22

1	Contemporary approaches to site-selective protein modification
2	
3	Emily A. Hoyt, <sup>1</sup> Pedro M. S. D. Cal, <sup>2</sup> Bruno L. Oliveira <sup>1,2</sup> and Gonçalo J. L. Bernardes <sup>1,2*</sup>
4	
5	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW (UK)
6	<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Medicina Molecular, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Lisboa, Avenida
7	Professor Egas Moniz, 1649-028, Lisboa (Portugal)
8	
9	*email: gb453@cam.ac.uk; gbernardes@medicina.ulisboa.pt
10	
11	Proteins constitute the majority of nature's worker biomolecules. Designed for
12	specific functions, complex tertiary structures make proteins ideal candidates for analyzing
13	natural systems and creating novel biological tools. Due to both large size and the need for
14	proper folding, de novo synthesis of proteins has been quite a challenge, leading scientists to
15	focus on modifying protein templates already provided by nature. Recently developed
16	methods for protein modification fall into two broad categories: those that can modify the
17	natural protein template directly and those that require genetic manipulation of the amino
18	acid sequence prior to modification. The goal of this review is to provide not only a window
19	through which to view the many opportunities created by novel protein modification
20	techniques, but also to act as an initial guide to help scientists find direction and form ideas
21	in an ever-growing field. In addition to the highlighting methods reported in the past five

23 and bioconjugation in general. While the main body of the paper comprises reactions directly

years, we aim to provide a broader sense of the goals and outcomes of protein modification

involving proteins as a starting material, some further functionalization strategies as well as
 biological applications are also acknowledged. The discussion concludes by speculating what
 trends and discoveries will most likely come next in the field.

27

# 28 [H1] Introduction

29 Over time, proteins have become the most valuable biomolecules among the vast variety 30 of cellular components. Due to their multifaceted nature, performing many roles both within and 31 outside of the cell cycle, amino acid-based (AA-based) protein modification can lead to a myriad of diverse applications.<sup>1,2</sup> This is particularly apparent in the field of bioconjugates where 32 33 therapeutic potential in the treatment of cancer and other medical problems has led to them 34 infiltrating the pharmaceutical market (in addition to an already established presence in smaller 35 markets such as biomaterials, textile manufacturing, and food processing). With huge commercial 36 value resulting from such medical promise, it is not surprising that the toolbox available to chemists is ever-expanding.<sup>3</sup> Enhanced bioavailability, fluorescent tracking, post- translational 37 38 modification insertions, and targeted delivery are just a few of the numerous possible applications of protein conjugates.<sup>1,4</sup> In order for these powerful applications to be realized, however, the 39 40 protein modification must avoid interfering with protein function. Arguably, the ability to retain protein function is primarily affected by the site and size of the protein modification. Site-selective 41 42 methods that result in homogeneous products are thus in demand because they maximize the 43 chance of success in the desired application.

44 Starting from classical methods that are unable to deliver site-specificity, chemical 45 biologists have been able to improve protein modification procedures and identify routes that 46 circumvent the inherent obstacles of bioconjugation (Figure 1).<sup>5</sup> One of the most challenging

47 criteria is the need for modifications to occur under mild reaction conditions, in an aqueous 48 environment, and in the presence of multiple unprotected, chemical entities that can promote cross-49 reactions. Moreover, promoting such reactions under natural biological conditions while also 50 maintaining structural and functional integrity adds an extra level of difficulty. Nevertheless, 51 different methods have been developed that take advantage of reactive, endogenous AA 52 sidechains.. The nucleophilicity, solvent accessibility, and relative abundance of lysine (Lys) and 53 cysteine (Cys) residues have encouraged scientists to target these sidechains using maleimides, N-54 hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) esters, and  $\alpha$ -halocarbonyls as electrophiles for modification.<sup>6,7</sup> 55 Michael addition, activated ester amidation, and reductive amination have become particularly popular (Figure 1).<sup>8</sup> Each method presents particular advantages and disadvantages, but common 56 57 motivations for the continued search for optimized protein modification methods centre on 58 improving reaction rate and product homogeneity.

59 Given that the available chemical functional groups are naturally limited to the canonical 60 AAs, different strategies have been pursued to increase selectivity and improve kinetics.<sup>9</sup> To do 61 so, researchers have employed creative solutions that take advantage of strategies within the realm 62 of nature (for example, enzymatic tags/recognition sites and acknowledgement of the various 63 microenvironments within a protein's structure), genetic engineering for the introduction of natural 64 or abiotic functional groups (e.g. genetic sequence insertions and subsequent chemical reactions), 65 or even previously unexplored chemistry or reaction optimizations (e.g. controlled reaction conditions or metal-catalyzed/directed reactions) (Figure 1).<sup>1,2,5,7,8,10,11</sup> Among the most successful 66 67 methods to achieve homogenous products, genetic engineering to incorporate a new amino acid 68 (either canonical or non-canonical) within the structure of a protein followed by modification is 69 currently the method of choice.<sup>9</sup> Direct native protein modification is arguably the ideal, however, (avoiding the need for any prior protein modification), and seems to be a promising emerging strategy with many more examples being described. <sup>12</sup> This review focuses on appraising modification methodologies from the last five years as well as novel examples of downstream functionalization of these modifications and therapeutic biological applications present in this ever-growing field of site-selective protein modification.

- 75
- 76

# [H1] Direct modification of native proteins

77 With only a select number of reactive groups represented and often repeated throughout 78 the protein sequence, modification of endogenous AAs has been difficult to accomplish with a 79 high level of selectivity. Although challenging, the potential for the higher yields available from a 80 single-step process has inspired efforts to develop such methods. Difficulties with conventional 81 strategies for modifying native protein sequences result from the lack of reaction site-specificity 82 (heterogeneous products result from repeated functionalities being modified because the methods 83 are site-selective rather than site-specific).<sup>1</sup> Site-specificity can avoid the modification of reactive 84 residues that are critical to protein function (for example, catalytic Cys residues). However, 85 functionalization of less reactive sidechains often requires harsh reaction conditions that can be 86 detrimental to protein activity.<sup>7</sup> Thus, more creative methods are required, and the last five years 87 of research in this area have highlighted two general strategies. The first relies on targeting unique and accessible N-/C-terminal chemical environments present in single-chain proteins.<sup>17</sup> The 88 89 second focuses instead on protein tertiary structures that create more reactive microenvironments 90 that enable selective modification (for example, ligand- and metal-binding sites, hyperreactive 91 sidechains, and disulfide bonds).<sup>18,19</sup>

92

# 93 [H2] *N-/C-terminus Modification*

94 Terminus modifications have the potential to be more generally applicable —most protein 95 termini are accessible and have chemical environments distinct from the remainder of the protein. 96 Several recent discoveries have been made that improve method versatility and terminal AA 97 tolerance while addressing past problems.<sup>17</sup> For C-terminal modifications, targeting relies on the 98 difference in oxidation potential between terminal carboxylic acids and in-chain glutamic acid 99 (Glu)/Asp residues. MacMillan and coworkers have reported a method based on visible-light-100 mediated single-electron transfer (SET) to perform decarboxylative alkylation at C-terminal 101 residues (3 equiv. photocatalyst, 10 equiv. Michael acceptor, 8 h, r.t., pH 3.5, 41–49% conversion, Figure 2i).<sup>16</sup> Unlike prior amide coupling and esterification strategies, this SET reaction favors the 102 C-terminus over carboxylic acid moieties in Glu and Asp residues.<sup>20–22</sup> Such selectivity originates 103 from increased stability of the C-terminal carbon-centered radical.<sup>16</sup> The aforementioned 104 105 conditions allow for the selective alkylation of human insulin at the A chain C-terminus. However, 106 using alternate decarboxylative conditions, originally studied for targeting tryptophan residues at 107 the peptide level, selective modification of the B chain C-terminus was observed (0.5-1 equiv. 108 photocatalyst, 10-30 equiv. Michael acceptor, 10 equiv. K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2.5-3 h, DMF, Merck Photoreactor (450 nm), 15-38% conversion).<sup>23</sup> Though the precise reasons for this selectivity 109 110 remain as yet undetermined, the divergence in these C-terminal modification products showcases 111 the number of factors at play when performing protein conjugation.

112 When considering N-terminal modification, the first point to consider is that the N-terminal 113 amine has  $pK_a \sim 8$  while that of an in chain Lys's  $\varepsilon$ -amine has  $pK_a \sim 10$ . Thus, not only will the N-114 terminus be charged at physiological pH, encouraging solvent accessibility, but also in slightly 115 basic environments, the N-terminal amine will be deprotonated and more nucleophilic than those 116 in Lys residues.<sup>24,25</sup> Although the N-terminal amine  $pK_a$  is similar to that of Cys ( $pK_a \sim 8$ ), Cys

117 residues are lower in abundance and may not be as accessible as the N-terminus. Thus, Cys-118 modification can be avoided by using protection steps or by careful, amine-reactive reagent selection.<sup>25,26</sup> Therefore, targeting the N-terminus in a single chain protein can lead to site-specific 119 120 modification. Even with such promise, the earliest reported methods targeting the N-terminus required high concentrations of modifying reagents and long reaction times.<sup>27,28</sup> Numerous 121 122 methods that address this, involving one step, low reagent concentrations, and reasonable reaction 123 times have been reported: oxidative coupling with o-aminophenols (5 equiv. o-aminophenol, 250 124 equiv. oxidant, 30 min, room temperature (r.t.), pH 7.5, Figure 2ii), addition of 2-125 pyridinecarboxaldehydes (2-PCA, 400 equiv., 16 h, 37 °C, pH 7.5, 33-95% conversion, Figure 126 2iii), and reductive alkylation with aldehydes (2 equiv. aldehyde, 5 equiv. reductant, 6-48 h, r.t., pH 6.1, 30-70% conversion, Figure 2iv).<sup>24-26</sup> While some N-terminal residue types show higher 127 128 conversion values than others, only a few are found to be incompatible (for example, an N-terminal Cys leads to reductive alkylation).<sup>24,25</sup> Such versatility can be even further extended by enzyme-129 130 mediated modification at the N-terminus. Butelase 1 has been reported as an asparagine/aspartic 131 acid (Asn/Asp) specific ligase to efficiently conjugate thiodepsipeptide substrates to N-terminal 132 residues (0.001 equiv. butelase 1, 5 equiv. substrate, 2.5 h, 42 °C, pH 6.5, 70-82% conversion, 133 Figure 2v). Butelase 1 has a high substrate tolerance, and can successfully perform conjugation 134 with any N-terminal AA other than proline. Reaction tends to be favoured by the presence of a hydrophobic residue in the second position.<sup>29</sup> Having several conjugation strategies available 135 136 allows navigation of the complex combinations of disadvantages and advantages in order to choose 137 the optimal method for a diverse range of proteins and applications.

While some of the aforementioned methods cannot modify proteins with certain terminal residues, the methods complement each other such that there exists at least one possible method

140 for every terminal residue type. Further advantages and limitations stem from specific reagents or 141 conditions used. With *o*-aminophenol oxidative coupling, double modification can be seen at basic pH either due to Lys modification or dimerization.<sup>26</sup> In the case of 2-PCA, after 12 h at 37 °C, 20-142 30% of the modified protein is lost.<sup>25</sup> For the method relying on butelase 1, the short half-life of 143 the thiodepsipeptide reagents must be acknowledged and reaction conditions adjusted.<sup>29</sup> These 144 145 limitations highlight why method diversity is needed: to allow for the choice of a modification 146 method with limitations that will not affect the conjugate application. Such diversity also applies 147 to the various advantages of methods: the use of reductive alkylation maintains the charge on the 148 N-terminal amine which may be necessary for protein function, and the use of 2-PCA or oaminophenol can facilitate multiple, site-specific modifications.<sup>24-26</sup> Optimization of older 149 150 methods has also led to the progression of N-/C-terminal modification strategies. As an example, 151 Rapoport's Salt (RS) for oxidation at the N-terminus shows an expanded tolerance for different Nterminal residues in higher pH environments (~ pH 8.5).<sup>30</sup> The important advantages to incorporate 152 153 and limitations to avoid are depend on the application of interest. However, the structure and 154 makeup of the protein, the presence of post translational modifications (PTMs), or the need for the 155 termini to be available for protein function, mean that other protein modification strategies (those that target in-chain sites) are also in high demand.<sup>17,31</sup> 156

157

158 [H2] In-chain Residue Modification

159

Protein modification at in-chain AAs is critical for certain biological applications (e.g. profiling inhibition and modulation of enzymatic active sites).<sup>32</sup> Careful selection of reaction type, conditions, and reagents, have enabled such modifications have been achieved using endogenous AAs.<sup>7</sup> One such strategy is the selective targeting of Trp residues (200–300 equiv. sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate, 25 equiv. *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide, 25 equiv. methionine (Met), 5–10 min, 0 °C, pH 6, 65–80% conversion, Figure 3i). Modification of Trp occurs at a 30-fold faster reaction rate than Cys the next most reactive. Trp is a low-abundance residue and is highly likely to be found in the hydrophobic core of the protein. The ability of this method to trifluromethylate Trp selectively is a notable achievement.<sup>33</sup> However, when targeting more common endogenous AAs for modification, strategies may rely on the modification of the most accessible and reactive copy of a repeated residue to achieve site-specificity.

171 Due to their innate nucleophilicity, Lys residues represent one of the more abundant AAs (~6%) commonly targeted for protein modification.<sup>18</sup> While Lys abundance can lead to extreme 172 173 product heterogeneity with conventional modification methods (for example amidation with 174 succinimide-based esters), the tertiary structure of proteins can enable higher levels of specificity as a result of unique, microenvironment-driven  $pK_a$  changes.<sup>1,18,19</sup> A recent study by Cravatt and 175 176 coworkers observed and quantified the reactivities of 9,000 Lys residues in the human proteome, 177 finding several hundred hyperreactive cases.<sup>18</sup> Therefore, specific reagents or conditions have been 178 developed to modify the most reactive Lys residues in different proteins. By adjusting the 179 electrophilicity of Michael acceptors, our group has targeted the most reactive Lys residues in 180 proteins while leaving other Lys and Cys residues untouched (1 equiv., 1-2 h, 25-37 °C, pH 8.0, 181 >95% conversion, Figure 3ii). The design of sulfonyl acrylate reagents was assisted by 182 computational calculations that determined transition states facilitating the desired bond 183 formation.<sup>12</sup> Similarly, a multicomponent reaction involving formaldehyde, acetylene, and a Cu-184 ligand complex as a catalyst was found to modify a single Lys residue in a handful of different 185 proteins (100 equiv. aldehyde, alkyne, and CuI, 400 equiv. ligand, 72 h, r.t., pH 7.8, 40-99% 186 conversion, Figure 3iii). Conveniently, the N-terminus is reversibly protected by the formaldehyde, allowing for the reactive Lys residue to be targeted over the N-terminal amine.
However, the kinetics for the multicomponent reaction are slower in addition to the suboptimal
use of a Cu(I) catalyst that introduces potential toxicity and requires difficult purification steps.<sup>34</sup>

190 Also reliant on the tertiary structure of target proteins are methods mediated by native 191 metal- and ligand-binding sites. Such strategies manipulate the spatial arrangement of reagents and 192 residues such that proximity promotes site-specific labeling. However, these methods rely on two 193 factors: the native presence of a metal- or ligand- binding site and a nearby, reactive residue. If 194 these conditions are met, then a substoichiometric amount of the catalytic targeting component can be used.<sup>35</sup> For metal-binding sites, the most recent advances have relied on the use of Pd(II) for 195 196 the arylation of Cys residues as exhibited with the site-specific modification of 197 mannosyltransferase (80 equiv. Pd(II) reagent, 500 equiv. aryl halide, 4 h, 65 °C, pH 7.6, >85% conversion, Figure 3iv).<sup>32</sup> Further arylation techniques and products are discussed in this review, 198 199 but a more in-depth and comprehensive review of this type of arylation has been published 200 recently.<sup>36</sup> Meanwhile, for ligand-binding sites, polyproline peptides targeting SH3 domain 201 proteins were used to facilitate a reaction between Asp residues and aryldiazonium reagents (5 equiv., 2.5 h, r.t., pH 7.4, 30% conversion, Figure 3v).<sup>19</sup> Furthermore, targeting ligand-binding 202 203 sites has also enabled the use of imidazole-1-sulfonyl diazotransfer reagents to convert 204 surrounding amino groups into azide moieties for downstream bioorthogonal functionalization (1 equiv. diazotransfer reagent, 100 equiv. Cu(II) catalyst, 1 h, r.t., pH 7.4, Figure 3vi).<sup>37</sup> Methods 205 206 have also been reported combining the use of metal reactivity and peptide binding sites for 207 selective modification in SH3 domain proteins and antibodies. These metallopeptides consist of 208 dirhodium(II) cores and either SH3 domain-binding (0.5 equiv. metallopeptide, 50 equiv. diazo 209 compounds, 5 h, r.t., pH 6.2-7.4, >95%) or Fc-binding peptides (2 equiv. metallopeptide, 125 210 equiv. alkyne-diazo, 8 h, 10 °C, pH 6.4, >90% conversion) for the production of functionalized 211 protein and antibody conjugates (Figure 3vii).<sup>38,39</sup> Other binding sites on antibodies have also been 212 taken advantage of for proximity-induced site-specific modification. To avoid disrupting the Fc-213 binding area (an important part of the antibody for receptor interaction), peptides that bind to the 214 junction between two of the heavy chains in a full length antibody were mutated to contain 4-215 fluorophenyl carbamate moieties and used to modify a lysine residue proximal to the junction (8 equiv. binding protein, 48 h, 37 °C, pH 8.5, >90% conversion).<sup>40</sup> However, proximity-induced 216 217 reactions can also be facilitated by strategies like a recently reported linchpin-directed method (LDM, 25 equiv. LDM reagent, 6-24 h, r.t. or 37 °C, pH 7.0, 34-57% conversion).<sup>41</sup> This LDM 218 219 relies on a Lys-reactive group attached to a His-reactive group via a spacer. First, an intermolecular 220 reaction allows for the Lys-reactive component of the LDM reagent to attach non-specifically and 221 reversibly to the Lys residues in the target protein. Once the reagent is bound to Lys residues, the 222 His-reactive component now has the opportunity to bind irreversibly and intramolecularly to a His 223 in proximity of a bound Lys. The lysine residues are then released by the addition of an aminooxy 224 reagent, leaving only the specific His residues modified.<sup>41</sup>

