Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Effects of duration of water stress at different growth stages on growth and yield of Soybeans (Glycine max (L.) Merrill)

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the Degree of
Master of Agricultural Science
in Plant Science
at
Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Suwit Laohasiriwong 1982

	Table of Contents	Page
Acknowledgemen	ts	i
List of Figures	S	ii
List of Tables		iv
List of Plates		vii
List of Append	ices	viii
Abstract		1
Chapter I:	Introduction	3
Chapter II:	Review of Literature	*
2.1	Introduction	5
	2.1.1 Terminology	5
2.2	Development of plant water deficit	6
2.3	Plant response to water deficit	6
	2.3.1 Recovery from water deficit	. 8
2.4	Growth and development of soybeans	8
	2.4.1 Vegetative growth	9
	2.4.2 Reproductive growth	9
2.5	Effect of water stress on growth and yield of	
	soybeans	10
	2.5.1 Effects on vegetative growth	10
	2.5.2 Effects on reproductive growth and yield	11
2.6	Drought tolerance test	13
	2.6.1 Method of drought tolerance test	14
2.7	Genotype x environment interaction	14
	2.7.1 Methodology review	15
	2.7.1.1 Regression analysis	15
	2.7.1.2 Multivariate techniques	16
Chapter III:	Material and Methods	18
3.1	Experimental design	19
3.2	Plant material	19

		<u>Page</u>
3.3	Description of plant growth stages	20
3.4	Destructive harvest	20
3.5	Plant and soil water status	20
3.6	Final harvest	21
3.7	Drought tolerance test	21
3.8	Statistical analysis	21
	3.8.1 Curve fitting	21
* *	3.8.2 Analysis of variance	22
	3.8.3 Important plant characters determining	
	yield	23
	3.8.4 Genotype x environment interaction	25
	3.8.4.1 Regression analysis	25
i	3.8.4.2 Discriminant analysis	26
Chapter IV:	Results	
4.1	Plant and soil water status	27
4.2	Plant growth and development	27
	4.2.1 Total plant dry weight	27
	4.2.1.1 Comparison between curves	32
	4.2.2 The other plant characters	
	4.2.2.1 Days required to reach growth st	ages
	R1, R4 and R7	36
	4.2.2.2 Leaf area per plant	36
	4.2.2.3 Plant length	36
	4.2.2.4 Number of branches	40
	4.2.2.5 Number of nodes	40
	4.2.2.6 Percentage of nodes with pod	40
	4.2.2.7 Number of pods per node	47
9.	4.2.2.8 Ratio of pod dry weight/above	
	ground biomass	47

		Page
4.3	Reproductive yield and yield components	47
	4.3.1 Total pod and seed yield	47
	4.3.1.1 Harvest index	52
	4.3.2 Main stem seed yield	52
	4.3.3 Yield components	56
	4.3.3.1 Number of pods	56
	4.3.3.2 Number of seeds per pod	56
* *	4.3.3.3 Average seed weight	5,6
	4.3.4 The relationship between duration of	
*	stress and reduction of yield and yield	
	components	59
4.4	Relative importance of some plant characters in	
×	determining yield	65
	4.4.1 Comparison of cultivars across treatments	68
	4.4.2 Comparison of treatments across cultivars	71
*	4.4.3 Determinate versus indeterminate types	75
4.5	Drought tolerance test	75
4.6	Genotype x environment interaction	79
	4.6.1 Regression analysis	79
	4.6.2 Discriminant analysis	79
Chapter V:	Discussion	
5.1	Plant and soil water status	87
5.2	Plant growth and development	87
5.3	Yield and yield components	90
5.4	Drought tolerance test	96
5.5	Genotype x environment interaction	97
Chapter VI:	Conclusion	100
Appendices		101
References		118

## Acknowledgements

I would like to dedicate my most grateful thanks to my supervisor Dr. A.C.P. Chu for his sincere encouragement, valuable discussion and guidance throughout the study. I also acknowledge with gratitude the useful advice and discussion from my co-supervisor Dr. I.L. Gordon.

The scholarship from the Ford Foundation (U.S.A.) and Khon Kaen University (Thailand) is gratefully acknowledged.

I would like to sincerely thank Professor B.R. Watkin (Agronomy Department, Massey University), Dr. Terd Charoenwatana and Dr. Kavi Chutikul (Khon Kaen University, Thailand) for their helpful support.

I acknowledge the assistance given to me by the following:

Dr. D.J. Hume, University of Guelph, Canada, for supplying me with the seeds for this experiment.

The D.S.I.R. Plant Physiology Division for the use of their climate laboratory facilities. In particular I would like to thank Mr. I.J. Warrington, Mrs. A.K.H. Jackson and Mr. G. Halligan for their assistance.

