UNDERSTANDING AND USING SIRE SUMMARIES

Sire summaries are produced and published by breed associations to provide current genetic evaluations on progeny- proven sires within their breeds. While the sire summary formats may vary among breeds, they all are designed to use best linear, unbiased prediction procedures to produce expected progeny differences (EPDs) for all cattle in their breed that have legitimate performance records or progeny with legitimate performance records. An EPD is always the best estimate of an animal's genetic worth given the data available for analysis; so EPDs provide a genetic description of an animal for the traits included in the analysis. Sire summaries are, in a sense, similar to a parts catalog where goal-oriented producers can go to find the cattle or lines of cattle with the genetic parts, or "pieces," necessary to help them attain their goals.

Expected Progeny Differences (EPDs)

EPDs provide a tool for genetic comparisons of cattle that can be used by both purebred and commercial cattle producers alike. Expected progeny differences are expressions of the relative genetic merit of beef cattle for various traits. EPDs are used to compare the predicted progeny performance between two bulls (or females) within a breed, regardless of age or herd location.

EPDs are expressed in the actual units of measure for a given trait. In other words, EPDs for traits such as birth weight (BW), weaning weight (WW) and yearling weight (YW) are expressed in pounds, whereas EPDs for scrotal circumference are in centimeters and EPDs for hip height are in inches.

As stated earlier, EPDs are used to compare two bulls (or females) within a breed. The difference in the EPDs for those bulls would be the predicted differences in the average performance of those bulls' progeny. For example, consider the EPDs for the bulls in Table 1.

Table 1. Birth weights and growth EPDs for two bulls

		EPD, lb.	
Bull	BW	WW	YW
Α	+4	+20	+30
В	-2	+5	+20
Difference	6	15	10

These EPDs do not mean that bull A would increase a herd's birth weights by 4 lbs. and add 20 lbs. to the calves at weaning and 30 lbs. to the calves as yearlings. They simply allow us to predict the difference between the average weights of the two bulls' calves if they were mated to the same group of cows. When compared to bull B, we can expect calves from bull A to average 6 lbs. heavier at birth, 15 lbs. heavier at weaning and 10 lbs. heavier as yearlings.

In addition to the numerical EPD, an accuracy value (.00 to 1.00) for that EPD will also be calculated during the breed's genetic evaluation program. Accuracy is a measure of confidence that the EPD reflects the true genetic merit of an animal. EPDs are calculated from individual performance, performance of ancestors and siblings and progeny performance. As the amount of information that goes into an EPD increases, the accuracy of that EPD increases. EPDs with low levels of accuracy (.10-.30) are likely calculated with no progeny information included and are thus more susceptible to change during the next evaluation when more data (progeny) are included in the analysis. On the other hand, EPDs with high levels of accuracy (.80-.99) already have included a relatively large number of progeny and are less susceptible to dramatic changes.

Prepared by Don Boggs, South Dakota State University. Reviewed by Larry Benyshek and Keith Betrand, University of Georgia, John Crouch, American Angus Association, and John Hough, American Polled Hereford Association. Edited by Mary Brashier, South Dakota State University.

Contemporary Groups

Proper contemporary grouping is the cornerstone of accurate genetic evaluation. A contemporary group is simply a group of cattle of the same sex raised in the same environment and weighed under the same conditions. When comparing the actual performance of cattle, it is important to only compare cattle from the same contemporary group. To compare across contemporary groups, more sophisticated evaluation procedures are required. Breed evaluation programs accomplish this by evaluating a large number of contemporary groups that have more than one sire represented and then crosslinking these groups by common sires. The increased use of artificial insemination has improved the ability to crosslink these various contemporary groups and has had a significant impact on improving the accuracy of genetic evaluations. It is important for producers to correctly form and identify contemporary groups in their within-herd performance programs to ensure accurate across-herd comparisons. The following guidelines should be utilized when forming contemporary groups: (1) animals of the same sex; (2) animals of similar age (not more than 90-day spread in birth dates); and (3)

animals managed together and given equal opportunity to perform (same pasture time, same feed, same weigh dates, etc.).

Trait Listing and Definitions

The main part of a sire summary is the listing of sires with their EPDs and accuracies. Since some breeds analyze different traits and present their EPDs in a different format, a sample listing of EPDs along with their explanations is usually presented at the beginning of the sire summary. An example with traits commonly found in sire summaries is shown in Table 2.

Although all cattle in the data set are analyzed and receive EPDs, it is common practice for breed associations to publish only current or active sires with minimum levels of accuracy in the sire summary. In addition to this "main" listing, many breeds also publish a supplemental listing of young sires that don't meet the accuracy requirements.

