effects of large legumes and phosphorus fertiliser on jarrah forest restoration 15 years  
2 after bauxite mining

3

4

5 Matthew I. Daws<sup>ab\*</sup>, Andrew H. Grigg<sup>a</sup>, Mark Tibbett<sup>b</sup> and Rachel J. Standish<sup>c</sup>

6

7 <sup>a</sup>Environment Department, Alcoa of Australia Ltd, Huntly Mine, PO Box 172, Pinjarra, WA  
8 6208, Australia

9 <sup>b</sup>Centre for Agri-Environmental Research & Soil Research Centre, School of Agricultural  
10 Policy and Development, University of Reading, Berkshire RG6 6AR, UK

11 <sup>c</sup>Environment and Conservation Sciences, Murdoch University, 90 South Street, Murdoch, WA  
12 6150, Australia

13

14 \*Corresponding author's details

15 Email address: [m.i.daws@reading.ac.uk](mailto:m.i.daws@reading.ac.uk)

16

## 17 ABSTRACT

18 Restoring nutrients lost in the mining process and re-establishing nutrient-cycling are often  
19 key goals of mine restoration. One common strategy to facilitate these goals is to seed fast-  
20 growing legumes combined with one application of P-fertiliser to maximise legume growth  
21 and increase soil-N. However, the longer term effects of this strategy have received little  
22 attention. Here we report the results of a 15-year-old experiment that was established to test  
23 the effects of fertiliser-P application and seeding large understorey legumes, both singly and  
24 in combination, on jarrah forest restoration after bauxite mining.

25 Fifteen years after the establishment of this experiment, the majority of the seeded legumes  
26 had senesced, with total legume cover having declined significantly compared with results of  
27 the same experiment at 5-years-of-age. Yet, despite the legumes having senesced there were  
28 still negative effects of both large legumes and P-fertiliser on species richness and abundance  
29 of non-leguminous understorey species. These negative effects may be mediated by the  
30 persistent effects of legume competition that was evident at 5 years and the accumulation of  
31 significant quantities of leaf litter and fine woody debris in the large legume  $\times$  P-addition  
32 treatments. Compared with the 0kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> treatment, application of 20 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly  
33 increased jarrah tree growth, but there was no additional benefit of 80 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. These data  
34 suggest that moderation of P-fertiliser and large understorey legumes could maximise  
35 understorey cover, tree growth and understorey species richness, and therefore  
36 simultaneously address multiple key restoration goals.

37

38

39

40

41 Key words: *Acacia*, competition, fertilizer, nitrogen, rehabilitation

## 42        **1. Introduction**

43        Mining operations result in the removal of both above-ground vegetation and topsoil, with the  
44        topsoil generally being stockpiled (often for a number of years) between mining and  
45        rehabilitation. These disturbances result in a significant loss of nutrients and replacing these  
46        nutrients is a goal of both mine rehabilitation and restoration (*sensu* McDonald *et al.*, 2016).  
47        Whilst for both rehabilitation and restoration these nutrients are replaced to increase plant  
48        productivity and cover, for mine restoration there are additional ecosystem dynamics to  
49        consider, including interspecific competition, plant-soil feedbacks and nutrient-cycling, given  
50        the focus to restore historic (pre-mining) native ecosystems

51                Planting or seeding fast-growing legumes has been a key component of restoring  
52        productivity and re-establishing nutrient-cycling in many mine restoration (Ward *et al.*, 1990)  
53        and reforestation operations (e.g. Siddique *et al.*, 2008). Planting legumes has the advantage  
54        of N<sub>2</sub>-fixation, potentially increasing soil-N availability to other species such as trees. In  
55        addition, fast growing legumes may help to minimise soil erosion of newly rehabilitated  
56        (bare) sites (Ward *et al.*, 1990). This strategy has been widely applied in Australia  
57        (Langkamp *et al.*, 1979; Todd *et al.*, 2000; Grant *et al.*, 2007; Brady and Noske, 2010) and  
58        elsewhere including the United Kingdom (Bradshaw, 1983) and Brazil (Parrotta and  
59        Knowles, 1999, 2001). Growth and N-fixation of legumes can be maximised by applying P-  
60        fertiliser to low-P soils since legumes are generally P-, but not N-limited. For example,  
61        adding the equivalent of 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> to mined and restored jarrah forest soils increased  
62        annual N-fixation by *Acacia pulchella* from 12 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> to 85 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Hingston *et al.*,  
63        1982). Taken together, the available evidence suggests a beneficial role of legumes in  
64        restoration projects.

65                There are, however, a number of potential disadvantages to a restoration strategy  
66        based on establishment of leguminous species facilitated by high P-application rates. These  
67        include: (1) increased competition due to the rapid growth and dense vegetation that can  
68        result (Koch, 1987), (2) an increase in N-responsive weed species (e.g. Nichols and  
69        Carpenter, 2006), (3) elevated fuel loads, particularly for fine fuels from both live and  
70        senesced legumes (Todd *et al.*, 2000; Grant *et al.*, 2007), and (4) many legumes produce a  
71        thick leaf litter layer that can physically retard the establishment of other understorey species  
72        (Boyes *et al.*, 2011; le Stradic, 2014). While applying fertiliser, and particularly P, maximises  
73        the initial growth of leguminous species, it can also have negative impacts on the re-

74 establishing plant community. Elevated P on ancient soils lacking P can favour annual  
75 species, including weeds, while negatively affecting slow growing species and those with  
76 specialised P-acquisition strategies, such as Proteaceae (Lambers *et al.*, 2008; Shane *et al.*,  
77 2004). For example, in fynbos restoration in South Africa after a simulated mining  
78 disturbance, Holmes (2001) found that adding 26 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> increased overall plant density  
79 and cover. However, this effect was mainly limited to exotic weeds, and P-addition increased  
80 the mortality of native Proteaceae. Similarly, Daws *et al.* (2013) found that for jarrah forest  
81 restored after mining, annual species (weeds and natives) were the main beneficiaries of  
82 applied P-fertiliser. Furthermore, while the growth and density of native Proteaceae was  
83 insensitive to P application, the overall species richness of native species was reduced at P-  
84 application rates greater than 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Overall, these studies highlight the need for more  
85 detailed understanding of the combined effects of P-fertiliser and legumes.

86 In an experimental test of the effects of seeding large understorey legumes combined  
87 with P-addition on jarrah forest restoration, Daws *et al.* (2015) found that five years after  
88 restoration both legume seeding and P-addition resulted in significantly higher plant cover,  
89 although cover was dominated by large legumes. P addition also significantly increased the  
90 growth of the dominant tree species *Eucalyptus marginata* (jarrah): seeding legumes had no  
91 impact on tree growth. However, by 10-13 years of age jarrah stands in restored forest are,  
92 based on stand thinning experiments, more constrained by water- than P-availability (Grigg  
93 and Grant, 2009). Consequently, any initial growth benefit for jarrah from P-application  
94 might reduce over-time as water availability becomes more limiting. However, this remains  
95 to be tested. For non-leguminous understorey species, both cover and density were negatively  
96 affected by seeding large legumes and P-addition. However, previous studies in restored  
97 jarrah forest indicate that many larger understorey legumes reach their maximum size at  
98 around 5-years-of-age (Daws and Koch, 2015), and that beyond this point, many of these  
99 legumes senesce (Daws and Koch, 2015; Grant *et al.*, 2007). The longer-term implications of  
100 legume senescence for the trajectory of restoration, and particularly community composition,  
101 are unknown.

