

UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available. Please let us know how this has helped you. Thanks!

| Title | Eating behaviour and weight status at 2 years of age: data from the Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Author(s) | McCarthy, Elaine K.; Ní Chaoimh, Carol E.; Murray, Deirdre M.; Hourihane, Jonathan O'B.; Kenny, Louise C.; Kiely, Mairead E. | | | | | |
| Publication date | 2015-08-15 | | | | | |
| Original citation | McCarthy, E. K., Ní Chaoimh, C., Murray, D. M., Hourihane, J. O. B., Kenny, L. C. and Kiely, M. (2015) 'Eating behaviour and weight status at 2 years of age: data from the Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study', European Journal Of Clinical Nutrition, 69, pp. 1356-1359. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2015.130 | | | | | |
| Type of publication | Article (peer-reviewed) | | | | | |
| Link to publisher's version | https://www.nature.com/articles/ejcn2015130 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2015.130 Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription. | | | | | |
| Rights | © 2015 The Authors. This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2015.130 | | | | | |
| Item downloaded from | http://hdl.handle.net/10468/7520 | | | | | |

Downloaded on 2021-11-27T08:42:49Z



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

Title

Eating behaviour and weight status at two years of age: data from the Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study.

Running Title

Eating behaviour and weight status in preschool-age children

Authors and Institutional Addresses

Elaine K McCarthy^{1,2}, Carol ní Chaoimh^{1,2}, Deirdre M Murray^{2,3}, Jonathan O'B Hourihane³, Louise C Kenny^{2,4} and Mairead Kiely^{1,2}

¹Vitamin D Research Group, School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Ireland, ²The Irish Centre for Fetal and Neonatal Translational Research (INFANT), University College Cork, Ireland, ³Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, University College Cork, Ireland, ⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University College Cork, Ireland.

Corresponding author

Mairead Kiely, Room 127, Food Science Building, University College Cork, Ireland. Email: <u>m.kiely@ucc.ie</u>, Phone: +353 21 4903394, Fax: +353 21 4270244.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author's contributions

E.K.M. carried out data collection, database construction and data analysis. M.K. designed the study and E.K.M. and M.K. drafted the manuscript. M.K. had responsibility for the final content. C.ní C. carried out data collection. D.M. is the Principal Investigator (PI) of the Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study and J.O'B.H., L.C.K. and M.K. are co-PIs and specialist leads. L.C.K. is the PI of the SCOPE Ireland pregnancy cohort study. All authors reviewed and approved the final submission.

1 Abstract

Background/Objectives: To conduct an analysis of associations between eating behaviours and weight
status in two-year old children.

Subjects/Methods: Data were collected prospectively in the maternal-infant dyad Cork BASELINE
Birth Cohort Study. The weight status of children aged two years (n = 1189) was assigned using the
International Obesity Task Force BMI cut-offs using measured heights and weights. Eating behaviours
were assessed using the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (CEBQ).

Results: 80% of children were of normal weight, 14% were overweight or obese and 6% were
underweight. From the CEBQ, food approach behaviours including Enjoyment of Food (OR 1.90, 95%
CI 1.46-2.48) and Food Responsiveness (OR 1.73, 95% CI 1.47-2.03) were associated with
overweight/obesity (all *P*<0.001). The food avoidant behaviours of Satiety Responsiveness (OR 2.03,
95% CI 1.38-2.98) and Slowness in Eating (OR 1.44, 95% CI 1.01-2.04) were associated with
underweight at two years (all *P*<0.05).

14 Conclusions: Eating behaviours are associated with weight status as early as two years of age.

15

16 Keywords

17 Birth cohort, childhood obesity, childhood underweight, children's eating behaviour.

18

19 Introduction

20 Individual differences in eating styles and behaviours have been hypothesised to contribute to weight 21 problems in children.¹ The worldwide prevalence of overweight and obesity in preschool-age children was estimated to be 43 million in 2010, an increase from 4.2% in 1990 to 6.7% in 2010.² In Ireland, 22 23 27% of two-year olds are overweight or obese according to the National Pre-School Nutrition Survey (NPNS).³ Overweight and obesity in childhood can track into adulthood resulting in detrimental effects 24 25 on health including increased risk of cardiovascular disease, certain cancers and type 2 diabetes.⁴ Similarly, underweight in early childhood can have long term implications for health including 26 suboptimal growth, delays in cognitive development and nutrient deficiencies.⁵ The prevalence of 27 underweight in preschool-age children is 1.6% in developed countries.⁶ Worries surrounding weight 28 29 status during childhood and potential lifelong health risks have prompted an interest in investigating all factors that can contribute to weight problems, including the role of eating behaviours. 30

Eating behaviours vary amongst individuals ranging from picky eating to overeating or binge eating. Variations in child eating behaviours can be measured either using behavioural tests or psychometric measures. Behavioural tests are objective measures of eating behaviours but they only capture behaviour on one occasion and usually in a laboratory setting.⁷ Psychometric measures, such as the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (CEBQ), are an alternative that are useful for large studies of young children as they can be completed by parents if children are too young to do so themselves.

