

*Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae*  
**41** (2013) pp. 57–62

*Proceedings of the*  
 15<sup>th</sup> *International Conference on Fibonacci Numbers and Their Applications*  
*Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Eszterházy Károly College*  
*Eger, Hungary, June 25–30, 2012*

# On $h$ -perfect numbers

Heiko Harborth

Diskrete Mathematik, Technische Universität Braunschweig  
 38023 Braunschweig, Germany  
[h.harborth@tu-bs.de](mailto:h.harborth@tu-bs.de)

## Abstract

Let  $\sigma(x)$  denote the sum of the divisors of  $x$ . The diophantine equation  $\sigma(x) + \sigma(y) = 2(x + y)$  equalizes the abundance and deficiency of  $x$  and  $y$ . For  $x = n$  and  $y = hn$  the solutions  $n$  are called  $h$ -perfect since the classical perfect numbers occur as solutions for  $h = 1$ . Some results on  $h$ -perfect numbers are determined.

*Keywords:* perfect numbers, amicable numbers

*MSC:* 11A25

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\sigma(n)$  denote the sum of the divisors of  $n$ , that is,

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i^{\alpha_i+1} - 1}{p_i - 1} \quad \text{for} \quad n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

Since the classical antiquity there exist two famous problems for  $\sigma(n)$ .

At first it is asked for perfect numbers  $n$  fulfilling

$$\sigma(n) = 2n.$$

All even perfect numbers are of the form  $n = (2^p - 1)2^{p-1}$  where  $p$  is a prime number and where  $2^p - 1$  is a so-called Mersenne prime number, too. Nearly 50 such prime numbers are known. The existence of odd perfect numbers is still unknown.

Secondly, it is asked for amicable number pairs  $x, y$  such that

$$\sigma(x) - x = y \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(y) - y = x.$$

Several thousand pairs are known. It remains unknown whether there are infinitely many pairs.

Nonperfect numbers  $n$  are called abundant if  $\sigma(n) > 2n$  and called deficient if  $\sigma(n) < 2n$ . Then it may be asked for perfect number pairs  $x, y$  fulfilling the diophantine equation

$$\sigma(x) + \sigma(y) = 2(x + y), \quad (1.1)$$

that is,  $x$  and  $y$  equalize abundance and deficiency.

There exist many solutions  $x, y$  of (1.1). For fixed  $d$  let  $X$  and  $Y$  be the sets of solutions  $x$  and  $y$  of  $\sigma(x) = 2x + d$  and  $\sigma(y) = 2y - d$ , respectively. The sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite (see [1], p. 169). Then all pairs  $x, y$  with  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  are solutions of (1.1).

It may be remarked that perfect and amicable numbers are special cases of (1.1): Perfect numbers for  $x = y$  and amicable numbers for  $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y)$ .

Here it is proposed to consider the special class of solutions of (1.1) when  $y$  is a multiple of  $x$ , that is,

$$\sigma(n) + \sigma(hn) = 2(n + hn) = 2n(h + 1). \quad (1.2)$$

If  $h = 1$  then  $n$  is a perfect number. Therefore solutions  $n$  of (1.2) may be called  $h$ -perfect numbers. Some results on  $h$ -perfect numbers are determined in the following.

## 2. Powers of two

For  $h = 2^t$  all  $h$ -perfect numbers are dependent on a sequence of certain prime numbers being similar to Mersenne prime numbers.

**Theorem 2.1.** *A number  $n$  is  $2^t$ -perfect,  $t \geq 1$ , if and only if it holds  $n = 2^\alpha((2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1)$  where  $(2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1$  is a prime number.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n$  is  $2^t$ -perfect,  $t \geq 1$ .

If  $(n, 2) = 1$  then equation (1.2) implies

$$\sigma(n) + \sigma(n2^t) = \sigma(n)(1 + 2^{t+1} - 1) = \sigma(n)2^{t+1} = 2n(1 + 2^t).$$

Since the left term of (1.2) is divisible by  $2^{t+1}$  whereas the right term of (1.2) is divisible by 2 only, odd  $2^t$ -perfect numbers do not exist.

If  $n = s2^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $(s, 2) = 1$  then equation (1.2) yields

$$\sigma(s2^\alpha) + \sigma(s2^{t+\alpha}) = 2(s2^\alpha + s2^{t+\alpha}).$$

This is equivalent to

$$\sigma(s)((2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1) = (2^t + 1)2^\alpha s \quad \text{with} \quad s = v((2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1), \quad v \geq 1, \quad (2.1)$$

since  $((2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1, (2^t + 1)2^\alpha) = 1$ .

If  $v > 1$  then equation (2.1) determines

$$v((2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1) + v + 1 \leq \sigma(v((2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1)) = v(2^t + 1)2^\alpha,$$

a contradiction.