The staffs and post graduate students of the Agronomy Department and my Thai friends who assisted in one way or another at various stages of this study.

The D.S.I.R. Genotype-environment interaction grant for financial assistance in computing.

Mr. and Mrs. Cave for their time and effort in typing this manuscript.

Finally, to my wife Suwanna for her patience, understanding and moral support.

	List of Figures	Page
Figure 1a	Relative water content (RWC) and soil moisture	,
	content (SMC) at different growth stages in different	
	treatments for cutlivars Evans and Geiso.	28
Figure 1b	Relative water content (RWC) and soil moisture	*
	content (SMC) at different growth stages in different	
	treatments for cultivars Maple Arrow and S.J.4.	29
Figure 2	Growth curve of cultivars a) Evans b) Geiso c) Maple	
	Arrow d) S.J.4 from Treatments 1 and 3	30-31
Figure 3	Plant dry weight plotted against time for cultivars	
	a) Evans b) Geiso c) Maple Arrow d) S.J.4 from	
	Treatments 2 and 4.	33-34
Figure 4	Ratio of pod dry weight and above ground biomass of	
, *	cultivars a) Evans b) Geiso c) Maple Arrow and d) S.J	.4
	from 4 water treatments.	49
Figure 5	Curves of total seed yield expressed as % to control	
	against non stress days (% to control) of soybean	
	cultivars a) Evans & Maple Arrow b) Geiso and	
	S.J.4.	64
Figure 6	Normalized relationship of a) main stem seed yield	
	and yield compoents b) number of pods (c) number of	
	seeds per pod and d) wt. of 20 seeds expressed as	
	percentage to control with percentage non stress days	
	to control.	66-67
Figure 7	Principal compoent scores of cultivars Evans (a),	
	Geiso (b), Maple Arrow (c) and Treatment 3 (d)	72
Figure 8	Regression line of 4 soybean cultivars mean yield	
	against treatment mean vield.	80

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page
Figure 9	Flow chart of effects of water stress on two	
	different growth habits of soybeans at two	
	reproductive growth periods.	92

	<u>List of Tables</u>	Page
Table 1	Information from linear regression $ln(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$	
	for the 4 soybean cultivars from Treatment 1.	35
Table 2	Information from linear regression $ln(\frac{y}{y_0-y}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$	
	for the 4 soybean cultivars from Treatment 3.	35
Table 3	T-test (1tailed) of $\beta_0, \beta_1$ within cultivars across	
	Treatments 1 and 3 and the relative reductions of $\beta\mathrm{l}$	
	and the asymtote.	37
Table 4	Average number of days from sowing to growth stages	
	R1, R4 and R7 (final harvest).	38
Table 5	Mean leaf area per plant (cm <sup>2</sup> ) of 4 soybean	<i>3</i>
	cultivars from 4 water treatments.	39
Table 6	Mean final plant length (cm) from a) water treatments	
*	and b) cultivars.	41
Table 7	Average number of branches per plant of 4 soybean	
	cultivars from 4 water treatments.	44
Table 8	Mean number of nodes (along main stem) of 4 soybean	
	cultivars from 4 water treatments.	45
Table 9	Percentage of nodes with pods (along main stem) of	
	4 soybean cultivars from 4 water treatments.	46
Table 10	Mean number of pods per node (along main stem) of	
	4 soybean cultivars from 4 water treatments.	48
Table 11	Mean pod weight (gm) per plant of 4 soybean cultivars	8
	from 4 water treatments.	50
Table 12	Mean seed weight (gm) per plant of 4 soybean cultivar	'S
	from 4 water treatments.	51
Table 13	Harvest index of 4 soybean cultivars from 4 water	
	treatments.	53

		Page
Table 14	Mean of main stem seed weight (gm) per plant of	•
	4 soybean cultivars from 4 water treatments.	54
Table 15	Main stem seed weight relative to total seed weight	
	(%) for 4 soybean cultivars from 4 water treatments.	55
Table 16	Mean number of pods from main stem of 4 soybean	
	cultivars from 4 water treatments.	57
Table 17	Mean number of seeds per pod of 4 soybean cultivars	
	from 4 water treatments.	58
Table 18	Mean weight of 20 seeds (gm) of 4 soybean cultivars	
	from 4 water treatments.	60
Table 19	Average main stem seed yield and yield components	
	of 4 soybean cultivars.	63
Table 20	Factor structure matrix of principal component	
	analysis of each cultivar.	69
Table 21	The principal component scores of plant characters	
	for the 4 soybean cultivars.	70
Table.22	Factor structure matrix of principal component	
	analysis of each treatment.	73
Table 23	The principal component scores of plant characters	
	for the 4 water treatments.	74
Table 24	Factor structure matrix of principal component	
	analysis of determinate and indeterminate growth	
	types.	76
Table 25	The principal component scores of plant characters	
	for the determinate and indeterminate growth types.	77
Table 26	Percent injury of leaf discs in 4 soybean cultivars	
	tested at different growth stages (mean value from	
	3 replicates with 2 samples/replicate).	78