37 Associations between eating behaviours and weight status during childhood have been explored in some detail. Research has mainly focused on older children and using the CEBO, many studies have observed 38 39 a positive association between the food approach eating behaviours of Enjoyment of Food and Food Responsiveness and weight status and an inverse association between weight status and the food 40 avoidant behaviours of Satiety Responsiveness and Food Fussiness.⁸⁻¹¹ The only study on eating 41 behaviours (as assessed by the CEBQ) in children younger than three years of age found no association 42 between CEBQ scores and weight status, but these are limited data in a subset of a relatively small 43 sample.12 44

45 Current evidence on the role of eating behaviours in healthy weight maintenance in young children is 46 limited and somewhat conflicted and the data are frequently from cross-sectional observational studies, 47 with inadequate adjustment for potential ante- and postnatal confounders for weight status. The main 48 objective of the current study was to explore associations between eating behaviours and weight status 49 in two-year old children from a large prospective birth cohort study, with appropriate consideration of 50 early life events.

51 Materials and Methods

52 Participants

53 The data for this study were collected from participants of the Cork BASELINE (Babies after SCOPE: Evaluating the Longitudinal Impact using Neurological and Nutritional Endpoints) Birth Cohort Study, 54 a prospective birth cohort established in 2008 to investigate links between early nutrition and perinatal 55 56 outcomes and physical and mental growth and development during childhood. It was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Cork teaching hospitals, ref ECM 5(9) 01/07/2008 and is 57 registered at the National Institutes of Health Clinical Trials Registry (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov), 58 ID: NCT01498965. The Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study is following infants born in the SCOPE 59 (Screening for Pregnancy Endpoints) Ireland pregnancy study. SCOPE is an international multicentre 60 study aimed at investigating early indicators of pregnancy complications,¹³ registered at the Australian, 61 New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (http://www.anzctr.org.au), ID: ACTRN12607000551493. 62

Informed consent to the Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study was provided by 2183 parents, of which 63 64 the majority (73%) were recruited through SCOPE Ireland, with the rest recruited at birth through the postnatal wards of the Cork University Maternity Hospital (recruitment concluded November 2011). 65 Overall, 2137 infants were registered for postnatal follow-ups and infants were followed prospectively 66 67 over the first two years of life, beginning at day 2 and at 2, 6, 12 and 24 months. Detailed information 68 on early life environment, diet, lifestyle, health, growth and development of study participants were 69 gathered by interviewer-led questionnaires and clinical assessments and then entered at the time of 70 appointment into an internet-based, secure database developed by Medical Science Online

71 (MedSciNet), Sweden, compliant with the US Food and Drug Administration and the Health Insurance
72 Portability Accountability Act. A complete methodology of the Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study
73 has been provided by O'Donovan *et al.*¹⁴

74 Eating behaviour assessment

75 Eating behaviours were assessed using the CEBQ at the study's 24 month assessment. The CEBQ developed by Wardle and colleagues is a 35-item parent administered questionnaire designed to assess 76 eating style and behaviour in children.¹ It is a validated psychometric tool that displays good internal 77 consistency and test-retest reliability.¹⁵ It comprises four subscales that measure food approach eating 78 79 behaviours (Enjoyment of Food, Emotional Overeating, Desire to Drink, Food Responsiveness) and 80 four subscales that measure food avoidant behaviours (Satiety Responsiveness, Slowness in Eating, Food Fussiness, Emotional Under-eating). Enjoyment of Food and Food Responsiveness represent a 81 82 heightened interest in food and responsiveness to environmental food cues. Satiety Responsiveness represents an increased sensitivity to internal satiety cues and a closer monitoring of energy intake based 83 84 on these internal cues. The subscales of Slowness in Eating and Food Fussiness reflect a lack of interest 85 and enjoyment of foods, while Emotional Overeating and Emotional Under-eating reflect a child's eating response to both positive and negative emotional stimuli. The Desire to Drink subscale measures 86 a child's desire to drink fluids. Sample statements from the CEBQ include "My child looks forward to 87 88 mealtimes (Enjoyment of Food)", "My child eats slowly (Slowness in Eating)", "My child decides that s/he doesn't like a food, even without tasting it (Food Fussiness)". Reponses to the CEBQ were scored 89 using a five-point Likert scale (1 = never, 5 = always) and reverse scoring was applied, where 90 appropriate. 91

