

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Zhang, N., Zheng, X., Ma, Q. ORCID: 0000-0001-5579-6454, Duan, W., Khayyer, A., Lv, X. and Shao, S. (2018). A hybrid stabilization technique for simulating water wave - Structure interaction by incompressible Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (ISPH) method. Journal of Hydro-environment Research, 18, pp. 77-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jher.2017.11.003

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/21485/

Link to published version: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jher.2017.11.003

Copyright and reuse: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

City Research Online: <u>http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/</u><u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>

- 1 A Hybrid Stabilization Technique for Simulating Water Wave Structure
- 2 Interaction by Incompressible Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (ISPH)
- 3 Method
- 4
- 5 Ningbo Zhang^a, Xing Zheng^{a,*}, Qingwei Ma^{a,b}, Wenyang Duan^a, Abbas Khayyer^c,
- 6 Xipeng Lv^a, Songdong Shao^{a,d}
- 7
- ^a College of Shipbuilding Engineering, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001,
- 9 China
- ¹⁰ ^b School of Mathematics, Computer Science & Engineering, City, University of
- 11 London, London EC1V 0HB, UK
- ^c Department of Civil and Earth Resources Engineering, Kyoto University, Kyoto
- 13 615-8540, Japan
- ¹⁴ ^d Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield
- 15 S1 3JD, UK
- 16 * Corresponding author. E-mail address: <u>zhengxing@hrbeu.edu.cn</u>
- 17

18

19 ABSTRCT

The Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) method is emerging as a potential tool 20 for studying water wave related problems, especially for violent free surface flow and 21 22 large deformation problems. The incompressible SPH (ISPH) computations have been found not to be able to maintain the stability in certain situations and there exist some 23 spurious oscillations in the pressure time history, which is similar to the weakly 24 compressible SPH (WCSPH). One main cause of this problem is related to the 25 non-uniform and clustered distribution of the moving particles. In order to improve 26 27 the model performance, the paper proposed an efficient hybrid numerical technique aiming to correct the ill particle distributions. The correction approach is realized 28 through the combination of particle shifting and pressure gradient improvement. The 29 advantages of the proposed hybrid technique in improving ISPH calculations are 30 demonstrated through several applications that include solitary wave impact on a 31 slope or overtopping a seawall, and regular wave slamming on the subface of 32 open-piled structure. 33

34

3536 Keywords:

37 Hybrid stabilization; ISPH; minimum pressure; particle shift; wave impact

38 **1. Introduction**

The Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) technique is a Lagrangian mesh-free numerical method, which was originally introduced by Lucy (1977), and Gingold and Monaghan (1977) to solve the astrophysical problems. In recent years, the SPH method has been successfully used in free surface flow simulations. In an SPH computation, the particles are discretized by the moving nodes and they carry field variables such as the pressure, density and velocity. The smoothing kernels are used to approximate a continuous flow field.

46 The incompressibility of fluid can be imposed in two different ways in an SPH 47 numerical scheme. Originally, the simulation of incompressible fluid flows was through a weakly compressible SPH formulation (WCSPH), in which the water was 48 considered as slightly compressible and its pressure was related to the density through 49 50 an equation of state. Thus an artificially specified sound speed has to be introduced 51 (Monaghan, 1994). The WCSPH approach has quite a few advantages, such as that it is easy to program and does not need to solve the pressure boundary value problem. 52 However, at least two weaknesses emerged during its application to the water wave 53 problems (Lee et al., 2008; Rafiee et al., 2012): (a) the use of very small time steps; 54 55 and (b) significant spurious pressure fluctuations in the spatial and temporal domains. To overcome the limitation of WCSPH, a strictly incompressible SPH (ISPH) 56 approach has been proposed by Shao and Lo (2003) based on the SPH projection 57 method initiated by Cummins and Rudman (1999) to simulate the free surface flows. 58 In ISPH approach the water is considered as truly incompressible with a constant 59 density. The method projects the intermediate velocity field to a divergence-free space 60 by solving a Poisson equation of pressure (PPE). It employs a strictly incompressible 61 SPH formulation, and thus the CFL condition is based on the fluid velocity rather than 62 the speed of the sound. Therefore, the pressure is not an explicit thermodynamic 63 variable obtained through an equation of the state such like in WCSPH, but obtained 64 through a hydrodynamic equation. For the ISPH modeling techniques, there are 65 mainly two types of the formulation, i.e. the density-invariant ISPH (Shao and Lo, 66 2003) and velocity divergence-free ISPH (Lee et al., 2008). The ISPH has also been 67 widely applied in the field of water wave dynamics (Khayyer et al., 2008; Lind et al., 68 2012). According to the comparative studies carried out by Lee et al. (2008) and 69 70 Violeau and Leroy (2015), the time step used for the ISPH can be five times larger. In addition, the computational results from ISPH could be much more stable and 71 accurate than those from the WCSPH without extra smoothing techniques (Zheng et 72

73 al., 2014). However, Shadloo et al. (2011; 2012) and Hughes and Graham (2010) noted that the inclusion of certain numerical treatments could significantly enhance 74 the performance of WCSPH. On the other hand, we should also realize that the 75 turbulent flows involve more complex particle convections and free surface 76 deformations, which has more stringent requirement on the pressure solution schemes. 77 78 In addition, as indicated by Gotoh and Khayyer (2016), one distinct advantage of 79 ISPH corresponds to its superior volume conservation properties. It should be realized that the SPH approaches have been recently expanded to solve the shallow-water 80 equations (SWEs) where the flow is over large domain and the vertical variation of 81 parameters of interest is not demanding (Chang et al., 2016; Chang et al. 2017). 82 83 The wave impact loadings on structure constitute an important practical problem with highly distorted free-surface motion. For the SPH application in this field, 84 considerable progress has been made in the investigation of wave-structure 85 interactions, such as documented by Khayyer and Gotoh (2011), Rudman and Cleary 86 (2016) and Ren et al. (2016). According to the extensive computations in engineering 87 practice, it has been found that the homogeneity of particle distributions plays an 88 important role in the accuracy and robustness of the SPH models. The formation of ill 89 particle distributions could significantly degrade the SPH numerical accuracy and lead 90 to the failure of correct solutions. 91

