



# Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Biology Letters* 

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa44730

### Paper:

Nichols, H., Zecherle, L. & Arbuckle, K. (2016). Patterns of philopatry and longevity contribute to the evolution of postreproductive lifespan in mammals. *Biology Letters, 12*(2), 20150992 http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0992

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

# 1 Patterns of philopatry and longevity contribute to the evolution of post-reproductive

## 2 lifespan in mammals

- 3 Nichols H.J.\*<sup>+1</sup>, Zecherle L.<sup>1\*</sup>, Arbuckle, K.<sup>2</sup>
- 4 1. Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural Science and Psychology, Liverpool, UK
- 5 L3 3AF.
- 6 2. University of Liverpool, Institute of Integrative Biology, Liverpool, UK L69 7ZB.
- 7 \* These authors contributed equally to the manuscript
- 8 + Corresponding author: h.j.nichols@ljmu.ac.uk

## 9 Abstract

10	While menopause has long been known as a characteristic trait of human reproduction, evidence for
11	post-reproductive lifespan (PRLS) has recently been found in other mammals. Adaptive and non-
12	adaptive hypotheses have been proposed to explain the evolution of PRLS, but formal tests of these
13	are rare. We use a phylogenetic approach to evaluate hypotheses for the evolution of PRLS among
14	mammals. In contrast to theoretical models predicting that PRLS may be promoted by male
15	philopatry (which increases relatedness between a female and her group in old age), we find little
16	evidence that male philopatry led to the evolution of a post-reproductive period. However, the
17	proportion of life spent post-reproductive was related to lifespan and patterns of philopatry,
18	suggesting that the duration of PRLS may be impacted by both non-adaptive and adaptive processes.
19	Finally, the proportion of females experiencing PRLS was higher in species with male philopaty and
20	larger groups, in accordance with adaptive models of PRLS. We suggest that the origin of PRLS
21	primarily follows the non-adaptive 'mismatch' scenario, but that patterns of philopatry may
22	subsequently confer adaptive benefits of late-life helping.
23	Key Words
24	Menopause, life-history evolution, kin-selection, dispersal, primate, cetacean
25	
26	Introduction
27	Menopause has long been known as a characteristic of human reproduction [1] but the
28	existence of post-reproductive lifespan (PRLS) in other mammalian species has been recognised
29	relatively recently [2, 3]. Post-reproductive periods of 20+ years (similar to that observed in humans)
30	have been found in two long-lived cetacean species [4]. Shorter periods of PRLS have been identified
31	in a wide range of mammalian species, including cetaceans, primates and rodents [2]. However, the

existence of post-reproductive lifespan is an evolutionary paradox; natural selection would be
 expected to disfavour the premature cessation of reproduction. Why then is PRLS so widespread?

PRLS may have an adaptive value. For example, menopause could be favoured if mothers provide help to adult offspring, thereby increasing the production of grand-offspring [5]. Lahdenperä et al. [6] showed that human grandmothers are able to boost the reproductive success of their children. Similarly, in killer whales, post-reproductive females appear to extend the longevity of their adult offspring [7]. This adaptive 'grandmother hypothesis' for the evolution of PRLS depends on the presence of kin towards whom help can be directed.

Johnstone and Cant [8] developed a model to explain the involvement of kin selection in the 40 41 evolution of prolonged PRLS in cetaceans and humans which suggested parallel routes for the 42 evolution of PRLS. While early humans are believed to have been male-philopatric, in killer whales 43 and short-finned pilot whales neither sex disperses and mating occurs outside the family group. 44 These dispersal strategies are predicted to lead to an increase in relatedness to other group 45 members throughout the lifetime of a female. In both situations, females begin their reproductive 46 life away from their father and other paternal relatives (either because she has dispersed or because 47 she was the product of an extra-group mating). However, her sons remain within the social group, and hence relatedness between the female and local males (and therefore average relatedness to 48 49 group members) increases over the female's lifespan, thereby leading to the evolution of an 50 adaptive period of post-reproductive helping behaviour [8].