92 Anthropometric measures

93 Children's heights and weights were measured at their 24 month assessment using standard operating 94 procedures by trained researchers in the study's dedicated research facility. Standing height was 95 measured using a wall mounted stadiometer (seca 206, Birmingham, United Kingdom) to the nearest 96 0.1cm and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1kg using digital scales (seca 384). The child's body 97 mass index (BMI, kg/m²) was calculated using recorded heights and weights. The weight status of 98 children were assigned using the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) BMI cut-offs for thinness, 99 overweight and obesity in children aged 2-18 years.^{16,17} These sex- and age-specific cut-offs were 100 developed based on international data and correspond to adult BMI ranges. For this analysis, children 101 were assigned into three weight categories: underweight, normal weight and overweight/obese.

102 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was conducted using SPSS[®] for Windows[™] Version 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). The distributions of all variables were tested with Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and descriptive statistics were reported as median and interquartile range (IQR) and percentages, where appropriate. As it was not possible to statistically normalise the CEBQ subscale scores, differences in socio-demographic characteristics and CEBQ subscale scores between weight categories were explored using non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal-Wallis test).

109 Univariate logistic regression analysis was used to model the associations of maternal and child 110 characteristics including eating behaviours (as measured by the eight CEBQ subscales) with the risk of being underweight or overweight/obese at two years (separate models for each dependent variable). 111 Associations were expressed as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Separate 112 113 multivariate models were fitted for each eating behaviour subscale due to the colinearity between behaviours and models included covariates identified as significant at the 10% (P < 0.1) level in the 114 univariate analysis. For the underweight model, these included gender, birth weight, maternal education 115 status and BMI at 15 weeks gestation. For the overweight/obese model, these included gender, birth 116 117 weight, maternal education status, race and smoking status at 15 weeks gestation. Associations were considered statistically significant in the model if P < 0.05. 118

119 Results

120 A total of 1537 children attended their 24 month assessment with the study, pre-term infants (n = 54) 121 and children with incomplete anthropometric or eating behaviour data (n = 294) were excluded 122 providing a sample size of 1189. The median [IQR] age at the 24 month assessment was 2.1 [2.1, 2.2] years (Table 1). Of mothers, 99% were Caucasian and 88% had attended third level education. There were no significant differences in socio-demographic characteristics of participants between the weight categories (all P > 0.05). However, significant differences in weight, height and BMI at 24 months and birth weight did exist, with the lowest values for all observed in the underweight category (all P < 0.05).

Using the IOTF BMI cut-offs, participants were divided into three weight categories (Table 1). Of
participants, 6% were underweight with a median [IQR] weight, height and BMI of 11.1 [10.4, 11.7]
kg, 0.87 [0.85, 0.89] m and 14.6 [14.3, 14.8] kg/m². The majority of participants (80%) were normal
weight with a median [IQR] weight, height and BMI of 12.9 [12.1, 13.6] kg, 0.88 [0.86, 0.90] m and
16.6 [16.0, 17.2] kg/m². Those classified as overweight or obese (14%) had a median [IQR] weight,
height and BMI of 15.0 [14.0, 15.9] kg, 0.89 [0.87, 0.91] m and 18.8 [18.5, 19.4] kg/m² respectively.

Results from the multivariate logistic regression were consistent with findings demonstrated by the 133 descriptive analysis (Table 2). High scores in Enjoyment of Food and Food Responsiveness were 134 associated with an increased risk of overweight and obesity, while the food avoidant behaviours of 135 136 Satiety Responsiveness, Slowness in Eating and Food Fussiness were negatively associated with the 137 risk of overweight/obesity (all P < 0.01) (Table 3). Both Satiety Responsiveness and Slowness in Eating were positively associated with the risk of underweight at two years, while the approach behaviours of 138 139 Enjoyment of Food and Food Responsiveness were negatively associated with the risk of underweight 140 (Table 3) (all P < 0.05). Emotional Overeating, Emotional Under-eating or Desire to Drink were not associated with either underweight or overweight/obesity at two years (all P > 0.05). 141

142 Discussion

The current study is the first to explore associations between eating behaviours and weight status among
two-year olds from a large prospective birth cohort study, with appropriate adjustment for potential
early life confounders.

