



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Oecologia*

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa45081

Paper:

Wells, K., O'Hara, R., Cooke, B., Mutze, G., Prowse, T. & Fordham, D. (2016). Environmental effects and individual body condition drive seasonal fecundity of rabbits: identifying acute and lagged processes. *Oecologia*, *181*(3), 853-864.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3617-2

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

1	Environmental effects and individual body condition drive
2	seasonal fecundity of rabbits: identifying acute and lagged
3	processes
4	
5	Konstans Wells ^{1,2,*} , Robert B. O'Hara ³ , Brian D. Cooke ⁴ , Greg J. Mutze ⁵ ,
6	Thomas A. A. Prowse ¹ , Damien A. Fordham ¹
7	
8	1 The Environment Institute and School of Biological Sciences, The University of Adelaide,
9	Adelaide SA 5005, Australia
10	2 Present Address: Environmental Futures Research Institute, Griffith University, Brisbane
11	QLD 4111, Australia
12	3 Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BIK-F), Senckenberganlage 25, 60325
13	Frankfurt am Main, Germany
14	4 Invasive Animals Cooperative Research Centre and Institute of Applied Ecology, University
15	of Canberra, ACT, 2601, Australia
16	5 Department of Primary Industries and Regions, Biosecurity SA, Adelaide, SA 5001,
17	Australia
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23	Running title: Acute and lagged processes in seasonal fecundity			
24				
25				
26	Correspondence:			
27	Konstans Wells, The Environment Institute and School of Biological Sciences, The			
28	University of Adelaide			
29	E-mail: konstans.wells@adelaide.edu.au			
30				
31				
32	Author Contributions: BC conceived and designed the field experiments. KW, RBO'H,			
33	TAAP, DAF performed the analysis. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript.			
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				

48 Abstract

The reproduction of many species is determined by seasonally-driven resource supply. But it 49 is difficult to quantify whether the fecundity is sensitive to short or long-term exposure to 50 environmental conditions such as rainfall that drive resource supply. Using 25 years of data 51 on individual fecundity of European female rabbits, Oryctolagus cuniculus, from semiarid 52 Australia, we investigate the role of individual body condition, rainfall and temperature as 53 drivers of seasonal and long-term and population-level changes in fecundity (breeding 54 probability, ovulation rate, embryo survival). We built distributed lag models in a hierarchical 55 56 Bayesian framework to account for both immediate and time-lagged effects of climate and other environmental drivers, and possible shifts in reproduction over consecutive seasons. We 57 show that rainfall during summer, when rabbits typically breed only rarely, increased 58 59 breeding probability immediately and with time lags of up to 10 weeks. However, an earlier onset of the yearly breeding period did not result in more overall reproductive output. Better 60 61 body condition was associated with an earlier onset of breeding and higher embryo survival. 62 Breeding probability in the main breeding season declined with increased breeding activity in the preceding season and only individuals in good body condition were able to breed late in 63 the season. Higher temperatures reduce breeding success across seasons. We conclude that a 64 better understanding of seasonal dynamics and plasticity (and their interplay) in reproduction 65 66 will provide crucial insights into how lagomorphs are likely to respond and potentially adapt 67 to the influence of future climate and other environmental change.

68

69 Keywords Invasive species, lagged effects, dynamic optimization, reproduction,

70 seasonality

71

72 Introduction

The reproduction of many species is limited to seasonal time windows of suitable thermal conditions and sufficient food supply (Bronson 1985; Hone and Clutton-Brock 2007). As such, the magnitude and seasonal timing of changing environmental conditions can affect reproduction and population dynamics more generally.

Fecundity (the average per-capita number of offspring per breeding season) consists 77 of a sequence of components from ovulation to recruitment of offspring into populations, 78 each of which can be limited by food restriction and environmental stress such as 79 unfavourable temperatures. For example, an increase in food availability can result in more 80 81 females breeding (Desy and Thompson 1983) and larger litter sizes (Stockley 2003). A prolonged breeding season can allow for repeated reproduction for iteroparous mammals, 82 leading to substantial increases in annual population growth (Swihart 1984). Food restriction 83 84 and environmental stress over prolonged periods can also limit ovulation rates in mammals (Bronson 2009) and the timing of sexual receptivity, whereas immediate environmentally- or 85 socially-driven stress during pregnancy imposes a risk on successfully carrying embryos to 86 87 term (Ashworth et al. 2009).

In seasonal environments, fecundity can be affected by phenology, whereby there is a 88 need to match resource availability (within and among seasons and years) with the timing of 89 reproduction (Via et al. 1995). Often, reproduction among vertebrates from temperate 90 91 latitudes is timed to coincide with circannual rhythms of hormonal changes, food intake, and 92 energy expenditure (Ebling and Barrett, 2008). Species well adapted to highly fluctuating environments, can maximise their reproductive success by having extended reproductive 93 periods to compensate for immediate resource shortage or environmental stress (Jonzén et al. 94 95 2010; Lof et al. 2012). Therefore, plasticity in species' reproductive biology and behaviour in response to changes in environmental factors (exposure-response relationship) is necessary 96

for many species to respond to short-term environmental fluctuations (Meyers and Bull
2002), and potentially long-term shifts in environmental resources.

Quantifying the relevant timespan of the exposure to environmental stress, in addition 99 100 to the overall strength of environmental drivers, can provide important insights into the resilience of reproduction to climate and other environmental change (Sæther et al. 2000; 101 Thompson and Ollason 2001). Measuring reproductive responses to environmental 102 103 fluctuations requires teasing apart seasonal and long-term trends, which, in some cases, can be driven by similar climate (and other environmental) drivers. For example, if a late onset of 104 105 seasonal food availability results in less overall annual breeding because of a short breeding season, variation in seasonal and inter-annual breeding patterns are tightly linked to each 106 107 other. In contrast, if the seasonal onset of breeding does not affect the overall (population-108 level) annual reproductive output, environmental drivers of seasonal and long-term 109 reproductive trends are not necessarily the same (Fig. 1). Because seasonal variation in reproductive output can affect inter-annual population abundance it is important to 110 understand how environmental drivers can affect reproductive performance across season, 111 particularly for species of management concern, such as pest or threatened species. Progress 112 towards understanding how individual traits (e.g., body condition) and climate (e.g., variation 113 in temperature and rainfall) and other environmental conditions (e.g., pasture growth) affect 114 reproduction and early survival is mostly limited to large mammals based on longitudinal 115 116 recapture studies of individuals marked at birth (e.g. Plard et al. 2015). These effects are not as well understood in small mammals, where data on critical stages of their life cycles is 117 often missing (Aars and Ims 2002; Lambin and Yoccoz 2001); and population dynamics of 118 119 mammals with high fecundity rates and cyclic dynamics (e.g. voles, lemmings) are often believed to be driven by variation in predation rates (e.g. Hanski et al. 2001). 120