102 There are two possible outcomes of legume senescence. Firstly, the debris and litter  
103 on the soil surface from senescing legumes may further negatively impact small understorey  
104 species, due to either an inability to germinate through deep litter (e.g. small seeded  
105 ephemerals; Daws *et al.*, 2005), or by plants being physically buried. For example, in quarry  
106 restoration in Brazil Le Stradic *et al.* (2014) indicated that understorey legume shrubs resulted

107 in a thick litter layer that limited herbaceous species establishment. Secondly, the senescence  
108 of these larger species may increase opportunities for recruitment and growth of smaller and  
109 slower growing species. These potential longer-term effects of seeding large legumes and P-  
110 addition on assembly trajectories of restored jarrah forest remain to be tested.

111 In this study, we report on a 15-year-old experiment in restored jarrah forest that had a  
112 factorial combination of three P-fertiliser addition rates combined with additional seeding of  
113 large legume shrubs. We test, approximately 10 years after the onset of legume senescence  
114 whether, (1) understorey cover, density and species richness for non-leguminous species are  
115 affected by the initial inclusion of large legumes in the seed mix, (2) whether there are  
116 persistent effects of initial P-application on community assembly, and (3) whether there are  
117 long-term effects of legume seeding and P-application on tree growth.

## 118 2. Materials and methods

### 119 2.1. Study site

120 Alcoa of Australia Ltd. (hereafter Alcoa) mines and restores ca. 550 ha of forest each year  
121 (Koch, 2007a). The experiment described here was established in 2001 within the Alcoa  
122 mining lease located within the Darling Range of Southwest Western Australia (32°35'06" S,  
123 116°06'44" E). The climate of the region is Mediterranean with hot, dry summers and cool,  
124 wet winters. Annual rainfall is 1,200 mm, the average summer monthly maximum  
125 temperature is 28 °C and the average winter minimum is 5 °C. The overstorey vegetation is  
126 dominated by jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*). The understorey consists of shrubs and herbs  
127 predominantly in the families Myrtaceae, Proteaceae, Fabaceae, Restionaceae, Orchidaceae,  
128 Apiaceae, Liliaceae (*sensu lato*), Ericaceae, Asteraceae and Cyperaceae.

129 Mine pits range from 1 to 20 ha in size and are surrounded by intact forest. The aim of  
130 Alcoa's restoration is to establish a self-sustaining jarrah forest ecosystem that fulfils pre-  
131 mining land uses including nature conservation, recreation, timber production and water  
132 catchments. Restoration involves reshaping the mine pit, ripping to alleviate compaction and  
133 spreading fresh topsoil (Koch, 2007a). Restored areas receive fresh topsoil sourced from  
134 adjacent areas that have been cleared of vegetation in advance of being mined. This  
135 restoration practice ensures availability of soil stored seeds such as legumes (Grant *et al.*,  
136 2007), and microorganisms including the rhizobia that form nitrogen-fixing symbioses with  
137 native legumes (Jasper, 2007).

138 Seeds of local plants are spread over the restored mine pits and planting of nursery  
139 grown plants occurs for species where seed application is not a viable establishment method  
140 (Koch 2007b). A fertiliser mix is applied by helicopter in late winter or early spring after the  
141 completion of restoration. In 2001, this mix contained the equivalent of 80 kg elemental P ha<sup>-1</sup>  
142 and 80 kg elemental N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The mine pits used for the experiment reported here were  
143 excluded from this routine fertiliser application.

144

### 145 2.2. Experimental design

146 In March 2001 within each of six newly restored mine pits, a block containing six 40  
147 m × 50 m plots was established. A factorial design with two factors was used: with and  
148 without seeding of 7 large understorey legume species (a combined total of 217 g ha<sup>-1</sup> of  
149 *Acacia drummondii*, *A. extensa*, *A. lateriticola*, *A. pulchella*, *A. urophylla*, *Bossiaea*  
150 *aquifolium* and *Mirbelia dilatata* seeds); and P-fertiliser application, including none, 20 kg



151 elemental P ha<sup>-1</sup> and 80 kg elemental P ha<sup>-1</sup>. Each experimental plot also received a generic  
152 seed mix containing seeds of 108 jarrah forest species which was broadcast by hand in March  
153 2001 (see Appendix 1 for individual species seeding rates). This mix included the dominant  
154 tree species jarrah. After seeding, P was applied once as di-ammonium phosphate, and  
155 nitrogen (urea) was added once to each plot at an application rate of 80 kg elemental N ha<sup>-1</sup>.  
156 Further details of the experimental design are provided in Daws *et al.* (2015).

157         Fifteen months after seeding there were, on average, 0.39 more legume seedlings m<sup>-2</sup>  
158 in the legume seeding treatment (for more details see Daws *et al.*, 2015). Treatments were  
159 allocated randomly to the 40 m × 50 m plots within each block.

160

### 161         2.3. *Botanical monitoring*

162         Within each experimental plot, a previously selected central 20 m × 20 m quadrat (Daws *et*  
163 *al.* 2015) was surveyed for jarrah trees. In December 2015, for all individual jarrah trees >2m  
164 in height we recorded diameter at breast height, bark thickness and tree height. Data for  
165 multiple stems was recorded if stems were >1 cm diameter.

166         In October 2015, five 4 m × 4 m quadrats in the four corners and the centre of the 20  
167 m × 20 m plot (a total of 80 m<sup>2</sup>) were surveyed for shrubs and herbaceous species; the  
168 number, identity and percentage cover (a visual estimate that included overhang) of these  
169 species was recorded. Estimation of cover took place using frames within the monitoring  
170 quadrats, and for each species took into account vertical structuring of the understorey. As a  
171 result, it was possible for the sum of all cover estimates to exceed 100%.

172

### 173         2.4. *Leaf litter depth measurements*

174         Leaf litter depth was measured to assess whether there was increased accumulation of leaf  
175 litter when large legumes were included in the seed mix since this is one potential mechanism  
176 for large legumes to exhibit an ongoing impact on vegetation composition (hypothesis 1).  
177 For three of the six restored mine pits measurements of leaf litter depth were recorded at 45  
178 random locations per plot in February 2016. It was not possible to take measurements of the  
179 other three sites due to an intense wild-fire burning these sites in January 2016. Leaf litter  
180 depth was also sampled in three 20 m × 20 m quadrats in non-mined forest. Based on fire  
181 history data from the Department of Parks and Wildlife, these sites had not been burnt within  
182 the previous 15-year period, i.e. leaf litter had been accumulating for at least the 15-year  
183 duration of the current experiment.

184

185 *2.5. Soil nutrient analyses*

186 Soil samples were analysed for plant available-P to allow an assessment of whether potential  
187 long-term impacts of a single initial P application (hypothesis 2) result from greater ongoing  
188 availability of soil-P. Soil sampling was planned to take place in January 2016. However, this  
189 was delayed to 2018 due to wildfires burning three of the experimental blocks in January  
190 2016 and a further two blocks in 2017. While Ward *et al.* (1991) reported that wildfire can  
191 result in significant losses of N from jarrah forest soils, they found no effect on the main  
192 focus of our present study - soil P. In January 2018, soil samples were collected from the six  
193 experimental blocks. Within each of the six plots within each block, six samples were taken  
194 from 0 to 10 cm depth randomly across each plot. All samples were collected from the  
195 bottom of the furrows caused by contour ripping at the outset of restoration. The six samples  
196 per plot were then bulked to form a composite sample, air dried and passed through a 2 mm  
197 sieve to remove the gravel fraction before being sent to a commercial laboratory for nutrient  
198 analyses (CSBP Soil and Plant Laboratories, Bibra Lake, Perth). Total N, ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>),  
199 total organic carbon and Colwell P were determined using the methodology of Rayment and  
200 Higginson (1992).

