

# Analysis of alternative actions for import substitution policy implementation in machine engineering complex

Sergey Chevychelov<sup>1,\*</sup>, Igor Kotov<sup>1</sup>, and Sergey Klevtsov<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Southwest State University, 305040 Kursk, Russia <sup>2</sup>Kursk Institute of the Cooperation, 305000 Kursk, Russia

**Abstract**. This paper discusses the considerations for production modernization, replacement of imported spare parts manufactured in-house and redistribution of items manufactured spare parts between managed societies, with a goal to improve the quality and reliability of products, to reduce its cost, and work. Noted that the process of acquiring new foreign equipment and modern materials are complicated. There are examples of restrictive measures of the sanctions impact on industrial products and the dynamics of dependency on imports of industrial products. We used analytic and statistical research methods. Authors conducted a comparative analysis of the engineering assets of «Metalloinvest» holding that showed that all businesses have an impressive fleet of metal-working equipment on all groups of machines on the basis of an analysis of the needs for them to transport spare parts.

#### **1** Introduction

The innovation potential of a machine-building complex is the main competitive advantage of the developed countries, which focused on an integrated approach to the use of tools to support innovation activities of enterprises and enhance public-private partnerships involving research and educational organizations, enterprises and institutions of development.

Production in industrial sectors, in particular of machine-building industry, is carried out using imported equipment from leading manufacturers in the field of metalworking and equipment, and using the equipment procured within the country[1-4].

Among foreign scientists analysis the policy of import substitution in a machine-building complex such as W. Hongyuan, Z. Xunjiang, D. Weifeng [5], M. Jahani, A. Farzanegan, M. Noaparast [7], F. Elskamp, H. Kruggel-Emden [8], G. Wang, X. Tong[9].

#### 2 Results and discussion

However, in connection with the recent complex geopolitical and economic environment and the introduction of a series of sanctions in industrial complex (table 1) is complicated by the acquisition of new foreign equipment and modern materials.

Many countries, not only imports industrial equipment, but also manufactured goods, in table 2 you

can see that many countries depend both on imported industrial machinery and products.

Table 1. Examples of restrictive measures of the sanctions
impact on the industrial products*.

An example of the impact of sanctions	The country, which sent the impact of sanctions
embargo on dual-use goods and technologies intended for	Russia
military use or for the military	
end user	
a ban on the export of certain	Belarus
equipment	

\*http://www.bscn.nl/sanctions-consulting/sanctions-list-countries

 Table 2. Dynamics of dependence on imports for industrial production (% of imported industrial products).

Country	2009	2010	2011	2012	2014
Ukraine	52,2	53	53,2	56,3	56,8
Belarus	45,1	47,3	41,7	46,3	47
Russia	76,5	74,5	74,9	83,1	82,2

Therefore, in modern terms, one of the main problems is the problem of import substitution in industry at the national level [10-13].

To date, the process of import substitution is relevant in view of the unstable political and economic situation in the world. On the basis of modern high-quality technologies you should produce more important modern and innovative products. It is advisable to organize their

© The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors: tschsa@yandex.ru

own production, repairs and servicing, to use of highly qualified specialists, to conclude a cooperation agreement with Russian companies.

The Ministry of trade and industry of the Russian Federation implements state import substitution programme at the national level in the industrial sector, in particular, on the critically important areas. Critically important position, mainly those on the world market are either monopolies or oligopolies, i.e. access is closed or restricted, and the level of dependency on Russian industry from such positions above the critical level.

Financial support measures, such as subsidies to complex investment projects, working capital and the mechanism of the Fund for the development of industry, also focused on import substitution projects. In this sense, import substitution is intended to offset shrinking domestic demand, to ensure technological independence, to ramp up their competence to world market prices[14].

However, import substitution in engineering, from the point of view of some economists, it would take about five to seven years, in the light of the development of scientific, technological and productive capacities. To reach the target level of substitution on the most soughtafter items of equipment need additional funding of about 12-15 billion rubles from budget in 2015-2020th, which will be used to develop new technologies and create serial productions.