Here we examine the long-term effects of climate and other environmental drivers 121 (e.g., soil moisture and pasture biomass) and individual body condition on the fecundity of 122 European rabbits, Oryctolagus cuniculus, a polytocous and iteroparous mammal. Rabbits 123 have considerable reproductive plasticity, being able to repeatedly reproduce within a single 124 breeding season with varying litter sizes (Brambell 1942). Rabbit reproduction in Australia is 125 tightly linked to seasonally limited food availability, and more specifically the weather 126 127 conditions that promote plant growth (Myers 1970). Females can become pregnant within 10 days of rains that produce germination and sprouting of grasses and herbs (Myers 1970). 128 129 Plasticity in the timing of reproduction (i.e. the probability a female reproduces at a certain time) and ovulation rate (i.e. the investment in a certain number of embryos) is likely to cause 130 an observed geographic gradient in the timing of peak pregnancy and ovulation rates across 131 132 Australia (Gilbert et al. 1987). Furthermore, rabbits can resorb embryos during pregnancy and this is likely to occur during stressful conditions (Brambell 1942; Conaway et al. 1960). 133 The sensitivity of long-term changes in the timing and success of reproduction (i.e., 134 probability of being pregnant, ovulation rate and embryo survival) to temporal variation in 135 environmental conditions has, until now, not been explored for any lagomorph species. 136 We employ a novel multifaceted approach, which accounts for the effect of 137 immediate and lagged environmental conditions, to disentangle the drivers of individual- and 138 139 group-level changes in rabbit fecundity rates. Often there is no a priori knowledge about the 140 time period of exposure that is likely to influence changes in any of the various components of fecundity (i.e., probability of being pregnant, ovulation rate and embryo survival). 141 Distributed lag models (DLM) can help overcome this problem, by avoiding aggregating and 142 143 averaging covariates over arbitrary periods (Almon 1965; Gasparrini et al. 2010; Schwartz

144 2000). Here we incorporate distributed lag models into a Bayesian multilevel model

145 framework to investigate the relative importance of individual and environmental condition

on seasonal and long-term changes in rabbit fecundity. We use this novel statistical approach
to identify the mechanisms and time scales by which environmental conditions affect rabbit
fecundity. Distributed time lag models have previously been applied in medical sciences
(Schwartz 2000), but their benefit has to date not been tested in ecology.

150

151 Materials and methods

152 Study area

153 Our study site was located at Belton (-32.224S 138.708E) in the Flinders Range, South

154 Australia. The study site is at the southern limit of a semi-arid climate zone and is

155 characterised by cool winters and warm and dry summers. Pastures at Belton consist of a

156 mixture of native and introduced grasses and forbs (*Stipa* spp., *Hordeum* spp., *Bromus* spp.,

157 Echium plantagineum, Medicago spp.), including arid-zone species (Atriplex spp.,

158 Sclerolaena spp.) on shallow, loamy soils (Cooke 2014). Pasture growth is seasonal, with a

159 decreasing herbaceous biomass during summers. Temperatures above 35 °C often occur for

160 more than five consecutive days in summer causing considerable stress on herbaceous plants

161 (Cooke 2014). The largely nocturnal behaviour of rabbits helps them avoid temperature

162 extremes, because the ambient temperature in rabbit burrows is fairly constant diurnally,

163 exhibiting only minor variation over seasons (Cooke 1990).

164

165 Demographic and environmental data

166 Necropsy data

167 Our data set consisted of 2,563 females shot between 1968 and 1993 during 199 field

surveys. Approximately 30 rabbits were shot by authorized shooters at approximately six

169 week intervals and reproductive status recorded after dissection. All applicable institutional

and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. Rabbits were shot in

the order they were encountered. There is a size bias in the sampling technique with young
individuals (< 1000 g for rabbits) being underrepresented in samples of visual encounter.
There was no indication that gestating females were more likely to be shot than non-gestating
females.

Body mass was recorded to the nearest ± 25 g for entire and eviscerated bodies 175 (removing all intestinal and urogenital organs), using a Salter dial spring balance. We used 176 the latter measure in our study to exclude any bias in body mass due to reproduction. 177 Animals were sexed and ovaries of females were examined for follicles and corpora lutea 178 and their number recorded. For each uterine horn we recorded the number of embryos. 179 Embryos of < 7 days gestation cannot be counted as they have not been implanted, but 180 181 pregnancy can be recognised because of vascular uterine tissues and recent follicles on the ovaries. Stage of gestation for embryos > 7 days old was classified according to the shape and 182 size of embryos (Minot and Taylor 1905), allowing for shorter gestation in wild rabbits, i.e. 183 28 days at our study site (Cooke 1974). 184

We used the dry weight of fixed eye lenses as a surrogate for individual age. This is 185 186 possible because eye lenses grow continuously through life independent of nutritional conditions (Dudzinski and Mykytowycz 1961). We did not directly transform eye lens weight 187 into an age estimate because this is inaccurate for fully-grown rabbits – an artefact of the 188 189 underlying logistic growth relationship (Dudzinski and Mykytowycz 1961). Fat coverage of the kidneys was scored between 0-5 based on a visual assessment of the peri-renal fat visible 190 191 (0: no fat, 5: kidney completely covered in fat). Kidney fat scores provide good indication of short-term nutritional condition (Henke and Demarais 1990); for simplicity, we treated this 192 193 ordinal variable as a continuous covariate in our analysis.

We predicted the (average) weight for rabbits of all ages by fitting a growth function(West et al. 2001) to all measures of body mass in relation to eye lens weight (a proxy of

age). We then calculated a body mass index for all individual using the difference between
observed and predicted body mass (the residuals). Large positive values indicated observed
body masses above average weight-for-age and vice versa i.e., negative values indicate less
weight than average (see electronic supplementary material ESM 1).

200

201 *Abundance surveys*

202 Rabbit relative abundance counts were conducted at Belton between 1965 and 1994 prior to necropsy surveys. Rabbits were counted from a vehicle driven at constant speed (8 km/h) 203 204 along an 8-km-transect using quartzhalogen spotlight (100 W, ca. 80 m beam range) (Cooke 1983). Counting was repeated between 2-6 times on consecutive nights. During the 30-year 205 206 study period, the rabbit population at Belton experienced human management, directly 207 affecting the population abundance. In particular, the European Rabbit Flea, Spilopsyllus 208 *cuniculi*, was released at a nearby field site in 1969, causing the spread of myxomatosis and a considerable decline in the rabbit population (Cooke 1983) (electronic supplementary 209 210 material ESM 1, Fig. E.1.3).

