1

Effect of multi-nutrient supplementation and food-related behavioral activation therapy on prevention of major

depressive disorder among overweight or obese adults with subsyndromal depressive symptoms: the

MooDFOOD randomized clinical trial

Mariska Bot, PhD¹

Ingeborg A. Brouwer, PhD²

Miquel Roca, PhD³

Elisabeth Kohls, PhD⁴

Brenda W.J.H. Penninx, PhD¹

Ed Watkins, PhD⁵

Gerard van Grootheest, MSc¹

Mieke Cabout, MSc²

Ulrich Hegerl, PhD⁴

Margalida Gili, PhD³

Matthew Owens, PhD⁵

Marjolein Visser, PhD²

for the MooDFOOD Prevention Trial Investigators

¹ Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Psychiatry, Amsterdam Public Health research institute, GGZ inGeest Specialized Mental Health Care, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

² Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Science and Amsterdam Public Health research institute, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

³ Institut Universitari d' Investigació en Ciències de la Salut (IUNICS/IDISBA), Rediapp, University of Balearic Islands, Palma de Mallorca, Spain.

⁴ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Leipzig, Medical Faculty, Leipzig, Germany.

⁵ Department of Psychology, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom.

Corresponding author: Mariska Bot, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Psychiatry, GGZ inGeest Specialized Mental Health Care, Oldenaller 1, 1081 HJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands. E: <u>m.bot@ggzingeest.nl</u>; T: +31 20 788 4594.

version: January 21,2019 word count: 3596

Key points

Question What is the effect of multinutrient supplementation and food-related behavioral activation therapy on prevention of a new episode of major depressive disorder among overweight or obese adults with depressive symptoms?

Findings: In this 2x2 factorial randomized clinical trial that included 1025 adults, there was no significant difference in episodes of major depressive disorder over 1 year follow-up with multinutrient supplementation vs placebo (54 (10.5%) vs 51 (9.9%)) or with food-related behavioral activation therapy vs no therapy (48 (9.4%) vs 57 (11.1%)).

Meaning: These findings do not support the use of multinutrient supplementation or food-related behavioral activation therapy for prevention of major depressive disorder.

Abstract

Importance: Effects of nutritional interventions on the prevention of major depressive disorder (MDD) in overweight adults are unknown.

Objective: To examine the effect of two nutritional strategies (multi-nutrient supplementation, foodrelated behavioral activation (F-BA) therapy) and their combination for prevention of a new MDD episode in overweight adults with subsyndromal depressive symptoms.

Design, setting, participants: This multicenter 2x2 factorial randomized clinical trial included overweight adults (BMI 25-40kg/m²) aged 18-75years with elevated depressive symptoms (Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) scores≥5) not meeting criteria for MDD episodes in the past 6 months from 4 European countries. 1025 adults were randomized between July-30-2015 and October-12-2016, and followed for 1 year (until October-13-2017).

Interventions: Daily multi-nutrient supplements (1412mg omega-3 fatty acids, 30µg selenium, 400µg folic acid, and 20µg D-3 vitamin plus 100mg calcium) versus placebo (blinded), and/or 21 individual and group F-BA sessions versus no F-BA (blinded to researchers), for one year. Participants were allocated to placebo without F-BA (n=257), placebo with F-BA (n=256), supplements without F-BA (n=256).

Main Outcomes and Measures: Primary outcome was cumulative 1-year onset of MDD measured with the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview after 3, 6 and 12 months. Logistic regression using effect-coded variables (-1 indicating control, +1 indicating intervention) evaluated intervention effects both individually and in combination (interaction) on MDD onset.

Results: Among 1025 participants (mean age 46.5y; 772 (75%) women; mean BMI 31.4kg/m²), 779 (76%) completed the trial.

During 12 month follow-up, 105 (10%) developed MDD (placebo without F-BA: 25 (9.7%), placebo with F-BA: 26 (10.2%), supplements without F-BA: 32 (12.5%), supplements with F-BA: 22 (8.6%).. Neither

supplements (odds ratio (OR)=1.06; 95%-confidence interval (CI)=0.87-1.29), F-BA (OR=0.93; 95%CI=0.76-1.13), nor their combination (OR=0.93; 95%CI=0.76-1.14, p for interaction=0.48) affected MDD onset. Number of deaths/hospitalizations were for placebo without F-BA (n=0,n=24), placebo with F-BA (n=0,n=24), supplements without F-BA (n=0,n=26) and supplements with F-BA (n=1,n=24), respectively. **Conclusions and Relevance:** Among overweight or obese adults with depressive symptoms, multinutrient supplementation compared with placebo and food-related behavioral activation therapy compared with no therapy did not reduce episodes of major depressive disorder during 1 year. These findings do not support the use of these interventions for prevention of major depressive disorder. **Trial registration:** https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02529423. August-2015.

Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common psychiatric disorder (lifetime prevalence 17%),¹ ranking as the second leading contributor of years lived with disability.² Prevention may offer an important opportunity to reduce the global disease burden of MDD.³

One preventive strategy for MDD might be to modify diet. Prospective studies have found that better adherence to higher quality diets is associated with reduced future onset of depressive symptoms.⁴ Food-related behaviors, like unhealthy eating styles,⁵ have been cross-sectionally related to increased depressive symptoms. Recent randomized clinical trials (RCTs) found that dietary improvement strategies reduced depressive symptoms in depressed adults relative to control conditions,^{6,7} but there remains a clear lack of RCTs testing dietary strategies to prevent depression.⁸

Similarly, observational studies have suggested that lower levels of specific nutrients (e.g. omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, folic acid, vitamin D, selenium) are related to higher levels of depressive symptoms. ^{9,10} Some - but not all - nutritional supplement intervention studies have indicated that nutrient supplementation may reduce depressive symptoms in those with MDD.¹¹

To date, few experimental studies have directly evaluated the effect of changing diet, foodrelated behavior or nutrients on preventing MDD^{8,12} and none to our knowledge have specifically targeted overweight and obese individuals, who are a particularly relevant population, given their increased risk for MDD and the key role of diet in these conditions.¹³ Therefore, the MooDFOOD depression prevention trial examined the effect of two different nutritional strategies (multi-nutrient supplementation, food-related behavioral activation therapy) and their combination for prevention of a new MDD episode in overweight people with subsyndromal depressive symptoms.