92 There have been some remedies which were proposed to address this issue. For 93 example, Monaghan (2000) introduced an additional set of stress node at the points other than the SPH particle locations to address the tensile instability, which was 94 mainly proposed for WCSPH. As for ISPH, Khayyer and Gotoh (2011) and Gotoh et 95 al. (2014) proposed an error compensating scheme to minimize such numerical errors. 96 Following the similar concept, to maintain a more uniform particle distribution, 97 98 Sriram and Ma (2012) proposed that the pressure of reference particle should be replaced by the minimum pressure of all neighboring particles when calculating the 99 pressure gradient, based on the original idea of Koshizuka and Oka (1996) and 100 101 improved by Khayyer and Gotoh (2013) in the Moving Particle Semi-implicit (MPS) method. 102

Another numerical scheme to improve the particle distribution is through the shifting of particle positions directly. Xu et al. (2009) initially used this idea to correct the non-uniformity of particle distributions. Recently a more efficient method based on the Fick's law for adjusting the particle distributions has been introduced by Lind et al. (2012) and Skillen et al. (2013). Besides, Shadloo et al. (2012) also proposed

a particle fracture repairing procedure and a corrected SPH discretization scheme to

- 109 eliminate the instability induced by the particle clustering. The improved model
- 110 performance has been demonstrated in the benchmark water wave propagations and
- 111 wave-body interactions. However, we have found from various tests of violent water
- 112 wave impact on fixed structures, especially those involve longer simulation time, the
- above-mentioned approaches could face some challenges at the free surface because
- the shifting scheme is a function of the gradient of concentration field. This challenge
- is highlighted by Khayyer et al.(2017a), where a correction for elimination of shifting
- normal to the free-surface is proposed. Despite that the particle shifting algorithm may
- 117 partially violates the principle of volume conservation for free-surface flows (Nair and
- 118 Tomar, 2015; Pahar and Dhar, 2016), the issues of particle non-homogeneity have
- 119 been well resolved.
- 120 To make full use of the potentials of available practice, this paper introduces a
- 121 hybrid ISPH model by combining the particle shifting algorithm of Xu et al. (2009)
- and minimum pressure idea of Sriram and Ma (2012). The improved numerical
- scheme would be expected to effectively eliminate the particle clustering/stretching
- 124 issues and make the particle/pressure distributions more stabilized in wave impact
- 125 simulations.

126 2. Review of ISPH Methodology

The governing equations used to solve the fluid problems in an ISPH method are the mass and momentum conservation equations. As there is no major improvement in the fundamental ISPH theory in present paper, Tab.1 briefly summarizes the ISPH solution algorithms, spatial derivative approximations and boundary treatments.

132 **3. Hybrid Particle Stabilization Scheme**

This section first reviews the available stabilization approaches, followed by the
proposal of a hybrid technique. Then a benchmark test is done to validate the accuracy
of this new method.

136 3.1. Existing stabilization techniques

- 137 Among a variety of the particle stabilization algorithms reported in the literature, we
- have found the minimum pressure (MP) approach of Sriram and Ma (2012) provided
- 139 an effective solution. When computing the pressure gradient, the minimum pressure
- 140 P_{min} as illustrated in Fig. 1 in the influence domain of reference particle i is used
- instead of P_i , which is shown in Eq. (1). Here this approach is named as ISPH_MP.

142
$$\nabla \mathbf{P}_{i} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{n}_{i,x_{m}} \mathbf{B}_{ij,x_{m}} - \mathbf{n}_{i,xy} \mathbf{B}_{ij,x_{k}}}{\mathbf{n}_{i,x} \mathbf{n}_{i,y} - \mathbf{n}_{i,xy}^{2}} (\mathbf{P}_{j} - \mathbf{P}_{min})$$
(1)

Nevertheless, we should realize that the force exerted on particle i by particle j, and on particle j by particle i, would not be the same, and thus the momentum is not exactly conserved even if the number of particles in the sub-domain is identical and also whether it is uniformly or irregularly distributed.

147 On the other hand, Xu et al. (2009) introduced an artificial particle displacement 148 (APD) method to prevent the particle clustering, which is named as ISPH_APD in this 149 paper. In this approach the trajectory of particles is re-distributed by adding a small 150 artificial displacement δr_i^{ζ} to the advection of the particles as

151
$$\delta \mathbf{r}_{i}^{\zeta} = \beta \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}^{\zeta}}{\mathbf{r}_{ij}^{3}} \mathbf{r}_{0}^{2} \mathbf{V}_{\max} \Delta \mathbf{t}$$
(2)

where β is a problem-dependent parameter; ζ is the direction 152 component; $r_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{ij} / N$ is the cut-off distance; and V_{max} is the largest particle 153 velocity in the computational system. Here, N is the number of neighbours for 154 particle i in its support domain. The problem-dependent parameter β was 155 recommended to be 0.01 ~ 0.1 by Xu et al. (2009). It should be noted that β must be 156 selected carefully such that it should be small enough not to affect the physics of the 157 flow, but large enough to prevent the occurrence of particle clustering and fracture in 158 SPH simulation. The artificial particle displacement approach has also been used by 159 Shadloo et al. (2011), where β was kept constant as 0.01. Fig. 2(a) gives the 160 comparison between the experimental data and ISPH_APD results for the pressure 161 time history of a solitary wave impacting on the vertical wall (detailed in Section 162 4.2.1) with the parameter $\beta = 0.01$. From the stability in the pressure results and 163 reasonable agreement with the experimental data, we could fix this value in other 164 165 simulations as well.

Moreover, Lind et al. (2012) proposed another approach based on the Fick's law for adjusting the particle distribution. This was further improved by Skillen et al. (2013), in which a particle displacement vector $\delta \mathbf{r}_s$ was used to update the particle position

169 $\delta \mathbf{r}_{s} = -\mathbf{A}\mathbf{h} \|\mathbf{U}\|_{s} \Delta t \nabla \mathbf{C}$ (3)

where a value of A=2 has been found to provide good compromise in Lind et al.

171 (2012), $\|\mathbf{U}\|_{i}$ is the velocity amplitude of particle i, and $\nabla C = \sum_{i=1}^{N} V_{j} \nabla_{i} W(\mathbf{r}_{ij})$ is

- 172 defined, in which V_i is the volume of particle.
- 173 Fig. 2(b) gives the comparison between experimental data and SPH results for the

same case as Fig. 2(a) but using the particle shifting method of the Fick's law. It is shown that this approach still generates some spurious oscillations in the pressure time history. As mentioned before, the reason could be attributed to that the shifting scheme is based on the function of the concentration gradient, which cannot be accurately calculated near the free surface. Therefore, we would use ISPH_APD as a viable approach in this work.