In the process of import substitution and export products technological level of industry are increased, as well as her willingness to work successfully for long-term development vector[15-17]. The only way to cope with an artificial restriction on the technological level and competitiveness of our products is the implementation of a program to support investment machinery, engineering, contract life cycle. The Government is now considering the possibility to launch a program of support for the development of the production of the means of production, waiting for the next budget cycle, in the framework of anti-crisis plan-2016. On the assessment of the Ministry of industry and trade, in the year 2016 it will need about 20 billion rubles. Another strategic direction is the new point of growth, based on prospective developments that will be converted into new, large-scale global markets in term of 15-20 years [18].

During the project implementation «Import substitution Centre» in close partnership with Russian Engineering Union orientation occurs primarily on the formation of a new industrial paradigm in Russia. Development of industrial infrastructure and establishes a basic funds[19-20].

The key question is the basic funds of enterprises of the machine-building complex. Manufacture of machine tools is an industry that generates funds, which provides technological equipment all strategic spheres of machinebuilding and the military-industrial complexes[21-23].

Thus, when evaluating the potential of implementing the strategy of import substitution in the framework of a specific industrial sector there is a need to analyse the potential of this complex. The machine-building complex is able to play a vital role in the economy of the region with innovative renewal conditions of radical modernization of facilities engineering, active policies of import substitution, bringing effective investors and strategic partners.

Comparative analysis of engineering assets of «Metalloinvest» holding showed that all businesses have an impressive fleet of metal-working equipment, in relation to all groups of machines.

Let us analyze the needs for imported spare parts for the surveyed enterprises. On request, the management organization for information on requirements for imported spare parts received lists for the year 2016. The results of the analysis checklists are presented in table 3.

Thus, it is possible to recommend a draw in 2016 year engineering and consulting company for the purpose of:

— detailed analysis in managed organization nomenclature import spare parts, to determine whether the development of analogs of own production, in view of the series of products and terms of operation of the equipment;

 development of design documentation for imported spare parts to be procured;

- development of technological documentation for production of spare parts import analogues;

— plot design for manufacture analogues of spare parts are imported;

— development of feasibility study of the construction site for the manufacture of spare parts import analogues.

	Positions on the list, units							
Managed organization	critical	moderately critical	not critical	total				
JSC «OEMK»	186	40	0	226				
Jsc «Mikhailovsky Gok»	119	92	53	264				
JSC «Lebedinsky Gok»	1154	1149	12	2315				
JSC «Ural steel»	107	43	6	156				
TOTAL	1566	1324	71	2961				

Table 3. Analysis of the need for import of spare parts.

Authors revealed that preparations for the majority of products are forgings. It was therefore considered the possibility of manufacturing forgings forces managed entities. In parallel, the possibility of replacement purchase its own production of forgings of blanks for already mastered an item.

Cost structure for each of the managed entities is not uniform, each enterprise is allocated their own factors with the greatest influence on the final cost of production and, consequently, the prices of spare parts.

For leveling influence the negative features of the accounting and pricing on each managed organizations in the production of spare parts it is advisable to proceed with the development and approval of unified rules of pricing machine processing managed entities.

Cost reduction redistribution on managed entities download growth workshop at 10%.

As a result of increasing loading machine shops of managed organization at 10% may get cost redistribution at 6% due to the action:

— production scale (increasing the volume of production decreases the magnitude of fixed costs per unit of output spare parts; the result is a decrease in unit cost with the growth of volumes of manufacture);

— synergies between several units of the «Metalloinvest» holding.

We have a comprehensive analysis of alternatives to improve the utilization of engineering assets and their strategic development.

Development of new nomenclature for spare parts purchased from third-party vendors, for the needs of managed societies, divided into 5 options:

Alternative 1: manufacturing of products subject to harness existing unused machinery assets when possible transfer of equipment for 24 hours and increased staffing levels.

Alternative 2: possibility to manufacture products in accordance with the list provided (refinement) of the

design documentation (new nomenclature of spare parts of our own production).