Methods

Study design

This study was a 2x2 factorial RCT performed between July 30 2015 and October 13 2017 in four European countries (Germany, Spain, United Kingdom and The Netherlands). For full details of trial design and protocol see Roca et al.¹⁴ and Supplement 1. Ethics approval was provided by the Human Research Ethics Boards of the four study sites. All participants provided written informed consent. This manuscript reports on primary and secondary mental health outcomes as assessed up to 12 months.

Recruitment and eligibility criteria

Participants were recruited to participate in a study investigating new strategies to improve mood and wellbeing through changes in diet and lifestyle by the study sites (located in Leipzig; Palma de Mallorca; Exeter, UK; Amsterdam). Main eligibility criteria were age 18-75 years, body mass index (BMI) between 25-40 kg/m², having at least mild depressive symptoms as operationalized by Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) scores of \geq 5,¹⁵ but having no current MDD episode (in past 6 months; Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview 5.0 (MINI 5.0)¹⁶ (see Appendix 1 in Supplement 2 for recruitment details and eligibility). All eligible participants were invited to visit one of the study sites for a baseline interview, physical measurements, and blood sampling conducted by trained research assistants/nurses, and the completion of self-report questionnaires. Follow-up assessments took place at 3, 6 and 12 months.

Randomization

At the end of the baseline interview, participants were randomized with equal probability to: (1) placebo supplements without F-BA; (2) placebo supplements with F-BA; (3) multi-nutrient supplements without F-BA; or (4) multi-nutrient supplements with F-BA by a permuted block randomization (block sizes ranging from 8-12; <u>https://www.sealedenvelope.com</u>). Randomization was stratified by study site and participants' lifetime history of depression status. After randomization, researchers dispensed supplements to participants according to unique randomization codes. Participants, therapists, and

researchers were blind to supplement allocation. Participants of the F-BA intervention were contacted directly by the therapist, ensuring that researchers assessing follow-up outcomes remained blind to F-BA intervention status. Statistical analyses on the primary outcome and the reported secondary outcomes in which participants were analyzed according to their randomization group were carried out blinded for randomization.

Interventions

Multi-nutrient supplements

Patients received either multi-nutrient supplements (1412mg of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic omega-3 poly unsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) (ratio 3:1), 30µg selenium , 400µg folic acid, and 20µg vitamin D3 coupled with 100mg calcium) or placebo, each provided in two pills per day, taken daily for one year (see Appendix 2 in Supplement 2 for details).

Food-related behavioural activation therapy (F-BA)

F-BA consisted of a protocol-based intervention that incorporated standard BA approaches. BA is effective in depression treatment,¹⁷ and includes self-monitoring, functional analysis, and activity scheduling. F-BA applied these proven techniques to improve mood by changing dietary habits, foodrelated behaviours (e.g., snacking), increasing positive behaviours and emphasising a Mediterraneanstyle diet, which has been related to reduced depression onset.⁴ F-BA was provided in up to 21 sessions (15 individual, 6 group) for one year (see Appendix 3 in Supplement 2 for F-BA details). No active (e.g. attention) control condition was provided in those receiving no F-BA.

Outcomes

Primary outcome

Primary outcome was the 12-month cumulative onset of an episode of MDD, defined according to standard psychiatric Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria, and measured with the depression section of the MINI 5.0¹⁶ at 3, 6, and 12 months.

Secondary outcomes

Secondary trial outcomes were depression severity (PHQ-9, range 0-27 with higher values indicating higher severity, ¹⁵ Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology; IDS30-SR, range 0-84 with higher values indicating higher severity), ¹⁸ anxiety severity (Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7; GAD-7, range 0-21 with higher values indicating higher severity), ¹⁹ health-related quality of life (utility, EuroQol instrument EQ-5D-5L²⁰ using the value sets for England²¹, range 0-1, with higher values indicating better health utility), eating behavior (three factor eating questionnaire; TEFQ-R18, all three factors ranging from 0-100 with higher values indicating poorer eating behaviour)²² food behavior, food intake (GA2LEN food frequency questionnaire²³), physical activity and sedentary behavior (short questionnaire to assess health-enhancing physical activity; SQUASH²⁴), and body weight perception (Stunkard²⁵). This article paper reports on the mental health secondary outcomes depression severity (PHQ-9, ¹⁵ IDS30-SR), ¹⁸ anxiety severity (GAD-7), ¹⁹ and utility (EQ-5D-5L; Appendix 4 in Supplement 2).^{20,21} Time to onset of first MDD episode was included for post-hoc analyses.

Other measures

Good adherence to interventions (attending \geq 8 of 21 sessions for F-BA, and taking \geq 70% of the supplements during the 12 months for supplements) was defined a priori. A detailed description of these and other measures can be found in Appendix 5 and 6 in Supplement 2.

Sample size

Sample size was based on the primary outcome and accounted for the 2x2 factorial design. At the time the trial was designed, effective preventive interventions in high-risk groups were found to reduce the onset of depression by 25–50 %.³ Assuming a 33% reduction of MDD onset between active (20% MDD onset) versus control conditions (30% MDD onset),^{26,27} 392 participants (196 in each of the four possible intervention combinations) were needed to evaluate the main effect of each of the two nutritional interventions (versus respective control) assuming a 2-sided test at α =0.05 and a power of (1– β)=0.90. Assuming a follow-up attrition rate of 22%, 250 participants per intervention combination were needed. This corresponds to an absolute difference of 10%, which is consistent with the assumed clinically relevant difference in depression onset, estimated by consulting clinical experts and stakeholders (e.g. health insurance companies), reported in a previous depression prevention trial.²⁸