180 3.2. A hybrid stabilization scheme

In order to further improve the ISPH modelling capacity, here we introduce a hybrid 181 particle stabilization technique to improve the numerical stability through correcting 182 the irregular particle distributions, by combining the ISPH MP and ISPH APD in 183 Section 3.1. In principle it uses the minimum pressure in the influence domain of 184 reference particle i to replace the actual pressure of this particle for calculating the 185 pressure gradient, and meanwhile adds a small artificial displacement δr_i^{ζ} to the 186 advection of the particle. This hybrid approach is named as ISPH_MPAPD in the 187 paper. After some numerical trials, it has been found that a value of $\beta = 0.001 \sim$ 188 0.01 for δr_i^{ζ} would be appropriate for modelling the violent water wave impact. It 189 has also been noted that since the physical velocity of a particle is different from the 190 velocity with which the particle position is shifted with δr_i^{ζ} , we should interpolate 191 the physical velocity to the new position of the particles in the next computational 192 cycle. The same interpolation technique as used by Xu et al. (2009) is also adopted 193 194 here as

195

$$\mathbf{u}_{i'} = \delta \mathbf{r}_{i'i} \mathbf{u}_i \tag{4}$$

where i and i' refer to the old and new values, respectively; and $\delta \mathbf{r}_{i'i}$ is the distance vector between the two particles.

To examine whether or not Eq. (4) still satisfies the pressure Poisson equation PPE, 198 Fig. 3(a) and (b) give the time history of the averaged velocity divergence and the 199 impact pressure, computed with and without the SPH interpolation technique. 200 201 Meanwhile, the analytical solutions and experimental data (Zheng et al., 2015) are also provided for the validation purpose. The numerical test is for the solitary wave 202 propagation which will be detailed in Section 4.2.1. It can be seen that there is almost 203 no difference observed between the two ISPH results. So we could judge that this 204 interpolated velocity field should still satisfy the PPE. 205

206 3.3. Model test on vortex spin-down

To validate the proposed hybrid method, a vortex spin-down simulation following Xu et al. (2009) is conducted. In this study a vortex is bounded by the four walls and

placed in the middle of the domain, as shown in Fig. 4. The initial velocity field is 209 given by $u = U_0(y-0.5)$ and $v = U_0(0.5-x)$ inside a unit square, where D = 1.0 210 m is the width of the square and $U_0 = 1$ m/s is the velocity scale. The kinematic 211 viscosity v is taken 0.001 m²/s and the vortex spin-down process is simulated for 212 the Reynolds number Re = 1000. 213 Fig. 5(a) - (d) show the comparisons of particle distribution computed by using the 214 standard ISPH, ISPH_MP, ISPH_APD and ISPH_MPAPD, respectively, at time t =215 1.0 s. The particle number in the x direction is $N_x = 60$. The traditional ISPH model 216 cannot achieve the converged result and the computation breaks at t = 0.53 s. From 217 the comparisons between three particle stabilization methods, the result of ISPH_APD 218 219 and ISPH_MP still demonstrates particle clustering and stretching patterns near the corner region, as clearly demonstrated by the enlarged portion of the particle 220 221 distributions at 0 < x < 0.25 and 0 < y < 0.25. In contrast, the hybrid ISPH_MPAPD computation has obtained the most satisfactory particle distributions. 222 223 In order to quantify the accuracy of different particle stabilization methods, Fig. 6(a) gives the comparison of horizontal velocity components at x = 0.5 m and t = 1.0 s. 224 Here the particle number in the x direction is $N_x = 200$. The reference value of the 225 velocity component was provided by Xu et al. (2009) using the STAR-CD. It shows 226 that all ISPH computations achieved good agreement with the STAR-CD results. 227 Besides, Fig. 6(b) gives the convergence test on the horizontal velocity component, 228 229 where N_t is the total particle number at different values of 3600, 6400, 10000 and 40000, respectively. The relative error Err is defined as 230

231
$$\operatorname{Err} = \frac{1}{N_{y}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{y}} \sqrt{\left(u_{j} - u_{j,s}\right)^{2}}$$
(5)

NT

where u_i and $u_{i,s}$ are the horizontal velocity components computed by ISPH and 232 STAR-CD, respectively, N_y is the particle number in the y direction. It is shown 233 that the hybrid ISPH_MPAPD computation achieved the smallest errors as compared 234 with either ISPH_MP or ISPH_APD results. However, we should also realize that all 235 three ISPH numerical schemes are below first-order accurate in the convergence 236 behaviour when the particle distribution becomes disordered, in spite of the use of 237 various correction techniques. 238 To demonstrate the time history of velocity variations, Fig. 7(a) gives the maximum 239 velocity computed by different ISPH particle stabilization methods with $N_x = 200$, 240

241 in which $u_{max} = max(|U_i|)$ is defined and i is the index of particle. It shows that the

242 ISPH_MP computations demonstrate some kinds of oscillation in the velocity time

243 histories, while both the ISPH_APD and ISPH_MPAPD results are quite stable and

smooth. To further investigate the convergence behaviour of ISPH_MPAPD, Fig. 7(b)

gives the comparison of maximum velocity time histories for different particle 245 numbers at $N_x = 60, 80, 100$ and 200, respectively. Again the close overlap of four 246 computational curves and the noise-free velocity profiles indicate the convergence of 247 248 the model. Since pressure field is the most sensitive one to the particle disorder and instability, 249 Fig. 8(a) - (c) give the comparisons of pressure distribution computed by 250 ISPH_MPAPD at time t = 1.0 s with different total particle numbers of $N_t = 3600$, 251 10000 and 40000, respectively. It shows that with an increase in the particle number, 252 253 the pressure distributions become much more reasonable. This is further supported by the enlarged portion near the corner regions. Besides, Fig. 9 gives the comparison of 254 pressure profiles at x = 0.0 m between different ISPH results with N_x = 200 and the 255 256 STAR-CD computation made by Xu et al. (2009). From this it is shown that ISPH_MPAPD can get the best agreement with STAR-CD, while ISPH_MP and 257 ISPH_APD significantly underestimate the pressure values in the centre domain. 258 To study the computational efficiency, Fig. 10 gives the comparisons of CPU time 259 versus total particle number N_t for different particle stabilization schemes, where 260 261 T is the CPU time measured in seconds. It demonstrates that ISPH MP consumes the longest CPU time especially at high particle numbers, since it requires more 262 iterations to solve the pressure Poisson equation under particle clustering or stretching. 263 264 On the other hand, the irregular particle distributions have less influence on the numerical iterations in an ISPH-APD scheme, which takes similar CPU expenses as 265 the ISPH_MPAPD.