201

202 *2.6. Statistical analyses*

203 For analysis of the effects of seeding the seven large legumes (hypothesis 1), and application  
204 of phosphorus (hypothesis 2) on species richness, stem density and cover, each plant species  
205 was assigned to one of five categories reflecting hypothesised responses to P. We predicted  
206 that non-native weeds and ephemerals would respond positively to fertiliser application  
207 (Prober and Wiehl, 2012), re-sprouters and Proteaceae would respond negatively (Lambers *et al.*,  
208 2008) and that long-lived re-seeders would be mixed in their responses. Plants were  
209 categorised as either: (1) ephemerals (short-lived native species), (2) non-native species  
210 (weeds), (3) Proteaceae, (4) re-sprouters or (5) re-seeders (see Appendix 2 for species  
211 assignment to the five categories). Following Bell (2001), re-seeders must re-establish  
212 through germination and establishment of seedlings, whereas re-sprouters can re-establish by  
213 sprouting from surviving underground structures. It should be noted that in Bell's  
214 categorisation, used for fire response, ephemerals and weeds are also re-seeders; whereas the  
215 re-seeder category used here consisted only of longer-lived species.

216           In addition, proteaceous species were all re-sprouters and were therefore excluded  
217 from the re-sprouter category. Since the large seeded legumes are all in the re-seeder  
218 category, these species were excluded from analyses of the effect of P and large legumes on  
219 re-seeder species. Species were classified as re-sprouters and re-seeders based on published  
220 literature (Bellairs and Bell, 1990; Bell et al., 1993; Ward et al., 1997; Smith et al., 2000;  
221 Norman et al., 2006a; Burrows et al., 2008). Native ephemerals and weed species were  
222 classified based on the FloraBase database (Western Australian Herbarium, 2012).

223           We used MANOVA (implemented in Minitab 16) to assess effects of P and seeding  
224 legumes on percentage cover and the number of species and stems within the various growth  
225 form categories. In this analysis, 'Pit' was included as a random factor. For significant terms  
226 in the MANOVA model, uni-variate GLMs were used to assess differences among the  
227 growth-form categories. In all analyses, the three P-application rates (0, 20 and 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)  
228 were treated as levels of a single treatment and a critical alpha of 0.05 was used to assess  
229 significance.

230

### 231 **3. Results**

#### 232 *3.1. Soil chemical analyses*

233 Seventeen years after the establishment of this experiment, there were no effects of either  
234 experimental treatment on total soil N, total organic carbon or soil  $\text{NH}_4^+$  (Table 1). The  
235 addition of P-fertiliser resulted in significantly elevated soil Colwell-P, while legume seeding  
236 had no effect on soil-P (Table 1).

#### 237 *3.2. Accumulation of fine woody debris in response to fertiliser and legume seed addition*

238 The depth of fine debris and leaf litter increased significantly with both P-application and  
239 seeding large legumes (Table 1). This resulted in leaf litter depth being greater than in  
240 unmined forest in the legume seeding treatment when either 20 or 80 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied  
241 (Table 1). There was also a significant P × legume interaction indicating that the effect of  
242 seeding legumes on litter accumulation was greatest when P was also applied.

#### 243 *3.3. Legume responses to fertiliser and legume seed addition*

244 The inclusion of 7 additional legume species in the seed mix had no significant effect on the  
245 total number of legume species present after 15 years (Fig 1A). However, there were  
246 significantly fewer legume species present when P was applied at a rate of 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
247 compared with either 0 or 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>: there was at least one fewer species present at 80 kg P  
248 ha<sup>-1</sup> compared with the other application rates (Fig 1A). There was no effect of either seeding  
249 large legumes or P-application rate on the density of legumes 15 years after the onset of  
250 rehabilitation (Fig 1B). However, total legume cover was significantly increased by the  
251 inclusion of large legumes in the seed mix, the addition of P fertiliser, and the interaction  
252 between seeding and fertiliser addition (Fig 1C).

#### 253 *3.4. Understorey responses to fertiliser and legume seed addition*

254 The addition of P-fertiliser resulted in a highly significant reduction in the species richness of  
255 non-leguminous understorey species (Fig 2AB). For example, in the absence of large legumes  
256 species richness decreased from 38.6 species at 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P to 29.6 species at 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> P.  
257 Similarly, the addition of large legumes resulted in a significant reduction in non-legume  
258 species richness: at 0 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> there were seven additional non-legume species present when  
259 the seven large legumes were not included in the seed mix. In the MANOVA analysis, there  
260 was a significant effect of both seeding large legumes and addition of P-fertiliser on the

261 distribution of species across the five growth-form categories. Based on univariate tests,  
262 phosphorus addition had a significant negative effect on the number of species of re-seeders  
263 and a positive effect on the number of weed species. Seeding large legumes had a significant  
264 negative effect on the number of re-sprouter and Proteaceous species.

265 Application of P-fertiliser significantly affected both the density of non-leguminous  
266 understorey plants and their distribution across the five growth-form categories (Fig 2CD).  
267 For example, in the absence of seeded large legumes, total plant density declined from 2.85 to  
268 2.16 stems m<sup>-2</sup> as the P-application rate increased from 0 to 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Fig 2C). There was no  
269 significant effect of legume seeding on plant density. The effect of P on plant density was  
270 driven predominantly by significant reductions in the density of re-sprouter and Proteaceous  
271 species.

272 P-addition resulted in a significant decrease in the understorey cover attributable to  
273 non-legume species (Fig 2EF). For example, in the absence of seeding large legumes cover  
274 declined from 42.6 to 22.9 % as P application rates increased from 0 to 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Seeding  
275 large legumes had no effect on the distribution of plant cover within the five growth form  
276 categories (Fig 2EF). The reduction in cover, in relation to P, was driven primarily by the  
277 cover of re-sprouter species declining by approximately 50% as P increased (Fig 2EF).

### 278 *3.5. Jarrah growth responses to fertiliser and legume seed addition*

279 Increasing P from 0 to 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased growth of jarrah in terms of both  
280 stand basal area under bark (BAUB) and tree height. As P increased from 0 to 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,  
281 BAUB increased from approximately 13 m<sup>2</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> to 16 m<sup>2</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3A) and tree height from  
282 9.5 to 11 m (Fig 3B). At 80 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> there was no further benefit for tree growth compared  
283 with 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. There was no effect of seeding large legumes on either BAUB (Fig 3A) or  
284 tree height (Fig 3B).

285

## 286 **4. Discussion**

287 Fifteen years after the establishment of this experiment, application of P-fertiliser increased  
288 the growth of legumes and jarrah trees in restored jarrah forest. Including large legumes in  
289 the seed mix also increased the cover attributable to legumes. However, the combination of  
290 large legumes and high P-application rates significantly reduced the species richness, density  
291 and cover of non-legume understorey species.

292           While we found that after 15 years legumes were still a significant component of the  
293 total understorey cover, total legume cover was less than at 5-years-of-age (Daws *et al.*,  
294 2015). For example, in the 80 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> and legume seeding treatment legume cover was ~50  
295 % at 5-years-of-age and had declined to ~18 % by 15 years. This result is consistent with  
296 previous studies (Daws and Koch, 2015; Grant *et al.*, 2007), which indicated that from about  
297 five years of age onwards, many large understorey legumes senesce. Legume senescence was  
298 also evident in the leaf litter depth measurements, which indicate a significant accumulation  
299 of fine woody debris and leaf litter on the soil surface related to seeding legumes and  
300 applying P. Increased litter depth and masses related to legume senescence have been  
301 reported in other P fertilised eucalypt forest restoration schemes (George *et al.* 2010; Tibbett,  
302 2010; Spain *et al.*, 2015) where greater production of litter compared to native forests is a  
303 common phenomenon.