Statistical methods

Participants were analyzed according to their randomization group, including all participants randomized regardless of intervention actually received or study withdrawal. The two nutritional interventions were effect coded (-1 indicating control and +1 indicating intervention) and jointly modelled to efficiently study main effects and interactions as recommended for factorial designs.²⁹ All analyses were adjusted for study site and history of MDD. Missing data for the trial endpoints were accounted for using multiple imputation under the missing at random (MAR) assumption into 100 datasets. Results were pooled using Rubin's rules.³⁰

Logistic regression analyses were conducted to estimate effects of the interventions on the primary outcome. Cox proportional hazard modelling was used to study intervention effects on time to first MDD onset (3, 6 or 12 months for either MDD onset or last available measurement) as post-hoc analysis. Scaled Schoenfeld residuals were used to test the proportionality of hazard assumption, which was met (p>0.05). For secondary outcomes, Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) longitudinal analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with an exchangeable correlation structure was used,³¹ modelling outcomes at 3, 6, and 12 months as dependent variables, and adjusting for their corresponding baseline values. Overall follow-up effects (including all follow-up assessments) and 12-month effects of the interventions for these secondary follow-up outcomes were tested.³¹ Because of the potential for type 1 error due to multiple comparisons, findings for analyses of secondary endpoints should be interpreted as exploratory. Effect modification of study site and history of MDD was investigated with their corresponding interaction terms with the interventions. Similarly, effect modification by baseline symptom severity was included as post-hoc analyses.

As GEE are known to be robust for missing data, GEE longitudinal ANCOVA without multiple imputation was carried out as sensitivity analyses. Cohens' D was calculated for each baseline-12-month follow-up difference in secondary outcome between the intervention groups,³² using multiple imputed data. Furthermore, Complier Average Causal Effect (CACE) analyses³³⁻³⁴ were carried out with the multiple imputed data using structural equation modelling within STATA, to provide an estimate of intervention effects taking into account good adherence with the interventions, whilst retaining the benefits of randomization for both primary and secondary 12-months follow-up outcomes (Appendix 7 in Supplement 2). Analyses were conducted with R (version 3.4.4), the packages geepack, and mice, were used for the GEE analyses, and multiple imputation, respectively. The 2-sided significance threshold was set at p=0.05.

Results

Description of participants

Between July-30-2015 and October-12-2016, 5965 individuals visited the online screening questionnaire, 2235 participants fulfilled the key inclusion criteria, 1773 participants completed the subsequent

telephone screening, and 1250 were deemed eligible for the trial. After subsequent exclusion of individuals not willing/able to participate, 1025 adults were randomly allocated to receive placebo without F-BA (n=257), placebo with F-BA (n=256) multi-nutrient supplements without F-BA (n=256) and multi-nutrient supplements with F-BA (n=256). Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the intervention groups, the flow chart is described in Figure 1.

Follow-up attrition

The number of participants not taking part in follow-up assessment at 3, 6, and 12 months, was 194 (19%), 254 (25%), and 246 (24%), respectively. Figure 1 shows numbers and main reasons for lost-to-follow-up (defined as having no 12-months follow-up measurement, n=246). In total, 239 (23%) participants dropped out before the 12-month follow-up or before the main endpoint (onset of MDD) occurred. Missing rates for the primary outcome did not differ between the four intervention groups at 3 months (p=0.47), 6 months (p=0.62), and 12 months (p=0.91). Missing rates for the secondary outcomes of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms and health utility scores obtained through self-report questionnaires were slightly higher: number of individuals with missing data at 0, 3, 6, and 12 months ranged from 29-32, 206-210, 275-278, and 268 for these outcomes, respectively. These missing rates did not differ across intervention groups.

Adherence to interventions

Appendix 8 in Supplement 2 describes adherence for each intervention group. Of all 12-month follow-up participants, pill weight data indicated that 77% of participants had adherence of >70% to the supplements/placebo. Of those randomized to F-BA, 71% attended \geq 8 of 21 sessions. A median of 14 out of 15 individual sessions were attended (interquartile range IQR 6-15), and a median of 0 out of 6 group sessions (IQR 0-4) were attended, indicating that adherence to individual sessions was highest.

Onset of MDD

105 participants (10%) developed a MDD episode during the 12-months follow-up (Table 2). The number of individuals developing MDD was 25 (9.7%) in those receiving placebo without F-BA, 26 (10.2%) in those receiving placebo with F-BA, 32 (12.5%) in those receiving supplements without F-BA, and 22 (8.6%) in those receiving supplements with F-BA. Considering the main effect of each intervention the numbers of participants who developed MDD were 51 (9.9%; 1.1 per 100 person-months) in those receiving placebo, 54 (10.5%; 1.2 per 100 person-months) in those receiving supplements, 57 (11.1%; 1.3 per 100 person-months) in those not receiving F-BA and 48 (9.4%; 1.0 per 100 person-months) in those receiving F-BA. Logistic regression using effect-coded intervention variables (-1,1) showed no significant effect of supplements (OR=1.06, 95%CI 0.87-1.29, p=0.57) or F-BA (OR=0.93, 95%CI 0.76-1.13, p=0.47) or significant supplements-by-F-BA interaction (OR=0.93, 95%CI 0.76-1.14, p for interaction=0.48) on the onset of MDD. There was no significant effect of supplements (HR=1.05, 95%CI 0.86-1.27, p=0.65) or F-BA (HR=0.91, 95%CI 0.75-1.10, p=0.32) or significant supplements-by-F-BA interaction (HR=0.91, 95%CI 0.75-1.11, p for interaction=0.36) on the time to first onset of MDD (Table 2).