266

4. Model Applications in Wave Impact 267

In this section, to test the effectiveness of the hybrid ISPH_MPAPD on modelling 268

the violent water wave impact, we consider five practical applications. These include 269

a dam break flow, solitary wave impact on the vertical and inclined walls, wave 270

overtopping of an impermeable structure, and wave slamming on subface of an 271

open-piled structure. The enhanced performance of ISPH_MPAPD will be 272

demonstrated through the quantitative comparisons with standard techniques such as 273

ISPH_MP and ISPH_APD, as well as the experimental data. 274

4.1. Dam-break flow impact on a vertical wall 275

In this test a rectangular column of water is confined between the two vertical walls 276

as shown in Fig. 11. The width of water column is L and the height is H. At 277

beginning the dam is instantaneously removed and water is allowed to flow out along 278

the dry horizontal bed. D is the length of horizontal section of water tank and a 279

pressure sensor P_1 is located on the right wall at a vertical distance of h_1 from the bottom. In the interpretation of numerical result, all variables and parameters are non-dimensionalised by the characteristic dam height H and gravitational acceleration g.

The following parameters are studied here: L = 0.5 m, H/L = 2.0 and D = 4 L. 284 To show the convergence of ISPH_MPAPD model results, the time history of impact 285 pressures at P_1 computed by using different time steps and particle numbers are 286 presented in Fig. 12(a) and (b), respectively. Here it should be mentioned that the 287 computed pressures are obtained by the particle nearest to the measuring location 288 which does not involve the samplings from neighbouring particle. It is shown from 289 Fig. 12 that as the time step or particle spacing becomes smaller (i.e. when the particle 290 number becomes larger), the difference between two adjacent numerical results 291 becomes smaller. Also the numerical results become smoother and less fluctuating, 292 following the refinement in spatial and temporal resolutions. These have clearly 293 evidenced the convergence of numerical results in the temporal and spatial domains. 294 Besides, Fig. 13 gives the comparisons of wave front and water column height of 295 dam break flow computed by three alternative ISPH methods. The numerical results 296 are compared with the experimental data of Martin and Moyce (1952). It seems that 297 very minor differences are found between them, which may imply that the water 298 299 surface profiles are not very sensitive to the particular choice of particle stabilization schemes as compared with the impact pressure. 300

In order to further quantify the accuracy of different particle stabilization schemes, 301 another benchmark dam break flow as documented by Colagrossi and Landrini (2003) 302 is considered, where the dimensions L = 2.0 m, H = 0.5 L and D = 5.3667 L303 304 are used in Fig. 11. On the right wall, there is also a pressure sensor point P_1 with height $h_i = 0.14H$ to record the impact pressure time history. For all controlled SPH 305 simulations in this case, the particle numbers keep the same at 120×60 306 307 corresponding to a particle size of 0.0167 m. The time step is taken to be constant dt = 0.003 s. Fig. 14 illustrates the particle distributions by using different ISPH 308 stabilization methods and the snapshots were extracted at time t = 2.775 s. We could 309 observe that there is a slight particle strip distribution in the ISPH MP results as 310 shown in Fig. 14(a), and the particle distribution becomes disordered in the 311 ISPH APD results as shown in Fig. 14(b). Overall speaking, the particle distributions 312 computed by ISPH MPAPD seem to be most satisfactory as shown in Fig. 14(c). 313 The time histories of pressure at P₁ computed by using different ISPH particle 314 correction methods (with total particle number $N_t = 7200$) are compared with the 315 experimental data of Zhou et al. (1999) in Fig. 15. It shows that the pressure obtained 316 by ISPH MPAPD is much better than that from the other two methods, i.e. ISPH MP 317 or ISPH_APD. The ISPH_MP result exhibits a more obvious phase shift in the second 318

pressure peak, while the ISPH_APD result demonstrates a much larger pressure 319 oscillation. For the three ISPH results, their major differences appear after the second 320 pressure peak. One reason could be due to the lack of two-phase water-air modelling, 321 since the influence of air becomes increasingly significant during the second violent 322 wave impact when the water column plunges down onto the surface and forms a 323 cavity region. It has been recorded that the CPU expense (Intel i7 3.4 GHz with RAM 324 8 GB) of present simulation is 324 s by using ISPH_MP, 332 s by ISPH_MP and 326 325 s by ISPH_MPAPD, respectively. 326

4.2. Solitary wave impact on a vertical wall

In order to further evidence the effectiveness of improved particle stabilization 328 technique, the analysis of numerical results of solitary wave impact on a vertical wall 329 330 is provided below. The experiment of solitary wave propagation and its impact on a vertical wall was carried out by Zheng et al. (2015) in a 3-D wave flume with piston 331 wave maker in Harbin Engineering University (HEU). The schematic diagram of the 332 wave tank is shown in Fig. 16. The wave tank is 10 m long and the water depth is d 333 = 0.25 m. The solitary wave height is h = 0.15 m, thus the wave nonlinearity is 334 $\varepsilon = h/d = 0.6$. A measurement point P₁ is located on the right wall at a distance of 335 0.05 m from the tank bottom to monitor the pressure time history. In ISPH 336 computation the initial particle spacing is 0.01 m and the time step is 0.001 s. 337 Fig. 17 illustrates the particle distributions with pressure contour by using the 338 original ISPH (Shao and Lo, 2003) and improved ISPH with different particle 339 stabilization methods. The snapshots were extracted at time t = 1.2 s after the wave 340 is initiated. Under such a high wave-to-depth ratio, it would be very easy to generate 341 the particle clustering in standard ISPH computation, which is illustrated in Fig. 17(a). 342 On the other hand, it can be seen that these abnormal particle distributions can be 343 corrected effectively by using the different stabilization techniques as shown in Fig. 344 345 17(b) - (d). However, we could still find that there is a slight particle strip distribution 346 in ISPH_MP result as shown in Fig. 17(b). Besides, the particle distribution is slightly disordered in ISPH_APD result as shown in Fig. 17(c). Overall speaking, the 347 distribution of particles in ISPH_MPAPD result is the most desirable, as shown in Fig. 348 17(d), which demonstrates its superiority in predicting the pressure fields. 349 To investigate the conservation of volume for all ISPH models, Fig. 18 shows the 350 351 time history of water particle volume variations during the wave propagation. It can be seen that ISPH_MP and ISPH_APD cannot satisfy the strict volume conservation, 352 353 namely the mass conservation, while the proposed ISPH_MPAPD has the best conservation performance. By analysis it was found that the relative volume errors are 354 about 1.45% for ISPH_MP, 1.24% for ISPH_APD and only 0.71% for ISPH_MPAPD 355 in Fig. 18. Besides, the comparisons of wave surface profile at two time instants of t 356