225 Endogenous AA modification has also been achieved by disulfide rebridging, and several 226 general techniques have been established. Conventional native Cys modification, often relies on 227 the reduction of interchain disulfides followed by modification of the free Cys, but has the potential to cause protein instability due to the disruption of structure-stabilizing disulfide crosslinks.<sup>12</sup> In 228 229 disulfide rebridging methodologies, the disulfide bonds are reformed, and thus their structural 230 function retained after modification. Additionally, disulfides that are selectively modified in these approaches tend to be found toward the exterior of the protein and have structure stabilizing 231 functions, allowing internal disulfides that are vital for activity to remain protected.<sup>42</sup> However. 232

233 the size and bulkiness of rebridging reagents must be limited to avoid disruption of the structure 234 of the target protein. Recently, commercially available oxetane reagents have demonstrated the 235 ideal distance for disulfide rebridging while also improving the stability and activity of therapeutic 236 proteins and antibodies (20-60 equiv. oxetane, 6-12 equiv. tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 24-48 h, 25-37 °C, pH 8.5, >95% conversion, Figure 3viii).<sup>43</sup> Alternatively, unlike their bis-sulfone 237 counterparts<sup>44</sup>, allyl sulfones have been proposed as viable disulfide rebridging reagents with high 238 239 aqueous solubility and reactivity (2 equiv. allyl sulfone, ~1 equiv. TCEP, 24 h, r.t., pH 7.8, 19% and 28% isolated yield with insulin and lysozyme as model proteins, Figure 3ix).<sup>45</sup> Meanwhile, 240 241 divinylpyrimidine (DVP) was just reported as a stable rebridging agent for antibody and protein conjugates (10-15 equiv. DVP, 5 equiv. TCEP, 1-2 h, 37 °C, pH 8.0, >95% conversion).<sup>46</sup> A type 242 243 of disulfide rebridging reagent for obtaining highly homogenous antibody drug conjugates (ADCs) 244 with drug-to-antibody ratios (DARs) of 2 was reported: dibromopyridazinedione (dibromo-PBD) 245 derivatives (16 equiv., 80 equiv. TCEP, 16 h, 4 °C, pH 8.0, Figure 3x). To achieve this, one 246 compound containing two dibromo-PBD derivatives connected by a linker crosslinks two disulfide 247 bridges. Since there are four interchain disulfide bridges available in IgG antibodies, two such dibromo-PBD-linker compounds are used, each with one payload incorporated.<sup>47</sup> Further reports 248 249 of dibromo-PBD rebridging reagents for a "plug and play approach" for the production of ADCs 250 and for the attachment of antibody fragments in a specific, favorable orientation to nanoparticles have been published recently.<sup>48,49</sup> Even photomediated disulfide bridging has been explored using 251 252 a one-pot thiol-yne conjugation strategy on a reduced antibody fragment (0.8 equiv. initiator, 1 253 equiv. alkyne reagent, 4 h, 0 °C, UV radiation (365 nm), 40% conversion, Figure 3xi). The method 254 has proven applicable for a variety of alkyne reagents and has exhibited the potential of photomediated disulfide rebridging for therapeutic applications.<sup>50</sup> 255

256 Despite the potential of these discoveries, drawbacks remain prevalent: not being able to 257 achieve high selectivity, homogeneity, efficiency, reagent stability, or conversion; not having 258 control over the position of the conjugation site; and needing a multiple step synthesis to produce the reagents needed for the modification.<sup>32–34,38–42,45</sup> The diversity between and within the classes 259 of modification strategies (e.g. reactive-residue targeting, metal-/ligand-binding sites, disulfide 260 261 rebridging, etc.) signifies that efforts to modulate and add functionality to proteins via endogenous 262 AAs are becoming more pronounced and promising. When yields are optimized, such strategies 263 have the potential for remarkable academic and industrial relevance as the protein of interest does 264 not require prior sequence engineering.

- 265
- 266 267

# [H1] Modification via Genetic Manipulation

268 The genetic engineering of proteins has proven to be an indispensable methodology for 269 site-selective protein modification and the production of homogenous bioconjugates. Rather than 270 working only with a scaffold of endogenous AAs, genetic engineering allows for the controlled 271 introduction of a variety of abiotic and biotic chemical handles at designated sites.<sup>1</sup> The potential 272 versatility and generality inherent in the genetic engineering platform make these strategies 273 invaluable for the exploration and modulation of protein function. The discussion of the various, 274 genetically-based strategies that follows, focuses on the diversity and complementarity of the 275 different method components and potential applications. Such diversity can be evaluated based on 276 certain attributes: multiple modifications; biologically compatible conditions; reaction selectivity; 277 directly added functionality vs. added bioorthogonal handle for subsequent modification; reaction 278 efficiency and stability; method applicability; and modification reversibility. Additionally, the 279 strategies presented here have been categorized into three broader insertion groups: canonical AAs, 280 non-canonical (ncAAs), and motifs or enzymatic tags.

#### 281 [H2] Canonical Amino Acid Insertion

282 While direct modification of endogenous AAs can be performed using the same chemical 283 transformations discussed earlier in this section, these methods can often be more broadly applied 284 and successful when the target residue is genetically inserted at specific positions in protein 285 sequences. Although the selectivity is based primarily on chemical functionality, the insertion site 286 in the protein is also of great importance: the site must be accessible to the modifying reagents and 287 the protein must retain its structure and function. In addition, the insertion and expression of 288 canonical AAs avoid disadvantages and complexities inherent to ncAA and non-AA motif 289 insertion. As Cys has been the focus of many recently discovered methods due to its broad 290 reactivity profile, this section has been split into two: methods targeting inserted Cys residues and 291 methods targeting other low-abundance, endogenous residues.<sup>6</sup>

#### 292 [H3] Cysteine insertion

293 Out of the two most nucleophilic canonical residues (Cys and Lys), Cys remains the residue 294 of interest largely due to its relative low abundance (~1.9%), high nucleophilicity, and ability to react in environments closer to neutral pH.<sup>17</sup> Whether targeted as an endogenous AA or a mutated 295 296 sidechain, Cys's broad scope of reactivity from transfer (i.e. atom, electron, or hydride) and metal-297 binding to exchange reactions indicates the incredible number of already determined Cys 298 modification methods. Several recent reviews have focused on Cys-targeted conjugation.<sup>6,51</sup> 299 Furthermore, Cys residues can be reduced to dehydroalanine (Dha) to extend the reach of an 300 already versatile reactivity profile.<sup>6</sup> The standard Cys reaction for efficiency and selectivity has 301 until recently been maleimide-based reactions. However, due to the observation of retro-Michael 302 additions under basic conditions and thiol exchange, *in vivo* therapeutic applications for maleimide linkages are slowly becoming replaced by more robust linkages. neglected.<sup>51</sup> Even with the 303

development of methods to stabilize the maleimide linkage by hydrolyzing the thiosuccinimide ring, these strategies prove to be less efficient and result in a mixture of hydrolyzed and nonhydrolyzed products.<sup>52–58</sup> Therefore, novel reagents with thiol-specific reactivity have been pursued that lead to irreversible, stable conjugation while attempting to reach efficiencies comparable to maleimide reactions.

309 While some of these recently discovered reagents add a unique chemical handle at the site 310 of the inserted Cys residue for downstream functionalization, other methods manage to add 311 functionality directly in a single step. Strategies for the efficient insertion of chemical handles 312 include the addition of isobutylene (50-1000 equiv., 50 equiv. TCEP, 1-6 h, 4 °C-r.t., pH 8.0-9.0, 313 Figure 4i) and oxetane bromo-derivatives as electrophilic handles (1500 equiv. oxetane, 440 equiv. 314 TCEP, 2-5 h, 37 °C, pH 8.0-11.0, >95% conversion, Figure 4ii). Both electrophilic handles rely on 315 a bromide leaving group for further modification by alkylation with small molecule nucleophiles. 316 While the isobutylene handle facilitates conjugation under more biocompatible conditions, the 317 oxetane linkage adds advantageous attributes to the conjugate by increasing aqueous solubility and metabolic stability.<sup>59,60</sup> As electrophiles do not exist endogenously in proteins, these handles create 318 319 unique sites on the protein for downstream functionalization. Meanwhile, other strategies focus on 320 synthesizing modifying reagents that incorporate the desired functionality upon reaction with the 321 protein.

Even with the variety of reactive partners available for installed chemical handles, highyielding, simple, one-step processes for conjugation are hotly pursued. Sodium 4-((4-(cyanoethynyl)benzoyl)oxy)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorobenzenesulfonate (CBTF) is one reagent that fulfils these criteria. CBTF contains both an activated ester for amine functionalization prior to conjugation and a 3-arylpropiolonitrile moiety that can react with a Cys residue. CBTF displays

327 a high potential for rapid reactions and forms highly-stable products (12 equiv. CBTF, 1.1-2.2 equiv. TCEP, 12 h, r.t., pH 6.8, Figure 4iii).<sup>61,62</sup> Alternatively, by decorating the aromatic ring with 328 329 a variety of electron withdrawing groups (EWGs) and fluorine substituents, fluorobenzene type 330 reagents have also been explored for the stable and selective S-arylation of Cys residues (2-67 equiv., 16 h, 37 °C, pH 8.3, Figure 4iv).<sup>63</sup> Other reagents that form stable, irreversible linkages 331 332 while also introducing desired functionality are: carbonylacrylic, allenamide, and cyclopropenyl ketone derivatives.<sup>15,64,65</sup> Carbonylacrylic reagents succeed in performing the desired modification 333 334 via a rapid Michael addition in a stoichiometric manner (1-50 equiv., 1-2 h, r.t.-37 °C, pH 8.0, >95% conversion, Figure 4v).<sup>15</sup> Allenamide reagents, being less electron deficient than ketones 335 336 and esters, react specifically with Cys and avoid modification by common biological nucleophiles 337 (10-25 equiv. allenamide, 10 equiv. dithiothreitol (DTT), 30 min, 37 °C, pH 8.0, Figure 4vi).<sup>64</sup> 338 Unlike the carbonylacrylic and allenamide reagents, the cyclopropenyl ketone derivatives, 339 functionalized via amide connections formed by NHS-ester promoted reactions, rely on ring strain 340 to promote irreversible, rapid formation of stable products (50 equiv. cylcopropenyl ketone, 5 341 equiv. tris(hydroxypropyl)phosphine, 10 min, r.t., pH 6.0, Figure 4vii).<sup>65</sup> Furthermore, 342 dichlorotetrazine (10 equiv., 1 h, r.t., pH 5.2, Figure 4viii) and 2-azidoacrylate reagents (10 equiv., 343 12 h, r.t., pH 7.4, >85% conversion, Figure 4ix) have been proposed as both being able to directly 344 add functionality in one step in addition to introducing bioorthogonal sites for further functionalization.<sup>66,67</sup> These dual functionalization strategies circumvent the need for two ncAA 345 insertions and are very promising for improved theranostic applications.<sup>67</sup> 346

In addition to the more conventional type Michael addition and alkylation reactions, metalbased reactions have also been explored for the modification of Cys. However, transition metalbased reactions have not been pursued to the same extent as metal-free methods due to common

350 difficulties with complex biomolecules: side reactions with endogenous protic, basic, or thiol-351 containing moieties; heterogeneous product mixtures; catalyst deactivation; and incompatible protein modification conditions.<sup>68,69</sup> Only recently has the specificity, efficiency, and versatility of 352 353 transition-metal based chemistry been harnessed thanks to judicious metal and ligand choices.<sup>70</sup> 354 Au(III) (15-20 equiv., 30 min, r.t., pH 8.0, Figure 4x) and Pd(II) complexes (1.1-10 equiv., 24 h, 355 37 °C, pH 8.5, >94% conversion, Figure 4xi) have been used for the production of stable S-arylated 356 products by targeting Cys residues. The Au(III) and Pd(II) catalyzed systems are based on two-357 electron strategies. The S-arylation products are stable and the conjugation irreversible, making these methods useful for many potential therapeutic applications. <sup>68,70–72</sup> 358

359 Reversible conjugation processes can, in the right context, be useful. These methods 360 include the efficient Michael addition of either 5-methylene pyrrolones (5MPs, 200-500 equiv., 2 361 h, 37 °C, pH 7.5, Figure 4xii) or 4-acetoxy cyclopentenones (50 equiv., 1-2 h, r.t., pH 7.0-7.4, 22-362 95% conversion, Figure 4xiii) with controlled release by increasing pH/thiol exchange or Michael 363 donor addition respectively; the formation of a thiazolidino boronate (TzB) product at N-terminal 364 Cys residues by 2-formyl phenylboronic acid (2-FPBA) that dissociates in slightly acidic 365 environments (this particular case shows modification of native N-terminal Cys, 1 equiv., 30 min, 366 r.t., pH 7.0, >95%, Figure 4xiv); and the formation of a thioether bond by a fast reaction driven by 367 irradiation at 350 nm of 3-(hydroxymethyl)-2-napthols (napthoquinone methide precursors, 368 NQMPs) that can be reversed by irradiation of a dilute solution of labeled conjugate or when mixed with vinyl ether (8-9 equiv., 2-6 min, r.t., pH 7.4, 350 nm irradiation, Figure 4xv).<sup>73-77</sup> Such 369 370 variable processes for controlled release of a Cys residue can lead to information on critical epigenetic roles or reversible modulation of protein function.<sup>73,76</sup> Additionally, the acidic 371 372 environment-driven release of 2-FPBA could be used for endosomal release and delivery of 373 cytotoxic drugs from an antibody drug conjugate (ADC) construct. Furthermore, combinatorial
374 approaches using these methods have an impact in the field. For example, NQMP-Cys conjugation
375 is orthogonal to standard azide–alkyne click chemistry, allowing for many nuanced, complex
376 reversible and release/catch applications.<sup>74,75</sup>

377 The methods discussed here only cover a fraction of the applications possible through 378 targeted Cys conjugation alone. Even with risks of disulfide disruption or shuffling due to 379 reduction steps required to produce free Cys thiols, the Cys-based modification strategies pursued 380 by scientists represent a window into the future goals of the site-selective protein modification 381 field. The ultimate goal is to obtain a complete toolkit of strategies that cover everything from 382 transition metal-mediated to photoinitiated processes that can allow for dual or reversible 383 modification that can be used in concert with other (orthogonal) methods. Cys modification 384 symbolizes the key concept of site-selective protein modification: the strength and power of the 385 technique is a result of the complementarity inherent in a substantial variety and number of 386 methods.

# 387 [H3] Other Low-Abundance Canonical Amino Acids

388

389 To supplement engineered Cys residue modification, methods targeting alternative, low-390 abundance AAs have been explored. Genetically inserted residues that have captured particular 391 interest are: Trp, Tyr, Met and His. Apart from all four being of relative low-abundance to other 392 canonical AAs, endogenous Met and Trp residues are also most commonly found in the hydrophobic interior of the protein.<sup>78,79</sup> Both low abundance and positioning can increase the 393 394 likelihood that a genetically inserted copy is the only instance of that residue available for 395 modification. In other words, genetic manipulation can allow for the formation of a unique 396 chemical handle. However, due to the lower reactivity of these four sidechains in comparison to

residues such as Cys and Lys, more extreme or biologically incompatible conditions were initially relied upon.<sup>79,80</sup> While not all of the methods for modifying these less reactive, low-abundance residues require metal mediation, it is a common approach that can ensure specificity and avoid the need for highly reactive reagents which may lead to unwanted modification elsewhere on the protein..<sup>81,82</sup>

402 Two methods reported in the last five years, one metal-mediated and the other organoradical-based, target Trp residues.<sup>79,83</sup> The metal-mediated method for Trp uses 403 404 1-[(triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1H)-one (TIPS-EBX). Catalyzed by 405 [AuCl(SMe<sub>2</sub>)], the hypervalent iodide TIPS-EBX adds regioselectively to the Trp indole (10 equiv. 406 TIPS-EBX, 5 equiv. catalyst, overnight, r.t., ~90% conversion, Figure 5i), rendering this the first 407 Trp modification method both selective for Trp and able to modify a specific site on Trp residues (i.e. modification occurs at Trp C2).83 Meanwhile, the reagents used for the organoradical, 408 409 selective modification of Trp are derivatives of 9-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-3-one-N-oxyl (keto-410 ABNO). Stabilized on the oxygen bonded to the nitrogen, the radical adds to the indole ring of Trp 411 to achieve a highly homogenous product (1-5 equiv. keto-ABNO, 0.6-3 equiv. NaNO<sub>2</sub>, 30 min, r.t., pH 7.4, 11-64% conversion, Figure 5ii).<sup>79</sup> Based on some drawbacks to these methods, 412 413 including the acidic conditions required for high conversion with keto-ABNO conjugation, metal-414 mediated strategies act as valuable alternatives for proteins and applications requiring alternate conditions.79 415

Metal-mediation is also required for certain Tyr-selective modification strategies. As the more polar Tyr tends to be at the protein surface, site-specificity is somewhat harder to achieve. However, due to low abundance, even in the case of multiple Tyr modifications, high product homogeneity is still likely.<sup>84</sup> In one instance, iron-containing hemin was reported to catalyze the

420 addition of N-methylated luminol derivatives to the ortho Tyr position in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>(1 421 equiv. hemin, 100 equiv. peroxide, 100 equiv. luminol derivative, 1 h, r.t., pH 7.4, Figure 5iii). 422 The production of a reactive cyclic diazodicarboxyamide intermediate *in situ* drives the reaction forward.<sup>84</sup> A similar intermediate has been used as the starting reagent for Tyr modification, but 423 424 the hemin-mediated method avoids the need to store unstable reagents.<sup>84,85</sup> Whether through 425 coordination or covalent binding, some methods require direct metalation of the protein rather than 426 metal mediation of the modification. A method for Tyr modification has been established recently 427 that uses rhodium(III) chloride and boronic acid to link arene complexes to the *ortho* position in 428 Tyr (50 equiv. rhodium(III) chloride and boronic acid, overnight, r.t., pH 9.4, Figure 5iv). The 429 Rh(III) Tyr complexes maintain both a metastability and controlled reversibility (via DTT or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) due to the inorganic linkage.<sup>86</sup> Although still not as highly regarded as metal-free methods, 430 431 advantages of metal-based reactions have become more apparent and have led to further 432 exploration of novel, specific interactions.