304           It has been hypothesised that seeding a high density of legumes combined with P-  
305 application may increase N-availability to other species and result in, for example, more rapid  
306 tree growth (Palaniappan *et al.*, 1979; Ward and Koch, 1996). However, we found no  
307 evidence of any benefit of large legumes to tree growth, total soil N or to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in restored  
308 jarrah forest. While increased growth of *Eucalyptus* species when in mixed plantings with  
309 legumes is commonly observed, it is by no means a ubiquitous feature of mixed species  
310 plantings. For example, Forrester *et al.* (2006) reported that the effect of legumes on  
311 *Eucalyptus* growth can depend on factors including the proportion of legumes in the mix and  
312 species selection. Conversely, while also not evident in this study, high densities of large  
313 understorey legumes can reduce tree growth in both restored sites and forestry plantations  
314 (Turvey *et al.*, 1983; Koch, 1987; Forrester *et al.*, 2011). Taken together, these data suggest  
315 that in a restoration context, a strategy that includes legumes to increase N-availability may  
316 have unpredictable outcomes.

317           Our current data suggest, at least for the first 15 years of restoration, there are few  
318 benefits of a strategy that includes large understorey legumes. Thus, despite an additional  
319 seven species being included in the seed mix in the large legume treatment, even the species  
320 richness of legumes was not increased: smaller legume species were potentially outcompeted  
321 by the seven larger species. Including the seven large legumes in the seed mix also decreased  
322 the species richness of non-legume understorey species, presumably due to increased  
323 competition. However, the rapid establishment of plant cover, which may minimise initial

324 soil erosion is a potential benefit of seeding large legumes, although for Alcoa's operations,  
325 ripping on contour is the primary means of erosion control (Koch 2007a).

326 In our previous study (Daws *et al.*, 2015), applied-P was still present in the soil and  
327 impacting vegetation dynamics 5 years after fertiliser addition. While overall soil P levels  
328 have declined in the 12 years between this study and our earlier study (Daws *et al.*, 2015),  
329 soil-P was still significantly higher in the applied-P treatments 17 years after a single initial  
330 application. Furthermore, these levels of soil P were still higher than the approx. 2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> P  
331 typically observed in reference jarrah forest soils (Standish *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, soil-P  
332 levels in restored jarrah forest, and other post-mining environments can remain elevated for at  
333 least 26-years after fertiliser addition (Spain *et al.*, 2006; Banning *et al.*, 2008; Standish *et al.*,  
334 2008; Spain *et al.*, 2015). Indeed, a recent study of forest soil P dynamics in a restored  
335 tropical eucalypt forest found ongoing net increases in near-surface concentrations of P over  
336 26 years, potentially storing up future difference between fertilised restored and native forest  
337 systems (Spain *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, while P-addition may have mid- to long-term positive  
338 impacts on, for example, legume growth (as shown in this current study), there is a potential  
339 for ongoing persistent negative effects on other understorey species.

340 In earlier studies of this system, elevated P significantly increased the abundance of  
341 weeds and ephemerals (Daws *et al.*, 2013, 2015). This effect was less apparent at 15-years-of-  
342 age: presumably these species have declined in abundance as the forest canopy has closed.  
343 However, there was still a negative effect of applied-P on species richness, abundance and  
344 cover attributable to non-leguminous native species. These negative effects potentially result  
345 from direct toxicity of applied P to native species since many species in the jarrah forest (e.g.  
346 Proteaceae) have specialised adaptations for P-acquisition in this naturally P-deficient system  
347 (Lambers *et al.*, 2008; Shane *et al.*, 2004). However, direct toxicity of applied-P in jarrah  
348 forest soils may be tempered by the strong P-binding properties of the iron and aluminium  
349 hydroxides that are abundant in these soils (Bolan *et al.*, 1983; Handreck, 1997).  
350 Additionally, or alternatively, the reduction in species richness of understorey species may be  
351 mediated through increased competition from species that respond vigorously to applied-P  
352 such as the larger legumes and establishing jarrah trees: indeed, the negative effects of  
353 applied-P were most evident for re-sprouter species (including the Proteaceae), which are  
354 generally slow growing (Pate *et al.*, 1990; Bowen, 1991; Bowen and Pate, 1993) and some of  
355 which exhibited no growth response to applied fertiliser in other studies (e.g. Daws *et al.*,

356 2013). The relative importance of direct P-toxicity and competitive effects for the negative  
357 effects of P-addition require further study.

358 Furthermore, a negative effect of P on non-leguminous understorey species was  
359 evident after 15 years despite an overall reduction in both the density and cover of the highly  
360 P-responsive legumes due to senescence. While it is probable that legume senescence will  
361 have reduced competition in the understorey, an ongoing negative effect of P may result from  
362 the associated increase in accumulation of fine woody debris and litter from senescing  
363 legumes. Accumulation of litter may continue to hinder the recruitment of small seeded  
364 species (Daws *et al.*, 2005) even after many of the larger legumes have senesced. In addition,  
365 jarrah forest restoration has been reported to generally follow the initial floristics model of  
366 succession due to a limited ability for most species to colonise into restored mine pits  
367 (Norman *et al.*, 2006b). This means that even if the legumes senesce, colonisation of the  
368 restored forest by additional species may be limited.

369 There were significant benefits of applied-P (20 or 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) for tree growth albeit  
370 with no difference between 20 and 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Although the response to P was significant, the  
371 magnitude of the difference in growth between 0 and 20 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> was substantially less than  
372 when the trees were measured at 5-years-of-age (Daws *et al.*, 2015). Thus, in our present  
373 study stand basal area and average tree height were only 23% and 16% greater at 20  
374 compared with the 0 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> treatment. In contrast, at 5-years-of-age there was a 230 % and  
375 170% difference between 0 and 20 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> for basal area and tree height, respectively  
376 (Daws *et al.*, 2015). This reduction in the relative benefit of adding P-fertiliser suggests that  
377 the benefit of P application for tree growth may disappear over time, although this suggestion  
378 remains to be tested. Nonetheless, this result is consistent with other studies that have shown  
379 growth of jarrah to be constrained primarily by water availability primarily rather than P-  
380 availability. For example, studies in both 10-13-year-old rehabilitated jarrah forest and  
381 unmined forest have shown no growth response to fertiliser addition unless water availability  
382 is increased by thinning the stands (Stoneman *et al.*, 1997; Grigg and Grant, 2009).

383 A trade-off between productivity and diversity has been observed in a range of  
384 ecosystems with nutrient enrichment reducing species richness (e.g. Wheeler and Shaw,  
385 1991; Tilman *et al.* 2001; Wassen *et al.*, 2005). In addition, for a range of vegetation types,  
386 fertiliser addition can increase growth and diversity of non-native weeds and decrease the  
387 diversity of native understorey plants in post-mining restoration, e.g. boreal sites in Canada



388 (Errington and Pinno, 2015) and fynbos in South Africa (Holmes, 2001). Combined with our  
389 current data, this suggests that a restoration strategy based on maximising early growth and  
390 site productivity may not be optimal for re-establishing diverse understorey communities. In  
391 a jarrah forest context, although applied P fertiliser did increase early tree growth this benefit  
392 also declined markedly over time. Interestingly, mining and clearing operations prior to  
393 mining in the jarrah forest result in the loss of  $\sim 20 \text{ kg P ha}^{-1}$  (Hingston *et al.*, 1980) and it  
394 appears that an application rate close to this value may provide an appropriate balance  
395 between restoring lost nutrient capital and maximising native plant species richness.

396

### 397 **Acknowledgements**

398 We thank Cameron Richardson, John Koch, Tim Morald and other Alcoa staff for technical  
399 assistance. MID is a former, and AHG a current paid employee of Alcoa of Australia Ltd. This  
400 research was funded by Alcoa of Australia Ltd and the Australian Research Council  
401 (LP0668931).

