

Indonesian Journal of Business Finance and Accounting

Journal homepage: <http://thomson.id/index.php/ijbfa>

The Impact of International Financial Reporting Standards on Financial Performance

Adedoyin Isola Lawal^{1*}, Yinka D. Olufemi², IfeOluwa Adewuyi³, Opeyemi Oye Olubukoye⁴

¹Department of Accounting and Finance, Landmark University, Nigeria

²Department of Accounting and Finance, Landmark University, Nigeria

³Department of Accounting and Finance, Landmark University, Nigeria

⁴Department of Sociology, Landmark University, Nigeria

Submission Info

Received 24 XX 201X

Revised 25 XX 201X

Accepted 2 XX 201X

Keywords

IFRS
financial performance
Nigeria
earnings
book value per share

Abstract

Globalization, capital market crash and the Enron's case led the accounting profession to insist on the need for a single set of high quality reporting standards. International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) were first adopted in 2005 by EU countries while Nigeria agreed to adopt in 2012. The question is: How does IFRS adoption improve the monetary relevance of accounting information? Several studies have explored the monetary relevance of IFRS adoption; however, they are based on foreign countries while Nigerian researches do not contain empirical evidence as they are mostly theoretical. This study therefore seeks to investigate the effect of IFRS adoption on financial performance. The study used correlation research design and data on Earnings per Share (EPS), Change in Earnings per Share (CEPS), Book Value per Share (BVPS) and net profit margin. Getting bearing from the finding of this study, it is realized that the general notion of improved value relevance with the adoption of IFRS has been confirmed. Book values and change in earnings proved value irrelevant.

© 2018 Thomson Journals. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

IFRS are set of guidelines and rules set by the International Accounting Standard Board (IASB) that companies and organizations can follow when compiling financial statements (Psaroulis, 2011). Since financial information is a medium of communicating financial transactions, it became necessary in different countries that "Accounting standards be harmonized to form a single set of accounting standard, to improve the rate at which investment and credit decisions are taken and aid international comparability of companies" performance both within and outside the reporting countries (Herbert, Tsegba, Ohanele, & Anyahara, 2013); (Asmeri, Alvionita, & Gunardi, 2017); (Honggowati, Rahmawati, Aryani, & Probohudono, 2017); (Khoiruman & Haryanto, 2017).

*Corresponding author. Email address: lawal.adedoyin@lmu.edu.ng.

13 Even though the IFRS has just been recently mandated, it has its root from 1973
14 when professional bodies from Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Japan, Mexico,
15 Netherlands, UK and USA agreed to form an International Accounting Standard Com-
16 mittee (IASC) in order to bridge the gap between national GAAPs of different countries
17 since multinational companies, globalization, international trades, parent and subsidiary
18 companies, cross country investment were becoming prominent. As a result the Interna-
19 tional Accounting Standard (IAS) was developed as a uniform global accounting standard
20 which helps in reducing discrepancies in international accounting principles and reporting
21 standards. For over 2 decades IAS has been in charge of harmonization of accounting
22 practices because Initial efforts focused on harmonization which entailed reducing differ-
23 ences among the accounting principles used in major capital markets around the world.
24 By the 1990s, the notion of harmonization was replaced by the concept of convergence;
25 the development of a single set of high quality International Accounting Standards.

26 In 2001, the IASC was taken over by International Accounting Standard Board
27 (IASB) with an objective to develop global standards and related interpretations that are
28 now collectively known as IFRS. The board adopted the existing IAS and referred to them
29 as IFRS including the new standards. This reorganization became very necessary since
30 accounting is the language of business, then business enterprises cannot continue to speak
31 in different languages to each other while exchanging financial numbers from their inter-
32 national business (Rahmawati, Rispantyo, & Djamaluddin, 2017); (Jones, Wynn, Hillier, &
33 Comfort, 2017), (Adedoyin I. Lawal et al., 2017).

34 With advent of globalization, the world capital market has witnessed rapid expansion,
35 diversification and integration which have brought about a shift away from local
36 reporting standards to global standards. In 2005 EU commission issued a legislation to
37 require the use of IASB standards for all listed firms thereby making IFRS mandatory. In
38 response to this, over a Hundred and Fifteen countries have adopted IFRS of which Ni-
39 geria is not an exception.