- 357 = 2.0 s and 3.1 s are shown in Fig. 19(a) and (b), respectively, which shows that all
- 358 ISPH simulated free surfaces have an overall agreement with the analytical solution,
- 359 although there are some differences in the wave crest. Here the relative errors in wave
- height are about 1.013% for ISPH_MP, 5.153% for ISPH_APD and 0.433% for
- ISPH_MPAPD in Fig. 19(a), while they are 5.31% for ISPH_MP, 2.5% for
- 362 ISPH_APD and 2.86% for ISPH_MPAPD in Fig. 19(b). Generally speaking,
- 363 ISPH_MPAPD computation also shows the best accuracy and stability in the wave364 surface profiles.
- Furthermore, the comparisons of wave impact pressure at sensor point P₁ between 365 the experimental data (Zheng et al., 2015) and numerical results by using different 366 ISPH particle stabilization methods, are illustrated in Fig. 20(a) - (d). It should be 367 mentioned that Fig. 20(a) is the superposition of all the data, while Fig. 20(b) - (d) is 368 the comparison with each individual ISPH correction scheme. It is shown that in Fig. 369 20(b) there appear spurious oscillations around the ISPH MP pressure peak. In Fig. 370 20(c) the pressure peaks computed by ISPH_APD are larger than the experimental 371 372 data. Again the proposed ISPH_MPAPD achieves the best agreement in both the pressure peak and its evolutions, as shown in Fig. 20(d). Comparing Fig. 20 with Figs. 373 17-19, it can be understood that the impact pressure simulations can best demonstrate 374 the superiority of ISPH_MPAPD than the other illustrations, such as the particle 375 snapshot and volume and free surface profile. 376

4.3. Solitary wave impact on a slope wall

In this section, the ISPH method with improved particle stabilization technique is used to the simulation of solitary wave impacting on a slope with angle of 150° . The computational domain is the same as that used in the laboratory experiment of Zheng et al. (2015), so a direct comparison can be made. Four pressure sensors, labelled as $P_1 - P_4$, are placed along the slope at a distance of 0.05 m from the bed and subsequent intervals of 0.1 m upward. The schematic diagram of the domain is shown in Fig. 21.

As shown in Fig. 21 a solitary wave with wave amplitude h/d = 0.6 is studied. The water depth is d = 0.25 m and the length of horizontal section is L = 10.0 m. The initial particle spacing is 0.01 m and approximately 25000 particles are involved in the ISPH computations.

Fig. 22 illustrates the process of solitary wave running up and down the slope at different times computed by ISPH_MPAPD, whose particle snapshots coincide well with the laboratory photographs. It can be seen from Fig. 22(a) that the wave front reaches its maximum climbing point at time t = 6.5 s. Then the run-down process starts and the main flow retreats from the slope. It is shown in Fig. 22(b) that a violent backflow occurs near the original shoreline at t = 7.0 s, which explains the abrupt pressure drop in its time history (as shown in later Fig. 24). Generally the agreement
 between numerical and experimental free surfaces is quite satisfactory.

Fig. 23 illustrates the particle distributions with pressure field computed by using different particle stabilization methods. The snapshots were extracted at time t = 7.1 s

and t = 7.25 s after the model was run. It can be seen from Fig. 23(a1) and (a2) that

400 there exist particle clustering and disorders in the pressure field, which was computed

401 by using ISPH_MP. In Fig. 23(b1) and (b2), the pressure fields computed by

402 ISPH_APD displayed obvious local chaos, especially at later stage of the wave impact.

403 On the other hand, the distribution of particles and their pressure fields in

ISPH_MPAPD result shows much more stable and uniform patterns, as indicated inFig. 23(c1) and (c2).

To quantify the accuracy of ISPH MPAPD, Fig. 24(a) - (d) show the comparisons 406 of wave impact pressure at four measurement point $(P_1 - P_4)$ between the experimental 407 data and different ISPH correction results. It is shown that good agreement has been 408 found in spite of some discrepancies, due to that the pressure fields are always 409 410 difficult to predict by any numerical model. Similar to experimental data, the computed pressures at P₁ and P₂ which are located below the surface of water, share 411 similar evolution features. That is to say, the impact pressure first reaches its 412 maximum value when the wave runs up to the maximum point, and then it gradually 413 decreases to negative pressure as the wave runs down freely, until to the minimum 414 415 pressure point. However, all ISPH computations exhibit much larger pressure oscillations than the experimental observations. It is also promising to note 416 ISPH_MPAPD computation demonstrates much less pressure noise and shows better 417 agreement with the experiment. This conclusion has been further strengthened by the 418 zoomed sub-figures of Fig. 24(a1 - a3) and (b1 - b3) with separate comparison with 419

each ISPH model, which shows that ISPH_MPAPD is superior to either ISPH_MP orISPH_APD in obtaining the stable and accurate pressure predictions.

On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 24(c) and (d), the computed pressures at sensor point P_3 and P_4 , which is on and above the still-water shoreline, exhibit much more stable pressure patterns as compared with those at P_1 and P_2 . Both pressures increase rapidly to the maximum value when the solitary wave impacts on the slope and then fall to zero without generating the negative pressures. Again the numerical results of ISPH MPAPD show an overall better agreement with the experiment.

428 Since maximum pressure generated during the wave impact is quite important for 429 the safety and reliability of marine structures, we carry out an error analysis and find

430 out that the relative errors are around 10.25% for ISPH_MP, 10.69% for ISPH_APD,

and only 0.3% for ISPH_MPAPD, as compared with the experimental peak pressure

in Fig. 24(a). In contrast these errors are about 11.83%, 9.24% and 5.6%, respectively,

433 in Fig. 24(b).

434 4.4. Solitary wave overtopping on an impermeable seawall

Here another robust test is carried out to investigate the tsunami-like solitary wave impinging and overtopping on an impermeable trapezoidal seawall located on a 1:20 sloping beach. The numerical computation was based on the benchmark physical experiment documented by Hsiao and Lin (2010). In the study, the wave nonlinearity $\varepsilon = h/d$ is 0.35 and other relevant parameters are shown in Fig. 25(a) inside the wave tank. For analysis, the relative time $t'=t-t_{MR}$ is used, where t_{MR} is the time of maximum wave run-up against the wall.