If  $v = 1$  and if  $s = (2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1$  is a composite number then equation (2.1) yields

$$(2^t + 1)2^\alpha < \sigma((2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1) = (2^t + 1)2^\alpha,$$

again a contradiction.

If  $v = 1$  and if  $s = (2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1$  is a prime number then equations (2.1) and (1.2) are fulfilled and  $n = s2^\alpha$  is  $2^t$ -perfect.  $\square$

In [2] the first 16 and 12 prime numbers  $p = (2^t + 1)2^\alpha - 1$  are listed for  $t = 1$  and  $t = 2$ , respectively. Thus 10, 44, 184, 752, 12224, 49024, ... are the first 2-perfect numbers. The question for odd  $2^t$ -perfect numbers,  $t \geq 1$ , is completely answered by nonexistence whereas it is still open in the classical case of perfect numbers.

### 3. Nonexistence

For some classes of values of  $h$  it can be proved that  $h$ -perfect numbers do not exist.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $h = c2^t$ ,  $(c, 2) = 1$ ,  $c \geq 3$ , there are no even  $h$ -perfect numbers if  $c + 2 < 2^{t+2}$  and there are no  $h$ -perfect numbers if  $c + 2 < 2^{t+1}$ .*

*Proof.* For even  $n$  let  $n = r2^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $(r, 2) = 1$ . Now suppose that  $n$  is  $c2^t$ -perfect for  $c + 2 < 2^{t+2}$ . Equation (1.2) implies

$$(2^{\alpha+1} - 1)\sigma(r) + (2^{\alpha+t+1} - 1)\sigma(cr) = r2^{\alpha+1}(c2^t + 1).$$

Using  $\sigma(cr) \geq cr + \sigma(r)$  it follows

$$\sigma(r)(2^{\alpha+1} - 1 + 2^{\alpha+t+1} - 1) \leq (2^{\alpha+1} + c)r.$$

Then  $\sigma(r) \geq r$  together with  $\alpha \geq 1$  determines

$$2^{t+1} \leq 2^{\alpha+t+1} \leq c + 2,$$

a contradiction.

For odd  $n$  suppose that  $n$  is  $c2^t$ -perfect for  $c + 2 < 2^{t+1}$ . Equation (1.2) implies

$$\sigma(n) + (2^{t+1} - 1)\sigma(cn) = 2n(1 + c2^t).$$

With  $\sigma(cn) \geq cn + \sigma(n)$  it follows

$$2^{t+1}\sigma(n) \leq (c + 2)n$$

and with  $\sigma(n) \geq n$  the contradiction

$$2^{t+1} \leq c + 2$$

is obtained.  $\square$

For  $h < 100$  by Theorem 3.1 no  $h$ -perfect numbers occur if  $h = 12, 20, 24, 40, 48, 56, 72, 80, 88, \text{ or } 92$ .

The following theorem presents another example of partial nonexistence.

**Theorem 3.2.** *There is no even  $3^t$ -perfect number,  $t \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n = r2^\alpha$  is an  $h$ -perfect number for  $h = 3^t$ ,  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $(r, 2) = 1$ . Equation (1.2) yields

$$\sigma(r)(2^{\alpha+1} - 1) + \sigma(r3^t)(2^{\alpha+1} - 1) = r2^{\alpha+1}(1 + 3^t). \quad (3.1)$$

Case I:  $(r, 3) = 1$ . It follows

$$\sigma(r)(2^{\alpha+1} - 1)(1 + (3^{t+1} - 1)/2) = r2^{\alpha+1}(1 + 3^t)$$

and equivalently

$$\sigma(r)(2^{\alpha+1} - 1)(1 + 3^{t+1}) = r2^{\alpha+2}(1 + 3^t).$$

With  $\sigma(r) \geq r$  the inequality

$$(2^{\alpha+1} - 1)(1 + 3^{t+1}) \leq 2^{\alpha+2}(1 + 3^t)$$

is obtained being equivalent to

$$(3^t - 1)2^{\alpha+1} \leq 1 + 3^{t+1}.$$

This is a contradiction for  $\alpha, t \geq 1$  excluded  $\alpha = t = 1$ . Then, however, the left term of (3.1) is divisible by 3 and, in the contrary, 3 does not divide the right term of (3.1) due to  $(r, 3) = 1$ .