51 While the literature focuses on PRLS as an adaptive trait, it could simply be a non-adaptive 52 by-product of other life history traits. PRLS is of short duration in most mammals, leading to the 53 proposal that PRLS is a consequence of mismatch in somatic versus reproductive senescence [2]. 54 This mismatch may be more likely to occur in long-lived species as the associated variability in 55 maximum lifespan leads to an increased probability that some individuals exceed the age by which 56 oocytes are depleted. Attempts to test the predictions of adaptive versus non-adaptive hypotheses for the evolution of PRLS are lacking, despite a theoretical framework for both classes of explanation [2, 8]. In this study, we use a comparative approach to investigate whether natural history traits can predict the existence and extent of PRLS among mammals. If PRLS has arisen adaptively due to kin selection, we expect sex-specific dispersal dynamics to be important in the evolution of PRLS [8]. Alternatively, if PRLS arises primarily due to a mismatch between somatic and reproductive ageing, then we would expect PRLS to be seen in longer-lived species.

64 Methods

#### 65 Data Collection

66 A literature search was conducted to identify all mammalian species for which reliable PRLS 67 data is available (see supplementary material for our strategy for categorising PRLS including 68 caveats, and Table S1 for the data obtained). We recorded the presence or absence of PRLS, the 69 duration of PRLS, and the frequency with which PRLS is experienced in the population. For species 70 which we had data on the presence or absence of PRLS we continued our literature search to obtain 71 data on natural history variables likely to influence local relatedness (male philopatry, female 72 philopatry, and group-size), and lifespan (in years), which could influence the mismatch between 73 somatic and reproductive ageing. Only data from wild populations was used since captivity can alter 74 the incidence and details of PRLS [9] and therefore arguably adds no information to evolutionary 75 studies of the trait. A dated phylogenetic tree of mammals was obtained from the literature [10] and 76 pruned in Mesquite [11] to leave the 26 mammal species for which we had PRLS data (note that we 77 included three populations of humans in some analyses so some sample sizes were greater than 26). 78 This pruned tree was used for all comparative analyses conducted.

79 Statistical analysis

### 80 What influences the presence of PRLS?

81 We fit generalised linear mixed models (GLMMs) with a binomial error structure using 82 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) with an inverse gamma hyperprior to investigate whether each 83 natural history variable (male philopatry, female philopatry, group size and lifespan) was a predictor 84 of the presence of PRLS. We coded the absence or presence of PRLS as having states 0 and 1 85 respectively and used this as our response variable. The phylogeny was included as a random effect 86 to account for evolutionary history and these models were run in the MCMCglmm package in R [12]. 87 To avoid over-parameterisation each model contained only one explanatory variable. Each MCMC 88 GLMM was run for 15 million generations, the first 500,000 of which were conservatively discarded 89 as burnin. The chain was sampled every 10,000 generations, giving 1,450 posterior samples for each 90 model.

91 For significant predictors of the presence/absence of PRLS we also reconstructed ancestral
92 states to further assess how the traits evolved with respect to each other. Ancestral state
93 reconstruction was conducted using Bayesian stochastic mapping in phytools [13] and inference
94 made based on 10,000 simulations.

95 What influences the duration of PRLS?

96 We measured relative duration of PRLS as the proportion of maximum lifespan spent post-97 reproductive (Table S1). We tested for effects of each natural history variable (male philopatry, 98 female philopatry, group-size, and lifespan) individually on the relative duration of PRLS using 99 generalised estimating equations (GEEs), which were fitted in the ape package in R [14]. The 100 variance-covariance matrix for the GEEs was specified based on the phylogeny, which controls for 101 phylogenetic relationships between species by including this information within the model.

102 What influences the frequency of PRLS?

103 To investigate which factors influence the proportion of individuals that experience PRLS, we 104 modelled this variable as a function of each natural history variable (male philopatry, female philopatry, group-size, and lifespan). We used GEEs to control for any influence of phylogeny which
were fit as described in the preceding section.