The ISPH computation used a particle spacing of 0.01 m and constant time step of 442 0.001 s, involving 21360 particles. The solitary wave was generated by pushing a 443 444 solid wave paddle on the offshore boundary. The numerical simulations were carried out to 10.0 seconds of the wave propagation. The experimental data of water surface 445 profile and wave impact pressure are used to validate the ISPH results and evaluate 446 the accuracy of different particle stabilization schemes. The measurement points of 447 water surface "G" and impact pressure "P" are shown in Fig. 25(b). It should be noted 448 that only selected results from the experiment of Hsiao and Lin (2010) are used here 449 for the model comparisons. 450

Fig. 26 shows the particle snapshots with pressure field during the wave impinging 451 452 and overtopping on the trapezoidal caisson at t' = 3.19 s, computed by all ISPH particle correction schemes. It is shown that as the wave overtops over the seawall an 453 overtopping tongue develops on the crown. In addition, the experimental photo and 454 measured free surface profiles (Hsiao and Lin, 2010) indicated by the black dots are 455 superimposed on the ISPH particle snapshots, quantifying the good accuracy of 456 numerical simulations. From the enlarged portion of the sub-figures, we could observe 457 that there is a slight particle strip distribution near the run-up boundary in ISPH MP 458 results as shown in Fig. 26(a). On the other hand, the particle distribution seems to be 459 noisy in ISPH_APD results as shown in Fig. 26(b). In comparison, the distribution of 460 particles and pressure patterns in ISPH MPAPD results are still the most satisfactory 461 as shown in Fig. 26(c). 462

Fig. 27(a) - (d) show the time histories of free surface variation compared between
experimental data (Hsiao and Lin, 2010) and numerical results at four wave gauging
points (see Fig. 25(a)). Although the computed free surface elevations seem to be
generally higher than the experimental values, the overall good agreement is quite

- 467 promising. For Fig. 27(a) (b) the ISPH_APD gives a slight overestimation of the
- 468 peak elevation as compared with the ISPH_MP and ISPH_MPAPD, while the time
- 469 histories of ISPH_MPAPD computation are much more stable than the ISPH_APD
- and ISPH_MP results as shown in Fig. 27(c) (d). Besides, the small and narrow
- 471 spread of free surface profile in Fig. 27(d) indicates that only a small portion of water
- 472 overtops on the impermeable seawall, thus explaining the oscillation in numerical free

- surfaces at G_{37} and the slightly larger discrepancy in predicting the maximum wave height, in contrast to the situations at G_3 , G_{10} and G_{28} .
- 475 Furthermore, Fig. 28(a) (d) shows the time histories of experimental (Hsiao and
- 476 Lin, 2010) and numerical impact pressures computed by using different ISPH particle
- 477 correction schemes, at pressure gauge of P_1 , P_4 , P_7 and P_8 on the weather side of
- trapezoidal structure (see Fig. 25(b)). It is shown that the general trend of impact
- 479 pressures computed by all ISPH models follows good consistency with the
- 480 experimental measurement, in spite of unavoidable discrepancies due to the
- 481 complication of the physical problem. The pressure time history of ISPH_MP and
- 482 ISPH_MPAPD is much more stable than that of ISPH_APD, in which larger pressure
- 483 oscillations are observed. Also it is found that ISPH_MP computation generates more
- pressure noises than the ISPH_MPAPD, especially in Fig. 28(a) at the first pressure
 measuring point.
- 486 Although all ISPH computations underestimate/overestimate the peak pressures to
- some extent, the relative errors are about 34.77% for ISPH_MP, 43.6% for
- 488 ISPH_APD and 32.55% for ISPH_MPAPD in Fig. 28(a). On the other hand, these
- 489 errors are around 20.2% for ISPH_MP, 41.9% for ISPH_APD and 5.6% for
- 490 ISPH_MPAPD, respectively, in Fig. 28(c). Overall speaking, the present wave
- 491 overtopping simulation further provides the indication that the hybrid ISPH_MPAPD
 492 stabilization technique is superior to existing ones in accurately predicting the wave
 492 impinging and exect apping and executed accurately predicting the wave
- impinging and overtopping process.

494 4.5. Regular wave slamming on subface of an open-piled structure

To finally validate the computational accuracy and stability of the hybrid 495 ISPH_MPAPD model again, the simulation of a regular wave slamming on the 496 497 subface of an open-piled structure is investigated in this section. The schematic setup of computational domain is shown in Fig. 29(a), where the wave flume is 14.0 m long 498 with a wavemaker being located at x = 0.5 m. The incident wave is a regular wave 499 with a wave height H = 0.15 m and wave period T = 1.2 s. A horizontal platform 500 is fixed at 0.1 H above the still water surface and 8.0 m away from the left-hand-side 501 of the flume. Eleven pressure measuring points $(P_1 - P_{11})$ on the subface of the 502 horizontal structure are shown in Fig. 29(b). The detailed information on the physical 503 504 experiment is illustrated in Ren and Wang (2005) and Gao et al. (2012). Similar problems have also been addressed in the benchmark work of Gomez-Gesteira et al. 505 (2005).506 By using a particle spacing of 0.015 m and totally 36000 particles, the ISPH 507

simulations are carried out. The particle distributions with pressure field computed by

- 509 different particle stabilization methods are shown in Fig. 30 at time t = 11.67 s. It can
- be seen from Fig. 30(a) that there is a slight particle strip distribution in the ISPH_MP
- results, such that a small blank area around the left corner of the platform is observed.

By examining Fig. 30(b), the particle distributions under the platform demonstrate 512 irregularity and there also exists an obvious separation zone with the structure in the 513 ISPH_APD results. On the other hand, the distribution of particles in the 514 ISPH_MPAPD results is again much more stable and uniform than the other two 515 results, as shown in Fig. 30(c). In addition, the comparisons of experimental (Gao et 516 al., 2012) and ISPH wave profiles are also shown in Fig. 30 and the general 517 agreement is acceptable, since there are unavoidable discrepancies found especially in 518 the upper region of the platform. 519 Fig. 31(a) and (b) shows the time histories of experimental and ISPH impact 520 pressures computed by different correction methods at pressure gauges P2 and P8 (see 521 Fig. 29(b)), respectively. The numerical pressure at each measuring point is obtained 522 by the spatial averaging of the pressures of neighboring fluid particles within a radius 523 of three-time particle spacing. It can be seen that the computed impact pressures by all 524 ISPH models reasonably coincide with the experimental data of Gao et al. (2012), in 525 spite of the unavoidable discrepancies. Besides, the pressure history of ISPH_MPAPD 526 527 is much more promising than that of ISPH_APD, which shows larger pressure oscillations, also more reliable than that of ISPH_MP, which demonstrates severe 528 pressure noises, especially in Fig. 31(a) at the measuring point P₂. The present regular 529 wave slamming simulations once again evidence that the improved ISPH MPAPD 530

stabilization technique has great potentials in wider wave application fields.