Depressive symptoms, anxiety symptom and health-related quality of life

Figure 2 shows boxplots and means of the secondary outcome scores at baseline, 3, 6 and 12 months stratified by F-BA and supplement groups (see Appendix 9 in Supplement 2 for figure stratified by the four intervention combinations) . There were no significant supplement-by-F-BA interactions for any of the secondary outcome scores (p-values for interaction ranging from 0.41 to 0.98). Table 3 presents effects on secondary outcomes. F-BA was significantly related to lower anxiety GAD scores at 12 months follow-up (adjusted mean difference=-0.48, 95%CI-0.84 to -0.12,p=0.01; unadjusted baseline GAD for no F-BA=5.8), unadjusted baseline GAD for F-BA=5.8, unadjusted 12-month follow-up GAD for no F-BA=3.9,

unadjusted 12 month follow-up GAD for F-BA=3.2), but not on other secondary outcomes. There was a significant effect of supplements on overall follow-up measures of the PHQ (adjusted mean difference=0.65, 95%CI 0.25-1.06,p=0.002), IDS (adjusted mean difference=1.20, 95%CI 0.29-2.10,p=0.01) and GAD (adjusted mean difference=0.50, 95%CI 0.16-0.84,p=0.004) scores, and on PHQ scores at 12 months follow-up (adjusted mean difference=0.56, 95%CI 0.11-1.01,p=0.02; unadjusted baseline PHQ for placebo=7.3), unadjusted baseline PHQ for supplements=7.5, unadjusted 12-month follow-up PHQ for placebo=4.1, unadjusted 12-month follow-up PHQ for supplements=4.9), showing *less improvement* in depressive and anxiety symptoms relative to placebo.

Effect modification and sensitivity CACE analyses

Appendix 10 in Supplement 2 shows the results of post-hoc effect modification analyses by study site, history of depression and baseline symptoms scores. These analyses suggested that 1) the effect of F-BA on PHQ at 12 months follow-up was more favorable (larger reduction) when baseline PHQ depression severity was higher, 2) use of supplements resulted in higher follow-up anxiety scores when baseline anxiety severity scores were higher, and 3) that the effect of F-BA on health utility scores at 12-month follow-up was larger in the United Kingdom compared to the Netherlands. Sensitivity analyses for depression, anxiety, and health utility scores without multiple imputed data gave comparable effect estimates (Appendix 11 in Supplement 2). The results of the CACE analyses were consistent with the original analyses, finding no effect of supplements on the primary outcome, and similar effects for secondary outcomes (Appendix 12 in Supplement 2). CACE analyses found a significant effect of F-BA on the primary outcome (OR 0.78; 95% CI 0.64-0.95), accounting for treatment adherence.

Adverse events and concealment

98 participants were hospitalized and 1 participant died during the 12-month follow-up, these events were judged as unrelated to interventions (Appendix 8 in Supplement 2). Those receiving placebo were less likely to believe they were taking multi-nutrients compared to those receiving multi-nutrients (placebo without F-BA 8.2%, placebo with F-BA 10.4%, multi-nutrients without F-BA: 25.5%, multi-nutrients with F-BA 43.7%, p<0.001; Appendix 13 in Supplement 2). 40.4% reported that they did not know their allocation.

Discussion

This multi-center trial conducted in 1025 overweight individuals with subsyndromal depressive symptoms showed no effect of multi-nutrient supplements, F-BA, or their combination on the 1-year cumulative onset of MDD.

To our knowledge, this is the first randomized trial evaluating the effectiveness of two nutritional strategies and their combination for the prevention of depression in a high-risk group of overweight people. Despite our large sample size and selection of people with elevated depressive symptoms, the onset of MDD was lower than expected, which reduced the statistical power to detect a statistically significant effect. Comparisons of intervention effects with other trials are difficult due to methodological and sample differences: compared to previous trials testing preventative psychological strategies for depression that found significant effects,³⁵ our sample had on average lower initial levels of depressive symptoms, and lower likelihood of having a history of MDD, making them less vulnerable for MDD onset.

The adherence to both interventions was adequate, with about three quarters fulfilling predefined adherence criteria for the interventions. For F-BA, the individual sessions in the first six months were well attended, but the group sessions in the subsequent six months were not.

This study showed that multi-nutrient supplements containing omega-3 PUFAs, vitamin D, folic acid and selenium neither reduced depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, nor improved health utility measures. In fact, they appear to result in slightly poorer depressive and anxiety symptoms scores compared to placebo. Despite substantial evidence of observational studies linking lower nutrient levels to higher depressive symptoms, similar to our findings, a review of nine RCTs found no support that vitamin D could prevent depression in older adults.¹² For omega-3 PUFAs, one RCT in mild to moderately depressed individuals – a population that is somewhat comparable to our sample - also showed no favorable effect of omega-3 PUFAs on depressive symptoms.³⁶ No effect of folic acid combined with

vitamin B6 and B12 was found on the onset of depression in older men³⁷ and older women.³⁸ Furthermore, Rayman et al.³⁹ found no effect of selenium on mood in older adults. Overall, the studies available thus far, including our own trial, do not support the use of nutritional supplementation in the prevention of depression.¹²

F-BA had a significant effect on reduction in anxiety symptoms at 12 months, but not on any of the other secondary mental health outcomes. When accounting for a priori defined intervention adherence (i.e. attending ≥8 of 21 sessions), F-BA was related to lower MDD onset, with an effect size comparable to that reported in (meta-analytic) studies of psychological interventions for depression.^{35,28,40} In a post hoc analysis, a more beneficial effect of F-BA on depressive symptoms for those with higher baseline depression scores was observed. This suggests that with sufficient dose and a higher risk sample, F-BA might prevent depression, although this requires further study. It would be relevant to study which characteristics make participants more likely to adhere to the intervention, to identify persons who may benefit from this intervention.

Strengths of this study are its randomized 2x2 factorial design, inclusion of participants from four countries with different background characteristics and dietary patterns, its large sample size compared to other prevention studies of depression,³⁵ intervention and follow-up period of one year, efficient testing of multiple nutrients, blinded design, measurement of multiple outcome assessments, and active adherence monitoring.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the onset of MDD was lower than expected, which resulted in lower power to detect significant effects, if present. However, as placebo outperformed supplements for some secondary outcomes, it is unlikely that inclusion of an adequately powered sample would favor supplements for the prevention of depression. Second, a considerable number of participants (about a quarter) was lost to follow-up. Although this number was balanced between

16

intervention groups, attrition bias cannot be ruled out. Third, those who received placebo were less likely to believe they were taking multi-nutrients, which suggests that the blinding of participants was not optimal. Fourth, participants were not selected based on deficiencies in the specific nutrients provided. It is conceivable that deficient individuals will be more likely to benefit from supplementation, but studies addressing this are scarce.¹² Fifth, no active control group for the F-BA component was present. Sixth, the pre-defined follow-up time of this study was one year, which might have been too short to detect an effect.