		Page
Table 27	Information from linear regression of mean yield	•
	of 4 soybean cultivars regressed against treatment	
	mean yield.	81
Table 28	Information from discriminant analysis from each	
	treatment.	83
Table 29	Mean discriminant scores from first discriminant	
	function of each treatment.	84
Table 30	Factor structure matrix of principal component	
	analysis of discriminant scores.	85
Table 31	Principal component scores (PC1) of 4 soybean	
	cultivars from the analysis of mean discriminant	
	scores.	86
Table 32	Relationship between percent injury of each cultivar	
	with percent yield of stressed plant relative to	
	control.	98

	<u>List of Plates</u>	Page
Plate 1	Photographs of the 4 soybean cultivars from the	
	4 water treatments.	42-43
Plate 2	Photographs of seeds from the 4 soybean cultivars	
	from the 4 water treatments.	61-62

	<u>List of Appendices</u>	Page
Appendix 1	Statistical symbols.	101
Appendix 2	Coefficient of determination $(R^2)$ from 4 soybean cultivars	
	from Treatments 2 and 4 when 2 growth curves were fitted	
	with total plant dry dry weight.	102
Appendix 3	Method of drought tolerance test.	103
Appendix 4.1	Analysis of variance of plant length (cm).	104
Appendix 4.2	Analysis of variance of number of nodes.	105
Appendix 4.3	Analysis of variance of percentage of node with pods.	106
Appendix 4.4	Analysis of variance of number of pods per node.	107
Appendix 4.5	Analysis of variance of pod weight per plant (gm).	108
Appendix 4.6	Analysis of variance of seed weight (gm) per plant.	109
Appendix 4.7	Analysis of variance of main stem seed weight (gm).	110
Appendix 4.8	Analysis of variance of number of pods.	111
Appendix 4.9	Analysis of variance of number of seeds per pod.	112
Appendix 4.10	Analysis of variance of average seed weight.	113
Appendix 4.11	Analysis of variance of percent injury from drought tolera	nce
	test.	114
Appendix 5.1	Summary of multiple regression and partial correlation of	
	seed yield with selected plant characters for the 4 soybea	n
	cultivars.	115
Appendix 5.2	Summary of multiple regression and partial correlation of	
	seed yield with selected plant characters for the 4 water	
	treatments.	116
Appendix 5.3	Summary of multiple regression and partial correlation of	
٠	seed yield with selected plant characters for 2 different	
	arowth types	117

## Abstract

Four soybean cultivars (viz. Evans, Geiso, Maple Arrow and S.J.4) were subjected to water stress at three different reproductive growth stages: i) entire reproductive growth stages (R1 to R7) ii) early reproductive growth stages (R1 to R4) and iii) late reproductive growth (R4 to R7). The experiment was conducted in the climate laboratory at the Plant Physiology Division D.S.I.R. Palmerston North, New Zealand, with  $31^{\circ}/23^{\circ}$ c (day/night temperature) 70/90% RH (day/night relative humidity) and 14 hours photoperiod.

The growth and development of the soybeans were markedly affected by water stress. Leaf area, final plant length, number of nodes and total plant dry weight from the stress treatments were reduced.

Seed yield per plant from the three stress treatments were only 10.7, 49.6, and 24.1% relative to that of control treatment. The response of soybean yield depended on both the timing and the duration of stress in relation to growth stages whilst some other plant characters such as plant length and the number of nodes responded more to the timing rather than the duration of stress. Cultivars with the determinate growth type (viz. Evans and Maple Arrow) were apparently more sensitive to stress at the early phase of reproductive growth (R1 to R4) whilst the indeterminate growth type (viz. Geiso and S.J.4) were more sensitive to water stress at the later phase of reproductive growth (R4 to R7). The number of pods per plant was the most important yield component in determining yield although in Evans and the early stress treatment the average seed weight was the most important component.

The rank of cultivars, from low to high sensitivity to water stress is Maple Arrow, Evans, Geiso and S.J.4. S.J.4 also reacted differently from

the other three cultivars in many aspects.

The drought tolerance test estimated through a measurment of electrolyte leakage from the cells was used and discussed.

Two methods of genotype x environment interaction analysis (regression analysis and discriminant analysis) were used. The discriminant analysis had some advantages in the study of GE interaction e.g. it could be done with several characters at once and compared with the regression method it could be used with a much lesser number of cultivars and environments.

<u>Key words</u>: Soybeans, water stress, growth stages, yield and yield components, drought tolerance test, GE interaction, discriminant analysis, principal component analysis.