Table 2. Example listing and trait definition

7 Yearling Weight

progeny of Bull B.

* * *							Maternal weaning		
Sire	Birth	1 Wt ²	Weani	ng wt⁴	Mi	lk ⁵	wt ⁶	Yearli	ng wt ⁷
information1	EPD	Acc ³	EPD	Acc	EPD	Acc	EPD	EPD	Acc
Bull A	+5.0	.95	+28.0	.90	+10.0	.70	+24.0	+46.0	.85
Bull B	+1.5	.70	+20.0	.60	+3.0	.15	+13.0	+34.0	.50
¹ Sire Information						Other informare also pre	nation such as re sented.	egistration nur	nber, birth
² Birth Weight							generally indicat n progeny of Bul		g difficulty.
³ Accuracy			cation of the	e reliabilit	y of the EP	D. Bull A's I	nigher accuracy	indicates mor	e progeny
4 Weaning Weigh	_	•	D reflects p			Progeny of B	ull A can be expe	ected to avera	ge 8.0 lbs.
⁵ Milk (Pure milk) (Maternal milk)	milking a	bility of d	aughters o	f Bull A	should cont		pressed in poun s. more to the v		
⁶ Maternal Weaning Weight	Maternal weaning weight EPD predicts the difference in weaning weight of the sire's daughters' proget due to the combination of growth genetics and milking ability. It is equal to one half of the weaning weig EPD plus the milk EPD. Calves from daughters of Bull A can be expected to average 11 lbs. heavier					ing weight			

weaning than calves from daughters of Bull B (4 lbs. from growth and 7 lbs. from milk).

Yearling weight EPD reflects differences in adjusted 365-day weights for progeny and is the best estimate of total growth. Progeny of Bull A can be expected to average 12 lbs. more as yearlings than

Possible Change Tables

It is important to realize that an EPD is a prediction of an individual's genetic transmitting ability for a given trait. As with any prediction, there is a margin of error, or possible change, associated with an EPD. When the accuracy is low, this margin of error is high. As more information (i.e., progeny data) becomes available, the margin of error becomes smaller. Many breed associations publish a table of possible change values for their breed in the front of their sire summaries similar to the abbreviated example from the Limousin summary shown in Table 3. What this table tells us is that 67 percent of the sires are expected to have average actual progeny differences that fall within the range of the EPD plus or minus the possible change value and 95 percent are expected to be within the range of the EPD plus or minus twice the possible change value. For example, if a Limousin bull has a weaning weight EPD estimate of +12 lbs. with an accuracy of .50, we are 67 percent certain that his actual EPD is between 3.2 and 20.8 (12 ± 8.8) . If he has an EPD of +12 with .90 accuracy, then we are 67 percent certain that his actual EPD is between 10.2 and 13.8 (12 \pm 1.8).

Table 3. Possible change in values (±) for Limousin EPDs

	BW	WW	YW	Milk
Accuracy	EPD	EPD	EPD	EPD
.10	2.9	15.9	23.8	15.6
.30	2.2	12.3	18.4	12.1
.50	1.6	8.8	13.1	8.7
.70	1.0	5.3	7.9	5.2
.90	0.4	1.8	2.8	1.7

The possible change tables provide an excellent risk management tool. While you can never guarantee a certain minimum or maximum EPD for a given trait, you can reduce the risk that the EPD will shift outside of your acceptable range. For example, if you were evaluating bulls with .70 accuracy and wanted to be 95 percent certain that the milk EPD would not fall below 0.0, you need to use bulls with a minimum milk EPD of +10.4 lbs. (twice the possible change of 5.2). To get the same risk management with a .90 accuracy bull, the minimum milk EPD would be +3.4 lbs.

Genetic Trend

As the breeders within a breed emphasize various traits in order to build upon their breed's strengths or overcome a perceived weakness, a breed direction or genetic trend is established. Many breed associations show their genetic trend in the front of their sire summary by either giving the

average EPDs by birth year in a table (example in Table 4) or plotting these averages in a graph. Evaluating average EPD genetic trends for the past several generations can be very useful when determining future directions, since these trends indicate where a breed has been, where it is headed and how fast it is moving. From the table, you can see that from 1985 to 1990 Polled Hereford breeders increased birth weight EPD by 1.4 lbs., weaning weight EPD by 7.4 lbs., yearling weight EPD by 12.2 lbs. and decreased milk EPD by .5 lbs. This would indicate fairly intense selection pressure on growth with little or no emphasis on milk during that time.