Conclusions

Among overweight or obese adults with subsyndromal depressive symptoms, multinutrient supplementation compared with placebo and food-related behavioral activation therapy compared with no therapy did not reduce episodes of major depressive disorder during 1 year. These findings do not support the use of these interventions for prevention of major depressive disorder in this population.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Bot had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. We would like to acknowledge Mohammod Mostazir, MSc (College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter) for his assistance with the CACE analyses, and Dr. Adriaan Hoogendoorn, PhD (GGZ inGeest Specialized Mental Health Care, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) for his advice for the statistical analyses. Both received no compensation for their roles.

MooDFOOD Prevention Trial Investigators

We would like to acknowledge further all non-author members of this trial: Bep Verkerk, post-BSc (data manager, GGZ inGeest Specialized Mental Health Care, Amsterdam the Netherlands), Nadine Paans, MSc (Field Center therapist and research assistant, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), Carisha Thesing, MSc (Field Center therapist, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit,

Amsterdam, the Netherlands), Deborah Gibson-Smith, MSc (Field Center research assistant, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), Melany Horsfall, MSc (Field Center coordinator, GGZ inGeest Specialized Mental Health Care, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), Lena Weiss, MSc (Field Center research assistant, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), Amy Romijn, PhD (Field Postdoctoral Research Associate, UNEXE, Exeter, United Kingdom), Hannah Bunce, MSc (Field Center Associate Research Fellow), Owain Winfield, Postgraduate Diploma in Evidence-Based Psychological Treatment (IAPT) (Research Therapist, UNEXE, Exeter, United Kingdom), Harriet Bunker-Smith, MSc (Field Center Associate Research Fellow, UNEXE, Exeter, United Kingdom), Fern Durbridge Postgraduate Diploma in Evidence-Based Psychological Treatment (IAPT) (Field Center Associate Research Fellow, UNEXE, Exeter, United Kingdom), Caterina Versari Molinares, MSc (Research Intern, UNEXE, Exeter, United Kingdom), Atikah Sapar, BSc (Research Intern, UNEXE, Exeter, United Kingdom), Miquel Tortella, PhD (Field Center-Co-Investigator, UIB, Palma de Mallorca, Spain), Clara Homar Covas, MSc (Field Center Research and therapist, UIB, Palma de Mallorca, Spain), M. Angeles Pérez-Ara, PhD (Field Center Research assistant, UIB, Palma de Mallorca, Spain), Adoración Castro Gracia, MSc (Field Center Research assistant, UIB, Palma de Mallorca, Spain), José Luis Reig, MSc (Field Center therapist, UIB, Palma de Mallorca, Spain), Jana Hoesel (Field Center study nurse, ULEI, Leipzig, Germany), Ezgi Dogan, MD (Field Center research fellow, ULEI, Leipzig, Germany), Sabrina Baldofski, PhD (Field Center therapist, ULEI, Leipzig, Germany) and Nicole Mauche, MSc (Field Center therapist). All members of the trial were staff members at the respective institutions and received not further compensation.

Conflicts of interest

No conflicts of interest for Mariska Bot, Ingeborg A. Brouwer, Elisabeth Kohls, Ed Watkins, Gerard Van Grootheest, Mieke Cabout, Margalida Gili, Matthew Owens, and Marjolein Visser. Miquel Roca received in the last three years research funding from Janssen and Lundbeck (not related to the MooDFOOD project). Ulrich Hegerl was in the last three years an advisory board member for Lundbeck, Janssen and

Servier; a consultant for Bayer Pharma; and a speaker Servier (not related to the MooDFOOD project). Brenda W.J.H. Penninx[:] received research funding (not related to the MooDFOOD project) from Janssen Research and Boehringer Ingelheim.

Roles

MB was the lead author on the manuscript and MB/EW/MO analyzed the data. All interpreted the data. MV and IAB obtained funding for the MooDFOOD project, designed the MooDFOOD prevention trial and together with MC coordinated the MooDFOOD project. BWP, MB and EW contributed to the design of the MooDFOOD prevention trial. EW led the development and training of the MooDFOOD Food-related behavioural activation intervention. EK and UH coordinated the recruitment, interventions and followups at the trial center in Germany, University Leipzig. BWP and MB coordinated the recruitment, interventions and follow-ups at the trial center in the Netherlands, Amsterdam UMC Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. EW and MO coordinated the recruitment, interventions and follow-ups at the trial center in the United Kingdom, University of Exeter. MR and MG coordinated the recruitment, interventions and follow-ups at the trial center in Spain, University of Balearic Islands. GvG set up the logistics for the trial's data collection. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript and approved the final version.

Role of funder

Funding for this article is provided by the European Union FP7 MooDFOOD Project 'Multi-country cOllaborative project on the rOle of Diet, FOodrelated behaviour, and Obesity in the prevention of Depression' (grant agreement no. 613598). This work is supported in the UK by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), through the Primary Care Research Network and the NIHR Exeter Clinical Research Facility. The sponsor was not involved in the design and conduct of the study; collection,

management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the

manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

References

- Kessler RC, Wang PS. The descriptive epidemiology of commonly occurring mental disorders in the United States. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2008;29:115-129. doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.020907.090847.
- Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2163-2196. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61729-2.
- Cuijpers P, Beekman ATF, Reynolds CF. Preventing depression: A global priority. JAMA J Am Med Assoc. 2012;307(10):1033-1034. doi:10.1001/jama.2012.271.
- Molendijk M, Molero P, Ortuño Sánchez-Pedreño F, Van der Does W, Angel Martínez-González M.
 Diet quality and depression risk: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. J Affect Disord. 2018;226:346-354. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2017.09.022.
- Paans NPG, Bot M, van Strien T, Brouwer IA, Visser M, Penninx BWJH. Eating styles in major depressive disorder: Results from a large-scale study. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2018;97. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2017.11.003.
- Jacka FN, O'Neil A, Opie R, et al. A randomised controlled trial of dietary improvement for adults with major depression (the "SMILES" trial). *BMC Med*. 2017;15(1). doi:10.1186/s12916-017-0791-y.
- 7. Parletta N, Zarnowiecki D, Cho J, et al. A Mediterranean-style dietary intervention supplemented with fish oil improves diet quality and mental health in people with depression: A randomized controlled trial (HELFIMED). *Nutritional Neuroscience*. 2017:1-14.
- Opie RS, O'Neil A, Itsiopoulos C, Jacka FN. The impact of whole-of-diet interventions on depression and anxiety: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Public Health Nutr*. 2015. doi:10.1017/S1368980014002614.