433 Although they are not yet able to augment protein functionality (aside from facilitating 434 transition metal complex interactions to induce luminescence), methods selectively targeting His 435 with Pt(II) (5 equiv. complex, 1 h, r.t., pH 7.0, Figure 5v) and Ru(II) (excess complex, 30 min, r.t., 436 pH 7.0, Figure 5vi) complexes have been developed for protein labeling and staining. While the 437 interaction between the complexes and His residues has not yet been identified as covalent or 438 noncovalent as a result of conflicting analysis results, the staining or "switch-on" probe protocols developed with these complexes only require 30 min - 1 h to reach completion.<sup>81,82</sup> As transition 439 440 metal complexes usually bind nonspecifically to proteins, these complexes may signify new 441 interactions inspire novel site-selective modification methods. Aside from Trp-, Tyr-, and His-442 selective methods, a redox activated tagging (ReACT) Met-targeted reaction was also reported 443 using oxaziridine reagents (1.1-10 equiv., 10 min, r.t., pH 7.4, >95% conversion, Figure 5vii). 444 Oxaziridine reagents have demonstrated selective oxidation of Met residues to sulfimides, which can then be used as a chemical handle for installing payloads.<sup>78</sup> Similarly targeting Met, 445 446 hypervalent iodine species were used to create a high energy sulfonium protein synthon (500-1667 447 equiv. iodonium salt, 200-667 equiv. thiourea, 50-167 equiv. TEMPO, 50-167 equiv. formic acid (~pH 3), <5 min, 0-20 °C, 84-95% conversion). While functionalization is possible upon oxidation 448 449 of Met by incorporating the desired functionality into the iodonium salt, the resulting sulfonium product also has an electrophilic diazo group that allows for further modification.<sup>87</sup> 450

451 Outside of the proteinogenic, canonical AAs, selenocysteine (Sec) has also made an 452 appearance quite recently in reports of site-specific protein modification (oxidation of Sec: 20 453 equiv. 2,2'-dithiobis(5-nitropyridine), 15 min, r.t., acidic conditions, not isolated; arene addition: 454 10 equiv. arene, 5 h, 37 °C, pH 8.0, 23% isolated yield).<sup>88</sup> This report acknowledges the ability for 455 electron-rich arenes (e.g. vancomycin) to attach at the site of an oxidized Sec residue, containing 456 an electrophilic Se-S bond. While modification of the oxidized Sec was shown directly in an 457 affibody, the insertion into the full length antibody was accomplished with a sortase A mediated method.<sup>88</sup> Even so, this method shows promise for direct modification of the oxidized Sec in full 458 459 length antibodies and larger proteins. All of the methods in this section, while creating novel 460 solutions and tools to unlock unexplored directions, represent an ongoing battle against lower 461 reactivity, lower conversion, and lower selectivity when attempting to target inserted, low-462 abundance AAs (with the exception of Cys).

463

465

### 464 [H2] Noncanonical Amino Acid Insertion

466 One of the most reliable methods to achieve site-specificity for protein modification 467 involves the genetic insertion of ncAAs, containing either unique abiotic or biotic functionalities

468 for subsequent bioorthogonal reactions. In the 1960's, translation of the genetic code was thought 469 to be rigid, but the discovery of selenocysteine (Sec) and pyrrolysine (Pyl) indicated an inherent flexibility.<sup>89</sup> Schultz and coworkers spearheaded the movement toward the broadly applicable use 470 471 of mutually orthogonal tRNA/aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (RS) pairs (i.e. no native RS 472 aminoacylates the orthogonal tRNA and no naturally occurring tRNA is modified by the 473 orthogonal RS) for recognizing and inserting ncAAs at the site of the amber nonsense codon in E. 474 coli.90-93 The amber nonsense codon (i.e. UAG) was chosen as a model method due to efficient incorporation with low levels of error.<sup>89</sup> With such promising foundational methods established, 475 476 protein modification via genetically inserted ncAAs has progressed both in insertion methodology 477 and the inclusion of novel reactive groups (Figure 6).

478 Methods for the insertion of ncAAs aside from orthogonal aminoacyl-RS/tRNA pairs for 479 amber suppression have been developed over the past few years. These incorporation strategies have focused on the reassignment of the rare arginine-tRNA sense codon (AGG); DNA 480 481 hybridization chromatography for depletion and replacement of certain tRNAs; a cell-free 482 translation system with in vitro transcripts of tRNA; and engineered orthogonal ribosomes.<sup>89,94–96</sup> 483 However, to be incorporated into a protein, the ncAA must be synthesized. A review has recently been published on strategies being developed to make this synthetic process more effective.<sup>97</sup> 484 485 While the method of insertion is crucial for expression efficiency and performing multiple 486 insertions, the abiotic, bioorthogonal chemical handles incorporated by ncAAs represent a critical 487 method for the furthering of site-selective protein modification. Because of the insertion of unique 488 chemistries that respond to a specific reactive partner and are inert to native entities in biological 489 environments, clean and efficient reactions in cells, direct functionalization of the ncAA with click 490 chemistry, and the precise placement of PTM mimics have been performed. The breadth of applications and functionalities based on the genetic incorporation of ncAAs is such that we have
only discussed here examples that we consider to be the most representative of the
accomplishments of this strategy. accomplishments

494 Recently, chemistries have been explored to install accurate PTMs or chemical handles for subsequent crosslinking.<sup>98,99</sup> Site-selectivity is vital for the evaluation of specific PTMs, as these 495 496 epigenetic modifications have different consequences when translated by corresponding "reader" proteins in varying contexts.<sup>100</sup> Understanding the role of certain PTMs, especially in histone 497 498 proteins, can be accomplished through ncAA insertion. Such insertions help decode proteinprotein interactions (PPIs), including those necessary for gene regulation and apoptosis.<sup>92</sup> A novel 499 500 ncAA, *ɛ*-*N*-2-hydroxyisobutyryl-Lys (HibK), representing a PTM observed in histories, was 501 recently incorporated in histone proteins using an orthogonal amber suppressor pyrrolysl-RS pair 502 (3.6-11.9 mg/L expression yield). By altering the charge of the Lys residue and adding steric bulk, site-specific insertion of HibK will help determine how the PTM affects chromatin structure.<sup>101</sup> 503 504 Additionally, PTMs can be inserted in protected forms if the native form is unstable or too reactive. 505 Phosphotyrosine (pTyr) was inserted as a neutral analog that is both cell permeable and stable in 506 cells (1.0-1.75 mg/L expression yield). Acidic conditions were used to reveal the native phosphotyrosine (16-48 h, 4 °C, pH 1.0-2.0).<sup>102</sup> Similarly, a protected allysine residue in the form 507 of ε-N-(4-azidobenzoxycarbonyl)-δ,ε-dehydrolysine (AcdK) was inserted into histone proteins 508 509 and epigenetic enzymes (7.0 mg/L expression yield). The AcdK undergoes reduction by 510 phosphines to reveal allysine (TCEP, 2 h, r.t., pH 7.0), which hydrolyzes and is modified by 511 reductive amination (NaCNBH<sub>3</sub>, 8 h, r.t., pH 7.0) to insert either monomethyllysine or dimethyllysine.<sup>103</sup> Both lysine-methylation and phosphorylation PTMs have significant impact on 512

513 cell cycle progression and development, and ncAA insertion has provided methods to facilitate514 their comprehension.

515 Unlike the aforementioned examples, novel photo-lysine ncAAs can insert photo-516 derivatives (4-40% incorporation), containing diazirine rings, of lysine-based PTMs. These 517 derivatives both approximate and identify possible native PPIs. The method has yet to be 518 demonstrated in a site-specific manner, but a residue specific manner based on growing cells in 519 media containing photo-lysine has confirmed its potential for selective Lys replacement in native 520 proteins. Noncovalent, transient PTM interactions can then be captured by covalent bonds formed 521 after the photo-cross-linking of the inserted photo-lysine and the protein of interest (1 equiv., 30-60 min, 25-37 °C, pH 7.5, 365 nm irradiation).<sup>13</sup> Such cross-linking methods also represent an 522 523 alternative application for ncAAs: the insertion of chemical handles for subsequent 524 functionalization.

525 The ability of ncAA insertion to install reactive groups that enable bioorthogonal 526 functionalization has been invaluable to site-selective protein modification research. Chemical 527 handles recently inserted into proteins include: fluorine-activated aryl carbamates (FPheK, 3-8 528 mg/L expression yield), aryl isothiocyanates (pNCSF, 8-16 mg/L expression yield), and thioester derivatives of Asp acid (ThioD, 8 mg/L expression yield).<sup>104-106</sup> FPheK, once inserted into a 529 530 protein, reacts with amines, thiols, and phenols to produce intra- or inter-molecular cross-linking (2 equiv. nucleophile, 8 h, 37 °C, pH 8.5).<sup>104</sup> Similarly, pNCSF forms urea-type, cross-linking 531 532 bridges between proteins or between proteins and small molecules (3-100 equiv. amine-containing nucleophile, 3-24 h, 37 °C, pH 7.4-8.5).<sup>105</sup> Meanwhile, ThioD can be modified by amine-based 533 nucleophiles (100 equiv. nucleophile, 20 h, 37 °C, pH 7.4).<sup>106</sup> While these insertions broaden the 534 535 scope of reactive groups available for modification in proteins, the sequential steps and long modification reaction times are suboptimal. Photo-mediated methods can circumnavigate these
limitations by requiring less time and avoiding the use of excess reagents.<sup>98</sup>

538 The most recent ncAAs developed for photo-mediation post insertion are 2-aryl-5-539 carboxytetrazole-lysine derivatives (ACTKs, 0.8 mg/L expression yield, 15 min, UV radiation 302 540 nm), benzyloxycarbonyl-lysine derivatives (AmAzZLys with an amine and azide functionality, 53 541 mg/L expression yield, 15 min, UV radiation 365 nm), and photoswitchable click AAs (PSCaas 542 equipped with azobenzenes modified with an alkene, ketone, or chloride; 1.2-1.8 mg/mL expression yield; 2 min; 365 nm).<sup>107–109</sup> While AmAzZLys and PSCaas both need further chemical 543 544 modification in addition to photo-cross-linking or conformation change via photoswitching, these strategies still represent a progression toward photo-based reactions in this research field.<sup>107,108</sup> Of 545 546 all the strategies discussed here, the insertion of photo-lysine represents most clearly the overall 547 direction ncAA insertion strategies are moving. As an elegant insertion of multifaceted 548 functionality capable of providing information both on PTMs but also for photo-cross-linking and 549 modification, the insertion of photo-lysine has the potential for many applications, especially once 550 site-specific insertion of these ncAAs has been achieved.<sup>13</sup>

# 551 [H2] Motif Insertion and Enzymatic Methods

To circumvent the synthesis and expression of ncAAs while retaining high levels of specificity, canonical AA motifs have been designed for insertion into protein sequences to modify specific residues. The target residue in the motif is activated by microenvironment manipulation based on the identity of the surrounding AAs or recognition of the motif by a specific enzyme.<sup>3,11,110</sup> Several reviews on enzymatic methods for site selective protein modification have been published recently.<sup>111,112</sup> Thus, the limitations inherent in targeting ncAAs or native residues based on chemical functional group alone can be overcome. However, the size and position of the inserted motif can cause challenges. In some cases, motifs can only be added at the extremities of proteins due to need for increased accessibility or are large enough that insertion compromises protein activity.<sup>113,114</sup> While the inherent specificity of enzymatic modification is a substantial advantage, the enzyme must be easily obtained and achieve high conversions to be industrially useful.<sup>3</sup>

564 Based on the advantages of motif insertion, studies exploring novel fusion proteins as well 565 as enzymatic tags have been reported recently. Even though fluorescent proteins, such as 566 SNAP-tag, HaloTag, and CLIP-tag, have been proven valuable for fusion to termini of target proteins, the attachment of a whole protein may disrupt activity.<sup>115</sup> Therefore, shorter fusion tags, 567 568 such as fluorophore-binding peptides (i.e. "fluorettes"), have taken precedence. A method to install 569 TexasRed covalently to a target protein was recently reported using a TexasRed fluorette, TR512 570 (1.5-4 equiv. probe, 10-40 equiv. TCEP, 30-60 min, 37 °C, pH 7.9, 76% conversion, 34-AA tag, 571 Figure 7i). The fluorette was added to the N-termini of target proteins via linkers of two Cys residues to ensure covalent binding to TexasRed promoted by proximity.<sup>116</sup> While this strategy 572 573 relies on exclusive fluorette specificity to certain fluorophores, other inserted motifs have more 574 general applications.

A broader substrate range for more general modification is possible with enzymemediation (e.g. tubulin Tyr ligase – TTL – and trypsiligase).<sup>114,117,118</sup> TTL attaches Tyr derivatives to the C-terminal residue in an inserted Tub-tag at the C-terminus of the target protein (200 equiv. substrate, 0.02-0.2 equiv. TTL, 1-3 h, 37 °C, pH 7, 99% conversion,14-AA tag, Figure 7ii).<sup>114</sup> Meanwhile, due to reversibility often observed in enzymatic reactions, the proteinase trypsiligase can also be used for ligation. While competing hydrolysis reactions generally limit this activity, an activation domain on trypsiligase that only allows proteinase activity when interacting with 582 specific substrates (i.e. "substrate-activated catalysis") allows for promotion of the ligase activity. 583 Therefore, the YRH recognition tag for trypsiligase can be inserted at the N-terminus of the target 584 protein, the Y-R bond broken, and the guanidinophenyl ester derivative (OGp) added (first step: 585 0.05 equiv. trypsiligase, 0.5 equiv. Zn(II) additive, 1-18 h, second step: 3-5 equiv. OGp, 30-60 586 min, 4-20 °C, pH 7.8, >95% conversion, 3-AA tag, Figure 7iii).<sup>118</sup> While still limited to 587 modification at the protein extremities, a diverse population of substrates can be used, and the 588 specificity of the enzyme can lead to higher likelihood of orthogonality with other modification 589 methods.

590 Due to the recent discovery of short sequences that each feature a particularly reactive 591 amino acid (i.e. "clever" peptides), enzymes are sometimes unnecessary for site-specific 592 modification directed by motif insertion. Most recently, the activation of specific Cys residues for 593 modification by aza-dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO), 2-cyanobenzothiazole (CBT), and perfluoroaromatic reagents have been reported.<sup>14,119,120</sup> The DBCO-tag facilitates modification of 594 595 a Cys residue by DBCO derivatives at either terminus of a target protein. Thiol–yne reactions have 596 gained interest recently as an underdeveloped click reaction but have struggled with site-597 specificity, the use of a tag enables a more selective and rapid reaction to occur (20 equiv. DBCO, 20-100 equiv. DTT, 4-16 h, 37 °C, pH 8.0, 80-90% conversion, 7-AA tag, Figure 7iv).<sup>119</sup> Similarly, 598 599 the fusion tag targeting Cys-CBT reactivity, installed at the N-terminus, avoids protection or 600 proteinase steps prior to modification (100 equiv. CBT, 200 equiv. TCEP, 1 h, 37 °C, pH 7.4-8.5, 12-71% conversion, 11-AA tag, Figure 7v).<sup>120</sup> Meanwhile, the  $\pi$ -clamp for the targeting of Cys-601 602 perfluoroaromatic reactivity achieved the goal of site-specificity with only 4 AAs in the motif (20-603 26 equiv. perfluoroaromatic, 400 equiv. TCEP, 2-6 h, 37 °C, pH 8.0, >95% conversion, 4-AA tag, 604 Figure 7vi). Based on computationally calculated peptide conformations and energy pathways, the

605 perfluoroaromatic reagents are hypothesized to be recognized by the phenylalanine residues, 606 bringing the reagent into the vicinity of the activated Cys.<sup>14</sup> Recently, another Cys activation-based tag (Dis-tag) was reported that differentiates between free Cys and disulfide bond reactivity, 607 608 allowing for the free Cys to first be modified with a maleimide reagent followed by the reduction 609 and rebridging of the disulfide bond (6-AA tag, free Cys modification: 2 equiv. maleimide reagent, 610 overnight, 15 °C, pH 7.4, 75% conversion; disulfide rebridging: 2 equiv. allyl sulfone reagent, 2 611 equiv. TCEP, 24 h, 15 °C, pH 7.8, 55% conversion). The Dis-tag allows more facile access to dual 612 modifications by incorporating both sites for modification within a 6-residue minimal distance.<sup>121</sup> 613 With no need for enzymatic mediation, the potential for insertion and modification of these Cys 614 residues at in-chain positions is higher based on the easier access of smaller molecules to sterically 615 hindered sites.

To complement Cys-targeted methods, motifs that target Lys residues have also been developed. Cyclohexene sulfonamide reagents are known to modify a specific Lys residue (Lys64) over all other endogenous Lys residues in human serum albumin (HSA). Therefore, the specific domain, HSAdI, has been fused to protein termini as a reactive platform for Lys site-specific modification (1-50 equiv., 2-24 h, 37 °C, pH 7.4, 197-AA HSAdI, Figure 7vii).<sup>115</sup>

In a similar fashion, the unusual activity of the enzyme sortase A has been used to mediate the formation of an isopeptide bond between the  $\varepsilon$ -amino group of a Lys residue in an inserted pilin domain with the threonine carboxyl group from an LPXTG (where X = any AA) tag-containing substrate. (10-100 equiv. LPXTG-containing substrate, 2 equiv. enzyme, overnight, 32 °C, 75% conversion, 11-AA domain, Figure 7viii).<sup>122</sup>

626 Beyond the targeting of Cys and Lys residues, a recently reported method targets a His-Gly-His

627 (His<sub>2</sub>-tag, 1-5 equiv. PEGylated bis-sulfone, 16 h, 20 °C, 28-39% conversion, 6-AA tag).<sup>123</sup> With

628 no need for metal chelation, bis-sulfone modified PEG chains were shown to selectively modify 629 the two inserted His residues in the tag over other His residues in the protein due to their close 630 proximity. Performing the reaction at pH = 5 avoids modification of other residues and, combined 631 with the low abundance of His, leads to high levels of selectivity. <sup>123</sup>

632 Even with the discovery of novel activities of known enzymes, enzymatic methods learned 633 previously are most commonly optimized by the positioning and length of the recognition tag. In 634 several cases, enzymatic recognition tags have been shortened or adjusted to create more reactive microenvironments.<sup>124,125</sup> In others, methods have been effective in various positions within the 635 protein structure, allowing for multiple tag insertions without affecting protein activity.<sup>113,125</sup> Even 636 637 the choice of slightly different reagents and methodology can allow for higher control over product identity as well as the option for a reversible conjugation.<sup>126</sup> Furthermore, the kinetics of enzymatic 638 639 recognition and transformation, such as for sortase A, can be manipulated and improved through engineering an intramolecular reaction.<sup>127,128</sup> Successful attempts at one-pot processes have also 640 641 been performed using several enzymes either in a tandem reaction to fine-tune the resulting 642 modification or in a simultaneous, dual modification based on orthogonal recognition tags.<sup>129,130</sup> 643 Even with these improvements, the discovery of the  $\pi$ -clamp represents an influential benchmark 644 in this field. This elegant, short, and computationally designed motif accomplishes efficient modification at protein termini and shows promise for in-chain position insertion.<sup>14</sup> Such attributes 645 646 indicate future studies will most likely include: heavier emphasis on computational methods and 647 creative manipulations of microenvironments rather than randomized peptide assays for the 648 discovery of novel insertion motifs.