Alternative 3: Mastering the products on the list, subject to the acquisition of workpieces or parts, manufacture of which is impossible in conditions of managed organization.

Alternative 4: manufacturing of products subject to the acquisition of equipment and increase in staff (procurement of new equipment).

Alternative 5: castings, which do not require further machining.

Manufacturing of products subject to change operation mode shifts existing engineering assets, with the necessary resources (table 4).

For the implementation phase activities need to be developed for the manufacture of tooling for the year 2016 followed by manufacturing products in quantities 21 names (3122 places) a year weighing 1004.94 tons to the amount of 58388.6 thousand rubles.

					Altern	ative	1					
	mar	n claimed naged izations	ged Position, planned to manufacture in managed organization								The necessary resources	
Managed organizations	Quantity	total weight	Quantity	total weight	Positio	ns on	the levels Modera	v	cality		the number of workers	
	$^n \partial$	te We	$\delta^n$	te We	critical		critical	liely	not cri	tical		
	unit	tons	unit	tons	unit	%	unit	%	unit	%	person	
JSC «OEMK»	39	7,43	32	6,06	28	87,5	5 4	12,5	0	0,0	1	
Jsc «Mikhailovsky Gok»	18	243,3	21	220,6	6	28,6	5 10	47,6	5	23,8	7	
JSC «Lebedinsky Gok»	39	494,6	43	518,7	35	81,4	4 8	18,6	0	0,0	17	
JSC «Ural steel»	1	7,7	1	7,7	1	100	0	0,0	0	0,0	1	
TOTAL	97	753,1	97	753,1	70	72,2	2 22	22,7	5	5,1	26	
				-	Altern	ative 2	2		•		•	
	ty		Ро	sitions or	the lev	vels of	f criticalit	ty				
Managed organizations	Quantity	critical	itical moderately critical not critical							Nec	essary resources	
	units	units	%	units	%	ó 0	units	5	%	person/day		
JSC «OEMK»	53	33	62,3	9	17	,0	11	20,8		212		
Jsc «Mikhailovsky Gok»	21	4	19,0	14	14 66,7 3				14,3		84	
JSC «Lebedinsky Gok»	107	102	95,3	4	3,7		1		0,9		428	
JSC «Ural steel»	0	0	0	0	0		0		0		0	
TOTAL	181	139	76,8	27 14,9		15		8,3		724		
'					Alterna	ative 3	3					
Managed organizations		Positions, the dministering Positions planned to manufacture in a managed organizations								Neo	cessary resources	

Table 4. Alternatives for improving the efficiency of engineering assets when implementing the concept of import substitution.

-2017															
	C	organi	zations												
		<i>v</i>	ght	~	Positions on the levels of criticality										
		Quantity	total weight	Quantity	total weight	critio	cal	Mod critic	eratel cal	ly	not ci	not critical		e number workers	cost of blanks
	u	nits	tons	units	tons	unit s	%	unit s	%	ó	units	inits · %		person	ths. rub.
JSC «OEMK»		4	1,75	4	1,75	1	25,0	0	0	)	3	75		1	120,5
Jsc «Mikhailovsky Gok»	7	2	87,4	1	6,4	0	0	1	10	)0	0	0		1	5073,6
JSC «Lebedinsky Gok»		29	227,2	30	308	26	86,7	4	13	,3	0	0		10	13951,0
JSC «Ural steel	»	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	)	0	0		0	0
TOTAL	Í	35	316,4	35	316	27	77,1	5	14	,3	3	8,6		12	19145,1
						Alt	ernativ	e 4							
		mana	claimed ged ations	Positio	n, planr	ned to	manufa	cture	in ma	anage	d org	anizatio	ons	Necess	ary resources
Managed	ity		'u	ity	l ht		Positio	ns on	the le	vels a	of crit	icality		the	Number of
organization	Quantity		totat weight	Quantity	total weight	cri	itical		odera critica		n	ot critic	cal	number workers	· · · ·
	units	1	ons	units.	tons	u	nits	%	unit s	%	uni	ts %	6	person	units
JSC «OEMK»	74	(	5,23	74	6,23		57	77	16	21,6	5 1	1,	,4	2	12
Jsc «Mikhailovsky Gok»	2	9	9,69	2	99,6		0	0	2	100	) 0	C	)	3	2
JSC «Lebedinsky Gok»	12	12	22,72	12	122,7		9	75	3	25	0	0	)	4	8
JSC «Ural steel»	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	)	0	0
TOTAL	88	22	28,64	88	228,6		66	75	21	23,8	3 1	1,1	14	9	22
				1		Alt	ernativ	e 5							
			tity	-	Positions on the levels of criticality										
Managed orga	nizati	ion	Quantity	tote	total Positions on the levels of original critical Moderately critical					ritical					
			units	toi		units %			un			%		units	%
JSC «OEN			0	0		0	(		(		0			0	0
Jsc «Mikhailov	-		5	130	-	1	2		4			80		0	0
JSC «Lebedins		1	16	874		16	10		(			0		0	0
JSC «Ural s			0	0		0	(		(			0		0	0
TOTA	L		21	100	4,9	17	80	,9	4	4	1	9,1		0	0