211

212 *Climate and environmental data*

We generated average daily measures of maximum temperature and rainfall per week for 213 Belton using the daily records from the SILO, Australian climate database (Jeffrey et al. 214 215 2001). We calculated a soil moisture index by adding the rainfall for each day and subtracting 0.2 times the evaporation from a free-water surface from the previous day. We imposed a 216 maximum value of 100 to account for soil saturation. A similar approach was found to do a 217 218 good job at approximating major changes in soil moisture conditions at a closely located site (Cooke unpublished data). From this time series, we also generated weekly average measures 219 220 of weather for our analysis. We estimated monthly growth of total standing dry matter of

herbaceous plants (difference in pasture biomass kg/ha), using output from the *AussieGrass*model (Carter et al. 2000). We used this as a proxy for food availability (Roxburgh et al.
2004).

224

225 Statistical analysis

We used generalized linear models, fitted in a Bayesian framework, to examine the potential 226 drivers of variation in breeding probability (the probability of being pregnant), ovulation rate 227 and embryo survival. We assumed that the recorded instance z(i,t) that female i is pregnant at 228 survey time t follows a Bernoulli distribution given the breeding probability $\varphi(i,t)$, which we 229 linked to covariates with a logit link function. Given that reproduction of rabbits is highly 230 231 seasonal, we allowed all dependent parameters to vary across season s classified as 1) January – April (rare breeding in summer due to dry weather and shortage in food supply), 2) 232 May-June (early breeding after the onset of pasture growth), 3) July – October (main 233 breeding period), and 4) November – December (late breeding). The model for breeding 234 probability can be described as: 235 236 Breeding probability ~ day of year + Eye lens weight + individual body condition + environmental data over time lags + density dependence + breeding probability at preceding 237 time step (group-level). 238 239 This can be expressed mathematically as: 240 $z(i,t) \sim Bernoulli[\varphi(i,t)]$ (eqn 1) 241 and

242 $\log it[\varphi(i,t)] \sim \beta^{\varphi}_{0}(y) + X_{JIday}(i)B^{\varphi}_{JIday}(s) + X_{Age}(i)B^{\varphi}_{Age}(s) + X_{Ind}(i)B^{\varphi}_{Ind}(s) +$

243
$$X_{Env}(t,..L_{Env})\Theta^{\varphi}_{Env}(s) + \Theta_{N}^{\varphi}(s)N(t,..L_{N})) + \beta^{\varphi}_{Prev}(s) \mu_{\varphi}(t-1)) \qquad (\text{eqn } 2)$$

244

where X_{JIday} and X_{Age} are matrices of Julian day of capture and individual age of 4th orthogonal polynomial order, respectively; X_{Ind} is a matrix of individual body condition covariates; $B^{\varphi}(s)$ are season-specific coefficient estimates for these covariates. Note that the superscript ${}^{\phi'}$ is used as we implemented the same model equations for modelling variation in ovulation rate and embryo survival. X_{Env} and N comprise arrays of environmental covariates and population size respectively, with values from consecutive time steps measured between time t and the maximum time lag L_{Env} and L_N , respectively.

We used distributed lag models (DLM) (Welty et al. 2009) to avoid aggregating covariates over an arbitrary number of time steps. The DLM regresses the response variable against the lagged covariates (i.e. for time *t* against covariates at times *t*-1, *t*-2 etc.). Our model assumes that the effects of the covariates up to lag time π are of similar magnitude (i.e. assuming a multivariate prior distribution), after which they shrink towards zero. We estimated the parameter π for each DLM. We considered the average of the vector Θ notated as $\hat{\theta}$ as overall effect size from DLMs (see electronic supplementary material ESM 2).

To address the effect that breeding activity is likely biased by earlier attempts, we included a 1st order auto-regression term μ_{φ} of the average breeding probability in the preceding seasonal time step in the model. It is unlikely that the removal of individuals would bias average breeding probability because the number of females removed was very low and population size large. To do this, we modelled μ_{φ} as the average breeding probability in each year *y* and season *s*, assuming that coefficient estimates for β^{φ}_{Prev} indicate shifting breeding probability over consecutive time steps/ seasons.

We modelled ovulation rates (individual counts of *corpora lutea*), w(i,t), using a mixed log-normal-Poisson likelihood. We then modelled the log-scale mean of counts $\mu_w(i,t)$ using the same covariates as given in equation 1. We modelled embryo survival rates (i.e. the proportion/number of ova that resulted in embryos to 28 days y(i,t) carried) as a fraction of w(i,t) using a binomial distribution. This is because for each ova in w(i,t), there is a success rate $\rho(i,t)$ that it will result in a fully developed embryo after 28 days. Thus, we assumed $y(i,t) \sim Bin[w(i,t), \rho(i,t)^{\delta(i)}]$ (eqn 3). We used the exponential scaling factor $\delta(i)$ to correct for observation bias in $\rho(i,t)$,

which we calculated as the time during the gestation period when embryos were counted (embryo age at dissection time) divided by the total length of the gestation period (28 days). In a preliminary analysis we found evidence that embryos are progressively resorbed during gestation (**Appendix S.1.3**). The logit link function was then used to model variation in $\rho(i,t)$ in response to covariates as given in equation 1.

We used an open population model with a mixed binomial-Poisson likelihood (Aubry et al. 2012; Royle 2004; Zellweger-Fischer et al. 2011) to estimate rabbit relative abundance for each time step, v, during the population survey and then assigned values to N(t) (relative abundance) based on time differences of no more than 10 days between t and v (i.e. necropsy and spotlight count data). To do this, we modelled counts c(v,k) for the repeated surveys during time step v as:

286 $c(v,k) \sim Bin[N(v), p(k)]$ and $N(v) \sim Pois[\omega(y,m)]$

where detection probability p(k) was allowed to vary over months and in response to maximum temperature and rainfall during the day of survey. Abundance indices N(v) were assumed to be random draws from the density $\omega(y,m)$, varying over years y and months m. See electronic supplementary material ESM 2 for details of the model code and ESM 1 Fig. S.1.1 for estimated abundance indices.

We used the freeware JAGS 3.4.0 for sampling and model fitting, operated via the R statistical platform with the package *rjags* (Plummer 2003). We used Markov Chain Monte 294 Carlo (MCMC) sampling in a Bayesian framework to select the polynomial order for the agerelated regression terms with equal priors for each order. We used a Gibbs variable selection 295 (GVS) procedure for joint sampling of the most likely polynomial order and coefficient 296 values (Dellaportas et al. 2002; O'Hara and Sillanpää 2009). The posterior selection 297 298 frequency t(v) indicated the relative importance of selected variables v, while the use of pseudo-priors ensured accurate coefficient estimates (Wells et al. 2014). Likewise, we used 299 GVS to select all other variables (except those with time lags) in the model; we do this 300 301 because seasonally variable coefficient estimates led to a large parameter space. All covariates were scaled (centred values divided by one SD). 302

We applied posterior predictive model diagnostics to assess whether the model 303 304 assumptions are good approximations of the data generating process. Bayesian p-values around 0.5 indicate good fit whereas values close to 0 or 1 indicate an increasing discrepancy 305 between model predictions and observation data (Gelman et al. 1996). We also calculated 306 Bayesian p-values for models where we replaced all DLMs with models for single covariates 307 calculated as either immediate measures (environmental conditions measured during the same 308 309 week as the response variable) or those measured at an arbitrary 10 week period prior to 310 when the response variables was measured. This allowed us to directly test whether DLMs provided a better fit or not to the observation data. 311

We show all estimates as posterior modes and 95 % highest posterior credible intervals (CI). CIs that did not include zero were considered 'significant'. All posterior coefficient estimates, frequencies of variable selections and summary statistics for covariates are provided in **ESM 2**.

316

317 **Results**

318 Breeding probability

319 The breeding probability of rabbits was highly seasonal, with low probabilities in January – April (summer breeding season, a period when rabbits reproduce infrequently), increasing in 320 May-June (early breeding season), peaking in July – October (main breeding season), before 321 322 declining in November – December (late breeding season) (Fig. 2). The distribution of breeding probabilities within years (and seasons) differed markedly across years as did the 323 overall yearly breeding probabilities (Fig. 2). In many years, the annual polynomial fit of 324 325 breeding probability matched field observations. For example breeding activity was delayed and reduced in very dry years (e.g. 1972, 1982) and increased over prolonged breeding 326 327 seasons in wet years (e.g.1973, 1974) (Fig. 2).

We found support for a strong effect of rainfall on breeding probability, but only 328 during the summer breeding season, where breeding probability was 22 % higher for each 329 330 additional mm of rainfall (odds ratio of 1.2, CI: 1.1 - 1.4, i.e. from 0.17 to 0.21 breeding probability in summer). Note that 1-10 mm rain per week typically represents heavy summer 331 rain, as most days are rainless (ESM 1, Fig. E.1.2). Distributed lag models showed that 332 rainfall affects breeding probability in the summer breeding season immediately and up to 333 time lags of 10 weeks (CI: 5-20) (Fig. 3) This indicates that in years with good rainfall, the 334 onset of breeding activity is much earlier. We detected an effect of temperature on breeding 335 probability in all seasons except the late breeding season, with decreases in breeding 336 probability between 3.7 - 4.6 % (odds ratios 0.7 - 0.9 all CIs: 0.6 - 0.9 %) per °C increase in 337 temperature. Temperature effects were both immediate and with time lags of up to 10 weeks 338 (CI: 0-15) in the early breeding season. The temporal distribution of time lagged effects for 339 temperature in the other two seasons were inconclusive. 340

Breeding probability was influenced by kidney fat scores in the summer breeding
season, whereby breeding probability increased 146 % (odds ratio 3.9, CI: 2.5 – 6.1) for
every unit of increase in kidney fat score (ordinal scores between 0 and 5). Individual body

mass index had a positive impact on breeding probability in the main and late breeding season, with an increase of breeding probability between 0.4 and 1.7 % (odds ratios 3.4 - 5.0all CIs: 1.9 - 9.0 %) per unit increase in body mass index (i.e. g above expected average body mass). This suggests that kidney fat scores can affect the start of the breeding season (whereby high scores promote an earlier onset of breeding), while body mass index affects breeding probability only towards the end of the annual breeding cycle (Fig. 4).

Breeding probability decreased significantly during the main breeding season if 350 breeding probability was high in the preceding (early breeding) season (Appendix A2), 351 352 suggesting that shifts towards earlier reproductive output can result in the exhaustion of breeding potential later in the annual cycle. Breeding probability increased with age (eye lens 353 weight) of individuals. Furthermore, young individuals with lens weights between 150 and 354 355 200 mg (corresponding to 130 to 230 days old individuals) were more likely to breed in the later part (July – Dec) of the annual breeding cycle (ESM 1, Fig. E.1.5). This suggests that 356 rabbits born early in the year were likely to breed later during the year, leading to relatively 357 more young rabbits breeding in the later part of the annual cycle. 358

We found no evidence for any direct effects of population density, soil moisture or pasture growth indices on breeding probability (i.e. all CI indistinct from zero). Model fit was good with a Bayesian p-value of 0.42, suggesting that the covariates did a reasonably good job at describing variation in the data. When using single-step covariates instead of distributed lag models, the Bayesian p-values were 0.86 and 0.93 for immediate and 10-week lagged effects, respectively. This shows that the use of distributed lag models improved model fit to the observation data for breeding probability.

366

367 **Ovulation rate**

368 The overall average ovulation rate was 6 corpora lutea (SD 1.7). Ovulation rate was almost constant across the breeding season in some years, but exhibited (a-seasonal) variation in 369 others (ESM 1, Fig. E.1.3). We found an effect of rainfall on ovulation rate during the main 370 breeding season, whereby ovulation rate increased by 0.01 corpora lutea above average (log-371 normal coefficient 0.006 CI: 0.001 - 0.015) per 1 mm increase in rainfall. Our model showed 372 greatest support for a rainfall effect with time lags of up to 14 (CI: 1 - 19) weeks. Ovulation 373 rates were also influenced by body mass index. Body mass index had a positive effect on 374 ovulation rate during the early to late breeding season with 0.12 - 0.19 increases in ovulation 375 376 rate per unit change in body mass index (all CIs: 0.04 - 0.25). The model fit was reasonably good with a Bayesian p-value of 0.56. When using single-step covariates instead of 377 distributed lag models, the Bayesian p-values were 0.55 and 0.56 for immediate and 10-week 378 379 lagged effects. This means that the distributed lag model did not improve fit to the observed data for ovulation rates. 380

381

382 Embryo survival rates

The overall estimated embryo survival rate was around 72 % (CI: 71 – 74 %). Embryo 383 survival rates exhibited variation in some years (see ESM 1, Fig. E.1.4). We show that 384 kidney fat score had a positive impact on embryo survival only during the rare breeding 385 season, with an increase of 109 % (odds ratio 2.9 CI: 1.8 - 5.8 %) in survival rate per unit 386 387 increase in kidney fat score. Likewise, body mass index is likely to influence embryo survival rates, particularly during the summer breeding season, where survival increased by 0.7 % 388 (odds ratio 3.9 CI: 2.1 - 8.8 %) per g above average body mass. However, overall model fit 389 390 for embryo survival was poor with a Bayesian p-value of zero, meaning that the covariates had a low predictive power in explaining variation in embryo survival. 391

392

393 **Discussion**

Rabbits can exhibit highly seasonal and circannual patterns in their reproduction,

synchronising paternal investment with food availability (Gilbert et al. 1987). We show that 395 the effects of environmental drivers and individual body condition on long-term changes in 396 rabbit fecundity vary considerably across seasons. Variation in rabbit fecundity was more 397 strongly correlated to variation in individual body condition than direct changes in 398 environmental factors. Rabbit body condition varied considerably among individuals 399 captured at the same time, leading to high levels of individual heterogeneity in reproductive 400 401 output and in embryo survival. Shifts in reproductive outputs across consecutive seasons in response to individual trait variation and, to a lesser extent, environmental conditions, are 402 therefore likely to mitigate future climate shifts from having a direct or indirect (through 403 404 body condition) effect on annual fecundity.

405

406 The effects of individual body condition and environmental factors on rabbit fecundity found in our study provide not only crucial insights into how average fecundity 407 rates may change over time, but also how the dynamics of reproductive output are likely to 408 409 shift across season. We show that variations in the timing of reproductive output are driven by the yearly onset of rainfall, potentially being mediated through food availability (Myers 410 1970). The lack of any direct effect of the pasture growth index on fecundity in our analysis 411 could be due to at least two possible explanations: true pasture growth is poorly represented 412 by our index, which unlike rainfall is not measured directly; or, total pasture growth is not 413 representative of the local plant species consumed by rabbits. Rainfall and kidney fat score 414 had a positive effect on breeding probability and embryo survival early in the year (January -415 April), suggesting that fat reserves promote reproductive success particularly when 416

417 conditions are marginal (i.e. at the onset of plant growth or at the end of the breeding season418 when food resource become scarce)(Mutze 2009).

By using distributed lag models, we were able to show that rainfall affects breeding 419 420 immediately and also with a time lag of up to ten weeks after the onset of rainfall in summer. There was, however, noticeable uncertainty in the coefficient estimates for the distributed lag 421 models as shown by relatively large credible intervals (see Fig. 3). In very dry years, rabbits 422 423 at our study site did not start breeding until mid-winter when evaporation is sufficiently low that even small amounts of rain may initiate some pasture growth (personal observation, 424 425 B.C.). Such shifts in the onset of breeding may allow rabbits to minimise the effects of shortterm adverse environmental conditions. Mature females that lack sufficient food resources to 426 reproduce early in the breeding season may still be able to increase individual body condition 427 428 and thereby increase their chances of later successful reproduction (Albon et al. 1983). A similar observation was made in Europe, where breeding by female rabbits is delayed after 429 harsh winter conditions (Rödel et al. 2005). 430

The effects of both body mass index and kidney fat on embryo survival appeared to 431 be strongest in the summer breeding season, whereas the effect of body mass index on 432 breeding probability was not as apparent in summer (but the relevant credible intervals 433 exhibited some overlap, see Fig. 4). We also show that rabbits shift reproductive output 434 across consecutive seasons in response to the yearly onset of rain. Typically, only relatively 435 436 heavy summer rains promote the onset of rabbit breeding, probably through initiating plant growth. Breeding probability in the main breeding season (e.g. July - October) decreased with 437 higher breeding probability in the preceding season (May-June) of the same year. At the same 438 439 time, an increase in breeding probability with better body conditions (larger body mass indices) in both the main and late breeding season (July - December) indicates that late 440

breeders are mostly those in good body condition. Therefore body condition is likely toinfluence the number of litters raised per year.

Individuals in better body condition had higher ovulation rates throughout the primary 443 breeding season (May- December), but not earlier in the year, when conditions are 444 suboptimal for breeding. This suggests that individuals only invest in reproduction under 445 reasonably good conditions. Shifts towards earlier breeding within a yearly cycle can lead to 446 447 relatively less reproductive output later in the yearly cycle for iteroparous rabbits. This is likely to be brought about through 'physiological exhaustion' of individuals, who become 448 449 incapable of breeding additional times within a yearly cycle (Myers and Poole 1963). The net effect of 'physiological exhaustion' on annual offspring production is that an earlier onset of 450 451 the breeding seasons does not necessarily increase overall yearly breeding capacity unless 452 resource supply persists for a sufficiently long period of time to promote the repeated 453 breeding of individuals within the same year. Nevertheless, early breeding within a circannual cycle may still favour population growth because early-born rabbits generally 454 have higher survival probabilities than those born shortly before the onset of summer (Mutze 455 et al. 2002). For females of other relatively short-lived species, survival may reflect, to some 456 extent, a cost of reproduction (Hamel et al. 2010). 457

We found only minor to moderate decreases of breeding probability with increases in 458 temperature during most of the year. Breeding probability decreased only 3-5 % per 1 °C 459 increase in temperature. This small temperature driven effect is unlikely to influence long-460 term population growth because reproductive output is generally high and with an average 461 ovulation rate of six corpora lutea (see results), many more infants are born than can be 462 recruited into the populations. Strong density-dependent compensation in rabbits through 463 survival (Fordham et al. 2012b) means that minor declines in reproductive output should not 464 alter population-level growth rates (Kokko and Lindström 1998). 465

466 The climate in semiarid Australia is predicted to experience increasing temperatures and declining rainfall in late autumn and early winter (CSIRO and Bureau of Meterology 467 2014). This is likely to cause increased physiological stress for rabbits (Fordham et al. 468 469 2012a). However, given that shifting reproductive output over time can to some extent compensate for adverse environmental conditions, and because overall temperature effects 470 are not very strong, we conclude that significant reduction in reproductive output of rabbits 471 472 under more extreme future climate conditions are unlikely or at least difficult to predict. In any case, our results support previous suggestions that environmentally-forced large-scale 473 474 population changes are unlikely to be driven by fecundity alone, particularly for mammals with high reproductive potential (Jonzén et al. 2010; Korpimäki et al. 2004). Accordingly, 475 476 studies of rabbits at another study site (Turretfield Research Station, ca. 200 km south, 477 Mediterranean climate) show that survival rates of rabbits are controlled by weather 478 conditions and rabbit haemorrhagic disease (which was not present in Australia during the time of our field work)(Fordham et al. 2012b; Mutze et al. 2014). Here, disease effects are 479 480 tightly linked to the seasonal matching of host and pathogen dynamics (Wells et al. 2015). We were unable to identify and model the underpinning natural processes that cause 481 individual heterogeneity, inter-annual variability or seasonal variability in body condition. 482 This is pertinent given that body condition is the most important driver of rabbit fecundity. 483 484 Better body condition is commonly linked to food availability in rabbits (Mutze 2009), 485 however, individual heterogeneity in rabbit body condition was not influenced by populationlevel processes such as density dependence, for which we would have expected a strong 486 effect if increases in population density would equally induce stress for all individuals of a 487 488 population such as food shortage. This is because high population densities would be expected to induce stress at the individual-level through food shortages. Other potential 489 490 drivers of individual heterogeneity in body condition include social structure, where

dominant females have better access to food and shelter (von Holst et al. 2002), and parasites
(Newey and Thirgood 2004). Since rabbits are iteropoarous and exhibit overlapping breeding
generations, cohort-specific dynamics and responses to environmental conditions offer
another explanation for the large individual heterogeneity underpinning reproductive output
(Coulson et al. 2001; Lindström and Kokko 2002).