- 650 [H1] Downstream Functionalization
- 651

652 When direct modification of protein sequence is not possible, functionalization can be 653 achieved by inserting or attaching areactive functional group ("a chemical handle"). An entire 654 class of selective bioorthogonal reactions has been developed for this purpose and has been 655 promoted by the introduction of ncAAs. This diverse class of reactive pairs has been critical for 656 installing unique functionalities in proteins. If insertion of a specific ncAA proves challenging due 657 to limited cellular machinery, chemical handles might also be installed by enzymatic or chemical 658 modification of a residue – though this is less attractive purely by virtue of requiring two synthetic 659 steps. Therefore, the toolkit for efficient protein modification is augmented by both new methods 660 for ncAA insertion and also the discovery of new bioorthogonal reactions.

661 However, with each major development, limitations and problems have arisen. The use of 662 large protein tags (e.g. GFP) risks affecting protein activity post conjugation, and the introduction 663 of copper-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) allowed the attachment of small molecule tags. The CuAAC is one example of "click chemistry" — chemistry defined by high 664 reaction and conversion rate, green solvent systems, low levels of byproducts, and broad functional 665 666 group applicability.<sup>131–133</sup> The potential toxicity of Cu(I), led to the development of strainpromoted (and copper-free) azide-alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC).<sup>133,134</sup> In attempts to further 667 668 improve the reaction kinetics, inverse-electron-demand Diels-Alder (IEDDA) reactions were 669 developed.<sup>134</sup> Reaction rate is a highly important criterion in the development of bioorthogonal reactions (rates span from  $10^{-5}$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to  $10^{5}$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) — molar substrate concentrations can be 670 671 naturally limited when dealing with large molecular weight biomolecules and in the case of 672 radiolabelling experiments reactions must be completed before decay is complete. . However, 673 more reactive agents used to achieve faster reactions were also observed to be less stable (e.g. trans-cyclooctyne – TCO – and tetrazine derivatives).<sup>135–137</sup> Increased reaction rate and reactivity 674

also generally led to difficulties with complementary bioorthogonal reactions for multi-site modification.<sup>137</sup> Therefore, bioorthogonal reactions must be designed keeping in mind competing needs for high reaction rates and for reagents to stable in biological environments, which differ from those simulated with *in vitro* testing.<sup>131</sup>

679 To expand the toolkit of bioorthogonal reactions available, novel reaction partners have 680 been reported with comparable reaction rates and increased stability relative to already discovered 681 methods. Most of these recent methods take advantage of alkyne or aldehyde reactivity. Based on 682 SPAAC, a strain-promoted oxidation-controlled cyclooctyne-1,2-quinone cycloaddition (SPOCQ) 683 was established to add temporal control to the reaction. Using periodate oxidation, 1.2-catechols 684 are oxidized to 1,2-quinones that perform SPOCQ cycloaddition with the strained alkyne 685 bicyclo[6.1.0]nonyne (BCN) as an inserted ncAA (4 equiv. quinone, 1 h, r.t., pH 7.4, 90% conversion,  $k = 496 \pm 70 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Figure 8i).<sup>138</sup> Also using an inserted BCN ncAA, phenyl sydnones 686 687 with a 1,3-dipole were shown to undergo a [3+2] cycloaddition to produce a stable pyrazole 688 functionality (50 equiv. sydnone, 6 h, 37 °C, pH 8.0, >95% conversion,  $k = 0.054 \pm 0.00067 \text{ M}^{-1}$ 689 s<sup>-1</sup>, Figure 8ii). Although slower than SPOCO, the sydnone-BCN reaction does display comparable 690 rates to SPAAC and cross-metathesis reactions.<sup>135</sup> An alkyne functionalized ncAA can be coupled 691 with a second, alkyne functionalized reactant using a Glaser-Hay couplingproducing a linear, 692 stable divne product (10 equiv. alkyne, >50 equiv. CuI/tetramethylethylenediamine, 4-6 h, 4 °C, 693 71-93% conversion, Figure 8iii). Glaser-Hay couplings have recently been optimized for use in 694 aqueous environment, with the installed divne product amenable to further an 695 modification.<sup>139</sup>Using a similar ncAA handle, ruthenium catalysed alkyne hydrosilylation has 696 recent been used to form a C-Si bond (10-300 equiv. hydrosilane, 0.05-4.5 equiv. catalyst, 2-24 h, 37 °C, pH 7.4-8.0, 45-50% conversion,  $k = 1.0 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Figure 8iv). The gem-disubstituted 697

vinylsilane product lends itself to additional modification, and the overall reaction is
 complementary to hydrazone formation, allowing for multiple modifications.<sup>140</sup>

700 Aldehyde and ketone reactive handles offer selective reactions and are widely tolerated electrophiles, and on this basis interest in the use of hydrazone and oxime linkages has grown.<sup>141</sup> 701 702 Many biological applications require highly stable products and thus C–C bond forming reactions 703 are desirable.<sup>142</sup> Based on this reasoning, two Knoevenagel-type condensations were reported: 704 trapped (8 equiv. pyrazolone reagent, 16 h, 37 °C, pH 5.5,  $k = 0.20 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Figure 8v) and tandem 705 (8 equiv. pyrazolone, 16 h, 37 °C, pH 7.2, Figure 8vi). Both target a formylglycine (fGly) residue, inserted by formylglycine generating enzyme (FGE).<sup>143,144</sup> Also targeting C-C bond formation, a 706 707 direct aldol reaction of 2,4-thiazolidinediones with an N-terminal aldehyde (itself produced by a 708 sodium periodate oxidation of a 1,2-aminothiol moiety) was also successful (1000 equiv., 3 h, 37 °C, pH 6.5, 83% conversion,  $k = 0.0078 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Figure 8vii).<sup>145</sup> An aldol ligation reaction catalysed 709 710 by an L-proline derivative was reported (2-20 equiv. aldehyde, 100-500 equiv. catalyst, 1-6 h, 37 °C, pH 7.5, >95% conversion,  $k = 24 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Figure 8viii). The aldehyde functionality was inserted 711 712 as a protected analogue via a thiazolidine-Lys (ThzK) ncAA, allowing for both in-chain and 713 extremity modification. An additional aldehyde functionality was then needed to complete the 714 organocatalyst-mediated protein aldol ligation (OPAL) reaction. OPAL products can then be 715 further modified through oxime ligation.<sup>142</sup>

Methods outside alkyne- and aldehyde-based reactions have also been reported. Generally, the incorporation of a new ncAA allows for these new protocols to be developed. One such ncAA is *N*-acryloyl-Lys (AcrK). Alkyl phosphine reagents were used to modify AcrK through a phospha-Michael addition, which occurs at a faster rate than thiol addition as phosphine reagents have been used to activate electrophiles in thiol-ene reactions (30-40 equiv., 1-5 h, 25-37 °C, pH

6.8-8.8, 80-90% conversion,  $k = 0.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Figure 8ix).<sup>146</sup> A quadricyclane (QC)-721 722 containing ncAA has also been successfully inserted into proteins. The strained, hydrocarbon 723 reagent was functionalized with nickel bis(dithiolene), leading to a QC ligation cleavable by UV 724 irradiation and orthogonal to common reactions with aldehyde/aminooxy and alkyne/azide pairs 725 (1-2 h, r.t., pH 7.4, Figure 8x).<sup>147</sup> Lastly, the reaction between cyclopropenones, inserted as ncAAs, 726 and triarylphosphines was shown to produce  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated amides (20 equiv., 1-4 h, 37 °C, pH 7.0, >95% conversion,  $k \ge 20 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Figure 8xi).<sup>148,149</sup> The introduction of reversibility and 727 728 orthogonality into bioorthogonal reactions paves the way for traceless or multi-site conjugation 729 applications. In particular, the increase in bioorthogonal reactions discovered raises the likelihood 730 for complementary/orthogonal reactions to achieve multiple, distinct modifications.

731 In addition to "click-type" reactions, accurate approximations of PTMs can be installed 732 using methods established for the modification of Dha, which is itself commonly installed by the reduction of an inserted Cys residue.<sup>6,150</sup> PTMs have also been inserted based on synthetic and 733 734 ncAA methods. The synthetic approach is inconvenient as the PTM can only be incorporated easily 735 into shorter peptides, and the insertion of ncAAs involves being able to obtain the appropriate genetic machinery.<sup>151</sup> Thus, the formation and modification of Dha is a much more attractive 736 737 option. As Dha insertion marks the addition of an electrophilic moiety, a type of functional group 738 not endogenous to proteins, novel methods to modify Dha sidechains at both terminal and in-chain positions have been ardently pursued.<sup>152</sup> Most recently, aza-Michael additions have been 739 740 demonstrated with amine-based nucleophiles to produce secondary and tertiary amine products (>300 equiv., 1-4 h, 25-37 °C, pH 8.0-9.0, 40-95% conversion,  $k = 6.1 \times 10^{-5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$ , Figure 741 8xii).<sup>153,154</sup> These reactions avoid the use of thiol-based nucleophiles to avoid risking the disruption 742 743 of surface disulfide bonds. While these C-N bonds are representative of a common trope in nature

744 and stable between pH 2.8-12.8, more accurate representations of PTMs need to be attached by C-745 C bonds at the site of Dha modification.<sup>153</sup> Two recently proposed radical-based mechanisms were 746 offered as solutions. The first used an alkyl-halide (either iodide- or bromide) for the initiation of 747 radical species combined with NaBH<sub>4</sub> for the prevention of unwanted oxidation and disubstitution 748 (100-2000 equiv. alkyl-halide, 30 min, 4 °C, pH 4.0-8.0, Figure 8xiii). Sidechains from nonpolar to polar and even charged PTMs were installed using this method.<sup>151</sup> The second took advantage 749 750 of O-phosphoserine (Sep) insertion followed by dephosphorylation to obtain Dha. Alkyl iodides 751 were then used to modify the Dha using the transmetalation from zinc to copper to form 752 organocopper reagents and produce a radical alkyl species (300 equiv. alkyl iodide, 300 equiv. 753 zinc powder, 100 equiv. organocopper, 30 min, r.t., pH 4.5, >80% conversion, Figure 8xiii). Using this method, all methylated forms of Lys PTMs were successfully formed.<sup>155</sup> Beyond the insertion 754 755 of PTMs into proteins, quite recently, Dha residues were harnessed as site-specific handles to 756 access isotopic replacement techniques in proteins by performing a hydrogen-deuterium exchange 757 at the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the Dha residue, a nonexchangeable site in the protein backbone (first step after 758 Dha formation in deuterated buffer: 15 equiv. Na<sub>3</sub>SPO<sub>3</sub>, 1 h, 37 °C, pH 8.6; second step: PP1 phosphatase, 1 h, 30 °C, pH 8). Aside from some limitations that result from the formation of 759 760 epimers at the deuterated site, the ability to isotopically label a protein site-specifically while 761 avoiding complex biosynthetic methods allows for great potential in the monitoring and probing of modification mechanisms.<sup>156</sup> Even with a lack of stereocontrol, the open-ended diversity for 762 763 insertion at Dha sites has the potential to both unlock unknown protein functionality and redesign 764 others.151

While the toolkit of promising novel bioorthogonal reactions continues to expand,
improvements push older bioorthogonal reactions closer to achieving a balance of reactivity and

767 stability for broader applicability. These improvements include: the discovery of a supramolecular-768 mediated azide-alkyne reaction using cucurbit[6]uril that increases the solubility of reagents and 769 facilitates reactions; the implementation of smaller, more stable 1.2,4-triazines in the place of 770 tetrazines for IEDDA; the fusing of dioxolane to trans-cyclooctene (d-TCO) for increased stability and solubility while conserving high reaction rate (on the order of  $10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ); an increase in 771 772 selectivity for a diazo-coupling reaction by using 5-hydroxytryptophan instead of Tyr for a reaction 773 with aromatic diazonium ions; and a method that takes advantage of prior knowledge on boronic 774 acid tag capabilities by using the dynamic covalent character of boronic acid interactions with diols 775 as a purification system before reacting the boronic acid with functionalized salicylhydroxamates to form a more stable product.<sup>133,136,137,157,158</sup> Even with these improvements, strategies must 776 777 continuously take into account the additional complications added when applications are meant 778 for *in vivo* use (e.g. sodium periodate could not be used for oxidation *in vivo*, but enzymatic methods for oxidation may be able to replace it).<sup>145</sup> An account highlighting the process of 779 780 developing new bioorthogonal reagents and what is still lacking in the current toolbox of reactions 781 and reactive pairs was recently published.<sup>159</sup> At some point, the motivation for bioorthogonal 782 research will have to change from attempts to find novel reactive pairs to optimizing those already 783 discovered for efficient use.147

784

# 785 [H1] Therapeutic and Diagnostic Applications

There have been many reports of applications for protein conjugation, but we have limited discussion here to only the most recent reports that pertain to diagnostics and therapeutic intervention. Recently, there have been many discoveries of novel, controlled and targeted systems for radioimaging and the delivery of therapeutic protein conjugates.<sup>160–163</sup> These systems rely on a

variety of targeting mechanisms: antibodies, nanobodies, or cyclic peptides, to gain this increased
specificity and control. Both the targeting method and type of payload can be independently varied.
In many cases, the stability and efficacy of the conjugate depends on the payload linker connecting
the components.<sup>160,164,165</sup> By combining creative targeting and payload choices, site-selective
protein modification will continue to open doors to impactful and novel biological applications.

In the field of therapeutic conjugates, ADCs capture most of the attention.<sup>160</sup> However, 795 796 novel methods have been reported recently to either improve the ADC mechanism of action or to 797 apply the idea of targeted delivery to alternate payloads (e.g. radioligands). All of these improvements require the assistance of selective protein conjugation methods.<sup>164,166–178</sup> Strategies 798 799 ranging from conventional to site-specific conjugation are still in use to drive these adjustments 800 and optimizations. Conventional, selective Lys amidation has very recently reported as a method 801 for conjugating thiol-based histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors to cetuximab antibodies 802 targeting EGFR (Table 2i). Less than 1% of the injected dosage of ADCs are expected to reach 803 and be internalized by a target tumor, and as a result payloads for ADCs have been thought to 804 require sub-nanomolar IC<sub>50</sub> values. Meanwhile, HDAC inhibitors only have about a 0.07 µM IC<sub>50</sub> 805 value. As the ADCs incorporating HDAC exhibited anti-tumor effects, this results suggests such 806 highly toxic drugs are unnecessary and that off-site toxicity may be avoided by using lower 807 potency drugs.<sup>166</sup> Other payloads outside of the class of highly cytotoxic, anticancer drugs have also been successfully conjugated to antibodies (see Table 2 entries i-vi).<sup>168–172</sup> Amazingly, the 808 809 wide range of applications represented by these antibody-payload constructs is made possible by 810 altering only the payload, conjugation chemistry, and linker, exemplifying how antibody 811 conjugates can be viewed as a modular concept.

812 Similarly, system variability is possible by moving away from the use of full-length 813 antibodies and toward smaller antibody formats or even small molecule ligands as targeting 814 mechanisms. Additionally, while some of these strategies were successful with conventional 815 conjugation methods, a higher level of specificity is observed when more homogenous conjugates 816 have been used with improvements in efficacy, pharmacokinetic properties, and diminished offsite delivery.<sup>160</sup> The instability and tissue penetration issues associated with the use of full-length 817 818 antibodies for targeting has led to the use of alternative biomolecules with affinity-based targeting abilities (Table 2 entries vii-x).<sup>173–176</sup> Rather than determining new functionality based on altering 819 820 the payload identity, emphasizing the use of smaller targeting mechanisms has led to an increase 821 in antibody-payload constructs efficacy. More specifically, such optimizations have uncovered 822 methods for safer payload delivery, more effective payload distribution, and improved 823 accessibility to medically relevant areas of the body that have not been explored by systems incorporatting full-length antibodies.<sup>173-176</sup> 824

825 In addition to methods targeting particular disease treatment, several recent studies have 826 been aimed at illuminating the unknown mechanistic aspects of ADC approaches to drug delivery or to overcome foreseeable future issues related to antibody-targeting applications.<sup>164,167</sup> As 827 828 knowledge of the internalization and subsequent intracellular trafficking of ADCs remains quite 829 limited, clever strategies are needed to enhance efficacy. One such strategy uses fluorescence 830 resonance energy transfer (FRET) pairing to gain insight (Table 2xi). By incorporating a cleavable 831 linker with one FRET fluorophore on the antibody side, attached by a maleimide-engineered Cys 832 linkage, and one on the warhead side of the linker, when the linker is cleaved, both the antibody 833 and payload can still be visualized and monitored. This method revealed the critical role that the cellular background has in internalization of the antibody.<sup>167</sup> Beyond learning more information is 834

835 the anticipation of future problems in ADC performance, including the evolution of increased drug 836 resistance. Therefore, a recently published report establishes THIO-SELENOMABs through the 837 site-specific insertion of Cys and Sec to enable dual modification of the antibody (Table 2xii). 838 Such dual modification would allow two different drugs with two different mechanisms of action 839 to be delivered to the target cells, and, thus, potentially hinder the onslaught of resistance.<sup>164</sup> 840 Similarly, a multidomain protein therapeutic has been designed by biotinylation of somatostatin 841 (SST) and a Rho inhibitor (C3, Table 2xiii). The construct (SST3-Avi-C3) is made by the binding 842 of three SSTs to avidin with one binding site left for the binding of C3. The C3 toxin can work in 843 concert with doxorubicin to increase antitumor activity through the synergy of the two different mechanisms of attack.<sup>177</sup> 844

845 Rather than the targeted delivery of a payload, a recent perspective article discusses the 846 advantages of using the antibody-antigen specific relationship for the creation of synthetic 847 vaccines. Synthetic vaccines are generally composed of antigens conjugated to proteins (using a 848 variety of techniques) which if proven viable would have a higher safety profile in comparison to 849 whole organism-based vaccines. While synthetic vaccines still take advantage of the antibody-850 antigen specific relationship, therapeutic applications involving protein conjugates also exist 851 outside of antibody-related targeting.<sup>178</sup> One such method involves the novel, N-terminal selective 852 modification of cowpea chlorotic mottle virus (CCMV) capsid, a virus-like particle, using sortase A, allowing for higher encapsulation efficiencies of therapeutics for subsequent delivery.<sup>179</sup> 853 854 Alternatively, protein-polymer conjugates, PEGylated and beyond, have been reviewed recently due to their therapeutic relevance.<sup>165</sup> Aside from therapeutic and diagnostic applications, methods 855 856 for the profiling and modulation of protein function are reliant upon the production of protein 857 conjugates. For example, methods to explore histone PTMs using protein modification have recently been reviewed. <sup>100</sup> While we have focused on therapeutic conjugates here, (with a particular emphasis on antibody-based targeting strategies), the conjugation methods presented in this review continue to be applied over many different fields of research, and the methods need to adapt and expand to meet ever-changing demands and needs.