107

#### 108 **Results and Discussion**

109 We took a phylogenetic approach to investigate natural history factors influencing post-110 reproductive lifespan in mammals with the aim of assessing whether adaptive or non-adaptive 111 scenarios best explain its evolution. In accordance with theoretical work by Johnstone and Cant [8], 112 we found a significant association between the presence of PRLS and male philopatry (MCMC 113 GLMM: β=340.52, P=0.018, Table S2). However, Johnstone and Cant's model predicts that male 114 philopatry is a key (but not the only) evolutionary driver of PRLS, which was not supported by our 115 results. All 5 species with confirmed male philopatry exhibited PRLS, but ancestral state 116 reconstructions suggest that PRLS evolves first, followed by male philopatry (at least in primates) 117 (Figure 1). Furthermore, 50% of the 18 species with dispersing males also exhibited PRLS, again 118 suggesting that male philopatry is unlikely to explain the origin of PRLS in mammals. 119 If PRLS is typically of short duration then it is possible that patterns of philopatry are 120 important in the evolution of an extended period of PRLS due to their influence on kinship [4]. 121 Supporting this, we found that species with female philopatry had significantly shorter periods of 122 PRLS (GEE:  $\beta$ ±SE=-1.573±0.681, t<sub>1.20</sub>=-2.308, P=0.048, Table S3, Figure 2a), and species with male-123 philopatry had a (non-significant) trend for increased periods of PRLS (GEE:  $\beta$ ±SE=1.394±0.676, 124  $t_{1,20}$ =2.063, P=0.071, Table S3). However we note that we cannot rule out the possibility that male 125 philopatry is associated with PRLS via its positive relationship with lifespan (pGLS: t=4.06, df=1,20, 126 P=0.001). Furthermore, factors other than dispersal patterns are expected to influence the adaptive

127 evolution of PRLS, such as the opportunity for late-life helping and competition (which we did not

128 fully investigate here), but Johnstone and Cant [8] propose dispersal as an important driver.

129 We found that the relative duration of PRLS was greater in longer-lived species (GEE: 130  $\beta$ ±SE=0.038±0.011, t<sub>1.23</sub>=3.482, P=0.007, Table S3, Figure 2b). While a previous study [15] found a 131 relationship between time spent postreproductive and lifespan, they modelled the total duration of 132 PRLS, rather than the relative duration (as we have calculated here). Our measure is unlikely to be 133 inherently associated with lifespan, and therefore suggests that the relationship between PRLS and 134 lifespan is not simply an artefact of longer living species spending more months/years post-135 reproductive. Instead, our results are consistent with the idea that extended PRLS can occur due to 136 mismatching of somatic and reproductive ageing [2]. It could also be related to selection on 137 increased male lifespan, which could in turn lead to extended female lifespan via intersexual genetic 138 correlations, even if they are not reproductive [2, 16, 17]. Disentangling these alternative non-139 adaptive scenarios would require detailed investigation into the evolutionary genetic constraints on 140 lifespan across a wide range of mammals. 141 The proportion of females experiencing PRLS was higher in male philopatric species (GEE: 142  $\beta$ ±SE=1.900±0.786, t<sub>1.15</sub>=2.418, P=0.047, Table S3, Figure 2c), suggesting that male philopatry could 143 drive the evolution of widespread PRLS in a species [7]. We also found that PRLS was more prevalent 144 in larger groups (GEE:  $\beta$ ±SE=0.051±0.014, t<sub>1,15</sub>=3.762, P=0.0075, Table S3, Figure 2d), possibly because these contain more philopatric young, and hence greater opportunities for helping. 145 146 However, there was significant covariation between male philopatry and group size (GEE: 147  $\beta$ ±SE=43.680±18.437, t<sub>1.20</sub>=2.369, P=0.045), making it difficult to distinguish between their effects, 148 especially with the limited number of species for which data is currently available. Future models of

the evolution of PRLS may benefit from exploring these relationships further by investigating the

, , , , , , , , ,

150 impacts of both group size and philopatry on kinship dynamics.

151

152 Conclusions

153 We tested the predictions of the most common adaptive model for the evolution of PRLS [8] 154 and our results provide mixed support for such a model. We suggest that adaptive models such as 155 that by Johnstone and Cant [8] may be important in explaining prolonged periods of PRLS (as they 156 were intended to do), but do not provide a good explanation for the occurrence of shorter periods 157 of PRLS that seem to be prevalent across mammals [2, 5]. Rather, the evolutionary origin of PRLS 158 appears to primarily follow a non-adaptive scenario such as the 'mismatch' hypothesis [2, 18]. 159 Patterns of philopatry may subsequently confer adaptive benefits of late-life helping which extends 160 the duration and frequency of PRLS [5, 7, 8]. Under this scenario we suggest that the prolonged 161 periods of PRLS found in a few species such as humans and cetaceans are a consequence of non-162 adaptive origins followed by adaptive evolutionary 'tinkering'. Our results also demonstrate that for 163 some analyses, it may be important to consider different components of PRLS separately, rather 164 than combined in a single measure such as PrR [15]. Different factors are likely to govern the 165 evolution of the presence, absence and duration of PRLS and conflation of these elements in a single 166 index limits our ability to evaluate many ideas.