We conducted a comparative analysis of the proposed alternatives based on several criteria: 1) financial costs, 2) time lag, 3) the efficiency, 4) product quality, 5) the level of closing critical positions. On the basis of a mark estimation according to the criteria proposed alternatives held the ranking for each of them. Study a fragment is shown in table 5.

However, alternative embodiments of the manufacturing process are not only single phases but interrelated steps that implement the manufacturing process (table 6).

Thus, the level of "close" critical positions in import substitution and the sum of ranks, you can choose the following combinations:

A 2- A 1, A3- A 2- A 5- A 4- A 1, A 3- A 2- A 5- A 1, A 3- A 2- A 5.

 Table 5. Comparative analysis of options for implementing enhanced.

Alter	Criterias							
native	Financia	Time	Efficie	Quality	The level	Sum		
S	l	lag	ncy	of	of "close"			
	expenses			produc	critical			
				ts	positions			
A1	1	1	2	5	3	12		
A2	2	5	1	3	5	16		
A3	3	3	3	4	2	15		
A4	5	4	5	1	4	15		
A5	4	2	4	2	1	13		
Chara	5	5	5	5	5			
cterist	the	the	the	the	the			
ics	most	lon	lowe	lowe	worst			
	expen	gest	st	st				
	sive							

 
 Table 6. Analysis of Alternatives for Activities on Import Substitution.

Possible combinations of alternatives for the implementation of measures for import substitution	The sum of ranks	The abbreviation "critical" level of production (due to import substitution) *
A3- A 2- A 5- A 4- A	71	181
1		
A 3- A 2- A 5- A 1	56	181
A 3- A 2- A 5	44	181
A 2	16	181
A 2- A 1	28	181
A 3- A 4- A 1	42	97
A 3- A 4	30	74
A 2- A 1	28	181
A 2- A 5	29	181
A 4- A 1	27	97

\* Index is defined as the maximum possible among the series on the basis of Table 5

The economic effect of the development of the manufacture of spare parts of managed organization, purchased from third party suppliers is presented separately for each alternative.

 Table 7. Analysis of The Development of New Nomenclature of Spare Parts in Stages.

Alternatives		The number of "closed" critical positions				
	units	tons				
A1	97	753,11				
A2	181	-				
A3	35	316,4				
A4	74	6,23				
A5	21	1004,94				

## **3** Conclusion

The first alternative (97 items) expected annual positive economic effect in the amount of 27719.56 thousand

rubles total for all managed organization, including JSC «Lebedinsky Gok»-12755.69 thousand rubles; by JSC «Mikhailovsky Gok» -12808.09 thousand rubles; on JSC «Ural steel» - 365.87 thousand rubles; at OEMK is 1789.92 thousand rubles.

When implementing a third alternative (35 items) expected annual positive economic effect in the amount of 12348.5 thousand rubles, including OEMK-262.02 thousand rubles; by JSC «Lebedinsky Gok»-6312.3 thousand rubles; by JSC «Mikhailovsky Gok» - 5774.1 thousand rubles.