From the CEBQ, food approach behaviours were positively associated with the risk of being overweight
or obese, while negatively associated with the risk of being underweight at two years. Increasing scores
in food avoidant behaviours were associated with a decreased risk of overweight/obesity and an

increased risk of being underweight at two years. These findings are consistent with previous studies in older children.^{9,10,18} While they are in contrast with the only other study to explore eating behaviours using the CEBQ in children younger than three years of age, which observed no association, although that study was limited by a small sample size (n = 174) and included a wide age range of children (1-6 years), which would have challenged data interpretation.¹²

154 There were no significant associations between the CEBQ subscales of Emotional Under-eating, 155 Emotional Overeating and Desire to Drink and weight status. Previous findings surrounding emotional 156 eating behaviours have been mixed, although our results are similar to those reported in older children.⁸, ^{10, 11} However, a positive association between the Emotional Overeating subscale and weight status has 157 been observed in some studies.^{11, 18} Emotional overeating has been described as an abnormal response 158 in young children as it is proposed that younger children maintain the more natural reaction to 159 emotional/stressful situations which is a reduction in appetite.¹⁹ Therefore, emotional under-eating is a 160 more common response to emotional distress in young children.¹ In the current study, the lack of 161 association may be due to the children being too young to display any abherrent eating behaviours or 162 163 patterns in response to emotional stimuli.

Weight status in the current study was assigned using the IOTF BMI cut-offs for children aged 2-18 years ^{16,17} to allow for comparison with previous studies carried out on this topic. The prevalence of overweight/ obesity, at 14%, was slightly lower than that reported by the National Preschool Nutrition Survey (NPNS) of Ireland at 17%.³ We also observed a slightly higher prevalence of underweight (6%) than the NPNS, at 4%. The IOTF BMI cut-offs have previously been described as conservative in their estimation of overweight and obesity ²⁰ and can overestimate the prevalence of underweight.²¹

This study, from a large prospective birth cohort, is the first of its kind to explore associations between eating behaviours and weight status in two-year old children. The prospective design, detailed assessments and use of validated protocols in the Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study enabled us to explore associations between eating behaviours and weight status, while accounting for early life exposures that may influence weight status at two years of age. A potential limitation of this study is that both eating behaviours and weight status at two years were measured concurrently, therefore this analysis is cross-sectional in design, although it is important to note that maternal and early life data were used in the analysis, thereby enabling deeper interpretation of the data than would be possible with a cross-sectional survey. This cohort is being followed prospectively, and eating behaviours are being assessed at five years, which will enable a unique longitudinal analysis of eating behaviours and their impact on weight status at that time.

181 Conclusions

Eating behaviours are independently associated with weight status in children aged two years from a large prospective birth cohort in Ireland. We will investigate whether these associations persist or develop over time. An exploration of the genesis of unhealthy eating behaviours in young children is warranted.

186 Acknowledgements

We thank all the families for participating in the birth cohort and the research team working on the study. The birth cohort was funded by the National Children's Research Centre (NCRC) in 2008 and by a grant from the UK Food Standards Agency to J.O'B.H. and D.M. in 2009. The NCRC extended the funding in 2012 to allow extensive nutritional and metabolic phenotyping at two years and to enable a similar follow-up at five years.

192 Conflict of interest

193 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

194 References

Wardle J, Guthrie CA, Sanderson S, Rapoport L. Development of the Children's Eating
 Behaviour Questionnaire. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2001; 42(7): 963-970.

197 2. de Onis M, Blossner M, Borghi E. Global prevalence and trends of overweight and obesity
198 among preschool children. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010; **92**(5): 1257-1264.

199 3. Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance. National Pre-School Nutrition Survey 2012 [cited 2014
200 25th March]. Available from: www.iuna.net.