Our dynamic statistical approach reveals the role of seasonal and long-term 496 497 environmental processes on animal demography and abundance over time. A robust understanding of these processes is needed to identify long-term (inter-annual) changes in 498 499 response to environmental fluctuations beyond seasonal patterns (Benton et al. 2006; Etterson et al. 2011; Wells et al. 2013). We show that modelling ecological data with strong seasonal 500 501 components can require accounting for dynamic changes in species behaviour and the history 502 of the exposure process to environmental conditions. The majority of ecological studies to 503 date have considered only single measures or moving averages as environmental covariates in linear models (Bolker et al. 2009). However, choosing the spatiotemporal scale over which to 504 505 summarize environmental covariates is often a challenging task.

In our study, we applied distributed lag models (Welty et al. 2009) in an 506 ecologically-based context to determine the time scale at which environmental covariates are 507 most influential on outcome variables. Distributed lag models not only provide insights into 508 509 the overall strength of environmental drivers (i.e. the effect size of coefficient estimates) but 510 also insights into the timespan of the exposure process, requiring functional constraints for dealing with the high correlation of climate and other environmental variables from 511 consecutive time steps. In our study, the credible intervals for the possible time lags were 512 fairly wide. Although we could have improved this by constraining priors in the model 513 specification, we preferred not to do this for two reasons: 1) in the absence of relevant prior 514 knowledge, more strict constraints would limit posterior distributions, and 2) by including a 515

516 random estimate of the first time lag after which weights (i.e. the relative effect sizes of each measure of time lagged covariate) effectively decrease, we use a more flexible and general 517 distributed lag model (at the cost of large posterior ranges given the uncertainty in estimates 518 519 from the data). Welty et al. (2009), for example, did use a more constrained model by assuming that the strongest effect across the lagged time steps occurs always as an immediate 520 effect, but this is unlikely to be appropriate for our system. Therefore, we recommend that 521 future research on the use of distributed lag models in ecology should test various forms of 522 such models that trade-off constraints and number of parameters versus flexibility in 523 524 estimating the shape of exposure-response relationships.

In summary, we show that seasonal dynamics and plasticity in reproduction are crucial components for understanding long-term changes in fecundity in response to past and future environmental conditions. Examining plasticity and time-scales of demographic exposure-response relationships in reproduction under seasonal conditions for a large range of species is likely to provide important insights into how species with different life histories are capable of dealing with global change.

531

532 Acknowledgments

We thank D. Chinner, B. Sutton, F. Anderson and F. Bartholomaeus for technical assistance
in the field and laboratory; and R. Sinclair, D. Peacock, E. Roy-Dufresne, M. Lurgi and A.
Jones for various discussions. Comments from N. Yoccoz and an anonymous reviewer helped
to improve an earlier draft of the paper. Australian Research Council Grants (LP12020024,
FT140101192) supported K.W. and D.A.F. The Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre
funded R.B.O'H. through the Landesoffensive zur Entwicklung wissenschaftlichökonomischer Exzellenz.

540

5	Л	1
-	-	-

- 542
- 543
- 544
- 545

546 **References**

- Aars J, Ims RA (2002) Intrinsic and climatic determinants of population demography: the
 winter dynamics of tundra voles. Ecology 83:3449-3456. doi: 10.1890/0012-
- 549 9658(2002)083[3449:IACDOP]2.0.CO;2
- Albon SD, Mitchell B, Staines BW (1983) Fertility and body weight in female red deer: a
- density-dependent relationship. J Anim Ecol 52:969-980. doi: 10.2307/4467
- Almon S (1965) The distributed lag between capital appropriations and expenditures.

553 Econometrica 33:178-196. doi: 10.2307/1911894

- Ashworth CJ, Toma LM, Hunter MG (2009) Nutritional effects on oocyte and embryo
- development in mammals: implications for reproductive efficiency and environmental
- 556
 sustainability. Philos Trans R Soc B 364:3351-3361. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0184
- Aubry P et al. (2012) Monitoring population size of mammals using a spotlight-count-based
- abundance index: how to relate the number of counts to the precision? Ecological
 Indicators 18:599-607. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.01.019
- 560 Benton TG, Plaistow SJ, Coulson TN (2006) Complex population dynamics and complex
- causation: devils, details and demography. Proc R Soc Lond B 273:1173-1181. doi:

562 10.1098/rspb.2006.3495

Bolker BM et al. (2009) Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and
evolution. Trends Ecol Evol 24:127-135. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2008.10.008

565	Brambell FWR (1942) Intra-uterine mortality of the wild rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus (L).
566	Proc R Soc Biol Sci Ser B 130:462-479. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1942.0013
567	Bronson FH (1985) Mammalian reproduction: an ecological perspective. Biol Reprod 32:1-
568	26. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod32.1.1
569	Bronson FH (2009) Climate change and seasonal reproduction in mammals. Philos Trans R
570	Soc Lond B Biol Sci 364:3331-3340. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0140
571	Carter JO, Hall WB, Brook KD, McKeon GM, Day KA, Paull CJ (2000) Aussie GRASS:
572	Australian grassland and rangeland assessment by spatial simulation. Applications of
573	seasonal climate forecasting in agricultural and natural ecosystems: the Australian
574	experience. In: Hammer GL, Nicholls N, Mitchell C (eds) Applications of seasonal
575	climate forecasting in agricultural and natural ecosystems. Springer, Dordrecht, pp
576	329-349
577	Conaway CH, Baskett TS, Toll JE (1960) Embryo resorption in the swamp rabbit. J Wildl
578	Manage 24:197-202. doi: 10.2307/3796746
579	Cooke B (1990) Rabbit burrows as environments for European Rabbit Fleas, Spilopsyllus-
580	cuniculi (Dale), in Arid South-Australia. Austral J Zool 38:317-325. doi:
581	10.1071/ZO9900317
582	Cooke BD (1974) Food and other resources of the wild rabbit Oryctolagus cuniculus. PhD
583	dissertation, Department of Zoology, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
584	Cooke BD (1983) Changes in the age-structure and size of populations of wild rabbits in
585	South Australia, following the introduction of European rabbit fleas, Spilopsyllus
586	cuniculi (Dale), as vectors of myxomatosis. Austral Wildl Res 10:105-120
587	Cooke BD (2014) Daily food intake of free-ranging wild rabbits in semiarid South Australia.
588	Wildl Res 41:141–148. doi:0.1071/WR14003