402

403

### 404 **References**

- 405 Banning, N.C., Grant, C.D., Jones, D.L., Murphy, D.V., 2008. Recovery of soil organic matter,  
406 organic matter turnover and nitrogen cycling in a post-mining forest rehabilitation  
407 chronosequence. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 40, 2021–2031.
- 408 Bell, D.T., 2001. Ecological response syndromes in the flora of southwestern Western  
409 Australia: fire resprouters versus reseeder. *Bot. Rev.* 67, 417–440.
- 410 Bell, D.T., Plummer, J.A., Taylor, S.K., 1993. Seed germination ecology in southwestern  
411 Western Australia. *Bot. Rev.* 59, 24–73.
- 412 Bellairs, S.M., Bell, D.T., 1990. Canopy-borne seed store in three Western Australian plant  
413 communities. *Aust. J. Ecol.* 15, 299–305.
- 414 Bolan, N.S., Robson, A.D., Barrow, N.J., 1983. Plant and soil factors including mycorrhizal  
415 infection causing sigmoidal response of plants to applied phosphorus. *Plant Soil* 73, 187–  
416 201.
- 417 Bowen, B.J., 1991. Fire response within the family Proteaceae: A comparison of plants  
418 displaying the seeder and resprouter mode of recovery. PhD thesis, University of Western  
419 Australia, Perth, WA, AU.

- 420 Bowen, B.J., Pate, J.S., 1993. The significance of root starch in post-fire shoot recovery of the  
421 resprouter *Stirlingia latifolia* R. Br. (Proteaceae). *Ann. Bot.* 72,7–16.
- 422 Boyes, L.J., Gunton, R.M., Griffiths, M.E., Lawes, M.J., 2011. Causes of arrested succession  
423 in coastal dune forest. *Plant Ecol.* 212, 21–32.
- 424 Bradshaw, A.D., 1983. The reconstruction of ecosystems. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 20, 1–17.
- 425 Brady, C.J., Noske, R.A., 2010. Succession in bird and plant communities over a 24-Year  
426 chronosequence of mine rehabilitation in the Australian monsoon tropics. *Restor. Ecol.* 18,  
427 855–864.
- 428 Burrows, N.D., Wardell-Johnson, G., Ward, B., 2008. Post-fire juvenile period of plants in  
429 south-west Australia forests and implications for fire management. *J. Royal Soc. Western*  
430 *Australia* 91, 163–174, Fire response database available at <http://naturemap.dec.wa.gov.au>
- 431 Daws, M.I., Standish, R.J., Koch, J.M., Morald, T.K., Tibbett, M. and Hobbs, R.J. (2015)  
432 Phosphorus fertilisation and large legume species affect jarrah forest restoration after  
433 bauxite mining. *Forest Ecol. Manage.* 354, 10–17.
- 434 Daws, M.I., Koch, J.M., 2015. Long-term restoration success of re-sprouter understorey  
435 species is facilitated by protection from herbivory and a reduction in competition. *Plant*  
436 *Ecol.* 216, 565–576.
- 437 Daws, M.I., Pearson, T.R.H., Burslem, D.F.R.P., Mullins, C.E., Dalling, J.W., 2005. Effects of  
438 topographic position, leaf litter and seed size on seedling demography in a semi-deciduous  
439 tropical forest in Panama. *Plant Ecol.* 179, 93–105.
- 440 Daws, M.I., Standish, R.J., Koch, J.M., Morald, T.K., 2013. Nitrogen and phosphorus fertiliser  
441 regime affect jarrah forest restoration after bauxite mining in Western Australia. *Appl. Veg.*  
442 *Sci.* 16, 610–618.
- 443 Errington, R.C., Pinno, B.D., 2015. Early successional plant community dynamics on a  
444 reclaimed oil sands mine in comparison with natural boreal forest communities. *Ecoscience*  
445 22, 133–144.
- 446 Forrester, D.I., Bauhus, J., Cowie, A.L. Vanclaye, J.K., 2006. Mixed-species plantations of  
447 *Eucalyptus* with nitrogen-fixing trees: a review. *For Ecol Manage.* 233, 211–230.
- 448 Forrester, D.I., Vanclay, J.K., Forrester, R.I., 2011. The balance between facilitation and  
449 competition in mixtures of *Eucalyptus* and *Acacia* as stands develop. *Oecologia* 166, 265–  
450 272.
- 451 George S.J., Kelly, R.N., Greenwood, P.F., Tibbett, M. (2010). Soil carbon and litter  
452 development along a reconstructed biodiverse forest chronosequence of South-Western  
453 Australia. *Biogeochem.* 101, 197–209.

- 454 Grant, C.D., Ward, S.C., Morley, S.C., 2007. Return of ecosystem function to restored bauxite  
455 mines in Western Australia. *Restor. Ecol.* 15, S94–S103.
- 456 Grigg A.H., Grant C.D., 2009. Overstorey growth response to thinning, burning and fertiliser  
457 in 10–13-year-old rehabilitated jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*) forest after bauxite mining in  
458 south-western Australia. *Aust. For.* 72, 80–86.
- 459 Handreck, K.A., 1997. Phosphorus requirements of Australian native plants. *Aust. J. Soil Res.*  
460 35, 241–289.
- 461 Hingston, F.J., Dimmock, G.M., Turton, A.G., 1980. Nutrient distribution in a jarrah  
462 (*Eucalyptus marginata* Donn ex Sm.) ecosystem in south-west Western Australia. *For. Ecol.*  
463 *Manage.* 3, 183–207.
- 464 Hingston, F.J., Malajcsuk, N., Grove, T.S., 1982. Acetylene reduction (N<sub>2</sub>-fixation) by jarrah  
465 forest legumes following fire and phosphate addition. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 19, 631–645.
- 466 Holmes, P.M., 2001. Shrubland restoration following woody alien invasion and mining: effects  
467 of topsoil depth, seed source, and fertilizer addition. *Restor. Ecol.* 9, 71–84.
- 468 Jasper, D.A., 2007. Beneficial soil microorganisms of the jarrah forest and their recovery in  
469 bauxite mine restoration in southwestern Australia. *Restor. Ecol.* 15, S74–S84.
- 470 Koch, J.M., 1987. Nitrogen accumulation in a rehabilitated bauxite-mined area in the Darling  
471 Range, Western Australia. *Aust. Forest Res.* 17, 59–72.
- 472 Koch, J.M., 2007a. Alcoa's mining and restoration process in South Western Australia  
473 Restoration Ecology 15, S11–S16.
- 474 Koch, J.M., 2007b. Restoring a Jarrah forest understorey vegetation after bauxite mining in  
475 Western Australia. *Restor. Ecol.* S26–S39.
- 476 Lambers, H., Raven, J.A., Shaver, G.R., Smith, S.E., 2008. Plant nutrient-acquisition strategies  
477 change with soil age. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23, 95–103.
- 478 Langkamp, J.P., Swinden, L.B., Dalling, M.J., 1979. Nitrogen fixation by *Acacia pellita* on  
479 areas restored after mining at Groote Eylandt, Northern Territory. *Aust. J. Bot.* 27, 353–361.
- 480 Le Stradic, S., Buisson, E., Negreiros, D., Campagne, P., Fernandes, G.W., 2014. The role of  
481 native woody species in the restoration of Campos Rupestres in quarries. *Appl. Veg. Sci.*  
482 17, 109–120.
- 483 McDonald, T., Gann, G.D., Jonson, J., Dixon, K.W. 2016. International standards for the  
484 practice of ecological restoration – including principles and key concepts. Society for  
485 Ecological Restoration, Washington, D.C.
- 486 Nichols, J.D., Carpenter, L., 2006 Interplanting *Inga edulis* yields nitrogen benefits to  
487 *Terminalia amazonica*. *For. Ecol Manage.* 233, 344–351.