When the fifth alternative (21 position) annual positive economic effect will be 30502.12 thousand rubles on all managed organization, including JSC «Lebedinsky Gok»-9449.16 thousand rubles; by JSC «Mikhailovsky Gok» -21052.96 thousand rubles.

The economic effect is the sum of the amounts of profit and a conditional cost savings in the production of additional amounts of nomenclature and spare parts.

Thus, the machine-building complex is a priority industry innovation policies of import substitution. Developing innovations in machine-building complex of developed countries should be geared to modernization and implementation of technological innovation in the strategic management of the economy.

### References

- A.V. Maslennikov, S.A. Chevychelov, M.S. Merzhoeva, M.S. Gatiev, V.V. Sidorova, Russian Engineering Research, 34(11), 722 (2014)
- [2] A.V. Maslennikov, S.A. Chevychelov, I.G. Golubev, Russian Engineering Research, 33(5), 295 (2013)
- [3] S.G. Emelyanov, S.A. Chevychelov, P.P. Chistyakov, Applied Mechanics and Materials, 698, 546 (2015)
- [4] M.S. Razumov, A.N. Grechukhin, A.O. Gladyshkin, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, 230(18), 3298 (2016)
- [5] W. Hongyuan, Z. Xunjiang, D. Weifeng, Measurement, 69, 126 (2015)
- [6] L. Little, M. Becker, J. Wiese, A.N. Mainza, Minerals Engineering (2015)
- [7] M. Jahani, A. Farzanegan, M. Noaparast, Powder Technology, 283, 32 (2015)
- [8] F. Elskamp, H. Kruggel-Emden, Advanced Powder Technology, 26(3), 679 (2015)
- [9] G. Wang, X. Tong, Mining Science and Technology (China), 21(3), 451 (2011)
- [10]L.I. Aimin, L.V. Ruiling, L.I.U. Chusheng, Journal of China University of Mining and Technology, 18(2), 300 (2008)
- [11] T. Dyr, P. Wodzinski, Physicochemical Problems of Mineral Processing, 35, 147 (2002)

- [12] M. Maleki-Moghaddam, M. Yahyaei, S. Banisi, Minerals Engineering, 46-47, 157 (2013)
- [13]B. Axelrod, *TRIZ Future 2005. Graz, Austria,* University of Leoben, November, 325 (2005)
- [14]B. Axelrod, *ETRIA Conference*, November, 131 (2007).
- [15] A.I. Barbot'ko, A.V. Maslennikov, M.S. Razumov, Russian Engineering Research, 31(12), 1253 (2011)
- [16] M.S. Razumov, A.I. Pykhtin, A.V. Maslennikov, Russian Engineering Research, 32(9-10), 681 (2012)
- [17] A.I. Barbot'ko, M.S. Razumov, A.I. Pykhtin, P.A. Ponkratov, Russian Engineering Research, 35(5), 344 (2015)
- [18] Yu. Vertakova, M. Klevtsova, T. Babich, Economical chasopis-XXI, **157(3-4)**, 4 (2016)
- [19]Y. Vertakova, M. Klevtsova, S. Klevtsov, Proceedings of the 26th International Business

Information Management Association Conference -Innovation Management and Sustainable Economic Competitive Advantage: From Regional Development to Global Growth, IBIMA 2015 26, Innovation Management and Sustainable Economic Competitive Advantage: From Regional Development to Global Growth, 3230 (2015)

- [20] Y. Vertakova, Y. Polozhentseva, M. Klevtsova, Procedia Economics and Finance, **24**, 750 (2015)
- [21] R. Nania, D. Regazzoni, Elsevier, Engineering Procedia, 665 (2011)
- [22] P. Lepšík, M. Petr, O. Novak, Modern Methods of Construction Design. Proceedings of ICMD, IV, 459 (2013)
- [23] D. Russo, T. Montecchi, D. Regazzoni, Proceedings of IDMMEVirtualConcept, May, 1 (2010)