Case II:  $r = s3^\beta$ ,  $\beta \geq 1$ ,  $(s, 3) = 1$ , and  $(s, 2) = 1$  since  $(r, 2) = 1$ . By equation (3.1) it follows

$$\sigma(s)(2^{\alpha+1} - 1)(3^{\beta+1} + 3^{\beta+t+1} - 2) = s2^{\alpha+2}3^\beta(1 + 3^t)$$

and with  $\sigma(s) \geq s$

$$2^{\alpha+1}3^{\beta+1} + 2^{\alpha+1}3^{t+\beta+1} - 2^{\alpha+2} - 3^{\beta+1} - 3^{t+\beta+1} + 2 \leq 2^{\alpha+2}3^{t+\beta} + 2^{\alpha+2}3^\beta.$$

This inequality is equivalent to

$$(3^\beta(1 + 3^t) - 2)(2^{\alpha+1} - 3) \leq 4$$

yielding a contradiction for  $\alpha, \beta, t \geq 1$ . □

## 4. Even perfect-perfect numbers

For some values of  $h$  there exist only a small number of  $h$ -perfect numbers.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For  $h = 6$  only 13 is  $h$ -perfect and for any other even perfect number  $h$  there are no  $h$ -perfect numbers.*

*Proof.* Let  $h = (2^p - 1)2^{p-1}$  be an even perfect number, that is,  $p$  and  $2^p - 1$  both are prime numbers. Suppose that  $n$  is an  $h$ -perfect number.

For even  $n$ , that is,  $n = r2^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $(r, 2) = 1$ , Theorem 3.1 implies the condition  $2^p + 1 \geq 2^{p+1}$  being impossible.

For odd  $n$  two cases are distinguished.

Case I:  $n = r(2^p - 1)^\alpha = rq^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $(r, 2^p - 1) = (r, q) = 1$ . By equation (1.2),

$$\sigma(rq^\alpha) + \sigma(r2^{p-1}q^{\alpha+1}) = 2rq^\alpha(1 + q2^{p-1})$$

and hence

$$\sigma(r)(q^{\alpha+1} - 1 + (2^p - 1)(q^{\alpha+2} - 1)) = r(q - 1)(2q^\alpha + 2^p q^{\alpha+1}).$$

With  $\sigma(r) \geq r$  and  $2^p - 1 = q$  this yields

$$q^{\alpha+1} - 1 + q^{\alpha+3} - q \leq 2q^{\alpha+1} + q^{\alpha+3} + q^{\alpha+2} - 2q^\alpha - q^{\alpha+2} - q^{\alpha+1}$$

and thus the contradiction

$$2q^\alpha \leq q + 1.$$

Case II:  $(n, 2^p - 1) = (n, q) = 1$ . Equation (1.2) yields

$$\sigma(n) + \sigma(nq2^{p-1}) = 2n(1 + q2^{p-1}),$$

$$\sigma(n) + \sigma(n)(2^p - 1)(q + 1) = n(2 + q2^p),$$

and thus

$$\sigma(n)(1 + q(q + 1)) = n(2 + q(q + 1)).$$

Since  $(1 + q(q + 1), 2 + q(q + 1)) = 1$  it is necessary that

$$\sigma(n) = v(2 + q(q + 1)) \quad \text{with} \quad n = v(1 + q(q + 1)), \quad v \geq 1. \quad (4.1)$$

If  $v > 1$  in equation (4.1) then

$$v(1 + q(q + 1)) + v + 1 \leq \sigma(n) = v(2 + q(q + 1))$$

is a contradiction.

If  $v = 1$  in equation (4.1) and if  $1 + q(q + 1)$  is a composite number then

$$2 + q(q + 1) < \sigma(n) = 2 + q(q + 1)$$

is a contradiction.

It remains that  $v = 1$  in equation (4.1) and  $1 + q(q + 1)$  is a prime number. This, however, is impossible for odd prime numbers  $p$  since 3 divides  $1 + q(q + 1) = 1 + (2^p - 1)2^p$  due to  $2^p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ . Thus  $p = 2$  determines  $1 + q(q + 1) = 13$  as the unique solution of equations (4.1) and (1.2) for  $h = (2^2 - 1)2^{2-1} = 6$ .  $\square$

## 5. Small values of $h$

For  $h \leq 16$  the discussion is completed for  $h = 2, 4, 6, 8, 12,$  and  $16$ . For  $h = 3, 9,$  and  $10$  even  $h$ -perfect numbers do not exist. So far no  $h$ -perfect numbers are known for  $h = 3, 9, 10,$  and  $13$ . The numbers  $n = 14$  and  $n = 7030$  are 5-perfect,  $n = 135$  and  $n = 1365$  are 7-perfect,  $n = 182$  is 11-perfect,  $n = 5$  and  $n = 118$  are 14-perfect, and  $n = 455$  is 15-perfect.

Finally, there are two corollaries for the Fibonacci number  $F_7 = 13$  as consequences of Theorems 3.1 and 4.1.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Only 13 is an  $h$ -perfect number for any even perfect number  $h$ .*

**Corollary 5.2.** *Only 13 is a  $3 \cdot 2^t$ -perfect number for any  $t \geq 1$ .*

## References

- [1] SIERPINSKI, W., Elementary Theory of Numbers. Warszawa 1964.
- [2] Online Eyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS), A007505 and A050522.