- 488 Norman, M.A., Plummer, J.A., Koch, J.M., Mullins, G.R., 2006a. Optimising smoke  
489 treatments for jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*) forest rehabilitation. *Aust. J. Bot* 54, 571–581.
- 490 Norman, M.A., Koch, J.M., Grant, C.D., Morald, T.K., Ward, S.C., 2006b. Vegetation  
491 succession after bauxite mining in Western Australia. *Restor Ecol* 14, 278–288.
- 492 Palaniappan, V.M., Marrs, R.H., Bradshaw, A.D., 1979. The effect of *Lupinus arboreus* on the  
493 nitrogen status of china clay wastes. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 16, 825–831.
- 494 Parrotta, J.A., Knowles, O.H., 1999. Restoration of tropical moist forests on bauxite mined  
495 lands in the Brazilian Amazon. *Restor. Ecol.* 7, 103–116.
- 496 Parrotta, J.A., Knowles, O.H., 2001. Restoring tropical forests on lands mined for bauxite:  
497 examples from the Brazilian Amazon. *Ecol. Eng.* 17, 219–239.
- 498 Pate, J.S., Froend, R.H., Bowen, B.J., Hansen, A., Kuo, J., 1990. Seedling growth and storage  
499 characteristics of seeder and resprouter species of Mediterranean-type ecosystems of S.W.  
500 Australia. *Ann. Bot.* 65, 585–601.
- 501 Prober, S.M., Wiehl, G., 2012. Relationships among soil fertility, native plant diversity and  
502 exotic plant abundance inform restoration of forb-rich eucalypt woodlands. *Divers. Distrib.*  
503 18, 795–807.
- 504 Rayment, G.E., Higginson, F.R., 1992. Australian Laboratory Handbook of Soil and Water  
505 Chemical Methods. Inkata Press, Melbourne.
- 506 Shane, M.W., Szota, C., Lambers, H., 2004. A root trait accounting for the extreme phosphorus  
507 sensitivity of *Hakea prostrata* (Proteaceae). *Plant Cell Environ.* 27, 991–1004.
- 508 Siddique, I., Lex Engel, V., Parrotta, J.A., Lamb, D., Nardoto, G.B., Ometto, J.P.H.B.,  
509 Martinelli, L.A., Schmidt, S., 2008. Dominance of legume trees alters nutrient relations in  
510 mixed species forest restoration plantings within seven years. *Biogeochem.* 88, 89–101.
- 511 Smith, M.A., Loneragan, W.A., Grant, C.D., Koch, J.M., 2000. Effect of fire on the topsoil  
512 seed banks of rehabilitated bauxite mine sites in the jarrah forest of Western Australia. *Ecol.*  
513 *Manage. Restor.* 1, 50–58.
- 514 Spain, A.V., Hinz, D.A., Ludwig, J.A., Tibbett, M., Tongway, D.J., 2006. Mine closure and  
515 ecosystem development – Alcan Gove Bauxite Mine, Northern Territory, Australia. In:  
516 Fourie, A.B., Tibbett, M. (Eds.), *Mine Closure 2006*. Australian Centre for Geomechanics,  
517 Perth, Western Australia, pp. 299–308.
- 518 Spain, A.V., Tibbett, M., Hinz, D.A., Ludwig J.A., Tongway, D.J. 2015. The mining-  
519 restoration system and ecosystem development following bauxite mining in a biodiverse  
520 environment of the seasonally dry tropics, Northern Territory, Australia. In: *Mining in*  
521 *Ecologically Sensitive Landscapes* (Ed M. Tibbett), pp. 159-227. CRC Press, Netherlands.

- 522 Standish, R.J., Morald, T.K., Koch, J.M., Hobbs, R.J., Tibbett, M., 2008. Restoring jarrah forest  
523 after bauxite mining in Western Australia: the effect of fertiliser on floristic diversity and  
524 composition. In: Fourie, A.B., Tibbett, M., Weiersbye, I.M., Dye, P.J. (Eds.), *Mine Closure*  
525 2008. Australian Centre for Geomechanics, Perth, Western Australia, pp. 717–725.
- 526 Stoneman, G.L., Crombie, D.S., Whitford, K., Hingston, F.J., Giles, R., Portlock, C.C.,  
527 Galbraith, J.H., Dimmock, G.M., 1997. Growth and water relations of *Eucalyptus marginata*  
528 (jarrah) stands in response to thinning and fertilization. *Tree Phys.* 16, 267–274.
- 529 Tibbett, M., 2010. Large-scale Mine Site Restoration of Australian Eucalypt Forests After  
530 Bauxite Mining: Soil Management and Ecosystem Development. In: *Ecology of Industrial*  
531 *Pollution* L.C. Batty & K. Hallberg, (Eds), pp. 309-326. Cambridge University Press, UK.
- 532 Tilman, D., Reich, P.B., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Mielke, T., Lehman, C., 2001. Diversity and  
533 productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. *Science* 294, 843-845.
- 534 Todd, M.V.L., Adams, M.A., Grierson, P.F., 2000. Mineralisation of nitrogen in a  
535 chronosequence of rehabilitated bauxite mines. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 38, 435–451.
- 536 Turvey, N.D., Attiwell, P.M., Cameron, J.N., Smethurst, P.J., 1983. Growth of planted pine  
537 trees in response to variation in the densities of naturally regenerated Acacias. *For. Ecol.*  
538 *Manage.* 7, 103–117.
- 539 Ward, S.C., Koch, J.M., 1996. Biomass and nutrient distribution in a 15.5 year old forest  
540 growing on a rehabilitated bauxite mine. *Aust. J. Ecol.* 21, 309–315.
- 541 Ward, S.C., Koch, J.M., Ainsworth, G.L., 1991. The effect of controlled burns on nitrogen and  
542 phosphorus in rehabilitated bauxite mines. Environmental Department *Research Bulletin*  
543 18, 1-16, ALCOA of Australia Ltd.
- 544 Ward, S.C., Koch, J.M., Grant, C.D., 1997. Ecological aspects of soil seed-banks in relation to  
545 bauxite mining. I. Unmined jarrah forest. *Aust. J. Ecol.* 22, 169–176.
- 546 Ward, S.C., Koch, J.M., Nichols, O.G., 1990. Bauxite mine rehabilitation in the Darling Range,  
547 Western Australia. *Proc. Ecol. Soc. Aust.* 16, 557–565.
- 548 Wassen, M.J., Venterink, H.O., Lapshina, E.D., Tanneberger, F., 2005. Endangered plants  
549 persist under phosphorus limitation. *Nature* 437, 547-550.
- 550 Western Australian Herbarium, 2012. FloraBase—the Western Australian Flora. Department  
551 of Environment and Conservation. <<http://florabase.dec.wa.gov.au/>>.
- 552 Wheeler, B.D., Shaw, S.C., 1991. Aboveground crop mass and species richness of the principal  
553 types of herbaceous rich-fen vegetation of lowland England and Wales. *J. Ecol.* 79, 285-  
554 301.

555 Table 1. The effects of phosphorus addition and seeding large legumes on the soil properties  
 556 in the experimental plots in January 2018, 17 years after phosphorus addition and seeding of  
 557 large legumes in a fully factorial experiment. Also shown is the depth of accumulated leaf  
 558 litter and fine woody debris measured in 2016, 15 years after establishment of the  
 559 experiment.