- Coulson T et al. (2001) Age, sex, density, winter weather, and population crashes in soay
 sheep. Science 292:1528-1531. doi: 10.1126/science.292.5521.1528
- 591 CSIRO, Australian Bureau of Meteorology (2014) State of the climate.
- 592 http://www.bom.gov.au/state-of-the-climate/
- 593 Dellaportas P, Forster J, Ntzoufras I (2002) On Bayesian model and variable selection using
- 594 MCMC. Statistics and Computing 12:27-36. doi: 10.1023/a:1013164120801
- 595 Desy EA, Thompson CF (1983) Effects of supplemental food on a *Microtus pennsylvanicus*596 population in central Illinois. J Anim Ecol 52:127-140. doi: 10.2307/4592
- Dudzinski M, Mykytowycz R (1961) The eye lens as an indicator of age in the wild rabbit in
 Australia. Wildl Res 6:156-159. doi: 10.1071/CWR9610156
- Ebling, FJP, Barrett P (2008) The regulation of seasonal changes in food intake and body
- 600 weight. Journal of Neuroendocrinology 20: 827-833. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
- 601 2826.2008.01721.x
- Etterson MA et al. (2011) Modeling fecundity in birds: conceptual overview, current models,
- and considerations for future developments. Ecol Model 222:2178-2190. doi:
- 604 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.10.013
- Fordham DA, Akçakaya HR, Araújo MB, Brook BW (2012a) Modelling range shifts for
- 606 invasive vertebrates in response to climate change. In: Brodie J, Post E, Doak D (eds)
- 607 Wildlife conservation in a changing climate. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp608 86-108
- 609 Fordham DA et al. (2012b) European rabbit survival and recruitment are linked to
- 610 epidemiological and environmental conditions in their exotic range. Austral Ecol
- 611 37:945-957. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2011.02354.x
- 612 Gasparrini A, Armstrong B, Kenward MG (2010) Distributed lag non-linear models. Stat
- 613 Med 29:2224-2234. doi: 10.1002/sim.3940

- Gelman A, Meng XL, Stern H (1996) Posterior predictive assessment of model fitness via
 realized discrepancies. Statistica Sinica 6:733-760
- Gilbert N et al. (1987) Comparative dynamics of Australasian rabbit populations. Australian
 Wildl Res 14:491-503
- Hamel S, Gaillard J-M, Yoccoz NG, Loison A, Bonenfant C, Descamps S (2010) Fitness
- 619 costs of reproduction depend on life speed: empirical evidence from mammalian
- 620 populations. Ecology Letters 13:915-935. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01478.x
- 621 Hanski I, Henttonen H, Korpimäki E, Oksanen L, Turchin P (2001) Small-rodent dynamics
- and predation. Ecology 82: 1505-1520. doi: 10.1890/0012-
- 623 9658(2001)082[1505:SRDAP]2.0.CO;2
- Henke SE, Demarais S (1990) Effect of diet on condition indices in black-tailed jackrabbits. J
 Wildl Dis 26:28-33. doi: 10.7589/0090-3558-26.1.28
- Hone JIM, Clutton-Brock TH (2007) Climate, food, density and wildlife population growth
 rate. J Anim Ecol 76:361-367. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01200.x
- 628 Jeffrey SJ, Carter JO, Moodie KB, Beswick AR (2001) Using spatial interpolation to
- 629 construct a comprehensive archive of Australian climate data. Environmental
- 630 Modelling & Software 16:309-330. doi: 10.1016/S1364-8152(01)00008-1
- 631 Jonzén N, Pople T, Knape J, Sköld M (2010) Stochastic demography and population
- dynamics in the red kangaroo *Macropus rufus*. J Anim Ecol 79:109-116. doi:
- 633 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2009.01601.x
- Kokko H, Lindström J (1998) Seasonal density dependence, timing of mortality, and
 sustainable harvesting. Ecol Model 110:293-304
- Korpimäki E, Brown PR, Jacob J, Pech RP (2004) The puzzles of population cycles and
 outbreaks of small mammals solved? BioScience 54:1071-1079

- Lambin X, Yoccoz NG (2001). Adaptive precocial reproduction in voles: reproductive costs
 and multivoltine life-history strategies in seasonal environments. J Anim Ecol 70:
 191-200. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2656.2001.00494.x
- Lindström J, Kokko H (2002) Cohort effects and population dynamics. Ecol Lett 5:338-344.
 doi: 10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00317.x
- Lof ME, Reed TE, McNamara JM, Visser ME (2012) Timing in a fluctuating environment:
- 644 environmental variability and asymmetric fitness curves can lead to adaptively
- mismatched avian reproduction. Proc R Soc Biol Sci Ser B 279:3161-3169. doi:
- 646 10.1098/rspb.2012.0431
- Meyers LA, Bull JJ (2002) Fighting change with change: adaptive variation in an uncertain
 world. Trends Ecol Evol 17:551-557. doi: 10.1016/s0169-5347(02)02633-2
- 649 Minot CS, Taylor EB (1905) Normal plates of the development of the rabbit (*Lepus*
- 650 *cuniculus*). In: Keibel F (ed) Normentafeln zur Entwicklungsgeschichte der
- 651 Wirbeltiere. Gustav Fischer, Jena
- 652 Mutze G, Bird P, Kovaliski J, Peacock D, Jennings S, Cooke B (2002) Emerging
- epidemiological patterns in rabbit haemorrhagic disease, its interaction with
- myxomatosis, and their effects on rabbit populations in South Australia. Wildlife
- 655 Research 29:577-590. doi: 10.1071/wr00100
- 656 Mutze GJ (2009) Changes in body condition and body size affect breeding and recruitment in
- 657 fluctuating house mouse populations in south-eastern Australia. Austral Ecol 34:278-
- 658 293. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2008.01929.x
- 659 Mutze GJ, Sinclair RG, Peacock DE, Capucci L, Kovaliski J (2014) Is increased juvenile
- 660 infection the key to recovery of wild rabbit populations from the impact of rabbit
- haemorrhagic disease? Eur J Wildl Res 60:489-499. doi: 10.1007/s10344-014-0811-6

- 662 Myers K (1970) The rabbit in Australia. In: Boer PJ, Gradwell GR (eds) Dynamics of
- populations. Proceedings of the advanced Study Institute on "Dynamics of numbers inpopulations", Oosterbeek, the Netherlands
- 665 Myers K, Poole WE (1963) A study of the biology of the wild rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus
- 666 (L.), in confined populations. V. Population dynamics. Wildlife Research 8:166-203.
 667 doi: 10.1071/CWR9630166
- 668 Newey S, Thirgood S (2004) Parasite-mediated reduction in fecundity of mountain hares.

669 Proc R Soc Biol Sci Ser B 271:S413-S415. doi:10.1071/CWR9630166

670 O'Hara RB, Sillanpää MJ (2009) A review of Bayesian variable selection methods: what,

how and which. Bayesian Analysis 4:85-117. doi: 10.1214/09-ba403

- 672 Plard F, Yoccoz NG, Bonenfant C, Klein F, Warnant C, Gaillard J-M (2015). Disentangling
- direct and growth-mediated influences on early survival: a mechanistic approach. J

674 Anim Ecol 84:1363-1372. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12378

- 675 Plummer M (2003) JAGS: a program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs
- sampling. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Distributed Statistical

677 Computing R Project for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

- 678 Rödel HG et al. (2005) Timing of breeding and reproductive performance of female
- European rabbits in response to winter temperature and body mass. Can J Zool
- 680 83:935-942. doi: 10.1139/z05-084
- Roxburgh SH et al. (2004) A critical overview of model estimates of net primary productivity
- for the Australian continent. Funct Plant Biol 31:1043-1059. doi: 10.1071/FP04100
- Royle JA (2004) N-mixture models for estimating population size from spatially replicated
- 684 counts. Biometrics 60:108-115

Sæther BE, Tufto J, Engen S, Jerstad K, Røstad OW, Skåtan JE (2000) Population dynamical
consequences of climate change for a small temperate songbird. Science 287:854-856.