- 201 4. Dietz WH. Health consequences of obesity in youth: childhood predictors of adult disease.
 202 *Pediatrics* 1998; **101**(3 Pt 2): 518-525.
- 5. Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, de Onis M, Ezzati M *et al.* Maternal and child
 undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. *The Lancet* 2008; 371(9608):
 243-260.
- de Onis M, Blossner M, Borghi E, Frongillo EA, Morris R. Estimates of global prevalence of
 childhood underweight in 1990 and 2015. *Jama* 2004; **291**(21): 2600-2606.
- 208 7. Carnell S, Wardle J. Appetitive traits and child obesity: measurement, origins and implications
 209 for intervention. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2008; 67(4): 343-355.
- 8. Sleddens EF, Kremers SP, Thijs C. The children's eating behaviour questionnaire: factorial
 validity and association with Body Mass Index in Dutch children aged 6-7. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*2008; 5: 49.
- 9. Viana V, Sinde S, Saxton JC. Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire: associations with
 BMI in Portuguese children. *Br J Nutr* 2008; **100**(2): 445-450.
- 215 10. Spence JC, Carson V, Casey L, Boule N. Examining behavioural susceptibility to obesity
 216 among Canadian pre-school children: the role of eating behaviours. *Int J Pediatr Obes* 2011; 6(2-2):
 217 e501-507.
- 218 11. Eloranta AM, Lindi V, Schwab U, Tompuri T, Kiiskinen S, Lakka HM *et al.* Dietary factors
 219 associated with overweight and body adiposity in Finnish children aged 6-8 years: the PANIC Study.
 220 *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2012; **36**(7): 950-955.
- 12. Svensson V, Lundborg L, Cao Y, Nowicka P, Marcus C, Sobko T. Obesity related eating
 behaviour patterns in Swedish preschool children and association with age, gender, relative weight and
 parental weight--factorial validation of the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2011; 8: 134.
- 13. North RA, McCowan LM, Dekker GA, Poston L, Chan EH, Stewart AW *et al.* Clinical risk
 prediction for pre-eclampsia in nulliparous women: development of model in international prospective
 cohort. *Bmj* 2011; 342: d1875.
- 14. O'Donovan SM, Murray DM, Hourihane JO, Kenny LC, Irvine AD, Kiely M. Cohort profile:
 The Cork BASELINE Birth Cohort Study: Babies after SCOPE: Evaluating the Longitudinal Impact
 on Neurological and Nutritional Endpoints. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014.
- 231 15. Carnell S, Wardle J. Measuring behavioural susceptibility to obesity: validation of the child
 232 eating behaviour questionnaire. *Appetite* 2007; 48(1): 104-113.
- 233 16. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child
 234 overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *Bmj* 2000; **320**(7244): 1240-1243.
- 17. Cole TJ, Flegal KM, Nicholls D, Jackson AA. Body mass index cut offs to define thinness in
 children and adolescents: international survey. *Bmj* 2007; **335**(7612): 194.

- 18. Webber L, Hill C, Saxton J, Van Jaarsveld CH, Wardle J. Eating behaviour and weight in
 children. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2009; **33**(1): 21-28.
- van Strien T, Oosterveld P. The children's DEBQ for assessment of restrained, emotional, and
 external eating in 7- to 12-year-old children. *Int J Eat Disord* 2008; **41**(1): 72-81.
- 241 20. Reilly JJ. Descriptive epidemiology and health consequences of childhood obesity. *Best Pract*
- 242 *Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005; **19**(3): 327-341.
- 243 21. Tuan NT, Nicklas TA. Age, sex and ethnic differences in the prevalence of underweight and
- overweight, defined by using the CDC and IOTF cut points in Asian children. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2009;
- **63**(11): 1305-1312.
- 246

247 Table 1. Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of study participants from the Cork

248 BASELINE Birth Cohort Study (n = 1189)

| | % or Median [IQR] | |
|--|-------------------|--|
| Maternal | | |
| Caucasian | 99 | |
| Country of birth - Ireland | 86 | |
| Attended 3rd level education | 88 | |
| Smoker at 15 weeks gestation | 11 | |
| BMI at 15 weeks gestation >30kg/m ² * | 10 | |
| Age at delivery (years) | 32.0 [29.0, 34.0] | |
| Child | | |
| Gender - Male | 50 | |
| Birth weight (kg) | 3.6 [3.2, 3.9] | |
| Gestational Age (weeks) | 40.2 [39.4, 41.0] | |
| Infant Feeding | | |
| Any breastfeeding at hospital discharge | 73 | |
| Any breastfeeding at two months | 30 | |
| Age first given solids (weeks) | 20.0 [17.0, 22.0] | |
| Anthropometry (24 month assessment) | | |
| Weight (kg) | 13.0 [12.1, 13.9] | |
| Height (m) | 0.89 [0.85, 0.90] | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 16.7 [15.9, 17.5] | |
| IOTF classification - underweight | 6 | |
| IOTF classification - normal weight | 80 | |
| IOTF classification - overweight/obese | 14 | |

* Data available for participants of SCOPE study only (n = 896)

250 IQR: interquartile range; BMI: body mass index; IOTF: International Obesity Task Force BMI cut-offs

used to assign participants into three categories of weight status.