40 Generally, there are many literatures which focus on relationship of accounting fig-
41 ures and stock valuation. In general, the studies regarding this issue can be classified into
42 event studies and regression studies. Event studies focus on the investors reaction on
43 events and regression studies which focus on accounting figure and their explanatory
44 power on the market measure of value (Barth, 1994); (Adedoyin Isola Lawal, Nwanji,
45 Asaleye, & Ahmed, 2016); (Babajide, Lawal, & Somoye, 2016b); (Burgstahler & Dichev,
46 1997); (Filip & Raffournier, 2010); (Harris & Muller, 1999).

47 Regression study is spitted into returns and price model. The price model investi-
48 gates the impact of accounting information on the market valuation of, rather than return
49 on, equity stock; furthermore, a price model examines the impact of not only earnings but
50 also book value of equity on stock performance. Traditionally, earnings and book values
51 are considered to contribute to value relevance (Babajide, Lawal, & Somoye, 2016a);
52 (Burgstahler & Dichev, 1997). While the return model assumes that earnings have infor-
53 mational linked to future cash flow. In this model stock market returns is regressed on
54 components of earnings or earnings changes components.

55 (Olibe, 2016b) examines and interprets security market response around IFRS-
56 based earnings announcements of UK cross listed firms in the US equity markets so as to
57 know how market operators reacts to IFRS earnings disclosures on a daily basis, the study
58 observed that there exist evidence of significant price and trading of responses on day $t =$
59 0 and +1. This implies that IFRS earnings news helps to facilitate the price and trading
60 adjustment process. The study further reveals that the immediate price reaction over the

61 3 – day announcement window on average is 41.8% for IFRS earning news whereas it is
62 about 71% for US GAAP earnings disclosure. The implication is that IFRS is sufficient
63 to support the production of information that investors are apparently willing to use (see
64 also (Perkins, 2016); (Olibe, 2016a).

65 (Ali, Akbar, & Ormrod, 2016) examined the impact of changes from UK GAAP to
66 IFRS on companies listed on the Alternative Investment Market (AIM) in the UK, using
67 Gray's partial analysis estimates, and observed that on the average profit reported under
68 the IFRS is quiet higher than those reported under UK GAAP. The gap observed was
69 attributed to usage of assumptions of positive accounting theory which suggests that man-
70 ager of firms would adopts certain accounting methods for self interest.

71 For Bangladesh, (Nurunnabi, 2014) observed that lack of accounting regulatory
72 framework and political influences are hindering the effective implementation of IFRS.
73 For Nepal, (Poudel, Hellmann, & Perera, 2014) provides a systematic analysis of the ac-
74 counting environment as it relates to adoption of IFRS framework. The study based its
75 analysis on the work of accounting ecology framework by Grenon and Wallace (1995) and
76 interviewing and observed that the quest for adoption of IFRS in Nepal is externally im-
77 posed mainly by world powers like Asian Development Bank, International Monetary
78 Fund, World Bank, hence it become problematic to adopt IFRS. The authors further
79 explained that shortage of qualified accountants in Nepal is another key impediment for
80 the success of the implementation of the IFRS in Nepal.

81 (Perera & Chand, 2015) calibrated SME into the IFRS studies by focusing on the
82 impact of IFRS for SMEs by analyzing both the development and implementation process
83 of the standard. The study further applied the framework of decision usefulness theory
84 and the Pecking order model to examine issues related to the development and imple-
85 mentation of IFRS for SMEs. The study observed that IFRS for SMEs have been a chal-
86 lenge for non-publicly accountable entities to adopt and there are several conceptual and
87 practical issues with IFRS and SMEs (see also (Bozkurt, Islamoğ lu, & Öz, 2013);
88 (Parlakkaya, Akmese, & Akmese, 2014).

89 dos Santos et al, (2016) examined the relationship between the adoption of the IFRS
90 and the companies financing structures in a number of emerging economies using a linear
91 hierarchical regression model to analysis database of 150,265 companies from 145 econ-
92 omies for the period 2003-2014. The study observed that the impact of the adoption of
93 IFRS in financing decisions in heterogeneous among companies from different regions
94 and countries, and that the effects is clear when country controls are applied to monitor
95 the legal enforcement and investor safety, such as the quality of the board.