167

#### 168 Data Accessibility

169 Data are provided in Table S1.

170

#### 171 Ethical Statement

172 This study did not use human or animal subjects and therefore there were no ethical concerns which

173 required evaluation.

174

175 Competing Interests

176 The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

177

### 178 Author Contributions

- 179 HJN and KA devised the study. LZ collected the majority of the data. KA and LZ analysed the data. KA
- and HJN wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors commented on the manuscript,
- approved the final version for publication, and accept accountability for all aspects of the work.

182

#### 183 Funding Statement

184 No funding was received for the work presented herein.

185

#### 186 Figure Legends

- 187 Figure 1. Summary of ancestral state reconstructions for PRLS (left) and male philopatry (right).
- 188 Posterior probabilities (PP) of state 1 (trait is present) are represented by a greyscale gradient.
- 189 Figure 2. Relationships between the duration of PRLS and (a) female philopatry, (b) maximum
- 190 lifespan, and between the prevalence of PRLS and (c) male philopatry and (d) group size.

191

#### 192 References

Blurton-Jones, N.G., Howkes, K., O'Connell, J.F. 2002 Antiquity of post-reproductive life: are
 there modern impacts on hunter-gatherer postreproductive lifespans? *American Journal of Human Biology* 14,184-205.

Cohen, A.A. 2004 Female post-reproductive lifespan: a general mammalian trait. *Biological Reviews* **79**,733-750.

Packer, C., Tatar, M., Collins, A. 1998 Reproductive cessation in female mammals. *Nature* **392**,807-811.

- Croft, D.P., Brent, L.J.N., Franks, D.W., Cant, M.A. 2015 The evolution of prolonged life after
   reproduction. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **30**,407-416.
- Shanley, D.P., Kirkwood, T.B. 2001 Evolution of the human menopause. *Bioessays* 23,282 287.

Lahdenperä, M., Lummaa, V., Helle, S., Tremblay, M., Russell, A.F. 2004 Fitness benefits of
 prolonged post-reproductive lifespan in women. *Nature* 428,178-181.

- Foster, E.A., Franks, D.W., Mazzi, S., Darden, S.K., K.C., B., Ford, J.K.B., Croft, D.P. 2012
  Adaptive prolonged postreproductive life span in killer whales. *Science* 337,1313.
- Johnstone, R.A., Cant, M.A. 2010 The evolution of menopause in cetaceans and humans: the
   role of demography. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological Sciences* **277**,3765-3771.
- 211 9. Levitis, D.A., Burger, O., Lackey, L.B. 2013 The human post-fertile lifespan in comparative
  212 evolutionary context. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews* 22,66-79.
- 213 10. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Cardillo, M., Jones, K.E., MacPhee, R.D.E., Beck, R.M.D., Grenyer, R.,
  214 Price, S.A., Vos, R.A., Gittleman, J.L., Purvis, A. 2007 The delayed rise of present-day mammals.

## 215 Nature **446**,507 - 512.

- 216 11. Maddison, W.P., Maddison, D.R. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis.
  217 Version 2.75. <u>http://mesquiteproject.org2011</u>.
- Hadfield, J.D. 2010 MCMC Methods for Multi-Response Generalized Linear Mixed Models:
   The MCMCglmm R Package. *Journal of Statistical Software* **33**,1-22.
- 13. Revel, L.J. 2012 Phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Mathads in Ecology and Evolution **2**, 217, 222
- things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**,217-223.
- Paradis, E., J., C. 2002 Analysis of comparative data using generalized estimating equations. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **218**,175-185.
- Levitis, D.A., Lackey, L.B. 2011 A measure for describing and comparing postreproductive life
   span as a population trait. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 2,446-453.
- Bonduriansky, R., Chenoweth, S.F. 2009 Intralocus sexual conflict. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24,280-288.
- Tuljapurkar, S.D., Puleston, C.O., Gurven, M.D. 2007 Why Men Matter: Mating Patterns Drive
   Evolution of Human Lifespan. *PLoS ONE* 2,e785.
- 230 18. Ricklefs, R.E., Scheuerlein, A., Cohen, A. 2003 Age-related patterns of fertility in captive
- populations of birds and mammals. *Experimental Gerontology* **38**,714-745.

232