862 Of note, the reaction conditions reported throughout this review reflect the information 863 reported and available. For example, if certain methods do not have pH or conversion values in 864 the list of conditions, it is due to the information not being clearly stated or reported.

865 866

#### 867 [H1] Summary and outlook

868 While the methods in this review exemplify the major progress made in site-selective 869 protein modification over the last five years, scientists have also been determining which direction 870 the field needs to take to move forward. Whether incorporating new reactivities or refurbishing 871 established chemistries, modification requirements are determined primarily by the complexity of 872 the targeted biological system. For the modification of endogenous AA sidechains, N-/C-terminus 873 and in-chain residue targeted methods need to be either tolerant of varying terminal AA types or 874 use the unique tertiary structure of the target protein to improve specificity. These methods have 875 the potential for high-yielding, one-step direct modification that avoids genetic engineering 876 complexities. Both the identity of the target protein and the importance of product homogeneity to 877 the application determine whether these methods may be used. On the other hand, genetic 878 manipulation of the protein before modification allows for exquisite selectivity and versatility. 879 While installed functionalities are limited based on natural translational tools and expression 880 yields, high selectivity makes genetic manipulation the most desirable method to achieve 881 homogeneity and has promoted the growth of bioorthogonal reaction types. Both endogenous AA sidechain modification and genetic manipulation have played prominent roles in biological applications, especially in therapeutic and diagnostic areas. However, such prominence has also revealed the many ways in which the field can still be improved or expanded.

885 In general, an accurate prediction of future techniques can be determined by looking to 886 new methods for peptide modification. Many protein modification methods are first proven using 887 small molecules to exhibit functional group reactivity and before moving on to peptides and 888 ultimately whole proteins. Peptide studies allow comparisons of varying AA sidechains before 889 determining selectivity in longer AA sequences with complex tertiary structure. As such, methods 890 that have been proven to show selectivity on peptides have strong potential for implementation in 891 proteins. However, due to protein tertiary structure and large size, approximate reactivity with 892 peptides does not necessarily reflect the protein interactions that will occur. A recently reported 893 method addresses this by installing the reactive groups on well-known protein interaction faces.<sup>180</sup> 894 Strategies similar to this, including computational design, calculations, and modeling, improve the efficiency and testing for new protein modification chemistries.<sup>181–183</sup> Alternatively, it is important 895 896 to acknowledge that older methods continue to develop and improve. This includes adjustments to 897 allow for the installation of several similar or varying functionalities and for use in biological applications even outside of protein conjugation.<sup>184–186</sup> While refining methodology can facilitate 898 899 the discovery of new modification chemistries, the requirements that these new chemistries must 900 fill are reliant on the purpose and demand of the application.

Overall, these motivations and future directions allow insight into how best to assess and select modification methods and conditions to produce protein conjugates. By keeping in mind the intended application, whether targeted delivery or probing of a biological system, appropriate proteins should first be identified. Subsequently, the protein then determines if direct, native

905	modi	fication techniques are possible or if a chemical handle should be installed genetically to
906	prom	note a bioorthogonal reaction. Based on this determination, a more specific method (e.g.
907	targe	ting N-/C-terminus, motif insertion, etc.) can be chosen based on how best to retain protein
908	activ	ity. If a bioorthogonal reaction is necessary, the application determines the stability, kinetics,
909	and r	reactivity needed. By highlighting specific conditions, this review aims to guide scientists to
910	helpf	ful methods based on the specific limitations of their circumstances. Between modification
911	meth	ods already available and promising discoveries on the horizon, site-selective protein
912	modi	fication will lead to versatile biological applications more capable of providing critical
913	infor	mation not only for therapeutic and diagnostic purposes, but also for profiling and modulating
914	prote	in function to probe and manipulate novel complex systems.
915		
916 917	[H1]	References
918 919 920	1.	Krall, N., da Cruz, F. P., Boutureira, O. & Bernardes, G. J. L. Site-selective protein- modification chemistry for basic biology and drug development. <i>Nat. Chem.</i> <b>8</b> , 103–113 (2016).
921 922	2.	de Graaf, A. J., Kooijman, M., Hennink, W. E. & Mastrobattista, E. Nonnatural Amino Acids for Site-Specific Protein Conjugation. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>20</b> , 1281–1295 (2009).
923 924 925	3.	Milczek, E. M. Commercial Applications for Enzyme-Mediated Protein Conjugation: New Developments in Enzymatic Processes to Deliver Functionalized Proteins on the Commercial Scale. <i>Chem. Rev.</i> <b>118</b> , 119–141 (2018).
926 927 928	4.	Takaoka, Y., Ojida, A. & Hamachi, I. Protein Organic Chemistry and Applications for Labeling and Engineering in Live-Cell Systems. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>52</b> , 4088–4106 (2013).
929 930	5.	Boutureira, O. & Bernardes, G. J. L. Advances in Chemical Protein Modification. <i>Chem. Rev.</i> <b>115,</b> 2174–2195 (2015).
931 932	6.	Gunnoo, S. B. & Madder, A. Chemical Protein Modification through Cysteine. <i>ChemBioChem</i> <b>17</b> , 529–553 (2016).
933	7.	Koniev, O. & Wagner, A. Developments and recent advancements in the field of

- 934 endogenous amino acid selective bond forming reactions for bioconjugation. *Chem. Soc.*935 *Rev.* 44, 5495–5551 (2015).
- 8. Chalker, J. M., Bernardes, G. J. L. & Davis, B. G. A 'Tag-and-Modify' Approach to SiteSelective Protein Modification. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 44, 730–741 (2011).
- 938
  9. Schmidt, M. J. & Summerer, D. A Need for Speed: Genetic Encoding of Rapid
  939
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  940
  9
- 10. Boyce, M. & Bertozzi, C. R. Bringing chemistry to life. Nat. Methods 8, 638–642 (2011).
- 942 11. Sletten, E. M. & Bertozzi, C. R. Bioorthogonal Chemistry: Fishing for Selectivity in a Sea of Functionality. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 48, 6974–6998 (2009).
- Matos, M. J. *et al.* Chemo- and Regioselective Lysine Modification on Native Proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 140, 4004–4017 (2018).
- Yang, T., Li, X.-M., Bao, X., Fung, Y. M. E. & Li, X. D. Photo-lysine captures proteins that bind lysine post-translational modifications. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 70 (2015).
- 948 14. Zhang, C. *et al.*  $\pi$ -Clamp-mediated cysteine conjugation. *Nat. Chem.* **8**, 120–128 (2016).
- Bernardim, B. *et al.* Stoichiometric and irreversible cysteine-selective protein
  modification using carbonylacrylic reagents. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13128 (2016).
- Bloom, S. *et al.* Decarboxylative alkylation for site-selective bioconjugation of native
  proteins via oxidation potentials. *Nat. Chem.* 10, 205–211 (2018).
- Rosen, C. B. & Francis, M. B. Targeting the N terminus for site-selective protein
  modification. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13, 697–705 (2017).
- Hacker, S. M. *et al.* Global profiling of lysine reactivity and ligandability in the human
  proteome. *Nat. Chem.* 9, 1181–1190 (2017).
- Huang, F., Nie, Y., Ye, F., Zhang, M. & Xia, J. Site Selective Azo Coupling for Peptide
  Cyclization and Affinity Labeling of an SH3 Protein. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 26, 1613–1622
  (2015).
- 20. Zuo, Z. & MacMillan, D. W. C. Decarboxylative Arylation of α-Amino Acids via
   Photoredox Catalysis: A One-Step Conversion of Biomass to Drug Pharmacophore. J. Am.
   *Chem. Soc.* 136, 5257–5260 (2014).
- McGrath, N. A., Andersen, K. A., Davis, A. K. F., Lomax, J. E. & Raines, R. T. Diazo
  compounds for the bioreversible esterification of proteins. *Chem. Sci.* 6, 752–755 (2015).

# 22. Totaro, K. A. *et al.* Systematic Investigation of EDC/sNHS-Mediated Bioconjugation Reactions for Carboxylated Peptide Substrates. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 27, 994–1004 (2016).

967 23. Yu, Y. et al. Chemoselective Peptide Modification via Photocatalytic Tryptophan β-968 Position Conjugation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 6797-6800 (2018). 969 24. Chen, D., Disotuar, M. M., Xiong, X., Wang, Y. & Chou, D. H.-C. Selective N-terminal 970 functionalization of native peptides and proteins. Chem. Sci. 8, 2717–2722 (2017). 971 MacDonald, J. I., Munch, H. K., Moore, T. & Francis, M. B. One-step site-specific 25. 972 modification of native proteins with 2-pyridinecarboxyaldehydes. Nat. Chem. Biol. 11, 973 326-331 (2015). 974 26. Obermeyer, A. C., Jarman, J. B. & Francis, M. B. N-Terminal Modification of Proteins 975 with o-Aminophenols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 9572-9579 (2014). 976 27. Casi, G., Huguenin-Dezot, N., Zuberbühler, K., Scheuermann, J. & Neri, D. Site-Specific 977 Traceless Coupling of Potent Cytotoxic Drugs to Recombinant Antibodies for 978 Pharmacodelivery. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 5887-5892 (2012). 979 28. Antos, J. M. et al. Site-Specific N- and C-Terminal Labeling of a Single Polypeptide 980 Using Sortases of Different Specificity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 10800–10801 (2009). 981 29. Nguyen, G. K. T., Cao, Y., Wang, W., Liu, C. F. & Tam, J. P. Site-Specific N-Terminal 982 Labeling of Peptides and Proteins using Butelase 1 and Thiodepsipeptide. Angew. Chem., 983 Int. Ed. 54, 15694–15698 (2015). 984 30. Palla, K. S., Witus, L. S., Mackenzie, K. J., Netirojjanakul, C. & Francis, M. B. 985 Optimization and Expansion of a Site-Selective N-Methylpyridinium-4-carboxaldehyde-986 Mediated Transamination for Bacterially Expressed Proteins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 987 1123-1129 (2015). 988 31. Murza, A. et al. C-Terminal Modifications of Apelin-13 Significantly Change Ligand 989 Binding, Receptor Signaling, and Hypotensive Action. J. Med. Chem. 58, 2431-2440 990 (2015). 991 32. Willwacher, J., Raj, R., Mohammed, S. & Davis, B. G. Selective Metal-Site-Guided Arylation of Proteins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 8678-8681 (2016). 992 993 33. Imiolek, M. et al. Selective Radical Trifluoromethylation of Native Residues in Proteins. 994 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 1568–1571 (2018). 995 34. Chilamari, M., Purushottam, L. & Rai, V. Site-Selective Labeling of Native Proteins by a 996 Multicomponent Approach. Chem. - Eur. J. 23, 3819–3823 (2017). 997 35. Ball, Z. T. Molecular recognition in protein modification with rhodium metallopeptides. 998 Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 25, 98–102 (2015). 999 Pentelute, B., Zhang, C., Vinogradova, E., Spokoyny, A., & Buchwald, S., Arylation 36. 1000 Chemistry for Bioconjugation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. (2018) Accepted Author 1001 Manuscript.

1002 1003	37.	Lohse, J. <i>et al.</i> Targeted Diazotransfer Reagents Enable Selective Modification of Proteins with Azides. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>28</b> , 913–917 (2017).
1004 1005 1006	38.	Vohidov, F., Coughlin, J. M. & Ball, Z. T. Rhodium(II) Metallopeptide Catalyst Design Enables Fine Control in Selective Functionalization of Natural SH3 Domains. <i>Angew.</i> <i>Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>54,</b> 4587–4591 (2015).
1007 1008	39.	Ohata, J. & Ball, Z. T. A Hexa-rhodium Metallopeptide Catalyst for Site-Specific Functionalization of Natural Antibodies. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>139</b> , 12617–12622 (2017).
1009 1010	40:	Yu, C. <i>et al.</i> Proximity-Induced Site-Specific Antibody Conjugation. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>29</b> , 3522-3526 (2018).
1011 1012 1013	41.	Adusumalli, S. R. <i>et al.</i> Single-Site Labeling of Native Proteins Enabled by a Chemoselective and Site-Selective Chemical Technology. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>140</b> , 15114-15123 (2018).
1014 1015	42.	Kuan, S. L., Wang, T. & Weil, T. Site-Selective Disulfide Modification of Proteins: Expanding Diversity beyond the Proteome. <i>Chem Eur. J.</i> <b>22</b> , 17112–17129 (2016).
1016 1017 1018	43.	Martinez-Saez, N. <i>et al.</i> Oxetane Grafts Installed Site-Selectively on Native Disulfides to Enhance Protein Stability and Activity In Vivo. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>56,</b> 14963–14967 (2017).
1019 1020	44.	Badescu, G. <i>et al.</i> Bridging Disulfides for Stable and Defined Antibody Drug Conjugates. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>25</b> , 1124–1136 (2014).
1021 1022	45.	Wang, T. <i>et al.</i> Water-soluble allyl sulfones for dual site-specific labelling of proteins and cyclic peptides. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> <b>7</b> , 3234–3239 (2016).
1023 1024 1025	46.	Walsh, S. J. <i>et al.</i> A general approach for the site-selective modification of native proteins, enabling the generation of stable and functional antibody–drug conjugates. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> (2019).
1026 1027	47.	Lee, M. T. W. <i>et al.</i> Enabling the controlled assembly of antibody conjugates with a loading of two modules without antibody engineering. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> <b>8</b> , 2056–2060 (2017).
1028 1029 1030	48.	Maruani, A., Smith, M. E. B., Miranda, E., Chester, K. A., Chudasama, V. & Caddick, S. A plug-and-play approach to antibody-based therapeutics via a chemoselective dual click strategy. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> <b>6</b> , 6645 (2015).
1031 1032 1033	49.	Greene, M. K. <i>et al.</i> Forming next-generation antibody–nanoparticle conjugates through the oriented installation of non-engineered antibody fragments. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> <b>9</b> , 79-87 (2018).
1034 1035 1036	50.	Griebenow, N., Dilmaç, A. M., Greven, S. & Bräse, S. Site-Specific Conjugation of Peptides and Proteins via Rebridging of Disulfide Bonds Using the Thiol–Yne Coupling Reaction. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>27</b> , 911–917 (2016).

1037 51. Cal, P. M. S. D., Bernardes, G. J. L. G. J. L. & Gois, P. M. P. Cysteine-Selective 1038 Reactions for Antibody Conjugation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 53, 10585–10587 (2014). 1039 52. Lyon, R. P. et al. Self-hydrolyzing maleimides improve the stability and pharmacological 1040 properties of antibody-drug conjugates. Nat. Biotechnol. 32, 1059–1062 (2014). 1041 Fontaine, S. D., Reid, R., Robinson, L., Ashley, G. W. & Santi, D. V. Long-Term 53. 1042 Stabilization of Maleimide-Thiol Conjugates. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 26, 145–152 (2015). 1043 54. Christie, R. et al. Stabilization of cysteine-linked antibody drug conjugates with N-aryl 1044 maleimides. J. Control. Release 220, 660-670 (2015). 55. Kalia, D., Malekar, P. V & Parthasarathy, M. Exocyclic Olefinic Maleimides: Synthesis 1045 1046 and Application for Stable and Thiol-Selective Bioconjugation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1047 55, 1432–1435 (2016). 1048 56. Tumey, L. N. et al. Mild Method for Succinimide Hydrolysis on ADCs: Impact on ADC 1049 Potency, Stability, Exposure, and Efficacy. Bioconjugate Chem. 25, 1871–1880 (2014). 1050 57. Morais, M. et al. Optimisation of the dibromomaleimide (DBM) platform for native 1051 antibody conjugation by accelerated post-conjugation hydrolysis. Org. Biomol. Chem. 15, 1052 2947-2952 (2017). 1053 Kalia, D., Pawar, S. P. & Thopate, J. S. Stable and Rapid Thiol Bioconjugation by Light-58. 1054 Triggered Thiomaleimide Ring Hydrolysis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 56, 1885–1889 (2017). 1055 59. Boutureira, O. et al. Site-Selective Modification of Proteins with Oxetanes. Chem. - Eur. 1056 J. 23, 6483–6489 (2017). 1057 Lee, B., Sun, S., Jiménez-moreno, E., Neves, A. A. & Bernardes, G. J. L. Site-selective 60. 1058 installation of an electrophilic handle on proteins for bioconjugation. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1059 **26,** 3060–3064 (2018). 1060 61. Kolodych, S. et al. CBTF: New Amine-to-Thiol Coupling Reagent for Preparation of 1061 Antibody Conjugates with Increased Plasma Stability. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 26, 197–200 1062 (2015). 1063 62. Koniev, O. et al. Selective Irreversible Chemical Tagging of Cysteine with 3-1064 Arylpropiolonitriles. Bioconjugate Chem. 25, 202–206 (2014). 1065 63. Embaby, A. M., Schoffelen, S., Kofoed, C., Meldal, M. & Diness, F. Rational Tuning of 1066 Fluorobenzene Probes for Cysteine-Selective Protein Modification. Angew. Chem., Int. 1067 Ed. 57, 8022–8026 (2018). 1068 64. Abbas, A., Xing, B. & Loh, T.-P. Allenamides as Orthogonal Handles for Selective 1069 Modification of Cysteine in Peptides and Proteins. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 53, 7491-7494 1070 (2014).