96 (Madah Marzuki & Abdul Wahab, 2016) used a data sample of 1760 firms from the
97 year 2004 to 2008 to examine the impact of IFRS convergence on conditional conserva-
98 tism in Malaysia. The study observed that the IFRS enhances conservatism, and that firms
99 with Bumiputras directors and family firms are more conservative post-IFRS convergence,
100 whereas the reverse is the case for firms with the richest-men connection. The study doc-
101 umented no evience of politically connected firms being conservative post-IFRS conver-
102 gence.

103 Several studies have explored the monetary relevance of IFRS adoption; however,
104 they are based on foreign countries while Nigerian researches do not contain empirical
105 evidence as they are mostly theoretical. This study therefore seeks to investigate the effect
106 of IFRS adoption on financial performance.

107

2. Methods

This study employs correlational research design in examining IFRS adoption and its impact on financial performance in the case study companies. Correlational research design is used for establishing meaningful relationship between variables. Using the correlational research design, the study seeks to determine the impact of IFRS financial information (EPS, DEPS and BVPS) on net profit. Historical data of earning and book value collected from annual report.

Historical data of Earnings Per Share (EPS), Net Profit Margin (NPM), change in earnings and Book Value Per Share (BVPS) were collected from published annual report and accounts of all the manufacturing companies in Nigeria listed on the floor the Nigerian Stock Exchange.

The data of EPS, NPM and BVPS were collected for the period of 7years before IFRS adoption and three years after IFRS adoption due to the fact that IFRS was just fully adopted in Nigeria in the year 2012. Data for ten years were collected, that is, from 2004 to 2014. Where 2004 to 2011 as pre- IFRS and 2012 to 2014 represents post-IFRS.

In order to find the value relevance of IFRS adoption in the companies, EBO model is adopted. EBO model is a price model developed by Edward, Bells and Ohlson in 1995. To be able to conduct the regression analyses, the following models using EBO model have been formulated:

$$NPM_{it} = \beta_1 EPS_{itpre} + \beta_2 CEPS_{itpre} + \beta_3 BVPS_{itpre} + e_{it} \dots (1)$$

$$NPM_{it} = \beta_1 EPS_{itpost} + \beta_2 CEPS_{itpost} + \beta_3 BVPS_{itpost} + e_{it} \dots (2)$$

Where:

NPM_{it} = net profit margin of firm i at time t .

EPS_{it} = earnings per share of firm i at time t .

$CEPS_{it}$ = change in earnings of firm i at time t .

$BVPS_{it}$ = book value per share of firm i at time t .

e_{it} = other variables that affect net profit.

The specification shows that net profit margin is the dependent variable while various combinations of the other variables represent the independent variables.

In order to test the four hypotheses postulated in this research, a functional relationship is suggested between EPS, CEPS BVPS and NPM.

3. Results and Discussion

The data for this research were extracted from annual reports of sample companies. The data includes EPS, BVPS, CEPS and NPM. For each of the companies, data for ten years were collected that is from 2004 to 2014 considering 2004 to 2011 as pre- IFRS while 2012 to 2014 represents post-IFRS.

The result in Table 1 shows that all the variables are positively skewed apart from EPS and NMP. Furthermore, the excess kurtosis in all the variables apart from Δ EPS is significantly different from zero. Thus, indicating that the variables are not normal except Δ EPS. Some of the results are consistent with the Jarque-Bera tests with asymptotic significant probabilities of 0.85, 0.64, 0.69, and 0.70 for BVPS, DEPS, EPS, and NMP respectively.

Table 1 Descriptive Analysis for Pre-IFRS

	BVPS	ΔEPS	EPS	NMP
Mean	30.44872	1.992857	13.89286	0.143436
Std. Dev.	17.30418	2.092269	4.724901	0.024459
Skewness	0.406411	-0.861190	0.525101	-0.729220
Kurtosis	2.335805	2.799394	1.792707	2.431337
Jarque-Bera	0.321368	0.876994	0.746807	0.714707
Probability	0.851561	0.645005	0.688387	0.699525

Table 2 Correlation for Pre-IFRS

	NPM	EPS	DEPS	BVPS
NPM	1.000000	0.737555	0.344674	0.865510
EPS	0.737555	1.000000	0.536223	0.943572
DEPS	0.344674	0.536223	1.000000	0.306981
BVPS	0.865510	0.943572	0.306981	1.000000

1% increase in NPM will lead to 74% increase in EPS, 1% increase in NPM will lead to 34% increase in DPS, 1% increase in NPM will lead to 86% increase in BVPS. 1% increase in EPS will lead to 73% increase in NPM, 1% increase in EPS will lead to 54% increase in DEPS, 1% increase in EPS will lead to 94% increase in BVPS. 1% increase in DEPS will lead to 34% increase in NPM, 1% increase in DEPS will lead to 53% increase in EPS, 1% increase in DEPS will lead to 30% increase in BVPS. 1% increase in BVPS will lead to 87% increase in NPM, 1% increase in BVPS will lead to 94% increase in EPS, 1% increase in BVPS will lead to 30% increase in DEPS.

The ADF test equation on the table above shows that all the variables are stationary at first difference when using the unit root test

OLS Model According to the specified model which is

$$NPM = \beta_0 + \beta_1 EPS + \beta_2 \Delta EPS + \beta_3 BVPS$$

Table 3 Unit Root Test: Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test for Pre-IFRS

Variable	ADF Test Statistics	Maximum Critical Value 5%	Order of Integration	Remarks
NPM	-5.315544	-2.082319	1(1)	Stationary
EPS	-4.199784	-2.082319	1(1)	Stationary
DEPS	-3.548645	-2.043968	1(1)	Stationary
BVPS	5.265335	-2.043968	1(1)	Stationary

$$NPM = 0.077667 + 0.016485 EPS + 3.91E-05 DEPS - 0.004753$$

According to the analysis it is shown that there is a positive relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable except for BVPS which has a negative relationship with the net profit. however EPS has a positive relationship with the net profit which is 16.5% that is EPS added to the net profit of the company.

188
189**Table 4 Regression Analysis for Pre-IFRS**

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.077667	0.068824	1.128484	0.3412
EPS	0.016485	0.011738	1.404429	0.2548
DEPS	3.91E-05	0.009224	0.004241	0.9969
BVPS	-0.004753	0.002843	-1.672058	0.1931
R-squared	0.654746	Mean dependent var		0.162051
Adjusted R-squared	0.309491	S.D. dependent var		0.033724
S.E. of regression	0.028024	Akaike info criterion		-4.015967
Sum squared resid	0.002356	Schwarz criterion		-4.046876
Log likelihood	18.05589	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-4.397990
F-statistic	1.896415	Durbin-Watson stat		1.653054
Prob(F-statistic)	0.306164			

190
191
192**Table 5 Descriptive Analysis for Post-IFRS**

	BVPS	ΔEPS	EPS	NMP
Mean	49.25057	2.079000	18.00500	0.150755
Std. Dev.	33.64000	2.176748	7.672505	0.023985
Skewness	0.418401	-0.245727	0.221793	-0.919167
Kurtosis	1.706406	2.435030	1.469490	3.099438
Jarque-Bera	0.989009	0.233633	1.058012	1.412234
Probability	0.609873	0.889749	0.589190	0.493557

193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201

The result in table 5 shows that all the variables are positively skewed apart from ΔEPS and NMP. Furthermore the excess kurtosis in all the variables apart from ΔEPS are significantly diff from zero. Thus indicating that the variables are not normal except ΔEPS. Some of the results are consistent with the Jarque-Bera tests with asymptotic significant probabilities of 0.60, 0.89, 0.59, 0.49 for BVPS, DEPS, EPS, NMP respectively.

Table 6 Correlation for Post-IFRS

	NPM	EPS	DEPS	BVPS
NPM	1.000000	0.721751	0.409916	0.735838
EPS	0.721751	1.000000	0.237221	0.980088
DEPS	0.409916	0.237221	1.000000	0.121670
BVPS	0.735838	0.980088	0.121670	1.000000

202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209

1% INCREASE IN NPM WILL LEAD TO 72% INCREASE IN EPS, 1% INCREASE IN NPM WILL LEAD TO 40% INCREASE IN DEPS, 1% increase in NPM will lead to 73% increase in BVPS.

1% increase in EPS will lead to 72% increase in NPM, 1% increase in EPS will lead to 23% increase in DEPS, 1% increase in EPS will lead to 98% increase in BVPS.

1% increase in DEPS will lead to 40% increase in NPM, 1% increase in DEPS will lead to 24% increase in EPS, 1% increase in DEPS will lead to 12% increase in BVPS.

210 1% increase in BVPS will lead to 73% increase in NPM, 1% increase in BVPS will
211 lead to 98% increase in EPS, 1% increase in BVPS will lead to 12% increase in DEPS.

212
213 **Table 7 Unit Root Test for Post-IFRS**

214

Variable	ADF Test Statistics	Maximum Critical Value 5%	Order of Integration	Remarks
NPM	-36.31511	-2.349470	1(1)	Stationary
EPS	0.910118	-2,349470	1(1)	Stationary
ΔEPS	-11.21957	-2.349470	1(1)	Stationary
BVPS	0.071850	-2.349470	1(1)	Stationary

215
216 The Augmented dickey fuller unit root test shows that all variables in the table are
217 stationary at first difference.

218
219 **Table 8 Regression for Post-IFRS**

220

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.148195	0.029345	5.050110	0.0023
EPS	-0.004670	0.004379	-1.066539	0.3272
DEPS	0.005554	0.003088	1.798884	0.1221
BVPS	0.001525	0.000977	1.560005	0.1698
R-squared	0.702121	Mean dependent var		0.150755
Adjusted R-squared	0.553182	S.D. dependent var		0.023985
S.E. of regression	0.016032	Akaike info criterion		-5.139233
Sum squared resid	0.001542	Schwarz criterion		-5.018199
Log likelihood	29.69617	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-5.272007
F-statistic	4.714141	Durbin-Watson stat		1.690366
Prob(F-statistic)	0.050918			

221 $NPM = \beta_0 + \beta_1 EPS + \beta_2 \Delta EPS + \beta_3 BVPS$

222 $NPM = 0.418195 - 0.004670 EPS + 0.005554 \Delta EPS + 0.001525 BVPS$

223 According to the model above, eps seems to have a negative effect on npm with
224 46% which means there is a negative relationship between npm and eps respectively. Deps
225 hhas a positive effect on net profit margin with 55% while bvps also has a positive rela-
226 tionship on npm with only 15% meaning there is a positive relationship between the two
227 variables.

228 According to the correlation, there is a relationship between the net profit margin
229 of the company and the earnings per share of the companies in which there Is a relation-
230 ship between international financial reporting standard on their financial position, mean-
231 ing that they have a good reporting standard to make their financial position very high on
232 the economy.

233 According to the analysis above {correlation} which states that as at the time IFRS
234 was adopted the net profit margin in terms of their monetary relevance has affect their
235 financial report in the sense that it makes it more explanatory therefore increasing invest-
236 ment. Therefore, there is a relationship between the adoption IFRS to monetary relevance
237 on their financial report, therefore we accept the null hypothesis and **reject the**

238 The analysis above explains that, monetary relevance has affected the financial po-
239 sition of the company through the use of IFRS since the net profit margin has been af-
240 fected by EPS, DEPS, BVPS which changed the financial position of the companies,
241 therefore there is a positive relationship between IFRS and the financial position of the
242 selected companies. Therefore, we accept the null hypothesis and reject the other.
243

244 4. Conclusion

245
246 The inception of IFRS is one of the greatest changes in the framework of accounting
247 internationally. The content of IFRS has been an issue of discourse and argument among
248 scholars over decades most especially on historical cost accounting and financial perfor-
249 mance Whether NGAAP financial information has any impact on changes in net profit
250 has been a major regulatory and academic subject matter in Nigeria. IFRS adoption raised
251 the need to investigate whether IFRS financial information has any impact on the net
252 profit value. This is rather a new aspect in accounting, in Nigeria.

253 So far, relevance of IFRS adoption on financial information has not been delved
254 into in the Nigerian context. Researches in Nigeria are dominated by descriptive research
255 with use of primary sources that are biased and filled with assumptions. This study is
256 interested in the empirical investigation of IFRS adoption and its impact on the financial
257 performance of the organization.

258 The literatures related to this research were reviewed particularly, the concept of
259 financial performance, financial information, IFRS, relevance and reliability, empirical re-
260 views and the clean surplus accounting theory which underpins this study. The theory
261 connects the relationship between earnings, book value and net profit with any other fac-
262 tor that affects returns. Correlational research design was employed and secondary data
263 covering of EPS, DEPS and BVPS were collected from published annual reports of my
264 case study companies the study found that pre IFRS EPS is value relevant while post IFRS
265 EPS is not value relevant. Both Pre and post IFRS CEPS are not value relevant. Also, the
266 BVPS pre and Post IFRS are not value relevant. Finally, the post IFRS aggregate has weak
267 value relevance while pre IFRS aggregate financial information has strong value relevance.

268 A high quality accounting is expected to make financial information to reflect in
269 changes in net profit i.e. explaining a reasonable part of variation in net profit. A change
270 in accounting and financial reporting should mean an improvement over the previously
271 existing standard.

272 In the light of these findings, the study concludes that pre IFRS financial infor-
273 mation is value relevant and post IFRS financial information is also value relevant. The
274 study further concludes that post IFRS financial information is more value relevant.
275 Therefore, accounting information has value relevance and IFRS adoption has impact on
276 the change in net profit. The study then specifically concludes that: 1) EPS before IFRS
277 adoption has positive impact on net profit and as such could be a basis for making market
278 decisions while EPS after IFRS adoption has positive insignificant impact. The EPS be-
279 fore IFRS is value relevant but the EPS after IFRS adoption is not value relevance. 2)
280 CEPS before and after IFRS adoption has no significant impact on net profit and as such
281 they are not value relevant. 3) BVPS before and after IFRS adoption has no significant
282 impact on net profit Thus, BVPS before and after IFRS adoption is not value relevant

283 Getting bearing from the finding of this study, it is realized that the general notion
284 of improved value relevance with the adoption of IFRS has been confirmed. Book values

285 and change in earnings proved value irrelevant. It is therefore recommended that man-
286 agement, external auditors and regulators should work together to tighten compliance in
287 the company in order to enhance the impact of IFRS. Enforcement is better than the
288 standard setting itself as rigid regulation and enforcement could bring out the benefit of
289 IFRS.

290

291 References

- 292 Ali, A., Akbar, S., & Ormrod, P. (2016). Impact of International Financial Reporting
293 Standards on the Profit and Equity of AIM Listed Companies in the UK. *Accounting*
294 *Forum*, 40(1), 45–62. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2015.12.001>
- 295 Asmeri, R., Alvionita, T., & Gunardi, A. (2017). CSR Disclosures in the Mining Industry:
296 Empirical Evidence from Listed Mining Firms in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of*
297 *Sustainability Accounting and Management*, 1(1), 16–22.
298 <http://doi.org/10.28992/ijsam.v1i1.23>
- 299 Babajide, A. A., Lawal, A. I., & Somoye, R. O. (2016a). Stock Market Response to
300 Economic Growth and Interest Rate Volatility: Evidence from Nigeria. *International*
301 *Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 6(1), 354–360.
- 302 Babajide, A. A., Lawal, A. I., & Somoye, R. O. (2016b). Stock Market Volatility: Does Our
303 Fundamentals Matter? *The Economic Research Magazine*, 3, 33–42.
- 304 Barth, M. E. (1994). Fair Value Accounting: Evidence from Investment Securities and the
305 Market Valuation of Banks. *The Accounting Review*, 69(1), 1–25.
- 306 Bozkurt, O., Islamoğlu, M., & Öz, Y. (2013). Perceptions of Professionals Interested in
307 Accounting and Auditing About Acceptance and Adaptation of Global Financial
308 Reporting Standards. *Journal of Economics Finance and Administrative Science*, 18(34), 16–
309 23. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S2077-1886\(13\)70019-2](http://doi.org/10.1016/S2077-1886(13)70019-2)
- 310 Burgstahler, D. C., & Dichev, I. D. (1997). Earnings, Adaptation and Equity Value. *The*
311 *Accounting Review*, 72(2), 187–215.
- 312 Filip, A., & Raffournier, B. (2010). The Value Relevance of Earnings in A Transition
313 Economy: The Case of Romania. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 45(1), 77–103.
314 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2010.01.004>
- 315 Harris, M. S., & Muller, K. A. (1999). The Market Valuation of IAS Versus US-GAAP
316 Accounting Measures Using Form 20-F Reconciliations. *Journal of Accounting and*
317 *Economics*, 26(1–3), 285–312. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-4101\(99\)00003-8](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-4101(99)00003-8)
- 318 Herbert, W. E., Tsegba, I. N., Ohanele, A. C., & Anyahara, I. O. (2013). Adoption of
319 International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): Insights from Nigerian
320 Academics and Practitioners. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 4(6), 121–135.
- 321 Honggowati, S., Rahmawati, R., Aryani, Y. A., & Probohudono, A. N. (2017). Corporate
322 Governance and Strategic Management Accounting Disclosure. *Indonesian Journal of*
323 *Sustainability Accounting and Management*, 1(1), 23–30.
324 <http://doi.org/10.28992/ijsam.v1i1.24>
- 325 Jones, P., Wynn, M., Hillier, D., & Comfort, D. (2017). The Sustainable Development
326 Goals and Information and Communication Technologies. *Indonesian Journal of*
327 *Sustainability Accounting and Management*, 1(1), 1–15.
328 <http://doi.org/10.28992/ijsam.v1i1.22>
- 329 Khoiruman, M., & Haryanto, A. T. (2017). Green Purchasing Behavior Analysis of
330 Government Policy About Paid Plastic Bags. *Indonesian Journal of Sustainability*
331 *Accounting and Management*, 1(1), 31–39. <http://doi.org/10.28992/ijsam.v1i1.25>

- 332 Lawal, A. I., Kazi, K. P., Adeoti, J. O., Osuma, O. G., Akinmulegun, S. O., & Ilo, B. (2017).
333 Capital Flight and the Economic Growth: Evidence from Nigeria. *Binus Business*
334 *Review*, 8(2), 125–132.
- 335 Lawal, A. I., Nwanji, T. I., Asaleye, A., & Ahmed, V. (2016). Economic Growth, Financial
336 Development and Trade Openness in Nigeria: An Application of The ARDL Bound
337 Testing Approach. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 4(1).
338 <http://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2016.1258810>
- 339 Madah Marzuki, M., & Abdul Wahab, E. A. (2016). Institutional Factors and Conditional
340 Conservatism in Malaysia: Does International Financial Reporting Standards
341 Convergence Matter? *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*, 12(3), 191–209.
342 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcae.2016.09.004>
- 343 Nurunnabi, M. (2014). “Does Accounting Regulation Matter?”: An Experience of
344 International Financial Reporting Standards Implementation in An Emerging
345 Country. *Research in Accounting Regulation*, 26(2), 230–238.
346 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.racreg.2014.09.012>
- 347 Olibe, K. O. (2016a). Response to Discussion of “Security Returns and Volume
348 Responses around International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Earnings
349 Announcements.” *The International Journal of Accounting*, 51(2), 271–274.
350 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2016.04.005>
- 351 Olibe, K. O. (2016b). Security Returns and Volume Responses Around International
352 Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Earnings Announcements. *The International*
353 *Journal of Accounting*, 51(2), 240–265. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2016.04.002>
- 354 Parlakkaya, R., Akmese, H., & Akmese, K. A. (2014). Status Quo of International
355 Financial Reporting Standards and International Standards on Auditing: A Research
356 on the Accounting Professionals in Konya. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 15, 1663–
357 1670. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(14\)00638-8](http://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(14)00638-8)
- 358 Perera, D., & Chand, P. (2015). Issues in the Adoption of International Financial
359 Reporting Standards (IFRS) For Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMES).
360 *Advances in Accounting*, 31(1), 165–178. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.adiac.2015.03.012>
- 361 Perkins, J. D. (2016). Discussion of “Security Returns and Volume Responses around
362 International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Earnings Announcements.” *The*
363 *International Journal of Accounting*, 51(2), 266–270.
364 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intacc.2016.04.004>
- 365 Poudel, G., Hellmann, A., & Perera, H. (2014). The Adoption of International Financial
366 Reporting Standards in A Non-Colonized Developing Country: The Case of Nepal.
367 *Advances in Accounting*, 30(1), 209–216. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.adiac.2014.03.004>
- 368 Psaroulis, A. (2011). *Did Financial Reporting Quality Improve After the Adoption of IFRS in Greece?*
369 University of Piraeus.
- 370 Rahmawati, R., Rispantyo, R., & Djamaluddin, S. (2017). Mentoring Function and Quality
371 of Supervisor Auditor Relationship: Organizational Justice as A Mediation. *Indonesian*
372 *Journal of Sustainability Accounting and Management*, 1(1), 40–48.
373 <http://doi.org/10.28992/ijSAM.v1i1.26>
374