Table 4. Polled Hereford EPD trend by birth year

	BW	WW	YW	Milk
Year	EPD	EPD	EPD	EPD
1975	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1980	0.6	5.5	8.6	0.6
1985	1.8	12.9	20.7	0.8
1990	3.2	20.3	32.9	0.3

Breed Average EPDs

Most breed associations publish the average EPDs of the sires of their breed at the front of their sire summary. It is important to realize that 0.0 EPD does not mean breed average. Differences in genetic trend and differences in the base point for calculating the EPDs have moved the average EPD for some traits in some breeds well away from zero.

For producers who are evaluating cattle in more than one breed, it is imperative to realize that the EPDs **are not comparable** across breeds. A high breed average EPD for a trait does not indicate breed superiority for that trait. It is more a reflection of the genetic trend and the base point of calculation for that breed.

In addition to the sire averages, several breed associations also publish the breed average EPDs for the last calf crop year or the nonparent cattle. This will allow a producer that is looking only at young, nonparent cattle to more readily determine how they compare to cattle of similar age. For instance, if a young Angus bull with a weaning weight EPD of +8 lbs. is compared to all Angus sires (average EPD = 7.4), he would appear to be about breed average for preweaning growth. However, when the same bull is compared to the other young, nonparent Angus cattle (average EPD = 20.0), he is considerably below the average EPD of the other bulls of his age that might be available.

Distribution and Percentile Rank

Another tool that several breed associations include in their sire summary for producers to utilize in evaluating potential sires are tables (or graphs) of EPD distribution or percentile rank. These tables allow a producer to quickly evaluate where a bull ranks within the breed for a given trait (top 1 percent, top 5 percent, top 20 percent, etc.). The percentile rank table is also an excellent tool for use in multiple trait selection. For example, if a producer wants to place heavy emphasis on birth weight (calving ease) and milk production while maintaining at least breed average growth, they could use the table to identify the EPDs necessary to rank in the top 5 percent of the breed for birth weight EPD and milk EPD and the top 50% of the breed for weaning and yearling weight EPDs.

Summary

Sire summaries provide accurate and reliable information on which to base selection. These summaries provide producers an excellent tool for comparing the estimated genetic merit of progeny proven bulls and thus serve as an excellent aid in selecting Al sires. The sire summaries also provide valuable information for identifying genetic lines of cattle for producers that use natural service sires. Both purebred and commercial producers can use the EPD listings and supporting statistical information to reduce the risk associated with sire selection decisions. Sire summaries can be obtained by contacting your national breed association office (Table 5).

Table 5. Breed association offices to contact for sire summaries

American Angus Assn. 3201 Frederick Blvd.	American Shorthorn Assn. 8288 Hascall Street
St. Joseph, MO 64501	Omaha, NE 68124
American Brahman Breeders Assn.	American Simmental Assn.
1313 LaConcha Lane	1 Simmental Way
Houston, TX 77054	Bozeman, MT 59715
American Chianina Assn.	American Tarentaise Assn.
P. O. Box 890	P. O. Box 446
Platte City, MO 64079-0890	Reedpoint, MT 59069
American Gelbvieh Assn.	Beefmaster Breeders Universal
10900 Dover Street	6800 Park Ten Blvd. #290 W
Westminster, CO 80021	San Antonio, TX 78213-4211
American Hereford Assn.	International Brangus Breeders Assn.
1501 Wyandotte, Box 14059	P. O. Box 696020
Kansas City, MO 64101	San Antonio, TX 78269
American International Charolais Assn.	North American Limousin Foundation
P. O. Box 20247, 11700 NW Plaza Circle	Box 4467, 7383 South Alton Way
Kansas City, MO 64195	Englewood, CO 80155
American Polled Hereford Assn.	North American South Devon Assn.
11020 NW Ambassador Drive	Box 68
Kansas City, MO 64153-2034	Lynnville, IA 50153
American Salers Assn.	Red Angus Assn. of America
560 South Quebec	4201 I-35 North
Englewood, CO 80111	Denton, TX 76201
Santa Gertrudis Breeders International	
P. O. Box 1257	
Kingsville, TX 78364-1257	

Reprinted by permission of the Beef Improvement Federation.

Educational programs of the Texas Agricultural Extension Service are open to all people without regard to race, color, sex, disability, religion, age or national origin.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work in Agriculture and Home Economics, Acts of Congress of May 8, 1914, as amended, and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture. Edward A. Hiler, Interim Director, Texas Agricultural Extension Service, The Texas A&M University System.

1,000 copies, Reprint