1071 65. Smith, N. J. et al. Fast, irreversible modification of cysteines through strain releasing 1072 conjugate additions of cyclopropenyl ketones. Org. Biomol. Chem. 16, 2164–2169 (2018). 1073 66. Canovas, C. et al. Site-Specific Dual Labeling of Proteins on Cysteine Residues with 1074 Chlorotetrazines. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 57, 10646–10650 (2018). 1075 Ariyasu, S., Hayashi, H., Xing, B. & Chiba, S. Site-Specific Dual Functionalization of 67. 1076 Cysteine Residue in Peptides and Proteins with 2-Azidoacrylates. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 28, 1077 897-902 (2017). 1078 68. Vinogradova, E. V. Zhang, C., Spokovny, A. M., Pentelute, B. L. & Buchwald, S. L. 1079 Organometallic palladium reagents for cysteine bioconjugation. Nature 526, 687-691 1080 (2015). 1081 69. Vara, B. A. et al. Scalable thioarylation of unprotected peptides and biomolecules under 1082 Ni/photoredox catalysis. Chem. Sci. 9, 336-344 (2018). 1083 Messina, M. S. et al. Organometallic Gold(III) Reagents for Cysteine Arylation. J. Am. 70. 1084 Chem. Soc. 140, 7065–7069 (2018). 1085 Rojas, A. J., Pentelute, B. L. & Buchwald, S. L. Water-Soluble Palladium Reagents for 71. 1086 Cysteine S-Arylation under Ambient Aqueous Conditions. Org. Lett. 19, 4263–4266 1087 (2017). 1088 72. Kubota, K., Dai, P., Pentelute, B. L. & Buchwald, S. L. Palladium Oxidative Addition Complexes for Peptide and Protein Cross-linking. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 3128–3133 1089 1090 (2018).1091 Zhang, Y. et al. Thiol Specific and Tracelessly Removable Bioconjugation via Michael 73. 1092 Addition to 5-Methylene Pyrrolones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 6146–6151 (2017). 1093 74. Bandyopadhyay, A. et al. Fast and selective labeling of N-terminal cysteines at neutral pH 1094 via thiazolidino boronate formation. Chem. Sci. 7, 4589-4593 (2016). 1095 75. Arumugam, S. et al. Selective and reversible photochemical derivatization of cysteine 1096 residues in peptides and proteins. Chem. Sci. 5, 1591-1598 (2014). Yu, J., Yang, X., Sun, Y. & Yin, Z. Highly Reactive and Tracelessly Cleavable Cysteine-1097 76. 1098 Specific Modification of Proteins via 4-Substituted Cyclopentenone. Angew. Chem., Int. 1099 *Ed.* **57,** 11598–11602 (2018). 1100 77. Faustino, H., Silva, M. J. S. A., Veiros, L. F., Bernardes, G. J. L. & Gois, P. M. P. 1101 Iminoboronates are efficient intermediates for selective, rapid and reversible N-terminal cysteine functionalisation. Chem. Sci. 7, 5052-5058 (2016). 1102 1103 Lin, S. et al. Redox-based reagents for chemoselective methionine bioconjugation. 78. 1104 Science 355, 597–602 (2017).

1105 1106	79.	Seki, Y. <i>et al.</i> Transition Metal-Free Tryptophan-Selective Bioconjugation of Proteins. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>138</b> , 10798–10801 (2016).
1107 1108	80.	Wang, L., Gruzdys, V., Pang, N., Meng, F. & Sun, XL. Primary arylamine-based tyrosine-targeted protein modification. <i>RSC Adv.</i> <b>4</b> , 39446–39452 (2014).
1109 1110 1111	81.	Wong, CY. <i>et al.</i> A Ruthenium(II) Complex Supported by Trithiacyclononane and Aromatic Diimine Ligand as Luminescent Switch-On Probe for Biomolecule Detection and Protein Staining. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> <b>4</b> , 7136 (2014).
1112 1113 1114	82.	Solomatina, A. I. <i>et al.</i> Coordination to Imidazole Ring Switches on Phosphorescence of Platinum Cyclometalated Complexes: The Route to Selective Labeling of Peptides and Proteins via Histidine Residues. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>28</b> , 426–437 (2017).
1115 1116 1117	83.	Hansen, M. B., Hubálek, F., Skrydstrup, T. & Hoeg-Jensen, T. Chemo- and Regioselective Ethynylation of Tryptophan-Containing Peptides and Proteins. <i>Chem Eur. J.</i> <b>22,</b> 1572–1576 (2015).
1118 1119	84.	Sato, S., Nakamura, K. & Nakamura, H. Tyrosine-Specific Chemical Modification with in Situ Hemin-Activated Luminol Derivatives. <i>ACS Chem. Biol.</i> <b>10</b> , 2633–2640 (2015).
1120 1121 1122	85.	Ban, H., Gavrilyuk, J. & Barbas, C. F. Tyrosine Bioconjugation through Aqueous Ene- Type Reactions: A Click-Like Reaction for Tyrosine. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>132</b> , 1523–1525 (2010).
1123 1124	80.	Ohata, J., Miller, M. K., Mountain, C. M., Vohidov, F. & Ball, Z. T. A Three-Component Organometallic Tyrosine Bioconjugation. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> 57, 2827–2830 (2018).
1125 1126	87.	Taylor, M. T., Nelson, J. E., Suero, M. G. & Gaunt, M. J. A protein functionalization platformbased on selective reactions at methionine residues. <i>Nature</i> <b>562</b> , 563-568 (2018).
1127 1128	88.	Cohen, D. T. <i>et al.</i> A chemoselective strategy for late-stage functionalization of complex small molecules with polypeptides and proteins. <i>Nat. Chem.</i> (2018).
1129 1130	89.	Cui, Z. <i>et al.</i> Combining Sense and Nonsense Codon Reassignment for Site-Selective Protein Modification with Unnatural Amino Acids. <i>ACS Synth. Biol.</i> <b>6</b> , 535–544 (2017).
1131 1132	90.	Xie, J. & Schultz, P. G. A chemical toolkit for proteins — an expanded genetic code. <i>Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.</i> <b>7</b> , 775 (2006).
1133 1134	91.	Haney, C. M., Wissner, R. F. & Petersson, E. J. Multiply labeling proteins for studies of folding and stability. <i>Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.</i> <b>28</b> , 123–130 (2015).
1135 1136	92.	Wang, L., Brock, A., Herberich, B. & Schultz, P. G. Expanding the Genetic Code of Escherichia coli. <i>Science</i> <b>292</b> , 498 (2001).
1137 1138	93.	Lang, K. & Chin, J. W. Cellular Incorporation of Unnatural Amino Acids and Bioorthogonal Labeling of Proteins. <i>Chem. Rev.</i> <b>114</b> , 4764–4806 (2014).

- 1139 94. Lee, B. S. *et al.* Incorporation of Unnatural Amino Acids in Response to the AGG Codon.
  1140 ACS Chem. Biol. 10, 1648–1653 (2015).
- 1141 95. Iwane, Y. *et al.* Expanding the amino acid repertoire of ribosomal polypeptide synthesis
  1142 via the artificial division of codon boxes. *Nat. Chem.* 8, 317 (2016).
- Wang, K. *et al.* Optimized orthogonal translation of unnatural amino acids enables
  spontaneous protein double-labelling and FRET. *Nat. Chem.* 6, 393 (2014).
- 1145 97. Almhjell, P. J., Boville, C. E. & Arnold, F. H. Engineering enzymes for noncanonical amino acid synthesis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* (2018).
- Schmidt, M. J. & Summerer, D. Genetic code expansion as a tool to study regulatory processes of transcription. *Front. Chem.* 2, 7 (2014).
- 1149 99. Ovaa, H. & wals, kim. Unnatural amino acid incorporation in E. coli: current and future 1150 applications in the design of therapeutic proteins. *Front. Chem.* **2**, 15 (2014).
- 1151 100. Nadal, S., Raj, R., Mohammed, S. & Davis, B. G. Synthetic post-translational
  1152 modification of histories. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 45, 35–47 (2018).
- 1153 101. Xiao, H., Xuan, W., Shao, S., Liu, T. & Schultz, P. G. Genetic incorporation of ε-N-2hydroxyisobutyryl-lysine into recombinant histones. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 10, 1599–1603
  (2015).
- 1156 102. Hoppmann, C. *et al.* Site-specific incorporation of phosphotyrosine using an expanded
  1157 genetic code. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13, 842 (2017).
- 103. Wang, Z. A. *et al.* A Genetically Encoded Allysine for the Synthesis of Proteins with SiteSpecific Lysine Dimethylation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 56, 212–216 (2016).
- 1160 104. Xuan, W., Shao, S. & Schultz, P. G. Protein Crosslinking by Genetically Encoded
  1161 Noncanonical Amino Acids with Reactive Aryl Carbamate Side Chains. *Angew. Chem.*,
  1162 *Int. Ed.* 56, 5096–5100 (2017).
- 1163 105. Xuan, W., Li, J., Luo, X. & Schultz, P. G. Genetic Incorporation of a Reactive
  1164 Isothiocyanate Group into Proteins. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 55, 10065–10068 (2016).
- 1165 106. Xuan, W. *et al.* Site-Specific Incorporation of a Thioester Containing Amino Acid into
   Proteins. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 13, 578–581 (2018).
- 1167 107. Tian, Y. *et al.* Genetically Encoded 2-Aryl-5-carboxytetrazoles for Site-Selective Protein
   1168 Photo-Cross-Linking. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 139, 6078–6081 (2017).

# 1169 108. Yamaguchi, A. *et al.* Incorporation of a Doubly Functionalized Synthetic Amino Acid into 1170 Proteins for Creating Chemical and Light-Induced Conjugates. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 27, 1171 198–206 (2016).

1172 1173	109.	Hoppmann, C. <i>et al.</i> Genetically Encoding Photoswitchable Click Amino Acids in Escherichia coli and Mammalian Cells. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>53</b> , 3932–3936 (2014).
1174 1175	110.	Rashidian, M., Dozier, J. K. & Distefano, M. D. Enzymatic Labeling of Proteins: Techniques and Approaches. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>24</b> , 1277–1294 (2013).
1176 1177 1178	111.	Zhang, Y., Park, KY., Suazo, K. F. & Distefano, M. D. Recent progress in enzymatic protein labelling techniques and their applications. <i>Chem. Soc. Rev.</i> (2018) Advance Article.
1179 1180	112.	Li, C. & Wang, LX. Chemoenzymatic Methods for the Synthesis of Glycoproteins. <i>Chem. Rev.</i> <b>118</b> , 8359-8413 (2018).
1181 1182 1183	113.	Plaks, J. G., Falatach, R., Kastantin, M., Berberich, J. A. & Kaar, J. L. Multisite Clickable Modification of Proteins Using Lipoic Acid Ligase. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>26</b> , 1104–1112 (2015).
1184 1185	114.	Schumacher, D. <i>et al.</i> Versatile and Efficient Site-Specific Protein Functionalization by Tubulin Tyrosine Ligase. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>54,</b> 13787–13791 (2015).
1186 1187	115.	Patterson, J. T. <i>et al.</i> Human Serum Albumin Domain I Fusion Protein for Antibody Conjugation. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>27</b> , 2271–2275 (2016).
1188 1189 1190	116.	Sunbul, M., Nacheva, L. & Jäschke, A. Proximity-Induced Covalent Labeling of Proteins with a Reactive Fluorophore-Binding Peptide Tag. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>26</b> , 1466–1469 (2015).
1191 1192	117.	Meyer, C., Liebscher, S. & Bordusa, F. Selective Coupling of Click Anchors to Proteins via Trypsiligase. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>27</b> , 47–53 (2016).
1193 1194	118.	Liebscher, S. <i>et al.</i> N-Terminal Protein Modification by Substrate-Activated Reverse Proteolysis. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>53,</b> 3024–3028 (2014).
1195 1196	119.	Zhang, C., Dai, P., Vinogradov, A. A., Gates, Z. P. & Pentelute, B. L. Site-Selective Cysteine – Cyclooctyne Conjugation. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>57</b> , 6459–6463 (2018).
1197 1198	120.	Ramil, C. P., An, P., Yu, Z. & Lin, Q. Sequence-Specific 2-Cyanobenzothiazole Ligation. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>138</b> , 5499–5502 (2016).
1199 1200	121.	Agrawalla, B. K. <i>et al.</i> Chemoselective Dual Labeling of Native and Recombinant Proteins. <i>Bioconjugate Chem.</i> <b>29</b> , 29-34 (2018).
1201 1202 1203	122.	Bellucci, J. J., Bhattacharyya, J. & Chilkoti, A. A Noncanonical Function of Sortase Enables Site-Specific Conjugation of Small Molecules to Lysine Residues in Proteins. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>54</b> , 441–445 (2015).
1204 1205	123.	Peciak, K., Laurine, E., Tommasi, R., Choi, Jw. & Brocchini, S. Site-selective protein conjugation at histidine. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> (2019) Accepted Author Manuscript.

1206 124 Grünewald, J. et al. Optimization of an Enzymatic Antibody–Drug Conjugation Approach 1207 Based on Coenzyme A Analogs. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 28, 1906–1915 (2017). 1208 125. Grünewald, J. et al. Efficient Preparation of Site-Specific Antibody–Drug Conjugates 1209 Using Phosphopantetheinyl Transferases. Bioconjugate Chem. 26, 2554–2562 (2015). 1210 126. Montanari, E. et al. Tyrosinase-Mediated Bioconjugation. A Versatile Approach to 1211 Chimeric Macromolecules. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 29, 2550–2560 (2018). 1212 127. Siegmund, V. et al. Locked by Design: A Conformationally Constrained 1213 Transglutaminase Tag Enables Efficient Site-Specific Conjugation. Angew. Chem., Int. 1214 Ed. 54, 13420–13424 (2015). 1215 128. Wang, H. H., Altun, B., Nwe, K. & Tsourkas, A. Proximity-Based Sortase-Mediated 1216 Ligation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 56, 5349-5352 (2017). 1217 129. Struck, A.-W. et al. An Enzyme Cascade for Selective Modification of Tyrosine Residues 1218 in Structurally Diverse Peptides and Proteins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 3038-3045 (2016). 1219 130. Zhang, Y. et al. Simultaneous Site-Specific Dual Protein Labeling Using Protein 1220 Prenyltransferases. Bioconjugate Chem. 26, 2542–2553 (2015). 1221 131. King, M. & Wagner, A. Developments in the Field of Bioorthogonal Bond Forming 1222 Reactions—Past and Present Trends. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 25, 825–839 (2014). 1223 132. Haldon, E., Nicasio, M. C. & Perez, P. J. Copper-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloadditions 1224 (CuAAC): an update. Org. Biomol. Chem. 13, 9528-9550 (2015). 1225 133. Finbloom, J. A., Han, K., Slack, C. C., Furst, A. L. & Francis, M. B. Cucurbit[6]uril-1226 Promoted Click Chemistry for Protein Modification. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 9691–9697 1227 (2017). 1228 134. Yang, M., Li, J. & Chen, P. R. Transition metal-mediated bioorthogonal protein chemistry 1229 in living cells. Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 6511-6526 (2014). 1230 135. Wallace, S. & Chin, J. W. Strain-promoted sydnone bicyclo[6.1.0]nonyne cycloaddition. 1231 Chem. Sci. 5, 1742–1744 (2014). 1232 Darko, A. et al. Conformationally strained trans-cyclooctene with improved stability and 136. 1233 excellent reactivity in tetrazine ligation. Chem. Sci. 5, 3770-3776 (2014). 1234 137. Kamber, D. N. et al. 1,2,4-Triazines Are Versatile Bioorthogonal Reagents. J. Am. Chem. 1235 Soc. 137, 8388–8391 (2015). 1236 138. Borrmann, A. et al. Strain-Promoted Oxidation-Controlled Cyclooctyne-1,2-Quinone 1237 Cycloaddition (SPOCQ) for Fast and Activatable Protein Conjugation. *Bioconjugate* 1238 Chem. 26, 257–261 (2015).

1239 1240 1241	139.	Lampkowski, J. S., Villa, J. K., Young, T. S. & Young, D. D. Development and Optimization of Glaser–Hay Bioconjugations. <i>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.</i> <b>54</b> , 9343–9346 (2015).
1242 1243	140.	Kwan, T. T. L. <i>et al.</i> Protein modification via alkyne hydrosilylation using a substoichiometric amount of ruthenium(II) catalyst. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> <b>8</b> , 3871–3878 (2017).
1244 1245	141.	Kölmel, D. K. & Kool, E. T. Oximes and Hydrazones in Bioconjugation: Mechanism and Catalysis. <i>Chem. Rev.</i> <b>117,</b> 10358–10376 (2017).
1246 1247	142.	Spears, R. J. <i>et al.</i> Site-selective C–C modification of proteins at neutral pH using organocatalyst-mediated cross aldol ligations. <i>Chem. Sci.</i> <b>9</b> , 5585–5593 (2018).
1248 1249	143.	Kudirka, R. <i>et al.</i> Generating site-specifically modified proteins via a versatile and stable nucleophilic carbon ligation. <i>Chem. Biol.</i> <b>22</b> , 293–298 (2015).
1250 1251	144.	Kudirka, R. A. <i>et al.</i> Site-Specific Tandem Knoevenagel Condensation-Michael Addition to Generate Antibody-Drug Conjugates. <i>ACS Med. Chem. Lett.</i> <b>7</b> , 994–998 (2016).
1252 1253	145.	Wang, P. <i>et al.</i> Site-Specific Chemical Modification of Peptide and Protein by Thiazolidinediones. <i>Org. Lett.</i> <b>17</b> , 1361–1364 (2015).
1254 1255	146.	Lee, YJ., Kurra, Y. & Liu, W. R. Phospha-Michael Addition as a New Click Reaction for Protein Functionalization. <i>ChemBioChem</i> <b>17</b> , 456–461 (2016).
1256 1257 1258	147.	Tomlin, F. M. <i>et al.</i> Site-specific incorporation of quadricyclane into a protein and photocleavage of the quadricyclane ligation adduct. <i>Bioorg. Med. Chem.</i> (2018). doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2018.04.009
1259 1260 1261	148.	Row, R. D., Shih, HW., Alexander, A. T., Mehl, R. A. & Prescher, J. A. Cyclopropenones for Metabolic Targeting and Sequential Bioorthogonal Labeling. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>139</b> , 7370–7375 (2017).
1262 1263	149.	Shih, HW. & Prescher, J. A. A Bioorthogonal Ligation of Cyclopropenones Mediated by Triarylphosphines. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>137</b> , 10036–10039 (2015).
1264 1265 1266	150.	Bernardes, G. J. L., Chalker, J. M., Errey, J. C. & Davis, B. G. Facile Conversion of Cysteine and Alkyl Cysteines to Dehydroalanine on Protein Surfaces: Versatile and Switchable Access to Functionalized Proteins. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>130</b> , 5052–5053 (2008).
1267 1268	151.	Wright, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Posttranslational mutagenesis: A chemical strategy for exploring protein side-chain diversity. <i>Science</i> <b>354</b> , 597 (2016).
1269 1270	152.	Spicer, C. D. & Davis, B. G. Selective chemical protein modification. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 5, 4740 (2014).
1271 1272	153.	Freedy, A. M. <i>et al.</i> Chemoselective Installation of Amine Bonds on Proteins through Aza-Michael Ligation. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>139</b> , 18365–18375 (2017).