252

Table 2. Median [IQR] CEBQ subscale scores across three weight categories of two-year olds (n =

254 1189)

| | Underweight | Normal Weight | Overweight/Obese | P- | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--|
| | n = 77 | n = 947 | <i>n</i> = 165 | value* | |
| | Median [IQR] | Median [IQR] | Median [IQR] | | |
| Food Approach Grouped | 2.3 [2.0, 2.6] ^a | 2.5 [2.1, 2.8] ^b | 2.7 [2.4, 3.0] ^c | < 0.001 | |
| Enjoyment of Food | 3.8 [3.0, 4.1] ^a | 4.0 [3.5, 4.5] ^b | 4.3 [3.8, 4.8] ^c | < 0.001 | |
| Food Responsiveness | 1.0 [1.0, 2.0] ^a | 1.1 [1.0, 2.0] ^b | 2.0 [1.0, 3.0] ^c | < 0.001 | |
| Emotional Overeating | 1.5 [1.0, 1.8] | 1.5 [1.0, 1.8] | 1.5 [1.0, 2.0] | 0.153 | |
| Desire to Drink | 2.7 [1.8, 3.5] | 2.7 [2.0, 3.3] | 2.7 [2.3, 3.7] | 0.128 | |
| Food Avoidant Grouped | 3.0 [2.5, 3.3] ^a | 2.8 [2.4, 3.1] ^b | 2.6 [2.3, 2.9]° | < 0.001 | |
| Satiety Responsiveness | 3.0 [2.7, 3.7] ^a | 2.7 [2.3, 3.0] ^b | 2.3 [2.0, 3.0] ^c | < 0.001 | |
| Slowness in Eating | $3.0 [2.7, 3.7]^{a}$ | 2.7 [2.3, 3.3] ^b | 2.6 [2.3, 3.0] ^c | < 0.001 | |
| Food Fussiness | 2.7 [2.2, 3.4] ^a | 2.5 [2.0, 3.0] ^b | 2.3 [1.8, 2.9]° | < 0.001 | |
| Emotional Under-eating | 2.8 [2.0, 3.8] | 3.0 [2.3, 3.5] | 3.0 [2.3, 3.5] | 0.812 | |

255 * Significant differences between groups explored by Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test.

256 a,b,c Different superscript letters denote significant differences between groups (P< 0.05).

257 IQR: interquartile range; CEBQ: Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire.

258

259 Table 3. Multivariate analysis of CEBQ subscales as potential risk factors for overweight/obesity and

260 underweight at two years (n = 1189)

| | Overweight/obesity ¹ | | Underweight ² | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|--|
| | OR (95% CI) | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value | |
| Food Approach Behaviours | | | | | |
| Enjoyment of Food | 1.90 (1.46, 2.48) | < 0.001 | 0.63 (0.45, 0.89) | 0.008 | |
| Food Responsiveness | 1.73 (1.47, 2.03) | < 0.001 | 0.49 (0.32, 0.75) | < 0.001 | |
| Emotional Overeating | 1.30 (0.94, 1.80) | 0.112 | 0.77 (0.47, 1.25) | 0.290 | |
| Desire to Drink | 1.11 (0.94, 1.31) | 0.225 | 0.93 (0.73, 1.17) | 0.523 | |
| Food Avoidant Behaviours | | | | | |
| Satiety Responsiveness | 0.56 (0.43, 0.73) | < 0.001 | 2.03 (1.38, 2.98) | < 0.001 | |
| Slowness in Eating | 0.57 (0.45, 0.73) | < 0.001 | 1.44 (1.01, 2.04) | 0.042 | |
| Food Fussiness | 0.70 (0.56, 0.88) | 0.002 | 1.15 (0.83, 1.58) | 0.411 | |
| Emotional Under-eating | 0.97 (0.81, 1.16) | 0.724 | 0.96 (0.75, 1.23) | 0.731 | |

¹ Model adjusted for gender, birth weight, maternal education status, race and smoking status at 15

262 weeks gestation. Not overweight/obese used as the reference.

²Model adjusted for gender, birth weight, maternal education status and body mass index at 15 weeks

264 gestation. Not underweight used as the reference.

265 OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; CEBQ: Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire.