

Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible

This is an author's version published in: http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/21930

To cite this version:

Larrieu, Laurent and Bouget, Christophe *Could Tree-related Microhabitats (TreMs) be relevant conservation forestry targets and/or biodiversity indicators* ? (2017) In: European Worshop Monitoring of saproxylic beetles and other insects protected in the European Union, 24 May 2017 - 26 May 2017 (Mantova, Italy)

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator: tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr

Could Tree-related Microhabitats (TreMs) be relevant conservation forestry targets and/or biodiversity indicators ?

Laurent LARRIEU¹

Christophe BOUGET²

¹INRA/CRPFOc

² IRSTEA









TReMs, biodiversity and forestry



1-TreMs and biodiversity at the <u>TreM scale</u>



TReMs are <u>morphological singularities</u> borne by <u>living</u> <u>or dead</u> trees





Forest management for invertebrate conservation

Maarten de Groot,¹ Livia Zapponi,^{2,3} Davide Badano,^{2,3} Serena Corezzola,^{2,3} Franco Mason^{2,3}



TreMs host a wide diversity of taxa



Mantova (Italy), 24th - 26th May 2017

TreMs host species-rich assemblages



2-TreMs and biodiversity at the <u>stand scale</u>



Saproxylic beetle species richness increases with the local amount of certain TreM-bearing trees in various but not all forest contexts



TreMs are significantly associated to variations in species richness, but to a lesser extent than deadwood or openness

In search of the best local habitat drivers for saproxylic



Some relationships between TreM density and saproxylic beetle diversity depend on stand openness



TreM diversity only slightly correlates with saproxylic beetle assemblage structure



highland forests



Forest continuity acts congruently with stand maturity in structuring the functional composition of saproxylic beetles



	Mean trait CWM	Trait variance FDis	Sp. richness	Abundance
Body Size	ns	ns		
Canopy prefer.	ns	ns		
Decay prefer.	7	7		
Diameter prefer.	/	ns		
Low-dispersal			ns	ns
High-dispersal			ns	ns
Cavicolous			ns	ns
Fungicolous			ns	ns



(CrossMark

3-Why are TreM-biodiversity relationships so weak at stand scale in ecological studies?



TreM metrics

□ TreM values are <u>too low</u> in managed forests?

• Values below ecological thesholds ?

– Bad biodiversity sampling ?

- Analysis of the response pf TReM-associated organisms only
- sampling methods dedicated to TReM-associated organisms

– Bad TReM sampling ?



Trem density and diversity are affected by forestry



Animal Conservation

Animal Conservation. Print ISSN 1367-8430

Does a set-aside conservation strategy help the restoration of old-growth forest attributes and recolonization by saproxylic beetles?

C. Bouget¹, G. Parmain^{1,2,3}, O. Gilg⁴, T. Noblecourt², B. Nusillard³, Y. Paillet¹, C. Pernot¹, L. Larrieu^{6,6} & F. Gosselin¹



TreM density and diversity are <u>higher</u> in and around veteran trees <u>outside than inside forests</u>

Insect Conservation and Diversity

Parmain & Bouget, 2017



TreM effects increase with TreM values

The effects of TreM metrics on saproxylic beetle diversity are stronger outside than inside forests!



TReM sampling

Low TReM values in managed forests ?

Irrelevant TreM sampling ?

– Bad biodiversity sampling ?

- Analysis of the response pf TReM-associated organisms only
- Sampling methods dedicated to TReM-associated organisms
- Multi-taxon approaches

Facing low TreM detectability...by the use of proxies?



Biodiversity metrics

Low TReM values in managed forests ?

□ Irrelevant biodiversity metrics ?

- Diversity of TreM-associated taxa only vs overall diversity
- sampling methods dedicated to TReM-associated organisms



TreMs are fostered by an extended rotation...

...but only TreM-associated (and not all saproxylic) taxa correlate to TreM rise



Biodiversity metrics

Low TReM values in managed forests ?

□ Irrelevant biodiversity metrics ?

- Saproxylic beetles only vs Multi-taxon approaches
- sampling methods dedicated to TReM-associated organisms



Monitoring more taxa --> more TreM-biodiversity relationships ...sometimes difficult to interpret



487 plots 19 French forest areas Larrieu et al., in prep.

Biodiversity sampling

Low TReM values in managed forests ?

□ Irrelevant biodiversity sampling ?

- Analysis of the response pf TReM-associated organisms only
- Freely hanging flight interception traps

VS

sampling methods explicitly dedicated to TreM-associated taxa

Stronger TreM effects are demonstrated by using dedicated methods to sample TreM-associated beetles

Selection of

- **Biodiversity metrics of** 1. TreM associated taxa (fungus-dwelling)
- **TreM metrics (polypore** 2. density)

Specific sampling: polypore emergence trap



Conclusion

At the <u>stand scale</u>: study results about TreM effects on biodiversity showed <u>low significance</u>, <u>magnitude</u> and <u>consistency</u>

□ Need of protocol and analysis improvements

- taxon sampling method adequation
- TreM sampling
- relevant variables

TreMs are actually key structures for biodiversity

But...

Further research is required to inspire <u>quantitative</u> management guidelines...





Thank you for your attention



LIFE MIPP European Workshop Monitoring of saproxylic beetles and other insects protected in the European Union Mantova (Italy), 24th - 26th May 2017