- 9. Milaneschi Y, Hoogendijk W, Lips P, et al. The association between low vitamin D and depressive disorders. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2014;19(4):444-451. doi:10.1038/mp.2013.36.
- Sarris J, Murphy J, Mischoulon D, et al. Adjunctive nutraceuticals for depression: A systematic review and meta-analyses. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2016;173(6):575-587.
 doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.15091228.
- 11. Mocking RJT, Harmsen I, Assies J, Koeter MWJ, Ruhé HG, Schene AH. Meta-analysis and metaregression of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation for major depressive disorder. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2016;6(3):e756. doi:10.1038/tp.2016.29.
- 12. Okereke OI, Singh A. The role of Vitamin D in the prevention of late-life depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2016. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.03.022.
- 13. Milaneschi Y, Simmons WK, van Rossum EFC, Penninx BW. Depression and obesity: evidence of shared biological mechanisms. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2018:Epub. doi:10.1038/s41380-018-0017-5.
- Roca M, Kohls E, Gili M, et al. Prevention of depression through nutritional strategies in high-risk persons: Rationale and design of the MooDFOOD prevention trial. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2016;16(1).
 doi:10.1186/s12888-016-0900-z.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16(9):606-613.
 doi:10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x.
- Sheehan D V, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1998;59 Suppl 2:22-33;quiz 34-57. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9881538. Accessed July 10, 2014.
- Ekers D, Webster L, Van Straten A, Cuijpers P, Richards D, Gilbody S. Behavioural activation for depression; An update of meta-analysis of effectiveness and sub group analysis. *PLoS One*. 2014. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100100.

- Rush AJ, Gullion CM, Basco MR, Jarrett RB, Trivedi MH. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): psychometric properties. *Psychol Med*. 1996;26(03):477. doi:10.1017/S0033291700035558.
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166(10):1092-1097. doi:10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092.
- 20. EuroQol a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. *Health Policy (New York)*. 1990;16(3):199-208. doi:10.1016/0168-8510(90)90421-9.
- Devlin NJ, Shah KK, Feng Y, Mulhern B, van Hout B. Valuing health-related quality of life: An EQ 5D-5L value set for England. *Heal Econ (United Kingdom)*. 2018. doi:10.1002/hec.3564.
- Blandine de Lauzon, Romon M, Deschamps V, et al. The Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire-R18 Is
 Able to Distinguish among Different Eating Patterns in a General Population. J Nutr. 2004.
 doi:10.1093/jn/134.9.2372.
- 23. Garcia-Larsen V, Luczynska M, Kowalski ML, et al. Use of a common food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) to assess dietary patterns and their relation to allergy and asthma in Europe: Pilot study of the GA2LEN FFQ. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2011;65(6):750-756. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2011.15.
- Wendel-Vos GCW, Schuit AJ, Saris WHM, Kromhout D. Reproducibility and relative validity of the short questionnaire to assess health-enhancing physical activity. *J Clin Epidemiol*.
 2003;56(12):1163-1169. doi:10.1016/S0895-4356(03)00220-8.
- Stunkard AJ, Sørensen T, Schulsinger F. Use of the Danish Adoption Register for the Study of Obesity and Thinness. The geneti. (Kety S, Roland L, Sidman R, Matthysse S (eds), eds.). New York: Raven Press; 1983.
- 26. Cuijpers P, Straten A Van, Smit F, Mihalopoulos C, Hons BBS, Beekman A. Preventing the Onset of Depressive Disorders : A Meta- Analytic Review of Psychological Interventions. *Am J Psychiatry J*

Psychiatry. 2008. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.07091422.

- Van't Veer-Tazelaar PJ, Van Marwijk HWJ, Van Oppen P, et al. Stepped-care prevention of anxiety and depression in late life: A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2009. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2008.555.
- Buntrock C, Ebert DD, Lehr D, et al. Effect of a Web-Based Guided Self-help Intervention for Prevention of Major Depression in Adults With Subthreshold Depression. JAMA. 2016. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.4326.
- Collins LM, Dziak JJ, Kugler KC, Trail JB. Factorial experiments: efficient tools for evaluation of intervention components. *Am J Prev Med*. 2014;47(4):498-504.
 doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2014.06.021.
- Rubin D. Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys. 2004.
 https://books.google.nl/books?hl=nl&lr=&id=bQBtw6rx_mUC&oi=fnd&pg=PR24&dq=multiple+Im
 putation+for+Nonresponse+in+Surveys&ots=8NsMaLZWdL&sig=83PVM1SLHyGiNimgO2CS Q_EmPo. Accessed March 8, 2016.
- Twisk JWR, Bosman L, Hoekstra T, Rijnhart J, Welten M, Heymans M. Different ways to estimate treatment effects in randomised controlled trials. *Contemp Clin Trials Commun*. 2018;10(June):80-85. doi:10.1016/j.conctc.2018.03.008.
- 32. Morris SB. Estimating effect sizes from pretest-posttest-control group designs. *Organ Res Methods*. 2008;11(2):364-386. doi:10.1177/1094428106291059.
- Angrist JD, Imbens GW, Rubin DB. Identification of Causal Effects Using Instrumental Variables. J Am Stat Assoc. 1996;91(434):444-455. https://dash.harvard.edu/handle/1/3382969. Accessed March 8, 2016.
- 34. Emsley R, Dunn G, White IR. Mediation and moderation of treatment effects in randomised controlled trials of complex interventions. *Stat Methods Med Res.* 2010.

doi:10.1177/0962280209105014.