- 1273 154. Dadova, J. *et al.* Precise probing of residue roles by post-translational  $\beta$ ,γ-C,N aza-1274 Michael mutagenesis in enzyme active sites. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **3**, 1168–1173 (2017).
- 1275 155. Yang, A. *et al.* A chemical biology route to site-specific authentic protein modifications.
   1276 Science 354, 623–626 (2016).
- 1277 156. Galan, S. R. G. *et al.* Post-translational site-selective protein backbone α-deuteration. *Nat.*1278 *Chem. Biol.* 14, 955-963 (2018).
- 1279 157. Addy, P. S., Erickson, S. B., Italia, J. S. & Chatterjee, A. A Chemoselective Rapid Azo1280 Coupling Reaction (CRACR) for Unclickable Bioconjugation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 139, 11670–11673 (2017).
- 1282 158. Zegota, M. M., Wang, T., Seidler, C., Wah Ng, D. Y., Kuan, S. L. & Weil, T. "Tag and Modify" Protein Conjugation with Dynamic Covalent Chemistry. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 29, 2665-2670 (2018).
- 1285 159. Row, R. D. & Prescher, J. A. Constructing New Bioorthogonal Reagents and Reactions.
   1286 Acc. Chem. Res. 51, 1073-1081 (2018).
- 1287 160. Beck, A., Goetsch, L., Dumontet, C. & Corvaia, N. Strategies and challenges for the next
  1288 generation of antibody-drug conjugates. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 16, 315–337 (2017).
- 1289 161. Chudasama, V., Maruani, A. & Caddick, S. Recent advances in the construction of antibody-drug conjugates. *Nat. Chem.* 8, 114–119 (2016).
- 1291 162. Akkapeddi, P. *et al.* Construction of homogeneous antibody-drug conjugates using site-1292 selective protein chemistry. *Chem. Sci.* **7**, 2954–2963 (2016).
- 1293 163. Kelkar, S. S. & Reineke, T. M. Theranostics: Combining Imaging and Therapy.
  1294 *Bioconjugate Chem.* 22, 1879–1903 (2011).
- 1295 164. Li, X. *et al.* Site-Specific Dual Antibody Conjugation via Engineered Cysteine and
  1296 Selenocysteine Residues. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 26, 2243–2248 (2015).
- 1297 165. Pelegri-O'Day, E. M., Lin, E.-W. & Maynard, H. D. Therapeutic Protein–Polymer
  1298 Conjugates: Advancing Beyond PEGylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 14323–14332
  1299 (2014).
- 1300 166. Cini, E. *et al.* Antibody drug conjugates (ADCs) charged with HDAC inhibitor for
   1301 targeted epigenetic modulation. *Chem. Sci.* 9, 6490–6496 (2018).
- 1302 167. Lee, B.-C. *et al.* FRET Reagent Reveals the Intracellular Processing of Peptide-Linked
  1303 Antibody–Drug Conjugates. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 29, 2468–2477 (2018).
- 1304 168. Wang, R. E. *et al.* An Immunosuppressive Antibody-dasatinib conjugate and its Lck
  1305 inhibitory activity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137, 3229–3232 (2015).

- 1306 169. Lehar, S. M. *et al.* Novel antibody-antibiotic conjugate eliminates intracellular S. aureus.
   1307 *Nature* 527, 323–328 (2015).
- 1308 170. Balintová, J., Welter, M. & Marx, A. Antibody–nucleotide conjugate as a substrate for
  1309 DNA polymerases. *Chem. Sci.* 9, 7122–7125 (2018).
- 1310
  171. Adumeau, P., Davydova, M. & Zeglis, B. M. Thiol-Reactive Bifunctional Chelators for
  1311
  1312
  1312
  1312
  1313
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1315
  1314
  1314
  1315
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1315
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1315
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314
  1314</l
- 1313 172. Lerchen, H.-G. *et al.* Antibody–Drug Conjugates with Pyrrole-Based KSP Inhibitors as
  1314 the Payload Class. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 57, 15243-15247 (2018).
- 1315 173. Kelemen, R. E. *et al.* A Precise Chemical Strategy To Alter the Receptor Specificity of the
  1316 Adeno-Associated Virus. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 55, 10645–10649 (2016).
- 1317 174. Greineder, C. F. *et al.* Site-Specific Modification of Single-Chain Antibody Fragments for
  1318 Bioconjugation and Vascular Immunotargeting. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 29, 56–66 (2018).
- 1319 175. Wu, T. *et al.* Transglutaminase mediated PEGylation of nanobodies for targeted nano1320 drug delivery. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 6, 1011–1017 (2018).
- 1321 176. Brasino, M. *et al.* Anti-EGFR Affibodies with Site-Specific Photo-Cross-Linker
  1322 Incorporation Show Both Directed Target-Specific Photoconjugation and Increased
  1323 Retention in Tumors. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 11820-11828 (2018).
- 1324 177. Kuan, S. L. *et al.* Boosting Antitumor Drug Efficacy with Chemically Engineered
  1325 Multidomain Proteins. *Adv. Sci.* 5, 1701036-1701036 (2018).
- 1326 178. Jones, L. H. Recent advances in the molecular design of synthetic vaccines. *Nat. Chem.* 7, 952 (2015).
- 1328 179. Schoonen, L., Pille, J., Borrmann, A., Nolte, R. J. M. & van Hest, J. C. M. Sortase A1329 Mediated N-Terminal Modification of Cowpea Chlorotic Mottle Virus for Highly
  1330 Efficient Cargo Loading. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 26, 2429–2434 (2015).
- 1331 180. Kobayashi, T., Hoppmann, C., Yang, B. & Wang, L. Using Protein-Confined Proximity
  1332 To Determine Chemical Reactivity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 14832–14835 (2016).
- 1333 181. Strumillo, M. & Beltrao, P. Towards the computational design of protein post-translational regulation. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 23, 2877–2882 (2015).
- 1335 182. Wagner, J. A., Mercadante, D., Nikić, I., Lemke, E. A. & Gräter, F. Origin of
  1336 Orthogonality of Strain-Promoted Click Reactions. *Chem. Eur. J.* 21, 12431–12435
  1337 (2015).
- 1338 183. Narayanam, M. K., Liang, Y., Houk, K. N. & Murphy, J. M. Discovery of new mutually
  1339 orthogonal bioorthogonal cycloaddition pairs through computational screening. *Chem. Sci.*

- **7,** 1257–1261 (2016).
- 1341 184. Knall, A.-C., Hollauf, M., Saf, R. & Slugovc, C. A trifunctional linker suitable for
  1342 conducting three orthogonal click chemistries in one pot. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 14, 10576–
  1343 10580 (2016).
- 1344 185. Bryden, F. *et al.* Assembly of High-Potency Photosensitizer–Antibody Conjugates
  1345 through Application of Dendron Multiplier Technology. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 29, 176–181
  1346 (2018).
- 1347 186. Cazzamalli, S., Dal Corso, A., Widmayer, F. & Neri, D. Chemically Defined Antibody–
  1348 and Small Molecule–Drug Conjugates for in Vivo Tumor Targeting Applications: A
  1349 Comparative Analysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 1617–1621 (2018).
- 1350

#### 1351 Acknowledgements

1352 We thank FCT Portugal (FCT Investigator to G.J.L.B., IF/00624/2015 and Postdoctoral

1353 Fellowship to P.M.S.D.C.), the Herchel Smith Fund (PhD Studentship to E.A.H.) and the EU

1354 Horizon 2020 programme (Marie Skłodowska-Curie ITN grant agreement No. 675007 to G.J.L.B.

1355 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie IEF grant agreement No. 702574 to B.L.O.) for funding. G.J.L.B. is

a Royal Society University Research Fellow (UF110046 and URF\R\180019) and the recipient of

- a European Research Council Starting Grant (TagIt, grant agreement No. 676832).
- 1358

#### 1359 Author contributions

1360 All authors made substantial contributions to the discussion and organization of the content as well

1361 as reviewed and/or edited the manuscript before submission. Additionally, E.A.H. conducted the

1362 research, wrote the main body of the paper, edited the figures, and put the complete manuscript

1363 together; P.M.S.D.C, played an integral role in writing the introduction, researching, and providing

1364 content guidance at all stages of manuscript preparation; and B.L.O. designed and created the

1365 figures. G.J.L.B. coordinated the research and writing.

## **Competing interests**

1368 The authors declare no competing interests.

# **Publisher's note**

- 1372 Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and
- 1373 institutional affiliations.

- 1377 Figure captions
- 1378

**Figure 1** | **Juxtaposition of classical and modern protein modification methods.** On the left:

classical methods focused primarily on the modification of Cys and Lys sidechains. The
 reactions most commonly focused on and depicted here include: thiol-exchange (i), alkylation of

 $\alpha$ -halocarbonyl electrophiles (ii), maleimide Michael addition (iii), NHS-ester amidation (iv),

isothiocvanate or isocvanate addition (v), and reductive amination (vi).<sup>6,7</sup> On the right: modern

1384 methods focused on the improvement of selectivity, reaction efficiency, and generality of

1385 application. The general modification classifications discussed in this review, as shown by

1386 specific examples, are represented: native protein/endogenous AA sidechain modification,

1387 engineered canonical AA insertion, engineered ncAA insertion, and motif/tag insertion.<sup>13–16</sup>

1388

**Figure 2** | **N-/C-terminal selective protein modification.** Above: C-terminal modification

based on a decarboxylative strategy facilitated by a photocatalyst (i).<sup>16</sup> Below: N-terminal

1391 modification techniques based on oxidative (ii), direct (iii), reductive (iv), or enzymatic type

1392 reactions (v).<sup>24–26,29</sup> To mention a few more specific points on each reaction: (i) While not tested

1393 with glycine, phenylalanine, or proline as C-terminal residues, desired products were observed

1394 for all other AAs with lower yields for histidine (His), tyrosine (Tyr), and lysine (Lys) terminal

AAs.<sup>16</sup> (ii) Best with proline in the terminal position (only residue allowing for high yields at the protein level) and not performed with cysteine in the terminal position unless only cysteine in

1397 protein sequence as method modifies cysteine residues regardless of terminal position.<sup>26</sup> (iii)

1398 This method has been exhibited with all AAs in terminal positions but cannot be performed on

proteins with proline in the second position.<sup>25</sup> (iv) With the exception of cysteine as the terminal residue due to thiazolidine side products, all types of terminal AAs achieve high yields.<sup>24</sup> (v)

Butelase 1 can interact with a variety of terminal AA types aside from proline and prefers the

1402 second position to be either, isoleucine, valine, leucine, or cysteine. Most of the terminal AA

- 1403 type compatibility types were performed on peptide platforms.<sup>29</sup>
- 1404

1405 Figure 3 | In-chain endogenous sidechain modification. Methods for endogenous sidechain 1406 modification of in-chain residues. The recent discoveries and reports of successful strategies 1407 have followed three different trends: modification based on selection of conditions and reagents 1408 to target the most reactive instance of a repeated sidechain, site-selective modification based on 1409 the direction of metals and ligands to native binding sites, and modification via disulfide 1410 rebridging. Each trend has several valuable examples that have been established in the last five 1411 years and are represented. Reagent- or condition-based targeting of the most reactive instance of 1412 an AA: selective trifluoromethylation of tryptophan residues (i), sulforyl acrylate modification 1413 of most reactive lysine (ii), a three-component reaction for the modification of a single lysine 1414 (iii).<sup>12,33,34</sup> Ligand- or metal-binding site directed methods: Cys arylation based on proximity to 1415 Asp-regulated binding site (iv), selective modification of Tyr residues proximal to the SH3 1416 binding domain (v), diazotransfer to lysines proximal to ligand site (vi), metallopeptide targeted Asp modification in antibodies using Fc-binding peptides (vii).<sup>19,32,37,39</sup> Disulfide rebridging: by 1417 1418 oxetanes (viii), by water-soluble allyl sulfones (ix), by dibromide-based moieties (x), and by 1419 thiol-yne coupling (xi).<sup>43,45–50</sup> The colored highlights represent different reactive handles or 1420 functionalities added to the protein by the conjugation reactions.

1423 for the installation of chemical handles in proteins, Cys residues have the broadest reactivity 1424 profile as represented by these examples. The methods have been separated into three classifying 1425 groups: metal-free, metal-assisted, and reversible type reactions. As the eventual application for 1426 the bioconjugate determines the type of chemistry linking the added functionality and the 1427 protein, these three categories all represent different mechanistic strategies that would allow 1428 these methods to be useful under various circumstances (e.g. cleavable linkers for ADCs to 1429 prevent reliance on release of the attached drug by native cell processes). Metal-free methods: 1430 addition of alkyl bromide electrophilic handles via an isobutylene and oxetane type chemical 1431 handle (i and ii), amine functionalization followed by addition of CBTF (iii), S-arylation by 1432 fluorobenzene derivatives (iv), addition of carbonylacrylic reagents (v), allenamide addition (vi), 1433 cyclopropenyl ketone addition (vii), chlorotetrazine addition (viii), and 2-azidoacrylate addition 1434 (ix).<sup>15,59–61,63–67</sup> Metal-assisted methods: S-arvlation by way of Au(III) (x) or Pd(II) catalyst

Figure 4 | Modification methods for engineered Cys residues. Out of all of the canonical AAs

- 1436 cyclopentenone (xiii), 2-FPBA addition at the N-terminus (xiv), and the addition of NQMPs
- 1437 (xv).<sup>73–76</sup>
- 1438

1422

1439 Figure 5 | Insertion of canonical amino acids aside from Cys. Site-selective protein 1440 modification based on the genetic insertion of canonical AAs aside from Cys. Forming unique 1441 reactive handles when inserted due to low abundance or being unlikely to be expressed 1442 endogenously in a solvent accessible position, these inserted AAs (Trp, Tyr, His, and Met) allow 1443 modifications that will lead to products with higher levels of homogeneity. Trp modification: a 1444 metal-assisted reaction with TIPS-EBX to install a protected alkyne reactive handle regioselectively at the C2 position on the indole rings of installed Trp residues (i);<sup>83</sup> using an 1445 1446 organoradical-driven mechanism, keto-ABNO derivatives add to the indole ring of Trp residues with elevated conversion in acidic environments (ii);<sup>79</sup> in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and hemin, luminol 1447 derivatives are added to Tyr ortho positions (iii);<sup>84</sup> Rh(III)-mediated reaction for the addition of 1448 arene complexes to Tyr ortho positions (iv).<sup>86</sup> Pt(II)- and Ru(II)-driven selective complexation 1449 1450 with His residues (v and vi);<sup>81,82</sup> and a metal-free, redox-based reaction targeting Met residues with 1451 oxaziridine derivatives (vii).78

1452

1453 Figure 6 | Insertion of ncAAs. The most common method and novel chemistries made available 1454 by ncAA insertion over the last five years: a) Depiction of the groundbreaking use of orthogonal aminoacyl tRNA synthetase/tRNA pairs for the insertion of ncAAs.<sup>90-93</sup> 1 - Binding of tRNA and 1455 1456 ncAA to aminoacyl tRNA synthetase (aaRS). 2 - Attachment of ncAA to tRNA by aaRS. 3 -1457 Recognition of the amber codon by the ncAA-equipped tRNA. 4 – Incorporation of ncAA into 1458 the protein sequence by a native ribosome. b) examples of ncAAs that have been synthesized and 1459 inserted into proteins for the first time over the last five years. The colored highlights on the 1460 ncAA examples represent different chemical handles or functionalities added to the protein when 1461 the respective ncAAs are inserted. The red-highlight for HibK is to signify the PTM that this ncAA directly inserts.<sup>101</sup> Orange highlights represent protection groups that must be removed to 1462 reveal a phosphorylation PTM or an allysine residue that can be hydrolyzed to an aldehyde 1463 functionality (pTyr and AcdK respectively).<sup>102,103</sup> Blue highlights shows the photo-reactive 1464 moieties that allow for further functionalization of the protein or crosslinking.<sup>13,107–109</sup> While the 1465 green highlights different electrophilic handles incorporated by these ncAAs, the purple highlight 1466 signifies a nucleophilic site for subsequent reactions.<sup>104–106,108,109</sup> 1467

1468

1469 Figure 7 | Motif and enzymatic tag insertion. Rather than the insertion of single residues and 1470 reliance only on the chemistry of the added functional group to drive selectivity, the insertion of 1471 tags allows for manipulation of the microenvironment around specific AAs. Such manipulation 1472 can lead to elevated reactivity of the targeted AA or to the enzymatic recognition of the inserted 1473 tag. In either case, the site-specific modification of the targeted residue within the motif or tag 1474 occurs. Ideal motif insertion methods allow for site-specific modification at either in-chain or 1475 terminal sites as well as cause minimal disruption of the protein structure, even with multiple instances of the tag inserted. Methods discussed here: fluorette fusion for fluorophore 1476 1477 functionalization (i), modification mediated by TTL (ii), modification mediated by trypsiligase (iii), DBCO tag for Cys modification (iv), tag for CBT modification of Cys (v),  $\pi$ -clamp for Cys 1478 1479 modification (vi), Lys activation by HSAdI (vii), noncanonical function of sortase A allows for Lys-specific modification of inserted pilin domain (viii).<sup>14,114–116,118–122</sup> 1480