532 5. Conclusions

533 In this paper an improved hybrid particle stabilization scheme of ISPH is proposed to simulate violent wave impact with coastal structure. The method adopts an 534 ISPH_MPAPD approach, which combines the ISPH_MP and artificial particle 535 536 displacement ISPH APD algorithms to reduce particle clustering and instability so as to improve the ISPH modeling capacity. To validate the accuracy and stability of the 537 538 model, ISPH_MPAPD is applied to study five benchmark cases of wave-structure interaction, including the dam break flow and solitary wave impact on a vertical wall, 539 solitary wave impact on a slope, solitary wave overtopping on an impermeable 540 seawall and regular wave slamming on the subface of an open-piled structure. 541 According to the comparison between numerical results computed by ISPH MPAPD, 542 ISPH_MP and ISPH_APD and experimental data, the performance of ISPH_MPAPD 543 is found to be most satisfactory in view of its accuracy, stability and efficiency in 544 dealing with the instabilities caused by the particle clustering and fracturing. Future 545 work is needed to improve the method for more challenging applications in the wave 546 interactions with a movable structure. 547 However, as documented in the benchmark study of Nair and Tomar (2015) and 548

- Pahar and Dhar (2016), any particle shifting technique can violate the conservation of
- volume. The sensitivity test on the particle volume for solitary wave case in Fig. 18

- disclosed that the relative volume errors are 1.45% for ISPH_MP, 1.24% for
- 552 ISPH_APD and 0.71% for ISPH_MPAPD, respectively, but this small deviation of
- the volume could significantly improve the stability of numerical results by
- effectively regularizing the particle distributions. So the benefit of shifting scheme
- well outweighs the drawback caused by the particle volume errors. On the other hand,
- as for ISPH_MP, it may violate the momentum conservation but only to some extent.
- 557 In the context of particle methods, it would be impossible to satisfy both the
- 558 momentum conservation and the Taylor-series consistency at the same time.
- 559 ISPH_MP tends to provide approximate pressure gradient, i.e. not perfectly
- 560 momentum conservative, but being closer to the Taylor-series consistency. Recently,
- it has been found that the Taylor-series consistency appears to be more important than
- the exact local conservation of the momentum (Khayyer et al., 2017b).
- Besides, we should also be aware that the present SPH accuracy is influenced by
- various factors. Turbulence is one of the issues whose influence is case-dependent. In
- 565 present study the main objective is to evaluate the combined correction scheme. Also,
- in the numerical simulations the effect of sub-particle-scale turbulence on the
- 567 macroscopic hydrodynamics, such as water surface deformation and impact pressure,
- seems to be trivial due to the use of sufficiently small particle size. However, if the
- coarser particles are used in larger practical domains, the SPS turbulence modelling
 must be considered due to the significant increase of turbulence levels.

571 Acknowledgement

- 572 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China
- 573 (Nos. 51009034, 51279041, 51379051, 51479087, and 51639004); the Foundational
- 574 Research Funds for the Central Universities (Nos. HEUCDZ1202 and
- 575 HEUCF120113); and the Defense Pre Research Funds Program (No.
- 576 9140A14020712CB01158). Author Q Ma also thanks the Chang Jiang Visiting Chair
- 577 Professorship Scheme of the Chinese Ministry of Education, hosted by HEU.

578 **References**

- Chang, K. H., Chang, T. J., Chiang, Y. M., 2016. A novel SPH-SWEs approach for
 modeling subcritical and supercritical flows at open channel junctions. Journal of
- 581 Hydro-environment Research. 13, 76-88.
- 582 Chang, K. H., Chang, T. J., Sheu, W. H., 2017. Development of an upwinding kernel
 583 in SPH-SWEs model for 1D trans-critical open channel flows. Journal of
- 584 Hydro-environment Research. 15, 13-26.
- Cleary, P. W., Monaghan, J. J., 1999. Conduction modelling using smoothed particle
- hydrodynamics. Journal of Computational Physics. 148(1), 227-264.

- Colagrossi, A., Landrini, M., 2003. Numerical simulation of interfacial flows by
 smoothed particle hydrodynamics. Journal of Computational Physics. 191(2),
 448-475.
- Cummins, S. J., Rudman, M., 1999. An SPH projection method. Journal ofComputational Physics. 152(2), 584-607.
- Gao, R., Ren, B., Wang, G., Wang, Y., 2012. Numerical modelling of regular wave
 slamming on subface of open-piled structures with the corrected sph
- method. Applied Ocean Research. 34(1), 173-186.
- Gingold, R. A., Monaghan, J. J., 1977. Smoothed particle hydrodynamics theory and
 application to non-spherical stars. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical
 Society. 181(3), 375-389.
- Gomez-Gesteira, M., Cerqueiroa, D., Crespo, C., Dalrymple, R. A., 2005. Green
 water overtopping analyzed with a SPH model. Ocean Engineering. 32, 223–238.
- 600 Gotoh, H., Khayyer, A., Ikari, H., Arikawa, T., Shimosako, K., 2014. On
- 601 enhancement of incompressible SPH method for simulation of violent sloshing
- flows. Applied Ocean Research. 46(11), 104-115.
- Gotoh, H., Khayyer, A., 2016. Current achievements and future perpectives for
 projection-based particle methods with applications in ocean engineering. Journal
 of Ocean Engineering and Marine Energy. 2(3), 251-278.
- Hsiao, S. C., Lin, T. C., 2010. Tsunami-like solitary waves impinging and
 overtopping an impermeable seawall: experiment and RANS modeling. Coastal
 Engineering. 57(1), 1-18.
- Hughes, J. P., Graham, D. I., 2010. Comparison of incompressible and
- 610 weakly-compressible SPH models for free-surface water flows. Journal of 611 Hudraulia Descent 48(SD) 105, 117
- 611 Hydraulic Research. 48(SI), 105-117.
- Khayyer, A., Gotoh, H., Shao, S. D., 2008. Corrected incompressible SPH method for
 accurate water surface tracking in breaking waves. Coastal Engineering. 55(3),
 236-250.
- Khayyer, A., Gotoh, H., 2011. Enhancement of stability and accuracy of the moving
 particle semi-implicit method. Journal of Computational Physics. 230(8),
- *617 3093-3118.*
- Khayyer, A., Gotoh, H., 2013. Enhancement of performance and stability of MPS
- 619 mesh-free particle method for multiphase flows characterized by high density ratios.
- Journal of Computational Physics. 242, 211-233.
- Khayyer, A., Gotoh, H., Shimizu, Y., 2017a. Comparative study on accuracy and
- 622 conservation properties of two particle regularization schemes and proposal of an
- optimized particle shifting scheme in ISPH context. Journal of Computational
- 624 Physics. 332(1), 236-256.