P-application rate (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Large legumes seeded?	Total N (%)	Colwell P mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	Total organic carbon (%)	NH <sub>4</sub> -N mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	Litter layer depth (mm)
<b>Experimental treatments</b>						
<b>0</b>	Yes	0.16 ± 0.02	3.67 ± 0.33	2.53 ± 0.36	6.17 ± 0.83	29.2 ± 8.7
	No	0.14 ± 0.03	3.67 ± 0.71	2.71 ± 0.29	6.83 ± 2.15	57.4 ± 8.8
<b>20</b>	Yes	0.16 ± 0.01	4.00 ± 0.52	3.13 ± 0.36	11.50 ± 3.49	112.4 ± 13.4
	No	0.14 ± 0.02	4.33 ± 0.76	2.77 ± 0.34	9.17 ± 2.70	54.5 ± 4.3
<b>80</b>	Yes	0.19 ± 0.04	7.83 ± 0.83	3.70 ± 0.10	16.83 ± 3.82	93.9 ± 19.5
	No	0.14 ± 0.03	7.00 ± 0.58	2.78 ± 0.30	10.17 ± 3.04	50.9 ± 10.7
<b>Unmined forest</b>						
<b>Nil</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>n.d.</b>	<b>n.d.</b>	<b>n.d.</b>	<b>n.d.</b>	68.1 ± 7.5

560 Means ± 1SE of the mean.

561 n.d. = not determined.

562 **Figure legends:**

563 Fig. 1. The effects of phosphorus fertiliser application rate and seeding large legumes on: (A)  
564 the species richness, (B) the number of individual plants, and (C) total cover of legume  
565 species, 15 years after seeding and fertiliser addition. Error bars are +1SE of the mean.

566 Fig. 2. The effect of phosphorus application rate and seeding large legumes on, (A, B)  
567 established species richness, (C, D) seedling density, and (E, F) plant cover in each of five  
568 growth form categories (weeds, native ephemerals, Proteaceae, re-sprouter and re-seeder  
569 species). Legume species are excluded from these plots. Note that Proteaceae are hardly  
570 visible on C and D due to low overall plant densities and that ephemerals and weeds are not  
571 visible on E and F due to very low overall cover associated with these groups. Error bars are  
572 -1SE of the mean.


573 Fig. 3. The effect of phosphorus fertiliser application rate and seeding additional large  
574 legumes on: (A) the basal area, and (B) height, for the tree species jarrah (*Eucalyptus*  
575 *marginata*), 15 years after seeding and fertiliser addition. Error bars are +1SE of the mean.

576

577

578 Fig. 1

579




580

581



582 Fig. 2

583




584

585

586

587

588 Fig 3.



589

590

591

592

## 593 Appendix 1: Seeding rates used in the experiment.

Species	Family	Seed application rate (#ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<i>Acacia drummondii</i> *	Fabaceae	15881
<i>Acacia extensa</i> *	Fabaceae	1791
<i>Acacia horridula</i>	Fabaceae	114
<i>Acacia lateriticola</i> *	Fabaceae	5516
<i>Acacia nervosa</i>	Fabaceae	139
<i>Acacia pulchella</i> *	Fabaceae	1455
<i>Acacia urophylla</i> *	Fabaceae	1966
<i>Agrosticrinum scabrum</i>	Anthericaceae	663
<i>Allocasuaria fraseriana</i>	Casuarinaceae	736
<i>Amphipogon amphipogonoides</i>	Poaceae	697
<i>Andersonia involucrata</i>	Epacridaceae	7896
<i>Andersonia latiflora</i>	Epacridaceae	11171
<i>Anigozanthos manglesii</i>	Haemodoraceae	1026
<i>Astroloma ciliata</i>	Epacridaceae	10
<i>Austrodanthonia caespitosa</i>	Poaceae	895
<i>Banksia grandis</i>	Proteaceae	426
<i>Billardiera floribunda</i>	Pittosporaceae	179
<i>Billardiera fraseri</i>	Pittosporaceae	33
<i>Billardiera heterophylla</i>	Pittosporaceae	571
<i>Billardiera variifolia</i>	Pittosporaceae	145
<i>Boronia fastigiatus</i>	Rutaceae	216
<i>Bossiaea aquifolium</i> *	Fabaceae	5111
<i>Bossiaea ornata</i>	Fabaceae	13844
<i>Burchardia congesta</i>	Colchicaceae	1967
<i>Chamaescilla corymbosa</i>	Anthericaceae	298
<i>Chorizema dicksonii</i>	Fabaceae	1258
<i>Chorizema ilicifolium</i>	Fabaceae	2884
<i>Clematis pubescens</i>	Ranunculaceae	96
<i>Conostylis aculeata</i>	Haemodoraceae	767
<i>Corymbia calophylla</i>	Myrtaceae	3169
<i>Craspedia variabilis</i>	Asteraceae	23
<i>Cryptandra arbutiflora</i>	Rhamnaceae	99
<i>Cyathochaeta avenecea</i>	Cyperaceae	1312
<i>Daviesia cordata</i>	Fabaceae	523
<i>Daviesia decurrens</i>	Fabaceae	37
<i>Daviesia physodes</i>	Fabaceae	13
<i>Daviesia preissii</i>	Fabaceae	11

<i>Dianella revoluta</i>	Phormiaceae	198
<i>Dryandra lindleyana</i>	Proteaceae	7
<i>Dryandra sessilis</i>	Proteaceae	1
<i>Eryngium pinnatifidum</i>	Apiaceae	1082
<i>Eucalyptus marginata</i>	Myrtaceae	49645
<i>Glischrocaryon aureum</i>	Haloragaceae	257
<i>Gompholobium knightianum</i>	Fabaceae	2417
<i>Gompholobium marginatum</i>	Fabaceae	5693
<i>Gompholobium polymorphum</i>	Fabaceae	181
<i>Gompholobium preisii</i>	Fabaceae	378
<i>Gonocarpus cordiger</i>	Haloragaceae	50
<i>Grevillea pilulifera</i>	Proteaceae	7
<i>Grevillea quercifolia</i>	Proteaceae	7
<i>Grevillea wilsonii</i>	Proteaceae	10
<i>Haemodorum paniculatum</i>	Haemodoraceae	5
<i>Haemodorum spicata</i>	Haemodoraceae	76
<i>Hakea amplexicaulis</i>	Proteaceae	40
<i>Hakea cyclocarpa</i>	Proteaceae	21
<i>Hakea lissocarpa</i>	Proteaceae	78
<i>Hakea ruscifolia</i>	Proteaceae	2
<i>Hakea stenocarpa</i>	Proteaceae	9
<i>Hakea undulata</i>	Proteaceae	96
<i>Hemigenia rigidum</i>	Labiaceae	802
<i>Hemigenia sericea</i>	Labiaceae	170
<i>Hibbertia commutata</i>	Dilleniaceae	263
<i>Hibbertia huegelii</i>	Dilleniaceae	196
<i>Hovea chorizemifolia</i>	Fabaceae	50
<i>Hovea trisperma</i>	Fabaceae	166
<i>Hyalosperma cotula</i>	Asteraceae	745
<i>Hybanthus calycinus</i>	Violaceae	39
<i>Hybanthus floribunda</i>	Violaceae	14
<i>Hypocalymma angustifolium</i>	Myrtaceae	4223
<i>Isotoma hypocrateriformis</i>	Campanulaceae	1768
<i>Kennedia coccinea</i>	Fabaceae	92
<i>Labichea punctata</i>	Fabaceae	876
<i>Lagenophora huegelii</i>	Asteraceae	3401
<i>Lechenaultia biloba</i>	Goodeniaceae	819
<i>Leucopogon propinquus</i>	Epacridaceae	54
<i>Leucopogon verticillatus</i>	Epacridaceae	146
<i>Lomandra nigricans</i>	Dasypogonaceae	16
<i>Lomandra preissii</i>	Dasypogonaceae	0.47
<i>Lomandra purpurea</i>	Dasypogonaceae	39