- 35. van Zoonen K, Buntrock C, Ebert DD, et al. Preventing the onset of major depressive disorder: A meta-analytic review of psychological interventions. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014. doi:10.1093/ije/dyt175.
- Rogers PJ, Appleton KM, Kessler D, et al. No effect of n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid (EPA and DHA) supplementation on depressed mood and cognitive function: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Nutr*. 2008. doi:10.1017/S0007114507801097.
- 37. Ford AH, Flicker L, Thomas J, Norman P, Jamrozik K, Almeida OP. Vitamins B12, B6, and folic acid for onset of depressive symptoms in older men: results from a 2-year placebo-controlled randomized trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2008. doi:ej07m03884 [pii].
- 38. Okereke OI, Cook NR, Albert CM, Van Denburgh M, Buring JE, Manson JAE. Effect of long-term supplementation with folic acid and B vitamins on risk of depression in older women. Br J Psychiatry. 2015. doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.114.148361.
- 39. Rayman M, Thompson A, Warren-Perry M, et al. Impact of selenium on mood and quality of life: A randomized, controlled trial. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.06.019.
- 40. Bellon JA, Moreno-Peral P, Motrico E, et al. Effectiveness of psychological and/or educational interventions to prevent the onset of episodes of depression: A systematic review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Prev Med (Baltim)*. 2015. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.11.003.

	Placebo Placebo with Supplements Supplem					
	without Food-	Food-related	without Food-	with Food- related		
	related	behavioural	related			
	behavioural	activation	behavioural	behavioural		
	activation		activation	activation		
N	257	256	256	256		
Sex						
Females, n (%)	180 (70.0)	193 (75.4)	193 (75.4)	206 (80.5)		
Males, n (%)	77 (30.0)	63 (24.6)	63 (24.6)	50 (19.5)		
Age in years, mean (SD), median	45.7 (13.2),	46.1 (12.8),	47.2 (13.3)	47.1 (12.7)		
(IQR)	47 (36-55)	47 (37-55)	48 (38-58)	47 (38-56)		
Site, n (%)						
Germany	70 (27.2)	67 (26.2)	69 (27.0)	71 (27.7)		
United Kingdom	64 (24.9)	63 (24.6)	64 (25.0)	63 (24.6)		
Spain	64 (24.9)	64 (25.0)	62 (24.2)	62 (24.2)		
The Netherlands	59 (23.0)	62 (24.2)	61 (23.8)	60 (23.4)		
Education, n (%) ^a						
Low	25 (9.7)	21 (8.2)	31 (12.1)	26 (10.2)		
Middle	124 (48.2)	141 (55.1)	120 (46.9)	113 (44.1)		
High	108 (42.0)	94 (36.7)	105 (41.0)	117 (45.7)		
History of major depressive	83 (32.3)	89 (34.8)	88 (34.4)	83 (32.4)		
disorder, n (%)						
2 or more episodes, n (%)	59 (23.0%)	53 (20.7%)	62 (24.2%)	58 (22.7%)		
Current smoker, n (%)	53 (20.6)	50 (19.5)	35 (13.7)	47 (18.4)		
Alcohol use (drinks/week),	1.0 (0.2-3.7)	1.0 (0.2-3.7)	1.0 (0.2-3.7)	1.0 (0.2-3.7)		
median (IQR)						
Total physical activity (in	7.8 (6.1-9.5)	7.8 (5.6-10.0)	7.9 (5.9-10.2)	7.5 (5.3-9.7)		
hours/day), median (IQR) ^b						
BMI kg/m ² , mean (SD)	31.4 (4.1)	31.2 (3.9)	31.3 (4.0)	31.7 (3.9)		
Depression severity (PHQ-9),	7.3 (4.1)	7.3 (4.4)	7.9 (4.4)	7.1 (4.0)		
mean (SD), median (IQR) ^c	7 (4-10)	6 (4-10)	7 (5-10)	6 (4-9)		
Depression severity (IDS), mean	21.3 (9.8)	21.6 (10.5)	22.8 (10.2)	21.4 (9.8)		
(SD), median (IQR) ^d	20 (14-27)	21 (13-29)	21 (15-30)	20 (14-28)		
Anxiety severity (GAD-7), mean	5.8 (4.4)	6.2 (4.5)	5.7 (3.8)	5.4 (3.8)		
(SD), median (IQR) ^e	5 (3-7)	5 (3-9)	5 (3-7)	5 (3-7)		
Health utility ^f (EQ5D5L), mean	0.87 (0.11)	0.86 (0.13)	0.86 (0.12)	0.86 (0.12)		
(SD), median (IQR) ^f	0.88 (0.82-	0.87 (0.81-	0.87 (0.81-	0.88 (0.81-		
	0.94)	0.95)	0.94)	0.94)		
MooDFOOD diet score, mean (SD) ^g	51.7 (7.6)	51.9 (6.9)	51.6 (6.8)	51.4 (6.9)		
Prior (multi)-nutrient supplement	77 (30.0)	86 (33.6)	78 (30.5)	79 (30.9)		
use, n (%)						

Table 1. Baseline sample characteristics of the trial stratified by intervention group

Abbreviations: EQ5D5L: EuroQol 5 dimension 5 level, GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7, IDS: Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology, IQR: Interquartile range,

PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire-9

^a low = no education, primary education or lower secondary education; middle = upper secondary education, postsecondary non-tertiary education, short-cycle tertiary education; high = Bachelor, Master, Doctoral or equivalent level.

^b Total activity represents the number of hours/day spent on commuting (walking and cycling), work-related, household, leisure time and sport activities.

^cPHQ-9 score ranges from 0 to 27, with higher values indicating higher depression severity. PHQ score >=5 indicates mild depression. PHQ score >=10 indicates moderate depression.

^dIDS score ranges from 0 to 84, with higher values indicating higher depression severity. IDS score >=14 indicates mild depression. IDS score >=26 indicates moderate depression.

^eGAD-7 score ranges from 0 to 21, with higher values indicating higher anxiety severity. GAD score >=5 indicates mild anxiety. GAD score >=10 indicates moderate anxiety.