1481

1482 Figure 8 | Downstream functionalization methods. Clean and efficient modification of unique, 1483 bioorthogonal chemical handles. A general scheme of the concept of "click chemistry" is included at the top of the diagram.<sup>131–133</sup> The methods highlighted here fall under four classifications: 1484 alkyne-based reactions, aldehyde-based reactions, methods aside from alkyne- and aldehyde-based 1485 1486 reactions, and Dha functionalization. Alkyne-based reactions: SPOCQ cycloaddition (i), phenyl sydnones [3+2] cycloaddition with BCN (ii), Glaser-Hay coupling (iii), Ru(II)-catalyzed alkyne 1487 hvdrosilvlation (iv).<sup>135,138–140</sup> Aldehvde-based reactions: trapped Knoevenagel-type condensation 1488 1489 (v), tandem Knoevenagel-type condensation (vi), aldol reaction with 2,4-thiazolidinediones and an N-terminal aldehyde (vii), OPAL with an inserted aldehyde functionality (viii).<sup>142–145</sup> Methods 1490 1491 aside from alkyne- and aldehyde-based strategies: phospha-Michael addition (ix), QC ligation (x), triarylphosphine-mediated addition to cyclopropenone (xi).<sup>146–148</sup> Methods for Dha modification: 1492 1493 aza-Michael addition to Dha (xii), radical-based reactions for the formation of C-C bonds with Dha (xiii).<sup>151,153–155</sup> 1494 1495

1495

1498	Table 1. Overview of modification method components and key features
1499	

Appro	ach	Possible reagents for modification or insertion Key features	
	N-/C-terminus modification	Michael acceptors (visible- light-mediated SET method); <i>o</i> -aminophenols; 2-PCA; aldehydes; thiodepsipeptides (mediated by butelase 1)	Advantages: -General method for site- specific modification of single chain native proteins due to distinct termini microenvironments -Termini tend to be solvent accessible -No genetic engineering needed Limitations: -Termini need to be available for modification (i.e. not vital for protein function and no PTMs) -Sometimes dependent on identity of terminal AA residue
Direct modification of native proteins	In-chain residue modification	Reactive-residue targeting: Sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate (Trp modification); sulfonyl acrylates (Lys modification); multicomponent reaction with aldehydes, alkynes, and copper(I) iodide (Lys modification) Proximity-induced: aryl halides for Cys arylation based on Pd(II) binding site; aryldiazonium addition to Tyr guided by SH3 domain-binding peptides; Cu(II) catalyzed diazotransfer to proximal Lys at binding sites; metallopeptide addition based on SH3 and Fc	Advantages: -With careful reaction/reagent selection, can rely on distinct microenvironment for targeting a single residue -Possible to use substoichiometric amount of targeting component when using binding site for proximity-induced modifications -Disulfide rebridging allows control over modification site -No genetic engineering needed Limitations: -Necessary to either have a distinct microenvironment to enhance reactivity of a specific residue or have a native metal- or ligand-

		domain-binding peptides; antibody heavy chain junction-binding protein targeted modification of lysine; LDM method for modification of His Disulfide rebridging: oxetanes; allyl sulfones; DVP; dibromo-PBD derivatives; alkynes for photomediated thiol-yne reactions	binding site as well as a proximal reactive residue -Smaller size needed for disulfide rebridging reagents -Little control over choice of modification site
Protein modification via genetic manipulation	Canonical AA insertion: cysteine	Isobutylene and oxetane bromo-derivatives; CBTF; fluorobenzenes; carbonylacrylic derivatives; allenamides; cyclopropenyl ketones; dichlorotetrazines; 2- azidoacrylate reagents; Au(III) and Pd(II) complexes for S-arylation; 5MPs; 4-acetoxy cyclopentenones; 2-FPBA; NQMPs	Advantages: -Broad reactivity profile of cysteine -Numerous previously determined methods for modification -Low abundance of Cys allowing for higher modification site selectivity -Easier to express mutations for canonical AAs than ncAAs Limitations: -Possible disulfide disruption or shuffling with reduction step needed to free Cys thiol for modification -Cannot be used in proteins where reactive Cys residue plays a critical role in protein activity
	Canonical AA insertion: other low-abundance canonical AAs	TIPS-EBX (metal- mediated Trp modification); keto-ABNO (organoradical Trp modification); N- methylated luminol derivatives (hemin- catalyzed method for Tyr modification); arene complexes (modification method for Tyr using	Advantages: -Residues focused on here (Trp, Tyr, Met, His, and Sec) have higher chances to form unique chemical handles based on low abundance and expected positioning within the protein structure -Easier to express mutations for canonical AAs than ncAAs

		Rh(III)); Pt(II) and Ru(II) complexes (His modification); oxaziridine reagents (Met modification); hypervalent iodonium salts (Met modification); electron- rich arenes (Sec modification)	Limitations: -More difficult to use biologically compatible conditions to modify these less reactive side chains and often observe lower conversions -Difficult to achieve high selectivity due to the need for more reactive modifying reagents or conditions
ncAA	insertion	HibK; pTyr; AcdK; photo- lysine; FPheK; pNCSF; ThioD; ACTKs; AmAzLys; PSCaas	Advantages: -The inserted ncAA chemical handle is unique and can be matched with a reactive pair for site-specific modification -Different methods for incorporating ncAAs have been discovered -Allows clean and efficient reactions that can be done in cells -Can mimic precise placement of PTMs Limitations: -Multiple step syntheses for the production of ncAAs -Complexities inherent in expression technologies and capabilities often leading to low levels of expression of the mutated protein and limited insertion of which functional groups able to be inserted
and en	insertion nzymatic ethods	TexasRed fluorette for the covalent attachment of TexasRed to Cys; TTL for attachment of Tyr derivatives to Tub-tag; trypsiligase for the attachment of OGp to YRH tag; DBCO-tag, CBT-tag, $\pi$ -clamp (for perfluoroaromatic	Advantages: -Expression of canonical AA mutations more successful than ncAA -Target residue activated by microenvironment manipulation or enzymatic recognition leading to site- specific reactions

	reagents), and Dis-tag for	Limitations:
	Cys activation;	-Tag size cannot be too large
	cyclohexene sulfonamide	and positions for insertion are
	and LPXTG substrates for	limited based on retaining
	the modification of Lys	protein function
	mediated by inserted	-For enzymatic modification,
	HSAdI and pilin domains	enzymes need to be readily
	respectively; His <sub>2</sub> -tag for	available and affordable
	modification of two His	
	residues proximal to each	
	other	
0		

### 

# Table 2. Therapeutic and Diagnostic Applications of Protein Conjugates

Targeting component	Added functionality	Conjugation method	Specified conditions	Relevance in research field
(i) cetuximab (targeting EGFR antigen) <sup>166</sup>	HDAC inhibitors $(IC_{50} = 0.07 \mu M)$	conventional (Lys selective amidation)	Step 1: 1 equiv. payload, 1.75 equiv. N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, 1.5 equiv. N-hydroxysuccinimide, 16 h, r.t., DMF Step 2: 20 equiv. activated payload, 1 h, r.t., pH 7.4	Therapeutic delivery of medium-cytotoxic drugs for the treatment of neurological disorders, inflammation, viral and protozoal infections, cardiovascular disorders, and cancer
(ii) anti-CXCR4 (targeting T-lymphocyte antigen) <sup>168</sup>	dasatinib (Lck inhibitor, IC <sub>50</sub> < 1 nM)	Step 1: conventional (Lys selective amidation) Step 2: aldehyde-based click reaction (oxime formation)	Step 1: 30 equiv. N-succinimidyl-4- formylbenzamine, 3 h, r.t., pH 7.4 Step 2: 30 equiv. dasatinib, 24 h, 37 °C, pH 5-7, >95% conversion	Suppression of T-cell activation and cytokine expression for the treatment of T-cell mediated immune disorders
(iii) anti-WTA (targeting wall-teichoic acids of <i>S.</i> <i>aureus</i> ) <sup>169</sup>	rifalogue antibiotic (kills both replicating and non-replicating intracellular bacteria)	canonical AA insertion (Cys selective maleimide-based conjugation)	3 equiv. payload, 1 h	Elimination of intracellular S. <i>aureus</i> (a major contributor to invasive infections and is resistant to regular antibiotic treatments), and the method holds potential for the treatment of other intracellular pathogens
(iv) mouse IgG1- Apoliprotein A1 <sup><i>a</i></sup> (targeted by a secondary antibody - goat anti-mouse IgG H+L) <sup>170</sup>	nucleotide (azide- functionalized)	Step 1: conventional (Lys selective amidation) Step 2: alkyne-based click chemistry (SPAAC)	Step 1: 5 equiv. DBCO reagent, 2 h, r.t., pH 7.4 Step 2: 10 equiv. nucleotide, 16 h, 4 °C, pH 7.4	A colorimetric read- out of nucleotide incorporation by using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays based on the targeting of an antibody conjugate incorporated into the DNA
(v) trastuzumab (HER2 targeting antibody) <sup>171</sup>	thiol-reactive bifunctional chelators to allow labeling by <sup>89</sup> Zr and <sup>177</sup> Lu	canonical AA insertion (Cys selective reaction with phenyloxadiazolyl methylsulfone (PODS) reagents)	10 equiv. PODS reagent, 10 equiv. TCEP, 2 h, r.t., pH 7.4	Improved stability of radiolabeling of bioconjugates for PET with lower background signals

(111)	KSPis	Stop 1.	Stop 1:	Introduces norr
(vi)		Step 1:	Step 1:	Introduces new
trastuzumab	(pyrrole	conventional (Cys	1 h, r.t.	antitumor payload for the creation of
(HER2	subclass)	modification by	St. 2	
targeting		partial reduction	Step 2:	ADCs. KSPis follow
antibody)172		of disulfide bonds	overnight, r.t., pH 8	an alternative
		with maleimide		mechanism to those
		reagent)		of usual payload
				classes (DNA
		Step 2:		intercalators and
		promotion of		tubulin inhibitors).
		thiosuccinimde		KSPis prevent
		ring hydrolysis		centrosome
		and stabilization		separation during the
		of ADC product		cell cycle.
(vii)	adeno-	Step 1:	2 h, r.t.	Creates targeted
cyclic-RGD	associated	ncAA insertion		delivery for safer
peptides	virus capsid	(azido-Lys)		gene therapy for
(targeting $\alpha_v\beta_3$	(functionalized			anticancer treatment
integrin	via azido-Lys	Step 2:		by redirecting
receptors in	ncAA)	alkyne-based click		binding target of the
tumor	nor n r)	chemistry		adeno-associated
vasculature) <sup>173</sup>		(SPAAC)		virus capsid
(viii)	azide-	Step 1:	Step 1:	Targeted-therapeutic
single-chain		enzymatic tag	1 equiv. scFv, 1 equiv. sortase	for vascular
	containing			
antibody	peptide and	insertion	A, 5 equiv. azide-containing	endothelial cells as
fragments	antioxidant	(sortase A	peptide, 16 h, r.t., pH 7.5,	they act as sites of
(three different	enzyme	mediated	conversion >95%	interest in
endothelial-		conjugation)	~ ~	thrombotic,
targeting			Step 2:	ischemic, and
fragments)174		Step 2:	4 equiv. scFv, 1 equiv.	inflammatory
		alkyne-based click	DBCO-functionalized catalase,	conditions and could
		chemistry	overnight, r.t.	furthermore
		(SPAAC)		modulate passage of
				macromolecules or
				drug carriers from
				vasculature areas to
				target organs
(ix)	upconversion	enzymatic tag	1 h, r.t.	Targeted delivery of
nanobody	nanoparticles	insertion		anticancer drugs
(anti-EGFR) <sup>175</sup>	loaded with	(C-terminal		demonstrated using
	the drug	conjugation with		PEGylated
	doxorubicin	microbial		nanobodies tethered
		transglutaminase)		to human serum
				albumin coated
				upconversion
				nanoparticles loaded
				with doxorubicin
(x)	Benzophenone	Step 1:	Step 1:	Smaller size and
affibodies	for photo-	canonical AA	20 equiv. 4N-maleimido-	lesser affinity for
(anti-EGFR) <sup>176</sup>	cross-linking	insertion	benzophenone, overnight, r.t.,	EGFR allows for an
(anu-EOrK)				
	to EGFR	(engineered Cys	рН 7.4	affibody-targeted
	receptor	modification by	Stop 2:	system to have
		maleimide	Step 2: 127 aguint ECEP autropallular	deeper penetration
		moiety)	127 equiv. EGFR extracellular	into a solid tumor
		Stor 2	domain, near UV (365 nm), 1 h	environment.
	1	Step 2:		Crosslinking the

		once modified affibodies throughout tumor environment, upconversion nanoparticles used in tandem to deliver local irradiation for crosslinking		affibody to the EGFR receptor allows for the distribution of the affibody construct to be retained for longer in the tumor environment.
(xi) anti-HER2 and anti-TenB2 (HER2 and tomoregulin targeting antibodies) <sup>167</sup>	maytansinoid DM1 cytotoxic drug linked to antibodies via a linker with two fluorophores present on either side of a cleavage site	canonical AA insertion (engineered Cys modification by maleimide moiety)	no specifics reported	Due to having a cleavable linker with FRET fluorophores on either side, the antibody and payload can be tracked even after the linker has been cleaved and provide more information on internalization and intracellular trafficking.
(xii) trastuzumab (HER2 targeting antibody) <sup>164</sup>	biotin and fluorophore functionalities	canonical AA insertion (engineered Cys selective reaction and engineered Sec selective reaction)	Step 1 (Sec-modification): derivatives (iodoacetamide or methylsulfone based), 25 equiv. DTT, 30-60 min, r.t., pH 5.2, 2.5 equiv. biotin Step 2 (Cys modification): 5 equiv. methylsulfone-functionalized fluorophore, 1 h, r.t., pH 7.4	Possible strategy to overcome the developing resistance seen to current ADCs, site- specific conjugation of two different drugs
(xiii) SST (targeting SST-2 receptors on cancer cells that have been biotinylated) <sup>177</sup>	C3 (a Rho inhibitor that has been biotinylated)	For SST: in-chain residue modification (disulfide rebridging) For C3: canonical AA insertion (engineered Cys to be modified by maleimide)	For SST: pH 7.8 For C3: 30 equiv. biotinylated reagent, 3 h, r.t., pH 7.4	An alternative strategy for overcoming cancer cell resistance to mechanisms of certain drugs.

1505 1506 <sup>a</sup>In this case, the antibody involved in the nucleotide conjugate is the target of a secondary antibody that allows the colorimetric assay to visualize the nucleotide-antibody conjugate incorporation into DNA.

1507 Glossary:	
1508	
1509 Site-selective:	
	Is that target a certain residue over other types of amino acids.
1511	
1512 Site-specific:	
	Is that target a single occurrence of a particular type of amino acid.
1514	
1515 Endogenous residues	
	that are present in the native sequence of a protein based on the unaltered
1517 genes of the host org	anism.
1518	
1519 Canonical amino aci	
	no acid types encoded and inserted naturally by the genetic code and by
1521 native protein biosyr	thesis systems.
1522	
1523 Protein microenviror	
-	amino acid sidechain properties (e.g. steric or electric characteristics) and
<i>v</i> 1	the identity of surrounding amino acids in the protein sequence.
1526	
1527 Noncanonical amino	
	most often synthesized and non-proteinogenic (with the exception of
<i>v</i> 1	yrrolysine) and can either be inserted residue- or site-specifically into
1530 protein sequences.	
1531 1522 H (	
1532 Heterogenous produc	
	at have different constitutions based on the conjugation method binding
0	types or various occurrences of the same amino acid type.
1535 1526 University insuling	
1536 Human insulin:	a un of true concerts chains of amine saids labeled on A and D hound
1	e up of two separate chains of amino acids labeled as A and B, bound
1538 together by two disu 1539	inde bridges.
1540 Post translational mc	difications
	valent protein modifications that have critical roles in cell signaling and
1542 control of protein act	
1543 control of protein act	
1544 SH3 domain proteins	
1	SH3 domains for the regulation of cytoplasmic signaling pathways.
1546	sits domains for the regulation of cytoplashine signating pathways.
1547 Bioorthogonal reacti	ons.
•	hat can be executed in the complex environment of living systems (i.e. in the
	cleophiles, reductants, etc.) without altering or affecting native processes.
1550	, reasonable, etc.) and a anothing of arrooting harrow processes.
1551	
1552	

- Antibodies: 1553
- 1554 Proteins that are composed of two main regions: Fc regions (constant regions for the support and
- 1555 stability of the antibody) and Fab regions (variable regions of the antibody that must be
- 1556 preserved in order to retain affinity and specificity for a corresponding antigen).
- 1557
- 1558 Click chemistry:
- 1559 Chemical reactions that can be defined based on high reaction and conversion rate, green solvent 1560 systems, low byproduct levels, and broad functional group applicability.
- 1561
- 1562 Conjugate payload:
- 1563 The chemical linker and added functionality (e.g. fluorophore, cytotoxic drug, etc.) in a protein 1564 conjugate.
- 1565
- 1566 Disulfide rebridging:
- 1567 Process by which two cysteine residues, revealed by disulfide reduction, reform the disrupted
- 1568 disulfide either through the construction of a mixed disulfide or through the introduction of a
- 1569 synthetic stapling molecule to connect the two residues
- 1570
- 1571 Orthogonal tRNA/RS pairs:
- 1572 These orthogonal pairs can use native protein biosynthesis machinery for the site-specific
- 1573 insertion of noncanonical amino acids and require that no native RS be able to aminoacylate the
- 1574 incorporated tRNA and no native tRNA be modified by the incorporated RS.
- 1575
- 1576 Fusion protein:
- 1577 Proteins that are produced by combining parts from different proteins or proteins with smaller
- 1578 amino acid sequences/tags to create one expressed entity.
- 1579
- 1580 Upconversion nanoparticles:
- 1581 Nanoscale particles that allow for photon upconversion (the absorption of two lower-energy
- 1582 photons to create one higher-energy, emitted photon) for imaging and sensors in deep tissue environments.
- 1583
- 1584
- 1585