625	Khayyer, A., Gotoh, H., Shimizu, Y., Gotoh, K., 2017b. On enhancement of energy
626	conservation properties of projection-based particle methods. European Journal of
627	Mechanics - B/Fluids. 66, 20-37.
628	Koshizuka, S., Oka, Y., 1996. Moving-particle semi-implicit method for
629	fragmentation of incompressible fluid. Nuclear Science & Engineering. 123(3),
630	421-434.
631	Lee, E. S., Moulinec, C., Xu, R., Violeau, D., Laurence, D., Stansby, P., 2008.
632	Comparisons of weakly compressible and truly incompressible algorithms for the
633	SPH mesh free particle method. Journal of Computational Physics. 227(18),
634	8417-8436.
635	Lind, S. J., Xu, R., Stansby, P. K., Rogers, B. D., 2012. Incompressible smoothed
636	particle hydrodynamics for free-surface flows: a generalised diffusion-based
637	algorithm for stability and validations for impulsive flows and propagating
638	waves. Journal of Computational Physics. 231(4), 1499-1523.
639	Liu, X., Xu, H., Shao, S., Lin, P., 2013. An improved incompressible SPH model for
640	simulation of wave-structure interaction. Computers & Fluids. 71(71), 113-123.
641	Lucy, L. B., 1977. A numerical approach to the testing of fusion process.
642	Astronomical Journal. 88, 1013–1024.
643	Ma, Q. W., 2008. A new meshless interpolation scheme for MLPG_R method. CMES
644	Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences. 23(2), 75-89.
645	Ma, Q. W., Zhou, J. T., 2009. MLPG_R method for numerical simulation of 2D
646	breaking waves. CMES Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences. 43(3),
647	277-303.
648	Martin. J. C., Moyce. W. J., 1952. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of
649	London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences. 244(882), 312-324.
650	Monaghan, J. J., 1994. Simulating free surface flows with SPH. Journal of
651	Computational Physics. 110(2), 399-406.
652	Monaghan, J. J., Kos, A., 1999. Solitary waves on a cretan beach. Journal of
653	Waterway, Port, Coastal & Ocean Engineering. 125(3), 145-154.
654	Monaghan, J. J., 2000. SPH without a tensile instability. Journal of Computational
655	Physics. 159(2), 290–311.
656	Nair, P., Tomar, G., 2015. Volume conservation issues in incompressible smoothed
657	particle hydrodynamics. Journal of Computational Physics. 297, 689- 699.
658	Pahar, G., Dhar, A., 2016. A robust volume conservative divergence free ISPH
659	framework for free-surface flow problems. Advances in Water Resources. 96, 423-
660	437.
661	Rafiee, A., Cummins, S., Rudman, M., Thiagarajan, K., 2012. Comparative study on
662	the accuracy and stability of SPH schemes in simulating energetic free-surface
663	flows. European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids. 36(10), 1-16.

664	Ren, B., Wang, Y., 2005. Laboratory study of random wave slamming on a piled
665	wharf with different shore connecting structures. Coastal Engineering. 52(5),
666	463-471.
667	Ren, B., Wen, H., Dong, P., Wang, Y., 2016. Improved SPH simulation of wave
668	motions and turbulent flows through porous media. Coastal Engineering. 107,
669	14–27.
670	Rudman, M., Cleary, P. W., 2016. The influence of mooring system in rogue wave
671	impact on an offshore platform. Ocean Engineering. 115, 168-181.
672	Shadloo, M. S., Zainali, A., Sadek, S. H., Yildiz, M., 2011. Improved incompressible
673	smoothed particle hydrodynamics method for simulating flow around bluff
674	bodies. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics & Engineering. 200(9-12),
675	1008-1020.
676	Shadloo, M. S., Zainali, A., Yildiz, M., Suleman, A., 2012. A robust weakly
677	compressible SPH method and its comparison with an incompressible
678	SPH. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering. 89(8), 939-956.
679	Shao, S. D., Lo, E. Y. M., 2003. Incompressible SPH method for simulating
680	newtonian and non-newtonian flows with a free surface. Advances in Water
681	Resources. 26(7), 787-800.
682	Skillen, A., Lind, S., Stansby, P. K., Rogers, B. D., 2013. Incompressible smoothed
683	particle hydrodynamics (SPH) with reduced temporal noise and generalised fickian
684	smoothing applied to body-water slam and efficient wave-body
685	interaction. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics & Engineering. 265(9),
686	163-173.
687	Sriram, V., Ma, Q. W., 2012. Improved MLPG_R method for simulating 2D
688	interaction between violent waves and elastic structures. Journal of Computational
689	Physics. 231(22), 7650-7670.
690	Violeau, D., Leroy, A., 2015. Optimal time step for incompressible SPH. Journal of
691	Computational Physics. 288, 119-130.
692	Xu, R., Stansby, P., Laurence, D., 2009. Accuracy and stability in incompressible sph
693	(ISPH) based on the projection method and a new approach. Journal of
694	Computational Physics. 213(228), 6703-6725.
695	Zheng, X., Duan, W. Y., Ma, Q. W., 2012. A new scheme for identifying free surface
696	particles in improved SPH. Science China: Physics Mechanics & Astronomy. 55(8),
697	1454-1463.
698	Zheng, X., Hu, Z., Ma, Q. W., Duan, W. Y., 2015. Incompressible SPH based on
699	rankine source solution for water wave impact simulation. Procedia
700	Engineering, 126, 650-654.
701	Zneng, A., Ma, Q. W., Duan, W. Y., 2014. Incompressible SPH method based on
702	Kankine source solution for violent water wave simulation. Journal of
703	Computational Physics. 270, 291-314.

- 704 Zhou, Z. Q., De Kat, J. O., Buchner, B., 1999. A nonlinear 3-D approach to simulate
- green water dynamics on deck. Proc. 7th Int. Conf. Num. Ship Hydrod., Nantes.
- 706 1–15.