^fEQ5D5L score ranges from 0 to 1, with higher values indicating higher health utility (0 equaling death, 1 equaling full health). Health utility is based on the tariff of England, which is an algorithm that can be used to attach values to all health states derived from the health-related quality-of-life EQ5D5L instruments, reflecting a country-specific preference on health states.

^gMooDFOOD diet score ranges from 0 to 77, with higher values indicating better adherence to the Mediterranean-style diet that was promoted in the F-BA group. The MooDFOOD diet score consisted of 11 components, and the median self-reported intake of each component was: vegetables (2.8 times/day), fruit (1.9 times/day), fish (1.5 times/week), legumes/pulses (1 times/week), meat (5 times/week), whole grain products (67% of total grains), low-fat dairy (0.9 times/day), olive oil (33% of total dressings, cooking oils and fats), soft drinks (0.14 times/day), processed food (1.6 times/day), and alcohol (0.14 times/day).

Primary outcome: 12 month MDD onset								
	N	Number of	No. of follow-	Imputed OR				
		events	up dropouts ^a	(95% CI) [♭]	p ^b			
Placebo ^c	513	51	113	Ref				
Supplements ^d	512	54	126	1.06 (0.87-1.29)	0.57			
No F-BA ^e	513	57	122	Ref				
F-BA ^f	512	48	117	0.93 (0.76-1.13)	0.47			
Supplements by F-BA ^g	NA	NA	NA	0.93 (0.76-1.14)	0.48			
Post-hoc outcome: time to	MDD onset							
	Time to							
	event or							
	censoring		No. of					
	(person	Number of	follow-up					
	months)	events	dropouts ^e	HR (95% CI)	р			
Placebo	months) 4656	events 51	dropouts ^e 113	HR (95% CI) Ref	р			
Placebo Supplements	,				p 0.65			
	4656	51	113	Ref				
Supplements	4656 4488	51 54	113 126	Ref 1.05 (0.86-1.27)				

Table 2. Effect of supplements and food-related behavioural activation (F-BA) therapy on MDD onset

Models were adjusted for interventions, site and history of MDD. F-BA and pills were effect-coded (-1,1). CI=confidence interval, HR=hazard ratio, MDD=major depressive disorder, NA=not applicable, OR=odds ratio.

^a n that did not complete 12 months follow-up and had no MDD at previous follow-up measurements

^b derived from multiply imputed data.

^c Events/Total (%): Placebo without F-BA 25/257 (9.7%); Placebo with F-BA 26/256 (10.2%)

^d Events/Total (%): Supplements without F-BA 32/256 (12.5%); Supplements with F-BA 22/256 (8.6%)

^e Events/Total (%): Placebo without F-BA 25/257 (9.7%); Supplements without F-BA 32/256 (12.5%)

^f Events/Total (%): Placebo with F-BA 26/256 (10.2%); Supplements with F-BA 22/256 (8.6%)

^g interaction between F-BA and supplements

Outco		Overall follow-up effect using all		Effect at 12 month		
mes		follow-ups ^a		follow-up ^b		
		Estimate (95% CI)	Р	Estimate (95% CI)	Р	Cohens' D
PHQ	Supplements vs Placebo (ref)	0.33 (0.12 to 0.53)	0.002	0.28 (0.05 to 0.50)	0.02	0.10
	F-BA vs no F-BA (ref)	-0.13 (-0.33 to 0.07)	0.19	-0.17 (-0.39 to 0.06)	0.14	-0.02
IDS	Supplements vs Placebo (ref)	0.60 (0.15 to 1.05)	0.01	0.36 (-0.19 to 0.90)	0.20	0.05
	F-BA vs no F-BA (ref)	-0.23 (-0.67 to 0.22)	0.32	-0.28 (-0.83 to 0.27)	0.31	0.006
GAD	Supplements vs Placebo (ref)	0.25 (0.08 to 0.42)	0.004	0.14 (-0.04 to 0.33)	0.13	0.10
	F-BA vs no F-BA (ref)	-0.16 (-0.32 to 0.01)	0.06	-0.24 (-0.42 to -0.06)	0.01	-0.07
Utility	Supplements vs Placebo (ref)	-0.006 (-0.012 to 0.000)	0.052	-0.002 (-0.008 to 0.005)	0.61	-0.07
	F-BA vs no F-BA (ref)	0.002 (-0.003 to 0.008)	0.44	0.004 (-0.002 to 0.009)	0.23	0.08

Table 3. Effect of supplements and food-related behavioural activation (F-BA) therapy on secondary outcomes

The two interventions and their interactions were modelled together. All models were adjusted for study site, history of MDD, and for the baseline value of the corresponding outcome measure. F-BA and pills were effect-coded (-1,1), Supplement-by-F-BA interaction effects were not included in the model because none of the interactions were significant.

estimate= unstandardized regression coefficient, CI=confidence interval, NA=not applicable, SE=standard error,

, GAD=Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7, IDS=inventory of depressive symptomatology OR=odds ratio, PHQ-9=Patient Health Questionnaire-9.

To obtain adjusted mean differences in outcomes between the intervention conditions, the estimates and 95% confidence interval should be multiplied by 2. Cohen's D calculated from baseline and 12 month follow-up means and pooled standard deviations obtained from multiple imputed data.

^aEstimates were obtained from generalized estimating equations longitudinal GEE analyses using effect-coded interventions based on multiply imputed data. ^bEstimates were obtained from robust linear regression analyses based on multiply imputed data.

Figure titles and legends

Figure 1. Flow chart of the MooDFOOD depression prevention trial

BMI=body mass index, PHQ=Patient Health Questionnaire

Figure 2. Course of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms and health utility per intervention group

The boxplot inner horizontal lines represent the median, the boxes represent the interquartile range (25% and 75%), the vertical whiskers represent the 1.5 interquartile range beyond the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the dots represent all other values. The diamonds represent the means, which were connected with orange/blue lines.

N represents the number of persons that completed the secondary outcomes at the different time points. Data shown are the available data.