<i>Lomandra sonderi</i>	Dasypogonaceae	39
<i>Macrozamia reidlii</i>	Zamiaceae	155
<i>Marianthus bicolor</i>	Pittosporaceae	191
<i>Mirbelia dilatata*</i>	Fabaceae	1442
<i>Neurachne alopecuroidea</i>	Poaceae	10974
<i>Orthosanthus laxis</i>	Iridaceae	85
<i>Persoonia elliptica</i>	Proteaceae	1
<i>Persoonia longifolia</i>	Proteaceae	1
<i>Phyllanthus calycinus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	2085
<i>Pimelia ciliata</i>	Thymelaceae	320
<i>Pimelia suaveolens</i>	Thymelaceae	47
<i>Ptilotheca spicata</i>	Rutaceae	29
<i>Ptilotus manglessii</i>	Ameranthaceae	396
<i>Stackhousia pubescens</i>	Celastraceae	62
<i>Stylidium amoenum</i>	Stylidiaceae	7934
<i>Stylidium calcaratum</i>	Stylidiaceae	5408
<i>Stylidium diuroides</i>	Stylidiaceae	5408
<i>Stylidium junceum</i>	Stylidiaceae	453
<i>Stylidium schoenoides</i>	Stylidiaceae	133
<i>Tetrarrhena laevis</i>	Poaceae	1536
<i>Tetralthea hirsuta</i>	Tremandraceae	317
<i>Thysanotus multiflorus</i>	Anthericaceae	261
<i>Trichocline spathulata</i>	Asteraceae	62
<i>Tricoryne elatior</i>	Anthericaceae	65
<i>Tripterococcus brunonis</i>	Celastraceae	1214
<i>Trymalium ledifolium</i>	Rhamnaceae	1955
<i>Velleia trinervis</i>	Goodeniaceae	784
<i>Xanthorrhoea gracilis</i>	Xanthorrhoeaceae	2415
<i>Xanthorrhoea preissii</i>	Xanthorrhoeaceae	529

594 \*only seeded in the large legume treatment.

595

596 Appendix 2: Species recorded in the experiment, and the growth form they were assigned in  
597 analyses.

Species	Family	Growth form
<i>Acacia drummondii</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Acacia extensa</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Acacia lateriticola</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Acacia pulchella</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Acacia saligna</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Acacia urophylla</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Adenanthos barbiger</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Aira caryophyllea</i>	Poaceae	Non-native weed
<i>Allocasuarina fraseriana</i>	Casuarinaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Amphipogon amphipogonoides</i>	Poaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Andersonia lehmanniana</i>	Epacridaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Austrodanthonia caespitosa</i>	Poaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Banksia grandis</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Billardiera heterophylla</i>	Pittosporaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Boronia fastigiatus</i>	Rutaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Bossiaea aquifolium</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Bossiaea ornata</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Burchardia congesta</i>	Colchicaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Caladenia flava</i>	Orchidaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Chamaescilla corymbosa</i>	Anthericaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Chorizema cordatum</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Chorizema dicksonii</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Chorizema ilicifolium</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Comesperma calymega</i>	Polygalaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Comesperma virgatum</i>	Polygalaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Conostylis serrulata</i>	Haemodoraceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Conostylis setigera</i>	Haemodoraceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Conostylis setosa</i>	Haemodoraceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Conyza bonariensis</i>	Asteraceae	Non-native weed
<i>Corymbia calophylla</i>	Myrtaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Cyathochaeta avenacea</i>	Cyperaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Daviesia decurrens</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Dianella revoluta</i>	Phormiaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Disa bracteata</i>	Orchidaceae	Non-native weed
<i>Diuris longifolia</i>	Orchidaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Drosera stolonifera</i>	Droseraceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Eucalyptus maculata</i>	Myrtaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Eucalyptus marginata</i>	Myrtaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Gastrolobium spinosum</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Gompholobium knightianum</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Gompholobium marginatum</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder

---

<i>Gompholobium polymorphum</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Gompholobium preissii</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Hakea amplexicaulis</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Hakea lissocarpa</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Hakea prostrata</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Hakea ruscifolia</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Hakea stenocarpa</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Hakea undulata</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Hardenbergia comptoniana</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hemigenia rigida</i>	Lamiaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Hibbertia acerosa</i>	Dilleniaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hibbertia amplexicaulis</i>	Dilleniaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hibbertia commutata</i>	Dilleniaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hibbertia quadricolor</i>	Dilleniaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hovea chorizemifolia</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hovea trisperma</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hybanthus calycinus</i>	Violaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hybanthus floribundus</i>	Violaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hypocalymma angustifolium</i>	Myrtaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hypocalymma cordifolium</i>	Myrtaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Hypochaeris glabra</i>	Asteraceae	Non-native weed
<i>Hypolaena exsulca</i>	Restionaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Kennedia coccinea</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Labichea punctata</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lagenophora huegelii</i>	Asteraceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lasiopetalum floribundum</i>	Sterculiaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lepidosperma gracile</i>	Cyperaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lepidosperma squamatum</i>	Cyperaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lepidosperma tenue</i>	Cyperaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Leucopogon nutans</i>	Epacridaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Leucopogon propinquus</i>	Epacridaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Leucopogon verticillatus</i>	Epacridaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Levenhookia pusilla</i>	Stylidiaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Lomandra caespitosa</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lomandra drummondii</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lomandra hermaphrodita</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lomandra preissii</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lomandra sericea</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lomandra sonderi</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Lomandra spartea</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Macrozamia riedlei</i>	Zamiaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Microtis media</i>	Orchidaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Millotia tenuifolia</i>	Asteraceae	Ephemeral
<i>Mirbelia dilatata</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Neurachne alopecuroidea</i>	Poaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Opercularia apiciflora</i>	Rubiaceae	Re-seeder

---

<i>Opercularia echinocephala</i>	Rubiaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Orthrosanthus laxus</i>	Iridaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Patersonia rudis</i>	Iridaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Pentapeltis peltigera</i>	Apiaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Persoonia longifolia</i>	Proteaceae*	Re-sprouter
<i>Phyllanthus calycinus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Platysace compressa</i>	Apiaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Platysace tenuissima</i>	Apiaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Podolepis gracilis</i>	Asteraceae	Ephemeral
<i>Pseudognaphalium luteo- album</i>	Asteraceae	Non-native weed
<i>Pterochaeta paniculata</i>	Asteraceae	Ephemeral
<i>Pterostylis pyramidalis</i>	Orchidaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Ranunculus colonorum</i>	Ranunculaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Rhodanthe citrina</i>	Asteraceae	Ephemeral
<i>Scaevola calliptera</i>	Goodeniaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Senecio diaschides</i>	Asteraceae	Non-native weed
<i>Senecio hispidulus</i>	Asteraceae	Ephemeral
<i>Senecio quadridentatus</i>	Asteraceae	Ephemeral
<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	Asteraceae	Non-native weed
<i>Sphaerolobium medium</i>	Fabaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Stylidium amoenum</i>	Stylidiaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Stylidium calcaratum</i>	Stylidiaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Stylidium hispidum</i>	Stylidiaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Stylidium junceum</i>	Stylidiaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Styphelia tenuiflora</i>	Epacridaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Tetraria capillaris</i>	Cyperaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Tetrarrhena laevis</i>	Poaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Tetradthea hirsuta</i>	Tremandraceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Thelymitra macrophylla</i>	Orchidaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Thysanotus fastigiatus</i>	Anthericaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Thysanotus multiflorus</i>	Anthericaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Thysanotus thyrsoideus</i>	Anthericaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Trachymene pilosa</i>	Apiaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Trymalium ledifolium</i>	Rhamnaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Velleia trinervis</i>	Goodeniaceae	Ephemeral
<i>Vellereophyton dealbatum</i>	Asteraceae	Non-native weed
<i>Viminaria juncea</i>	Fabaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Xanthorrhoea gracilis</i>	Xanthorrhoeaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Xanthorrhoea preissii</i>	Xanthorrhoeaceae	Re-sprouter
<i>Xanthosia atkinsoniana</i>	Apiaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Xanthosia candida</i>	Apiaceae	Re-seeder
<i>Xanthosia huegelii</i>	Apiaceae	Re-seeder

598 \*Although all are re-sprouters, Proteaceae were treated as a separate grouping in analyses.