687 10.1126/science.287.5454.854

- 688 Schwartz J (2000) The distributed lag between air pollution and daily deaths. Epidemiology
- 689 11:320-326. doi: 10.1097/00001648-200005000-00016
- Stockley P (2003) Female multiple mating behaviour, early reproductive failure and litter size
 variation in mammals. Proc R Soc Biol Sci Ser B 270:271-278. doi:
- 692 10.1098/rspb.2002.2228
- 693 Swihart RK (1984) Body size, breeding season length, and life history tactics of lagomorphs.
- 694 Oikos 43:282-290. doi: 10.2307/3544145
- 695Thompson PM, Ollason JC (2001) Lagged effects of ocean climate change on fulmar
- 696 population dynamics. Nature 413:417-420. doi: 10.1038/35096558
- 697 Via S, Gomulkiewicz R, Dejong G, Scheiner SM, Schlichting CD, Vantienderen PH (1995)
- Adaptive phenotypic plasticity: consensus and controversy. Trends Ecol Evol 10:212-
- 699 217. doi: 10.1016/s0169-5347(00)89061-8
- von Holst D, Hutzelmeyer H, Kaetzke P, Khaschei M, Rödel HG, Schrutka H (2002) Social
- rank, fecundity and lifetime reproductive success in wild European rabbits
- 702 (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 51:245-254. doi: 10.1007/s00265-
- 703 001-0427-1
- Wells K et al. (2015) Timing and severity of immunizing diseases in rabbits is controlled by
 seasonal matching of host and pathogen dynamics. J R Soc Interface 12:doi:
- seasonar matering of nost and pathogen dynamics of tesse metre
- 706 10.1098/rsif.2014.1184
- Wells K, Dolich T, Wahl J, O'Hara RB (2013) Spatio-temporal dynamics in waterbirds
 during the non-breeding season: Effects of local movements, migration and weather

- are monthly, not yearly. Basic Appl Ecol 14:523–531. doi:
- 710 10.1016/j.baae.2013.07.001
- 711 Wells K, Lakim MB, O'Hara RB (2014) Shifts from native to invasive small mammals across
- 712 gradients from tropical forest to urban habitat in Borneo. Biodivers Conserv 23:2289-
- 713 2303. doi: 10.1007/s10531-014-0723-5
- 714 Welty LJ, Peng RD, Zeger SL, Dominici F (2009) Bayesian distributed lag models:
- r15 estimating effects of particulate matter air pollution on daily mortality. Biometrics
- 716 65:282-291. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-0420.2007.01039.x
- 717 West GB, Brown JH, Enquist BJ (2001) A general model for ontogenetic growth. Nature
- 718 413:628-631. doi: 10.1038/35098076
- 719 Zellweger-Fischer J, Kery M, Pasinelli G (2011) Population trends of brown hares in
- 720 Switzerland: The role of land-use and ecological compensation areas. Biol Conserv
- 721 Biol Conserv 144:1364-1373. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2010.11.021
- 722
- 723
- 724
- 725
- 726
- 727
- 728
- 729

730

Table 1. Primary climatic and individual-level drivers of rabbit fecundity (breeding probability, ovulation rate, embryo survival) according to season. Positive effects are indicated with "(+)" and negative effects with "(-)". Different colours show a gradient from low to high (yellow to red) in the magnitude of seasonal change for breeding probability, ovulation rate, embryo survival based on model results. See Methods and Results for further details.

	Jan-Apr	May-Jun	July-Oct	Nov-Dec
	(summer)	(early)	(main)	(late)
Breeding	Rainfall			
probability	(+)			
	Temperature	Temperature	Temperature	
	(-)	(-)	(-)	
	Kidney fat score		Body mass index	Body mass index
	(+)		(+)	(+)
			Preceding	
			breeding	
			probability	
			(-)	
	Age	Age	Age	Age
	(+)	(+)	(+)	(+)
Ovulation			Rainfall	
rate			(+)	
		Body mass index	Body mass index	Body mass index
		(+)	(+)	(+)
Embryo	Kidney fat score			
survival	(+)			
	Body mass index			
	(+)			

Fig. 1. Illustration showing possible seasonal and inter-annual differences in rabbit fecundity. The black line illustrates a three yearly cycle of breeding probabilities (i.e., probability density function for individuals breeding). In semi-arid Australia, breeding typically starts with the onset of pasture growth in autumn (early "E" breeding season) and peaks in the main breeding season ("M") in winter. Boxes are drawn to represent consecutive seasons, whereby orange hatching shows "E" and red hatching shows "M". The height of the boxes represents the range of breeding probabilities within these time periods. Grey arrows illustrate potential autoregression effects (i.e. the possible effect of preceding breeding probabilities on those in the current time steps). Red dots represent seasonally averaged fecundity rates for each year. The second peak in potential breeding probability occurs relatively late in the yearly cycle, causing lower fecundity rates in the early season, whereby fecundity rates in the main seasons M-1 to M-3 are similar (red dashed lines).

Fig. 2. Seasonal patterns of breeding probability for rabbits over 25 years (1968 to 1992). Black lines show the posterior mode estimates from a 4th order polynomial model, applied on an annual time step (fitted without environmental or individual-level covariates). Grey lines show underlying uncertainty in the estimates, plotted as 1,000 posterior samples.

Fig. 3. The effects of weekly averaged rainfall on rabbit breeding probability in the summer (rare) breeding season and temperature in the early breeding season. Left panels show the posterior coefficient estimates for each weekly time lag (0 – 19 weeks), vertical black bars represent 95 % credible intervals. Red lines show the posterior mode of the parameter π ,

which describes the maximum time lag for which all previous lags are equally weighted in the distributed lag model (see Methods). The posterior frequency distribution of π is shown in the right panel.

Fig. 4. Effect of kidney fat and body mass score on breeding probability, ovulation rate and embryo survival in different seasons (*summer* breeding season Jan - Apr, *early* breeding May-June, *main* breeding July - Oct, and *late* breeding season Nov - Dec). Effect sizes are given as posterior modes (black squares) and 95 % credible intervals (black lines) from scaled covariates. Numbers to the right of the coefficient estimates denote importance weights for parameters based on a Gibbs variable selection procedure (see Methods).

Fig. 1



Fig. 2







